Unexpected Anthropophily in the Potential Secondary Malaria Vectors *Anopheles coustani* s.l. and *Anopheles squamosus* in Macha, Zambia

Christen M. Fornadel, Laura C. Norris, Veronica Franco, and Douglas E. Norris

Abstract

Anopheles coustani s.l. and Anopheles squamosus are sub-Saharan mosquito species that have been implicated in malaria transmission. Although generally believed to be of negligible importance due to their overwhelmingly zoophilic behavior, *An. coustani* s.l. and *An. squamosus* made up a large proportion of the anophelines collected by human landing catches during the 2007–2008 and 2008–2009 rainy seasons in Macha, Zambia. Further, polymerase chain reaction-based blood meal identification showed that the majority of blood meals from these mosquito species caught in human-baited Centers for Disease Control light traps were from human hosts. Although no *An. coustani* s.l. or *An. squamosus* were found to be positive for *Plasmodium*, the demonstrated anthropophilic tendencies of these mosquitoes in southern Zambia suggest their potential as secondary malaria vectors.

Key Words: Anopheles—Foraging behavior—Plasmodium—Zambia.

Introduction

NOPHELES ARABIENSIS Patton and Anopheles funestus Giles are the primary vectors of *Plasmodium falciparum* in the Southern Province of Zambia (Kent et al. 2007), but potential secondary vectors in the region are abundant and include the Anopheles coustani Laveran complex (Vincke 1946, Gillies 1964, Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006), Anopheles squamosus Theobald (Gillies 1964, Gillies and DeMeillon 1968), Anopheles rivulorum Leeson (Magesa et al. 1991, Wilkes et al. 1996), Anopheles rufipes Gough (Holstein 1950, 1951, Hamon and Mouchet 1961), Anopheles pretoriensis Theobald (Swellengrebel et al. 1931), and Anopheles pharoensis Theobald (Barber and Rice 1937, Holstein 1951, Draper and Smith 1957, Gillies 1964, Mukiama and Mwangi 1989, Carrara et al. 1990, Robert et al. 1992, Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006, Dia et al. 2008, Kerah-Hinzoumbe et al. 2009). Secondary vectors have been recognized for their importance locally in malaria transmission, as they may help to augment or extend the malaria transmission period (Mukiama and Mwangi 1989, Wanji et al. 2003). Further, it has been suggested that since many secondary vectors are exophilic and exophagic, they could potentially sustain malaria transmission after the main endophilic and endophagic vectors have been reduced by indoor control measures such as indoor residual spraying or insecticide-treated bed net (ITN) use (Gillies 1964, Wilkes et al. 1996, Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006).

Many of the potential secondary vectors mentioned above are generally thought to be of negligible importance due to their demonstrated zoophilic behavior (Gillies and DeMeillon 1968). In particular, *An. squamosus* has been shown not to bite humans in the presence of alternate hosts (Symes 1931, Bruce-Chwatt et al. 1960, Hamon et al. 1964, Jupp et al. 1980), and the human blood indices (HBIs) determined for *An. coustani* s.l. have been low (Adugna and Petros 1996), with the majority of blood meals identified having been of bovine origin (Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006, Muriu et al. 2008).

In contrast to these findings, *An. coustani* s.l. and *An. squamosus* in Macha have demonstrated unexpectedly high anthropophily. *An. coustani* complex mosquitoes have been found harboring sporozoites in Katanga in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (Vincke 1946) and in Tanzania (Gillies 1964). Additionally, *An. coustani* s.l. has been found positive for *Plasmodium malariae* in Cameroon by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the circumsporozoite protein (CSP) (Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006). Dissections of *An. squamosus* have found sporozoite-positive specimens in Tanzania (Gillies 1964) and Zimbabwe (Gillies and DeMeillon

The W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, The Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland.

1968). Because of this apparent capacity to transmit *Plasmodium*, the high degree of anthropophily of *An. coustani* s.l. and *An. squamosus* described here increases their importance as potential secondary vectors.

Materials and Methods

Mosquito collection and handling

Mosquitoes were collected for this study from three village areas, Chidakwa, Lupata, and Namwalinda, in the Southern Province of Zambia. Each village area is situated within 10 km of the Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute's field station in Macha, Zambia (16.39292S, 26.79061E) at an elevation of ~1100 m. Collections were performed December through May during the 2007–2008 and 2008–2009 rainy seasons.

