# USER'S MANUAL FOR DYNA2D -AN EXPLICIT TWO-DIMENSIONAL HYDRODYNAMIC FINITE-ELEMENT CODE WITH INTERACTIVE REZONING 

John O. Hallquist

February, 1982


## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

# USER'S MANUAL FOR DYNA2D -AN EXPLICIT TWO-DIMENSIONAL HYDRODYNAMIC FINITE ELEMENT CODE WITH INTERACTIVE REZONING 

John O. Hallquist<br>Methods Development Group<br>Mechanical Engineering Department



## CONTENTS

Page
ABSTRACT ..... 1
BACKGROUND ..... 1
CODE DOCUMENTATION ..... 2
SPATIAL DISCRETIZATION ..... 2
MATERIAL MODELS ..... 3
HE BURN ..... 4
ARTIFICIAL VISCOSITY ..... 5
GRAVITY ..... 6
LOADING FUNCTIONS ..... 6
SLIDE-LINES ..... 6
REZONING ..... 6
CAPACITY ..... 7
CODE ORGANIZATION ..... 7
SENSE SWITCH CONTROLS ..... 8
EXECUTION ..... 8
EXECUTION SPEEDS ..... 10
AUTOMATED INPUT GENERATION ..... 10
POST-PROCESSING ..... 10
DYNA2D User's Guide ..... 11

1. Title Card ..... 11
2. Control Cards ..... 12
3. Material Cards ..... 17
4. Nodal Point Cards ..... 53
5. Element Cards ..... 55
6. High Explosive Burn Definitions ..... 57
7. Nodal Printout Blocks ..... 60
8. Element Printout Blocks ..... 61
9. Time History Curve Cards ..... 62
10. Concentrated Nodal Load and Follower Force Cards ..... 63
11. Pressure and Shear Boundary Condition Cards ..... 64
12. Velocity Boundary Condition Cards ..... 66
13. Base Acceleration in r-Direction ..... 67
14. Base Acceleration in z-Direction ..... 68
15. Angular Velocity ..... 69
16. Initial Conditions ..... 70
17. Stonewalls ..... 71
18. Slide-Line Control Cards ..... 73
19. Slide-Line Definitions ..... 74
20. Slide-Line Intersections ..... 75
21. Density vs. z-Coordinate Curve ..... 76
22. Momentum Deposition Data ..... 76
RESTART INPUT DECK ..... 77
23. Title Card ..... 78
24. Control Card ..... 79
25. Time-History Cards ..... 80
26. Deleted Slide-Lines ..... 81
27. Deleted Element Blocks ..... 82
28. Deleted Material Blocks ..... 83
29. Slide-Line Control Cards ..... 84
30. Slide-Line Definitions ..... 85
31. Slide-Line Intersections ..... 86
COMMANDS FOR REZONING ..... 87
32. Command Definitions ..... 87
33. Example ..... 89
EXAMPLE PROBLEM
Shaped Charge Calculation ..... 99
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... 101
REFERENCES ..... 102
AFPENUIX A. USE OF SLIDE-LINES ..... 105

# USER'S MANUAL FOR DYNA2D -. AN EXPLICIT TWO-DIMENSIONAL HYDRODYNAMIC FINITE ELEMENT CODE WITH INTERACTIVE REZONING 


#### Abstract

This revised report provides an updated user's manual for DYNA2D, an explicit two-dimensional axisymmetric and plane strain finite element code for analyzing the large deformation dynamic and hydrodynamic response of inelastic solids. A contact-impact algorithm permits gaps and sliding along material interfaces. By a specialization of this algorithm, such interfaces can be rigidly tied to admit variable zoning without the need of transition regions. Spatial discretization is achieved by the use of 4 -node solid elements, and the equations-of-motion are integrated by the central difference method. An interactive rezoner eliminates the need to terminate the calculation when the mesh becomes too distorted. Rather, the mesh can be rezoned and the calculation continued. The command structure for the rezoner is described and illustrated by an example.


## BACKGROUND

DYNA2D [1] was developed over five years ago and has since been applied in the analysis of a large number of problems. Originally, DYNA2D had a variety of elements including nine node Lagrange elements, constant pressure variable node elements with four integration points and constant stress quadrilaterals with single points integration. Three solution schemes were available:

- Finite element (Galerkin).
- Finite element (Petrov-Galerkin).
- Finite difference [2].

[^0]The first scheme which included the variable node elements was eliminated because the radial weighting in axisymmetry caused centerline difficulties in large deformation, hydrodynamic calculations. Furthermore, variable node elements proved to be very expensive relative to the constant stress four node elements and, consequently, were seldom exercised. The finite difference scheme was eliminated since the second method gave comparable results with a smaller storage requirement.

Early in the development of DYNA2D, the author, reinforced by conversations with Goudreau [3] and our experiences with the finite difference method, decided to attempt a solution to the axisymmetric axis problems of the Galerkin finite element method by weighting the momentum equations in the discretization by the product of the basis functions and the reciprocal of the radius, $\mathrm{r}^{-1}$. The attempt worked so well, the resulting scheme remains as the sole option in DYNA2D. We referred to the method as "area Galerkin" since it eliminated the radial weighting. Later conversations with Hughes [4] lead us to call the method by its proper name, Petrov-Galerkin. Although a time dependent mass vector is obtained, this has proven to be a very small penalty. The method is identical to the finite difference method [2] in plane strain, but in axisymmetric problems, the method is the same only if material strength is neglected.

In the sections that follow, some of the aspects of the current version of DYNA2D are briefly discussed.

## Code Documentation

Presently, no formal (or informal) documentation exists for DYNA2D; however, many of the references cited in this report would be very helpful to those wanting more insight into the techniques employed.

## Spatial Discretization

The elements shown in Fig. l are available. One element centered stress state is stored for each element in addition to any material parameters. An hourglass viscosity is used to control the zero energy modes.


- Fig. l. Solid elements available in DYNA2D.


## Material Models

The material models presently implemented are:

- elastic,
- orthotropic elastic,
- kinematic/isotropic elastic-plastic [5],
- thermo-elastic-plastic [6],
- soil and crushable foam [7],
- linear viscoelastic [7],
- rubber [7],
- isotropic elastic-plastic,
- temperature dependent elastic-plastic [8].

The latter two models determine only the deviatoric stresses. Pressure is determined by one of nine equations of state including:

- linear polynomial,
- JWL high explosive,
- Sack "Tuesday" high explosive [9],
- Gruneisen,
- ratio of polynomials
- linear polynomial with energy deposition,
- ignition and growth of reaction in $\operatorname{HE}[10,11]$,
- tabulated compaction,
- tabulated.


#### Abstract

The soil and crushable foam, the linear viscoelastic, and the rubber subroutines were adapted from HONDO and recoded for vectorization; the ignition and growth EOS was adapted from KOVEC [12]; the other subroutines, programmed by the author, are based in part on the cited references and are nearly 100 percent vectorized. The forms of the first five equations of state are given in the KOVEC user's manual and are retained in the this mannual.


With the exception of the orthotropic elastic and the rubber material subroutines, a Jaumann stress rate formulation is used; these latter two use Green-St. Venant strains to compute second Piola-Kirchoff stresses, which are then subsequently transformed to Cauchy stresses.

OYNA2D is organized to accept new material models and equations of state of any complexity. The organization permits different material and EOS types to have unique storage requirements. All history variables except the stress tensor and the effective plastic strain are packed in a one-dimensional storage vector to ensure that no space is wasted.

## HE Burns

Two burn models are presently available. One is the beta burn model used by KOVEC, and the other is the programmed burn model used by HEMP [13]. Both models permit detonations from points and lines.

## Artificial Viscosities

The linear-quadratic bulk viscosity is used to smear shock fronts over several elements and to damp numerical oscillations. Two such formulations are implemented

- standard DYNA2D,
- Richards-Wilkins [14,15].

The former differs from the latter in that the strain rate and characteristic length used in the viscosity calculation are the volumetric strain rate and the square root of the area, respectively. In the latter formulation directional properties of the shock wave are considered. For most engineering problems, the less expensive standard DYNA2D formulation is usually sufficient. When DYNA2D is applied to problems where element aspect ratios are poor, the Richards-Wilkins algorithm tends to provide a more stable solution and is recommended.

Three viscosities are available for resisting zero energy deformation modes in constant stress elements. These are the

- standard DYNA2D [3],
- rotational $[16,13]$,
- Flanagan-Belytechko [17].

Hourglass viscosity should always be used to prevent instabilities. All three formulations work well although one sometimes is better than another on a problem by problem basis. The standard DYNA2D formulation is very inexpensive and can be recommended for most problems.

