UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Unconventional low-cost fabrication and patterning techniques for point of care diagnostics.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3vc9c4xq

Journal Annals of biomedical engineering, 39(4)

ISSN 0090-6964

Authors

Sharma, Himanshu Nguyen, Diep Chen, Aaron <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2011-04-01

DOI

10.1007/s10439-010-0213-1

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Unconventional Low-Cost Fabrication and Patterning Techniques for Point of Care Diagnostics

HIMANSHU SHARMA,¹ DIEP NGUYEN,² AARON CHEN,¹ VALERIE LEW,² and MICHELLE KHINE^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemical Engineering & Materials Science, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA; and ²Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

(Received 16 September 2010; accepted 17 November 2010; published online 9 December 2010)

Associate Editor Daniel Takashi Kamei oversaw the review of this article.

Abstract—The potential of rapid, quantitative, and sensitive diagnosis has led to many innovative 'lab on chip' technologies for point of care diagnostic applications. Because these chips must be designed within strict cost constraints to be widely deployable, recent research in this area has produced extremely novel non-conventional micro- and nano-fabrication innovations. These advances can be leveraged for other biological assays as well, including for custom assay development and academic prototyping. The technologies reviewed here leverage extremely low-cost substrates and easily adoptable ways to pattern both structural and biological materials at high resolution in unprecedented ways. These new approaches offer the promise of more rapid prototyping with less investment in capital equipment as well as greater flexibility in design. Though still in their infancy, these technologies hold potential to improve upon the resolution, sensitivity, flexibility, and cost-savings over more traditional approaches.

Keywords—Point of care, Lab on a chip, Immunoassay, Micro-fabrication, Surface plasmon resonance, Nanofabrication.

INTRODUCTION

At the interface between fundamental academic research and the global demand for low-cost, translational biomedical technology lays an exciting bridge that has led to many recent significant advances in point of care (POC) diagnostics.^{46,93} Since the 1970s, the development of POC technologies can be seen in such devices as glucose and urine sensors which have been miniaturized and adopted for household use.²⁸ In short, these tests can be administered at a patient's locale and even by the patient himself, offering not only convenience but significantly more rapid diagnosis than conventional lab-based testing. Reducing the time to diagnosis from days to minutes enables better patient management decisions that may lead to improved patient compliance, prognosis and reduced overall cost of care.

Within this past decade, lab on chip (LOC) growth has been driven by its numerous advantages over macroscale devices including faster reaction time, less reagent and sample consumption, portability, and lower capital equipment costs.^{4,17,67,73,88} Advantages gained from microfabrication and nanofabrication approaches have since been leveraged for chemical, biological, and physical processes from the molecular to the cellular scales. Applications of such microfluidic-based LOC devices include fractionation, mixing, purification, reactions, separations, and detections.^{9,23} These technologies have the potential to simplify and improve the efficacy of analytical assays by negating the need for dedicated laboratories, complex equipment, highly trained personnel, and expensive lab-based infrastructure. These approaches have been applied to a variety of fields from drug discovery for improved molecular assays to biomimetic devices for tissue engineering.^{12,26}

In particular, the potential of rapid, quantitative, and sensitive diagnosis has led to many innovative LOC technologies for POC applications. Perhaps the most compelling application of LOC technologies for POC is in the early and accurate detection of infectious agents in developing countries where resources are severely limited.⁷⁹ To be adopted for use in developing countries, the technologies must be extremely inexpensive, robust, scalable, easily adaptable to detecting various infectious agents, sturdy to use under harsh and resource limited environments, and simple to use.

Because POC applications are so cost sensitive (total burdened cost for disposable devices need to be below \$5 in the developed country and close to pennies in developing countries) effective technologies that can be

Address correspondence to Michelle Khine, Department of Chemical Engineering & Materials Science, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA. Electronic mail: mkhine@uci.edu

developed with this constraint hold much potential to be leveraged for other applications as well, especially for custom assay development and academic prototyping.^{20,93} The main goal of this review is to therefore provide the reader with a survey of novel fabrication techniques as alternatives to more conventional, expensive, and time intensive traditional approaches. These new approaches offer the promise of more rapid prototyping with less investment in capital equipment as well as greater flexibility in design. Though many of these technologies are still in their infancy, they hold potential to improve upon the resolution, sensitivity, flexibility, and cost-savings over more traditional approaches. Here we focus our review on promising low-cost fabrication techniques for POC applications. We seek to find inspiration here from researchers focused on POC applications that have developed novel low-cost fabrication technologies that obviate many of the legacy micro and nanofabrication processes largely inherited from the semiconductor industry. We start with a brief review of the traditional fabrication processes. Then describe how POC researchers have developed ways to leverage extremely low-cost substrates, and then pattern both structural and biological materials at high resolution in unprecedented ways. The structural material can be patterned for microfluidic channels or for integrated nanostructures for enhanced assay sensitivity. Novel approaches to pattern biological materials are then reviewed.

CONVENTIONAL MICROFABRICATION TECHNIQUES

The ability to pattern structural and biological materials at high resolution offers unprecedented sensitivity and insight, enabling many recent biomedical investigations.^{21,33,34,71,86} Traditional 'top down' silicon and glass microfabrication techniques—including photolithography, thin film deposition, etching, and bonding—have been leveraged to create complex LOC for applications from immunoassays to PCR reactions to single cell analysis.^{10,14,47,50,51,56,88,91,97} However, largely inherited from the semiconductor industry, these fabrication processes are inherently limited in resolution and planarity by the tooling sources.

In photolithography, patterns are created by UV irradiation of photosensitive polymers for selective cross-linking thus generating the desired topography.⁷² Film deposition involves the formation of thin films on the surface of a substrate and have been used for a variety of purposes in microstructure fabrication.⁸⁵ This has been accomplished by utilizing various chemically and physically driven processes such as

chemical vapor deposition, plating, chemical solution deposition, physical vapor deposition, and molecular beam epitaxy.^{11,25,44,57,85} Etching is used to selectively remove or create features on materials and has been accomplished by using physical or chemical methods.⁹ Etching has been performed using either wet methods such as liquid chemicals or dry etching such as gasphase chemistry.^{44,85} Bonding is a method to adhere substrates together to form a hermetic seal.⁹ Depending on the material of interest, different forms of bonding can be applied such as anodic, fusion, thermocompression, or adhesive bonding to obtain desired structures.^{9,85} Most of these processes require legacy capital equipment from the semiconductor industry typically housed in a cleanroom that is extremely costly to run.

In 1998, Whitesides' introduction of PDMS revolutionized the microfluidics field and accelerated its progress by allowing rapid prototyping via soft lithography.^{16,65} Soft lithography utilizes molding or stamping techniques to create micro or nanofeatures from the elastomer, poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS).⁹ While this catalyzed academic progress and has since become the workhorse of microfabrication due to its desirable optical properties (transparency from 240 to 1100 nm), flexibility, gas-permeability (for cell based assays), cost-effectiveness, and high patterning fidelity, inherent material properties of this polymer present significant limitations especially for POC applications.⁶⁸ The disadvantages of PDMS include its hydrophobicity, propensity for protein absorption, difficulties in scaling up for mass production, and possible contamination of cyclic silicone monomer derivatives.^{35,68} Due to its high flexibility, channels fabricated using PDMS tend to expand and contract with different pressures.¹⁶ Although this property benefits some applications, its elastomeric properties could be detrimental to others. These limitations have prevented the industrial use of PDMS for applications in drug discovery and other sensitive assays.⁶⁸ Thus, PDMS has been mainly adopted within academic prototyping and limited to certain applications.³⁸ Finally, soft lithography relies on starting with the patterned silicon wafer and therefore still relies on standard photolithography processing.

Thermoplastic materials including polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), polycarbonate, polystyrene (PS) and cyclic olefin polymers are commonly used for research laboratory disposables including microtiter plates and petri dishes. These plastics are attractive because they are inexpensive, robust, possess good optical properties, and their surfaces can be easily modified.³³ Patterning large scale features into these plastics are extremely affordable as they are compatible with roll to roll processing.