Mosquito foraging behavior, that is, the combination of host-seeking and blood feeding activity, was examined by paired indoor/outdoor human landing catch (HLC) and cattle-baited trap (CBT) collections, as well as corresponding Centers for Disease Control (CDC) light trap collections. Indoor/outdoor HLC and CBT collections were performed simultaneously on given nights at three different households, and corresponding CDC trap collections were performed on the following nights in the same sleeping houses as the HLCs. All collections were conducted for a total of 212 trap nights over the two seasons. Teams of two trained field personnel performed HLC collections from 20:00 to 06:00 during the 2007-2008 season and from 19:00 to 07:00 during the 2008-2009 season. Hourly collections were kept in separately labeled paper cups. CBT and CDC trap collections were performed for the same lengths of time as the HLCs. CBTs, kraals each big enough to encircle one calf, were constructed at each of seven collection households. The kraals were made of felled trees and branches in the style of the larger cattle kraals frequently constructed in the region. Once a calf was led into a kraal, a double-bed-sized untreated bed net was hung above the kraal so that the net rested on the outside of the enclosure, ending about 30 cm above the ground. The top of the net was loosely tied into a funnel. In the morning the upper portion of the net containing most of the resting mosquitoes was cinched closed, the calf was removed, and any remaining mosquitoes were collected by manual aspiration (Fornadel et al. in press). CDC traps were suspended next to occupied beds protected by a bed net. If the trapping room had more than one bed, the other occupants were instructed to use bed nets as well; if they had none, additional nets were provided for the night. In addition to the above catches, extra CDC light trap collections were conducted at roughly 10 households in each village area multiple times per month. Blood-fed mosquitoes from these traps were used to determine species-specific HBIs.

All mosquitoes were killed by freezing and were identified morphologically (Gillies and Coetzee 1987) at the field station. They were sorted and counted according to species and abdominal status, as well as date and location of collection. Specimens were then placed in tubes containing silica gel desiccant (Fisher Scientific) and cotton for stable storage until they were processed for molecular analysis.

DNA isolation and polymerase chain reaction

Heads/thoraces and abdomens were separated and independently rehydrated at room temperature in $20 \,\mu\text{L}$ of

double-distilled water for 10 min before homogenization. DNA was extracted from mosquito heads/thoraces and abdomens by a modified salt procedure as described previously (Kent and Norris 2005). DNA pellets were resuspended in $50 \,\mu\text{L}$ of double-distilled water. For Anopheles gambiae complex mosquitoes, species was determined using the Scott et al. (1993) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) diagnostic, whereas species in the An. funestus and Anopheles longipalpis species groups were differentiated using ITS2-based diagnostics (Koekemoer et al. 2002) with An. longipalpis type C producing two ITS2 amplification products (Kent et al. 2006). The host source of blood-fed mosquitoes was determined by PCR diagnostic on abdominal DNA extractions (Kent and Norris 2005, Fornadel and Norris 2008). Plasmodium infection status was ascertained by PCR on head/thorax DNA using a novel set of primers designed to amplify a 183 bp fragment of the *P*. *falciparum* cytochrome b gene.

Primers were manually selected for the new P. falciparum diagnostic (PFcytblongF: 5'-ATACATGCACGCAACAGG TGCTTCTC-3'; PFcytblongR: 5'-CAATAACTCATTTGAC CCCATGGTAAGAC-3') and were checked for crossreactivity with cytochrome b sequences of An. gambiae s.s. [NC_002084.1] and An. funestus s.s. [NC_008070.1], in addition to potential host species: Homo sapiens [NC_012920.1], Bos taurus [NC_006853.1], Canis lupus familiaris [NC_002008.4], and Capra hircus [NC_005044.1]. Further, primers were experimentally tested for cross-reactivity against An. arabiensis, Anopheles quadriannulatus species A, An. coustani s.l., An. squamosus, An. longipalpis type C, and An. rivulorum. The PCR began with an initial 95°C denaturation, followed by 60 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 50 s, 72°C for 40 s, and a 5 min 72°C final extension. The 25 μ L reactions contained 1× PCR buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.01% gelatin), 150 μ M of each dNTP, 50 pmol of each primer, and 2 units of *Taq* polymerase.

