

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1111/ELE.12897

Unexpected spatial stability of water chemistry in headwater stream networks. — Source link [2]

Benjamin W. Abbott, Benjamin W. Abbott, Benjamin W. Abbott, Gérard Gruau ...+10 more authors Institutions: University of Rennes, Brigham Young University, Michigan State University, Agrocampus Ouest Published on: 01 Feb 2018 - Ecology Letters (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd) Topics: Spatial variability, Catchment hydrology and Spatial ecology

Related papers:

- Elemental properties, hydrology, and biology interact to shape concentration-discharge curves for carbon, nutrients, sediment, and major ions
- · Concentration-discharge relationships reflect chemostatic characteristics of US catchments
- The river as a chemostat: fresh perspectives on dissolved organic matter flowing down the river continuum
- Generality of Hydrologic Transport Limitation of Watershed Organic Carbon Flux Across Ecoregions of the United States
- Network analysis reveals multiscale controls on streamwater chemistry





Unexpected spatial stability of water chemistry in headwater stream networks

Benjamin Abbott, Gérard Gruau, Jay P. Zarnetske, Florentina Moatar, Lou Barbe, Zahra Thomas, Ophélie Fovet, Tamara Kolbe, Sen Gu, Anne-Catherine Pierson-Wickmann, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Benjamin Abbott, Gérard Gruau, Jay P. Zarnetske, Florentina Moatar, Lou Barbe, et al.. Unexpected spatial stability of water chemistry in headwater stream networks. Ecology Letters, Wiley, 2018, 21 (2), pp.296-308. 10.1111/ele.12897 . insu-01674523

HAL Id: insu-01674523 https://hal-insu.archives-ouvertes.fr/insu-01674523

Submitted on 12 Apr 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Unexpected spatial stability of water chemistry in headwater stream networks

_	

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

Authors: Benjamin W. Abbott^{1,2,3}, Gérard Gruau⁴, Jay P. Zarnetske², Florentina Moatar⁵, Lou Barbe³, Zahra 3 4 Thomas⁶, Ophélie Fovet⁶, Tamara Kolbe⁴, Sen Gu⁴, Anne-Catherine Pierson-Wickmann⁴, Philippe Davy⁴, Gilles Pinay^{3,7} Affiliations: ¹Brigham Young University, Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Provo, USA; ²Michigan 7 State University, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, East Lansing, USA; ³ECOBIO, OSUR, CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, 35045 Rennes, France;; ⁴OSUR, CNRS, UMR 6118, Géosciences Rennes, Université de Rennes 1, 35045 Rennes, France; ⁵University François-Rabelais Tours, EA 6293 Géo-Hydrosystèmes Continentaux, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France; ⁶UMR SAS, AGROCAMPUS OUEST, INRA, 35000 Rennes, France; ⁷MALY, RIVERLY irstea, Lyon-Villeurbanne, France 12 Author emails: benabbott@byu.edu, gerard.gruau@univ-rennes1.fr, jpz@msu.edu, florentina.moatar@univtours.fr, lou.barbe@univ-rennes1.fr, zthomas@agrocampus-ouest.fr, ophelie.fovet@inra.fr, tamara.kolbe@univ-14 rennes1.fr, sen-gu2013@hotmail.com, anne-catherine.pierson-wickmann@univ-rennes1.fr, philippe.davy@univrennes1.fr, gilles.pinay@univ-rennes1.fr 16 Statement of authorship: The conceptual framework for this paper emerged from discussions among all 17 authors. Statistical analysis and writing were led by Abbott with support from Pinay, Gruau, Zarnetske, and 18 Moatar, and input from all authors. Gruau, Abbott, Gu, and Kolbe led field work and sample processing.

Running title: Structure of water quality in stream networks

19 Data accessibility statement: All data are attached as supplementary information to this article.

20 Key words: stream network, synoptic sampling, representative elementary area, critical source area,

21 hydrologically sensitive area, subcatchment leverage, subcatchment synchrony, dissolved organic carbon,

22 nutrients, network analysis

23 Number of words in abstract: 143

24 Number of words in main text: 4992

25 Number of cited references: 98

26 Number of tables & figures: 6

28 Abstract

29 Understanding how water and solutes enter and propagate through freshwater landscapes in the Anthropocene is critical to protecting and restoring aquatic ecosystems and ensuring 30 31 human water security. However, hydrochemical variability is believed to increase moving 32 upstream, hindering modeling and management of headwaters where most carbon and 33 nutrients enter stream networks. We developed an analytical framework informed by 34 landscape ecology and catchment hydrology to quantify spatiotemporal variability across scales, which we tested in 56 headwater catchments, sampled periodically over 12 years in 35 western France. Unexpectedly, temporal variability of dissolved carbon, nutrients, and major 36 37 ions was preserved moving downstream and spatial patterns of water chemistry were stable on annual to decadal timescales, partly due to synchronous variation of solute concentrations. 38 39 These findings suggest that while concentration and flux cannot be extrapolated among 40 subcatchments, periodic sampling of headwaters provides valuable information about solute sources and subcatchment resilience to disturbance. 41

43 Introduction

44 How spatial and temporal variability change with scale is one of the fundamental 45 problems of both ecology (Fisher et al. 1982; Horne & Schneider 1995; Altermatt 2013) and 46 catchment hydrology (Blöschl et al. 1995; Shaman et al. 2004; McGuire et al. 2014). Because 47 most ecological patterns change with spatiotemporal extent and grain of observation (Turner 48 et al. 1989; Chapin et al. 1995; Kirchner & Neal 2013), an understanding of variance 49 structure is necessary to scale predictions or implement effective interventions in dynamic landscapes (Havgarth et al. 2005; Lowe et al. 2006; Temnerud et al. 2010). For any 50 51 ecosystem, variability in a biogeochemical stock or flux depends on on-site conditions and 52 processes (e.g. temperature, redox state, biological activity, weathering) and lateral subsidies 53 or debits from connected ecosystems (Chapin et al. 2006). Lateral fluxes are particularly 54 influential in aquatic ecosystems such as stream networks, where delivery of carbon and 55 nutrients from upstream and upslope environments is often orders of magnitude greater than 56 production or removal at any given point in the stream (Lefebvre et al. 2007; Brookshire et al. 57 2009). Agriculture and urbanization have fundamentally altered lateral fluxes of carbon and 58 nutrients, causing eutrophication, toxic cyanobacteria blooms, and expansive hypoxic dead 59 zones that erode the capacity of ecosystems to feed and water human societies (Gruber & Galloway 2008; Vörösmarty et al. 2010; Sutton & UNEP 2013; Withers et al. 2014). Over the 60 past 50 years, global fertilizer application has increased 5-fold (Foley et al. 2011), and 61 62 anthropogenic pressures on aquatic ecosystems are expected to intensify due to population 63 growth and increasing meat consumption through the middle of the century (Seitzinger et al. 64 2010).

Despite substantial investment to reduce carbon and nutrient pollution at local,
national, and international levels, results remain mixed (Jarvie *et al.* 2013; Dupas *et al.* 2016;

67 Jenny et al. 2016), partly because of difficulty monitoring and predicting water quality in complex freshwater landscapes (Isaak et al. 2014; Abbott et al. 2016; Meter et al. 2016). 68 69 Most regulatory frameworks, such as the U.S. Clean Water Act, the European Water 70 Framework Directive, and the Chinese Water Law impose limits on annual loads or mean 71 concentrations in medium to large rivers (Andreen 2004; Hering et al. 2010; Liu & Yang 72 2012). This is an appealing strategy because larger rivers integrate many small catchments, 73 and from an estuarine or oceanic perspective, total nutrient load is the main metric of concern 74 (Howarth 2008; Reed & Harrison 2016). However, there is growing evidence that to reduce 75 these downstream nutrient fluxes, we need to understand sources and sinks in headwater 76 catchments, where the vast majority of water and solutes enter aquatic ecosystems (Burt & 77 Pinay 2005; Alexander et al. 2007; Bishop et al. 2008; Brookshire et al. 2009; McDonnell & Beven 2014). 78

