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#### Abstract

- This report forms the user's guide for Version 4.0 of NPSOL, a set of Fortran subroutines designed to minimize a smooth function subject to constraints, which may include simple bounds on the variables, linear constraints and smooth nonlinear constraints. (NPSOL may also be used for unconstrained, bound-constraincd and linearly constrained optimization.) The user must provide subroutines that define the objective and constraint functions and (optionally) their gradients. All matrices are treated as dense, and hence NPSOL is not intended for large sparse problems.

NPSOL uses a sequential quadratic programming (SQP) algorithm, in which the search direction is the solution of a quadratic programming (QP) subproblem. The algorithm treats bounds, linear constraints and nonlinear constraints separately. The Hessian of each QP subproblem is a positive-definite quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian of the Lagrangian function. The steplength at each itcration is required to produce a sufficient decrease in an augmented Lagrangian merit function. Each QP subproblem is solved using a quadratic programming package with several features that improve the efficiency of an SQP algorithm.
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## 1. PURPOSE

NPSOL is a collection of Fortran 77 subroutines designed to solve the noulinear programming problem: the minimization of a smooth nonlinear function subject to a set of constraints on the variables. The problem is assumed to be stated in the following form:

NP

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{x \in \mathfrak{\Omega}^{n}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & F(x) \\
\text { subject to } & \ell \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x \\
A_{L} x \\
c(x)
\end{array}\right\} \leq u
\end{array}
$$

where $F(x)$ (the objective function) is a nonlinear function, $A_{L}$ is an $m_{L} \times n$ constant matrix of general constraints, and $c(x)$ is an $m_{N}$-vector of nonlincar constraint functions. (The matrix $A_{L}$ and the vector $c(x)$ may be empty.) The objective function $F$ and the constraint functions are assumed to be smooth, i.e., at least twice-continuously differentiable. (The method of NPSOL will usually solve NP if there are only isolated discontinuities away from the solution).

Note that upper and lower bounds are specified for all the variables and for all the constraints. This form allows full generality in specifying other types of constraints. In particular, the $i$-th constraint may be defined as an equality by setting $\ell_{i}=u_{i}$. If certain bounds are not present, the associated elements of $\ell$ or $u$ can be set to special values that will be treated as $-\infty$ or $+\infty$.

If there are no nonlinear constraints in NP and $F$ is linear or quadratic, the QPSOL or LSSOL packages (Gill et al., 1984a, 1986a) will gencrally be more efficient than NPSOL. If the problem is large and sparse, the MINOS package (Murtagh and Saunders, 1982, 1983) should be used, since NPSOL treats all matrices as dense.

The user must supply an initial estimate of the solution to NP, and subroutines that define $F(x), c(x)$, and as many first partial derivatives as possible; unspecified derivatives are approximated by finite-differences.

NPSOL is based on subroutines from Version 1.0 of the LSSOL constrained linear least-squares package; the documentation of LSSOL (Gill et al., 1986a) should be consulted in conjunction with this report. NPSOL contains approximately 15,000 lines of ANSI (1977) Standard Fortran, of which $47 \%$ are comments.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALGORITHM

Here we briefly summarize the main features of the method of NPSOL. Where possible, explicit reference is made to the names of variables that are parameters of subroutine NPSOL or appear in the printed output.

At a solution of NP, some of the constraints will be active, i.e., satisfied exactly. An active simple bound constraint implies that the corresponding variable is fixed at its bound, and hence the variables are partitioned into fixed and free variables. Let $C$ denote the $m \times n$ matrix of gradients of the active general linear and nonlinear constraints. The number of fixed variables will be denoted by $n_{F x}$, with $n_{F R}\left(n_{F R}=n-n_{F X}\right)$ the number of free variables. The subscripts "FX" and "FR" on a vector or matrix will denote the vector or matrix composed of the components corresponding to fixed or free variables.

A point $x$ is a first-order Kuhn-Tucker point for NP (see, e.g., Powell, 1974) if the following conditions hold:
(i) $x$ is feasible;
(ii) there exist vectors $\xi$ and $\lambda$ (the Lagrange multiplier vectors for the bound and general constraints) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=C^{T} \lambda+\xi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the gradient of $F$ evaluated at $x$, and $\xi_{j}=0$ if the $j$-th variable is free.
(iii) The Lagrange multiplier corresponding to an inequality constraint active at its lower bound must be non-negative, and non-positive for an inequality constraint active at its upper bound.
Let $Z$ denote a matrix whose columns form a basis for the set of vectors orthogonal to the rows of $C_{\mathrm{FR}}$; i.e., $C_{\mathrm{FR}} Z=0$. An equivalent statement of the condition (1) in terms of $Z$ is

$$
Z^{T} g_{\mathrm{FR}}=0
$$

The vector $Z^{T} g_{\mathrm{FR}}$ is termed the projected gradient of $F$ at $x$. Certain additional conditions must be satisfied in order for a first-order Kuhn-Tucker point to be a solution of NP (see, e.g., Powell, 1974).

The method of NPSOL 4.0 is a sequential quadratic programming (SQP) method. For an overview of SQP methods, see, for example, Fletcher (1981), Gill, Murray and Wright (1981) and Powell (1983).

The basic structure of NPSOL involves major and minor iterations. The major iterations generate a sequence of iterates $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ that converge to $x^{*}$, a first-order Kuhn-Tucker point of NP. At a typical major iteration, the new iterate $\bar{x}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=x+\alpha p, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the current iterate, the non-negative scalar $\alpha$ is the step length, and $p$ is the search direction. (For simplicity, we shall always consider a typical iteration and avoid reference to the index of the iteration.) Also associated with each major iteration are estimates of the Lagrange multipliers and a prediction of the active set.

The search direction $p$ in (2) is the solution of a quadratic programming subproblem of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{p}{\operatorname{minimize}} & g^{T} p+\frac{1}{2} p^{T} H p \\
\text { subject to } & \bar{l} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
p \\
A_{L} p \\
A_{N} p
\end{array}\right\} \leq \bar{u}, \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $g$ is the gradient of $F$ at $x$, the matrix $H$ is a positive-definite quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian of the Lagrangian function (see Section 2.3), and $A_{N}$ is the Jacobian matrix of $c$ evaluated at $x$. (Finite-difference estimates may be used for $g$ and $A_{N}$; see the optional parameter "Derivative Level" in Section 5.2.) Let $\ell$ in NP be partitioned into three sections: $\ell_{B}, \ell_{L}$ and $\ell_{N}$, corresponding to the bound, linear and nonlinear constraints. The vector $\bar{\ell}$ in (3) is similarly partitioned, and is defined as

$$
\bar{\ell}_{B}=\ell_{B}-x, \quad \bar{\ell}_{L}=\ell_{L}-A_{L} x, \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\ell}_{N}=\ell_{N}-c
$$

where $\boldsymbol{c}$ is the vector of nonlinear constraints evaluated at $\boldsymbol{x}$. The vector $\bar{u}$ is defined in an analogous fashion.

The estimated Lagrange multiplieis at each major iteration are the Lagrange multipliers from the subproblem (3) (and similarly for the predicted active set). (The numbers of bounds, general linear and nonlinear constraints in the QP active set are the quantities "Bnd", "Lin" and "Nln" in the printed output of NPSOL.) In NPSOL, (3) is solved using subroutines from Version 1.0 of the LSSOL package (Gill et al., 1986a). Since solving a quadratic program is itself an iterative procedure, the minor iterations of NPSOL are the iterations of LSSOL. (More details about solving the subproblem are given in Section 2.1.)

Certain matrices associated with the QP subproblem are relevant in the major iterations. Let the subscripts "FX" and " FR " refer to the predicted fixed and free variables, and let $C$ denote the $m \times n$ matrix of gradients of the gencral linear and nonlinear constraints in the predicted active set. First, we have available the $T Q$ factorization of $C_{F R}$ :

$$
C_{\mathrm{PR}} Q_{\mathrm{FR}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & T \tag{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $T$ is a nonsingular $m \times m$ reverse-triangular matrix (i.e., $t_{i j}=0$ if $i+j<m$ ), and the non-singular $n_{\text {FR }} \times n_{\text {FR }}$ matrix $Q_{\text {FR }}$ is the product of orthogonal transformations (see Gill et al., 1984a). Second, we have the upper-triangular Cholesky factor $R$ of the transformed and re-ordered Hessian matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{T} R=H_{Q} \equiv Q^{T} \dot{H} Q \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{H}$ is the Hessian $H$ with rows and columns permuted so that the free variables are first, and $Q$ is the $n \times n$ matrix

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{\mathrm{PR}} &  \tag{6}\\
& I_{\mathrm{rx}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $I_{\mathrm{FX}}$ the identity matrix of order $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathrm{FX}}$. If the columns of $Q_{\mathrm{FR}}$ are partitioned so that

$$
Q_{\mathrm{FR}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Z & Y
\end{array}\right)
$$

the $n_{z}\left(n_{z} \equiv n_{\mathrm{FR}}-m\right)$ columns of $Z$ form a basis for the null space of $C_{\mathrm{FR}}$. The matrix $Z$ is used to compute the projected gradient $Z^{T} g_{\text {FR }}$ at the current iterate. (The values "Nz", "Norm $\mathbf{G I}$ ", and "Norm G2" printed by NPSOL give $n_{z}$ and the norms of $g_{F n}$ and $Z^{T} g_{F n}$.)

A theoretical characteristic of SQP methods is that the predicted active set from the QP subproblem (3) is identical to the correct active set in a neighborhood of $x^{*}$. In NPSOL, this feature is exploited by using the QP active set from the previous iteration as a prediction of the active set for the next QP subproblem, which leads in practice to optimality of the subproblems in only one iteration as the solution is approached. Separate treatment of bound and linear constraints in NPSOL also saves computation in factorizing $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{PR}}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$.

Once $p$ has been computed, the major iteration proceeds by determining a steplength or that produces a "sufficient decrease" in an augmented Lagrangian merit function (sec Section 2.2). Finally, the approximation to the transformed Hessian matrix $H_{Q}$ is updated using a modified BFGS quasi-Newton update (see Section 2.3) to incorporate new curvature information obtained in the move from $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}$.

On entry to NPSOL, an iterative procedure from the LSSOL package is executed, starting with the user-provided initial point, to find a point that is feasible with respect to the bounds and linear constraints (using the tolerance specified by "Linear Feasibility Tolerance"; see Scction 5.2). If no frasible point exists for the bound and linear constraints, NP has no solution and NPSOL terminates. Otherwise, the problem functions will thereafter be evaluated only at points that are feasible with respect to the bounds and linear constraints. The only exception involves variables whose bounds differ by an amount comparable to the finite-difference interval (see the discussion of "Difference Interval" in Section 5.2). In contrast to the bounds and linear constraints, it must be cmphasized that the nonlinear constraints will not generally be satisficd until an optimal point is reached.

Facilities are provided to check whether the user-provided gradients appear to be correct (see the optional parameter "Verify" in Section 5.2). In general, the check is provided at the first point that is feasible with respect to the linear constraints and bounds. However, the user may request that the check be performed at the initial point.

In summary, the method of NPSOL first determines a point that satisfies the bound and linear constraints. Thereafter, each iteration includes: (a) the solution of a quadratic programming subproblem; (b) a linesearch with an augmented Lagrangian merit function; and (c) a quasiNewton apdate of the approximate Hessian of the Lagrangian function. These three procedures are described in more detail in the next three subsections.

### 2.1. Solution of the quadratic programming subproblem

The search direction $p$ is obtained by solving (3) using subroutines from the LSSOA, package (Gill et al., 1986a), which was specifically designed to be used within an SQP algorithm for nonlinear programming.

The method of LSSOL is a two-phase (primal) quadratic programming method. The two phases of the method are: finding an initial feasible point by minimizing the sum of infeasibilities (the feasibility phase), and minimizing the quadratic objective function within the feasible region (the optimality phase). The computations in both phases are performed by the same subroutines. The two-phase nature of the algorithm is reflected by changing the function being minimized from the sum of infeasibilities to the quadratic objective function.

In general, a quadratic program must be solved by iteration. Let $p$ denote the current estimate of the solution of (3); the new iterate $\bar{p}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}=p+\sigma d \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as in (2), $\sigma$ is a non-negative step length and $d$ is a search direction.
At the beginning of each iteration of LSSOL, a working set is defined of constraints (general and bound) that are satisfied exactly. The vector $d$ is then constructed so that the values of constraints in the working set remain unaltered for any move along $d$. For a bound constraint in the working set, this property is achieved by setting the corresponding component of $d$ to zero, i.e., by fixing the variable at its bound. As before, the subscripts "FX" and "Fr" denote selection of the components associated with the fixed and free variables.

Let $C$ denote the submatrix of rows of

$$
\binom{A_{L}}{A_{N}}
$$

corresponding to general constraints in the working set. The general constraints in the working set will remain unaltered if

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{FR}} d_{\mathrm{FR}}=0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to defining $d_{\text {FR }}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{FR}}=Z d_{z} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some vector $d_{z}$, where $Z$ is the matrix associated with the $T Q$ factorization (4) of $C_{Y R}$.
The definition of $d_{z}$ in (9) depends on whether the current $p$ is feasible. If not, $d_{z}$ is zero except for a component $\gamma$ in the $j$-th position, where $j$ and $\gamma$ are chosen so that the sum of infeasibilities is decreasing along $d$. (For further details, see Gill et al., 1986a.) In the feasible case, $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ satisfies the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{z}^{T} R_{z} d_{z}=-Z^{T} q_{\mathrm{FR}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{z}$ is the Cholesky factor of $Z^{T} H_{\mathrm{FR}} Z$ and $q$ is the gradient of the quadratic objective function $(q=g+H p)$. (The vector $Z^{T} q_{F R}$ is the projected gradient of the QP.) With (10), $p+d$ is the minimizer of the quadratic objective function subject to treating the constraints in the working set as equalities.

If the QP projected gradient is zero, the current point is a constrained stationary point in the subspace defined by the working set. During the feasibility phase, the projected gradient will usually be zero only at a vertex (although it may vanish at non-vertices in the presence of constraint dependencies). During the optimality phase, a zero projected gradient implies that $p$ minimizes the quadratic objective function when the constraints in the working set are treated as equalities. In either case, Lagrange multipliers are computed. Given a positive constant $\delta$ of the order of the machine precision, the Lagrange multiplier $\mu_{j}$ corresponding to an inequality constraint in the working set at its upper bound is said to be optimal if $\mu_{j} \leq \delta$ when the $j$-th constraint is at its upper bound, or if $\mu_{j} \geq-\delta$ when the associated constraint is at its lower bound. If any multiplier is non-optimal, the current objective function (either the true objective or the sum of infeasibilities) can be reduced by deleting the corresponding constraint from the working set.

If optimal multipliers occur during the feasibility phase and the sum of infeasibilities is nonzero, no feasible point exists. The QP algorithm will then continue iterating to determine the minimum sum of infeasibilities. At this point, the Lagrange multiplier $\mu_{j}$ will satisfy $-(1+\delta) \leq \mu_{j} \leq \delta$ for an inequality constraint at its upper bound, and $-\delta \leq \mu_{j} \leq 1+\delta$ for an inequality at its lower bound. The Lagrange multiplier for an equality constraint will satisfy $\left|\mu_{j}\right| \leq 1+\delta$.

The choice of step length $\sigma$ in the QP iteration (7) is based on remaining feasible with respect to the satisfied constraints. During the optimality phase, if $p+d$ is feasible, $\sigma$ will be taken as unity. (In this case, the projected gradient at $\bar{p}$ will be zero.) Otherwise, $\sigma$ is set to $\sigma_{M}$, the step to the "nearest" constraint, which is added to the working set at the next iteration.

Each change in the working set leads to a simple change to $C_{\mathrm{FR}}$ : if the status of a general constraint changes, a row of $C_{\mathrm{FR}}$ is altered; if a bound constraint enters or leaves the working set, a column of $C_{\mathrm{FR}}$ changes. Explicit representations are recurred of the matrices $T, Q_{\mathrm{FR}}$ and $R$, and of the vectors $Q^{T_{q}}$ and $Q^{T} g$.

### 2.2. The merit function

After computing the scarch direction as described in Scetion 2.1, each major iteration proceeds by determining a steplength $\alpha$ in (2) that produces a "sufficient decrease" in the augmented Lagrangian merit function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, s)=F(x)-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left(c_{i}(x)-s_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \rho_{i}\left(c_{i}(x)-s_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, \lambda$ and $s$ vary during the linesearch. The summation terms in (11) involve only the nonlinear constraints. The vector $\lambda$ is an estimate of the Lagrange multipliers for the nonlinear constraints of NP. The non-negative slack variables $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ allow nonlinear inequality constraints to be treated without introducing discontinuities. The solution of the QP subproblem (3) provides a vector triple that serves as a direction of search for the three sets of variables. The non-negative vector $\rho$ of penalty parameters is initialized to zero at the beginning of the first major iteration. Thereafter, selected components are increased whenever necessary to ensure descent for the merit function. Thus, the sequence of norms of $\rho$ (the printed quantity "Penalty"; see Section 6) is generally non-decreasing, although each $\rho_{i}$ may be reduced a limited number of times.