The diagnostic was validated on DNA extracted as above from Zambian field samples of An. funestus, as well as from experimentally infected colonized An. gambiae s.s. (Keele strain) maintained at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. Infection status of colonized mosquitoes was ascertained by salivary gland dissection. The PFcytblong PCR was run side by side with a commonly used nested *Plasmodium* PCR assay (Snounou et al. 1993). About $2 \mu L$ of extracted head/thorax DNA was used as template for both reactions. The detection threshold of the primers was tested using cultured P. falciparum blood-stage parasites serially diluted with phosphate-buffered saline $(10^4, 10^3, 10^2, 10, and 1)$ parasites/ μ L). Parasite DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen Sciences). Both the Snounou et al. (1993) and PFcytblong PCRs used 1 μ L of culture DNA as template (equivalent to 10⁴, 10³, 10², 10, and 1 parasite per PCR). The PFcytblong product was easily detectable down to 1 parasite per reaction. The Snounou et al. (1993) assay was less consistent, variously detecting template out to 1 or 10 parasites.

Data analysis

As in previous studies, overdispersed mosquito count collections were modeled with a negative binomial regression analysis (Hii et al. 2000, Mathenge et al. 2004, 2005) using STATA 10 (STATACORP). The sampling efficiency of a CBT

POTENTIAL SECONDARY MALARIA VECTORS

relative to an outdoor HLC pair was evaluated (Fornadel et al. in press). Briefly, mosquito counts were assumed to follow a Poisson distribution with an overdispersion parameter. Catches were modeled as a function of sampling method, collection season, and collection month. The log of the expected counts (E) was modeled as the function $\log(E)$ $[Y_{iik}] = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{X} + \varepsilon_{iik}$, where Y_{iik} is the nightly mosquito count for household *i* in month *j* and year k, and **X** represents the covariates of sampling method, collection season, and collection month. α is the log mean mosquito count when all covariates are zero. B is the coefficient for a given X and can be interpreted as the change in the log mean mosquito count for each unit change in the covariate X, after controlling for the values of the other covariates. E is the error term associated with the model. Standard error was adjusted for correlated observations within collection households.

Results

Anopheline foraging behavior in Macha was assessed by paired indoor/outdoor HLC, CBT, and CDC light trap collections. Overall, 2998 major vectors or potential malaria vectors were captured by the paired trapping methods: 904 *An. arabiensis*, 872 *An. squamosus*, 791 *An. coustani* s.l., 358 *An. rufipes*, 43 *An. pretoriensis*, and 30 *An. pharoensis*. Other, primarily zoophilic anopheline mosquitoes caught in significant numbers were 238 *An. quadriannulatus* species A and 102 *An. longipalpis* type C. When partitioned by collection method (Fig. 1; Table 1), all anophelines in Macha displayed the same pattern of seasonality (within the collection periods December–May), with abundances peaking in February. However, because the trapping methods were variously baited, they preferentially captured different species. CDC light

 TABLE 1. OVERALL NUMBERS OF ANOPHELINES COLLECTED

 BY PAIRED CATCHES PARTITIONED BY METHOD

	Trapping method					
Species	CBT	CDC	HLC-inside	HLC-outside		
Anopheles arabiensis	147	327	145	285		
Anopheles coustani s.l.	406	73	102	210		
Anopheles squamosus	670	48	61	93		
Anopheles rufipes	309	19	12	18		
Anopheles pharoensis	24	4	1	1		
Anopheles pretoriensis	38	2	0	3		
Anopheles longipalpis	50	37	10	5		
Anopheles quadriannulatus	213	10	4	11		
Other anophelines	21	12	5	3		
Total	1878	532	340	629		

traps mainly collected *An. arabiensis*, whereas CBTs were most likely to catch *An. squamosus* and *An. coustani* s.l. Interestingly, while the majority of the anophelines captured by indoor and outdoor HLCs were the primary vector, *An. arabiensis* (44%), *An. coustani* s.l. (32%), and *An. squamosus* (16%) also made up a significant portion of these collections.