## Gravity

In soil-structure interaction problems, gravity effects may be significant. Element pressures can be initialized by DYNA2D. In the soil and crushable foam material subroutine, the volumetric strain is initialized as well. Care is taken to insure that an equilibrium state exists at time zero so that no oscillations are caused by the nonzero initial stress state. This option has not been used recently and may not work properly.

## Loading Functions

A variety of loading functions may be used including:

- geometry-dependent pressure loadings,
- geometry-dependent shear loadings,
- body force loads due to spinning,
- body force loads due to base accelerations,
- concentrated nodal loads,
- follower forces,
- nodal velocity-time histories.

An unlimited number of time histories may be defined and may be referenced by any loading function.

## Slide-Lines

Three slide-line options are available.

- sliding only [18],
- tied [19],
- sliding with voids (no tension interface) [10].

All slide-line types admit arbitrary zoning along interfaces, and, with the exception of the first two options, gaps may exist in the initial configuration. Slide-lines are permitted to intersect. When voids close, momentum is conserved. It should be noted that the current slide-line references are not up-to-date.

## Rezoning

A rezoner has been embedded in DYNA2D. All element and nodal variables are remapped to a new mesh generated interactively. Internal energy, kinetic energy, r-momentum, z-momentum, and mass are computed and printed for each material before and after rezoning to enable the user to determine if the rezoned mesh is acceptable. A simple example is provided in the input section, but perhaps the best way to learn to use the rezoner is by experimentation.

## Capacity

Presently, the capacity of DYNA2D is limited to approximately 5000 elements on the CDC 7600 and $30000-40000$ elements on Cray-1. Storage allocation is dynamic so that the only limit that exists on the number of boundary condition cards, number of material cards, number of pressure cards, etc., is the capacity of the computer.

## Code Organization

DYNA2D consist of one source that compiles under CFT [20] and FTN [21] on the Cray-1 and CDC 7600 computers, respectively. The programming is in FORTRAN IV. DYNA2D has seven overlays in addition to the main code. They are: They are:

- $\quad$ Input.
Restart.
- $\quad$ Initialization.
Finite element method.
Rezoning initialization.
Rezoning mesh redefinition.
Rezoning remap.

All data are stored in core during execution.

## Sense Switch Controls

DYNA2D has four teletypewriter sense switch controls that are tabulated below:

Type Response
SWl. A retart file is written and DYNA2D terminates.
SW2. DYNA2D responds with time and cycle numbers.
SW3. A restart file is written and DYNA2D continues.
SW4. Plot state is dumped.

When DYNA2D terminates, all scratch files are destroyed. The restart file, plot files, and high-speed printer files remain on disk. Of these, only the restart file is needed to continue the interrupted analysis.

## Execution

DYNA2D is a public file on the CDC 7600 and CRAY-1 computers at LLNL.

The teletypewriter execution line for DYNA2D is as follows:

DYNA2D I=inf $0=0 t f$ G=ptf $D=d p f$ T=tpf $F=$ thf $C=c f r$
where

```
inf = input file,
otf = high speed printer file,
ptf = binary plot file for graphics,
dpf = dump file for restarting,
tpf = temperature file (TACO2D plotfile),
thf = binary plot file containing time histories
    of a selected number of nodes and elements,
cfr = command file for periodic noninteractive rezoning.
```

File names must not exceed six characters. If the time history file (thf) is not specified, time history data is written into the high speed printer file.

When restarting from a dump file, the execution line becomes

$$
\text { DYNA2D I=inf } 0=o t f \quad G=p t f \quad D=d p f \quad R=r t f
$$

where

```
rtf = restart file.
```

When restarting from a dump file with the intent of rezoning, the execution line becomes

```
DYNA2D Z=REZONE I=inf O=otf G=ptf D=dpf R=rtf F=thf T=tpf
```

File name dropouts are permitted, for example

```
DYNA2D I=inf
DYNA2D R=rtf
DYNA2D Z=REZONE R=rtf
```

Default names for the output file, binary plot files, and the dump file are DYNOUT, PPDYNA, and DUMPFL, respectively.

## Execution Speeds

The execution speeds of DYNA2D's various options are tabulated below in CPU minutes per million mesh cycles.

| Machine | CPU <br> Minutes $/ 10^{6}$ Mesh Cycles <br> COC 7600 <br> Cray-1 |
| :--- | :--- |

These timings do not account for the inclusion of sliding interfaces. Presently, the sliding interface logic is not vectorized.

## Automated Input Generation

Complete input files for DYNA2D are generated by MAZE [22]. MAZE input is totally format free and can be inputted interactively, with a command file, or both. MAZE writes an input file in accordance with this user's manual.

## Post-Processing

Post-processors, THOR, [23] and ORION [24] are available. These programs read the binary plot files that DYNA2D generates, and plot contours, time histories, and deformed shapes. Contours of nearly 100 different quantities may be plotted on meshes consisting of triangular and quadrilateral elements. They can compute a variety of strain measures, momentum by material, reaction forces along constrained boundaries, and interface pressures along sliding interfaces. ORION is fully interactive and will eventually replace THOR.

## DYNA2D USER'S GUIDE

## 1. Title Card

$\frac{\text { Columns }}{1-72} \quad \frac{\text { Quantity }}{\text { Heading to appear on output }} \quad \frac{\text { Format }}{\text { 12A6 }}$

## 2. Control Cards

| Columns | Card 1 <br> Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-2 | Input form | A2 |
|  | EQ. "ND": input follows this manual <br> EQ. "OD"; input follows 1980 manual |  |
| 3-5 | Number of materials (NUMMAT) | 13 |
| 6-10 | Number of nodal points | I5 |
| 11-15 | Number of elements | I5 |
| 16-20 | Number of nodal printout blocks | 15 |
| 21-25 | Number of element printout blocks | 15 |
| 26-30 | Number of load curves | I5 |
| 31-35 | Number of concentrated nodal loads and follower forces | 15 |
| 36-45 | Time to beigin periodic noninteractive rezoning | E10.0 |
| 46-55 | Time to end periodic rezoning | El0.0 |
| 56-65 | Time interval between rezoning | El0.0 |
| 66-75 | Scale factor for computed time step size, SFCT (DEFAULT $=.67$ ) | E10.0 |

Parameters in columns 36-65 apply only if an input file is defined ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{cfr}$ ) on the execute line.

## Card 2

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Number of element sides having either pressure or shear loadings applied | 15 |
| 6-10 | Number of velocity boundary condition cards | I5 |
| 11-15 | Base acceleration in r-direction (see Fig. 2) | I5 |

[^1]Columns Quantity
Base acceleration in z-direction ..... I5
EQ.O: no z-acceleration
EQ.l: z-acceleration
21-25 Angular velocity about z-axis ..... I5
EQ.0: no angular velocity
EQ.l: angular velocity
26-30 Initial condition parameter ..... I5
EQ.O: initialize velocities to zero
EQ.l: initial velocities are read in
31-35 Number of stonewalls ..... I5
36-40 Number of slide-lines ..... I5
41-45 Number of slide-lines intersections, NUMSI ..... 15
46-50 Analysis type ..... I5
EQ. O: axisymmetricEQ. 1: plane strain
51-55 . Number of points in density vs. z-coordinate curve, ..... 15 NUMDZ
EQ.O: initịal stress due to gravational loads not considered
56-65 Reduction factor, RF, to determine minimum ..... El0.0 permissible time step (<l.O)
NE.O.O: calculation terminates when
$D T \leq R F * D T_{i}$ where $D T_{i}$ equals
the initial time-step size


Fig. 2. Coordinate system with axis of symmetry about z-axis.

| Card 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-10 | Termination time | El0.0 |
| 11-20 | Time interval between high-speed printouts | E10.0 |
| 21-30 | Time interval between dumps of plot data | El0.0 |
| 31-40 | Initial time-step size | El0.0 |

> EQ.O.O: an initial time-step size is computed
Columns Quantity ..... Format
HE burn option; IHE ..... I5
EQ.O: beta burn
EQ.l: programmed burn - elements that are lit at time zero are flagged in the element data EQ.2: programmed burn -. lighting is defined in Section 6 EQ.3: volume burn-lighting times are given on element cards
46-50 Number of time steps between restart dumps ..... I5
EQ.O: restart dump is written when normaltermination occurs
5l-55 Thermal effects option ..... I5
EQ.O: no thermal effects
EQ.n: temperature-time history is defined
by load curve $n$
LT.O: nodal temperatures are defined inTACO2D generated disk files
56-60 Dump option for internal energy ..... I5
EQ.O: internal energy is not writteninto data base
EQ.l: internal energy is written into
data base
6l-65 Special dump option ..... I5
66-70 Reset default viscosities, IRQ ..... I5
EQ.l: new defaults are read on next card
71-75 Number of elements for momentum deposition ..... I5

## Card 4 (define if $\operatorname{IRQ}=1$ )

Columns ..... 1-5
Quantity ..... Format
Hourglass viscosity type, IHQ ..... 15
EQ.O: default set to "l"
EQ.1: standard DYNA2D
EQ.2: rotational
EQ.3: Flanagan-Belytschko
6-15 Hourglass viscosity coefficient, QH (default = .l) ..... El0.0
IHQ.EQ.1: QH $\leq 15$
IHQ.EQ.2: QH $\leq$ • 20
IHQ.EQ.3: QH $\leq 40$
16-20 Bulk viscosity type, IBQ ..... I5
EQ.O: default set to "l"
EQ.l: standard DYNA2D
EQ.2: Richards-Wilkins
21-30 Quadratic viscosity coefficients, Ql (default =1.5) ..... El0.0
31-40 Linear viscosity coefficient, Q2 (default = .06) ..... E10.0

These are defaults that can, if desired, be overridden for each material defined below.