However, current manufacturing of finer (micro or smaller) features directly into hard plastics typically necessitates expensive capital equipment and extensive processing steps. In hot embossing, a silicon master must first be created with the features defined photolithographically and subsequently chemically etched or used to create serial nickel electroforms. Each step of the mold making process requires significant processing time and large dedicated fabrication equipment. Once the mold is made, the imprint can be performed with high fidelity using an automated hot embosser. The hot embossing machine heats up the plastic under high pressure conditions when in contact with the mold for pattern transfer into the plastic.³⁹ Similarly, injection molding starts with the silicon master and a nickel electroform. The nickel electroform is then mounted into a mold insert where the polymer solution is injected.⁴⁰ Creating a precise stamp with microfeatures is time and labor intensive.^{48,75,78,89} Furthermore, the physical limitations of hot embossing systems and properties of the plastic material limit the thickness of the embossed plastic patterns.³⁶ While such approaches could become cost-effective for extremely high-volume production, for prototyping-as typical in academic research as well as in small companies—they are prohibitively expensive both in terms of setup costs as well as the cost per chip. For many researchers, such expensive specialized equipment, a clean room, and costly consumables are not adaptable at their universities or companies.^{85,87}

ALTERNATIVE LOW-COST SUBSTRATES AND RAPID PATTERNING OF MICROFLUIDIC CHANNELS

In addition to the expensive capital costs associated with traditional microfabrication approaches, another notable disadvantage is the required fabrication time. Methods such as soft lithography and hot embossing require first patterning silicon wafers to serve as 'master's which requires many hours to several days. Therefore, multiple design iterations, inevitable in prototyping, becomes extremely slow and costly. Moreover, the features are limited to predominantly planar features. Novel substrates and patterning techniques developed for POC illuminate exciting possibilities for alternative approaches.

The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for antibody detection has remained the gold standard method of antibody detection since Engvall and Perlman introduced it in the 1970s.²⁷ A typical ELISA is performed in a 96 well microtiter plate in which an antibody is bound to a solid material such as a plastic bead or planar substrate in order to capture antigens

from the sample.⁴⁹ Following this, a second enzymelabeled detection antibody is added. After multiple washing steps to remove unreacted reagents, a fluorescence microscope is used to image the substrate.^{18,92} ELISA requires long assay times, use of expensive reagents and equipment, multiple washing steps and a trained clinician.⁹² While the cost for an ELISA testing varies from \$2 to 3/sample, the infrastructure required to run an ELISA can amount to almost \$30,000.^{3,18}

Paper serves as an excellent material to fabricate bioassays because it has the ability to wick fluids, is inexpensive, biodegradable, readily available, and easy to functionalize.^{18,24} Recently, Cheng et al.¹⁸ developed an ELISA assay made out of paper (p-ELISA). Here, using paper rather than the conventional plastic wells, they were able to lower the cost, time, and volume of reagent required to perform ELISA. In their approach to fabricate a p-ELISA, the group applied SU-8 photoresist onto paper and followed conventional photolithography techniques for patterning the array. In their device, the optimal size of the detection zones was 5 mm in diameter, and to completely wet this area, only 3 μ L fluid was required. Furthermore, when the size of the detection zone was reduced to a diameter comparable to the 384-well plate format, the volume required to completely wet the detection area was reduced to $0.5 \ \mu$ L. Within an hour, the entire analysis was completed in contrast with a traditional ELISA, in which the incubation steps can take up to an hour. The efficiency and accuracy of the device were evaluated using a colorimetric bioassay. Rabbit IgG at various concentrations were immobilized in detection areas, blocked, followed by incubating with a solution of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) conjugated anti-rabbit goat IgG. Currently, however, their limit of detection is approximately tenfold higher than the traditional ELISA, but the ability to mass produce these devices is an attractive advantage.¹⁸

Martinez et al. also pioneered the fabrication of micro-paper-based analytical devices (µPADs) by patterning SU-8 photoresist onto chromatography paper using traditional photolithography techniques (Fig. 1).^{24,62} In order to increase the hydrophilicity of the paper which may have been affected by residual photoresist, the surface was treated with oxygen plasma. To demonstrate the functionality of their device, glucose and protein were spotted in the test zones created (Fig. 1). For the glucose assay, colorless iodine was oxidized to brownish iodine via the enzymatic reaction of glucose oxidase with horseradish peroxidase. Within 10 min after the glucose was spotted, the color was fully developed and results were obtained. Furthermore, they were able to run 20 different samples within 8 min and observe the results within 20 min.⁶² The sensitivity detection limit of 5 mM was

comparable to commercially available dipsticks for glucose detection.

Bruzewicz et al. subsequently presented the idea of generating hydrophilic channels by using an x-y desktop plotter to print PDMS onto filter paper.^{13,24} Unlike photoresist or PMMA, PDMS is an elastomer that is relatively more flexible so channels could easily be folded or bent without destroying the channel.¹³ Moreover, the PDMS solution was able to penetrate the paper generating hydrophobic barriers. Carrilho et al.¹⁵ furthered improved the idea of fabricating hydrophilic channels in their μ PADs by printing walls of hydrophobic wax on paper in order to fabricate microfluidic channels which were approximately 500 μ M wide that have hydrophobic barrier. Utilizing this process, they were able to create μ PADS that were made within 5 min.¹⁵ In this process, a wax ink printer was utilized to print a fluidic layout onto paper.^{15,24} Following this, the wax was heated which led to lateral diffusion and vertical spreading along the paper forming the hydrophobic walls. While the resolution of the channel features made through conventional photolithography is better for the purpose of fabricating μ PADs, wax printing would work sufficiently.¹⁵ In an independent work, Lu et al.^{58,59} also demonstrated that wax can be utilized for patterning microstructures on filter paper and nitrocellulose membrane within 10 min. Three different modes of printing wax onto filter paper were applied including using a wax pen, an inkjet printer followed by a wax pen, and a wax



FIGURE 1. Schematic of the paper patterning method. (a) SU8 photoresist was patterned onto paper; (b) The millimetersized channels were then modified for biological assay. Figure reproduced with permission from Martinez *et al.*⁶² Copyright 2007 Wiley–VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.

printer. Wax serves as a promising alternative for patterning on paper rather than using SU-8 and PDMS because of its advantages of being inexpensive, user friendly, and environmentally friendly.

Martinez et al. showed that three-dimensional (3D) microfluidic devices could be easily fabricated by assembling alternate layers of SU-8 patterned paper with double-sided adhesive tape.^{24,64} By patterning SU-8 photoresist onto the paper, hydrophilic channels could be easily created and fluids were able to move vertically through the layers by laser cutting holes through the tape (Fig. 2). To improve the wicking properties between the adjacent layers of papers, cellulose powder was added between the gaps created by the thickness of the tape. The device has four channels which intersected with each other multiple times in a basket weave pattern and were approximately 800 μ M wide and 5 cm long. These devices are relatively easy to make and inexpensive, only costing \$0.03. To demonstrate the functionality of the devices, glucose and protein assays were performed within the device. More recently Martinez et al.⁶³ fabricated 3D μ PADs that enabled the user to design the structure of the channels, control the fluid flow, and control the device functions, post-fabrication. An "on" button was fabricated by utilizing the gap distance created by the tape between the adjacent layers of papers. Unless a hydrophilic material such as cellulose powder was used to fill the gap, fluid would not flow. Thus, by using a standard narrow object such as a ball point pen, the gap could be closed and the devices could be manually programmed by the user.