The merit function (11) and its global convergence properties are described in Gill et al. (1986b).

### 2.3. The quasi-Newton update

The matrix $H$ in (3) is a positive-definite quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian of the Lagrangian function. (For a review of quasi-Newton methods, see Dennis and Schnabel, 1983.) At the end of each major iteration, a new Hessian approximation $\bar{H}$ is defined as a rank-two modification of $H$. In NPSOL, the BFGS quasi-Newton update is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}=H-\frac{1}{s^{T} H s} H s s^{T} H+\frac{1}{y^{T} s} y y^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\bar{x}-x$ (the change in $x$ ).
In NPSOL, $H$ is required to be positive definite. If $H$ is positive definite, $\bar{H}$ as defined by (12) will be positive definite if and only if $y^{T} s$ is positive (see, e.g., Dennis and Moré, 1977). Ideally, $y$ in (12) would be taken as $y_{L}$, the change in gradient of the Lagrangian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{L}=\bar{g}-\bar{A}_{N}^{T} \mu_{N}-g+A_{N}^{T} \mu_{N} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{N}$ denotes the QP multipliers asociated with the nonlinear constraints of the original problem. If $y_{L}^{T} s$ is not sufficiently positive, an attempt is made to perform the update with a vector $y$ of the form

$$
y=y_{L}+\sum_{i=1}^{m_{N}} \omega_{i}\left(a_{i}(\bar{x}) c_{i}(\bar{x})-a_{i}(x) c_{i}(x)\right)
$$

where $\omega_{i} \geq 0$. If no such vector can be found, the update is performed with a scaled $y_{L}$; in this case. " $M$ " is printed to indicate that the update was modified.

Rather than modifying $H$ itself, the Cholesky factor of the transformed Hessian $H_{Q}$ (4) is updated, where $Q$ is the matrix from (3) associated with the active set of the QP subproblem. The update (12) is equivalent to the following update to $H_{Q}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}_{Q}=H_{Q}-\frac{1}{s_{Q}^{T} H_{Q} s_{Q}} H_{Q} s_{Q} s_{Q}^{T} H_{Q}+\frac{1}{y_{Q}^{T} s_{Q}} y_{Q} y_{Q}^{T} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{Q}=Q^{T} y$, and $s_{Q}=Q^{T} s$. This update may be expressed as a rank-one update to $R$ (see Dennis and Schnabel, 1981).

Full details concerning the Hessian update are given in Gill et al. (1986c).

## 3. SPECIFICATION OF SUBROUTINE NPSOL

The formal specification of NPSOL is the following:

SUBROUTINE NPSOL ( N, NCLIN, NCNLN, NROWA, NROWJ, NROWR, A, BL, BU, CONFUN, OBJFUN, inform, iter, istate, C, CJAC, CLAMDA, OBJF, GRAD, R, X, IW, LENIW, W, LENW )
INTEGER N, NCLIN, NCNLN, NROWA, NROWJ, NROWR, INFORM, ITER, LENIW, LENW
INTEGER ISTATE ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NCLIN}+\mathrm{NCNLN}$ ), IW(LENIW)
real OBJF
real

EXTERNAL CONFUN, OBJFUN

Note: Here and elsewhere, the specification of a parameter as REAL should be interpreted as working precision, which may be DOUBLE PRECISION in some installations.

### 3.1. Formal parameters

N
(Input) The number of variables in the problem, i.e., the dimension of $X$. ( $N$ must be positive.)

NCLIN

NCNLN NROWA

NROWJ

NROWR
1

BL
(Input) The number of general linear constraints in the problem. '(NCLIN may be zero.)
(Input) The number of nonlinear constraints in the problem. (NCNLN may be zero.)
(Input) The declared row dimension of the array A. NROWA must be at least 1 and at least NCLIN.
(Input) The declared row dimension of the array CJAC. NROWJ must be at least 1 and at least NCNLN.
(Input) The declared row dimension of the array R. NROWR must be at least N .
(Input) A real array of declared dimension (NROWA, *), where the second dimension must be at least $N$. A contains the matrix $A_{L}$ of general linear constraints in the problem specification NP (Section 1). The $i$-th row of $A, i=1$ to NCLIN, contains the coefficients of the $i$-th general linear constraint. If NCLIN is zero, $A$ is not accessed and may be dimensioned ( 1,1 ).
.
(Input) A real array of dimernion at least $N+$ NCLIN + NCNLN that contains the lower bounds for all the constraints, in the following order (which is also observed for BU, CLAMDA and ISTATE). The first $N$ elements of BL contain the lower bounds on the variables. If NCLIN $>0$, the next NCLIN elements of BL contain the lower bounds for the general linear constraints. If NCNLN $>0$, the next NCNLN elements of BL contain
the lower bounds for the nonlinear constraints. In order for the problem specification to be menningful, it is required that $\mathrm{BL}(j) \leq \mathrm{BU}(j)$ for all $j$. To specify a non-existent lower bound (i.e., $\ell_{j}=-\infty$ ), the value used must satisfy $\operatorname{BL}(j) \leq-\operatorname{BIGBND}$, where BIGBND is the value of the optional parameter Infinite Bound, whose default value is $10^{10}$ (see Section 5.2). To specify the $j$-th constraint as an equality, the user must set $\operatorname{BL}(j)=\operatorname{BU}(j)=\beta$, say, where $|\beta|<\operatorname{BIGBND}$.

BU
(Input) A real array of dimension at least $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{NCLIN}+$ NCNLN that contains the upper bounds for all the constraints, in the same order described above for BL. To specify a non-existent upper bound (i.e., $u_{j}=\infty$ ), the value used must satisfy BU $(j) \geq \operatorname{BIGBND}$.
CONFUN (User-defined subroutine) The name of a subroutine that calculates the vector $c(x)$ of nonlincar constraint functions and (optionally) its Jacobian for a specified $n$-vector $x$. CONFUN must be declared as EXTERNAL in the routine that calls NPSOL. For a detailed description of CONFUN, see Section 4.2.

OBJFUN (User-defined subroutine) The name of a subroutine that calculates the objective function $F(x)$ and (optionally) its gradicnt for a specified $n$-vector $x$. OBJFUN must be declared as EXTERNAL in the routine that calls NPSOL. For a detailed description of OBJFUN, see Section 4.1.
INFORM (Output) An integer that indicates the result of NPSOL. (A short description of INFORM is printed if Major Print Level $>0$.) The possible values of INFORM are:


## Meaning

$<0$ The user has set MODE to this negative value in CONFUN or OBJFUN (see Section 4).
0 The iterates have converged to a point $X$ that satisfics the first-order Kuhn-Tucker conditions to the accuracy requested by the optional parameter Optimality Tolerance (see Section 5.2), i.e., the projected grar dient and active constraint residuals are negligible at $X$.
1 The final iterate $X$ satisfies the first-order Kuhn-Tucker conditions to the accuracy requested, but the sequence of iterates has not yet converged. NPSOL was terminated because no further improvement could be made in the merit function.
2 No feasible point could be found for the linear constraints and bounds. The problem has no feasible solution. See Section 7 for further comments.
3 No feasible point conld be found for the nonlinear constraints. The problem may have no feasible solution. See Section 7 for further comments.
4 The limiting number of iterations (determined by the optional parameter Major Iteration Limit: see Section 5.2) has been reached.
$6 \quad X$ does not satisfy the first-order Kuhn-Tucker conditions, and no improved point for the merit function could be found during the final line search.
7 The user-provided derivatives of the objective function and/or nonlinear constraints appear to be incorrect.
9 An input parameter is invalid.
ITER
(Output) Ther untmber of major iterations performed.
(Input) An integer array of dimension at least $N+$ NCLIN + NCNLN. ISTATE need not be initialized if NPSOL is called with a Cold Start (the default option; sec Section 5.2). The ordering of ISTATE is the same as that described above for BL, i.e., the first N components of ISTATE refer to the upper and lower bounds on the variables, components $N+1$ through $N+N C L I N$ refer to the upper and lower bounds on $A_{L} x$, and components $N+$ NCLIN +1 through $N+$ NCLIN + NCNLN refer to the upper and lower bounds on $c(x)$. When a Warm Start option is chosen, the components of ISTATE corresponding to the bounds and linear constraints define the initial working set for the procedure that finds a feasible point for the linear constraints and bounds. The active set at the conclusion of this procedure and the components of ISTATE corresponding to nonlinear constraints then define the initial working set for the first QP subproblem. Possible values for $\operatorname{ISTATE}(j)$ are
$\operatorname{ISTATE}(j)$ Meaning
0 The corresponding constraint is not in the initial QP working set.
1 This inequality constraint should be in the working set at its lower bound.
2 This inequality constraint should be in the working set at its upper bound.
3 This equality constraint should be in the initial working set. This value must not be specified unless $\operatorname{BL}(j)=\operatorname{BU}(j)$. The values 1,2 or 3 all have the same effect when $B L(j)=B U(j)$.
Other values of ISTATE are also acceptable. In particular, if NPSOL has been called previously with the same values of N, NCLIN and NCNLN, ISTATE already contains satisfactory values. If necessary, NPSOL will override the user's specification of ISTATE, so that a poor choice will not cause the algorithm to fail.
(Output) If NPSOL exits with INFORM $=0$ or 1 , the values in the array ISTATE correspond to the active set of the final QP subproblem, and are a prediction of the status of the constraints at the solution of the problem. Otherwise, ISTATE indicates the composition of the QP working set at the final iterate. The significance of each possible value of $\operatorname{ISTATE}(j)$ is as follows:

## ISTATE $(j) \quad$ Meaning

-2 This constraint violates its lower bound by more than the feasibility tolerance (see the optional parameters Linear Feasibility Tolerance and Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance in Section 5.2). This value can occur only when no feasible point can be found for a QP subproblem.
-1 This constraint violates its upper bound by more than the appropriate feasibility tolerance (see the optional parameters Linear Feasibility Tolerance and Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance in Section 5.2). This value can occur only when no feasible point can be found for a QP subproblem.
0 The constraint is satisfied to within the feasibility tolerance, but is not in the working set.
1 This inequality constraint is included in the QP working set at its lower bound.
2 This inequality constraint is included in the QP working set at its upper bound.

3 This constraint is included in the QP working set as an equality. This value of ISTATE can occur only when $\operatorname{BL}(j)=\operatorname{BU}(j)$.
(Output) The value of the objective function $F(x)$ at the final iterate.
(Output) A real array of dimension at least $N$ that contains the objective gradient (or its finite-difference approximation) at the final iterate.

R
(Input) A real array of declared dimension (NROWR,*), where the second dimension must be at least N . R need not be initialized if NPSOL is called with a Cold Start option (the default), and will be taken as the identity. With a Warm Start, R must contain the upper-triangular Cholesky factor of the initial approximation of the Hessian of the Lagrangian function, with the variables in the natural order. Elements not in the upper-triangular part of $R$ are assumed to be zero and need not be assigned.
(Output) If Hessian $=$ No (the default; see Section 5.2), R contains the uppertriangular Cholesky factor of $Q^{T} \tilde{H} Q$, an estimate of the transformed and re-ordered Hessian of the Lagrangian at $X$ (see (5) in Section 2). If Hessian $=Y$ es, $R$ contains the upper-triangular Cholesky factor of $H$, the approximate (untransformed) Hessian of the Lagrangian, with the variables in the natural order.

X
(Input) A real array of dimension at least $N$. $X$ must contain an initial estimate of the solution.
(Output) $X$ contains the final estimate of the solution.

### 3.2. Workspace parameters

IW (Input) An integer array of dimension LENIW that provides integer workspace for NPSOL.

LENIW (Input) The dimension of IW. LENIW must be at least $3 N+$ NCLIN +2 NCNLN.
W (Input) A real array of dimension LENW that provides real workspace for NPSOL.
LENW (Input) The dimension of W. If there are no general linear constraints and no nonlinear constraints (i.e., NCLIN $=0$ and NCNLN $=0$ ), LENW must be at least 20 N . If there are no nonlinear constraints (i.e., NCNLN $=0$ ), LENW must be at least $2 \mathrm{~N}^{2}+20 N+11$ NCLIN. Otherwise, LENW must be at least $2 \mathrm{~N}^{2}+\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{NCLIN}+2 \mathrm{~N} * \mathrm{NCNLN}+20 \mathrm{~N}+11$ NCLIN + 21 NCNLN.

If Major Print Level $>0$, the required amounts of workspace are printed. As an alternative to computing LENIW and LENW from the formulas given above, the user may prefer to obtain appropriate values from the output of a preliminary run with a positive value of Major Print Level and LENIW and LENW set to 1 . (NPSOL will then terminate with INFORM =9.)

## 4. USER-SUPPLIED SUBROUTINES

The user must provide subroutines that define the objective function and nonlinear constraints. The objective function is defined by subroutine OBJFUN, and the nonlinear constraints are defined by subroutine CONFUN. On every call, these subroutines must return appropriate values of the objective and nonlinear constraints in OBJF and C. The user should also provide the available partial derivatives. Any unspecified derivatives are approximated by finite differences; see Section 5.2 for a discussion of the optional parameter Derivative Level. Just before cither OBJFUN or CONFUN is called, each element of the current gradient array OBJGRD or CJAC is initialized to a special value. On exit, any element that retains the given value is estimated by finite differences.

For maximum reliability, it is preferable for the user to provide all partial derivatives (see Chapter 8 of Gill, Murray and Wright, 1981, for a detailed discussion). If all gradients cannot be provided, it is similarly advisable to provide as many as possible. While developing the subroutines OBJFUN and CONFUN, the Verify parameter (see Section 5.2) should be used to check the calculation of any known gradients.

### 4.1. Subroutine OBJFUN

This subroutine must calculate the objective function $F(x)$ and (optionally) the gradient $g(x)$. The specification of OBJFUN is

| SUBROUTINE OBJFUN( MODE, N, X, OBJF, OBJGRD, NSTATE ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| INTEGER | MODE, N, NSTATE |
| REAL | OBJF |
| REAL | $X(N)$, OBJGRD $(N)$ |

Parameters:
MODE (Input) This parameter is set by NPSOL to indicate the values that must be assigned during each call of OBJFUN. MODE will always have the value 2 if all components of the objective gradient are specified by the user, i.e., if Derivative Level is either 1 or 3 (sec Section 5.2). If some gradient elements are unspecified, NPSOL will call OBJFUN with MODE $=0,1$ or 2 .
If MODE $=2$, compute OBJF and the available components of OBJGRD.
If $M O D E=1$, compute all available components of $O B J G R D$; $O B J F$ is not required.
If MODE $=0$, only OBJF needs to be computed; OBJGRD is ignored.
(Output) If for some reason you wish to terminate the solution of the current problem, set MODE to a negative value, e.g., -1 .
$N$ (Input) The number of variables, i.e., the dimension of $X$. The actual parameter $N$ will always be the same Fortran variable as that input to NPSOL, and must not be altered by OBJFUN.
x

OBJF (Output) The computed value of the objective function $F(x)$.
OBJGRD (Output) The available components of the gradient vector $g(x)$, i.e., OBJGRD( $j$ ) contains the partial derivative $\partial F / \partial x_{j}$.
NSTATE (Input) If NSTATE $=1$, NPSOL is calling OBJFUN for the first time. This parameter setting allows the user to save computation time if certain data must be read or
calculated only once. If there are nonlinear constraints, the first call to CONFUN will occur before the first call to OBJFUN.

### 4.2. Subroutine CONFUN

This subroutine must compute the nonlinear constraint functions $\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and (optionally) theic gradients. (A dummy subroutine CONFUN must be provided if all constraints are linear.) The $i$-th row of the Jacobian matrix CJAC is the vector $\nabla c_{i} \equiv\left(\partial c_{i} / \partial x_{1}, \partial c_{i} / \partial x_{2}, \ldots, \partial c_{i} / \partial x_{n}\right)^{T}$. The specification of Confun is

```
SUBROUTINE CONFUN( MODE, NCNLN, N, NROWJ,
    NEEDC, X, C, CJAC, NSTATE )
    INTEGER MODE, NCNLN, N, NROWJ
INTEGER
    NEEDC(*)
    X(N), C(*), CJAC(NROWJ,*)
```

Parameters:
MODE (Input) This parameter is set by NPSOL to indicate the values that must be assigned during each call of CONFUN. MODE will always have the value 2 if all clements of the Jacobian are available, i.e., if Derivative Level is either 2 or 3 (see Section 5.2). If some elements of CJAC are unspecified, NPSOL will call CONFUN with MODE $=0,1$, or 2 :
If $\mathrm{MODE}=2$, only the elements of C corresponding to positive values of NEEDC need to be set (and similarly for the available components of the rows of CJAC).
If $\operatorname{MODE}=1$, the available components of the rows of CJAC corresponding to positive values in NEEDC must be set. Other rows of CJAC and the array C will be ignored.
If $\operatorname{MODE}=0$, the components of $C$ corresponding to positive values in NEEDC must be set. Other components and the array CJAC are ignored.
(Output) If for some reason you wish to terminate the solution of the current problem, set MODE to a negative value, e.g., -1 .
NCNLN (Input) The number of nonlinear constraints, i.e., the dimension of $C$. The actual parameter NCNLN is the same Fortran variable as that input to NPSOL, and must not be altered by CONFUN.