Therefore, the degree of anthropophily of these potential secondary vectors was investigated further. The relative sampling efficiency of paired outdoor HLCs and CBT collections was assessed using a negative binomial regression analysis. Outside, both species displayed zoophilic behavior. CBTs captured on average 8.8 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.8–16.0) times as many *An. squamosus* and 2.2 (95% CI: 1.2–4.2) times as many *An. coustani* s.l. as a HLC pair during a single-trap night. However, both species were less zoophilic



FIG. 1. Seasonality (December–May) of anopheline mosquitoes captured during the 2007–2008 and 2008–2009 rainy seasons in Macha, Zambia. The five most numerous anopheline species captured by each method are shown. HLC, human landing catch.

Species	Host source						
	Human	Cow	Dog	Goat	Pig		
An. coustani s.l. An. squamosus An. longipalpis An. auadriannulatus	51 (86%) 22 (65%) 6 (12%) 0	7 (12%) 6 (17%) 33 (66%) 1 (50%)	0 5 (15%) 2 (4%) 1 (50%)	1 (2%) 1 (3%) 5 (10%) 0	0 0 4 (8%) 0		

Table 2. Host Source of Anophelines Captured by Centers for Disease Control Light Trap in Chidakwa, Lupata, and Namwalinda During the 2007–2008 and 2008–2009 Rainy Seasons

than the other anophelines captured in significant numbers by CBTs. *An. rufipes* and *An. quadriannulatus* were both on average 18 (95% CIs: 9–37 and 9–40, respectively) times as likely to be captured in CBTs compared with outdoor HLCs. Additionally, it was observed that *An. squamosus* and *An. coustani* s.l. readily fed on humans. About 8% of *An. squamosus* and 20% of *An. coustani* s.l. collected by CDC light trap were engorged. The HBI for *An. squamosus* was 0.65 and the HBI for *An. coustani* s.l. was 0.86 (Table 2). These high percentages were in contrast to the HBIs determined for other primarily zoophilic mosquitoes captured in CDC traps. The HBIs for *An. longipalpis* and *An. quadriannulatus* were 0.12 and 0, respectively. The majority of blood meals from these species were from cattle (Table 2). No blood-fed *An. rufipes, An. pretoriensis*, or *An. pharoensis* were collected in light traps.

Because *An. squamosus* and *An. coustani* s.l. had high HBIs, their foraging levels throughout the evening were examined (Fig. 2), since early activity would expose people to bites be-

fore they went to sleep under bed nets. Inside, *An. squamosus* biting behavior fluctuated throughout the night without peaking significantly, whereas outdoors, an early peak in activity was seen between 20:00 and 22:00, with another peak around midnight followed by a steady decline in activity. *An. coustani* s.l. was most active from 20:00 to 21:00 outdoors with its biting activity steadily declining throughout the night. An early foraging peak, although more moderate, was also seen indoors from 20:00 to 22:00.

Over the 2 years of this study 806 *An. squamosus* and 303 *An. coustani* s.l. were screened for *P. falciparum*. None were found to be positive by PCR, but none of the *An. arabiensis* tested from the same collections were found to be positive either.

Discussion

There are seven main malaria vectors recognized on the African continent: An. gambiae s.s., An. funestus, An. arabiensis,



FIG. 2. Total Anopheles coustani s.l. and Anopheles squamosus captured each hour by indoor and outdoor HLC collections.

POTENTIAL SECONDARY MALARIA VECTORS

Anopheles nili, Anopheles moucheti, Anopheles melas, and Anopheles merus (Gillies and DeMeillon 1968). A number of secondary vectors have also been recognized, including *An.* squamosus and *An. coustani* s.l. These species have been considered of negligible importance because of their strong zoophilic tendencies. However, here we report that *An. coustani* s.l. and *An. squamosus* displayed unexpectedly high anthropophily in southern Zambia, giving them a greater potential to impact malaria transmission.