Thread was used as the simplest and fastest method for fabrication of low-cost diagnostic devices. In this method cotton was used as the thread of choice because it is low-cost, accessible, durable, has a high aspect ratio, and requires no external power to move fluids along the thread.^{54,77} In order to have the cotton thread wick fluids well, Li et al. plasma treated the cotton thread before sewing the threads onto a translucent polymer film fabricating 3D-like microfluidic devices.^{54,77} Li et al. demonstrated that there were able to promote mixing of fluids in desired locations by simply twisting two hydrophilic threads. Reches et al. used an alternative type of cotton, mercernized cotton, which is hydrophilic to fabricate their diagnostic assays, eliminating the need for plasma treatment. Similar to Li et al., they also manually sewed the thread into various substrates such as bandages and plastic. However, they also explored two other designs where the thread was sandwiched between two pieces of scotch tape. By sandwiching the thread, they were able to rapidly and effectively wick the fluids. As a proof of concept, they used their thread-based microfluidic devices to perform qualitative colorimetric



FIGURE 2. Overview of the μ PADs fabrication procedure. Adapted with permission from Martinez *et al.*⁶⁴ Copyright (2008) National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.

assays for nitrite, protein, ketones, glucose, and alkaline phosphatase.⁷⁷

Alternative fabrication approaches have also been developed to make plastic substrates an affordable solution. Grimes *et al.*³⁶ introduced an inexpensive alternative for fabrication of microfluidic devices by utilizing thermoplastics such as polystyrene (PS). More recently, Nguyen *et al.*⁷¹ leveraged polyolefin (PO) film. PO which has been commonly used for the

manufacturing of shrink wrap films, possesses excellent shape memory properties that can be recovered through the application of heat.⁷¹ Other attractive properties of the PO films are optical properties such as transmission over a large range of wavelengths in addition to low autofluorescence.⁷¹ It was also shown that by leveraging the shrinkage property of the PO sheets, high resolution microstructures can be generated obviating the need for photolithography and advanced tooling (Fig. 3). To demonstrate the applicability of this polymer, fluorescently tagged polyclonal antibodies proteins were stamped onto the PO surface via microcontact printing (μ CP). After this, the substrate was heated and an increase in fluorescence was demonstrated indicating an increase in concentration due to the 95% shrink-induced decrease in surface area.

McKenzie et al.⁶⁶ fabricated a microfluidic device that could be used for rapid removal or separation of immunoglobin, IgG, from human plasma. In their technique, plastic laminates (adhesive backed Mylar and poly(methyl methacrylate) PMMA) were cut with a 25 W CO₂ laser to create microfluidic cards. In between the polymers layers, porous hydrophobic membranes were sandwiched to act as a fluid stop and vent for air bubbles. A mixer was created in which mixing occurred by air bubbles created by a pneumatic source. Within the mixing chamber, protein G immobilized beads in a viscous sucrose solution were dried for approximately 24-48 h. Protein G, a bacterial cell wall protein, was chosen for it specificity for binding to IgG. In addition to a mixer, a polyester mesh net was used as a filter for removing the beads. To test the functionality of their device, buffer spiked with FITC labeled human IgG or diluted human plasma samples were loaded into the inlet port of the microfluidic card device and were sent through the bead filter and held within the fluid reservoir. With air pressure, the sample was pushed into the mixing chamber and mixed with rehydrated beads for 5 min before pulling the sample out of the chamber. Finally, the sample was re-sent through the bead filter to remove beads and pipetted off the card for analysis. The results demonstrated that after 5 min of processing, approximately 66-77% of IgG was removed from the human plasma samples.

Recently, Yuen and Goral⁹⁶ utilized a desktop digital craft cutter to fabricate flexible microfluidic devices within minutes. Using double-sided pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) tape and laser printer transparency film, they were able to fabricate 3D microfluidic channels with 200 μ M thin microchannels. More recently, Nath *et al.*⁷⁰ used PSA tape to fabricate various microfluidic components such as a micro-mixer, dielectrophoresis, and a high temperature bioreactor that could be integrated into lab on chip devices. Chen *et al.* and



FIGURE 3. Ultra-rapid, low-cost manufacturing process of nano/micro-systems.

Taylor *et al.* also fabricated complete 3D microfluidic chips by stacking layers of PS or PO and heating them stacking the thermoplastic layers (Fig. 3).^{16,84} The functionality of the chip was assessed by performing a sandwich immunoassay within the device and the results showed an increase in fluorescence intensity post-shrinkage. Sollier *et al.* utilized "Print-n-Shrink" technology to fabricate their microfluidic devices. In their method, a screen printer was used to print dielectric ink onto a shrinkable polystyrene sheet and then heated to induce shrinkage.⁸⁰ To test the functionality of their microfluidic chips, proteins were spotted on the polystyrene film, shrunk and imaged using a fluorescence microscope.

Sudarsan *et al.* utilized PS-based thermoplastic elastomer gels to create viscoelastic three-dimensional (3D) microfluidic chips using a molding approach.⁸³ In their approach gels were synthesized by mixing cheap polystyrene–polyethylene–polybutylene (SEBS) triblock copolymers in mineral oil and leaving the mixture of resin and oil overnight under vacuum. In order to allow the resin and oil to mix with each other and remove any remaining air pockets, the mixture was placed under vacuum and heated to 120–170 °C for approximately 4 h. Since, the butylene and ethylene midblocks are selectively soluble in mineral oil while the styrene is insoluble, the strong repulsion between the blocks forced microphase separation and the styrene end blocks self-assembled into localized nanodomains. The viscoelastic gel network was formed when the soluble midblocks permeated into the oil generating arrays of bridges and loops and the polystyrene domains served as cross-link junctions.⁸³ After the mixture was left to cool to room temperature, the solid gel was sliced into small pieces and placed on top a PC board master mold preheated to 120 °C. Once the elastomer was malleable, gentle pressure was applied manually to ensure complete contact with the mold. Unlike PDMS, the same elastomer slab could be used to make different impressions with other masters that have distinct features. To test the biocompatibility of the substrate, the inner portion of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tubes were coated with 23 wt% elastomer gel and standard DNA amplification using the coated PCR tubes was performed in a thermocycler for 1 h. Standard gel electrophoresis was run on the samples and the results demonstrated that the elastomeric gel was biocompatible with DNA and standard biochemical reagents.

Wang *et al.* introduced a novel method, lab on print (LOP), to fabricate 3D microfluidics in less than an hour.^{29,86} In their method, graphic design software was utilized to fabricate micro-scale patterns and then printed using a solid ink printer to deposit wax on both

sides of a 25–125 μ m thick polyimide film. Isotropic etching into the polyimide substrate was performed using a KOH-based wet etching bath. In this solution, only the polyimide substrate was able to be etched while the wax acted as an etching barrier. Finally, the polyimide film was folded and the wax layers were thermally bonded onto each other. To test the functionality of their device, a microfluidic generator was



FIGURE 4. Microfluidic gradient generator fabricated using LOP process. (a) Substrate composed of polyimide (*yellow*) is patterned with wax (*black*); (b) Chemical gradient of *red* dye buffered with DI water. Figure reprinted with permission from⁸⁶—reproduced by permission of Royal Society of Chemistry.

fabricated with their technique (Fig. 4a). Red color dye and de-ionized water (DI) water were loaded into two separate inlets to essentially create mixing chemical gradients and the outlet was connected to a vacuum pump. The pressure difference between the inlet and outlet pushed the red dye and DI water into the microfluidic device and generated a gradient downward due to diffusive mixing (Fig. 4b).

Another out of the cleanroom microfabrication alternative for 3D microfluidic structures was also introduced by Zhao *et al.*⁹⁸ known as Direct Projection on Dry-film Photoresist (DP). A digital projector was utilized to generate masks and operate as a photo exposure system. An easy processing dry-film photoresist was used for microfabrication and 3D microfluidic structures were fabricated within an hour. With this technique, they were able to achieve a resolution of 10 μ m using thick dry-film resist.

Recently, in a novel process developed by Cheung et al.¹⁹ it was shown that 3D structures can be fabricated within microfluidic chips utilizing photocurable acrylate-based polymers and standard fluorescent microscopy. In this approach, by flowing the photocurable polymers through a microfluidic device composed of PDMS chambers sandwiched between glass slides, and exposing the chambers to fluorescent light they were able to selectively generate 3D structures within the device. It was also shown, that the height of these structures can be tuned by varying the height of the microfluidic chambers. Notably, due to the low viscosity, it was possible to flow the polymers through the chambers using standard pressure driven flow. Thus, by selectively scanning the light beam within the desired region, it was demonstrated that controlled patterns of 3D polymers can be generated, while uncured regions can easily be washed away. In this study it was shown that up to 24 different photocurable polymers can be selectively polymerized within minutes compared to standard lithographic methods which required hours. A summary of the low cost substrates described in this section is presented in Table 1.

