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#### Abstract

We give an easy general construction for uniform oriented matroids with disconnected realization space. This disproves the longstanding isotopy conjecture for simple line arrangements or order types in the plane.


We write $\mathscr{R}(M)$ for the space of all vector realizations $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}\right)^{n}$ of a rank 3 oriented matroid $M$ on $n$ points. (In other words, $\mathscr{R}(M)$ is the set of $3 \times n$-matrices whose maximal minors have signs given by the alternating map $M:\{1,2, \ldots, n\}^{3} \rightarrow\{-, 0,+\}$.) If $M$ is uniform (i.e., all minors are nonzero) then $\mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{M})$ is an open subset of $\mathbf{R}^{3 n}$. White's earlier paper [8] gives a nonuniform oriented matroid $M_{W}$ with $\mathscr{R}\left(M_{W}\right)$ disconnected and $n=42$. The aim of the present paper is to present a uniform oriented matroid $\tilde{M}$ with $\mathscr{R}(\tilde{M})$ disconnected. That is, $\tilde{M}$ does not have the isotopy property.

A rank 3 oriented matroid $M$ is said to be constructible if $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ is a projective basis and the point $x_{t}$ is incident to at most two lines spanned by $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right\}$ for $t=5,6, \ldots, n$. Using the configuration $\lambda_{1}=$ $\Omega(17,15,13)\left[\lambda_{0}\right]$ in [4] or a similar modification of White's example [8], we easily get a constructible oriented matroid whose realization space has two connected components. For example, the space $\mathscr{R}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ modulo the connected group PGL( $\left.\mathbf{R}^{3}\right)$ equals the set of matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrcc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & -1 & t & -t & t \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 0 & t-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

[^0]with $\frac{1}{5}<t<\frac{1}{2}(1-1 / \sqrt{5})$ or $\frac{1}{2}(1+1 / \sqrt{5})<t<\frac{4}{5}$. Hence it suffices to prove the following:

Theorem. Let $M$ be a constructible rank 3 oriented matroid on $n$ points. Then there exists a uniform rank 3 oriented matroid $\tilde{M}$ on at most $4(n-3)$ points and a continuous surjective map $\mathscr{R}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}(M)$. Hence $\mathscr{R}(\tilde{M})$ is disconnected whenever $\mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{M})$ is disconnected.

Proof. We define a sequence $M=: M_{n}, M_{n-1}, M_{n-2}, \ldots, M_{5}, M_{4}=: \tilde{M}$ of oriented matroids and maps between their realization spaces. Let $n \geq t \geq 5$. Then $M_{t-1}$ is constructed from $M_{i}$ as follows. First assume that $x_{i}$ is incident to exactly two lines $x_{i} \vee x_{j}$ and $x_{k} \vee x_{i}$ with $1 \leq i, j, k, l<t$. Using the notation of Billera and Munson [1], we let $M_{i}^{\prime}$ be the oriented matroid obtained from $M_{i}$ by the four successive principal extensions

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{t, 1}:=\left[x_{t}^{+}, x_{1}^{+}, x_{k}^{+}\right], \quad & x_{t, 2}:=\left[x_{1}^{+}, x_{i}^{+}, x_{k}^{-}\right], \quad x_{t, 3}:=\left[x_{t}^{+}, x_{1}^{-}, x_{k}^{-}\right], \\
& x_{t, 4}:=\left[x_{t}^{+}, x_{1}^{-}, x_{k}^{+}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

These extensions can be carried out for every vector realization of $\boldsymbol{M}_{t}$ by setting