N (Input) The number of variables, i.e., the dimension of X . The actual parameter N is the same Fortran variable as that input to NPSOL, and must not be altered by CONFUN.
NROWJ (Input) The leading dimension of the array CJAC. NROWJ must be at least 1 and at least NCNLN.
NEEDC (Input) An array that specifies the indices of the elements of $C$ or CJAC that must be evaluated by CONFUN. NEEDC need not be checked if the user always provides all values, since the unneeded values are ignored.
(Input) An array of dimension at least $N$ containing the values of the variables $X$ for which the constraints must be evaluated. X must not be altered by CONFUN.
C (Output) An array of dimension at least NCNLN that contains the appropriate values of the nonlinear constraints. If $\operatorname{NEEDC}(i)>0$ and MODE $=0$ or 2 , the value of the $i$-th constraint at X must be stored in $\mathrm{C}(i)$. (The other components of C are ignored.)

CJAC
（Output）A real array of declared dimension（NROWJ，＊），where the second dimen－ sion must be at least N ，containing the appropriate elements of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at $X$ ．（See the discussion of MODE and CJAC above．）
The parameter NSTATE has the same meaning as for OBJFUN．

## 4．3．Constant Jacobian elements

If all constraint gradients（Jacobian elements）are known（i．e．，Derivative Level $=2$ or 3；see Section 5．2），any constant elements may be assigned to CJAC one time only at the start of the optimization．An element of CJAC that is not subsequently assigned in CONFUN will retain its initial value throughout．Constant elements may be loaded into CJAC either before the call to NPSOL or during the the first call to CONFUN（signalled by the value NSTATE $=1$ ）．The ability to preload constants is useful when many Jacobian elements are identically zero，in which case CJAC may be initialized to zero and non－zero elements may be reset by CONFUN．

Note that constant nonzero clements do affect the values of the constraints．Thus，if CJAC（ $i, j$ ） is set to a constant value，it need not be reset in subsequent calls to CONFUN，but the value $\operatorname{CJAC}(i, j) * X(j)$ must nonetheless be added to $\mathrm{C}(i)$ ．

It must be emphasized that，if Derivative Level＜2，unassigned elements of CJAC are not treated as constant；they are estimated by finite differences，at non－trivial expense．If the user does not supply a value for Difference Interval（see Section 5．2），an interval for each component of $\boldsymbol{x}$ is computed automatically at the start of the optimization．The automatic procedure can usually identify constant elements of CJAC，which are then computed once only by finite differences．

## 5. OPTIONAL INPUT PARAMETERS

Several optional parameters in NPSOL define choices in the problem specification or the algorithm logic. In order to reduce the number of formal parameters of NPSOL, these optional parameters have associated default values (see Scction 5.2) that are appropriate for most problems. Therefore, the user needs io specify only those optional parameters whose values are to be different from their default values. The remainder of this section can be skipped by users who wish to use the default values for all optional parameters. A complete list of optional parameters and their default values is given in Section 5.3.

Each optional parameter is defined by a single character string of up to 72 characters, including one or more items. The items associated with a given option must be separated by spaces or equal signs (=). Alphabetic characters may be upper or lower case. The string

```
Print level = 5
```

is an example of an optional parameter.
For each option, the string contains the following items.

1. The keyword (required for all options).
2. A phrase (one or two words) that qualifies the keyword (only for some options).
3. A number that specifies either an INTEGER or a REAL value (only for some options). Such numbers may be up to 16 contiguous characters in Fortran 77's I, F, E or D formats, terminated by a space.
Blank strings and comments are ignored and may be used to improve readability. A comment begins with an asterisk (*) and all subsequent characters are ignored. If the string is not a comment and is not recognized, a warning message is printed on the specified output device (see Section 8.5). Synonyms are recognised for some of the keywords, and abbreviations may be used.

The following are examples of valid option strings for NPSOL:

```
NOLIST
warm start
COLD START
Verify Constraint gradients
Start OBJECTIVE check at variable 9
Stop constraint check at variable = 20 * The ' }=\mathrm{ ' is optional
Linear Feasibility tolerance 1.0E-8 * for IBM in double precision.
CRASH TOLERANCE = . 002
* This string will be completely ignored.
Hessian Yes
Iteration limit }10
```


### 5.1. Specification of the optional parameters

Optional parameters may be specified in two ways, as follows.

## - Using subroutine NPFILE and an external file

The subroutine NPFILE provided with the NPSOL package will read options from an external options file, and should be called before a call to NPSOL. Each lim, of the options file defines a single optional parameter. The file must begin with Bogin and end with End. (An options file consisting only of these two lines corresponds to supplying no options.)

The specification of NPFILE is

```
SUBROUTINE NPFILE( IOPTNS, INFORM )
INTEGER IOPTNS, INFORM
```

IOPTNS must be the unit number of the options file, in the range $[0,99]$, and is unclanged on exit from NPFILE. INFORM need not be set on entry. On return, INFORM will be 0 if the file is a valid options file and IOPTNS is in the correct range. INFORM will be set to 1 if IOPTNS is out of range, and will be set to 2 if the file does not begin with Begin or end with End.

An example of a valid options file is

```
Begin
    Print level = 5
    Verify Objective Gradients
End
```

The call
CALL NPFILE ( 5, INFORM )
will read an options file on unit 5.

## - Using subroutine NPOPTN

The second method of setting the optional parameters is through a series of calls to the subroutine NPOPTN provided with the NPSOL package. The specification of NPOPTN is

SUBROUTINE NPOPTN ( STRING)
CHARACTER*(*) STRING
STRING must be a single valid option string (see above), and will be unchanged on exit. NPOPTN must be called once for every optional parameter to be set. An example of a call to NPOPTN is

```
CALL NPOPTN( 'Print level = 5' )
```


## - Use of the Nolist and Defaults option

In general, each user-specificd optional parameter is printed as it is read or defined. By using the special parameter Nolist, the user may suppress this printing for a given call of NPSOL. To take effect, Nolist must be the first parameter specified in the options file; for example

```
Begin
    Nolist
    Verify Objective Gradients
End
```

Alternatively, the first call to NPOPTN, before or after a call to NPSOL, must be
CALL NPOPTN( 'Nolist').
All parameters not specified by the user are automatically set to their default values. Any optional parameters that are set by the user are not altered by NPSOL, and hence changes to the
options are cumulative. For example, calling NPOPTN( 'Print lovel $=5$ ') sets the print level to 5 for all subsequent calls to NPSOL until it is reset by the user. The only exception to this rule is permitted by the special optional parameter Dofaults, whose effect is to reset all optional parameters to their default values (see Section 5.3). For example, in the following situation

```
    CALL NPSOL ( ... )
    CALL NPOPTN( 'Print level 5' )
    CALL NPOPTN( 'Iteration limit = 100')
    CALL NPSOL ( ... )
    CALL NPOPTN( 'Defaults')
    CALL NPSOL ( ... )
```

C
C
the first and last runs of NPSOL will occur with the default parameter settings. However, in the second run, the print level and iteration limit are altered.

### 5.2. Description of the optional parameters

The following list (in alphabetical order) gives the valid options. For each option, we give the kryword, any essential optional qualifiers, the default value, and the definition. The minimum valid abbreviation of each keyword is underlined. If no characters of an optional qualifier are underlined, the qualifer may be omitted. The letter a denotes a phrase (character string) that qualifies an option. The letters $i$ and $r$ denote INTEGER and REAL values required with certain options. The number $\epsilon$ is a generic notation for machine precision, and $\epsilon_{R}$ denotes the relative precision of the objective function (the optional parameter Function Precision; see below).

Central Difference Interval
$r$ Default values are computed
If the algorithm switches to central differences because the forward-difference approximation is not sufficiently accurate, the value of $r$ is used as the difference interval for every component of $x$. The use of finite-differences is discussed further below under the optional parameter Difference Interval.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Cold Start } \\ \text { Warm Start } & \text { Default }=\text { Cold Start }\end{array}$
This option controls the specification of the initial working set in both the procedure for finding a feasible point for the linear constraints and bounds, and in the first QP subproblem thereafter. With a cold Start, the first working set is chosen by NPSOL based on the values of the variables and constraints at the initial point. Broadly speaking, the initial working set will include equality constraints and bounds or inequality constraints that violate or "nearly" satisfy their bounds (within Crash Tolerance; sce below). With a Warm Start, the user must set the ISTATE array and define CLAMDA and $R$ as discussed in Section 3. ISTATE values associated with bounds and linear constraints determine the initial working set of the procedure to find a feasible point with respect to the bounds and linear constraints. ISTATE values associated with nonlinear constraints determine the initial working set of the first QP subproblem after such a feasible point has been found. NPSOL will override the user's specification of ISTATE if necessary, so that a poor choice of the working set will not cause a fatal error. A warm start will be advantageous if a good estimate of the initial working set is available-for example, when NPSOL is called repeatedly to solve related problems.

## Crash Tolerance

$r \quad$ Difault $=.01$
This value is used in conjunction with the optional parameter Cold start (the defanlt value). When making a cold start, the QP algorithm in NPSOL must select an initial working :eet. When $r \geq 0$, the initial working set will include (if possible) bounds or general inequality constraints that lie within $r$ of their bounds. In particular, a constraint of the form $a_{j}^{T} x \geq l$ will be included in the initial working set if $\left|a_{j}^{T}-l\right| \leq r(1+|l|)$. If $r<0$ or $r>1$, the default value is used.

## Derivative Level

$i$
Default $=3$
This parameter indicates which derivatives are provided by the user in subroutines OBJFUN and CONFUN. The possible choices for $i$ are the following.

## $i \quad$ Meaning

3 All objective and constraint gradients are provided by the user.
2 All of the Jacobian is provided, but some components of the objective gradient are not specified by the user.

1 All elements of the objective gradient are known, but some elements of the Jacobian matrix are not specified by the user.
$0 \quad$ Some clements of both the objective gradient and the Jacobian matrix are not specified by the user.

The value $i=3$ should be used whenever possible, since NPSOL is more reliable and will usually be more efficient when all derivatives are exact.

If $i=0$ or 2 , NPSOL will estimate the unspecified components of the objective gradient, using finite differences. The computation of finite-difference approximations usually increases the total run-time, since a call to OBJFUN is required for each unspecified element. Furthermore, less accuracy can be attained in the solution (see Chapter 8 of Gill, Murray and Wright, 1981, for a discussion of limiting accuracy).

If $i=0$ or 1 , NPSOL will approximate unspecified elements of the Jacobian. One call to CONFUN is needed for each variable for which partial derivatives are not available. For example, if the Jacobian has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
* & * & * & * \\
* & ? & ? & * \\
* & * & ? & * \\
* & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

where " $*$ " indicates an element provided by the user and "?" indicates an unspecified element, NP'SOL will call CONFUN twice: once to estimate the missing element in columm 2, and again to estimate the two missing elements in column 3. (Since columns 1 and 4 are known, they require no calls to CONFUN.)

At times. central differences are used rather than forward differences, in which case twice as many calls to OBJFUN and CONFUN are needed. (The switch to central differences is not under the user's control.)

Difference Interval
r
Default values are computed
This option defines an interval used to estimate gradients by finite differences in the following circumstances:

1. For verifying the objective and/or constraint gradients (sce the description of Verify, below).
2. For estimating unspecified elements of the objective gradient or the Jacobian matrix.

In general, a derivative with respect to the $j$-th variable is approximated using the interval $\delta_{j}$, where $\delta_{j}=r\left(1+\left|\hat{x}_{j}\right|\right)$, with $\hat{x}$ the first point fensible with respect to the bounds and linear constraints. If the functions are well scaled, the resulting derivative approximation should be accurate to $O(r)$. See Gill, Murray atd Wright (1981) for a discussion of the accuracy in finite-difference approximations.

If a difference interval is not specified by the user, a finite-difference interval will be computed automatically for cach variable by a procedure that requires up to six calls of CONFUN and OBJFUN for each component. This option is recommended if the function is badly scaled or the user wishes to have NPSOL determine constant elements in the objective and constraint gradients (see the descriptions of CONFUN and OBJFUN in Section 4).

$$
\text { Feasibility Tolerance } \quad r \quad \text { Default }=\sqrt{\epsilon}
$$

The scalar $r$ defines the maximum acceptable absolute violations in linear and nonlinear constraints at a "feasible" point; i.e., a constraint is considered satisfied if its violation does not exceed r. If $r \leq 0$, the default value is used. Using this keyword sets both optional parameters Linear Feasibility Tolerance and Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance to r. (Additional details are given below under the descriptions of these parameters.)
Function Precision $r \quad$ Default $=\epsilon^{0.9}$
This parameter defines $\epsilon_{R}$, which is intended to be a measure of the accuracy with which the problem functions $F$ and $c$ can be computed. The value of $\epsilon_{R}$ should reflect the relative precision of $1+|F(x)|$; i.e., $\epsilon_{R}$ acts as a relative precision when $|F|$ is large, and as an absolute precision when $|F|$ is small. For example, if $F(x)$ is typically of order 1000 and the first six significant digits are known to be correct, an appropriate value for $\epsilon_{R}$ would be 1.0E-6. In contrast, if $F(x)$ is typically of order $10^{-4}$ and the first six significant digits are known to be corr${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ct}$, an appropriate value for $\epsilon_{R}$ would be $1.0 \mathrm{E}-10$. The choice of $\epsilon_{R}$ can be quite complicated for badly scaled problems; see Chapter 8 of Gill. Murray and Wright (1981) for a discussion of scaling techniques. The default value is appropriate for most simple functions that are computed with full accuracy. Howevern when the accuracy of the computed function values is known to be significantly worse than full precision, the value of $\epsilon_{R}$ should be large enough so that NPSOL will not attempt to distinguish between function values that differ by less than the error inherent in the calculation.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Hessian } & \text { No } & \text { Default }=\text { No } \\
\text { Hessian } & \underline{Y} e s &
\end{array}
$$

This option controls the contents of the upper-triangular matrix $R$ (see Section 3). NPSOL works exclusively with the transformed and re-ordered Hessian $H_{Q}$ (5), and hence extra computation is required to form the Hessian itself. If Hessian = No, R contains the Cholesky factor of the transformed and re-ordered Hessian. If Hessian = Yes, the Cholesky factor of the approximate Hessian itself is formed and stored in R. The user should select Hessian = Yes if a warm start will be used for the next call to NPSOL.

$$
\text { Infinite Bound Size } r \quad \text { Default }=10^{10}
$$

If $r>0, r$ defines the "infinite" bound BIGBND in the definition of the problem constraints. Any upper bound greater than or equal to BIGBND will be regarded as plus infinity (and similarly for a lower bound less than or equal to -BIGBND). If $r \leq 0$, the default value is used.

Infinite Step Size
$r \quad$ Default $=\max$ (BIGBND, $10^{10}$ )
If $r>0, r$ specifies the magnitude of the change in variables that is treated as a step to an unbounded solution. If the change in $x$ during an iteration would exceed the value of Infinite

Step, the objective function is considered to be unbounded below in the feasible region. If $r \leq 0$, the default value is used.

Iteration Limit $\quad i \quad$ Default $=\max \left(50,3\left(n+m_{\iota}\right)+10 n_{N}\right)$
See Major Iteration Limit below.

| Linear | Feasibility Tolerance | $r_{2}$ | Default $=\sqrt{\epsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonlinear | Feasibility Tolerance | $r_{2}$ | Default $=\sqrt{\epsilon}$ |

The scalars $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ define the maximum acceptable absolute violations in linear and nonlinear constraints at a "feasible" point; i.e., a linear constraint is considered satisfied if its violation does not exceed $r_{1}$, and similarly for a nonlinear constraint and $r_{2}$. The default values are used if $r_{1}$ or $r_{2}$ is non-positive.

On entry to NPSOL, an iterative procedure is executed in order to find a point that satisfies the linear constraint and bounds on the variables to within the tolerance $r_{1}$. All subsequent iterates will satisfy the linear constraints to within the same tolerance (unless $r_{1}$ is comparable to the finite-difference interval).

For nonlinear constraints, the feasibility tolerance $r_{2}$ defines the largest constraint violation that is acceptable at an optimal point. Since nonlinear constraints are generally not satisfied until the final iterate, the value of Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance acts as a partial termination criterion for the iterative sequence generated by NPSOL (see the discussion of Optimality Tolerance).

These tolerances should reflect the precision of the corresponding constraints. For example, if the variables and the coefficients in the linear constraints are of order unity, and the latter are correct to about 6 decimal digits, it would be appropriate to specify $r_{1}$ as $10^{-6}$.

Linesearch Tolerance
$r$
Default $=0.9$
The value $r(0 \leq r<1)$ controls the accuracy with which the step $\alpha$ taken during each iteration approximates a minimum of the merit function along the search direction (the smaller the value of $r$, the more accurate the linesearch). The default value $r=0.9$ requests an inaccurate search, and is appropriate for most problems, particularly those with any nonlinear constraints.