79 It is generally held that the amplitude and frequency of chemical variation in stream 80 networks decrease moving downstream (Burt & Pinay 2005; Lefebvre et al. 2007; Creed et al. 2015). For example, in catchments larger than 100 km², riverine nutrient loads are 81 deterministically associated with percentage of agricultural cover and associated nutrient 82 83 inputs (Omernik et al. 1981; Jordan et al. 1997; Howarth 2008), but nutrient loads vary widely despite similar land cover in drainage basins smaller than 20 km² (Burt & Pinav 2005: 84 85 Lefebvre et al. 2007; Brookshire et al. 2009; Schilling et al. 2013). This breakdown of the relationship between land cover and nutrient load represents an important ecological unknown 86 because 90% of global stream length occurs in catchments smaller than 15 km² (Burt & Pinay 87 88 2005; Bishop et al. 2008; Downing 2012). However, quantifying and improving water quality 89 in headwater streams is easier said than done. New sensors of water chemistry produce highfrequency data (Kirchner et al. 2004; Dupas et al. 2016; Ruhala & Zarnetske 2017), but they 90 91 are too expensive to equip headwater catchments, which are thousands of times more

abundant than the larger rivers where most monitoring currently occurs. This headwater
conundrum is particularly problematic for developing nations where the largest increases in
nutrient pollution are occurring (Seitzinger *et al.* 2010), and where water quality problems
most directly impact human health (Gundry *et al.* 2004).

96 Occasional, spatially extensive sampling of headwater streams has long been used to 97 complement high-frequency monitoring of downstream reaches (Kaufmann et al. 1991; 98 Wolock et al. 1997; Temnerud & Bishop 2005). Such synoptic sampling is often interpreted 99 to identify landscape parameters and ecosystem processes correlated with water chemistry, 100 but its utility in predicting longer-term water quality is questionable, given the high temporal variability typical of small aquatic ecosystems (Kirchner & Neal 2013). In this context, we 101 102 developed a new approach for analyzing spatiotemporal variance in stream networks. 103 Specifically, building on theory from landscape ecology (Turner et al. 1989; Dent & Grimm 104 1999; Hammond & Kolasa 2014) and catchment hydrology (Blöschl et al. 1995; Rinaldo et 105 al. 1998; McGuire et al. 2014), we quantified the synchrony of hydrochemical changes, the 106 stability of spatial patterns, and the spatial scales of water chemistry drivers. We tested this 107 framework with a previously unpublished dataset from 56 catchments sampled periodically 108 over 12 years in western France. We found that while spatial variance of water chemistry 109 increased moving upstream, temporal variance was not systematically higher in the 110 headwaters, partially because solute concentrations (e.g. carbon and nutrients) varied 111 synchronously among sites. These dynamics created spatial patterns of water chemistry that 112 were relatively stable on seasonal to decadal timescales, suggesting that the spatiotemporal 113 variability of headwaters may not be as intractable as previously believed. Testing the 114 generality of these patterns in different climatic and socioecological conditions could provide 115 a pathway toward understanding terrestrial-aquatic connectivity and improving water quality 116 throughout the river network.

117 Approach and methods

118 Spatial variance thresholds and subcatchment leverage

119 While pollutant sources have long been categorized dichotomously as point or non-120 point (Carpenter et al. 1998), landscape patches contributing or retaining solutes or 121 particulates occur on a size continuum that can change on event, seasonal, and interannual 122 timescales (Basu et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2016). Assuming that spatial variability in water 123 chemistry in a stream network depends primarily on the extent and connectivity of upstream 124 sources, we hypothesized that the size of source and sink patches could be assessed by the 125 spatial scale of the collapse (i.e. reduction) in spatial variance (Fig. 1). For a given parameter, 126 this spatial variance threshold is expected to occur where subcatchment size matches the size 127 of patches controlling solute production or removal (Fig. 1b), with downstream reaches less 128 likely to have extreme concentrations because they integrate multiple source and sink patches. 129 The spatial variability of concentration depends on the strength and connectivity of both 130 source and sink patches superimposed on the structure of the stream network (Fig. 1). This 131 framework is analogous to the representative elementary area concept (Blöschl et al. 1995; 132 Hoef et al. 2006; Zimmer et al. 2013), though we do not assume that variance thresholds remain the same through time or across solutes. 133

On an applied level, knowing the patch size and location of solute sources and sinks allows identification of subcatchments exerting a disproportionate influence on flux at the catchment outflow (Fig. 1c). Analogous to the concept of leverage in statistical regression, where a value's relative influence on model behavior depends on its position in factor space, the leverage of a subcatchment on outlet chemistry can be defined in terms of concentration difference from the catchment outlet, subcatchment size, and specific discharge:

140 Subcatchment leverage =
$$(C_S - C_O) \times A_S / A_O \times Q_S / Q_O$$
 [1]

141 where C is concentration, A is area, Q is specific discharge, S is subcatchment, and O is 142 outflow. Subcatchment leverage has units of concentration, or percentage if normalized to 143 outlet concentration, and can be interpreted as the contribution of the subcatchment to 144 catchment-level mass flux. Alternatively stated, subcatchment leverage is the spatially 145 distributed mass balance for each element. If specific discharge is similar between 146 subcatchments, as is sometimes the case at the medium-catchment scale (Asano et al. 2009; 147 Lyon et al. 2012; Karlsen et al. 2016), leverage can be estimated with only concentration and 148 subcatchment area, which are easily measured even in remote or impoverished areas. Spatial 149 variance thresholds tend to occur at the same spatial scales as the subcatchments with greatest 150 leverage, where a large proportion of the subcatchment area is within a single source or sink 151 patch (Fig. 1c).

152 Subcatchment synchrony and spatial stability

153 The usefulness of a synoptic assessment of variance thresholds and subcatchment 154 leverage depends directly on the temporal persistence of the observed spatial patterns. 155 Streams experience temporal variability in chemistry due to hydrologic pulses and 156 fluctuations in biogeochemical activity (Rinaldo et al. 1998; Erlandsson et al. 2008; Raymond 157 et al. 2016). As pulses move through stream networks, their downstream attenuation or 158 preservation depends on the synchrony of pulse generation in subcatchments (Fig. 2a). If the 159 chemistry of upstream subcatchments changes asynchronously, destructive interference 160 reduces downstream temporal variance, but if change is synchronous, downstream temporal 161 variance is preserved (Fig. 2b). Synchrony among subcatchments can be quantified by 162 temporal covariance in water chemistry:

163 Subcatchment synchrony =
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n-1}$$
 [2]

where x and y are the concentrations in the two subcatchments and n is number of repeatsamplings.

Even when concentrations vary synchronously among subcatchments, the relative spatial structure in the stream network can change if amplitudes are different (Fig. 2a). Spatial stability of water chemistry patterns can be directly calculated with the rank correlation (r_s) between instantaneous and long-term subcatchment concentrations:

170 Spatial stability =
$$\frac{\text{covariance}(\text{RC}_t, \text{RC}_{\overline{t}})}{\sigma_{\text{RC}_t} \sigma_{\text{RC}_{\overline{t}}}}$$
 [3]

171 where spatial stability is the correlation coefficient between the rank concentrations of 172 subcatchments at the time of synoptic sampling (RC_t) and the rank of the long-term flow-173 weighted concentrations or loads ($RC_{\bar{t}}$), and σ is the standard deviation. Subcatchment 174 synchrony and spatial stability are complementary because synchrony quantifies similarity in 175 response to hydrologic and biological changes, revealing prevalence of source, transport, and 176 processing controls (Moatar *et al.* 2017), and spatial stability quantifies the temporal 177 representativeness of an instantaneous sampling.