## 3. Material Cards

Repeat the following cards for each material model:

## Card 1

Columns
1-5
6-10
Material indentification numer ( $\leq$ NUMMAT)
Format
Quantity

Material type, MT
I5

EQ. 1: elastic
EQ. 2: orthotropic elastic
EQ. 3: kinematic/isotropic elastic-plastic
EQ. 4: thermo-elastic-plastic
EQ. 5: soil and crushable foam
EQ. 6: linear viscoelastic
EQ. 7: rubber
EQ. 8: high explosive burn
EQ. 9: null material
EQ.10: elastic-plastic hydrodynamic
EQ.1l: temperature dependent elastic-plastic

11-20
Density
El0.0
2l-25 Equation-of-state type. Define if MT > 7

EQ.l: linear polynomial
EQ.2: JWL high explosive
EQ.3: Sack "Tuesday" high explosive
EQ.4: Gruneisen
EQ.5: ratio of polynomials
EQ.6: linear polynomial with energy deposition
EQ.7: ignition and growth of reaction in HE
EQ.8: tabulated compaction
EQ.9: tabulated

If not defined, the following quanitities are set to their default values.


| Cards 3,4,5,...,8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material Type 1 (Elastic) Material |  |  |  |  |
| Columns |  |  |  | Format |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | Young's modulus |  | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 4 | Poisson's ratio |  | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 5 | Blank |  |  |
| 1-10 | Card 6 | Blank |  |  |
| 1-10 | Card 7 | Blank |  |  |
| 1-10 | Card 8 | Blank |  |  |

Material Type 2 (Orthotropic Elastic)

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 3 E $\mathrm{E}_{\text {a }}$ (see Fig. 3) | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $E_{b}$ | El0.0 |
| 21-30 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $4 \quad \nu_{a b}$ | El0.0 |
| 11-20 | $\nu_{\text {ac }}$ | El0.0 |
| 21-30 | $v_{b c}$ | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $5 \quad \mathrm{G}_{\text {ab }}$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 6 Material axes option, AOPT | E10.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: locally orthotropic with material axes determined by $\psi$ specified on each element card and element nodes $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ (see Fig. 3) <br> EQ.1.0: locally orthotropic with material axes determined by a point in space and global location of element center EQ.2.0: globally orthotropic with material axes determined by $\psi_{G}$ |  |
| 1-10 | Card $7 \quad y_{p}$, define for AOPT $=1.0$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $z_{p}$, define for AOPT $=1.0$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $8 \quad \psi_{G}$ in radians, define for $\text { AOPT }=2.0$ | El0.0 |

The material law that relates stresses to strains is defined as:

$$
\underset{\sim}{C}={\underset{\sim}{t}}_{t}{\underset{\sim}{C}}_{\sim}^{\top},
$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is a tranformation matrix, and ${\underset{\sim}{L}}$ is the constitutive matrix defined in terms of the material constants of the orthogonal material axes, $a$ and $b:$


Fig. 3. Options for determining principal material axes:

$$
A O P T=0.0, \text { (b) } A O P T=1.0, \text { and (c) } A O P T=2.0 .
$$

$$
\left.{\underset{\sim}{L}}_{-1}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{E_{a}} & -\frac{v_{a b}}{E_{b}} & -\frac{v_{a c}}{E_{c}} & 0 \\
-\frac{v_{b a}}{E_{a}} & \frac{1}{E_{b}} & -\frac{v_{b c}}{E_{c}} & 0 \\
-\frac{v_{c a}}{E_{a}} & -\frac{v_{c b}}{E_{b}} & \frac{1}{E_{c}} & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \frac{1}{G_{a b}}\right]
$$

Note that $\nu_{\mathrm{ba}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\nu_{\mathrm{ab}} / / \dot{E}_{\mathrm{b}}, \nu_{\mathrm{ac}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=\nu_{\mathrm{ca}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$, and $\nu_{\mathrm{bc}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=\nu_{\mathrm{cb}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$.

| Material Type 3 (Kinematic/Isotropic Elastic-Plastic) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columns |  | Quantity | Format |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | Young's modulus | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 4 | Poisson's ratio | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 5 | Yield stress | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 6 | Hardening modulus, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 7 | Hardening parameter, $\beta^{\prime}$ | E10.0 |
|  |  | $0<\beta^{\prime}<1$ |  |
|  | Card 8 | Blank |  |

Isotropic; kinematic, or a combination of isotropic and kinematic hardening may be specified by varying $\beta^{\prime}$ and between 1 and 0 . For $\beta^{\prime}$ equal to 0 and 1 , respectively, kinematic and isotropic hardening are obtained as shown in Fig. 4.


Fig. 4. Elastic-plastic behavior with isotropic and kinematic hardening where $l_{0}$ and 1 are undeformed and deformed lengths of uniaxial tension specimen.

Material Type 4 (Thermo-Elastic-Plastic)

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card $3 \mathrm{~T}_{1}$, temperature | El0.0 |
| 11-20 | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | El0.0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 71-80 | ${ }^{\text {T }} 8$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $4 E_{1}$, Young's modulus at $T_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | El0.0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 71-80 | $E_{8}$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $5 \quad v_{1}$, Poisson's ratio at $T_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $v_{2}$ | El0.0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - . | - |
| 71-80 | $\nu_{8}$ | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $6 \alpha_{1}$, coefficient of thermal expansion at $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $\alpha_{2}$ | E10.0 |
| - | , | - |
| - | - | - |
| $\bullet$ | - | - |
| 71-80 | $\alpha_{8}$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card $7 . \sigma_{y l}$, yield stress at $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{y} 2}$ | E10.0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 71-80 | $\sigma_{y 8}$ | E10.0 |


| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card $8 \quad E_{l}^{p}$, plastic hardening modulus at $T_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 | $E_{2}^{p}$ | E10.0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - |  |
| - | - | - |
| 71-80 | $E_{8}^{P}$ | E10.0 |

At least two temperatures and their corresponding material properties must be defined. The analysis will be terminated if a material temperature falls outside the range defined in the input. If a thermoelastic material is considered, leave cards 7 and 8 blank. The coefficient of thermal expansion is defined with respect to a reference temperature.

Material Type 5 (Soil and Crushable Foam)

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | Shear modulus | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | Bulk unloading modulus | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | Yield function constant $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | El0.0 |
| 31-40 |  | Yield function constant $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | Yield function constant $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | El0.0 |
| 51-60 |  | Pressure cutoff for tensile fracture | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 4 | Volumetric strain (see Fig. 5) | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | Pressure | El0.0 |
| 21-30 |  | Volumetric strain. | El0.0 |
| 31-40 |  | Pressure | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 5 | Volumetric strain | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | Pressure | El0.0 |
| 21-30 |  | Volumetric strain | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | Pressure | E10.0 |
| - |  | - | - |
| - |  | - . | - |
| - |  | - | - |
| 1-10 | Card 8 | Volumetric strain | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | Pressure | El0.0 |
| 21-30 |  | Volumetric strain | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | Pressure | E10.0 |



Volumetric strain [-In(V)]

Fig. 5. Volumetric strain vs pressure curve for soil and crushable foam model.

The deviatoric yield function, $\phi$, is described in terms of the second invariant $\mathrm{J}_{2}$,

$$
J_{2}=\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} s_{i j}
$$

pressure, $p$, and constants $a_{0}, a_{1}$, and $a_{2}$ as:

$$
\phi=J_{2}-\left[a_{0}+a_{1} p+a_{2} p^{2}\right] .
$$

The volumetric strain is given by the natural logarithm of the relative volume, v.