If there are no nonlinear constraints, a more accurate search may be appropriate when it is desirable to reduce the number of major iterations-for example, if the objective function is cheap to evaluate, or if a substantial number of gradients are unspecified.

```
Major Iteration Limit
Iteration Limit
Iters
Itns
```

The value of $i$ specifies the maximum number of major iterations allowed before termination. Setting $i:=0$ and Major Print Level $>0$ means that the workspace needed will be computed and printed, but no iterations will be performed.

```
Major Print Level
Print Level
```

$i$
Defnult $=10$

The value of $i$ controls the amount of printout produced by the major iterations of NPSOL. (Sce also Minor Print Level, below). The levels of printing available are indicated below.
$i$
$0 \quad$ No output.
1 The final solution only.
5 One line of output for each majoi iteration (no printout of the final solution).
$\geq 10 \quad$ The final solution and one line of output for each iteration.
$\geq 20$ At each major iteration, the objective function, the Euclidean norm of the nonlinear constraint violations, the values of the nonlinear constraints (the array $c$ ), the values of the linear constraints (the array $A_{L} x$ ), and the current values of the variables (the array $x$ ).
$\geq 30 \quad$ At each major iteration, the diagonal elements of the matrix $T$ associated with the $T Q$ factorization (4) of the QP working set, and the diagonal elements of $R$, the triangular factor of the transformed and re-ordered Hessian (5).

Minor Iteration Limit $\quad i \quad$ Default $=\max \left(50,3\left(n+m_{L}+m_{N}\right)\right)$
The value of $i$ specifies the maximum number of iterations for the optimality phase of each QP subproblem.

Minor Print Level
$i$
Default $=0$
The value of $i$ controls the amount of printout produced by the minor iterations of NPSOL, i.e., the iterations of the quadratic programming algorithm. (See also Major Print Level, above.) The following levels of printing are available.


Optimality Tolerance
$r \quad$ Default $=\epsilon_{R}^{0.8}$
The parameter $r\left(\epsilon_{R} \leq r \leq 1\right)$ specifies the accuracy to which the user wishes the final iterate to approximate a solution of the problem. Broadly speaking, $r$ indicates the number of correct figures desired in the objective function at the solution. For example, if $r$ is $10^{-6}$ and NPSOL terminates successfully, the final value of $F$ should have approximately six correct figures.

NPSOL will terminate successfully if the iterative sequence of $x$-values is judged to have converged and the final point satisfies the first-order Kuhn-Tucker conditions (see Section 2). The sequence of iterates is considered to have converged at $x$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|p\| \leq \sqrt{r}(1+\|x\|) \tag{15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the sarch direction and $\alpha$ the step length from (2). An iterate is considered to satisfy the first-order conditions for a minimum if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Z^{T} g_{\mathrm{FR}}\right\| \leq \sqrt{r}\left(1+\max \left(1+|F(x)|,\left\|g_{\mathrm{FR}}\right\|\right)\right) \tag{15b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { res }_{j} \mid \leq f t o l \text { for all } j \tag{15c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z^{T} g_{\mathrm{F}}$ is the projected gradient (see Section 2 ), $g_{\text {FR }}$ is the gradient of $F(x)$ with respect t" the free variables, res $j$ is the violation of the $j$-th active nonlinear constraint, and ftol is the Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance.

| Start Objective Check At Variable | $k$ | Default $=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start Constraint Check At Variable | $k$ | Default $=1$ |
| Stop Objective Check At Variable | $l$ | Default $=n$ |
| Stop Constraint Check At Variable | $l$ | Default $=n$ |

These keywords take effect only if Verify level $>0$ (see below). They may be used to control the verification of gradient elements computed by subroutines OBJFUN and CONFUN. For example, if the first 30 components of the objective gradient appeared to be correct in an earlier run, so that only component 31 remains questionable, it is reasonable to specify Start Objective Check At Column 31. If the first 30 variables appear linearly in the objective, so that the corresponding gradient elements are constant, the above choice would also be appropriate.

```
Verify Level
i
Verify Level Norify Leven
Verify Level 0
Verify Objective Gradients
Verify Level
1
Verify Constraint Gradients
Verify Level
2
Verify
Verify \underline{Yes}
Verify Gradients
Verify Level3
```

These keywords refer to finite-difference checks on the gradient elements computed by the userprovided subrontines OBJFUN and CONFUN. (Unspecified gradient components are not checked.) It is possible to specify Verify Levels $0-3$ in several ways, as indicated above. For example, the nonlinear objective gradient (if any) will be verified if either Verify Objective or Verify Level

1 is specified. Similarly, the objective and the constraint gradients will be verified if Vorify Yes or Verify Level 3 or Verify is specified.

If $0 \leq i \leq 3$, gradients will be verified at the first point that satisfies the lincar constraints and bounds. If $i=0$, only a "cheap" test will be performed, requiring one call to OBJFUN and one call to CONFUN. If $: \leq i \leq 3$, a more reliable (but more expensive) check will be made on individual gradient components, within the ranges specified by the Start and Stop keywords described above. A result of the form "OK" or "BAD?" is printed by NPSOL to indicate whether or not each component appears to be correct.

If $10 \leq i \leq 13$, the action is the same as for $i-10$, except that it will take place at the user-specified initial value of $\boldsymbol{x}$.

We suggest that Verify Level 3 be specified whenever a new function routine is being developed.

### 5.3. Optional parameter checklist and default values

For easy reference, the following sample NPOPTN list shows all valid keywords and their default values. The default options Function Precision, Linear Feasibility Tolerance, Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance and Optimality Tolerance depend upon $\epsilon$, the relative precision of the machine being used. The values given here correspond to double precision arithmetic on IBM 360 and 370 systems and their successors ( $\epsilon \approx 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Similar values would apply to any machine having about 16 decimal digits of precision.

| Central Difference Interval | ? | * Computed automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cold Start |  | * |
| Crash Tolerance | . 01 | * |
| Derivative Level | 3 | * |
| Difference Interval | ? | * Computed automatically |
| Function Precision | 8.2E-15 | * $\epsilon^{0.9}$ |
| Hessian | No | * |
| Infinitg Bound | 1. $\mathrm{OE}+10$ | * Plus infinity |
| Infinite Step | 1. $\mathrm{OE}+10$ | * |
| Linear Feasibility Tolerance | 1.5E-8 | * $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ |
| Linesearch Tolerance | 0.9 | * |
| Major Iteration Limit | 50 | * or $3\left(n+m_{L}\right)+10 m_{N}$ |
| Major Print Level | 10 | * ${ }^{\text {- }}$ ( $\left.n+m_{L}+m_{N}\right)$ |
| Minor Iteration Limit | 50 | * or $3\left(n+m_{L}+m_{N}\right)$ |
| Minor Print Level | 0 | * or ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance | 1.5E-8 | - $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ |
| Optimality Tolerance | 5.4E-12 | * $\epsilon^{0.8}$ |
| Start Objective Check | 1 | * |
| Start Constraint Check | 1 | * |
| Stop Objective Check | ? | * $n$ |
| Stop Constraint Check | ? | * $n$ |
| Verify Level | 0 | * Cheap test |

## 6. DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINTED OUTPUT

The level of printed output from NPSOL is controlled by the user (sec the descriptinns of Kajor Print Level and Minor Print Level in Section 5.2). If Minor Print Level > 0, output is obtained from the subroutines that solve the QP subproblem. For a detailed description of this information the reader should refer to the user's guide for LSSOL (Gill et al., 1986a).

When Major Print Level $\geq 5$, the following line of output is produced at every major iteration of NPSOL. In all cases, the values of the quantities printed are those in effect on completion of the given iteration.
Itn is the iteration count.
ItQP is the sum of the iterations required by the feasibility and optimality phases of the QP subproblem. Generally, ItQP will be 1 in the later iterations, since theoretical analysis predicts that the correct active set will be identified near the solution (see Section 2).
Note that ItQP may be greater than the Minor Iteration Limit if some iterations are required for the feasibility phase.
Step is the step $\alpha$ taken along the computed search direction. On reasonably wellbehaved problems, the unit step will be taken as the solution is approached.
Nfun is the cumulative number of evaluations of the objective function needed for the linesearch. Evaluations needed for the estimation of the gradients by finite differences are not included. Nfun is printed as a guide to the amount of work required for the linesearch.
Morit is the value of the augmented Lagrangian merit function (11) at the current iterate. This function will decrease at each iteration unless it was necessary to increase the penalty parameters (see Section 2.2). As the solution is approached, Merit will converge to the value of the objective function at the solution.
If the QP subproblem does not have a feasible point (signified by "I" at the end of the current output line), the merit function is a large multiple of the constraint violations, weighted by the penalty parameters. During a sequence of major iterations with infeasible subproblems, the sequence of Merit values will decrease monotonically until either a feasible subproblem is obtained or NPSOL terminates with INFORM $=3$ (no feasible point could be found for the nonlinear constraints).
If no nonlinear constraints are present (i.e., NCNLN $=0$ ), this entry contains Objective, the value of the objective function $F(x)$. The objective function will decrease monotonically to its optimal value when there are no nonlinear constraints.
Bnd is the number of simple bound constraints in the predicted active set.

## Lin

 is the number of general linear constraints in the predicted active set.N1n
is the number of nonlinear constraints in the predicted active set (not printed if NCNLN is zero).

Nz
is the number of columns of $Z$ (see Section 2.1). The value of Nz is the number of variables minus the number of constraints in the predicted active set; i.e., $\mathrm{Nz}=\mathrm{N}-(\mathrm{Bnd}+\operatorname{Lin}+\mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{n})$.

## Norm Gf

Norm Gz

Cond H
Cond Hz

Cond T

Norm C

Penalty is the Euclidean norm of the vector of penalty parameters used in the augmented Lagrangian merit function (not printed if NCNLN is zero).
is a three-letter indication of the status of the three convergence tests (15a)(15c) defined in the description of the optional parameter Optimality Tolerance in Section 5. Each letter is " T " if the test is satisfied, and " F " otherwise. The three tests indicate whether: (a) the sequence of iterates has converged; (b) the projected gradient (Norm Gz) is sufficiently small; and (c) the norm of the residuals of constraints in the predicted active set (Norm C) is small enough.
If any of these indicators is " F " when NPSOL terminates with INFORM $=0$, the user should check the solution carefully.
is printed if the quasi-Newton update was modified to ensure that the Hessian approximation is positive-definite (see Section 2.3).
is printed if the QP subproblem has no feasible point.
is printed if central differences were used to compute the unspecificd objective and constraint gradients. If the value of Step is zero, the switch to central differences was made because no lower point could be found in the linesearch. (In this case, the QP subproblem is re-solved with the central-difference gradient and Jacobian.) If the value of Step is non-zero, central differences were computed because Norm $\mathbf{G z}$ and Norm $\mathbf{C}$ imply that X is close to a Kuhn-Tucker point.

When Major Print Level $=1$ or Major Print Level $\geq 10$, the summary printout at the end of execution of NPSOL includes a listing of the status of every variable and constraint. Note that default names are assigned to all variables and constraints.

The following describes the printout for each variable.
Variable gives the name (VARBL) and index $j(j=1$ to $N)$ of the variable.
gives the state of the variable in the predicted active set ( FR if neither bound is in the active set, EQ if a fixed variable, LL if on its lower bound, UL if on its upper bound). If the variable is predicted to lie outside its upper or lower bound by more than the feasibility tolerance, State will be " ++ " or "--" respectively. (The latter situation can occur only when there is no feasible point for the bounds and linear constraints.)

| Value | is |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lower bound | is the lower bound specified for the variable. ("None" indicates that $\mathrm{BL}(j) \leq$ -BIGBND.) |
| Upper bound | is the upper bound specified for the variable. ("None" indicates that $\operatorname{BU}(j) \geq$ BIGBND.) |
| Lagr multiplie | is the value of the Lagrange multiplier for the associated bound constraint. This will be zero if State is $F R$. If $X$ is optimal, the multiplier should be non-negative if State is LL, and non-positive if State is UL. |
| Residual | is the difference between the variable "Value" and the nearer of its bounds $B L(j)$ and $B U(j)$. |

The printout for general constraints is the same as for variables, except for the following: Linear constr is the name (LNCON) and index $i(i=1$ to NCLIN) of a linear constraint.
Nonlnr constr is the name (NLCON) and index $i(i=1$ to NCNLN) of a nonlinear constraint.

## 7. INTERPRETATION OF THE RESULTS

The input data for NPSOL should always be checked (cven if NPSOL terminates with the value INFORM $=0$ !). Two common sources of error are uninitialized variables and incorrect gradients, which may cause underflow or overflow on some machines. The user should check that all components of $A, B L, B U$ and $X$ are defined on entry to NPSOL, and that OBJFUN and CONFUN compute all relevant components of OBJGRD, C and CJAC.

In the following, we list the different ways in which NPSOL is terminated and discuss what further action may be necessary.

## Termination

## Discussion and Recommended Action

Underflow A single underflow will always occur if machine constants are computed automatically (as in the distributed version of NPSOL; see Section 8). Other floating-point underflows may occur occasionally, but can usually be ignored.
Overflow If the printed output before the overflow error contains a warning about serious ill-conditioning in the working set when adding the $j$-th constraint, it may be possible to avoid the difficulty by increasing the magnitude of the optional parameter Linear Feasibility Tolerance or Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance, and rerunning the program. If the message recurs even after this change, the offending linearly dependent constraint (with index " $j$ ") must be removed from the problem. If overflow occurs in one of the user-supplied routines (e.g., if the nonlinear functions involve exponentials or singularities), it may help to specify tighter bounds for some of the variables (i.e., reduce the gap between appropriate $\ell_{j}$ and $u_{j}$ ). If overflow continues to occur for no apparent reason, contact the authors at Stanford University.
INFORM $=0 \quad$ The iterates have converged to a point $X$ that satisfies the first-order Kuhn-Tucker conditions to the accuracy requested by the optional parameter Optimality tolorance (see Section 5.2), i.e., the projected gradient and active constraint residuals are negligible at X .
The user should check whether the following four conditions are satisfied: (i) the final value of Norm $\mathbf{G z}$ is significantly less than that at the starting point; (ii) during the final major iterations, the values of Stop and ItQP are both one; (iii) the last few values of both Norm $G z$ and Norm $C$ become small at a fast linear rate; and (iv) Cond Hz is small. If all these conditions hold, X is almost certainly a local minimum of NP. (See Section 9 for a specific example.)
INFORM $=1$ The point $X$ satisfies the Kuhn-Tucker conditions to the accuracy requested, but the sequence of iterates has not yet converged. NPSOL was terminated because no further improvement could be made in the merit function.
This value of INFORM may occur in several circumstances. The most common situation is that the user asks for a solution with accuracy that is not attainable with the given precision of the problem (as specified by Function Precision; see Section 5.2). This condition will also occur if, by chance, an iterate is an "exact" Kuhn-Tucker point, but the change in the variables was significant at the previous iteration. (This situation often happens when minimizing very simple functions, such as quadratics.)
If the four conditions listed above for INFORM $=0$ are satisfied, $X$ is likely to be a solution of NP regardless of the value of INFORM.