Low-cost fabrication material	Advantages	Applications	Types
Paper-based ^{13,15,18,58,59,62,64,70}	Biodegradable, accessible, ease of functionalization, hydrophilic	ELISA, microfabrication	Cellulose, nitrocellulose
Thread-based ^{54,77}	Accessible, rapid fabrication, high aspect ratio, hydrophilic, ease of functionalization	Colorimetric assays, microfabrication	Cotton
Thermoplastics ^{36,70,71,80,84,96}	Desirable optical properties, durable, inexpensive, ease of surface modifications	Microfabrication, immuno assays	PS, PO, PMMA, SEBS, COC, polycarbonate, PSA, PI
Photocurable polymers ^{86,98}	Rapid fabrication, high aspect ratio, low viscosity, high controllability	Microfabrication/3D microfabrication	DP, acrylate-based photoresist

INTEGRATION OF NANOSTRUCTURES FOR ENHANCED SENSITIVITY

Nanostructures integrated into the substrates can offer increased assay sensitivity. Wu et al.90 fabricated nanopores as a mode for DNA detection in a relatively inexpensive thermoplastic by using a laser as their heat source. In this method, a 200 μ M diameter pore was mechanically punctured out in the center of a 700–900 μ M thick membrane. An argon ion laser was used to supply a continuous-wave laser beam to the punctured area and within minutes the hole reduced by almost 1000-fold to a few hundred nanometers. To characterize and demonstrate the functionality of their nanopores, double-stranded λ -DNA was added to their device which was submerged in ionic solution and negative potential was applied to force the DNA molecules to move through the pores. Based on the results obtained from a current readout and PCR, they were able to detect DNA.

Molecular based plasmonic sensing involves the manipulation of light in the sub wavelength regime. Nanostructured metals with free electrons can produce surface plasmon oscillations resulting in strong surface-bound electromagnetic fields when resonantly excited using visible light; these resulting surface plasmon resonance (SPR) fields can be used to detect biomolecules in a variety of ways. Gao et al.³² used angle dependent resonances from plasmonic crystals for biosensing applications. Soft interference lithography and PEEL (phase-shifting photolithography, etching, electron-beam deposition, and lift-off of the film) were used to fabricate a silicon template of a 2D square array of nanopyramidal pits.^{32,42} PDMS was used to capture the patterned features on the silicon mold and transfer the features to UV-curable polyurethane (PU). To generate the 2D plasmonic crystals, 150 nm gold was electron-beam evaporated (E-beam) onto the molded PU substrates. For testing the functionality of their device, the molded gold plasmonic crystals were functionalized with a self-assembled monolayer, SAM. By simply tuning the angle of incident light, they were able to observe the plasmon resonance shift to longer wavelengths as proteins bound to specific receptors on the substrate. Furthermore, by tuning the excitation angle, they were able to increase the signal to noise ratio. More recently, Yang et al.⁹⁴ fabricated 3D nanohole arrays using a similar procedure. Lee et al. used the process of solvent-assisted nanoscale embossing (SANE) to generate plasmonic nanoparticle arrays that could be utilized for fabrication of biosensors in the future.^{42,52} In their method, PDMS was cast against the PU master mold with hexagonal array of posts to generate PDMS molds (Figs. 5a, 5b). The PDMS mold was then wet with a solvent before conformal contact with photoresist on silicon wafer (Fig. 5c). Another approach that was applied to fabricate new arrays with higher densities was performed by first wetting the PDMS mold with photoresist and then using a convection oven to heat the patterned resist with shrink film. Within 40 min, the thermoplastic shrunk by 60% and this helped with reducing the separation between the features. Furthermore, to increase the spacing between the features, the thermoplastic could also be mechanically stretched. This new master could then be molded again with PDMS and then wet with solvent before having conformal contact with a photoresist coated substrate on a silicon wafer. SANE methods were also applied to fabricate metallic nanoparticle arrays and the spacing between the particles was tuned by varying the shrinking time of the polymer. The ability to control these metallic nanostructures can be utilized for fabrication of plasmonic biosensors.

Stewart *et al.* created quasi-3D plasmonic crystals for potential use in label-free detection systems.^{81,95} In their method, soft nanoimprint lithography was utilized to fabricate nanostructured substrates by embossing PDMS that had square arrays of cylindrical features into polyurethane on a glass slide. The polyurethane layer was left to cure by exposure to UV light before the PDMS stamp was carefully removed, leaving behind nanofeatures. Thick gold films were deposited on the patterned substrate by using electronbeam deposition to generate quasi 3D plasmonic crystals or sputtered to create "full" 3D plasmonic crystals. The nanoscale holes produced the SPR effects in the gold film.

Integrating these metal structures into microfluidic devices holds promise for biosensing applications. Hidber et al. first utilized microcontact printing and electroless deposition to fabricate patterned surfaces on biaxially preoriented films of polystyrene.43,99 The inherent ability of the thermoplastic to shrink above its glass transition temperature induced the platinum colloids on the thermoplastic to form microfeatures that were pronounced after electroless deposition of copper. Fu et al.³⁰ also demonstrated a novel method to fabricate metal nanowrinkles by using a two-step approach and tailored the scale range for the nanometer metal wrinkles by adjusting the thickness of the metal deposited on the polystyrene sheets. In their method, a thin layer of gold was deposited on polystyrene sheets via sputtering after which the substrate was heated to 160 °C to form metallic wrinkles due to stiffness mismatches (Fig. 3). The wrinkles were also integrated into shrink-induced polymer microfluidics devices. To demonstrate the utility of wrinkles for POC diagnostics, dye molecules were dissolved in polymer solution and spin coated on the gold wrinkles. To



FIGURE 5. Schematic of solvent-assisted nanoscale embossing. (a) Optical micrograph of PU master; (6 in) diameter; (b) SEM images of nano-structures on PU master; (c) Schematic of inverse SANE (inSANE) fabrication procedure for the generation of high and low density nanostructures. Figure reprinted with permission from Lee *et al.*⁵²

decrease the size of the nanostructures and increase the density of the hot spots, Fu *et al.* then developed a way to crack open the petals and demonstrated a 4000-fold increase in the fluorescence enhancement due to SPR effects.³¹

Sia *et al.* introduced a portable and cost-effective (POCKET) immunoassay that was used to quantify anti-HIV-1 antibodies in human patient sera.⁷⁹ In their device, antibodies conjugated to gold colloids catalyzed the reduction of silver ions to silver atoms. The detection was performed by using a InGaAlP red semiconductor laser diode (654 nm), and optical

integrated circuit that acted as a photodetector. The opacity of the silver film was correlated to the concentration of analyte. Furthermore, incubation times within the microfluidic device were only 10 min. Recently, Luo *et al.*⁶⁰ integrated a PDMS microfluidic device with surface plasmon resonance imaging to fabricate an immunoassay that could detect at the subnanomolar level. Liu *et al.*⁵⁵ designed a nanoplasmonic molecular ruler in which double-stranded DNA was attached to a gold nanoparticle and a shift in the plasmon resonance wavelength corresponded to the length of the DNA. A summary of the biosensing

SHARMA et al.

Description	Advantages	Required instrumentation/consumables	Applications
Nanopores in thermoplastic ⁹⁰	Lithography-free, ability to tune size of nanopores	Argon ion laser, thermoplastic	DNA sensor
Plasmonic crystals ³²	Label-free, large area plasmonic sensing substrates, does not require electron-beam lithography or focused ion beam milling	Polyurethane, E-beam evaporation, PDMS, Si, gold	Protein sensor, drug screening
SANE ⁵²	Ability to tune separation between patterns, uniform patterns	Shrink film, PDMS, Si, oven, photo-resist, ethanol, gold	Biosensor
Quasi-3D plasmonic crystals ⁸¹	Label-free, uniform crystals	PDMS, polyurethane, E-beam, UV light, gold	Biosensor
Patterned catalyst on polystyrene ⁴³	Photolithography-free, tunable patterns	Palladium colloids, polystyrene sheets, PDMS, oven, copper plating bath	Biosensor
Metal nanowrinkles ^{30,31}	Tunable nanowrinkles	Sputter coater, polystyrene, oven, gold, silver	Immunoassay, DNA sensors
POCKET immunoassay ⁷⁹	High sensitivity (LOD: 163 pM), integrated into microfluidic device, electricity-free	InGaA1P red semiconductor laser diode, gold, silver	Immunoassay
Gold nanoparticles ⁶⁰	Integrated into microfluidic device, sensitivity detection limit: 38 pM	PDMS, gold nanoparticles, oven, photoresist, silicon, printer	Immunoassay
Nanoplasmonic ruler ⁵⁵	Label-free, ability to study kinetics of nuclease enzymatic reactions	Metal nanoparticles, phosphine moiety, ultracentrifuge, scattering spectroscopy	DNA footprinting

TABLE 2. Micro and nanofabricated substrates with applications in biosensing.

substrates described in this section is presented in Table 2.