## Material Type 6 (Viscoelastic)

| Columns | Quantity | Format |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-10$ | Card 3 | Bulk modulus (elastic) | El0.0 |
| $1-10$ | Card 4 | Short-time shear modulus, $G_{0}$ | El0.0 |
| $1-10$ | Card 5 | Long-time shear modulus, $G_{\infty}$ | El0.0 |
| $1-10$ | Card 6 | Decay constant, $B$ | El0.0 |
|  | Card 7 |  |  |

The shear relaxation behavior as described by:

$$
G(t)=G_{\infty}+\left(G_{0}-G_{\infty}\right) e^{-\beta t}
$$

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | Shear modulus | El0.0 |
|  | Card 4 | Blank |  |
| - |  | - |  |
| - |  | - | - |
| - |  | - | - |
|  | Card 8 | Blank |  |

## Material Type 8 (High Explosive Burn)

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | D, Detonation velocity | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {CJ }}$, Chapman-Jouget pressure | E10.0 |
|  | Card 4 | Blank |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | Card 8 | Blank |  |

## Material Type 9 (Null);



The null material may be used with an equation-of-state. No deviatoric stress is permitted with this material type.

| Material Type 10 (Isotropic-Elastic-Plastic-Hydrodynamics) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | Shear modulus | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | Yield strength, $\sigma_{0}$ | E10.0 |
| 21-20 |  | Plastic hardening modulus, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | El0. 0 |
| 31-40 |  | Pressure cutoff (<0.0) |  |
|  |  | EQ.O.0: a cutoff of $-\infty$ is assumed |  |
| 41-50 |  | Yield function constant, $a_{1}$ | El0.0 |
| 51-60 |  | Yield function constant, $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | El0.0 |
|  | Card 4 | Blank |  |
| 1-10 | Card 5 | $\varepsilon_{1}$, effective plastic strain | E10.0 |
| - |  | $\varepsilon_{2}$, | $\bullet$ |
| - |  | $\varepsilon_{3}$ | - |
| - |  | $\varepsilon_{4}$ | - |
| 41-50 |  | $\varepsilon_{5}$ | - |
| 71-80 |  | $\varepsilon_{8}$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 6 | $\varepsilon_{9}$ | El0.0 |
| - |  | - | - |
| - |  | - . | - |
| - |  | - | - |
| 71-80 |  | $\varepsilon_{16}$ | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 7 | $\sigma_{1}$, effective stress | E10.0 |
| - |  | - | - |
| - |  | - | - |
| - |  | - | - |
| 41-50 |  | $\sigma_{8}$ | El0.0 |

$$
\sigma_{16}
$$

Whenever Cards 5-8 are blank, the yield stress and plastic hardening modulus are taken from Card 3. In this case assuming $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, the bilinear stress-strain curve shown in Fig. 4 is obtained with $\beta=1$. The yield strength is calculated as

$$
\sigma_{y}=\sigma_{0}+E_{h} \bar{\varepsilon}^{-p}+\left(a_{1}+a_{2} p\right) \max (0, p)
$$

where $p$ is the pressure. The quantity $E_{h}$ is the plastic hardening modulus defined in terms of Young's modulus, $E$, and the tangent modulus, $E_{t}$, as follows

$$
E_{h}=\frac{E_{t} E}{E-E_{t}}
$$

If cards 5-8 are used, a curve like that shown in Fig. 6 may be defined. In this latter case, the yield stress and plastic hardening modulus, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ on Card 3 are ignored. Effective stress is defined in terms of the deviatoric stress tensor, $s_{i j}$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\sigma}=\left(\frac{3}{2} s_{i j} s_{i j}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and effective plastic strain by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{2}{3} D_{i j}^{p} D_{i j}^{p}\right)^{1 / 2} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ denotes time and $D_{i j}^{P}$ is the plastic component of the rate of deformation tensor.


Fig. 6. Effective stress vs effective plastic strain curve.

| Columns |  | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 3 | $G_{0}$ | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $\sigma_{0}$ | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | B | El0.0 |
| 31-40 |  | n | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $\gamma_{i}$ | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 4 | $\sigma_{m}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | b | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $b^{\prime}$ | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | h | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | f | El0.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 5 | A | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $T_{\text {mo }}$ | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $\gamma_{0}$ | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | a | El0.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {min }}$ or failure stress, $\sigma_{f}$ | E10.0 |
|  | Card 6 | Spall type | E10.0 |
|  |  | EQ.O.0: default set to "2.0" |  |
|  |  | $\text { EQ.1.0: } p \geq P_{\min }$ |  |
|  |  | EQ.2.0: if $\sigma_{\max } \geq \sigma_{f}$ element spalls and tension, $\mathrm{p}<0$, is never allowed |  |
|  |  | EQ.3.0: if $p<p_{\text {min }}$ element spalls and tension, $p<0$, is never allowed |  |
| 1-16 | Card 5 | $E C_{0}$ | E16.0 |
| 17-32 |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{1}$ | El6.0 |
| 33-48 |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{2}$ | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{3}$ | El6.0 |
| 65-80 |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{4}$ | E16.0 |
|  |  | -35- |  |


| Columns |  | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Card 6 | $\mathrm{EC}_{5}$ | El6.0 |
| $17-16$ |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{6}$ | El6.0 |
| $33-48$ |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{El6.0}$ |
| $49-64$ |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{8}$ | El6.0 |
| $65-80$ |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{9}$ | El6.0 |

Users, who have an interest in this model, are encouraged to study the paper by Steinberg and Guinan which provides the theoretical basis. Another useful reference is the KOVEC user's manual.

In terms of the foregoing input parameters, we define the shear modulus, $G$, before the material melts as:

$$
G=G_{O}\left[1+b p V^{1 / 3}-h\left(\frac{E_{i}-E_{c}}{3 R^{\prime}}-300\right)\right] e^{-\frac{f E}{E_{m}-E_{i}}}
$$

where $p$ is the pressure, $v$ is the relative volume, $E_{c}$ is the cold compression energy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{c}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} p d x-\frac{900 R^{\prime} \exp (a x)}{(1-x)^{2\left(\gamma_{0}-a-1 / 2\right)}}, \\
& x=1-V,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $E_{m}$ is the melting energy:

$$
E_{m}(x)=E_{c}(x)+3 R \cdot T_{m}(x)
$$

which is in terms of the melting temperature $T_{m}(x)$ :

$$
T_{m}(x)=\frac{T_{m 0} \exp (2 a x)}{v^{2\left(\gamma_{0}-a-1 / 3\right)}}
$$

and the melting temperature at $\rho=\rho_{0}, T_{\text {mo }}$.

In the above equation, $R^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
R^{\prime}=R \rho / A
$$

where $R$ is the gas constant and $A$ is the atomic weight.

The yield strength $\sigma_{y}$ is given by:

$$
\sigma_{y}=\sigma_{0}^{\prime}\left[1+b p^{\prime} V^{1 / 3}-h\left(\frac{E_{i}-E_{c}}{3 R^{\prime \prime}}-300\right)\right] e^{-\frac{f E}{E_{m}-E_{i}}}
$$

if $E_{m}$ exceeds $E_{i}$. Here, $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ is given by:

$$
\sigma_{0}^{\prime}=\sigma_{0}\left[1+\beta\left(\gamma_{i}+\varepsilon^{-p}\right)\right]^{n}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}$ is the initial plastic strain. Whenever $\sigma_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ exceeds $\sigma_{m}, \sigma_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is set equal to $\sigma_{m}$. After the material melts, $\sigma_{y}$ and $G$ are set to zero.

If the coefficients ECO,..., EC9 are not defined above, DYNA2D will fit the cold compression energy to the ten term polynomial expansion:

$$
E_{c}=\sum_{i=0}^{9} E C_{i} \eta^{i}
$$

where $E C_{i}$ is the $i$ th coefficient and $\eta=\rho / \rho_{0}$. The least squares method is used to perform the fit.

Define equation-of-state cards only if MT $>7$.

| Columns |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-72$ | Card 9 <br> Quantity | $\frac{\text { Format }}{\text { Equation-of-state identification }}$ |

Cards 10,...
Equation-of-State Form 1 (Linear Polynomial)


The linear polynomial equation-of-state is linear in internal energy. The pressure is given by:

$$
p=C_{\phi}+C_{1} \mu+C_{2} \mu^{2}+C_{3} \mu^{3}+\left(C_{4}+C_{5} \mu+C_{6} \mu^{2}\right) E
$$

where terms $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mu^{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mu^{2}$ are set to zero if $\mu<0, \mu=\rho / \rho_{0}-1$, and $\rho / \rho_{0}$ is the ratio of current density over initial density.