INFORM $=2$

INFORM $=3$

INFORM $=4$

INFORM $=6$

NPSOL has terminated without finding a feasible point for the linear constraints and bounds. which means that no feasible point exists for the given value of Linear Feasibility Tolerance. The user should check that there are no conslraint redundancies. If the data for the constraints are accurate only to an absolute precision $\sigma$, the user should ensure that the value of the optional parameter linear Feasibility Tolerance is greater than $\sigma$. For example, if all elements of $A$ are of order unity and are accurate to only three decimal places, Linear Feasibility Tolerance should be at least $10^{-3}$.
There has been a sequence of QP subproblems for which no feasible point could be found (indicated by "I" at the end of each terse line of output). This behavior will occur if there is no feasible point for the nonlinear constraints. (However. there is no general test that can determine whether a feasible point exists for a set of nonlinear constraints.) If the infeasible subproblems occur from the very first major iteration, it is highly likely that no feasible point exists. If infeasibilities occur when earlier subproblems have been feasible, small constraint inconsistencies may be present. The user should check the validity of constraints with negative values of ISTATE. If the user is convinced that a feasible point does exist, NPSOL should be restarted at a different starting point.
If the algorithm appears to be making progress, Major Iteration Limit may be too small. If so, increase its value and rerun NPSOL (possibly using the Warm Start option). If the algorithm seems to be "bogged down", the user should check for incorrect gradients or ill-conditioning as described below under INFORM $=6$.
Note that ill-conditioning in the working set is sometimes resolved automatically by the algorithm, in which case performing additional iterations may be helpful. However, ill-conditioning in the Hessian approximation tends to persist once it has begun, so that allowing additional iterations without altering $R$ is usually inadvisable. If the quasi-Newton update of the Hessian approximation was modified during the latter iterations (i.e., an " $M$ " occurs at the end of each terse line), it may be worthwhile to try a warm start at the final point as suggested above.
A sufficient decrease in the merit function could not be attained during the final linesearch. This sometimes occurs because an overly stringent accuracy has been requested, i.e., Optimality Tolerance is too small. In this case the user should apply the four tests described under INFORM $=0$ above to determine whether or not the final solution is acceptable (see Gill, Murray and Wright, 1081, for a discussion of the attainable accuracy).
If many iterations have occurred in which essentially no progress has been made, or NPSOL has failed completely to move from the initial point, subroutines OBJFUN or CONFUN may be incorrect. The user should refer to the comments below under INFORM $=7$ and check the gradients using the Verify parameter. Unfortunately, there may be small errors in the objective and constraint gradients that cannot be detected by the verification process. Finite-difference approximations to first derivatives are catastrophically affected by even small inaccuracies. An indication of this situation is a dramatic alteration in the iterates if the finite-difference interval is altered. One might also suspect this type of error if a switch is made to central differences even when Norm Gz and Norm C are large.
Another possibility is that the search direction has become inaccurate because of ill-conditioning in the Hessian approximation or the matrix of constraints in the
working set; either form of ill-conditioning tends to be reflected in large values of ItQP (the number of iterations required to solve each QP subproblem).
If the condition estimate of the projected Hessian (Cond Hz ) is extremely large, it may be worthwhile to rerun NPSOL from the final point with the Warm Start option. In this situation, ISTATE should be left unaltered and $R$ should be reset to the identity matrix.
If the matrix of constraints in the working set is ill-conditioned (i.e., Cond $T$ is extremely large), it may be helpful to run NPSOL with a relaxed value of the Feasibility Tolerance. (Constraint dependencies are often indicated by wide variations in size in the diagonal elements of the matrix $T$, whose diagonals will be printed for Major Print Level $\geq \mathbf{3 0}$.)
INFORM $=7 \quad$ Large errors were found in the derivatives of the objective function and/or nonlinear constraints. This value of INFORM will occur if the verification process indicated that at least one gradient or Jacobian component had no correct figures. The user should refer to the printed output to determine which elements are suspected to be in error.
As a first step, the user should check that the code for the objective and constraint values is correct-for example, by computing the function at a point where the correct value is known. However, care should be taken that the chosen point fully tests the evaluation of the function. It is remarkable how often the values $x=0$ or $x=1$ are used to test function evaluation procedures, and how often the special properties of these numbers make the test meaningless.
Special care should be used in this test if computation of the objective function involves subsidiary data communicated in COMMON storage. Although the first evaluation of the function may be correct, subsequent calculations may be in error because some of the subsidiary data has accidentally been overwritten.
Errors in programming the function may be quite subtle in that the function value is "almost" correct. For example, the function may not be accurate to full precision because of the inaccurate calculation of a subsidiary quantity, or the limited accuracy of data upon which the function depends. A common error on machines where numerical calculations are usually performed in double precision is to include even one single-precision constant in the calculation of the function; since some compilers do not convert such constants to double precision, half the correct figures may be lost by such a seemingly trivial error.
INFORM $=9 \quad$ An input parameter is invalid. The user should refer to the printed output to determine which parameter must be re-defined.

## 8. IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION

### 8.1. Format of the distribution tape

The source code and example program for NPSOL are distributed on a magnetic tape containing 12 files. The tape characteristics are described in a document accompanying the tape; normally they are 9 trar.k, 1600 bpi , unlabeled, ASCII, 80 -character records (card images), 4800-character blocks.

The following is a list of the files and a summary of their contents. For reference purposes we give a name to each file. However, the names will not be recorded on unlabeled tapes. The MACH, LSCODE and NPCODE files are composed of several smaller source files described in Section 8.3.

| File Name | Type | Cards $\dagger$ | Description |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1. DPMACH | FORTRAN | 450 | Double-precision source file 1: MCSUBS |
| 2. DPLSCODE | FORTRAN | 8250 | Double-precision source files 2-5: BLAS, ..., OPSUBS |
| 3. DPNPCODE | FORTRAN | 6880 | Double-precision source fles 6-8: CHSUBS, ..., SRSUBS |
| 4. DPLSMAIN | FORTRAN | 260 | Double-precision source file LSMAIN |
| 5. DPNPMAIN | FORTRAN | 500 | Double-precision source file NPMAIN |
| 6. LSMAIN | DATA | 6 | Options file for LSMAIN |
| 7. NPMAIN | DATA | 14 | Options file for NPMAIN |
| 8. SPMACH | FORTRAN | 450 | Single-precision source file 1 |
| 9. SPLSCODE | FORTRAN | 8250 | Single-precision source files 2-5 |
| 10. SPNPCODE | FORTRAN | 6880 | Single-precision source files 6-8 |
| 11. SPLSMAIN | FORTRAN | 260 | Single-precision version of file 4 |
| 12. SPNPMAIN | FORTRAN | 500 | Single-precision version of file 5 |

$\dagger$ Approximate figure.
One MACH, one LSCODE and one NPCODE file should be selected for any given installation. DPMACH, DPLSCODE and DPNPCODE are intended for machines that generally require double precision computation. Examples include IBM Systems 360, 370, 3033, 3081, etc.; Amdahl 470, Facom, Fujitsu, Hitachi, and other systems analogous to IBM; DEC VAX systems; Data General MV/8000; ICL 2900 series; recent PRIME systems; DEC Systems 10 and 20; Honeywell systems; and the Univac 1100 series.

SPMACH. SPLSCODE and SPNPCODE are intended for machines for which single precision is suitably accurate for numerical computation. Examples include the Burroughs 6700 and 7700 series; the CDC 6000 and 7000 series and their Cyber counterparts; and the Cray-1 and Cray-2.

### 8.2. Installation procedure

1. Obtain the appropriate MACH, LSCODE and NPCODE files from the tape.
2. If necessary, edit the subroutine MCHPAR according to Section 8.5.
3. Decide whether or not to split the LSCODE and NPCODE tape files into source files BLAS through SRSUBS as suggested in Scction 8.3.
4. Compile all the routines that were originally in the LSCODE and NPCODE files together with those from MACH. Run them in conjunction with the main program NPMAIN from either file 5 or file 12. Check the output against that in Section 9.

### 8.3. Source files

NPSOL has been written in ANSI (1977) Fortran and tested on an IBM 3081 K computer using the IBM Fortran 77 compiler VS Fortran. Certain unavoidable machine dependencies arc confined to the routine MCHPAR.

The source code is divided into 8 logical parts. For ease of handling, these are combined into the MACH, LSCODE and NPCODE files on the distribution tape, but for subsequent maintenance we recommend that 8 separate files be kept. In the description below we suggest a name for each file and summarize its purpose. We then list the names of the Fortran subroutines and functions involved. The naming convention should minimize the risk of a clash with user-written routines.

File 1. MCSUBS Computation of machine-dependent constants.
mCHPAR MCEPS MCENV1 MCENV2 mCSTOR

File 2. BLAS Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (a subset).
DASUM DAXPY DCOPY DDOT DNRM2 DSHAP DSCAL IDAMAX
These routines are functionally similar to members of the BLAS package (Lawson et al., 1979). If possible they should be replaced by authentic BLAS routines. (Versions may exist that have been tuned to your particular machine.)
DGEMV DGER1
These routines are functionally similar to members of the Level 2 BLAS packages (Dongarra et al., 1985).

| DCOND | DDIV | DDSCL | DLOAD | DNORM | DSSQ | DSWAP | ICOPY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IDRANK | ILOAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |

These are additional utility routines that could be tuned to your machine. DLOAD is used the most frequently, to load a vector with a constant value.

DROT3 DROT3G DGEAPQ DGEQR DGEQRP DGRFG
These linear algebra routines are used to compute and update various matrix factorizations in NPSOL.

File 3. CMSUBS General utility routines.
CMALF CMALF1 CMCHK CMFEAS CMPRT CMQMUL CMRSOL CMRSWP
CMR1MD CMTSOL
File 4. LSSUBS Least-squares routines.

| LSADD | LSADDS | LSBNDS | LSCHOL | LSCORE | LSCRSH | LSDEL | LSDFLT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LSFEAS | LSFILE | LSGETP | LSGSET | LSKEY | LSLOC | LSMOVE | LSMULS |
| LSOPTN | LSPRT | LSSETX | LSSOL |  |  |  |  |

File 5. OPSUBS Option string handling routines.
OPFILE OPLOOK OPNUM OPSCAN OPTOKN OPUPPR

File 6. CHSUBS Derivative checking routines.
CHCORE CHFD CHKGRD CHKJAC

File 7. NPSUBS Nonlincar optimization routines.

| NPCHKD | NPCORE | NPCRSH | NPDFLT | NPFEAS | NPFILE | NPGQ | NPIQP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NPKEY | NPLOC | NPMRT | NPOPTN | NPPRT | NPSETX | NPSRCH | NPUPDT | NPSOL

File 8. SRSUBS Linesearch routines.
SRCHO SRCHC

### 8.4. Common blocks

Certain Fortran COMMON blocks are used in the NPSOL source code to communicate between subrontines. Their names are listed below.

| CMDEBG | LSDEBG | NPDEBG | LSPAR1 | LSPAR2 | NPPAR1 | NPPAR2 | SOL1CM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SOL3CM | SOL4CM | SOL5CM | SOL6CM | SOLMCH | SOL1NP | SOL4NP | SOL5NP |
| SOL6NP | SOL7NP | SOL1LS | SOL3LS | SOL1SV |  |  |  |

### 8.5. Machine-dependent subroutines

The romtine MCHPAR in the MACH file may require modification to suit a particular machine or a non-standard application.

At the beginning of NPSOL, MCHPAR is called to assign the machine-dependent constants and the standard input and output unit numbers. These parameters are stored in the array WMACB(15) in the labeled common block SOLMCH, and are defined as follows.

| WMACH (1) | $E$, the base of floating-point arithmetic. |
| :---: | :---: |
| WMACH(2) | is NDIGIT, the number of NBASE digits of precision. |
| WMaCH (3) | is EPS, the floating-point precision. |
| WMaCh(4) | is RTEPS, the square root of EPSMCH. |
| WMACH (5) | is RMIN, the smallest positive floating-point number. |
| WMaCH(6) | is RTMIN, the square root of RMIN. |
| WMACH(7) | is RMAX, the largest positive floating-point number. |
| WMaCH(8) | is RTMAX, the square root of RMAX. |
| WMACH (10) | is NIN, the file number for the input stream. |
| wmach(11) | is NOUT, the file number for the output stream. |

Within rontine MCHPAR, the machine constants are set in one of two ways, depending upon the value of the logical variable HDWIRE, which is set in-line.

If HDWIRE is . FALSE. (the value set for the distributed copy of MCHPAR), the machine constants are computed antomatically for the machine being used. If HDWIRE is .TRUE., machine constants appropriate for the IBM $360 / 370$ Series are assigned directly to the elements of WMACH.

Before selecting the method of assigning the machine constants, you should note the following. The computation of the machine constants will always generate a single arithmetic underflow, and hener some appropriate remedial action may need to be taken if your machine traps underflow.

If you wish to implrment the in-line assigument of machine constants for a machine other than one from the IBM $360 / 370$ Scries, MCHPAR must be modified as follows.

1. Change the in-line assignment of HDWIRE from .FALSE. to .TRUE..
2. Set the values of WMACH appropriate for the machine and precision being used. The values of NBASE, NDIGIT, EPSMCH, RMIN and RMAX for several machines are given in the following table, for both single and double precision; RTEPS, RTMIN and RTMAX may be computed using Fortran statements. The values NIN and NOUT depend on the machine installation.
For each precision, we give two values for EPSMCH, RMIN and RMAX. The first value is a Fortran decimal approximation of the exact quantity; use of this value in MCHPAR should cause no difficulty except in extreme circumstances. The second value is the exact mathematical representation.

Table of machine-dependent parameters

|  | IBM 360/370 <br> Single | CDC 6000/7000 <br> Single | DEC 10/20 <br> Single | Univac 1100 <br> Single | DEC Vax <br> Single |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBASE | 16 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| NDIGIT | 6 | 48 | 27 | 27 | 24 |
| EPS | $9.54 E-7$ | $7.11 E-15$ | $7.46 E-9$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
|  | $16^{-5}$ | $2^{-47}$ | $2^{-27}$ | $2^{-26}$ | $2^{-23}$ |
| RMIN | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-78$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-293$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-38$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-38$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
|  | $16^{-65}$ | $2^{-975}$ | $2^{-129}$ | $2^{-129}$ | $2^{-128}$ |
| RMAX | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+75$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+322$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+38$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+38$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+38$ |
|  | $16^{63}\left(1-16^{-6}\right)$ | $2^{1070}\left(1-2^{-48}\right)$ | $2^{127}\left(1-2^{-27}\right)$ | $2^{127}\left(1-2^{-27}\right)$ | $2^{127}\left(1-2^{-24}\right)$ |


|  | IBM 360/370 <br> Double | CDC 6000/7000 <br> Double | DEC 10/20 <br> Double | Univac 1100 <br> Double | DEC Vax <br> Double |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBASE | 16 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| NDIGIT | 14 | 96 | 62 | 61 | 56 |
| EPS | $2.22 \mathrm{D}-16$ | $2.53 \mathrm{D}-29$ | $2.17 \mathrm{D}-19$ | $8.68 \mathrm{D}-19$ | $2.78 \mathrm{D}-17$ |
|  | $16^{-13}$ | $2^{-95}$ | $2^{-62}$ | $2^{-60}$ | $2^{-55}$ |
| RMIN | $1.0 \mathrm{D}-78$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}-293$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}-38$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}-308$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}-38$ |
|  | $16^{-65}$ | $2^{-975}$ | $2^{-129}$ | $2^{-1025}$ | $2^{-128}$ |
| RMAX | $1.0 \mathrm{D}+75$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}+322$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}+38$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}+307$ | $1.0 \mathrm{D}+38$ |
|  | $16^{63}\left(1-16^{-14}\right)$ | $2^{1070}\left(1-2^{-96}\right)$ | $2^{127}\left(1-2^{-62}\right)$ | $2^{1023}\left(1-2^{-61}\right)$ | $2^{127}\left(1-2^{-56}\right)$ |

## 9. EXAMPLE PROBLEM

This section describes one version of the so-called "hexagon" problem (a different formulation is given as Problem 108 in Hock and Schittkowski, 1981). The problem is to determine the hexagon of maximum area such that no two of its vertices are more than one unit apart (the solution is not a regular hexagon). The corresponding sample main program and output from NPSOL are given in the Appendix.

All constraint types are included (bounds, linear, nonlinear), and the Hessian of the Lagrangian function is not positive definite at the solution. The problem has nine variables, non-infinite bounds on seven of the variables, four general linear constraints, and fourteen nonlinear constraints.

The objective function is

$$
F(x)=-x_{2} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{7}-x_{3} x_{7}-x_{5} x_{8}+x_{4} x_{9}+x_{3} x_{8} .
$$

The bounds on the variables are

$$
x_{1} \geq 0, \quad-1 \leq x_{3} \leq 1, \quad x_{5} \geq 0, \quad x_{6} \geq 0, \quad x_{7} \geq 0, \quad x_{8} \leq 0, \quad \text { and } \quad x_{9} \leq 0 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{B}=(0,-\infty,-1,-\infty, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0,-\infty,-\infty)^{T} \\
& u_{B}=(\infty, \quad \infty, \quad 1, \infty, \quad \infty, \infty, \quad \infty, \quad 0, \quad 0)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The general linear constraints are

$$
x_{2}-x_{1} \geq 0, \quad x_{3}-x_{2} \geq 0, \quad x_{3}-x_{4} \geq 0, \quad \text { and } \quad x_{4}-x_{5} \geq 0
$$

Hence,

$$
\ell_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\infty \\
\infty \\
\infty \\
\infty
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The nonlinear constraints are all of the form $c_{i}(x) \leq 1$, for $i=1, \ldots, 14$; hence, all components of $\ell_{N}$ are $-\infty$, and all components of $u_{N}$ are 1 . The fourteen functions $\left\{c_{i}(x)\right\}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1}(x)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{6}^{2}, & c_{2}(x)=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{7}-x_{6}\right)^{2}, \\
c_{3}(x)=\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+x_{6}^{2}, & c_{4}(x)=\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{6}-x_{8}\right)^{2}, \\
c_{5}(x)=\left(x_{1}-x_{5}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{6}-x_{9}\right)^{2}, & c_{6}(x)=x_{2}^{2}+x_{7}^{2}, \\
c_{7}(x)=\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+x_{7}^{2}, & c_{8}(x)=\left(x_{4}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{8}-x_{7}\right)^{2}, \\
c_{9}(x)=\left(x_{2}-x_{5}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{7}-x_{9}\right)^{2}, & c_{10}(x)=\left(x_{4}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+x_{8}^{2}, \\
c_{11}(x)=\left(x_{5}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+x_{9}^{2}, & c_{12}(x)=x_{4}^{2}+x_{8}^{2}, \\
c_{13}(x)=\left(x_{4}-x_{5}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{9}-x_{8}\right)^{2}, & c_{14}(x)=x_{5}^{2}+x_{9}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

An optimal solution (to five figures) is

$$
x^{*}=(.060947, .59765,1.0, .59765, .060947, .34377, .5,-.5,-.34377)^{T},
$$

and $F\left(x^{*}\right)=-1.34996$. (The optimal objective function is unique, but is achieved for other values of $x$.) Five nonlinear constraints and one simple bound are active at $x^{*}$. The sample solution ontput is given later in this section, following the sample main program and problem definition.