178 From an applied perspective, spatial stability in subcatchment water chemistry 179 determines the sampling frequency necessarily to identify high-leverage subcatchments (Figs. 180 2a and 1c) and evaluate predicted critical source areas (White et al. 2009; Heathwaite 2010; 181 Liu et al. 2016), while subcatchment synchrony determines the representativeness of high-182 frequency monitoring stations. In a synchronous catchment where pulses of pollutants are 183 propagated in chorus (Fig. 2b), a single station anywhere in the network may capture the 184 amplitude of water quality fluctuations. However, in an asynchronous catchment, destructive 185 interference among subcatchments means downstream monitoring stations will underestimate extreme conditions in contributing subcatchments (Fig. 2b). Accurate quantification of 186

temporal variability is particularly important for aquatic organisms, because minimum and
maximum concentrations or conditions (e.g. oxygen and temperature) are often more
important to survival than mean values, and where shifts in extremes can indicate imminent
state changes (Davis *et al.* 2010). Ultimately, these two indices are interrelated, because in
more synchronous catchments the spatial stability is more resilient to temporal variability
(Fig. 2c).

193 Site characteristics and sampling design

194 We quantified spatial variance thresholds, subcatchment leverage, subcatchment 195 synchrony, and spatial stability in two sets of nested catchments in north-western France (Fig. S1). The Rance and Couesnon catchments are approximately 360 km^2 and have over 80%196 197 agricultural land use, primarily pastureland for dairy cows, corn, and wheat (Table S1). 198 Nutrient concentrations in the area are very high, frequently triggering estuarine algal blooms 199 (Gascuel-Odoux et al. 2010; Perrot et al. 2014). The climate is oceanic with average monthly 200 temperature ranging from 18°C in July to 5°C in December, and mean annual precipitation 201 averaging 970 mm, a third of which occurs from October to December (Thomas et al. 2016). 202 Starting in November 2004, repeat synoptic sampling occurred in 26 subcatchments of 203 the Couesnon with an initial goal of identifying land use parameters driving differences in 204 DOC and NO_3^- concentrations. Detailed land use was determined for the entirety of each 205 subcatchment and for the area of potential wetlands closest to the stream network (Medde et 206 al. 2014). The subcatchments were sampled 13 times (approximately every two weeks), but 207 when no clear correlations emerged with catchment characteristics (Table S2), sampling was 208 abandoned in 2005. After identifying 30 comparable locations in the Rance catchment, 50 km 209 to the west, sampling resumed in both catchments from May 2006 to January 2007. Sampling 210 spanned over 90% of the observed range of daily discharge for the Couesnon and over 70%

for the Rance. In November 2015 and March 2016, we resampled 21 of the original 56
subcatchments during the low- and high-flow periods, respectively. Because the outlet of the
Rance is intermittently inundated by a small reservoir, we calculated outlet solute
concentrations assuming conservative mixing of the three tributaries immediately upstream of
the confluence with the reservoir. Calculated values agreed well with measured values for
dates when the outlet was not inundated.

217 Samples were filtered in the field with pre-rinsed 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters (Millipore Millex-GV), and analyzed within a week. Quantified analytes had a wide range of 218 219 reactivities and sources, and included many common water quality parameters. Anions (NO_3^{-1} , NO_2^- , SO_4^{2-} , CI^- , F^- , PO_4^{3-} , and Br^-) were quantified by ion chromatography (DionexTM DX 220 221 100; accuracy $\pm 2.5\%$) and dissolved organic and inorganic carbon (DOC and DIC) were 222 quantified with a total carbon analyzer after coming to equilibrium with the atmosphere (Shimadzu TOC 5050; accuracy \pm 5%). Specific ultra-violet absorbance at 254 nm 223 224 (SUVA₂₅₄), an indicator of DOC aromaticity and source (Weishaar et al. 2003), was calculated from absorption (UVIKON XS, Bio-Tek). For concentrations below the detection 225 limit, we assigned values of 1/2 the limit of quantification, which affected less than 5% of all 226 measurements except PO_4^{3-} , NO_2^{-} , and Br⁻, which had between 25 and 72% of sites below 227 detection depending on the catchment and sampling. Despite these detection issues, which are 228 229 common for these parameters, we retained them in the analysis because of their ecohydrologic 230 relevance.

231 Statistical determination of spatial and temporal variance

We determined spatial variance thresholds among subcatchments using the pruned exact linear time (PELT) method (Killick *et al.* 2012), which compares differences among sequential data points (in this case ordered by subcatchment size) to partition the series into

235 clusters with statistically distinct variances (Jackson et al. 2005). PELT is computationally 236 frugal and robust to unevenly spaced points (Jackson et al. 2005; Killick et al. 2012). We 237 determined variance thresholds for each watershed independently using flow-weighted 238 concentrations over the whole sampling period, and after binning the data into four groups 239 separated by hydrologic quartiles to test how water discharge affected spatial variance (Table S2), using the "changepoint" package of R version 3.3.0 (R Core Team 2016). Following 240 241 equations 1-3, we calculated subcatchment leverage, synchrony, and spatial stability with 242 scaled data (subtracted catchment mean and divided by the standard deviation), which did not 243 affect the statistical results, but facilitated comparison between parameters with different 244 magnitudes. For subcatchment synchrony, we averaged the pairwise covariance between all 245 subcatchments for each catchment and parameter. The resulting scaled covariance represents 246 the joint variability across all subcatchments and sampling dates (i.e. the proportion of 247 subcatchments and time steps where concentration changed in the same direction for a given 248 parameter).

249 **Results**

250 Persistent thresholds of spatial variance and stable subcatchment structure

251 While subcatchments had diverse land use and land cover (Table S1), relationships 252 between catchment characteristics and flow-weighted concentrations were typically weak 253 (non-significant or low correlations), and differed by catchment (Table S2). Catchment 254 characteristics in potential wetlands near the stream network were not systematically better at 255 predicting water chemistry than whole-catchment values (Table S2). Spatial variance in 256 concentration decreased with increasing subcatchment size for all parameters, with 257 concentrations trending towards the overall catchment mean, suggesting conservative 258 propagation of headwater signals with limited in-stream modification (Figs. 3A, S2). Spatial

variance thresholds occurred between 18 and 68 km² for most parameters, except for DIC in 259 260 both catchments, and Cl⁻ and F⁻ in the Rance, which had thresholds from 113 to 216 km² (Figs. 3A, S2A). Variance thresholds were stable across flow conditions for 72 of the 80 261 262 parameter by flow quarter combinations (Table S3), suggesting that patch locations and 263 stream network topology determined spatial patterns, rather than changes in hydrology and 264 biogeochemical processing. The relative scale of variance thresholds for different parameters 265 among the two catchments generally followed the same patterns (e.g. larger for DIC, smaller for PO_4^{3-}). Subcatchment leverage followed the expected pattern (Fig. 1c), with highest 266 267 leverage at spatial scales just larger than variance thresholds (Fig. S3). Most parameters 268 showed moderate to low leverage, with no single subcatchment accounting for more than 25% 269 of outflow concentration. However, several subcatchments had extremely high leverage for PO_4^{3-} and NO_2^{-} (>1000%), indicating substantial retention or removal of these solutes before 270 271 reaching the catchment outlet (Fig. S3).

272 Despite large changes in discharge and concentration (Figs. S4-S6), subcatchment water chemistry showed strong spatial stability for most parameters, meaning the relative rank 273 274 of subcatchment concentrations changed little across flow conditions (Figs. 4, S7). Spatial 275 stability of water quality among subcatchments was particularly strong for DOC, DIC, NO₃, 276 and several anions (Fig. 5). The parameters with consistently low or variable spatial stability $(PO_4^{3-}, NO_2^{-}, and Br^{-})$ had a large number of samples at or below the detection limit, 277 278 artificially decreasing estimates of spatial stability. During the first storm after the summer 279 low-flow period in 2005, spatial stability dropped substantially for most parameters, 280 indicating a spatial reorganization of water quality in the Couesnon catchment (Fig. 4). 281 Subcatchment synchrony (mean covariance among subcatchments), varied from less 282 than 0.25 for some anions to 0.81 for DOC in the Couesnon (Fig. 5B), depending on the

283 consistency of concentration-discharge responses among subcatchments (Fig. S4). SUVA₂₅₄ 284 was substantially less synchronous than DOC (Figs. 4-5), suggesting diversity in DOC 285 sources despite synchronous fluctuations in bulk DOC concentration. Among parameters, 286 spatial stability and synchrony were unrelated to temporal variance (Fig. 5), demonstrating 287 that the overall magnitude of temporal variance did not determine spatial or temporal 288 representativeness. Contrary to our hypotheses (Fig. 2), synchrony and spatial stability were 289 unrelated (Fig. 5C), demonstrating that large differences in concentration among 290 subcatchments can create spatial stability independent of synchrony.