Equation-of-State Form 2 (JWL)

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card | A | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | B | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | R1 | El0.0 |
| 31-40 |  | R2 | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $\omega$ | El0.0 |
| 51-60 |  | $E_{0}$, initial internal energy | E10.0 |
| 61-70 |  | $V_{0}$, initial relative volume | El0.0 |

The JWL equation-of-state defines the pressure as

$$
p=A\left(1-\frac{\omega}{R_{1} V}\right) e^{-R_{1} V}+B\left(1-\frac{\omega}{R_{2} V}\right) e^{-R_{2} V}+\frac{\omega E}{V},
$$

and is usually used for detonation products of high explosives.

## Equation-of-State Form 3 (Sack) '

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 10 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $A_{2}$ | Elo. 0 |
| 21-30 |  | $A_{3}$ | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $B_{2}$ | E10.0 |
| 51-60 |  | $E_{0}$, initial internal energy | E10.0 |
| 61-70 |  | $V_{0}$, initial relative volume | E10.0 |

The Sack equation-of-state defines pressure as

$$
p=\frac{A_{3}}{V_{1}} e^{-A_{2} V}\left(1-\frac{B_{1}}{V}\right)+\frac{B_{2}}{V} E
$$

and is used for detonation products of high explosives.

Equation-of-State Form 4 (Gruneisen)


The Gruneisen equation-of-state with cubic shock velocity-particle velocity defines pressure for compressed materials as

$$
p=\frac{\rho_{0} C^{2} \mu\left[1+\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2}\right) \mu-\frac{a}{2} \mu^{2}\right.}{\left[1-\left(S_{1}-1\right) \mu-S_{2} \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu+1}-S_{3} \frac{\mu^{3}}{(\mu+1)^{2}}\right]^{2}}+\left(\gamma_{0}+a \mu\right) E .
$$

and for expanded materials as

$$
p=\rho_{0} c^{2} \mu+\left(\gamma_{0}+a \mu\right) E
$$

where $C$ is the intercept of the $u_{s}-u_{p}$ curve; $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are the coefficients of the slope of the $u_{s}-u_{p}$ curve; $\gamma_{0}$ is the Gruneisen gamma; and a is the first order volume correction to $\gamma_{0}$.

## Equation-of-State Form 5 (Ratio of Polynomials)

| Columns | Quantity |  |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-16 | Card 10 | AlO |  | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | All. |  | El6.0 |
| 33-48 |  | Al2 |  | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | Al3 |  | E16.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 11 | A20 | , | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | A21 |  | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A22 |  | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A23 |  | El6.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 12 | A30 |  | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | A31 |  | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A32 |  | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A33 |  | E16.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 13 | A40 |  | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | A41 |  | El6.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A42 |  | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A43 |  | El6.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 14 | A50 |  | ヒ16.0゙ |
| 17-32 |  | A51 |  | El6.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A52 |  | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A53 |  | El6.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 15 | A60 |  | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | A61 |  | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A62 |  | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A63 |  | E16.0 |


| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-16 | Card 16 | A70 | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | A71 | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A72 | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A73 | El6.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 18 | $\alpha$ | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | B | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | A14 | E16.0 |
| 49-64 |  | A24 | E16.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 19 | $E_{0}$, initial internal energy | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | $\mathrm{v}_{0}$, initial relative volume | E16.0 |

The ratio of polynomials equation-of-state defines the pressure as

$$
p=\frac{F_{1}+F_{2} E+F_{3} E^{2}+F_{4} E^{2}}{F_{5}+F_{6} E+F_{7} E^{2}}(1+\alpha \mu)
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{i j} \mu^{j} \cdot & n=4 \text { if } i<3 \\
\mu=\rho / \rho_{0}-1 & n=3 \text { if } i \geq 3
\end{array}
$$

In expanded zones $F_{1}$ is replaced by $F_{1}^{\prime}=F_{1}+\beta \mu^{2}$

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 10 | $\mathrm{C}_{\phi}$ | E10.0 |
| 10-20 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | El0.0 |
| 31-40 |  | $C_{3}$ | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | E10.0 |
| 51-60 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | E10.0 |
| 61-70 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | E10.0 |
| 71-80 |  | $E_{0}$, initial internal energy | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 11 | $\mathrm{V}_{0}$, initial relative volume | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | CN , number of time history curve that gives energy deposition rate. | El0.0 |

Equation-of-State Form 7 (Ignition and Growth of Reaction in HE) ।

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Card 10 | $A_{p}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $B_{p}$ | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $R l_{p}$ | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | R2p | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | G , second ignition coefficient | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 11 | $\omega_{p} c_{p}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $A_{e}$ | El0.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $B_{e}$ | El0.0 |
| 31-40 | . | $\omega_{e} c_{e}$ | E10.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $\mathrm{Rl}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 12 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | E10.0 |
| 11-20 |  | FCRIT, critial fraction reacted (usually $=1.0$ ) | El0.0 |
| 21-30 |  | I, first ignition coefficient | E10.0 |
| 31-40 |  | $H$, growth coefficient | El0.0 |
| 41-50 |  | $z$, pressure exponent | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 13 | $\times$ | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | y - | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $c_{p}$, heat capacity of reaction products | El0.0 |
| 31-40 |  | $c_{e}$, heat capacity of unreacted HE | E10.0 |
| 1-10 | Card 14 | $m$ (generally $=0$ ) | El0.0 |
| 11-20 |  | $E_{0}$, initial energy of HE per unit volume | E10.0 |
| 21-30 |  | $T_{0}$, initial temperature ( ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}$ ) | El0.0 |
|  | Card 15 | Blank |  |

A JWL equation-of-state defines the pressure in the unreacted HE as

$$
P_{e}=A_{e}\left(1-\frac{\omega_{e}}{R 1_{e} V_{e}}\right) e^{-R l_{e} V_{e}}+B_{e}\left(1-\frac{\omega_{e}}{R 2_{e} V_{e}}\right) e^{-R 2 V_{e}}+\frac{\omega E_{e}}{V_{e}}
$$

where $V_{e}$ is the relative volume, $E_{e}$ is the internal energy, and the constants $A_{e}, B_{e}, \omega_{e}, R l_{e}$, and $R 2_{e}$ are input constants. Similarily, the pressure in the reaction products is defined by another JWL form

$$
P_{p}=A_{p}\left(1-\frac{\omega_{p}}{R I_{p} V_{p}}\right) e^{-R l_{p} V_{p}}+B_{p}\left(1-\frac{\omega_{p}}{R 2_{p} V_{p}}\right) e^{-R 2_{p} V_{p}}+\frac{\omega E_{p}}{V_{p}}
$$

The mixture of unreacted explosive and reaction products is defined by the fraction reacted $F(F=0 \rightarrow$ no reaction, $F=l \rightarrow$ complete conversion from explosive to products). The pressures and temperature are assumed to be in equilibrium and the volumes are assumed to be additive.

$$
V=(1-F) V_{e}+F V_{p}
$$

The rate of reaction for material type 13 is

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=I(F C R I T-F)^{y}\left(V_{e}^{-1}-1\right)^{3}\left[1+G\left(V_{e}^{-1}-1\right)\right]+H(1-F)^{y} F^{x} P^{z}\left(V_{p}^{-1}-1\right)^{m}
$$

where $I, G, H, x, y, z$, and $m$ (generally $m=0$ ) are input constants.

The JWL equations of state and the reaction rates have been fitted to one- and two-dimensional shock initiation and detonation data for four explosives: PBX-9404, RX-03-BB, PETN, and cast TNT. The details of the calculational method are described by Cochran and Chan [11]. The detailed one-dimensional calculations and parameters for the four explosives are given by Lee and Tarver [10].


| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-16 | Card 15 | $T_{6}$ | E16.0 |
| 17-32 |  | T7 | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | E16.0 |
| 49-64 |  | $\mathrm{T}_{9}$ | E16.0 |
| 65-80 |  | $T_{10}$ | E16.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 16 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | E16.0 |
| 17-32 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | El6.0 |
| 33-48 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | E16.0 |
| 49-64 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ | E16.0 |
| 65-80 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{5}$ | El6.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 17 | $\mathrm{K}_{6}$ | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{7}$ | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{8}$ | El6.0 |
| 49-64 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{9}$ | El6.0 |
| 65-80 |  | $\mathrm{K}_{10}$ | E16.0 |
| 1-16 | Card 18 | $\gamma$ | El6.0 |
| 17-32 |  | $E_{0}$, initial internal energy | E16.0 |
| 33-48 |  | $v_{0}$, initial relative volume | El6.0 |

The tabulated compaction model is linear in internal energy. Pressure is defined by

$$
p=C\left(\varepsilon_{V}\right)+\gamma^{\top}\left(\varepsilon_{V}\right) E
$$

in the loading phase. The volumetric strain, $\varepsilon_{V}$, is given by the natural logarithm of the relative volume. Unloading occurs along the unloading bulk modulus to the pressure cutoff. Reloading always follows the unloading path
to the point where unloading began, and continues on the loading path. See Fig. 7. Up to 10 points and as few as 2 may be used when defining the tabulated functions, DYNA2D will extrapolate to find the pressure if necessary.