Two calls are made to NPSOL in order to demonstrate some of its features. For the first call, the starting point is:

$$
x_{0}=(.1, .125, .666666, .142857, .111111, .2, .25,-.2,-.25)^{T}
$$

All objective and constraint derivatives are specified in the user-provided subroutines OBJFN1 and CONFN1, i.e., the default option Derivative Level $=3$ is used.

On completion of the first call to NPSOL, the optimal variables are perturbed to produce the initial point for a second run in which the problem functions are defined by the subroutines OBJFN2 and CONFN2. To illustrate one of the finite-difference options in NPSOL, these routines are programmed so that the first six components of the objective gradient and the constant elements of the Jacobian matrix are not specified; hence, the option Derivative Level $=0$ is chosen. During computation of the finite-difference intervals, the constant Jacobian elements are identified and set, and NPSOL automatically increases the derivative level to 2.

The second call to NPSOL illustrates the use of the Warm Start option to utilize the final active set, nonlinear multipliers and approximate Hessian from the first run. Note that Hessian $=$ Yes was specified for the first run so that the array $R$ would contain the Cholesky factor of the approximate Hessian of the Lagrangian.

The two calls to NPSOL illustrate the alternative methods of assigning default parameters. For the first run, the parameters are read from the options file NPMAIN DATA supplied on the distribution tape. In the second run, the parameters are modified using calls to subroutine NPOPTN. (There is no special significance in the order of these assignments; an options file may just as easily be used to modify parameters set by NPOPTN.)

The results are typical of those obtained from NPSOL when solving well behaved (non-trivial) nonlinear problems. The approximate Hessian and working set remain relatively well-conditioned. Similarly, the penalty parameters remain small and approximately constant. The numerical results illustrate much of the theoretically predicted behavior of a quasi-Newton SQP method. As $x$ approaches the solution, only one minor iteration is performed per major iteration, and the "Norm Gz" and "Norm C" columns exhibit the fast linear convergence rate mentioned in Sections 6 and 7. Note that the constraint violations converge earlier than the projected gradient. The final values of the projected gradient norm and constraint norm reflect the limiting accuracy of the two quantities. It is possible to achieve almost full precision in the constraint norm but only half precision in the projected gradient norm. Note that the final accuracy in the nonlinear constraints is considerably better than the feasibility tolerance, because the constraint violations are being refined during the last few iterations while the algorithm is working to reduce the projected gradient norm. In this problem, the constraint values and Lagrange multipliers at the solution are "well balanced", i.e., all the multipliers are approximately the same order of magnitude. This behavior is typical of a well-scaled problem.
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## APPENDIX. SAMPLE PROGRAM AND OUTPUT

*t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+

* FILE NPMAIN FORTRAN
Sample prosram for NPSOL Version 4.0 February 1986.

```

```

IMPLICIT
DOUSLE PRECISIOH(A-H,O-Z)
Set the declared array dimensions.
NROWA = the declared row dimension of A.
NROWJ = the declared row dimension of CJAC.
NRCNR = the declared row dimension of R.
MANNN = maximum no. of variables allowed for.
MAXBND = maximum no. of variables + linear \& nonlinear constrnts.
LINORK = the length of the integer work array.
LWCRK = the lergth of the double precision work array.

```
```

PARAMETER (MROWA = 5, NRONJ = 20, NROWR = 10,

```
PARAMETER (MROWA = 5, NRONJ = 20, NROWR = 10,
$ MAXN = 9, LILORK = 70, LHORK = 1000,
$ MAXN = 9, LILORK = 70, LHORK = 1000,
$ MAXEND = MAXN + NROWA + NROWJ)
$ MAXEND = MAXN + NROWA + NROWJ)
INTEGER ISTATE(MAXBND)
INTEGER ISTATE(MAXBND)
INTEGER
INTEGER
DOUBLE PRECISION
DOUBLE PRECISION
dOLSLE PRECISION
dOLSLE PRECISION
dOUBLE PRECISION
dOUBLE PRECISION
DOUBLE PRECISION
DOUBLE PRECISION
dCuSlE FRECISION
dCuSlE FRECISION
exterinal
exterinal
    INORK(LINORK)
    INORK(LINORK)
    A(NRONA,MAXN)
    A(NRONA,MAXN)
    EL(MAXBMD ), BU(MAXBNO)
    EL(MAXBMD ), BU(MAXBNO)
    C(NROWJ), CJAC(NROWJ,MAXN), CLARDA(MUXBND)
    C(NROWJ), CJAC(NROWJ,MAXN), CLARDA(MUXBND)
    OBJGRD(MAXNN), R(NROWR,MAXN), X(MAXN)
    OBJGRD(MAXNN), R(NROWR,MAXN), X(MAXN)
    WORK(LHORK)
    WORK(LHORK)
    OBJFN1, OQJFN2, CONFN1, CONFN2
    OBJFN1, OQJFN2, CONFN1, CONFN2
PARAMETER (ZERO = 0.0, ONE = 1.0)
PARAMETER (ZERO = 0.0, ONE = 1.0)
Set the actual problem dimensions.
N = the mumer of variables.
MCLIN = the number of general linear constraints (may be 0).
HCNLN = the number of nonlinear constraints (may be 0).
N =9
NCLIN =4
PICNLN = 14
ABLD=N + NCLIN + NCNLN
*
Assign file mmbars and the data arrays.
NOUT = the unit numivar for printins.
IOPTNS = the unit mumber for readins the options file.
Bounis .ge. EIGBND will be treated as plus infinity.
Bounds .le. - BIGBND will be treated as minus infinity.
A = the linear constraint matrix.
BL = the lower bounds on }x\mathrm{ , a'x and e(x).
BU = the upper bounds on }x\mathrm{ , a'x and c(x).
x = the initial estimate of the solution.
NOUT =6
IOPTNS = 5
```

```
    BIGBAD = 1.00+15
40
COHITINSE
40 CONTINUE
    A(1,1) = -ONE
    A(1,2)= ONE
    A(2,2) = -ONE
    A(2,3)= ONE
    A(3,3) = ONE
    A(3,4) = -ONE
    A(4,4) = ONE
    A(4,5) = -ONE
    Set the bounds.
    DO 50 J = 1, MBND
        BL(J)= -BIGBND
        BU(J) = BIGEID
    50 CONTINNE
    BL(1) = ZERO
    BL(3) = -ONE
    BL(5) = ZERO
    BL(6) = ZERO
    BL(7) = ZERO
    BU(3) = ONTE
    BU(8) = ZERO
    BU(9) = ZERO
    Set lower bounds of zero for all four linear constraints.
    DO60 J = N+1, N+NCLIN
        EL(J) = ZERO
6 0 \text { CONTIFNE}
    Set upper bounds of one for all i4 nonlimear constraints.
    DO 70 J = N + NCLIN + I, NBNO
        BU(J) = ONE
    70 CONTINUE
    Sat the initial estimate of }X\mathrm{ .
    x(1) = .1
    X(2)}=.12
    X(3) =.666666
    X(4) =. .142857
    X(5)=.111111
    x(6) = .2
    x(7) = .25
```

* 

59
59
60
60
62


166
167 CALL HPSOL $1 N_{1}$ NCLIN, NCNLN, MRONA, NROW, NRONR,
168
$\begin{array}{lll}169 & \$ & \text { A, BL, EU, } \\ 169 & \text { CONFN2, OBJFH2 }\end{array}$
170 \& INFGRM, ITER, ISTATE,
171 C, CJAC, CLAMDA, OBJF, OBJGRD, R, $X$,
172 \$ IWORK, LILCRK, WORK, LWORK I

IF (INFORM .GT. O) 60 TO 900
STOP

- ------------

178 * Error exit.
150
181900 WRITE (NOUT, 3010) INFORM
STOP
183
1843000 FCFMAT(/ ' NPFILE terminated with INFORM $=$ ': I3)
1053010 FORtiAT(/' NFSOL terminated with INFORM $=$ ', I3)
186
187* End of the example program for NPSOL.
183
$159 \quad$ END
$199 *+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+$
191
192 SUEROUTINE OESFNII MODE, N, X, OQSF, OBJGRD, NSTATE I
173 IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION(A-H,O-Z)
194 DCLBLE PRECISION X(N), OBJGRO(N)
195

197* OBJFi! computes the value and first derivatives of the nonlimear
198* objective function.

$200 \quad$ ORJF $=-X(2) * X(6)+X(1) * X(7)-X(3) * X(7)-X(5) * X(8)$
$5315+X(4) * X(9)+X(3) * X(8)$
203 08JGPD(1) $=x(7)$
$204 \quad$ OBJGRD $(2)=-x(6)$
$205 \quad$ Objcro (3) $=-x(7)+x(8)$
$205 \quad$ OBJCRD(4) $=x(9)$
$207 \quad$ OBJERD(5) $=-X(8)$
$208 \quad$ OsJGRD( 6 ) $=-x(2)$
209 $\quad$ OJeno $71=-x(3)+X(1)$
$210 \quad$ CBJGRD 8 ( $)=-X(5)+X(3)$
$211 \quad \operatorname{CSJGRD}(9)=X(4)$
212
213 RETURN
215 End of OSJFNI.
216
217 END

219
220 SLBROUTINE CONFNI( MODE, NCNLN, $N$, NROW,

```
    $ NEEDC, X, C, CJAC, NSTATE '
    IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION(A-H,O-Z)
    INTEGER NEEDC(*)
    DOUZLE PRECISION X(N), C(*), CJAC(NROWJ,*)
        CONFNI computes the values and first derivatives of the nonlinear
        constraints.
    The zero elements of Jacobian matrix are set only ornce. This
    occurs charing the first call to CONFNI (NSTATE = I).
    PARAMETER (ZERO = 0.0, TWO = 2.0)
    IF (NSTATE .EQ. I) THEN
        First call to CONFNI. Set lll Jacobian elements to zero.
        N.B. This will only work with 'Derivative Level = 3'.
        DO 120 J=1,N
            DO 110 I = 1, NCNLN
                CJAC(I,J) = ZERO
                CONTINUE
            CONTINUE
    END IF
    IF (MEEOC(1).GT. 0) THEN
        C(1) = X(1)**2 + X(6)**2
        CJAC(1,1)= TWO*X(1)
        CJAC(1,6)= THO*X(6)
    END IF
    IF (NEEDC(Z).GT. O) THEN
        C(2) = (X(2)-X(1))**2 + (X(7) = X(6))w*2
        CJAC(2,1) = - THO*(X(2)-X(1))
        CJAC(2,2) = THO*(X(2)-X(1))
        CJAC(2,6)=-THO*(X(7)-X(6))
        CJAC(2,7)=THO*(X(7)-X(6))
    END IF
    IF (NEEDC(3) .GT. 0) THEN
        C(3) = (X(3)-X(1))**2 + X(6)**2
        CJAC(3,1) = - TwO*(X(3) - X(1))
        CJAC(3,3)=TWO*(X(3)-X(1)
        CJAC(3,6) = TWO*X(6)
    END IF
    IF (NEEDC(4).GT. 0) THEN
        C(4) = (X(i) - X(4))**2 + (X(6)-X(8)))**2
        CJAC(4,1) = TWO*(X(1)-X(4))
        CJAC(4,4) = - TKO*(X(1) - X(4))
        CJAC(4,6) = TH:O*(X(6)-X(8))
        CJAC(4,8)=-TSO*(X(6)-X(8))
```

```
END IF
IF (NEEDC(5) .GT. O) THEN
        C(5) = (X(1) - X(5))**2 + (X(6) - X(9))###2
        CJAC(5,1) = Tw0*(XIT) - X(5))
        CJAC(5,5) = - THO*(X(1) - X(51)
        CJAC(5,6) = THO*(X(6) - X(91)
        CJAC(5,9) = - T*O*(X(6) - X(9))
END IF
IF (NEEDC(6) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(6) = X(2)**2 + X(7)**2
    CJAC(6,2) = THO*X(2)
    CJAC(6,7) = THO*X(7)
END IF
IF (NEEDC(7).GT. O) THEN
    C(7) = (X(3)-X(2))**2 * X(7)**2
    CJAC(7,2) = - TKO*(X(3) - X(2))
    CJAC(7,3) = Tно*(X(3)-X(2))
    CJAC(7,7) = TWO*X(7)
END IF
IF (NEEDC(8) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(8) = (X(4)-X(2))###2 + (X(8)-X(7))##2
    CJAC(8,2) = - TWO*(X(4) - X(2))
    CJAC(B,4) = TWO*(X(4) - X(2))
    CJAC(8,7) = - THO*(X(8) - X(7))
    CJAC(8,8) = THO*(X(8)-X(7))
END If
IF (NEEDC(9) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(9) = (X(2)-X(5))**2 * (X(7)-X(9))**2
    CJAC(9,2) = TWO*(X12)-X(5))
    CJAC(9,5) = - TWO*{X(2) - X(5))
    CJAC(9,7) = TWO*(X(7) - X(9))
    CJAC(9,9) = - TWO*(X(7) - X(9))
END IF
IF (NEEDC(10) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(10) = (X(4) - X(3))w#2 + X(8)w"2
    CJAC(10,3)=- THO*(X(4)-X(3))
    CJAC(10,4) = TKO*(X(4) - X(3))
    C.JAC(10,8)= TWO*X(8)
END IF
IF (HEEDC(II) .GT. O) THEN
        C(11) = (X(5) - X(3))**2 + X(9)*#?
        CJAC(11,3)=-TwO*(X(5) - X(3))
        CJAC(11,5) = TWO*(X(5) - X(3))
        CJAC(11,7) = TWO*X(9)
END IF
IF (NEEDC(12) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(12) = X(4)**2 - X(8)w#2
```

```
    CJAC(12,4)= THONX(4)
    CJAC(12,8) = THOMX(8)
    END IF
    IF (NEEDC(13) .GT. O) THEN
        C(13) = (X(4)-X(5))#*2 * (X(9)-X(8))###
        CJAC(13,4) = TWO*(X(4) - X(5))
        CJAC(13,5) = - TWO*(X(4) - X(5))
        CJAC(13,8)= - THOW(X(9)-X(8))
        CJAC(13,9) = THO*(X(9) - X(8))
    END IF
    IF (NEEDC(14) .GT. 0) THEN
        C(14) = X(5)**2 + X(9)**2
        CJAC(14,5) = TNO*X(5)
        CJAC(14,9) = TWO*X(9)
    END IF
    RETURN
    End of CONFN1.
        END
t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t
    SUBROUTINE OBJFN2I MODE, N, X, OBJF, OBNGRD, NSTATE ,
    IMPLICIT DOUSLE PRECISION(A-H,O-Z)
    DOUBLE PRECISION X(N), OBJGROIN)
---------
* OSJFN2 computes the value and some first derivatives of the
    nonlinear objective function.
    OBNF = - X(2)*X(6)+X(1)*X(7)-X(3)*X(7)-X(5)*X(8)
        OBJGRD(3) = - X(7) +X(8)
        OBJGRD(7) = - X(3) + X(1)
        OBJGRO(8) = - X(5) + X(3)
        RETURN
        End of OBJFN2.
        END
*+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+t+
    SUBROUTINE CONFNEC MODE, NCNLN, N, NRONN,
    $ NEEDC, X, C, CJAC, NSTATE I
        IMPLICIT DOVBLE PRECISION(A-H,O-Z)
        IITTEGER NEEDC(*)
        DOUBLE PRECISION X(N),C(*), CJAE(NRONN,*)
```