291 Clearer temporal signals at smaller scales and decadal stability in structure

292 Temporal variance did not systematically decrease with increasing spatial scale (Figs. 293 3B, S2B). Instead, temporal variance followed the same pattern observed for spatial variance 294 of subcatchments (Fig. 3a), with greater diversity left of the spatial variance threshold and a 295 convergence towards the catchment mean at the outlet. Subcatchments smaller than the spatial 296 variance threshold showed greater range in variances, but they were equally likely to be more 297 or less dynamic than the catchment outlets. Catchment outlets had temporal variances near the 298 overall catchment mean for most parameters (Figs. 3B, S2B), suggesting variance damping (mixing of strong and weak signals) rather than destructive interference of opposing signals. 299 However, NO_3^{-} , SO_4^{-2} , and Br showed somewhat lower temporal variance at the catchment 300 301 outlets, attributable to inconsistent or weak concentration-discharge responses among 302 subcatchments (Fig. S4). Relationships between temporal variance and flow-weighted mean 303 differed by parameter, with most biologically reactive parameters showing greater variance in 304 subcatchments with low concentrations (Fig. S8).

The repeat samplings in 2015 and 2016 were strongly correlated with the flowweighted mean concentration from 2004-2007 for most parameters, suggesting stability of the

307 spatial structure of water chemistry on decadal timescales (Fig. 6). The high-water sampling 308 in the spring of 2016 was more representative of the 2004-2007 means for all parameters 309 except NO_3^- . DOC, PO_4^{3-} , and NO_2^- concentrations were lower across most subcatchments in 310 2015 and 2016, but NO_3^- , Cl⁻, SUVA₂₅₄, and SO₄²⁻ showed no systematic change (Fig. 6).

311 **Discussion**

312 Ecohydrologic explanations for observed patterns in variability

313 While it is widely held that temporal variability is greater in headwater streams due to 314 their size and reactivity (Vannote et al. 1980; Creed et al. 2015), we found that temporal 315 variance did not systematically decrease with catchment size for most parameters, though the 316 range of temporal variances did diminish. Three, non-exclusive phenomena could be 317 contributing to this unexpected preservation of variability: 1. synchronous hydrologic 318 variation among subcatchments, 2. source-patch homogeneity in small subcatchments, and 3. 319 increasing variance from in-stream biogeochemical processes in larger subcatchments. First, 320 water flow determines connectivity between stream and catchment, mediating what landscape 321 components contribute particulate materials and solutes to the stream network at what times. 322 Subsequently, hydrology controls the residence time of those particulates and solutes in 323 different components of the stream network, determining exposure to biogeochemical 324 transformation (Pinay et al. 2015; Abbott et al. 2016; Raymond et al. 2016). Hydrology is 325 also the predominant mode of disturbance in most stream ecosystems (e.g. flood and drought), 326 structuring the ecological community and its capacity to remove or retain carbon and nutrients (Widder et al. 2014; Dong et al. 2017). Consequently, some degree of synchrony is expected 327 328 in a river system where storm events and changes in evapotranspiration are likely to affect 329 multiple subcatchments near the same time. Moving upstream, the synchrony of hydrologic 330 variability among nearby subcatchments is likely to increase (Hammond & Kolasa 2014;

331 Isaak et al. 2014), potentially counteracting the expected downstream decrease in temporal 332 variance. Second, temporal variability could become more distinct upstream of spatial 333 variance thresholds because of larger relative coverage of source or sink patches with distinct 334 ecohydrologic characteristics. Differences in persistence and connectivity of the dominant 335 patch could cause higher or lower temporal variability in small subcatchments. Several 336 ecohydrologic characteristics have been identified that could mediate temporal variance at the 337 spatial scales observed here, including variability in groundwater contribution and specific 338 discharge (Burns et al. 1998; Sivapalan 2003; Lyon et al. 2012) and changes in subsurface 339 contact time (Wolock et al. 1997). Third, in-stream biogeochemical uptake or mineralization 340 of carbon and nutrients could create variability unassociated with source fluctuations, 341 particularly for elements that limit biological activity (Mulholland 2004; McGuire et al. 2014; Dong *et al.* 2017). Diversity and activity of biological processes become more variable in 342 343 smaller subcatchments (Alexander et al. 2007; Altermatt 2013; Widder et al. 2014), though 344 the causality of links between biota and water chemistry is not clear. Regardless of the cause, 345 the divergence of temporal variability regimes in small catchments has implications for 346 developing statistical or mechanistic models of ecohydrologic behavior. Models 347 parameterized with data from a single headwater catchment may be misleading given the 348 diversity of the spatial and temporal dynamics even among adjacent headwater streams. 349 Repeat synoptic sampling of nearby subcatchments should be routine at long-term or high-350 frequency monitoring sites to assure representativeness of time series used in model 351 calibration or scaling.

The spatial patterns of most parameters were stable on decadal timescales, despite large changes in nutrient inputs to these catchments over the 12 years of sampling (Aquilina *et al.* 2012; Kolbe *et al.* 2016; Poisvert *et al.* 2017). One explanation for this unexpected spatial stability could be that subcatchments differ substantially in their resilience to solute

356 loading and disturbance. There are myriad characteristics that can alter retention or removal 357 capacity of a subcatchment (Pinay et al. 2015; Kolbe et al. 2016), most of which are not 358 measured or measureable at catchment scales. Ecological parameters that could influence 359 subcatchment resilience include the distribution of preferential flowpaths in soils and aquifers, 360 which determines residence times in different catchment components; the vertical and 361 horizontal distribution of soil properties; differences in biogeochemical activity in the non-362 saturated zone or groundwater; land-use history; and heterogeneity in near-surface geology. 363 Because agricultural activity is not randomly distributed across the landscape, some of these 364 same inherent characteristics indirectly control land use and associated nutrient loading and 365 disturbance (Odgaard et al. 2013; Zabel et al. 2014; Thomas et al. 2016). For example, in our 366 study area, differences in soil fertility and surface roughness have resulted in the preferential cultivation of subcatchments underlain by schist, which are also more prone to nutrient export 367 368 than granitic catchments with thicker soils (Thomas et al. 2016). The combined effect of 369 differences in resilience to nutrient loading and associated differences in disturbance regime 370 could explain the observed spatial stability of water chemistry, and more generally, the 371 breakdown in the relationship between nutrient inputs and outputs at the small catchment 372 scale (Burt & Pinay 2005; Lefebvre et al. 2007; Brookshire et al. 2009; Schilling et al. 2013).

373 Implications for monitoring and intervention

While our methods cannot and do not attempt to quantify annual loads or highfrequency dynamics (Kirchner & Neal 2013), the high spatial variability observed among small subcatchments coupled with the persistent spatial stability of water quality suggests that occasional synoptic sampling of subcatchments can provide valuable information for catchment characterization and management. Specifically, knowing the spatial structure of water quality and the typical grain size of source and sink patches in the landscape could

improve site selection for monitoring, restoration, and conservation efforts. Interventions applied at spatial scales larger than the variance threshold for the parameter of concern, where subcatchment size is much larger than the grain size of the pollutant drivers and sinks, would be suboptimal at best (treating non-offending areas) and at worst could unnecessarily disturb ecosystems or human activity.

385 For parameters with high spatial stability, synoptic sampling can allow targeted 386 intervention in the subcatchments with highest leverage, potentially yielding catchment-level 387 improvements (Heathwaite 2010; Liu et al. 2016; Roley et al. 2016). Conversely, efforts to 388 quantify loads with high-frequency monitoring would be most effective downstream of 389 variance thresholds, where the channel integrates multiple patches. While these locations will 390 underestimate the amplitude of temporal variability compared to the most dynamic smaller 391 subcatchments (Temnerud *et al.* 2010), this bias is not necessarily greater than randomly 392 selecting a subcatchment upstream of the variance threshold, where temporal variability could 393 be much lower than at the catchment outflow (Figs. 3, S2).