Both An. coustani s.l. and An. squamosus made up a significant proportion of the anophelines captured by HLC. An. *coustani* s.l. made up 32% of the HLC collection in this study. This differs markedly from HLC collections made in Cameroon (Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006), Kenya (Mbogo et al. 1995), and Senegal (Dia et al. 2008), where the species made up <1% of the anophelines captured. However, the high degree of anthropophily in the An. coustani s.l. population in Macha is similar to the results of HLCs performed in Ethiopia, where 31.5% of the anophelines collected were *An*. coustani s.l. (Taye et al. 2006). Additionally, it has been reported that An. coustani s.l. readily bites man in South Africa (Coetzee 1983) and was found to make up 14% of the HLC collections in Mozambique (Mendis et al. 2000). An. squamosus made up 16% of our HLC collections. This is in contrast to findings from a number of countries. In South Africa, only one An. squamosus was captured on human bait (Jupp et al. 1980), whereas collection frequencies reported from Haute-Volta (Hamon et al. 1964), now Burkino Faso, ranged from 2.8% to 8.3% depending on the season. In addition, An. squamosus in Kenya has been shown to make up <1% of human-baited collections (Mbogo et al. 1995). Taken together, these surveys demonstrate that the foraging behavior of An. squmosus and An. coustani s.l. is regionally variable, with local anthropophilic behaviors potentially increasing the mosquitoes' roles as a secondary malaria vectors in certain areas.

To further address the anthropophily of An. squamosus and An. coustani s.l. the HBIs of these species were evaluated. The majority of blood meals from engorged specimens collected by CDC light trap in this study were from human hosts, demonstrating a high degree of anthropophily by these mosquitoes in Macha. There have been no other reports of HBIs this high for An. coustani s.l. or An. squamosus. In Cameroon (Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006) the only engorged An. coustani s.l. that were collected had fed on cattle, while low HBIs of 0.26 and 0.054 were observed in Ethiopia (Adugna and Petros 1996) and Kenya (Muriu et al. 2008), respectively. Reported HBIs for An. squamosus have also been low: 0.058 for specimens tested from Ghana, Nigeria, Zimbabwe, Zanzibar, and Burkina Faso (Bruce-Chwatt et al. 1960) and 0.036 from collections in Kenya (Symes 1931). In addition to a true regional variance in host preference, differences in HBIs between these studies might reflect the availability of alternate hosts.

An. squamosus and *An. coustani* s.l. in Macha both displayed exophagic tendencies, along with early evening foraging behavior. Similarly, *An. coustani* s.l. in Nigeria (Hanney 1960), Mozambique (Mendis et al. 2000), and Ethiopia (Taye et al. 2006) displayed peak biting outdoors before 21:00, and *An. squamosus* has shown early peaks in foraging activity followed by moderate biting throughout the remainder of the night (Van Someren et al. 1958, Hamon 1963). The combination of outdoor and early evening foraging behavior for these species

could increase their potential as secondary vectors in areas where indoor control measures such as indoor residual spraying or ITNs are employed.

Interestingly, during the 2 years of this study only two *An*. pharoensis were captured by HLC, whereas 24 were caught in CBTs. Therefore, while An. pharoensis is a recognized secondary vector in Kenya (Mukiama and Mwangi 1989), Egypt (Barber and Rice 1937), Tanzania (Draper and Smith 1957, Gillies 1964), Cameroon (Robert et al. 1992, Antonio-Nkondjio et al. 2006), Senegal (Carrara et al. 1990, Dia et al. 2008), Chad (Kerah-Hinzoumbe et al. 2009), and Mali (Holstein 1951), in southern Zambia this species most likely does not contribute to malaria transmission. The vastly different behavior of An. pharoensis in Macha might be associated with the existence of an An. pharoensis species complex, as suggested by Miles et al. (1983). Likewise, An. coustani s.s. has been shown to have a cryptic sibling species, An. crypticus, based on polytene chromosomes and cross-mating studies (Coetzee 1983, Coetzee 1994). Observed variation in anthropophilic behavior among geographically distinct populations of An. coustani s.l. could be due to the possibility of unidentified sibling species of the An. coustani complex. Likewise, An. squamosus may have a cryptic sibling species. It might also be that the observed differences in anthropophily rates of An. coustani s.l. and An. squamosus are due to higher population densities of these species, leading to a portion of the population feeding on human hosts. More work on these neglected anopheline species will be needed to explain the variation seen in their foraging behaviors between different countries across Africa.

A number of *Plasmodium* diagnostics have been developed to determine infection rates in mosquitoes. CSP ELISA (Burkot et al. 1984, Beier et al. 1987) is one of the most widely adopted techniques, but CSP ELISA may not pick up low level infections (Beier et al. 1988) as might be expected in potential secondary vectors. PCR-based assays that are highly sensitive do exist, but most have their own drawbacks, needing salivary gland dissection before PCR (Tassanakajon et al. 1993) or requiring multiple reactions (nested PCR) (Snounou et al. 1993) that are more prone to contamination. Therefore, we developed a single-step assay that would enable us to detect very low infection rates.

