

UNDERSTANDING FOREIGN POLICY DECISION MAKING

Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making presents a decision making approach to foreign policy analysis. The benefits of such an approach are its ability to explain not only outcomes of decisions but also the processes that lead to decisions and the decision dynamics. The book includes a wealth of extended real-world case studies and examples of decisions made by leaders of the United States, Israel, New Zealand, Cuba, Iceland, United Kingdom, and others. In addition to coverage of the rational actor model of decision making, levels of analysis, and types of decisions, the book covers alternatives to the rational choice model, the marketing and framing of decisions, cognitive biases and errors, and domestic, cultural, and international influences on decision making in international affairs. If we are to understand decision making, we need to understand how information processing and various biases affect decision making. Existing textbooks do not present such an explicit approach to foreign policy decision making, American foreign policy, and comparative foreign policy.

Alex Mintz is Dean of the Lauder School of Government, Diplomacy and Strategy at IDC-Herzliya, Israel. He is editor-in-chief of the journal *Political Psychology*, former co-editor of *Foreign Policy Analysis*, and former associate editor of the *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. Mintz is the 2005 recipient of the Distinguished Scholar Award for distinguished contribution to the field from the Foreign Policy Analysis section of the International Studies Association (ISA). He has published, edited, or co-edited nine books and is the author of multiple articles in top journals, such as *American Political Science Review* and *American Journal of Political Science*.

Karl DeRouen Jr. is Professor of Political Science, Director of the International Studies Program, and a College of Arts and Science Leadership Board Faculty Fellow (2008–2011) at the University of Alabama. His work has appeared in the *Journal of Politics, International Organization, Journal of Peace Research, Journal of Conflict Resolution, British Journal of Political Science*, and a number of other journals. He is currently working on Civil War related projects funded by the Folke Bernadotte Academy of Sweden and the Marsden Fund of New Zealand (with Jacob Bercovitch and several others) and a National Science Foundation funded project on negotiated settlements (with Douglas Gibler). He is also co-authoring the textbook *Puzzles in International Relations* (with Alex Mintz and Glen Biglaiser, Cambridge University Press, forthcoming).



Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making

Alex Mintz

IDC-Herzliya, Israel

Karl DeRouen Jr. *University of Alabama*





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521700092

© Alex Mintz and Karl DeRouen Jr. 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Mintz, Alex, 1953–

Understanding foreign policy decision making / Alex Mintz, Karl DeRouen.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

 ${\tt ISBN\ 978-o-521-87645-2\ (hardback)-ISBN\ 978-o-521-70009-2\ (pbk.)}$

1. International relations – Decision making. 2. International relations – Psychological

aspects. I. DeRouen, Karl R., 1962- II. Title.

JZ1253.M56 2009

327.101′9 – dc22 2009014315

ISBN 978-0-521-87645-2 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-70009-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Dedicated to Yael and Haidee



Contents

Acknowledgments		page xiii
	PART ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1	Why Study Foreign Policy from a Decision-Making	
	Perspective?	3
	Foreign Policy Decision Making	3
	Why Study Foreign Policy Decision Making?	5
	The Rational and Cognitive Schools	7
	Comparative Foreign Policy	9
	Limitations of the Decision-Making Approach	10
	Plan of the Book	10
	PART TWO: THE DECISION ENVIRONMENT	
2	Types of Decisions and Levels of Analysis in Foreign Policy	
	Decision Making	15
	Types of Decisions	15
	Unilateral, Negotiated, Structured, and Unstructured	
	Decisions	16
	Holistic, Heuristic and Wholistic Decisions	17
	Trade-offs in Decision Making	17
	The Level of Analysis in Foreign Policy Decision Making	18
	Individual-Level Decisions	18
	Group-level Decisions	19
	Coalition Decision Making	20
	Case Study: Israel's Foreign Policy Making by Coalition	21
	Another Example of Coalition Decision Making: Iceland's Cod	
	War, 1971–1974	23
	The Decision Environment	25
	Time Constraints	25
	Information Constraints	26



viii Contents

	Ambiguity	27
	Familiarity	27
	Dynamic Setting	27
	Interactive Setting	28
	Risk	28
	Stress	28
	Accountability	30
	The Role of Advisory Groups	31
	Information Search Patterns	32
	Holistic versus Nonholistic Search	33
	Order-Sensitive versus Order-Insensitive Search	33
	Alternative-Based versus Dimension-Based Search	33
	Maximizing versus Satisficing Search Patterns	34
	Compensatory versus Noncompensatory Rule	34
	Noncompensatory Decision Rules	35
	Conjunctive Decision Rule (CON)	35
	Disjunctive Decision Rule (DIS)	36
	Elimination-by-aspect (EBA) Decision Rule	36
	Lexicographic (LEX) Decision Rule	36
	Conclusion	37
3	Biases in Decision Making	38
	Case Study: The U.S. Decision to Invade Iraq In 2003 – the	
	Effect of Cognitive Biases on Foreign Policy Making	41
	Groupthink	44
	Groupthink in American Foreign Policy	45
	Beyond Groupthink	47
	Groupthink and Multiple Advocacy	48
	Polythink	49
	Case Study: Polythink at Camp David, 2000	50
	Did Polythink Lead to the Collapse of the Camp David Talks?	52
	Group Polarization Effect	53
	Conclusion	54
	PART THREE: MODELS OF DECISION MAKING	
1	The Rational Actor Model	57
4	The Rational Actor Model	
		57
	Case Study: New Zealand's Defiance of the United States and ANZUS	
		59
	The Expected Utility Model of War Decision Making	60
	Opportunity Costs	61
	Game-Theoretic Models Prisoner's Dilemma	62
	rusoner's Dheimha	64



