Erratum

Erratum to: Unitary Representations of Super Lie Groups and Applications to the Classification and Multiplet Structure of Super Particles

C. Carmeli^{1,2}, G. Cassinelli^{1,2}, A. Toigo^{3,4}, V. S. Varadarajan⁵

- ¹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genova, Italy
- ² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genova, Italy. E-mail: claudio.carmeli@gmail.com; cassinelli@ge.infn.it
- ³ Dipartimento di Matematica "Francesco Brioschi", Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy
- ⁴ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy. E-mail: alessandro.toigo@polimi.it
- Department of Mathematics, University of California at Los Angeles, Box 951555, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA. E-mail: vsv@math.ucla.edu

Received: 28 June 2011 / Accepted: 29 June 2011

Published online: 30 August 2011 − © Springer-Verlag 2011

Commun. Math. Phys. 263, 217-258 (2006)

Professor Hadi Salmasian has drawn our attention a misstatement in Lemma 1 where the correct statement should be $XB \subset B$. In the corrigendum below we insert this correction and a small set of consequent corrections in Lemma 1 as well as Propositions 2 and 3. We thank professor Salmasian for this.

- 1. p. 222: In item (ii) of Lemma 1, replace "such that $XB \subset D(X)$ " with "such that $XB \subset B$ ".
- 2. p. 222: In the last statement of Lemma 1, replace "if we only assume that \mathcal{B} is invariant under H and contains a dense set of analytic vectors" with "if we only assume that $\mathcal{B} \subset D(H)$ and contains a dense set of analytic vectors for H".
- 3. p. 222: In the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 1, replace "Finally, let us assume that $H\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and that \mathcal{B} contains a dense set of analytic vectors for H" with "Finally, let us assume that $\mathcal{B} \subset D(H)$ and that \mathcal{B} contains a dense set of analytic vectors for H".
- 4. p. 222: In the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 1, replace "we have $X^{2n}\psi = H^n\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $X^{2n+1}\psi \in D(X)$ by assumption, and" with "we have $\psi \in D(X^n)$ for all n by X-invariance of \mathcal{B} , and".
- 5. p. 226, last paragraph before Proposition 2: In item (b)-(vi), replace " $\rho(X)\mathcal{B} \subset D(\rho(Y))$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ " with " $\rho(X)\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ ".
- 6. p. 227, in the proof of Proposition 2: Before the paragraph beginning with "It remains only to show...", add the following paragraph: "We now prove that, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, the operator $\overline{\rho}(X)$ is odd on $C^{\infty}(\pi_0)$. If $P_i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}_i , then $P_i\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$, and $\rho(X)P_i\psi = P_{i+1 \pmod{2}}\rho(X)\psi$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00220-005-1452-0.

- by item (iii). If $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\pi_0)$ and (ψ_n) is a sequence in \mathcal{B} such that $\psi_n \to \psi$ and $\rho(X)\psi_n \to \overline{\rho(X)}\psi$, then $P_i\psi_n \to P_i\psi$ and $\rho(X)P_i\psi_n = P_{i+1 \pmod{2}}\rho(X)\psi_n \to P_{i+1 \pmod{2}}\overline{\rho(X)}\psi$. Thus we have $\overline{\rho(X)}P_i\psi = P_{i+1 \pmod{2}}\overline{\rho(X)}\psi$, and the claim follows."
- 7. p. 227, item (i) in the statement of Proposition 2: Replace "so that π , as in Proposition (1), is a representation of $\mathfrak g$ in $C^\infty(\pi_0)$ " with "so that π , as in Proposition 1, restricts to a representation of $\mathfrak g$ in $C^\omega(\pi_0)$ ".

Communicated by Y. Kawahigashi