Fig. 7. Pressure versus volumetric strain curve for equation-of-state form 8 with compaction. In the compacted states the bulk unloading modulus depends on the peak volumetric strain.

Equation-of State Form 9 (Tabulated)


| Columns |  | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Card 15 | $T_{6}$ | El6.0 |
| $17-32$ |  | $T_{7}$ | El6.0 |
| $33-48$ |  | $T_{8}$ | El6.0 |
| $49-64$ |  | $T_{9}$ | El6.0 |
| $65-80$ |  | $T_{10}$ | El6.0 |
| $1-16$ | Card 16 | $\gamma$ | El6.0 |
| $17-32$ |  | $E_{0}$ | El6.0 |
| $33-48$ |  | $V_{0}$ |  |

The tabulated equation-of-state model is linear in internal energy. Pressure is defined by

$$
P=C\left(\varepsilon_{V}\right)+\gamma T\left(\varepsilon_{V}\right) E
$$

The volumetric strain, $\varepsilon_{v}$, is given by the natural logarithm of the relative volume. Up to 10 points and as few as 2 may be used when defining the tabulated functions. DYNA2D will extrapolate to find the pressure if necessary.

## 4. Nodal Point Cards

Supply one card for each nodal point with the following information:

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Nodal point number, $\mathrm{n}^{\text {i }}$ | I5 |
| 6-10 | Boundary condition code, BCC ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | F5.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: no constraint |  |
|  | EQ.1.0: r-constraint |  |
|  | EQ.2.0: z-constraint |  |
|  | EQ.3.0: r and $z$ constraints |  |
|  | If $B C C^{i}$ is not any of the above, it is assumed to be the angle in degrees between the positive r-axis and the direction of the motion along a sliding boundary (see Fig. 8) |  |
| 11-20 | $\mathrm{r}^{\mathbf{i}}$ - global coordinate | El0.0 |
| 21-30 | $z^{i}$ - global coordinate | E10.0 |
| 31-35 | Generation interval KN | I5 |

EQ.O: default set to "l"

Nodal point cards do not need to be in order; however, the highest nodal point number must terminate the nodal data. When nodal data are missing, node numbers are generated according to the sequence:

$$
n^{i}, n^{i}+k N, n^{i}+2 k N, \ldots, n^{j}
$$

where $n^{i}$ and $n^{j}$ are the nodal numbers defined on two consecutive cards, and KN is taken from the first card. Linear interpolation is used to obtain the coordinates of the generated nodes. The boundary conditions code of generated data is set to zero whenever BCCi $\neq B C C j$; otherwise, the code


Fig. 8. Roller boundary condition that is obtained if $\mathrm{BCC}^{\mathrm{i}}$ is , not equal to $0,1,2$, or 3 .
is assumed to be the same. Unconstrained nodes can be generated between constrained nodes that have the same boundary condition by making the code on the second card (node $n^{j}$ ) negative. After the data are generated, the code is reset.

## 5. Element Cards

Supply one card for each element with the following information:

| Columns |  | Quantity |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1-5$ | Element number | Format |
| $6-10$ | Node $n_{1}$ | I5 |
| $11-15$ | Node $n_{2}$ | I 5 |
| $16-20$ | Node $n_{3}$ | I 5 |
| $21-25$ | Node $n_{4}$ | I 5 |
| $26-30$ | Material number | I 5 |
| $31-35$ | Generation increment, KN | I 5 |
| $36-45$ | Material dependent parameter | ElO.O |

MT.EQ.2: angle $\psi$ in degrees
MT.EQ. 8 or MT.EQ.9: input "l.0" if
element is lit at time zero
MT.GE.10: initial internal energy

Element cards are assumed to be in element number sequence with the last element terminating the data. Omitted data are automatically generated with respect to the first card prior to the omitted data as follows:

$$
n_{j}^{i+1}=n_{j}^{i}+k N
$$

The material properties, the mesh generation parameter, print code, and $\psi$ are taken from the first card. The convention for numbering nodal points is shown in Fig. 9 where $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{4}$ stand for global node numbers. Triangular zones are defined by setting node $n_{4}=n_{3}$.


Fig. 9. Plane strain and axisymmetric elements available in DYNA2D.

## 6. High Explosive Burn Definition

Skip this section if IHE (Card 3, Section 2) is not equal to 2. Three options are available (see Fig. 10): they are the multiple point detonation, the line detonation, and Huygen detonation.

| Columns | Card 1 <br> Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Detonation type, IBTYP | I5 |
|  | EQ.O: multiple point detonation |  |
|  | EQ.l: line detonation |  |
|  | EQ.2: Huygens detonation |  |
| 6-10 | NUMPTS | I5 |
|  | IBTYP.NE.1: NUMPTS is the number of detonation points |  |
|  | IBTYP.EQ.l: NUMPTS is the number of points in detonation line |  |
| Repeat the following set of cards NUMPTS times: |  |  |
|  | Card 2 |  |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-5 | Nodal point number | 15 |
|  | IBTYP.NE.l: lying at detonation point |  |
|  | IBTYP.EQ.l: lying on detonation line |  |
| 6-15 | Lighting time | E10.0 |
|  | EQ.O.0: if IBTYP = 1 |  |
| 16-20 | Material number of HE to be lit (IBTYP $=0$ only) | I5 |
|  | EQ.0: The possibility of all HE elements being lit by this point is considered -57- |  |


(c)

Fig. 10. Burn options: (a) multiple point, (b) line, and (c) Huygens. -58-

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21-30 | Detonation velocity outside shadowed HE (optional input) | El0.0 |
| 31-40 | Detonation velocity in shadowed HE (optional input) | El0.0 |

## Card 3

Include the following card only if IBTYP $=2$

| Columns |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| l-5 | Quantity |
| NPSS, number of nodal points defining shadow boundary |  |
| I5 |  |

Card 4,5,...,NPSS+3
Include the following cards only if IBTYP $=2$

|  |  |  | Quantity |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Columns |  | Format |
| 1-5 | Shadow point number | I5 |  |

A line detonation must be defined by at least two points. Nodal points that define a line must be given in the order in which they appear as one moves along the line.

In a Huygens detonation, a shadow boundary must be given for each detonation point. The first point on the shadow boundary must be closest to the detonation point - if it is not the detonation point. The shadow points must be defined in the order in which they are encountered as one moves along the boundary. Omitted data are automatically generated by incrementing the nodal point numbers by:

$$
\left(n_{i}-n_{j}\right) /\left(s n_{i}-s n_{j}\right)
$$

where $s n_{i}, s n_{j}$ are the shadow point numbers on two successive cards and $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ are their corresponding node numbers.