Although no Plasmodium-positive An. squamosus or An. *coustani* s.l. were found in Macha during the course of this study, neither were any positive An. arabiensis captured in the same collections, even though HBIs of An arabiensis were >90% during each year of this study (Fornadel et al. in press). P. falciparum was previously hyperendemic in Macha, but recently there has been a dramatic reduction in pediatric malaria admissions to the Macha Mission Hospital (Thuma 2007), most likely due to a combination of the adoption of artemesinin combination therapy as the standard treatment for uncomplicated malaria in Zambia, as well as concerted vector control efforts, including largescale ITN distribution (Chanda et al. 2008). As malaria in the region moves toward hypoendemnicity, disease incidence has become very focal. Therefore, specimens of An. squamosus and An. coustani s.l. will need to be collected over larger areas where active transmission is ongoing so that the role of these species as potentially secondary vectors can be further evaluated.

Acknowledgments

We thank Vandana Singhal for her help with the infectious An. gambiae s.s. feeds and Davison Sangweme for his help with mosquito dissections. We would like to especially thank Dr. Marie Diener-West for her statistical insights, as well as Shadrack Habbanti for his time and effort spent coordinating field team operations in Zambia and Musapa Mulenga for managing our collections in Macha. We also thank our field mosquito collectors: Corrence Munsanje, Nathan Phiri, Clement Mwaanga, Fines Mwaanga, Twaambo Moono, Gift Shamapani, Miyanda Moono, Guide Hansumo, Mathias Muleka, Chaltone Munsanje, Pathias Chibambo, Malony Mulota, Haggard Musyutila, Cliff Singanga, Paul Haakaloba, and Ojukwu Himumwe. Research funding was provided to D.E.N. from the Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute, NIH training grant (T32AI007417) support to L.C.N., a Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Sommer Scholarship award to C.M.F., and additional training support from Fogarty (5D43TW001587).

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Adugna, N, Petros, B. Determination of the human blood index of some anopheline mosquitos by using ELISA. Ethiop Med J 1996; 34:1–10.
- Antonio-Nkondjio, C, Kerah, CH, Simard, F, Awono-Ambene, P, et al. Complexity of the malaria vectorial system in Cameroon: contribution of secondary vectors to malaria transmission. J Med Entomol 2006; 43:1215–1221.
- Barber, MA, Rice, JB. A survey of malaria in Egypt. Am J Trop Med 1937; 17:413–436.
- Beier, JC, Perkins, PV, Wirtz, RA, Whitmire, RE, et al. Field evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELI-SA) for *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoite detection in anopheline mosquitoes from Kenya. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1987; 36:459–468.
- Beier, MS, Schwartz, IK, Beier, JC, Perkins, PV, et al. Identification of malaria species by ELISA in sporozoite and oocyst infected *Anopheles* from western Kenya. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1988; 39:323–327.
- Bruce-Chwatt, L, Gockel, C, Weitz, B. A study of the blood-feeding patterns of *Anopheles* mosquitoes through precipitin tests. Bull World Health Organ 1960; 22:685–720.
- Burkot, TR, Williams, JL, Schneider, I. Identification of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected mosquitoes by a double antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1984; 33:783–788.
- Carrara, GC, Petrarca, V, Niang, M, Coluzzi, M. *Anopheles pharoensis* and transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* in the Senegal River delta, West Africa. Med Vet Entomol 1990; 4:421–424.
- Chanda, E, Masaninga, F, Coleman, M, Sikaala, C, et al. Integrated vector management: the Zambian experience. Malar J 2008; 7:164.
- Coetzee, M. Anopheles crypticus, new species from South Africa is distinguished from Anopheles coustani (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosq Syst 1994; 26:125–131.
- Coetzee, M. Chromosomal and cross-mating evidence for two species within *Anopheles* (A.) coustani (Diptera: Culicidae). Syst Entomol 1983; 8:137–141.