		Contents	ix
	Chicken		65
	Tit-for-Tat		66
	Conclusion		67
5	Alternatives to the Rational Actor Model \hdots		68
	Bounded Rationality and the Cybernetic Model		68
	Bureaucratic Politics		70
	Organizational Politics		73
	Prospect Theory		75
	Sunk Costs		77
	Integrating the Rational and Cognitive Models: Poliheuristi Theory	С	70
	What is Poliheuristic Decision Making?		78 79
	Case Study: The Decision not to Invade Iraq in 1991 – An		/9
	Application of Various Decision-Making Models to a		
	Foreign Policy Event		81
	Background		81
	A Rational Actor Interpretation		82
	A Cybernetic Explanation		83
	A Prospect Theory Explanation		84
	A Poliheuristic Explanation		85
	An Organizational Politics Explanation		86
	A Bureaucratic Politics Model		87
	Applied Decision Analysis		87
	A Simple Example: The Cuban Missile Crisis and the		0.0
	Decision Matrix		88
	ADA: A Closer Look		89
	Application to Decisions of Leaders of Terrorist		0.0
	Organizations: Bin Laden and al-Qaeda Conclusion		92
	Conclusion		93
	PART FOUR: DETERMINANTS OF FOREIGN POLICE	CY	
	DECISION MAKING		
6	Psychological Factors Affecting Foreign Policy Decisions		97
	Psychological Factors		97
	Cognitive Consistency		98
	Evoked Set		99
	Emotions		99
	Images		101
	Beliefs, Belief Systems, and Schema		101
	Operational Code Analysis		102
	Analogies and Learning		103



x Contents

	The "Munich Analogy" and Use of Analogies in U.S. Foreign	
	Policy	104
	Case Study: Analogies in U.SCuban Relations, 1954–1967	106
	The Analogies Provided by Guatemala, 1954	106
	The Bay of Pigs The Cuben Fees and Africa 1965	108
	The Cuban <i>Foco</i> and Africa, 1965	109
	Bolivia, 1966–1967	111
	Leaders' Personality	114
	Leadership Style Types of Leaders: Crusader, Strategic, Pragmatic, and	115
	Opportunistic	116
	Cognitive Mapping	116
	Conclusion	119
	Conclusion	120
7	International, Domestic, and Cultural Factors Influencing	
	Foreign Policy Decision Making	121
	International Factors	121
	Deterrence and Arms Races	121
	Strategic Surprise	125
	Alliances	126
	Regime Type of the Adversary	127
	Domestic Factors	129
	Diversionary Tactics	129
	Economic Interests and Foreign Policy Decisions	130
	The Role of Public Opinion	131
	Electoral Cycles	132
	The Effect of Domestic and International Factors on Foreign	
	Policy Decisions: Two-Level Games	133
	Case Study: The Domestic and International Underpinnings	
	of Decision Making – the Falklands War, 1982	134
	Diversionary Behavior	134
	Deterrence and Misperception	135
	Electoral Impact	136
	Decisions on the Use of Economic Instruments of Foreign	
	Policy	136
	The Decision to Use Sanctions as an Instrument of Foreign	
	Policy	137
	The Decision to Use Aid in Foreign Policy	138
	Negotiation and Mediation Decisions	139
	Decisions on Foreign Policy Substitutability	141
	Gender Differences in Decision Making	143
	Cultural Differences in Decision Making	144
	Conclusion	145



Index

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-70009-2 - Understanding Foreign Policy Decision Making Alex Mintz and Karl DeRouen Frontmatter More information

Contents xi

	PART FIVE: MARKETING FOREIGN POLICY	
8	Framing, Marketing, and Media Effects on Foreign Policy Decision Making	149
	Marketing Effects	
	e e	149
	Framing Effects The Frame as a Political Lens	150
		151
	Who is Framing Whom? Framing the Public	152
	Framing beyond the Borders	155
	Advisory Group Framing and Manipulating	156
	Summary	159
	Media Effects	160
	Case Study: The Marketing of the U.S. Invasion of Grenada, 1983	162
	Background	162
	The Key Decision Makers	163
	The Marketing of the Decision	163
	The Decision Process	164
	Could the Process Actually Have Been a Compensatory One?	166
	Conclusion	166
	PART SIX: CONCLUSION	
9	Conclusion	169
	What Does it All Mean?: A Case Study of the U.S. Decision	
	to Invade Iraq in 2003	171
	Conclusion	175
App	pendix: Foreign Policy Simulation and Exercise	177
Refe	erences	179
,		

199



Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Yaakov Agam Chair in Public Diplomacy at the IDC-Herzliya, Israel and the College of Arts and Sciences Leadership Board Faculty Fellowship at the University of Alabama. We would also like to thank Alex Fiedler and Jenna Lea for research assistance. Ed Parsons, Acquisitions Editor at Cambridge University Press, was wonderful to work with, and we appreciated his professionalism and encouragement.