LOW-COST MOLECULAR PATTERNING TECHNIQUES

The ability to pattern biomolecules onto surfaces is critical for biological research such as proteomics, genomics, fabrication of biosensors, and engineering of tissue scaffolds.^{6,22} Recently, several research groups have proposed novel methods of patterning or dispensing biomolecules onto surface.

Huo *et al.*⁴⁵ described polymer pen lithography (PPL), an innovative method to deposit inks in a "direct write" manner. In this method, a silicon master is made from established photolithography procedures which is used to fabricate a polymer pen array containing thousands of pyramid-shaped tips.⁴⁵ A year later, Zheng *et al.*¹⁰⁰ proposed a rapid method that involved using PPL for inking nanoscale probes with various types of proteins that did not result in cross-contamination (Fig. 6a). A 5×5 protein dot array was made by using each pen in the array (Fig. 6d). By simply varying the tip-substrate contact time and contact force, they could control the feature size from the nano- to the micro-scale.

Baserga *et al.*⁸ utilized dip pen lithography (DPN) and template stripping (TS) techniques to fabricate a nanoarray that could be used for label-free DNA

detection. In DPN, the biomolecules are coated on the AFM tip and deposited onto the substrate, enabling submicron scale molecular patterns.⁷⁶ To fabricate their substrate, they combined techniques of TS and evaporation of metals through a grid mask. The principle of the TS technique involves depositing metal onto a freshly cleaved mica surface using physical vapor deposition (PVD) methods.^{8,41} The freshly coated metal surface was glued onto a silicon wafer and the mica layer was stripped by chemical or mechanical means. Once the mica layer was stripped, the metal film deposited initially on the mica surface was almost as flat as the mica. The flatness of the substrate enabled the atomic force microscope (AFM) and scanning tunneling microscope (STM) to characterize the biomolecules on the substrate that were deposited using DPN. Lee et al.⁵³ showed that TS of gold films could also be performed in ultrahigh vacuum to generate clean, flat surfaces that could be used as a substrate for highly ordered self-assembled monolayer (SAM) formation.

In diagnostic applications such as lateral flow strips, use of aerosol deposition and contact striping methods for the patterning of biomolecules onto cellulose-based substrates requires the use of expensive commercial equipments which may limit its application in POC diagnostics.^{37,61} Nash *et al.*⁶⁹ demonstrated that the fabrication of lateral flow strips can easily be fabricated by utilizing the common lab equipment: a syringe pump. In this approach, anti-streptavidin antibodies



FIGURE 6. Scheme of the main steps for fabrication of multiplexed protein arrays. (a) Overview of PPL patterning process for fabrication of multiplexed protein arrays; (b) Si mold of three dye-conjugated proteins printed using inkjet printing; (c) Polymer pen array patterned onto Si mold using PPL; (d) Final product of the multiplexed protein arrays made by PPL with polymer pen array in (c). Figure reproduced with permission from Zheng *et al.*¹⁰⁰ Copyright Wiley–VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.

were laterally printed utilizing SP1 and SP2 syringe pumps by Kloehn LTD. By modifying one pump for the lateral positioning of the syringe needle, which was placed only a few millimeters above the nitrocellulose paper, and another pump for the pressure driven flow, anti-streptavidin antibody were printed onto nitrocellulose paper and used to capture streptavidin-gold nano-particle conjugated antibody. In addition, it was shown that by controlling the flowrate of the antibody and the translational speed, it is possible to adjust the linewidth of the printed antibody; with the narrowest linewidth, 440 µm, achievable at a flow rate of 1.6 μ L min⁻¹ and a translational speed of 3 mm s⁻¹. Thus, by utilizing the common syringe pump, it was shown that lateral strip assays can be accomplished negating the use of expensive deposition equipments.

Inkjet printing has also emerged as one of the popular, rapid technologies used for delivery of small, controlled volumes of biomolecules onto substrates.² Arrabito and Pignataro⁵ proposed the idea of using inkjet printing for dispensing molecular substances in a



FIGURE 7. Schematic of the electrohydrodynamic jet (e-jet) printer. The e-jet printer can print single strand (ss) and double strand (ds) oligonucleotides onto substrates. Figure reprinted with permission from Park *et al.*⁷⁴

microarray format. Here, an inkjet printer was used to deposit picoliters of D-glucose or D-glucose coupled with its inhibitor D-glucal onto glucose oxidase covalently linked to a functionalized silicon oxide support. Following this, horseradish peroxidase was added which when reacted with the glucose oxidase in the presence of oxygen formed a red color. Stewart et al. utilized a piezoelectric inkjet printer to deposit a pattern of antibodies onto a nylon membrane for fabrication of an immunoassay.⁸² Abe et al.¹ used inkjet printing to fabricate lateral flow immunochromatographic devices. In their method, filter paper was soaked for a short period of time in solution of polystyrene in toluene. After this, an inkjet printer was used to deposit toluene droplets which etched patterns on the filter paper.¹ Examples of the lateral flow immunochromatographic devices for detecting immunoglobin, IgG, were successfully demonstrated using a sandwich immunoassay. The limit of detection with the device was 10 μ g/L and was able to detect within 20 min.

For even higher resolution in the nanoscale range, Park *et al.*⁷⁴ used an electrohydrodynamic jet (e-jet) printer to deposit DNA for applications in DNA microarray and biosensors. By utilizing electric fields, the e-jet printer was able to use micro/nanocapillary

TABLE 3. Micro and nanofabricated patterning techniques.

Description	Advantages	Required instrumentation/consumables	Applications
PPL ⁴⁵	Multiplexed protein arrays, nano-macroscopic resolution	Si, inkjet printer, glycerol, oxygen plasma, NSCRIPTOR	Multiplexed patterning of protein
Nanoarray from DPN and TS ⁸	Label-free	AFM tip, E-beam evaporation, Au–Ag microgrid, NSCRIPTOR, silicon wafer, mica	DNA sensor
Lateral flow strips ⁶⁹	Minimal volume required for deposition, ability to tune line widths	Syringe pump, nitrocellulose membrane, gold nanoparticle, sodium citrate	Immunoassays
Inkjet printer for glucose ⁵	Minimal volume required for deposition	Inkjet printer, nylon membrane, p-glucose, silicon oxide substrates	Glucose sensor
Inkjet printer for antibodies ¹	LOD: 10 µg/L, silicon oxide support	Filter paper, polystyrene, toluene, fatbrown RR dye	Immunoassay
E-jet printer ⁷⁴	High resolution (100 nm)	E-jet printer, glycerin, Si wafers, E-beam evaporation	DNA microarray/biosensors

glass nozzles to generate dots on the order of microsubmicrometer size (Fig. 7). Their limit of resolution using the e-jet printer was 100 nm. In order to provide electrical contact with the ink, a thin layer of metal was deposited on the outer surface and inner surface closest to the tip. A pre-synthesized oligonucleotide fluorescently labeled with Alexa 546 was delivered via a metal coated nozzle in various patterns. More recently, Barton *et al.*⁷ presented the idea of using a desktop system for e-jet printing. A summary of the patterning techniques described in this section is presented in Table 3.