394 In catchments where water quality shows little correlation with observed land use (as 395 is the case here), redistribution of agricultural activity based on subcatchment leverage could 396 improve outflow water chemistry without decreasing agricultural yields. Aligning agricultural 397 activity with subcatchment resilience could improve water quality even in the absence of 398 mechanistic understanding of the proximate causes of that resilience (Musolff et al. 2015; 399 Thomas et al. 2016). While this approach is not socioeconomically feasible in areas where 400 agricultural activity is at capacity (Li et al. 2014), it could optimize land management choices 401 where the extent or intensity of agricultural activity are changing, such as much of the 402 developing world or areas of rural exodus (Thomas et al. 2016). We emphasize that when 403 differences in subcatchment water quality are due to unmeasured differences in land use (e.g.

404 nutrient loading or disturbance) rather than subcatchment resilience to nutrient loading, blind
405 redistribution of agricultural activity could have unforeseen consequences, degrading water
406 quality in previously pristine subcatchments with no net improvement in catchment-level
407 water quality.

408 Testing the generality of subcatchment leverage, synchrony, and spatial stability

409 We do not propose that the specific patterns of spatiotemporal variability observed 410 here are general, and we recognize that our conceptual framework needs to be tested with 411 more complete spatial time series of both chemistry and discharge in diverse environments. 412 Spatial stability and synchrony of water chemistry likely differ by biome (Krause et al. 2014; 413 Jantze et al. 2015), though evidence from relatively pristine catchments in temperate (Asano 414 et al. 2009; Zimmer et al. 2013), boreal (Temnerud & Bishop 2005), and desert (Fisher et al. 415 1982: Dong et al. 2017) regions suggest that the patterns observed here are not unique to 416 agricultural ecosystems. Generally, we predict that ecosystems with less hydrologic 417 variability will show greater chemical stability, while ecosystems with more pronounced 418 seasonal or event-level hydrologic shifts will experience more reorganizations of 419 subcatchment chemistry due to changes in source area, residence time, and flowpath (Godsey 420 & Kirchner 2014). Likewise, because topography systematically influences vegetation, soil 421 conditions, hydrology, and human activity (Duncan et al. 2013; Thomas et al. 2016), we 422 expect topographic heterogeneity to reduce spatial variance thresholds, creating smaller but 423 more distinct source and sink patches, and less stable spatial patterns. We also predict that 424 limiting nutrients will have less spatial stability than non-limiting nutrients (Mulholland 2004; 425 Doyle 2005; Dong et al. 2017), which are more evenly distributed in the landscape and less 426 influenced by in-stream processes (Basu et al. 2010). However, there are plausible 427 mechanisms that could counteract some of these predicted patterns. For example, synchrony

428 may be higher in ecosystems with greater hydrologic variability, and spatial stability could be 429 greater in heterogeneous landscapes where absolute differences in concentration among 430 subcatchments are larger. Indeed, the lack of correlation between spatial stability and 431 synchrony observed here suggests that the magnitude of concentration differences between 432 subcatchments strongly influences the representativeness of synoptic sampling. On a basic 433 level, quantifying variance thresholds, spatial stability, and synchrony in contrasting 434 ecosystems could elucidate links between spatial and temporal variability (Hammond & 435 Kolasa 2014) to generate general understanding of how water, carbon, and nutrients move 436 through freshwater landscapes (Ward et al. 2017) and cost-effectively inform management 437 decisions in developing and developed countries.

438 As a final note, we point out that this variance-partitioning approach in no way 439 supplants the need for detailed, high-frequency investigation of concentration and flux 440 dynamics at multiple scales (Isaak et al. 2014; Blaen et al. 2016; Ruhala & Zarnetske 2017). 441 Such studies identify mechanisms ultimately responsible for the temporal and spatial 442 variability revealed by periodic synoptic sampling. The growing number of tools for 443 interpreting and predicting water chemistry in stream networks (Hirsch et al. 2010; McGuire 444 et al. 2014) together with increasingly accessible historical datasets (Burt et al. 2011; 445 Kirchner & Neal 2013) are laying the foundation for inter-catchment comparisons of 446 spatiotemporal dynamics, potentially moving ecohydrology beyond descriptions of site-447 specific heterogeneity (McDonnell et al. 2007; Krause et al. 2014; Abbott et al. 2016). To this 448 end, the simple analyses presented here could be widely tested with existing high, medium, or 449 low frequency datasets, including those unsuitable for other network-scale analyses (Hoef et 450 al. 2006; Isaak et al. 2014; McGuire et al. 2014). The interpretation of periodic synoptic 451 sampling in a framework of subcatchment leverage, synchrony, and spatial stability could 452 complement high-frequency studies to improve management of socioecological systems and

- 453 address problems that do not respect disciplinary boundaries between ecology, hydrology, and
- 454 sociology.
- 455

456 Acknowledgments

- 457 This project has been funded by the European Union's Seventh Framework Program for
- 458 research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no.
- 459 607150 (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-ITN INTERFACES Ecohydrological interfaces as critical
- 460 hotspots for transformations of ecosystem exchange fluxes and biogeochemical cycling). B.
- 461 Abbott and G. Pinay were also supported by the French EC2CO grant "Caractérisation
- 462 hydrologique et biogéochimique de la dénitrification dans les paysages." We thank P.
- 463 Petitjean for data management.

465 **References**

- Abbott, B.W., Baranov, V., Mendoza-Lera, C., Nikolakopoulou, M., Harjung, A., Kolbe, T., *et al.* (2016). Using multi-tracer inference to move beyond single-catchment ecohydrology.
- 468 Earth-Sci. Rev., 160, 19–42.
- 469 Alexander, R.B., Boyer, E.W., Smith, R.A., Schwarz, G.E. & Moore, R.B. (2007). The Role
- of Headwater Streams in Downstream Water Quality. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 43, 41–
 59.
- Altermatt, F. (2013). Diversity in riverine metacommunities: a network perspective. *Aquat. Ecol.*, 47, 365–377.
- 474 Andreen, W.L. (2004). *Water Quality Today Has the Clean Water Act Been a Success?*475 (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 554803). Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY.
- 476 Aquilina, L., Vergnaud-Ayraud, V., Labasque, T., Bour, O., Molénat, J., Ruiz, L., *et al.*477 (2012). Nitrate dynamics in agricultural catchments deduced from groundwater dating and
 478 long-term nitrate monitoring in surface d gnoundwaters. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 435–436,
- 479 167–178.
- Asano, Y., Uchida, T., Mimasu, Y. & Ohte, N. (2009). Spatial patterns of stream solute
 concentrations in a steep mountainous catchment with a homogeneous landscape. *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W10432.
- Basu, N.B., Destouni, G., Jawitz, J.W., Thompson, S.E., Loukinova, N.V., Darracq, A., *et al.*(2010). Nutrient loads exported from managed catchments reveal emergent biogeochemical
 stationarity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L23404.
- Bishop, K., Buffam, I., Erlandsson, M., Fölster, J., Laudon, H., Seibert, J., *et al.* (2008). Aqua
 Incognita: the unknown headwaters. *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 1239–1242.
- Blaen, P.J., Khamis, K., Lloyd, C.E.M., Bradley, C., Hannah, D. & Krause, S. (2016). Realtime monitoring of nutrients and dissolved organic matter in rivers: Capturing event
 dynamics, technological opportunities and future directions. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 569–570,
 647–660.
- Blöschl, G., Grayson, R.B. & Sivapalan, M. (1995). On the representative elementary area
 (REA) concept and its utility for distributed rainfall-runoff modelling. *Hydrol. Process.*, 9,
 313–330.
- Brookshire, E.N.J., Valett, H.M. & Gerber, S. (2009). Maintenance of terrestrial nutrient loss
 signatures during in-stream transport. *Ecology*, 90, 293–299.
- Burns, D.A., Murdoch, P.S., Lawrence, G.B. & Michel, R.L. (1998). Effect of groundwater
- 498 springs on NO3- concentrations during summer in Catskill Mountain streams. *Water Resour.*499 *Res.*, 34, 1987–1996.
- 500 Burt, T.P., Howden, N.J.K., Worrall, F. & McDonnell, J.J. (2011). On the value of long-term,
- low-frequency water quality sampling: avoiding throwing the baby out with the bathwater. *Hydrol. Process.*, 25, 828–830.
- 503 Burt, T.P. & Pinay, G. (2005). Linking hydrology and biogeochemistry in complex 504 landscapes. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, 29, 297–316.