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{t, 1}:=x_{t}+\varepsilon_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{2} x_{k}, & x_{t, 2}:=x_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} x_{i}-\varepsilon_{4} x_{k}, \\
x_{t, 3}:=x_{t}-\varepsilon_{5} x_{i}-\varepsilon_{6} x_{k}, & x_{t, 4}:=x_{i}-\varepsilon_{7} x_{1}+\varepsilon_{8} x_{k}
\end{array}
$$

where $1 \gg \varepsilon_{1} \gg \varepsilon_{2} \gg \cdots \gg \varepsilon_{8}>0$. This implies that the deletion map $\Pi: \mathscr{R}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{R}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{t}\right)$ is surjective. Geometrically speaking, in every affine realization of $M_{t}^{\prime}$, the intersection point $x_{t}$ is "caught" in the quadrangle ( $\left.x_{t, 1}, x_{t, 2}, x_{t, 3}, x_{t, 4}\right)$. Define $M_{t-1}:=M_{t}^{\prime} \backslash x_{t}$ by deletion of that point, and let $\pi: \mathscr{R}\left(M_{t}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}\left(M_{t-1}\right)$ denote the corresponding map. (See Fig. 1.)


Fig. 1. Illustration of the oriented matroids $M_{i}, M_{i}^{\prime}$, and $M_{t-1}$.

Next consider an arbitrary realization $X:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{6,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{4,3}, x_{t, 4}\right.$, $x_{1+1,1}, \ldots, x_{n, 4}$ ) of $M_{1}$. As a consequence of the principal extension construction used in (1), $x, \vee x_{\text {, }}$ and $x_{k} \vee x_{1}$ are the oniy lines spanned by $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right.$, $\left.x_{t+1,1}, \ldots, x_{n, 4}\right)$ which intersect the quadrangle $\left(x_{t, 1}, x_{1,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{t, 4}\right)$. For any other such line the intersection point $x_{1}:=\left(x, \vee x_{1}\right) \wedge\left(x_{k} \vee x_{1}\right)$ is on the same side as


Hence we have a well-defined continuous map $\sigma_{1}: \mathscr{A}\left(M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}\left(M_{1}\right), X \mapsto$ $\sigma_{1}(X)$. Moreover, $\sigma_{3}$ is surjective because $\|=\sigma_{1}{ }^{\circ} \pi$ is surjective.

It remains to define $M_{i}$, and $\sigma$, when $x_{1}$ is incident to less than two lines in $M_{t}$. If $x$, is on no such line, then we define $M_{1}:=M_{,}$and $\sigma_{t}$ as the identity map. Finally, suppose that $x_{1}$ is on only one line $x_{,} \vee x_{1,}, 1 \leq i, j<t$. In that case we replace (1) by setting $x_{t, 1}:=\left[x_{i}^{*}, x_{k}^{*}\right]_{1} x_{t, 2}:=\left[x_{i}^{*}, x_{i}^{*}, x_{k}\right]_{1} x_{t, 3}:=\left[x_{t}^{*}, x_{1}, x_{k}\right]$ for some $x_{k} \notin x, \forall x_{r}$, and in the definition of the map $\sigma_{r}$ we set $x_{1}:=\left(x_{1} \vee x_{p}\right) \wedge\left(x_{k} \vee x_{t, 1}\right)$.

Iterating these constructions resolves all previous dependencies, and we obtain a uniform oriented matroid $\bar{M}:=M_{4}$ on $4(n-3)$ or fewer points. Moreover, we have a continuous surjection $\sigma:=\sigma_{n}{ }^{\circ} \sigma_{n}, \cdots \sigma \sigma$, from $\mathscr{A}(\tilde{M})$ onto ( $\boldsymbol{M}$ ).

Remarks. (a) Using a fairly straightforward procedure for doubling oriented matroids, we get the following corollary: Given any integer $C$, there exists a uniform rank 3 oriented matroid $\dot{M}_{4}$ with $4(n-3)$ C points such that $\boldsymbol{A}\left(\hat{M}_{f}\right)$ has at least $2^{\prime}$ connected components.
(b) After this paper had been accepted for publication we learned that the isotopy problem for uniform oriented matroids had been solved independently in 1985 by Mnev [9]. This result is part of a very general theory of configuration spaces developed by a group of Soviet topologists [10]. For further details see also [11].
(c) The construction presented here is an essentially simplified version of a construction originally found by the first two authors [4].
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