CONCLUSION

We predict that the integration of novel materials with these low-cost fabrication technologies will provide some of the most promising developments in POC diagnostics in the coming decade. Specifically, through the application of these novel micro- and nano-fabrication techniques which enable 3D architectures, high resolution patterning, extremely low-cost substrate materials, enhanced sensitivity and signal to noise ratios, we can improve traditional approaches. Because many of these approaches are 'direct write' as opposed to 'top down' fabrication approaches, they offer unprecedented resolution as well as flexibility and easy extensibility on demand. For academic prototyping, these are attractive qualities in a technology platform. Finally, because of their low costs and relatively small tooling requirements, these technologies are readily adoptable by most academic laboratories. This will only serve to increase the rate of progress that we make on improving these promising young technologies. With these novels, rapid, and powerful techniques for fabrication of diagnostic chips combined with patterning of biomolecules onto substrates, the potential

to use these technologies to help developing countries is very promising.

OPEN ACCESS

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

REFERENCES

- ¹Abe, K., K. Kotera, K. Suzuki, and D. Citterio. Inkjetprinted paperfluidic immuno-chemical sensing device. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 398(2):885–893, 2010.
- ²Abe, K., K. Suzuki, and D. Citterio. Inkjet-printed microfluidic multianalyte chemical sensing paper. *Anal. Chem.* 80(18):6928–6934, 2008.
- ³Aroonrerk, N., A. Suksamrarn, and K. Kirtikara. A sensitive direct ELISA for detection of prostaglandin E2. *J. Immunoassay Immunochem.* 28(4):319–330, 2007.
- ⁴Arora, A., G. Simone, G. B. Salieb-Beugelaar, J. T. Kim, and A. Manz. Latest developments in micro total analysis systems. *Anal. Chem.* 82(12):4830–4847, 2010.
- ⁵Arrabito, G., and B. Pignataro. Inkjet printing methodologies for drug screening. *Anal. Chem.* 82(8):3104–3107, 2010.
- ⁶Barbulovic-Nad, I., M. Lucente, Y. Sun, M. Zhang, A. R. Wheeler, and M. Bussmann. Bio-microarray fabrication techniques–a review. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 26(4): 237–259, 2006.
- ⁷Barton, K., S. Mishra, K. A. Shorter, A. Alleyne, P. Ferreira, and J. Rogers. A desktop electrohydrodynamic jet printing system. *Mechatronics* 20(5):611–616, 2010.
- ⁸Baserga, A., M. Viganol, C. S. Casari, S. Turri, A. Li Bassi, M. Levi, and C. E. Bottani. Au-Ag template stripped pattern for scanning probe investigations of

DNA arrays produced by dip pen nanolithography. *Langmuir* 24(22):13212–13217, 2008.

- ⁹Betancourt, T., and L. Brannon-Peppas. Micro- and nanofabrication methods in nanotechnological medical and pharmaceutical devices. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 1(4): 483–495, 2006.
- ¹⁰Bhattacharyya, A., and C. Klapperich. Design and testing of a disposable microfluidic chemiluminescent immunoassay for disease biomarkers in human serum samples. *Biomed. Microdevices* 9(2):245–251, 2007.
- ¹¹Bhushan, B., and S. Matsui. Three-Dimensional Nanostructure Fabrication by Focused Ion Beam Chemical Vapor Deposition. Springer Handbook of Nanotechnology. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 211–229, 2010.
- ¹²Borenstein, J. T., E. J. Weinberg, B. K. Orrick, C. Sundback, M. R. Kaazempur-Mofrad, and J. P. Vacanti. Microfabrication of three-dimensional engineered scaffolds. *Tissue Eng.* 13(8):1837–1844, 2007.
- ¹³Bruzewicz, D. A., M. Reches, and G. M. Whitesides. Low-cost printing of poly(dimethylsiloxane) barriers to define microchannels in paper. *Anal. Chem.* 80(9):3387– 3392, 2008.
- ¹⁴Carlo, D. D., L. Y. Wu, and L. P. Lee. Dynamic single cell culture array. *Lab Chip* 6(11):1445–1449, 2006.
- ¹⁵Carrilho, E., A. W. Martinez, and G. M. Whitesides. Understanding wax printing: a simple micropatterning process for paper-based microfluidics. *Anal. Chem.* 81(16):7091–7095, 2009.
- ¹⁶Chen, C. S., D. N. Breslauer, J. I. Luna, A. Grimes, W. C. Chin, L. P. Lee, and M. Khine. Shrinky-Dink microfluidics: 3D polystyrene chips. *Lab Chip* 8(4):622– 624, 2008.
- ¹⁷Chen, X., D. C. Chang, and C. Liu. On-line cell lysis and DNA extraction on a microfluidic biochip fabricated by microelectromechanical system technology. *Electrophore*sis 29:1844–1851, 2008.
- ¹⁸Cheng, C. M., A. W. Martinez, J. Gong, C. R. Mace, S. T. Phillips, E. Carrilho, K. A. Mirica, and G. M. Whitesides. Paper-based ELISA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 49(28):4771–4774, 2010.
- ¹⁹Cheung, Y. K., B. M. Gillette, M. Zhong, S. Ramcharan, and S. K. Sia. Direct patterning of composite biocompatible microstructures using microfluidics. *Lab Chip* 7(5):574–579, 2007.
- ²⁰Chin, C. D. Biotechnology for global health: solutions for the developing world. *Consilience - J. Sustain. Develop.* (1):1–12, 2008.
- ²¹Chin, C. D., V. Linder, and S. K. Sia. Lab-on-a-chip devices for global health: past studies and future opportunities. *Lab Chip* 7(1):41–57, 2007.
- ²²Choi, J. H., R. Ganesan, D. K. Kim, C. H. Jung, I. T. Hwang, Y. C. Nho, J. M. Yun, and J. B. Kim. Patterned immobilization of biomolecules by using ion irradiation-induced graft polymerization. *J. Polym. Sci. A: Polym. Chem.* 47(22):6124–6134, 2009.
- ²³Chován, T., and A. Guttman. Microfabricated devices in biotechnology and biochemical processing. *Trends Biotechnol.* 20(3):116–122, 2002.
- ²⁴Coltro, W. K. T., D. P. de Jesus, J. A. F. da Silva, C. L. do Lago, and E. Carrilho. Toner and paper-based fabrication techniques for microfluidic applications. *Electrophoresis* 31(15):2487–2498, 2010.
- ²⁵de Boer, M. J., R. W. Tjerkstra, J. W. Berenschot, H. V. Jansen, G. J. Burger, J. G. E. Gardeniers, M. Elwenspoek, and A. van den Berg. Micromachining of

buried micro channels in silicon. J. Microelectromech. Syst. 9(1):94-103, 2000.