## 7. Nodal Printout Blocks

Skip this section if the number of nodal printout blocks (Card 1, Section 2) is zero. Otherwise, insert one card with the following information (up to eight printout blocks may be defined):
Columns Quantity ..... Format
1-5 First node of first printout block ..... I5
6-10 Last node of first printout block ..... I5
11-15 First node of second printout block ..... I5
16-20 Last node of second printout block ..... I5

## 8. Element Printout Blocks

Skip this section if the number of element printout blocks (Card l, Section 2) is zero. Otherwise, insert one card with the following information (up to eight printout blocks may be defined):

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $1-5$ | First element of first printout block | I5 |
| $6-10$ | Last element of first printout block | I5 |
| $11-15$ | First element of second printout block | I5 |
| $16-20$ | Last element of. second printout block | I5 |

## 9. Time History Curve Cards

Define the number of load curve sets specified in columns 26-30 of Card 1 in Section 2. Repeat the following cards for each set: Define the number of load curve sets specified in columns 26-30 of Card 1 in Section 2. Repeat the following cards for each set:

## Card 1

| Columns | Quantity |  | Format |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Load curve number | I5 |  |
| 6-10 | Number of points in load curve (NPTS) | I5 |  |

## Card 2,...,NPTS+1

| Columns |  | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Time |  | El0.0 |
| 11-20 | Load value |  | El0. |

## 10. Concentrated Nodal Load and Follower Force, Cards

Define the number of concentrated nodal point loads specified in columns 3l-35 of Card 1 in Section 2.
Columns Quantity ..... Format
1-5 . Nodal point number (m) on which this load acts ..... I5
6-10 Direction in which load acts ..... I5
EQ.1: and IFW.EQ.O: r-direction
EQ.2: and IFW.EQ.O: z-direction
EQ.n: and IFW.EQ.l: $n$ is a node numberthat defines a plane beginning at $m$ andending at $n$ (the force points to theright as one moves along the surfacefrom $m$ to $n$ )
11-15 Load curve number ..... I5
16-25 Scale factor ..... E10.0
EQ.O.O: default set at "1.0"
26-30 . IFW, follower force flag ..... I5
EQ.O: concentrated force acts ineither r or $z$ direction
EQ.1: follower force considered

## 11. Pressure and Shear Boundary Condition Cards

Define the number of cards specified in Columns 1-5 of Card 2 in Section 2.
Columns Quantity ..... Format ..... 1-5
Card number ..... I5
6-10 Load curve number ..... I5
11-15 Node $n_{1}$ ..... I5
16-20 Node $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ ..... I5
21-30 Multiplier of load curve at $n_{1}, M_{h l}$ ..... El0.0
EQ.O.0: default set to "1.0"
-31-40 Multiplier of load curve at $n_{2}, M_{n 2}$ ..... E10.0
EQ.0.0: default set to "1.0"
41-50 Arrival time of load on the surface ..... ElO. 0
5l-55 Generation interval, KN ..... I5
EQ.O: default set to "l"
56-60 Loading type ..... I5
EQ.O: pressure
EQ.l: shear
The start times, multipliers, and generation interval are taken from the firstcard. The interior is kept to the left as one progresses from node $n_{1}$ to$n_{2}$ (see Fig. 1l). Traction loads are always evaluated with respect to thedeformed geometry.


Fig. 11. Definition of nodes $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ for traction boundary conditions on bilinear elements.

## 12. Velocity Boundary Condition Cards

Define the number of cards specified in columns 6-10 of Card $2^{\circ}$ in Section 2.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Nodal point number to which this velocity is applied |
| 6-10 | Load curve number | I5. |
| $11-15$ | Direction in which the node is displaced | E5.0 |

EQ.1.0: r-direction
EQ.2.0: z-direction
NE.1.O and NE.2.0: the angle in degrees between the r-axis and the direction of the prescribed velocity vector
16-25 Scale factor El0.O

## 13. Base Acceleration in r-Direction

Skip this card if columns $11-15$ of Card 2 in Section 2 are blank.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Load curve number | I5 |
| 6-10 | Scale factor on r-acceleration | El0.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: default set at "1.0" |  |

## 14. Base Acceleration in z-Direction

Skip this card if columns 16-20 of Card 2 in Section 2 are blank.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Load curve number | I5 |
| 6-10 | Scale factor on z-acceleration | E10.0 |

EQ.0.0: default set to "1.0"

## 15. Angular Velocity

Skip this card if columns 21-25 of Card 2 in Section 2 are blank.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Load curve number | I5 |
| 6-10 | Scale factor on angular velocity | El0.0 |

Nodal loads due to the angular velocity are always calculated with respect to the deformed configuration. Angular velocity is assumed to have the units of radians per unit time.

## 16. Initial Conditions

Skip this section if the initial condition parameter, in columns 26-30 of Card 2 in Section 2 is zero.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Nodal point number | I5 |
| $6-15$ | Initial velocity in r-direction | El0.0 |
| $16-25$ | Initial velocity in z-direction | El0.0 |
| $26-35$ | Nodal point increment | I5 |

EQ.0: default set to "1"

Initial velocity must be defined for each nodal point. These cards do not need to be in order; however, the highest nodal point number must terminate the data. Missing data are generated as described in Section 4.

## 17. Stonewall

Skip this section if the number of stonewalls specified in columns 31-35 of Card 2 in Section 2 is zero. Repeat the following sets of cards for each stonewall.

| Card 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-5 | Number of slave nodes | I5 |
| 6-15 | r-coordinate of tail of any outward drawn normal vector originating on wall (tail) and terminating in space (head) | E10.0 |
| 16-25 | $z$-coordinate of tail | E10.0 |
| 26-35 | r-coordinate of head | E10.0 |
| 36-45 | z-coordinate of head | El0.0 |

## Cards 2,3...,etc.

| Columns |  | Quantity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Slave number |  |
| 6-10 | Nodal point number | Format |
| 6 |  | I5 |

A stonewall extends to infinity and is defined by a normal vector of arbitrary magnitude drawn outward from the wall. Nodes that are designated as slave nodes cannot penetrate a stonewall: other nodes can penetrate.

Omitted slave nodes are automatically generated by incrementing the nodal point numbers by

$$
\frac{n_{i}-n_{j}}{s n_{i}} s n_{j}
$$

where $s n_{i}$ and $s n_{j}$ are slave numbers on two successive cards and $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ are their corresponding node numbers.

> Sections $18-20$ will be skipped if the number of slide-lines is zero.

## 18. Slide-Line Control Cards

Define a control card for each slide-line. A discussion about the proper use of the slide-line capability is provided in Appendix A.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Number of slave nodes in slide-line (NSN) | I5. |
| 6-10 | Number of master nodes in slide-line (NMN) | I5 |
| 11-15 | Slide-line type number, ISLT | 15 |
|  | EQ.1: sliding only <br> EQ.2: tied sliding |  |
|  | EQ.3: sliding with voids |  |
| 16-25 | SLFAC, tolerance for determining initial gaps | El0.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: SLFAC $=0.001$ |  |
| 26-35 | $\theta_{1}$, angle in degrees of slide-line extension at first master node | El0.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: extension remains tangent to first master segment |  |
| 36-45 | $\theta_{2}$, angle in degrees of slide-line extension at last master node | E10.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: extension remains tangent to last master segment |  |

Angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are measured counterclockwise from the r-axis and remain constant. If $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are zero, the extensions are made tangent to the first and last master segments and remain so throughout the calculation. The force exerted by a slave node lying on an extension of the master node at the origin of the extension diminishes to zero as the slave node moves away a distance equal to the length of one slave segment.

## 19. Slide-Line Definitions

Repeat the following cards for each slide-line.

| Columns | Card 1 <br> Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-72 | Any suitable identification | 12A6 |
|  | Cards 2,3,..., NSN+1 , |  |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-5 | Slave number | I5 |
| 6-10 | Nodal point number | I5 |

Omitted data are automatically generated by incrementing the nodal point numbers by:

where $s n_{i}, s n_{j}$ are the slave numbers on two successive cards and $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ are their corresponding numbers.

## Cards NSN+2,..,NSN+NMN+1

| Columns |  | Quantity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Master number | Format |
| 6-10 | Nodal point number | I.5 |
|  |  | I5 |

Omitted data are' generated as described above. The master and slave nodes must be given in the order in which they appear as one moves along the surface. The slave surface must be to the left of the master surface.

## 20. Slide-Line Intersections

If no slide-lines intersect (NUMSI.EQ.0) skip this section; otherwise, define NUMSI cards.

## Cards 1,2,...,NUMSI

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of slide-line that is intersected | I5 |
| 6-10 | Number of slide-line that intersects the above line | I5 |

## 21. Density vs. z-Coordinate Curve

Skip this section of the number of points in the density versus z-coordinte curve (Card 2, Section 2) is zero; otherwise supply NUMDZ+l cards.

| Columns | Card 1 <br> Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | Gravitational acceleration | El0.0 |
| Cards 2,3,...,NUMDZ+1 |  |  |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-10 | Density | El0.0 |
| 11-20 | z-Coordinate | E10.0 |

## 22. Momentum Deposition Data

Skip this section if the number of elements for momentum deposition is zero (Card 3, Section 2). Otherwise enter one card as follows for each element receiving momentum deposition.

| Columns | Quant | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Element number | 15 |
| 6-15 | r-momentum per unit radian | El0.0 |
| 16-25 | z-momentum per unit radian | El0.0 |
| 26-35 | Deposition time | El0.0 |
|  |  | El0.0 |

## RESTART INPUT DECK

An input deck is generally not needed to restart DYNA2D. It may be used, however, to reset the following parameters:

- Termination time
- Output printing interval
- Output plotting interval
- Viscosity coefficients
- Time histories

In addition, slide-lines and blocks of elements may be eliminated from the calculations. All changes made when restarting will be reflected in subsequent restart dumps.