- Dia, I, Konate, L, Samb, B, Sarr, JB, et al. Bionomics of malaria vectors and relationship with malaria transmission and epidemiology in three physiographic zones in the Senegal River Basin. Acta Trop 2008; 105:145–153.
- Draper, CC, Smith, A. Malaria in the pare area of N.E. Tanganyika: part I: epidemiology. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1957; 51:137–151.
- Fornadel, CM, Norris, DE. Increased endophily by the malaria vector *Anopheles arabiensis* in southern Zambia and identification of digested blood meals. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2008; 79:876–880.
- Fornadel, CM, Norris, LC, Norris DE. Analysis of *Anopheles arabiensis* blood feeding behavior in southern Zambia during the two years following the introduction of insecticide treated bed nets. Am J Trop Med Hyg (*in press*).
- Gillies, MT. The role of secondary vectors of malaria in North-East Tanganyika. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1964; 58: 154–158.
- Gillies, MT, Coetzee, M. A Supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara. Johannesburg: South African Institute for Medical Research, 1987.
- Gillies, MT, DeMeillon, B. *The Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara (Ethiopian Zoogeographical Region),* Second edition. Johannesburg: South African Institute for Medical Research, 1968.
- Hamon, J. Les moustiques anthropophiles de la région de Bobo Dioulasso (République de Haute- Volta): cycles d'aggressivité et variations saisonnières. Ann Soc ent France 1963; 132:85–144.
- Hamon, J, Mouchet, J. Les vecteurs secondaires du paludisme humain en Afrique. Méd Trop 1961; 21:643–660.
- Hamon, J, Sales, S, Coz, J, Quedraogo, C, et al. Observations sur les preferences alimentaires des moustiques de la Republique de Haute-Volta. Bull Soc Pathol Exot 1964; 57:1133–1150.
- Hanney, PW. The mosquitos of Zaria Province, Northern Nigeria. Bull Entomol Res 1960; 51:145–171.
- Hii, JL, Smith, T, Mai, A, Ibam, E, et al. Comparison between anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) caught using different methods in a malaria endemic area of Papua New Guinea. Bull Entomol Res 2000; 90:211–219.
- Holstein, M. Note sur l'épidémiologie du paludisme en Afrique-Occidentale Française. Bull World Health Organ 1951; 4: 463–473.
- Holstein, M. Un nouveau vecteur du paludisme en A.O.F. Anopheles rufipes Gough 1910). Bull Soc Pathol Exot 1950; 43:140–143.
- Jupp, PG, McIntosh, BM, Nevill, EM. A survey of the mosquito and *Culicoides* faunas at two localities in the Karoo region of South Africa with some observations of bionomics. Onderstepoort J Vet Res 1980; 47:1–6.
- Kent, RJ, Coetzee, M, Mharakurwa, S, Norris, DE. Feeding and indoor resting behaviour of the mosquito *Anopheles longipalpis* in an area of hyperendemic malaria transmission in southern Zambia. Med Vet Entomol 2006; 20:459–463.
- Kent, RJ, Norris, DE. Identification of mammalian blood meals in mosquitoes by a multiplexed polymerase chain reaction targeting cytochrome B. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005; 73: 336–342.
- Kent, RJ, Thuma, PE, Mharakurwa, S, Norris, DE. Seasonality, blood feeding behavior, and transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* by *Anopheles arabiensis* after an extended drought in southern Zambia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2007; 76:267–274.
- Kerah-Hinzoumbe, C, Peka, M, Antonio-Nkondjio, C, Donan-Gouni, I, et al. Malaria vectors and transmission dynamics in

POTENTIAL SECONDARY MALARIA VECTORS

Goulmoun, a rural city in south-western Chad. BMC Infect Dis 2009; 9:71.