- ²⁶Dittrich, P. S., and A. Manz. Lab-on-a-chip: microfluidics in drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov.* 5(3):210–218, 2006.
- ²⁷Engvall, E., and P. Perlmann. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) quantitative assay of immunoglobulin G. *Immunochemistry* 8(9):871–874, 1971.
- ²⁸Erickson, K. A., and P. Wilding. Evaluation of a novel point-of-care system, the i-STAT portable clinical analyzer. *Clin. Chem.* 39:283–287, 1993.
- ²⁹Focke, M., D. Kosse, C. Muller, H. Reinecke, R. Zengerle, and F. von Stetten. Lab-on-a-foil: microfluidics on thin and flexible films. *Lab Chip* 10(11):1365–1386, 2010.
- ³⁰Fu, C. C., A. Grimes, M. Long, C. G. L. Ferri, B. D. Rich, S. Ghosh, L. P. Lee, A. Gopinathan, and M. Khine. Tunable nanowrinkles on shape memory polymer sheets. *Adv. Mater.* 21(44):4472–4476, 2009.
- ³¹Fu, C. C., G. Ossato, M. Long, M. Digman, L. P. Lee, E. Gratton, and M. Khine. Bimetallic nanopetals for thousand-fold fluorescence enhancements. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 97(20):203101-1–203101-3, 2010.
- ³²Gao, H. W., J. C. Yang, J. Y. Lin, A. D. Stuparu, M. H. Lee, M. Mrksich, and T. W. Odom. Using the angle-dependent resonances of molded plasmonic crystals to improve the sensitivities of biosensors. *Nano Lett.* 10(7): 2549–2554, 2010.
- ³³Geissler, M., E. Roy, G. A. Diaz-Quijada, J.-C. Galas, and T. Veres. Microfluidic patterning of miniaturized DNA arrays on plastic substrates. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 1(7):1387–1395, 2009.
- ³⁴Geissler, M., and Y. Xia. Patterning: principles and some new developments. *Adv. Mater.* 16(15):1249–1269, 2004.
- ³⁵Gratton, S. E. A., S. S. Williams, M. E. Napier, P. D. Pohlhaus, Z. Zhou, K. B. Wiles, B. W. Maynor, C. Shen, T. Olafsen, E. T. Samulski, *et al.* The pursuit of a scalable nanofabrication platform for use in material and life science applications. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 41(12):1685–1695, 2008.
- ³⁶Grimes, A., D. N. Breslauer, M. Long, J. Pegan, L. P. Lee, and M. Khine. Shrinky-Dink microfluidics: rapid generation of deep and rounded patterns. *Lab Chip* 8(1):170–172, 2008.
- ³⁷Handali, S., M. Klarman, A. N. Gaspard, X. F. Dong, R. LaBorde, J. Noh, Y.-M. Lee, S. Rodriguez, A. E. Gonzalez, H. H. Garcia, *et al.* Development and evaluation of a magnetic immunochromatographic test to detect Taenia solium, which causes taeniasis and neurocysticercosis in humans. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 17(4):631–637, 2010.
- ³⁸Hansen, C. L., S. Classen, J. M. Berger, and S. R. Quake. A microfluidic device for kinetic optimization of protein crystallization and in situ structure determination. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128(10):3142–3143, 2006.
- ³⁹Heckele, M., W. Bacher, and K. D. Müller. Hot embossing - The molding technique for plastic microstructures. *Microsyst. Technol.* 4(3):122–124, 1998.
- ⁴⁰Heckele, M., and W. K. Schomburg. Review on micro molding of thermoplastic polymers. J. Micromech. Microeng. 14(3):R1–R14, 2004.
- ⁴¹Hegner, M., P. Wagner, and G. Semenza. Ultralarge atomically flat template-stripped Au surfaces for scanning probe microscopy. *Surf. Sci.* 291(1–2):39–46, 1993.
- ⁴²Henzie, J., M. H. Lee, and T. W. Odom. Multiscale patterning of plasmonic metamaterials. *Nat. Nano.* 2(9):549– 554, 2007.

- ⁴³Hidber, P. C., P. F. Nealey, W. Helbig, and G. M. Whitesides. New strategy for controlling the size and shape of metallic features formed by electroless deposition of copper: microcontact printing of catalysts on oriented polymers, followed by thermal shrinkage. *Langmuir* 12(21):5209–5215, 1996.
- ⁴⁴Hierlemann, A., O. Brand, C. Hagleitner, and H. Baltes. Microfabrication techniques for chemical/biosensors. *Proc. IEEE* 91(6):839–863, 2003.
- ⁴⁵Huo, F., Z. Zheng, G. Zheng, L. R. Giam, H. Zhang, and C. A. Mirkin. Polymer pen lithography. *Science* 321(5896):1658–1660, 2008.
- ⁴⁶Khademhosseini, A., R. Langer, J. Borenstein, and J. P. Vacanti. Microscale technologies for tissue engineering and biology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103(8): 2480–2487, 2006.
- ⁴⁷Khine, M., A. Lau, C. Ionescu-Zanetti, J. Seo, and L. P. Lee. A single cell electroporation chip. *Lab Chip* 5(1):38–43, 2005.
- ⁴⁸Koerner, T., L. Brown, R. Xie, and R. D. Oleschuk. Epoxy resins as stamps for hot embossing of microstructures and microfluidic channels. *Sens. Actuators B: Chemical* 107(2):632–639, 2005.
- ⁴⁹Landry, M. L. Developments in immunologic assays for respiratory viruses. *Clin. Lab. Med.* 29(4):635–647, 2009.
- ⁵⁰Lee, D.-S., S. H. Park, H. Yang, K.-H. Chung, T. H. Yoon, S.-J. Kim, K. Kim, and Y. T. Kim. Bulkmicromachined submicroliter-volume PCR chip with very rapid thermal response and low power consumption. *Lab Chip* 4(4):401–407, 2004.
- ⁵¹Lee, D.-S., M.-H. Wu, U. Ramesh, C.-W. Lin, T.-M. Lee, and P.-H. Chen. A novel real-time PCR machine with a miniature spectrometer for fluorescence sensing in a micro liter volume glass capillary. *Sens. Actuators B: Chemical* 100(3):401–410, 2004.
- ⁵²Lee, M. H., M. D. Huntington, W. Zhou, J.-C. Yang, and T. W. Odom. Programmable soft lithography: solventassisted nanoscale embossing. *Nano Lett.*, 2010.
- ⁵³Lee, S., S.-S. Bae, G. Medeiros-Ribeiro, J. J. Blackstock, S. Kim, D. R. Stewart, and R. Ragan. Scanning tunneling microscopy of template-stripped Au surfaces and highly ordered self-assembled monolayers. *Langmuir* 24(12): 5984–5987, 2008.
- ⁵⁴Li, X., J. Tian, and W. Shen. Thread as a versatile material for low-cost microfluidic diagnostics. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2(1):1–6, 2010.
- ⁵⁵Liu, G. L., Y. Yin, S. Kunchakarra, B. Mukherjee, D. Gerion, S. D. Jett, D. G. Bear, J. W. Gray, A. P. Alivisatos, L. P. Lee, L. P., *et al.* A nanoplasmonic molecular ruler for measuring nuclease activity and DNA footprinting. *Nat. Nano* 1(1):47–52, 2006.
- ⁵⁶Liu, Y., D. Yang, T. Yu, and X. Jiang. Incorporation of electrospun nanofibrous PVDF membranes into a microfluidic chip assembled by PDMS and scotch tape for immunoassays. *Electrophoresis* 30(18):3269–3275, 2009.
- ⁵⁷Lu, H., M. A. Schmidt, and K. F. Jensen. A microfluidic electroporation device for cell lysis. *Lab Chip* 5(1):23–29, 2005.
- ⁵⁸Lu, Y., W. Shi, L. Jiang, J. Qin, and B. Lin. Rapid prototyping of paper-based microfluidics with wax for low-cost, portable bioassay. *Electrophoresis* 30(9):1497–1500, 2009.
- ⁵⁹Lu, Y., W. Shi, J. Qin, and B. Lin. Fabrication and characterization of paper-based microfluidics prepared in nitrocellulose membrane by wax printing. *Anal. Chem.* 82(1):329–335, 2009.