| 387 | CONFN2 computes the values and the non-constant derivatives of the nonl inear constraints. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 388 |  |  |  |
| 389 |  |  |  |
| 390 | PARAMETER | (TWO $=2.0$ ) |  |
| 391 |  |  |  |
| 392 | IF (NEEDC(1) | .GT. 01 THEN |  |
| 393 | C(1) | $=X(1) * * 2+X(6) * * 2$ |  |
| 394 | CJac (1,1) | $=$ Thowx(1) |  |
| 395 | CJaC( 1,6 ) | $=$ TWO*X(6) |  |
| 306 | END IF |  |  |
| 397 |  |  |  |
| 393 | IF (NEEDC(2) | .6T. O) THEN |  |
| 399 | C(2) | $=(X 12)-X(1)) * * * 2$ * |  |
| 400 | CJAC(2,1) |  |  |
| 401 | CJAC(2,2) | $=T H O *(X(2)-X(11)$ |  |
| 402 | CJac $(2,6)$ | $=-\mathrm{THO*}(X(7)-X(6))$ |  |
| 403 | CJAC 2,7$)$ | $=\quad \mathrm{THO*}(\mathrm{X}(7)-\mathrm{X}(6))$ |  |
| 404 | END IF |  |  |
| 465 |  |  |  |
| 406 | IF (NEEDC(3) | .GT. 0) THEN |  |
| 407 | C(3) | $=(X(3)-X(1)) * * 2+$ | X(6)**2 |
| 403 | CJAC( 3,1$)$ | $=-\mathrm{TWO*}(\mathrm{X}(3)-\mathrm{X}(1))$ |  |
| 409 | CJAC (3,3) | $=$ THO*(XI3) - X (1) |  |
| 410 | CJAC( 3,6$)$ | $=T W 0 * \times(6)$ |  |
| 411 | EtD IF |  |  |
| 412 |  |  |  |
| 413 | If (NEEDC(4) | .6T. O) THEN |  |
| 414 | C(4) | $=(X(1)-X(4)) * * 2+$ | $(X(6)=X(8) 1 * * 2$ |
| 415 | CJAC( 4,1 ) | $=T W O *(X(1)-X(4))$ |  |
| 416 | CJAC( 4,4 ) | $=-\operatorname{THO*(X(1)~}-\mathrm{X}(4))$ |  |
| 417 | CJAC(4,6) | $=7 \ldots 0 \times(X(6)-X(8))$ |  |
| 418 | CJAC 4,8 ) | $=-$ THO*(X(6) - $\mathrm{X}(8)$ ) |  |
| 419 | END IF |  |  |
| 420 |  |  |  |
| 421 | IF (NEEDC(5) | .GT. O) THEN |  |
| $42 ?$ | C(5) | $=(X 1)-X(5)\}$ **2 | $(x(6)-x(9)) * * 2$ |
| 423 | CJAC(5,9) | $=$ TWO*(X(1) - X(5)) |  |
| 424 | CJAC( 5,5$)$ | $=-$ THO*(X(1) - X ${ }^{\text {(5) }}$ ) |  |
| 425 | CJAC(5,6) | $=$ THOW(X(6) - X ${ }^{\text {(9) }}$ ) |  |
| 426 | CJAC(5,9) | $=-760 *(X(6)-X(9))$ |  |
| 427 | END IF |  |  |
| 428 |  |  |  |
| 429 | IF (NEEDC(6) | .6T. O) THEN |  |
| 430 | C(6) | $=X(2) * * 2+X(7) * * 2$ |  |
| 431 | CJAC( 6,2$)$ | $=$ TWO*X(2) |  |
| 432 | CJAC( 6,7 ) | $=\mathrm{THO} \times \mathrm{X} 7$ ) |  |
| 433 | End IF |  |  |
| 434 |  |  |  |
| 435 | IF (NEEDCI7) | .6T. 0) THEN |  |
| 436 | C 7 ) | $=(X(3)-X(2)) * * 2+$ | $x(7) * * 2$ |
| 437 | CJAC( 7,21 | $=-740 *(X(3)-X(2))$ |  |
| 438 | CJAC 7,3$)$ | $=$ THOW(X(3) - X 21$)$ |  |
| 439 | CJAC( 7,7) | $=$ TWO*X( 7 ) |  |
| 440 | END IF |  |  |

```
IF (NEEDC(8).GT. O) THEN
    C(8) = (X(4)-X(2))**2 + (X(8)-X(7))**2
    CJAC(8,2) = - TNO*(X(4) - X(2))
    CJAC(8,4) = TNON(X(4) - X(2))
    CJAC(8,7)=-TWO*(X(8)-X(7))
    CJAC(8,8) = TNO*(X(8)-X(7))
END IF
IF (NEEDC(9).GT. 0) THEN
    C(9) = (X(2)-X(5))**2 + (X(7)-X(9))**e
    CJAC(9,2) = TWO*(X(2)-X(5))
    CJAC(9,5) = - TWO*(X(2) - X(5))
    CJAC(9,7) = THO*(X(7)-X(9))
    CJAC}(9,9)=-\operatorname{THO*(XI7) - X(9))
END IF
IF (NEEDC(10: .GT. O) THEN
    C(10) = (X(4)-X(3))**2 + X(8)**2
    CJAC(10,3) = - THO*(X(4) - X(3))
    CJAC(10,4) = TNO*(X(4)-X(3))
    CJAC(10,8)= TWO*X(B)
END IF
IF (NEEDC(II) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(II) = (X(5) - X(3))**2 + X(9)m*2
    CJAC(11,3) = - TWO*(X(5) - X(3))
    CJAC(11,5)= TWO*(X(5)-X(3))
    CJAC(11,9)= TWO*X(9)
END IF
IF (NEEDC(12) .GT. 0) THEN
    C(12) = X(4)**2 + X(8)**2
    CJAC(12,4) = THO*X(4)
    CJAC(12,8) = TWO*X(8)
END IF
IF (NEEDC(13) .GT. O) THEN
    C(13) = (X(4)-X(5))**2 * (X(9)-X(8))###2
    CJAC(13,4) = TWO*(X(4)-X(5))
    CJAC(13,5) = - THO*(X(4) - X(5))
    CJAC(13,8) = - TNO*(X(9) - X(8))
    CJAC(13,9)=THO*(X(9)-X(8))
END IF
IF (NEEDC(14) .GT, 0) THEN
    C(14) = X(5)**2 + X(9)**2
    CJAC(14,5) = TWO*X(5)
    CJAC(14,9) = TWO*X(9)
END IF
RETURN
End of CONFN2.
END
```




The largest relotive error was $2.13 E-11$ In rom 9, eolumin 2

Verificetion of the objective gredients.

The objective eradients seen to be di.
Directionsl derivetive of the dblective Difference approximation
$1.20539630 E-01$
$1.2053930 E-01$


The lurgest relative error mas $2.21 E-11$ In element 7


| N | 2 | Cond $T$ | Morm C | Persilty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.E*00 | 1.E+00 | 1.E400 | 8.8E-01 | 0.0E*00 | FFF |
| 1.E402 | 7.E+00 | 2.E+00 | 8.6E-01 | 1.3E+00 | F FF |
| 9.E+00 | 1.E+00 | 2.E+00 | 1.3E-01 | 1.3E*00 | F FF |
| $4.8+0)$ | 2.E+00 | 2.E400 | 1.1E-01 | 1.3E*00 | F FF |
| 3.E+01 | 1.E+00 | 2.E*00 | 1.4E-02 | 1.3E+00 | $F \mathrm{FF}$ |
| 3.E+01 | 2.E+00 | 2.E40 | 9.1E-04 | 1. $3 E+00$ | F FF |
| 3.E*0t | 2.E400 | 2.E+00 | 5.7E-05 | 1. $3 E+00$ | F FF |
| 1.E*02 | 2.E400 | 2.E+00 | 3.1E-04 | $6.8 E+00$ | F FF |
| 1. $E+02$ | 3.E+00 | 2.E400 | 9.0E-07 | $6.8 E+00$ | F |
| 3. $E+01$ | 2.E400 | 2.E +00 | 1.2E-08 | $6.8 E+00$ | F |
| 4.E+01 | 2.E400 | 2.E*00 | 6.4E-11 | $6.8 E 400$ | $F$ |
| 1. E+02 | 2.E*00 | 2.E*00 | 4.7E-14 | $6.8 E+00$ | T T |

Exit HP phase. INFORH $=0$ MAJITS $=11$ NFUN $=12$ NERAD $=12$

Final monl imear objective value $=-1.309963$

| Calls to MPOPTN |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
|  | Derivative level |
|  | Verify |
| Marin Start | No |
| Major iterations | 20 |
| Major print level | 10 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SOLNPSOL =- Version 4.0 Feb 1986 } \\
& =========================\pi=x====\pi==
\end{aligned}
$$



## Computation of the finite-difference Intervals

| J | X(J) | Formard 0X(J) | Central DX(J) | Error est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.09E-02 | 1.935067E-06 | 1.935067E-05 | 1.979764E-08 |
| 2 | $6.08 E-01$ | 2.904821E-06 | 2.904821E-05 | 1.318833E-08 |
| 3 | 1. $00 E+00$ | 3.613750E-07 | 3.613750E-06 | 0.000000E +00 |
| 4 | 6.08E-01 | 2.904821E-06 | 2.904821E-05 | 1.318833E-08 |
| 5 | 7.09E-02 | 1.935067E-06 | 1.935067E-05 | 1.979764E-08 |
| 6 | 3.54E-01 | 2.446096E-06 | $2.446096 E-05$ | 1.566159E-00 |
| 7 | $5.10{ }^{\text {a }} 11$ | 2.728381E-07 | 2.728381E-06 | 0.000000E 400 |


| $8-4.90 E-01$ | $2.692244 E-07$ | $2.692244 E-06$ | $0.000000 E+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9-3.34 E-01$ | $2.409958 E-06$ | $2.409958 E-05$ | $1.589644 E-08$ |

82 constant comstraint gradient elements assigmed.
o corstant objective gradient elements assfaned.

All aissins Jacobian elements are corstants. Derivative loval increased to 2

| Itn | Itap | Step | Nfun | Merit | Bnd | Lin | Mln | He | Norm 6f | Norm 62 | Cond H | Cond Hz | Cond 1 | Norm C | Penalty | Conv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0.0E+00 |  | -1.349188E+00 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1.8E*00 | 1.5E-02 | 3.E+01 | 4.E +00 | 1.E400 | 2.8E-02 | 2.2E400 | FF |
| 1 | 1 | $1.0 E+00$ | 3 | -1.34996 3E+00 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1.8E*00 | 1.3E-03 | 1.E402 | 7.E+00 | 1.E+00 | 3.0E-04 | 3.0E+02 | F FFM |
| 2 | 1 | 1.0E+00 | 4 | $-1.347963 E+00$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1.8E+00 | 3.5E-04 | 6.E+01 | $6 . E+00$ | 2.E*OO | 7.8E-07 | 2.1E+01 | F FF |
| 3 | 1 | $1.0 E+00$ | 5 | $-1.349963 E+00$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1. $\cdot 8 E+00$ | 2.0E-04 | 8.E+OI | 3.E+00 | 2.E+00 | 2.3E-08 | 7.7E+00 | FF |
| 4 | 1 | 1.0E+00 | 6 | $-1.349963 E+00$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1.8E*00 | 7.4E-06 | 9.E+01 | 3.E+00 | 2.E+00 | 3. 9E-08 | 7.7E+00 | F FF |
| 5 | 1 | 1.0E+00 | 7 | $-1.349963 E+00$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1. 8 E + 00 | 5.9E-07 | 2.E+02 | 3.E+00 | 2.E+00 | 4.0E-11 | 7.7E +00 | TT |
| 6 | 1 | 1.0E+00 | 8 | $-1.349963 E+00$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | $1.85+00$ | 2.6E-09 | 6.E+01 | 2.E+00 | 1. E+00 | 2.0E-13 | 7.7E+00 | $T \pi$ |

Exit NP phase. INFORH $=0$ MAJITS $=6$ NFUN $=6$ NGRAD $=7$

| Variable |  | State | Velue | Lower bound | Upper bound | Legr multiplier | Renidunl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VARBL | 1 | FR | 0.6094665E-01 | 0.0000000E +00 | Norne | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.6095E-01 |
| VARBL | 2 | FR | 0.5976493 | Nome | Norse | $0.0000000{ }^{\text {- }} 000$ | 0.1000 * 16 |
| VAFBL | 3 | UL | 1.000000 | -1.0000000 | 1.000000 | -0.6875429 | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| VARBL | 4 | FR | 0.5976493 | Horre | Horve | 0.0000000E400 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+16$ |
| VARBL | 5 | FR | 0.6094665E-01 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | Nome | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.6095E-01 |
| VARBL | 6 | FR | 0.3437715 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | Nore | $0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3438 |
| VARBL | 7 | FR | 0.5000000 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | Nome | $0.0000000 E * 00$ | 0.5000 |
| VAFGL | 8 | FR | -0.5000000 | Mona | $0.0000000 E+00$ | $0.0000000 \mathrm{~F}+00$ | 0.5000 |
| VARBL | 9 | FR | -0.3437715 | Hone | $0.0000000 E+00$ | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.3438 |
| Limear | constr | State | Value | Lower bound | Upper bound | Lagr multiplier | Residual |
| LICON | 1 | FR | 0.5367026 | $0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | Norre | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.5367 |
| LITCON | 2 | FR | 0.4023507 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | Nome | 0.0000000E + 00 | 0.4024 |
| LICON | 3 | FR | 0.4023507 | 0.0000000E +00 | Norre | $0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.4024 |
| LrCON | 4 | FR | 0.5367027 | 0.0000000E\$00 | Norne | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.5367 |
| Nonlrr | consir | stete | Value | Lower bound | Upper bound | Lagr multiplier | Residusl |
| HLCON | 1 | FR | 0.1218933 | None | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.8781 |
| NLCON | 2 | FR | 0.3124571 | Nome | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.6875 |
| HLCON | 3 | UL. | 1.000000 | None | 1.000000 | -0.8318406E-01 | -0.1652E-12 |
| NLCON | 4 | UL | 1.000000 | Nome | 1.000000 | -0.3202625 | -0.1104E-12 |
| H! CON | 5 | FR | 0.4727152 | Hone | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5273 |
| HLCOH | 6 | FR | 0.6071847 | Norse | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.3928 |
| HLCON | 7 | FR | 0.4118861 | Nome | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 \mathrm{~F}+00$ | 0.5881 |
| NLCON | 8 | UL | 1.000000 | Norne | 1.000000 | -0.1992983 | 0.0000E+00 |
| HLCON | 9 | UL | 1.000000 | Norve | 1.000000 | -0. 3202625 | -0.0882E-14 |
| MLCCH | 10 | FR | 0.4118861 | Nome | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.5881 |
| HLCEH | 11 | UL | 1.000000 | Norre | 1.000000 | -0.8318406E-01 | -0.2665E-13 |
| HLCO:J | 12 | FR | 0.6071847 | Norre | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3928 |
| HLCON | 13 | FR | 0.3124571 | Nore | 1.000000 | $0.0000000 E+00$ | 0.6875 |
| HLCON | 14 | FR | 0.1218933 | Norve | 1.000000 | 0.n-1000E + 00 | 0.8781 |

Exit NPSOL - Optimal solution found.
Final nonlimer objective value $=-1.34996$

## INDEX

$A_{L}$ (general linear constraint matrix), $1,5,21$.
$A_{N}$ (Jacobian of nonlinear constraints), 2, 5 (also see Jacohian matrix).
A, 7 (definition).
Accuracy
desired in optimal solution, 21-22, 27 (also see Optimality Tolerance).
of finitc-difference gradients, 19.
of linesearch, 20.
of nonlincar constraints at solution, 22, 27
(also see Nonlinear Feasibility Toleranco).
of projected gradient at solution, 22, 27.
Accurate linesearch, when appropriate, 20.
Active constraints
definition, 2.
predicted, 3, 24.
residuals at solution, 8, 20, 22.
Active simple bound, 2 (also see Fixed variable).
Algorithm of NPSOL, description, 2-6.
$\alpha$ (step length in major iteration), $2,4,6,22,24$.
choice of, 4, 6 .
printed value, 24.
Aindahl 470, 30.
ANSI (1977) Fortran, 1, 30.
Approximate
gradients (see Finite-difference approximations).
Hessian of Lagrangian function, 3, 4, 6, $19,21$. ASCII, 30.
Assignment of constant elements in Jacobian, 10.
Attainable accuracy, 18.
Augmented Lagrangian merit function, 4, 6, 20. printed value, 24.
Automatic computation of finite-diference intervals, 18-19.

BAD? 23.
Badly scaled problems, 19.
Begin (in options file), 15-16.
BFGS quasi-Newton update, 4, 6 (also see Approximate Hessian of Lagrangian function).
BICBND 8, 10-20, 26.
BL. 78 (drfinition), $9,26$.
BLAS, 31.
Level 2, 31.
Bnd, 3, 24.
Bounds and lincar constraints, separate treatment of, 3, 4, 6, 9, 17.
BU. 8 (definition), 0, 28.
Burroughs 6700 and 7700, 30.
$c(x)$ (nonlinear constraints), $1,3,6$.
printout of, 21.
$C$ (predicted active set), 2, 3.
$C_{\text {fR }}, 2,3,5$.
C (array of nonlinear constraints), 10 (definition), 13.
$c$ (printed indication of switch to central differences), 25.
CDC 6000 and 7000, 30 .
Central Difference Interval, 17 (defnition).
Central differences, switch to, 25.

Cheap gradient test, 23.
Checklist of optional parameters, 23.
Cholesky factor, 3, 5, 6, 10.
cJAC, 10 (definition), 14.
CLAMDA, 10 (definition), 17.
Cold Start, $0,10,17$ (definition).
Comment (in optional parameter specification), 15.

Common blocks, list of, 32.
Cond $\mathrm{H}, 25$.
Cond $\mathrm{Hz}, 25$.
Cond T, 25.
Conditions for optimality, 2, 8, 21-22, 25, 27.
CONFUN (uscr-provided subroutine)
calls needed for unspecified Jacobian elements, 18.
definition as parameter of NPSOL, 8.
specification, 13-14.
Constant Jacobian elements
assignment of $10,14$.
automatic computation of, 14, 35.
Constrained linear least-squares, 1.
Constrained stationary point for QP, 5.