- 505 Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N. & Smith, V.H.
- 506 (1998). Nonpoint Pollution of Surface Waters with Phosphorus and Nitrogen. *Ecol. Appl.*, 8, 507 559–568.
- Chapin, F.S., Shaver, G.R., Giblin, A.E., Nadelhoffer, K.J. & Laundre, J.A. (1995).
 Responses of Arctic Tundra to Experimental and Observed Changes in Climate. *Ecology*, 76, 694.
- 511 Chapin, F.S., Woodwell, G.M., Randerson, J.T., Rastetter, E.B., Lovett, G.M., Baldocchi,
- 512 D.D., *et al.* (2006). Reconciling Carbon-cycle Concepts, Terminology, and Methods.
- 513 *Ecosystems*, 9, 1041–1050.
- 514 Creed, I.F., McKnight, D.M., Pellerin, B.A., Green, M.B., Bergamaschi, B.A., Aiken, G.R., et
- 515 *al.* (2015). The river as a chemostat: fresh perspectives on dissolved organic matter flowing
- 516 down the river continuum. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 72, 1272–1285.
- 517 Davis, J., Sim, L. & Chambers, J. (2010). Multiple stressors and regime shifts in shallow 518 aquatic ecosystems in antipodean landscapes. *Freshw. Biol.*, 55, 5–18.
- 519 Dent, C.L. & Grimm, N.B. (1999). Spatial Heterogeneity of Stream Water Nutrient 520 Concentrations Over Successional Time. *Ecology*, 80, 2283–2298.
- 521 Dong, X., Ruhí, A. & Grimm, N.B. (2017). Evidence for self-organization in determining
 522 spatial patterns of stream nutrients, despite primacy of the geomorphic template. *Proc. Natl.*523 *Acad. Sci.*, 114, E4744–E4752.
- 524 Downing, J. (2012). Global abundance and size distribution of streams and rivers. *Inland* 525 *Waters*, 2, 229–236.
- 526 Doyle, M.W. (2005). Incorporating hydrologic variability into nutrient spiraling. J. Geophys.
 527 Res. Biogeosciences, 110, G01003.
- 528 Duncan, J.M., Groffman, P.M. & Band, L.E. (2013). Towards closing the watershed nitrogen
- budget: Spatial and temporal scaling of denitrification. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences*, 118,
 1105–1119.
- 531 Dupas, R., Jomaa, S., Musolff, A., Borchardt, D. & Rode, M. (2016). Disentangling the 532 influence of hydroclimatic patterns and agricultural management on river nitrate dynamics 533 from sub-hourly to decadal time scales. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 571, 791–800.
- 534 Erlandsson, M., Buffam, I., Fölster, J., Laudon, H., Temnerud, J., Weyhenmeyer, G.A., *et al.*535 (2008). Thirty-five years of synchrony in the organic matter concentrations of Swedish rivers
 536 explained by variation in flow and sulphate. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14, 1191–1198.
- Fisher, S.G., Gray, L.J., Grimm, N.B. & Busch, D.E. (1982). Temporal Succession in a Desert
 Stream Ecosystem Following Flash Flooding. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 52, 93.
- Foley, J.A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K.A., Cassidy, E.S., Gerber, J.S., Johnston, M., *et al.*(2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478, 337–342.
- Gascuel-Odoux, C., Aurousseau, P., Durand, P., Ruiz, L. & Molenat, J. (2010). The role of
 climate on inter-annual variation in stream nitrate fluxes and concentrations. *Sci. Total Environ.*, Special Section: Integrating Water and Agricultural Management Under Climate
 Change, 408, 5657–5666.
- 545 Godsey, S.E. & Kirchner, J.W. (2014). Dynamic, discontinuous stream networks: 546 hydrologically driven variations in active drainage density, flowing channels and stream 547 order. *Hydrol. Process.*, 28, 5791–5803.

- 548 Gruber, N. & Galloway, J.N. (2008). An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen 549 cycle. *Nature*, 451, 293–296.
- 550 Gundry, S., Wright, J. & Conroy, R. (2004). A systematic review of the health outcomes 551 related to household water quality in developing countries. *J. Water Health*, 2, 1–13.
- Hammond, M.P. & Kolasa, J. (2014). Spatial Variation as a Tool for Inferring Temporal
 Variation and Diagnosing Types of Mechanisms in Ecosystems. *PLOS ONE*, 9, e89245.
- Haygarth, P.M., Condron, L.M., Heathwaite, A.L., Turner, B.L. & Harris, G.P. (2005). The
 phosphorus transfer continuum: Linking source to impact with an interdisciplinary and multiscaled approach. *Sci. Total Environ.*, Linking Landscape Sources of Phosphorus and
 Sediment to Ecological Impacts in Surface WatersHaygarth S.I., 344, 5–14.
- 558 Heathwaite, A.L. (2010). Multiple stressors on water availability at global to catchment 559 scales: understanding human impact on nutrient cycles to protect water quality and water
- 560 availability in the long term. *Freshw. Biol.*, 55, 241–257.
- 561 Hering, D., Borja, A., Carstensen, J., Carvalho, L., Elliott, M., Feld, C.K., et al. (2010). The
- 562 European Water Framework Directive at the age of 10: A critical review of the achievements
- with recommendations for the future. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 408, 4007–4019.
- Hirsch, R.M., Moyer, D.L. & Archfield, S.A. (2010). Weighted Regressions on Time,
 Discharge, and Season (WRTDS), with an Application to Chesapeake Bay River Inputs. J. *Am. Water Resour. Assoc.*, 46, 857–880.
- Hoef, J.M.V., Peterson, E. & Theobald, D. (2006). Spatial statistical models that use flow and
 stream distance. *Environ. Ecol. Stat.*, 13, 449–464.
- 569 Horne, J.K. & Schneider, D.C. (1995). Spatial Variance in Ecology. Oikos, 74, 18–26.
- 570 Howarth, R.W. (2008). Coastal nitrogen pollution: A review of sources and trends globally 571 and regionally. *Harmful Algae*, HABs and Eutrophication, 8, 14–20.
- 572 Isaak, D.J., Peterson, E.E., Ver Hoef, J.M., Wenger, S.J., Falke, J.A., Torgersen, C.E., *et al.* 573 (2014). Applications of spatial statistical network models to stream data: Spatial statistical
- 574 network models for stream data. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water*, 1, 277–294.
- Jackson, B., Scargle, J.D., Barnes, D., Arabhi, S., Alt, A., Gioumousis, P., *et al.* (2005). An
 algorithm for optimal partitioning of data on an interval. *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, 12, 105–
 108.
- Jantze, E.J., Laudon, H., Dahlke, H.E. & Lyon, S.W. (2015). Spatial Variability of Dissolved
 Organic and Inorganic Carbon in Subarctic Headwater Streams. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, 47,
 529–546.
- 581 Jarvie, H.P., Sharpley, A.N., Withers, P.J.A., Scott, J.T., Haggard, B.E. & Neal, C. (2013).
- 582 Phosphorus Mitigation to Control River Eutrophication: Murky Waters, Inconvenient Truths,
 583 and "Postnormal" Science. J. Environ. Qual., 42, 295–304.
- 584 Jenny, J.-P., Normandeau, A., Francus, P., Taranu, Z.E., Gregory-Eaves, I., Lapointe, F., *et al.* 585 (2016). Urban point sources of nutrients were the leading cause for the historical spread of
- 586 hypoxia across European lakes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 201605480.
- 587 Jordan, T.E., Correll, D.L. & Weller, D.E. (1997). Relating nutrient discharges from 588 watersheds to land use and streamflow variability. *Water Resour. Res.*, 33, 2579–2590.