- Koekemoer, LL, Kamau, L, Hunt, RH, Coetzee, M. A cocktail polymerase chain reaction assay to identify members of the *Anopheles funestus* (Diptera: Culicidae) group. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2002; 66:804–811.
- Magesa, SM, Wilkes, TJ, Mnzava, AE, Njunwa, KJ, et al. Trial of pyrethroid impregnated bednets in an area of Tanzania holoendemic for malaria. Part 2. Effects on the malaria vector population. Acta Trop 1991; 49:97–108.
- Mathenge, EM, Misiani, GO, Oulo, DO, Irungu, LW, et al. Comparative performance of the Mbita trap, CDC light trap and the human landing catch in the sampling of *Anopheles arabiensis*, *An. funestus* and culicine species in a rice irrigation in western Kenya. Malar J 2005; 4:7.
- Mathenge, EM, Omweri, GO, Irungu, LW, Ndegwa, PN, et al. Comparative field evaluation of the Mbita trap, the Centers for Disease Control light trap, and the human landing catch for sampling of malaria vectors in western Kenya. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004; 70:33–37.
- Mbogo, CNM, Snow, RW, Khamala, CPM, Kabiru, EW, et al. Relationships between *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission by vector populations and the incidence of severe disease at nine sites on the Kenyan coast. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1995; 52: 201–206.
- Mendis, C, Jacobsen, JL, Gamage-Mendis, A, Bule, E, et al. Anopheles arabiensis and An. funestus are equally important vectors of malaria in Matola coastal suburb of Maputo, southern Mozambique. Med Vet Entomol 2000; 14:171–180.
- Miles, SJ, Green, CA, Hunt, RH. Genetic observations on the taxon Anopheles (Cellia) pharoensis Theobald (Diptera: Culicidae). J Trop Med Hyg 1983; 86:153–157.
- Mukiama, TK, Mwangi, RW. Seasonal population changes and malaria transmission potential of *Anopheles pharoensis* and the minor anophelines in Mwea Irrigation Scheme, Kenya. Acta Trop 1989; 46:181–189.
- Muriu, SM, Muturi, EJ, Shililu, JI, Mbogo, CM, et al. Host choice and multiple blood feeding behaviour of malaria vectors and other anophelines in Mwea rice scheme, Kenya. Malar J 2008; 7:43.
- Robert, V, Van den Broek, A, Stevens, P, Slootweg, R, et al. Mosquitoes and malaria transmission in irrigated rice-fields in the Benoue valley of northern Cameroon. Acta Trop 1992; 52:201–204.
- Scott, JA, Brogdon, WG, Collins, FH. Identification of single specimens of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex by the polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1993; 49:520–529.

- Snounou, G, Viriyakosol, S, Zhu, XP, Jarra, W, et al. High sensitivity of detection of human malaria parasites by the use of nested polymerase chain reaction. Mol Biochem Parasitol 1993; 61:315–320.
- Swellengrebel, NH, Annecke, S, De Meillon, B. Malaria Investigations in Some Parts of the Transvaal and Zululand. Issue 27 of Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research. Johannesburg: South African Institute for Medical Research, 1931.
- Symes, C. Descriptions of fourth stage larvae of certain Anophelines in East Africa, with brief notes on breeding, distribution and economic importance in Kenya. Rec Med Res Lab 1931; 2:1–78.
- Tassanakajon, A, Boonsaeng, V, Wilairat, P, Panyim, S. Polymerase chain reaction detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* in mosquitoes. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1993; 87:273–275.
- Taye, A, Hadis, M, Adugna, N, Tilahun, D, et al. Biting behavior and *Plasmodium* infection rates of *Anopheles arabiensis* from Sille, Ethiopia. Acta Trop 2006; 97:50–54.
- Thuma, P. Changes in inpatient pediatric malaria case load at Macha Hospital after the introduction of artemether/ lumefantrine in a rural Zambian community. Symposium 54, 56th ASTMH Annual Meeting, Philadelphia, November 2007.
- Van Someren, ECC, Heisch, RB, Furlong, M. Observations on the behaviour of some mosquitos of the Kenya coast. Bull Entomol Res 1958; 49:643–660.
- Vincke, IH. Note sur la biologie des anophèles d'Elisabethville et environs. Ann Soc Belge Méd Trop 1946; 26:385–481.
- Wanji, S, Tanke, T, Atanga, SN, Ajonina, C, et al. *Anopheles* species of the mount Cameroon region: biting habits, feeding behaviour and entomological inoculation rates. Trop Med Int Health 2003; 8:643–649.
- Wilkes, TJ, Matola, YG, Charlwood, JD. Anopheles rivulorum, a vector of human malaria in Africa. Med Vet Entomol 1996; 10:108–110.

Address correspondence to: Christen M. Fornadel The W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology The Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health 615 N. Wolfe St. Baltimore, MD 21205–2179

E-mail: cfornade@jhsph.edu