- ⁶⁰Luo, Y., F. Yu, and R. N. Zare. Microfluidic device for immunoassays based on surface plasmon resonance imaging. *Lab Chip* 8(5):694–700, 2008.
- ⁶¹Lyashchenko, K. P., M. Singh, R. Colangeli, and M. L. Gennaro. A multi-antigen print immunoassay for the development of serological diagnosis of infectious diseases. J. Immunol. Methods 242(1-2):91-100, 2000.
- ⁶²Martinez, A. W., S. T. Phillips, M. J. Butte, and G. M. Whitesides. Patterned paper as a platform for inexpensive, low-volume, portable bioassays. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 46(8):1318–1320, 2007.
- ⁶³Martinez, A. W., S. T. Phillips, Z. Nie, C.-M. Cheng, E. Carrilho, B. J. Wiley, and G. M. Whitesides. Programmable diagnostic devices made from paper and tape. *Lab Chip* 10(19):2499–2504, 2010.
- ⁶⁴Martinez, A. W., S. T. Phillips, and G. M. Whitesides. Three-dimensional microfluidic devices fabricated in layered paper and tape. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105(50):19606–19611, 2008.
- ⁶⁵McDonald, J. C., D. C. Duffy, J. R. Anderson, D. T. Chiu, H. Wu, O. J. Schueller, and G. M. Whitesides. Fabrication of microfluidic systems in poly(dimethylsiloxane). *Electrophoresis* 21(1):27–40, 2000.
- ⁶⁶McKenzie, K. G., L. K. Lafleur, B. R. Lutz, and P. Yager. Rapid protein depletion from complex samples using a bead-based microfluidic device for the point of care. *Lab Chip* 9(24):3543–3548, 2009.
- ⁶⁷Monaghan, P. B., K. M. McCarney, A. Ricketts, R. E. Littleford, F. Docherty, W. E. Smith, D. Graham, and J. M. Cooper. Bead-based DNA diagnostic assay for chlamydia using nanoparticle-mediated surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering detection within a lab-on-a-chip format. *Anal. Chem.* 79(7):2844–2849, 2007.
- ⁶⁸Mukhopadhyay, R. When PDMS isn't the best. *Anal. Chem.* 79(9):3248–3253, 2007.
- ⁶⁹Nash, M. A., J. M. Hoffman, D. Y. Stevens, A. S. Hoffman, P. S. Stayton, and P. Yager. Laboratory-scale protein striping system for patterning biomolecules onto paper-based immunochromatographic test strips. *Lab Chip* 10(17):2279–2282, 2010.
- ⁷⁰Nath, P., D. Fung, Y. A. Kunde, A. Zeytun, B. Branch, and G. Goddard. Rapid prototyping of robust and versatile microfluidic components using adhesive transfer tapes. *Lab Chip* 10(17):2286–2291, 2010.
- ⁷¹Nguyen, D., D. Taylor, K. Qian, N. Norouzi, J. Rasmussen, S. Botzet, M. Lehmann, K. Halverson, and M. Khine. Better shrinkage than Shrinky-Dinks. *Lab Chip* 10(12):1623–1626, 2010.
- ⁷²Nie, Z., and E. Kumacheva. Patterning surfaces with functional polymers. *Nat. Mater.* 7(4):277–290, 2008.
- ⁷³Novak, L., P. Neuzil, J. Pipper, Y. Zhang, and S. Lee. An integrated fluorescence detection system for lab-on-a-chip applications. *Lab Chip* 7(1):27–29, 2007.
- ⁷⁴Park, J. U., J. H. Lee, U. Paik, Y. Lu, and J. A. Rogers. Nanoscale patterns of oligonucleotides formed by electrohydrodynamic jet printing with applications in biosensing and nanomaterials assembly. *Nano Lett.* 8(12): 4210–4216, 2008.
- ⁷⁵Pham, D. T., and R. S. Gault. A comparison of rapid prototyping technologies. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 38(10–11):1257–1287, 1998.
- ⁷⁶Piner, R. D., J. Zhu, F. Xu, S. Hong, and C. A. Mirkin. "Dip-Pen" nanolithography. *Science* 283(5402):661–663, 1999.

- ⁷⁷Reches, M., K. A. Mirica, R. Dasgupta, M. D. Dickey, M. J. Butte, and G. M. Whitesides. Thread as a matrix for biomedical assays. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2(6): 1722–1728, 2010.
- ⁷⁸Rötting, O., W. Röpke, H. Becker, and C. Gärtner. Polymer microfabrication technologies. *Microsyst. Technol.* 8(1):32–36, 2002.
- ⁷⁹Sia, S. K., V. Linder, B. A. Parviz, A. Siegel, and G. M. Whitesides. An integrated approach to a portable and low-cost immunoassay for resource-poor settings. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 43(4):498–502, 2004.
- ⁸⁰Sollier, K., C. A. Mandon, K. A. Heyries, L. J. Blum, and C. A. Marquette. "Print-n-Shrink" technology for the rapid production of microfluidic chips and protein microarrays. *Lab Chip* 9(24):3489–3494, 2009.
- ⁸¹Stewart, M. E., N. H. Mack, V. Malyarchuk, J. A. N. T. Soares, T.-W. Lee, S. K. Gray, R. G. Nuzzo, and J. A. Rogers. Quantitative multispectral biosensing and 1D imaging using quasi-3D plasmonic crystals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103(46):17143–17148, 2006.
- ⁸²Stewart, T. N., B. E. Pierson, R. Aggarwal, and R. J. Narayan. Piezoelectric inkjet printing of a cross-hatch immunoassay on a disposable nylon membrane. *Biotechnol. J.* 4(2):206–209, 2009.
- ⁸³Sudarsan, A. P., J. Wang, and V. M. Ugaz. Thermoplastic elastomer gels: an advanced substrate for microfluidic chemical analysis systems. *Anal. Chem.* 77(16):5167–5173, 2005.
- ⁸⁴Taylor, D., D. Dyer, V. Lew, and M. Khine. Shrink film patterning by craft cutter: complete plastic chips with high resolution/high-aspect ratio channel. *Lab Chip* 10(18): 2472–2475, 2010.
- ⁸⁵Voldman, J., M. L. Gray, and M. A. Schmidt. Microfabrication in biology and medicine. *Ann. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 1(1):401–425, 1999.
- ⁸⁶Wang, W., S. Zhao, and T. Pan. Lab-on-a-print: from a single polymer film to three-dimensional integrated microfluidics. *Lab Chip* 9:1133–1137, 2009.
- ⁸⁷Wiley, B. J., D. Qin, and Y. Xia. Nanofabrication at high throughput and low cost. ACS Nano 4(7):3554–3559, 2010.
- ⁸⁸Wood, D. K., D. M. Weingeist, S. N. Bhatia, and B. P. Engelward. Single cell trapping and DNA damage

analysis using microwell arrays. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107(22):10008–10013, 2010.

- ⁸⁹Worgull, M., and M. Heckele. New aspects of simulation in hot embossing. *Microsyst. Technol.* 10(5):432–437, 2004.
- ⁹⁰Wu, S., S. R. Park, and X. S. Ling. Lithography-free formation of nanopores in plastic membranes using laser heating. *Nano Lett.* 6(11):2571–2576, 2006.
- ⁹¹Xiang, Q., B. Xu, and D. Li. Miniature real time PCR on chip with multi-channel fiber optical fluorescence detection module. *Biomed. Microdevices* 9(4):443–449, 2007.
- ⁹²Yager, P., G. J. Domingo, and J. Gerdes. Point-of-care diagnostics for global health. Ann. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 10:107–144, 2008.
- ⁹³Yager, P., T. Edwards, E. Fu, K. Helton, K. Nelson, M. R. Tam, and B. H. Weigl. Microfluidic diagnostic technologies for global public health. *Nature* 442(7101): 412–418, 2006.
- ⁹⁴Yang, J. C., H. W. Gao, J. Y. Suh, W. Zhou, M. H. Lee, and T. W. Odom. Enhanced optical transmission mediated by localized plasmons in anisotropic, threedimensional nanohole arrays. *Nano Lett.* 10(8):3173–3178, 2010.
- ⁹⁵Yao, J., A. P. Le, S. K. Gray, J. S. Moore, J. A. Rogers, and R. G. Nuzzo. Functional nanostructured plasmonic materials. *Adv. Mater.* 22(10):1102–1110, 2010.
- ⁹⁶Yuen, P. K., and V. N. Goral. Low-cost rapid prototyping of flexible microfluidic devices using a desktop digital craft cutter. *Lab Chip* 10(3):384–387, 2010.
- ⁹⁷Zare, R. N., and S. Kim. Microfluidic platforms for single-cell analysis. Ann. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 12:187–201, 2010.
- gle-cell analysis. Ann. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 12:187–201, 2010.
 ⁹⁸Zhao, S., H. Cong, and T. Pan. Direct projection on dryfilm photoresist (DP2): do-it-yourself three-dimensional polymer microfluidics. Lab Chip 9(8):1128–1132, 2009.
- polymer microfluidics. *Lab Chip* 9(8):1128–1132, 2009.
 ⁹⁹Zhao, X. M., Y. Xia, D. Qin, and G. M. Whitesides. Fabrication of polymeric microstructures with high aspect ratios using shrinkable polystyrene films. *Adv. Mater.* 9(3):251–254, 1997.
- ¹⁰⁰Zheng, Z., W. L. Daniel, L. R. Giam, F. Huo, A. J. Senesi, G. Zheng, and C. A. Mirkin. Multiplexed protein arrays enabled by polymer pen lithography: addressing the inking challenge. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 48(41):7626–7629, 2009.