- 589 Karlsen, R.H., Grabs, T., Bishop, K., Buffam, I., Laudon, H. & Seibert, J. (2016). Landscape
- 590 controls on spatiotemporal discharge variability in a boreal catchment. *Water Resour. Res.*, 591 52, 6541–6556.
- 592 Kaufmann, P.R., Herlihy, A.T., Mitch, M.E., Messer, J.J. & Overton, W.S. (1991). Stream 593 chemistry in the eastern United States: 1. Synoptic survey design, acid-base status, and 594 regional patterns. *Water Resour. Res.*, 27, 611–627.
- 595 Killick, R., Fearnhead, P. & Eckley, I.A. (2012). Optimal detection of changepoints with a 596 linear computational cost. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 107, 1590–1598.
- 597 Kirchner, J.W., Feng, X., Neal, C. & Robson, A.J. (2004). The fine structure of water-quality 598 dynamics: the (high-frequency) wave of the future. *Hydrol. Process.*, 18, 1353–1359.
- 599 Kirchner, J.W. & Neal, C. (2013). Universal fractal scaling in stream chemistry and its
- 600 implications for solute transport and water quality trend detection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 110,
 601 12213–12218.
- Kolbe, T., Marçais, J., Thomas, Z., Abbott, B.W., de Dreuzy, J.-R., Rousseau-Gueutin, P., *et al.* (2016). Coupling 3D groundwater modeling with CFC-based age dating to classify local
- 604 groundwater circulation in an unconfined crystalline aquifer. J. Hydrol., 543, Part A, 31–46.
- Krause, S., Freer, J., Hannah, D.M., Howden, N.J.K., Wagener, T. & Worrall, F. (2014).
 Catchment similarity concepts for understanding dynamic biogeochemical behaviour of river
 basins. *Hydrol. Process.*, 28, 1554–1560.
- Lefebvre, S., Clément, J.-C., Pinay, G., Thenail, C., Durand, P. & Marmonier, P. (2007). 15nnitrate signature in low-order streams: effects of land cover and agricultural practices. *Ecol. Appl.*, 17, 2333–2346.
- 611 Li, Y., Yang, X., Cai, H., Xiao, L., Xu, X. & Liu, L. (2014). Topographical Characteristics of
- 612 Agricultural Potential Productivity during Cropland Transformation in China. Sustainability,
- 613 7, 96–110.
- Liu, J. & Yang, W. (2012). Water sustainability for China and beyond. *Science*, 337, 649–615650.
- Liu, R., Xu, F., Zhang, P., Yu, W. & Men, C. (2016). Identifying non-point source critical source areas based on multi-factors at a basin scale with SWAT. *J. Hydrol.*, 533, 379–388.
- 618 Lowe, W.H., Likens, G.E. & Power, M.E. (2006). Linking Scales in Stream Ecology.
 619 *BioScience*, 56, 591–597.
- Lyon, S.W., Nathanson, M., Spans, A., Grabs, T., Laudon, H., Temnerud, J., *et al.* (2012).
 Specific discharge variability in a boreal landscape. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W08506.
- McDonnell, J.J. & Beven, K. (2014). Debates—The future of hydrological sciences: A (common) path forward? A call to action aimed at understanding velocities, celerities and residence time distributions of the headwater hydrograph. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50, 5342– 5350.
- McDonnell, J.J., Sivapalan, M., Vaché, K., Dunn, S., Grant, G., Haggerty, R., *et al.* (2007).
 Moving beyond heterogeneity and process complexity: A new vision for watershed
 hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W07301.
- 629 McGuire, K.J., Torgersen, C.E., Likens, G.E., Buso, D.C., Lowe, W.H. & Bailey, S.W.
- 630 (2014). Network analysis reveals multiscale controls on streamwater chemistry. *Proc. Natl.* 631 *Acad. Sci.*, 111, 7030–7035.

- 632 Medde, E.T., Berthier, L., Bardy, M., Chenu, J.P., Guzmova, L., Laroche, B., et al. (2014).
- 633 Enveloppes des milieux potentiellement humides de la France métropolitaine. Programme de
- 634 modélisation des milieux potentiellement humides de France. Ministère d'Ecologie, du
 635 Développement Durable et de l'Energie, Rennes, France.
- Meter, K.J.V., Basu, N.B., Veenstra, J.J. & Burras, C.L. (2016). The nitrogen legacy:
 emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes. *Environ. Res. Lett.*,
 11, 035014.
- 639 Moatar, F., Abbott, B.W., Minaudo, C., Curie, F. & Pinay, G. (2017). Elemental properties,
- 640 hydrology, and biology interact to shape concentration-discharge curves for carbon, nutrients,
- 641 sediment, and major ions. *Water Resour. Res.*, 53, 1270–1287.
- 642 Mulholland, P.J. (2004). The importance of in-stream uptake for regulating stream 643 concentrations and outputs of N and P from a forested watershed: evidence from long-term 644 chemistry records for Walker Branch Watershed. *Biogeochemistry*, 70, 403–426.
- Musolff, A., Schmidt, C., Selle, B. & Fleckenstein, J.H. (2015). Catchment controls on solute
 export. Adv. Water Resour., 86, 133–146.
- 647 Odgaard, M.V., Bøcher, P.K., Dalgaard, T., Moeslund, J.E. & Svenning, J.-C. (2013).
- 648 Human-driven topographic effects on the distribution of forest in a flat, lowland agricultural 649 region. *J. Geogr. Sci.*, 24, 76–92.
- 650 Omernik, J.M., Abernathy, A.R. & Male, L.M. (1981). Stream nutrient levels and proximity
- 651 of agricultural and forest land to streams: Some relationships. J. Soil Water Conserv., 36, 652 227–231.
- Perrot, T., Rossi, N., Ménesguen, A. & Dumas, F. (2014). Modelling green macroalgal
 blooms on the coasts of Brittany, France to enhance water quality management. *J. Mar. Syst.*,
 132, 38–53.
- Pinay, G., Peiffer, S., De Dreuzy, J.-R., Krause, S., Hannah, D.M., Fleckenstein, J.H., *et al.*(2015). Upscaling Nitrogen Removal Capacity from Local Hotspots to Low Stream Orders'
 Drainage Basins. *Ecosystems*, 18, 1101–1120.
- Poisvert, C., Curie, F. & Moatar, F. (2017). Annual agricultural N surplus in France over a
 70-year period. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems*, 107, 63–78.
- R Core Team. (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, *Vienna, Austria*.
- 663 Raymond, P.A., Saiers, J.E. & Sobczak, W.V. (2016). Hydrological and biogeochemical
- 664 controls on watershed dissolved organic matter transport: pulse-shunt concept. *Ecology*, 97, 665 5–16.
- 666 Reed, D.C. & Harrison, J.A. (2016). Linking nutrient loading and oxygen in the coastal 667 ocean: A new global scale model. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 30, 2015GB005303.
- Rinaldo, A., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. & Rigon, R. (1998). Channel networks. Annu. Rev. Earth *Planet. Sci.*, 26, 289–327.
- 670 Roley, S.S., Tank, J.L., Tyndall, J.C. & Witter, J.D. (2016). How cost-effective are cover
- 671 crops, wetlands, and two-stage ditches for nitrogen removal in the Mississippi River Basin?
- 672 *Water Resour. Econ.*, 15, 43–56.
- Ruhala, S.S. & Zarnetske, J.P. (2017). Using in-situ optical sensors to study dissolved organic
- 674 carbon dynamics of streams and watersheds: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 575, 713–723.