## Constraints

dependencies, resolution of, 27, 28-29.
nonlinear, specification by user (see CONFON).
status indicator (see ISTITE).
violation, maximum acceptable, 19, 20 (also see Linear Feasibility Tolerance and Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance).
Conv (printout of convergence test status), 25.
Convergence test, 21-22 (also see Optimality conditions).
Cost
of automatic computation of finite-difference intervals, 19.
of unspecified objective gradient elements, 18.
of unspecified Jacobian clements, 18.
Crash Tolerance, 17, 18 (also see Cold start).
Cray-1 and Cray-2, 30.
Cyber, 30.
d (search direction in QP method), 4-5.
Data General MV/8000, 30.
DEC Systems 10 and 20, 30.
DEC VAX, 30.
Default values of optional parameters, checklist of, 23.
Defaults (optional parameter), 16-17.
Dependencies, constraint, resolution, 27, 28-29.
Derivative
checking (see Verify).
finite-difference (see Finite-difference approximations).
specification (see Derivative Level).
Derivative Level, $3,10,12,13,14,18,35$.
Diagonals
of $R$, printout, 21.
of $T$, printout, 21.
Difference Interval, 4, 14, 18.
use in approximating unspecified gradients, 19.
use in verification of gradients, 18.
Discontinuities, isolated, 1.
Distribution tape, format of, 30.

Double precision
table of machine constants, 33.
version of code, 30.
double, 7.
Effects of ill-conditioning, 27, 28-20.
End (in options file), 15-16.
EPS, 32.
$\epsilon$ (machine precision), 17, 19, 32, 03.
$\epsilon_{R}$ (function precision), 17, 10, 21, 27.
EQ (printed constraint status), 25.
Equality constraint, 1, 8.
Errors in gradients, 20.
Estimated Lagrange multiplier (see Lagrange multiplier).
Example problem for NPSOL, 34-35.
Exterual file, use for option specification, 15-16.
$F(x)$ (objective function), 1.
Facom, 30.
Failure in linesearch, 28.
Feasibility phase in QP method, 4, 5, 20.
selection of initial working set, 9.
Feasibility Tolerance, 19 (definition).
Finite-difference
approximations to gradients, 1, 3, 12.
checking of gradients (sce Verify).
intervals, automatic computation, 14, 19.
tradeoffs in computing, 18.
First-order Kuhn-Tucker conditions, 2, 8, 22, 27.
Fixed variable, 2, 4, 8.
Formal parameters of NPSOL, 7.
Format of distribution tape, 30.
Fortran 77, 1, 31.
Fortran subroutines, naming convention, 31-32.
FR (subscript), 3 (definition), 4 (also see Free variable).
FR (printed constraints status), 25.
Free variable, 2, 3, 4.
Fujitsu, 30.
Function precision (see $\epsilon_{R}$ ).
Function Precision, 17, 19 (definition), 27.
FX (subscript), 3 (definition), 4.
$g(x)$ (objective gradient), 2.
$g_{\mathrm{FR}}, 2$.
Gabor, Zsa Zsa, 19.
Global convergence, 6.
Gradient
approximations (see Finite-difference approximations).
constraint (see Jacobian matrix).
of Lagrangian function, 6.
projected (see Projected gradient).
specification by uscr (see CONFON and 0BJFOM).
$H$ (approximate Hessian of Lagrangian function), 3, 6, 10, 25.
$H_{Q}, 4,6,19,25$.
$\tilde{H}, 19$.
$H_{z}, 25$.
HDWIRE, 32.
Hessian approximation (see Approximate Hescian of Lagrangian function).

Hessian, 19 (definition).
Hessian, transformed and rcordered (see $H_{Q}$ ).
Hexagon example, 34.
Hitachi, 30.
Honeywell, 30.
I (printout indicating infeasible QP subproblem), 24, 25.
IBM
360/370 and 3033/3081, 30.
VS Fortran, 31.
ICL 2900 series, 30.
Identity matrix, in resetting Hessian, 29.
II conditioning, effects of, 27, 28-29.
Implementation information, 30-33.
Inaccuracies, effect of, 28.
Inaccurate linesearch, 20.
Inconsistent linear constraints, treatment, 28.
Incorrect gradients, 8, 28 (also sec Verify).
Incquality constraints (nonlincar), treatment in merit function, 6.
Infeasible problem
in QP subproblem, 5, 9, 24, 25, 28.
for bounds and linear constraints, 4, 8, 28.
for nonlinear constraints, 8, 28.
Infcasibilities, 4, 5, 24, 25.
Infinite Bound Size (BIG日ND), 10 (definition).
Infinite lower or upper bound, $1,8$.
Infinite Step Size, 20 (definition).
INFORM, 8 (definition).
Initial working set in QP subproblem, with Cold Start, 9, 17-18.
with Warm Start, 9, 17.
Input parameter, invalid, 8, 29.
Installation procedure, 30.
Interpretation of results, 27-29.
Invalid input parameter, 8, 29.
IOPTNS (options file number), 15-16.
Isolated discontinujties, 1.
Istate, 0 (definition), 17. printout, 25, 26.
ItER, 8 (definition).
Iteration Limit, 20 (definition).
Iters, 20.
Itn (printed value), 24.
Itre, 20.
It AP (printed value), 24.
IV, 11 (definition).
Jacobian matrix (nonlinear constraints), 2, 3, 8, 10, 14.
assignment of constant elements, $10,14$.
specification by user (see compun).
unspecified elements, 18.
Kuhn-Tucker conditions, first-order, 2, 8, 22, 27.
Keyword in option specification, 15.

[^0]$\lambda, 2,0$ (also see Lagrange multiplier).
LENIV, 11 (definition).
LENW, 11 (definition).
Level 2 BLAS, 31.
Limiting accuracy, 18.
Lin, 3, 24.
Linear constr, $\delta 6$.
Linear Feasibility Tolerance, 4, 9, 20 (definition).
adjustinent to avoid overflow, 27.
Linear least-squares code (see LSSOL).
Lines of code in NPSOL, $1,30$.
Linesearch, 4, 0, 20 (also see Step length).
effect of accuracy, 20.
routines for, 32 .
Linesearch Tolerance, 20 (definition).
LL (printed constraint status), 25.
LNCON, 26.
Local minimum (see Optimality conditions).
Lower bound (in printout), 26.
LSSOL, 1, 3, 4.
$m$ (number of constraints in predicted active set), 3.
$m_{L}$ (number of general linear constraints), 1.
$m_{N}$ (number of nonlinear constraints), 1.
$M$ (printed indicator of modified Hessian update), B, 25, 28.
Machine constants
computation of, 32.
tables of, 33.
Machine precision (see \&).
Major iteration, 2.
Major Iteration Limit, 8. 20 (definition), 28.
Major Print Level, 8, 11, 20 (definition), 24, 25.
Maximum acceptable constraint violations (see Linear Feasibility Tolerance and Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance).
mChPar. 32 (also see Machine constants).
Merit function, 4, 6, 20, 24.
Merit (printed value), 24.
Method
of NPSOL, description, 2-6.
QP, 4-5.
Minimum abbreviation (of optional parameter), 15.

Minimum sum of infeasibilities in QP, 5 (also see Feasibility phase).
Minor itcration (within QP method), 2, 3, 4-5.
Minor Iteration Limit, 21 (definition).
Minor Print Level, 21 (definition), 24.
MINOS, 1 .
MODE
in CONFON, 13.
in OBjFun, 12.
Modification of quasi-Newton update, 6, 25, 28.
$\mu_{N}, 6$.
Multiplier (see Lagrange multiplier).
$n$ (number of variables), 1,3 (also see II).
$n_{F H}$ (number of free variables), $2,3$.
$n_{F x}$ (number of fixed variables), 2 (also see Bnd).
$n_{z}, 3,24$.
m, 7 (definition), 12, 13.

Naming convention, Fortran subroutincs, 31-32.
Natural order of variables, 10.
nBASE, 32.
NCLIN, 7 (definition) (also see $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{L}}$ ).
NCNLA, 7 (definition), 13.
ndigit, 32.
neEDC, 13.
Nfun (printed value), 24.
NIN, 32.
NLCON, 26.
Nin (nrinted value), 3, 24.
No feasible point
for bounds and linear constraints, 4, 8, 28.
for nonlinear constraints, 8, 28.
in QP subproblem, 5, 9, 24, 25, 28.
No progress in linesearch, 8, 28.
Nolist option, 16.
Non-existent lower or upper bound, 1, 8.
None (in printout), 26.
NOUT, 32.
Nonlinear Feasibility Tolerance, 9,20 (deEnition), 21.
adjustment to avoid overflow, 27.
Nonlinear constraints
inequality, in merit function, 6.
predicted active set, 3.
specification by user (see comfun).
violated, residuals of, 25.
Nonlinear optimization, routines for, 32.
Nonint constr, 28.
Norm C, 25.
Norm Cf, 3, 25.
Norm Gz, 3, 25.
NP (problem statement), 1, 2.
NPFILE, 15-16.
MPOPTN, 16.
list, sample 16.
NPSOL
algorithm of, 2-6.
lines of code, $1,30$.
parameters of, 7-11.
specification, 7.
solving related problems, 17.
nrown, 7 (definition).
mROWJ, 7 (definition), 13.
nrowr, 7 (definition).
NSTATE, 12, 14.
Null space, 3.
dimension of (see $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{z}}$ ).
$\mathrm{Hz}, 24$.
Objective (printed value), 24.
Objective function $(F(x)), 1$. precision of (see $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ ).
specification by user (see OBJPUN).
OBJF, 10 (definition), 12.
OBJFUN (user-provided subroutine)
calls needed for unspecified gradient elements, 18.
definition as parameter of NPSOL, 8.
specification, 12-13.
OBJGRD, 10 (definition), 12.
ox, 23.
Optimal

Lagrange multiplier, 5.
solution (see Optimality conditions).
Optimality
conditions, 2, 8, 21-22, 25, 27.
phase, in QP method, 4, 5, 9, 21.
Optimality Tolerance, 20, 21-22 (definition), 25, 27.

Option-handling routines, 31.
Optional parameters, definition, 15-23.
Options file, 15-16.
Ordering of variables, 10.
Orthogonal transformation, 3.
Output (see Printout).
Overflow, 27.
$p$ (search direction in major iteration), 2, 4.
Parameters
of CONFON, 13-14.
of NPSOL, 7-11.
of OBJFON, 12-13.
Penalty parameters (in merit function), 6, 25.
Penalty (printed value), 25.
Phase 1 (see Feasibility phase).
Phase 2 (see Optimality phase).
Phrase (to modify optional parameter), 15.
Positive-definite Hessian approximation (see Approximate Hessian of Lagrangian function).
Precision
function (see $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ ).
machine (see c).
of linear constraints, relation to Linear Feasibllity Tolerance, 28.
Predicted active set (see Active constraints and Working set).
Preloading constaut Jacobian elements, 10, 14.
Primal method (for QP), 4.
Prime Systems, 30.
Print Level, 20 (definition).
Printout
control of, 20-21.
description, 24-26.
Progranming errors, symptoms, 29.
Projected gradient
of nonlinear objective, 2, 3, 8, 21-22, 25.
of QP subproblem, 5.
$Q, 3,6$.
$Q_{F R}, 3,5$.
Quadratic program
method of LSSOL, 4-5.
multipliers, 3, 5, 6 .
subproblem, 2, 4-5.
Qualifying phrase (in optional parameter), 15.
Quasi-Newton
approximation (see Approximate Hesuian of Lagrangian function).
update, 4, 0.
QPSOL, 1.
R, 3
$R_{z}, 5,25$.
R, 10 (definition), 19, 21.
Rank-one update to $\boldsymbol{R}, 6$.

Rank-two modification (sce Quasi Newton update).
real, 7.
Re-ordered Hessian (see Approximate Hessian of Lagrangian function).
References, 36.
Related problems, solved by NPSOL, 17.
Resetting
Hessian matrix, to overcome ill-conditioning, 29.
optional parameters to defaults, 16-17.
Residual (printed value), 26.
Residuals, constraint
allowed maximum at solution (see Linear Feasibility Tolerance and Nonlinear Feasibility folerance).
in optimality conditions, 22
Resolution of constraint dependencies, 27, 28-29.
Reverse-triangular matrix, 3 (also see T).
$\rho$ (see Penalty parameters).
RMAX, 32.
RMIK, 32.
RTEP8, 32.
RTMAX, 32.
BTNIM, 32.
Scaling techniques, 10.
Search direction
in major iteration, 2, 4.
in QP subproblem, 4-5.
Separate treatment of bounds and linear constraints, 3, 4, 6, $9,17$.
Sequential quadratic programming algorithm (see SQP algorithm).
$\sigma$ (step length in QP method), 5.
Single precision
table of machine constants, 33.
version of code, 30.
Singularities in objective function, 27.
Slack variables in merit function, 6.
Source files, list, 30.
Sparse problems, 1.
Specification
of CONFON, 13-14.
of NPSOL, 7.
of OBJFON, 12-13.
SQP algorithm, 2-4, 6.
start Constraint Check, 22 (definition).
Start Objective Check, 22 (definition).
State (printed value), 25.
Status of constraints (see ISTATE).
Step (printed value), 24.
Step length
in major iteration ( $\alpha$ ), 2, 4, 6, 22, 24.
in QP method ( $\sigma$ ), 5.
Stop Constraint Check, 22 (definition).
Stop objective Check, 22 (definition).
Sufficient decrease (see Step length).
Sum of infeasibilities
in QP, 4, 5 .
of nonlinear constraints, 22, 25.
Synonyms (for optional parameters), 15.

$$
\text { T, 3, 5, 21, } 25 .
$$

Tape characteristics, 30. format, 30.
Termination criteria, 8, 20 (also see Optimality conditions). user-controlled, 8 (see MODE).
$T Q$ factorization, $3,5$.
Transformed and re-ordered Hessian (see Approximate Hessian of Lagrangian function).
Tyo-phase primal method for QP, 4.
$u$ (vector of upper bounds), 1, 3, 8 (also see BV).
UL (printed constraint status), 25.
Unbounded objective function, 20.
Underflow, 27.
Univac 1100, 30.
Unspecified derivatives, 1, 18.
Update of Hessian approximation (see Quasi-Newton update).
of working set in QP method, 5.
Updating matrix factorizations, routines for, 31.
Upper bound (in printout), 28.
Upper-triangular matrix (see Cholesky factor).
User-requested termination (see MODE).
User-supplied subroutines, 12-14.

Valid option strings, examples of, 16.
Value (printed value), 26.
VARBL, 25.
Variable, 25.
Verification of gradients, 4, 18, 22-23, 29.
Verify, 4, 12, 22 (definition).
Verify Level, 22 (definition).
Vertex, 5.
Violations, constraint (see Infeasibilities).
W, 11 (definition).
Warm start, example of, 35
Warm Start, 9, 10, 17 (definition).
Well scaled problems, 19.
WHACH, 32 (also see Machine constants).
Working precision (see c).
Working set, 3, 4, 9.
changes in, 5 .
initial, in QP, 17-18.
Condition estimate (see Cond T).
Workspace parameters, 11.
$x$ (vector of unknowns), $1,2$. printout, 25.
$x, 11$ (definition), 12, 13.
$\xi$ (Lagrange multipliers for active bounds), 2.
$x^{*}$ (solution of NP), 2, 3.
$\boldsymbol{Y}, 3$.
$Z$ (basis for null space), $2,5,24$.
$Z^{T} g_{F R}, 2,3$.
Zero Jacobian elements, 14.
-- (printed constraint status), 25 (also see Infer sible problem).
+* (printed constraint atatus), 25 (also see Infeasible problenn).
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## ABSTRACT: USER'S GUIDE FOR NPSOL (VERSION 4.0): A FORTRAN PACKAGE POR NORLINEAR PROGRAMMING by Philip E. Gill, Walter Marray, Michael A. Saunders and Margaret H. Wright.

This report forms the user's guide for Version 4.0 of NPSOL, a set of Fortran subroutines designed to minimize a smooth function subject to constraints, which may include simple bounds on the variables, linear constraints and smooth nonlinear constraints. (NPSOL may also be used for unconstrained, bound-constrained and linearly constrained optimization.) The user must provide subroutines that define the objective and constraint functions and (optionally) their gradients. All matrices are treated as dense, and hence NPSOL is not intended for large sparse problems.

NPSOL uses a sequential quadratic programming (SQP) algorithm, in which the search direction is the solution of a quadratic programming ( $Q P$ ) subproblem. The algorithm treats bounds, linear constraints and nonlinear constraints separately. The Hessian of each $Q P$ subproblem is a positive-definite quasi-Newton approximation to the Hessian of the Lagrangian function. The steplength at each iteration is required to produce a sufficient decrease in an augmented Lagrangian merit function. Each $Q P$ subproblem is solved using a quadratic programming package with several features that improve the efficiency of an SQP algorithm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E N D \\
& D T C \\
& 1-86
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    $\ell$ (lower bound vector), 1, 3, 7-8 (also see BL).
    Lack of progress in major iteration, 28.
    Lagr multiplier (printed value), 28.
    Lagrange multiplier, 2, 3, 6, 10, 20. of QP subproblem, 5, 21.
    optimal, 5.