- Schilling, K.E., Jones, C.S. & Seeman, A. (2013). How Paired Is Paired? Comparing Nitrate
 Concentrations in Three Iowa Drainage Districts. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 42, 1412.
- 677 Seitzinger, S.P., Mayorga, E., Bouwman, A.F., Kroeze, C., Beusen, A.H.W., Billen, G., et al.
- 678 (2010). Global river nutrient export: A scenario analysis of past and future trends. *Glob.*679 *Biogeochem. Cycles*, 24, GB0A08.
- 680 Shaman, J., Stieglitz, M. & Burns, D. (2004). Are big basins just the sum of small catchments? *Hydrol. Process.*, 18, 3195–3206.
- 682 Sivapalan, M. (2003). Process complexity at hillslope scale, process simplicity at the 683 watershed scale: is there a connection? *Hydrol. Process.*, 17, 1037–1041.
- Sutton, M.A. & UNEP (Eds.). (2013). Our nutrient world: the challenge to produce more *food and energy with less pollution; [global overview on nutrient management]*. Centre for
 Ecology & Hydrology, Edinburgh.
- 687 Temnerud, J. & Bishop, K. (2005). Spatial Variation of Streamwater Chemistry in Two
- Swedish Boreal Catchments:? Implications for Environmental Assessment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 1463–1469.
- Temnerud, J., Fölster, J., Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Erlandsson, M. & Bishop, K. (2010). Can
 the distribution of headwater stream chemistry be predicted from downstream observations? *Hydrol. Process.*, 24, 2269–2276.
- Thomas, Z., Abbott, B.W., Troccaz, O., Baudry, J. & Pinay, G. (2016). Proximate and
 ultimate controls on carbon and nutrient dynamics of small agricultural catchments. *Biogeosciences*, 13, 1863–1875.
- Turner, M.G., Dale, V.H. & Gardner, R.H. (1989). Predicting across scales: theory
 development and testing. *Landsc. Ecol.*, 3, 245–252.
- Vannote, R.L., Minshall, G.W., Cummins, K.W., Sedell, J.R. & Cushing, C.E. (1980). The
 river continuum concept. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 37, 130–137.
- Vörösmarty, C.J., McIntyre, P.B., Gessner, M.O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., *et al.* (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature*, 467, 555–561.
- Ward, N.D., Bianchi, T.S., Medeiros, P.M., Seidel, M., Richey, J.E., Keil, R.G., et al. (2017).
- Where Carbon Goes When Water Flows: Carbon Cycling across the Aquatic Continuum.*Front. Mar. Sci.*, 4.
- 706 Weishaar, J.L., Aiken, G.R., Bergamaschi, B.A., Fram, M.S., Fujii, R. & Mopper, K. (2003).
- Evaluation of Specific Ultraviolet Absorbance as an Indicator of the Chemical Composition
 and Reactivity of Dissolved Organic Carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37, 4702–4708.
- 709 White, M.J., Storm, D.E., Busteed, P.R., Stoodley, S.H. & Phillips, S.J. (2009). Evaluating
- Nonpoint Source Critical Source Area Contributions at the Watershed Scale. J. Environ. *Qual.*, 38, 1654.
- Widder, S., Besemer, K., Singer, G.A., Ceola, S., Bertuzzo, E., Quince, C., *et al.* (2014).
 Fluvial network organization imprints on microbial co-occurrence networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 111, 12799–12804.
- 715 Withers, P.J.A., Neal, C., Jarvie, H.P. & Doody, D.G. (2014). Agriculture and Eutrophication:
- 716 Where Do We Go from Here? *Sustainability*, 6, 5853–5875.

- 717 Wolock, D.M., Fan, J. & Lawrence, G.B. (1997). Effects of basin size on low-flow stream
- 718 chemistry and subsurface contact time in the Neversink River watershed, New York. *Hydrol*.
- 719 *Process.*, 11, 1273–1286.
- 720 Zabel, F., Putzenlechner, B. & Mauser, W. (2014). Global Agricultural Land Resources A
- High Resolution Suitability Evaluation and Its Perspectives until 2100 under Climate Change
- 722 Conditions. *PLoS ONE*, 9.
- 723 Zimmer, M.A., Bailey, S.W., McGuire, K.J. & Bullen, T.D. (2013). Fine scale variations of
- surface water chemistry in an ephemeral to perennial drainage network. *Hydrol. Process.*, 27,
- 725 3438–3451.
- 726



Figure 1. Example patterns of stream network chemistry for three hypothetical solutes 730 731 distributed in equal quantity to the same 100 km² catchment. A) The distribution of solute 732 sources is represented by shading, where darker patches are strong net sources (100) and 733 lighter patches make no net contribution (0). B) Simulated solute concentrations at the 734 subcatchment sampling points based on the upstream distribution of solute sources. Though the three solutes have the same concentration at the catchment outlet, differences in source 735 736 patch size alter the location of the collapse of spatial variance, represented by the vertical 737 colored bars. C) The leverage of each subcatchment on catchment outflow concentration 738 (Equation 1). Influence or leverage of a subcatchment on outlet chemistry depends on 739 subcatchment discharge and difference from the outlet concentration. Note that in a real 740 catchment the variance threshold for a given solute depends on the interaction between patch 741 size, location, and strength, and the temporally dynamic extent of the hydrologic network 742 including subsurface flowpaths. Quantifying the variance threshold could just as well reveal 743 the grain size of retention or removal patches as source patches, since the same pattern would 744 be expected for a system with a homogeneous solute source (e.g. atmospheric deposition or 745 large-scale geologic source) but non-homogeneous retention capacity. 746

29



Figure 2. Synchrony among subcatchments determines expected patterns of spatial and 748 749 temporal variability in water chemistry. A) Temporal change in concentration of a solute for five subcatchments of an asynchronous and synchronous catchment. Asynchronous 750 751 subcatchments show little temporal covariance, while the synchronous subcatchments show 752 complete covariance. B) The temporal coefficient of variation (CV) for a larger set of nested subcatchments in the two catchments. For the asynchronous catchment, temporal variance 753 754 decreases moving downstream due to destructive interference of chemical signals, while there 755 is no change in variance in the synchronous catchment. C) The predicted relationship between 756 temporal variability (CV) and spatial stability (the rank correlation (r_s) between an individual 757 sampling and the long-term flow-weighted mean or flux) for multiple asynchronous and 758 synchronous catchments. In the asynchronous catchments, the representativeness of a 759 snapshot sampling (spatial stability) decreases strongly with temporal variability (CV) due to 760 reshuffling of subcatchment rank. In highly synchronous catchments, spatial stability is more 761 resilient to temporal variation.



763

764 Figure 3. Variability in concentration and temporal variance for subcatchments of differing 765 sizes in the Couesnon (blue) and Rance (orange) catchments. A) Scaled, flow-weighted mean 766 values for dissolved organic carbon (DOC), nitrate (NO₃⁻), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) from 26 Couesnon subcatchments and 30 Rance subcatchments (Fig. 767 S1). Error bars represent bootstrapped non-parametric 95% confidence intervals of the scaled. 768 769 flow-weighted mean for repeat samples from each subcatchment (n=6 for Rance, n=18 for 770 Couesnon). The vertical colored bands represent statistical changes in spatial variance among 771 subcatchments based on change point analysis implemented for each catchment separately. B) 772 The relationship between temporal variability (scaled CV of repeat samplings for each 773 subcatchment) and catchment size. Concentrations and CVs for each catchment were scaled 774 by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation to facilitate comparison of 775 changes in variance and evaluate convergence towards the catchment mean (0 on the Y-axis).



Figure 4. A) and B) Spatial representativeness of individual synoptic samplings in the
Couesnon River as quantified by spatial stability: the rank correlation (r_s) between the
snapshot subcatchment concentrations and flow-weighted means. A value of 1 means that the
sampling date perfectly predicts the relative flow-weighted mean concentration of the whole
observation period. C) Daily discharge of the Couesnon River and timing of samplings. See
Fig. S6 for the same information for the Rance watershed.



Figure 5. Relationships among mean spatial stability (rank correlation coefficient (r_s) of
 individual sampling concentrations and the flow-weighted mean), coefficient of variation
 (CV) as a metric of temporal variability, and subcatchment synchrony (covariance) among
 subcatchments for all measured parameters. Error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals
 of the mean.





Figure 6. Correlations between the flow-weighted mean concentration for 2004 to 2007 and individual samplings in 2015 and 2016 for the 21 resampled subcatchments. Significant rank correlations ($\alpha = 0.05$) are reported in each panel. Points falling above the 1:1 line were higher in 2015 and 2016 than from 2004 to 2007 and points below the line decreased in the time between.