## - 1. Title Card

| Columns |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Quantity suitable title |$\quad \frac{\text { Format }}{\text { 12A6 }}$

## 2. Control Cards



## 3. Time-History Cards

Skip these cards if NTHRD equals zero; otherwise, define NTHRD card sets.

|  | Card 1 <br> Quantity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number associated with time history curve to be <br> redefined | $\frac{\text { Format }}{\text { I5 }}$ |

## Cards 2,...,NPTS+1

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Time | Elo.0 |
| $11-20$ | Function value | Elo.0 |

The number of points in the load curve, NPTS, may not change from the original input.

## 4. Deleted Slide-Lines

Skip this section if the number of slide-lines to be deleted is zero.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Number of first slide-line to be deleted | I5 |
| 6-10 | Number of second slide-line to be deleted | 15 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |

## 5. Deleted Element Blocks

Skip this section if the number of element blocks to be deleted is zero.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $1-5$ | First element of first block to be eliminated | I5 |
| $6-10$ | Last element of first block to be eliminated | I5 |
| $11-15$ | First element of second block to be eliminated | I5 |
| $16-20$ | Last element of second block to be eliminated | I5 |
| . | . | . |
| . | . | . |

## 6. Deleted Material Blocks

Skip this section if the number of material blocks to be deleted is zero.

| Columns | Quantity. | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Material number of first material to be deleted | I5 |
| 6-10 | Material number of second material to be deleted | I5 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |

[^2]
## 7. Slide-Line Control Cards

Define a control card for each slide-line.

| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | Number of slave nodes in slide-line (NSN) | 15 |
| 6-10 | Number of master nodes in slide-line (NMN) | 15 |
| 11-15 | Slide-line type number, ISLT | 15 |
|  | EQ.1: sliding only <br> EQ.2: tied sliding <br> EQ.3: sliding with voids |  |
| 16-25 | SLFAC, tolerance for determining initial gaps | E10.0 |
|  | EQ.0.0: $\mathrm{SLFAC}=0.001$ |  |
| 26-35 | $\theta$, angle in degrees of slide-line extension at first master node | E10.0 |
|  | EQ.0.0: extension remains tangent to first master segment |  |
| 36-45 | $\theta_{2}$ angle in degrees of slide-line extension at last master node | E10.0 |
|  | EQ.O.O: extension remains tangent to last master segment |  |

## 8. Slide-Line Definitions

Repeat the following cards for each slide-line:

| Columns | Card 1 <br> Quantity | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-72 | Any suitable identification | 12A6 |
| Cards 2,3,...,NSN+1 |  |  |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-5 | Slave number | I5 |
| 6-10 | Nodal point number | 15 |
| Cards NSN+2,..,NSN + NMN+1 |  |  |
| Columns | Quantity | Format |
| 1-5 | Master number | I5 |
| 6-10 | Nodal point number | I5 |

## 9. Slide-Line Intersections

If there are no slide-line intersections (NUMSI.EQ.O) skip this section.

## Cards 1,2,...,NUMSI

| Columns | Quantity |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-5$ | Number of slide-line which is intersected | Format |
| 6-10 | Number of slide-line which intersects the above line | I5 |

## COMMANDS FOR REZONING

General commands include:

$$
T V, T, F, F R, G, A, S N, S C, S D, M N, M C, M D, Z, R, T R
$$

General commands will not result in a material being rezoned; therefore, materials whose boundaries are changed by the SC, SD, MC, MD commands must be rezoned.

Commands for' 'rezoning material (may be used with above commands):

$$
M, V, B, S, T N, C N
$$

Commands that are available for adjusting boundary nodes following the "B" command:

ER; EZ, ES, VS, BD

The "B". command is available if and only if a material has been designated for rezoning.

## 1. Command Definitions

| TV $n$ | - | Use TMDS where $n$ is the monitor number. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G | - | Display complete mesh with material numbers. |
| T | - | Terminate without remap. |
| F | - | Terminate interactive phase, remap, continue in execution phase. |
| FR | - | Terminate interactive phase, remap, write restart dump, and call exit. |
| A | - | Display all slide-lines. Slave sides are plotted as dashed or dotted lines. |
| SN $n$ | - | Display slide-line $n$ with slave node numbers. |

1. Command Definitions, (cont'd.)

| SC n | - | Check slave nodes of slide-line $n$ and put any nodes that have penetrated through the master surface back on the master surface. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD $n$ | - | Dekink slave side of slide-line $n$ - after using this command, the SC or MC command is sometimes advisable. |
| MN $n$ | - | Display slide-line $n$ with master node numbers. |
| MC $n$ | - | Check master nodes of slide-line $n$ and put any nodes that have penetrated through the slave surface back on the slave surface. |
| MD $n$ | - | Dekink master side of slide-line n. After using this command, the SC or MC command is sometimes advisable. |
| Z r z $\triangle \ell$ | - | Zoom in at point ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}$ ) with window $\Delta l$. |
| R | - | Restore original mesh. |
| $M \mathrm{n}$ | - | Material $n$ is to be rezoned. |
| $v$ | - | Display material $n$ on TMDS. |
| S | - | Smooth mesh of material $n$. |
| TN r z $\Delta l$ | - | Type node numbers and coordinates of all nodes within window ( $\mathrm{r} \pm \Delta \ell / 2, \mathrm{z} \pm \Delta \ell / 2$ ). |
| CNmrz | - | Node $m$ has new coordinate ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z}$ ). |
| B | - | Determine boundary nodes of material $n$ and display boundary with nodes on TMDS. |
| $E R \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | Equal space in r-direction boundary nodes $m$ to $n$ (counterclockwise). |
| $E Z m \mathrm{n}$ | - | Equal space in z-direction boundary nodes $m$ to $n$ (counterclockwise). |
| ES m n | - | Equal space along boundary, boundary nodes $m$ to $n$ (counterclockwise). |
| VS m $n \mathrm{r}$ | - | Vary the spacing of boundary nodes $m$ to $n$ such that $r$ is the ratio of the first segment length to the last segment length. |
| $B D \mathrm{~m} n$ | - | Dekink boundary from boundary node $m$ to boundary node $n$ (counterclockwise). |
| TR t | - | At time t DYNA2D will stop and enter interactive rezoning phase. |

Use of the rezoner is demonstrated in the example which follows. In order to minimize the size of the graphics segment of DYNA2D. No graphics files are created. A special version of DYNA2D was compiled to obtain the figures that follow. In the table below, teletypewriter lines are correlated to the figures.

## 2. Example

| DYNA2D | User Response | Resulting TMDS Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ;TMDS; ? | 1625 G | 12 |
| ;OK-M; ? | Z 2128 | 13 |
| ; OK-M; ? | A | 14 |
| ;OK-M; ? | M 3 V | 15 |
| ;OK-M; ? | B | 16 |
| ;OK-M; ? | ES 758773 VS 7731093 . 5 ES 10931078 MC 1 V | 17 |
| ;OK-M; ? | S V | 18 |
| ;OK-M; ? | BD 7731093 MC l S V | 19 |
| ;OK-M; ? | G | 20 |
| ;OK-M; ? | FR |  |

Table 1. DYNA2D prompts, user response, and resulting TMDS displays for a typical problem.
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## EXAMPLE PROBLEM

## Shaped Charge Calculation

In Fig. 21, a finite element mesh of 600 elements models a type of shaped charge called a self-forging fragment [25]. Calculations were carried out to compute the formation of the fragment produced by the upper plate. Slide-lines


Fig. 21. Finite difference mesh used in shaped charge calculation. -99-
are initially placed between the plate-HE and cyliner-HE interfaces. By $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ the HE has burned, and by $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ the pressure in the HE has dropped to negligible levels. At $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, therefore, all elements but those in the upper plate are eliminated from the calculation. Between 12 and $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, zones at the outer edges of the plate become elongated and cause a significant decrease in the time-step size. These latter elements are eliminated prior to $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Before the calculation is continued at $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, a new slide-line is defined along the upper surface to prevent the plate from penetrating through itself as it folds.

Figure 22 shows a view of the deformed shape at $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The formation of the fragment is depicted in Fig. 23, which contains a sequence of deformed shapes at $20 \mu$ s intervals. To verify DYNAZD's accuracy, an additional calculation was performed with the HE tied along the outer case and compared to a similar calculation with HEMP. As expected, excellent agreement was obtained.


Fig. 22. Deformed shape at $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. -100-


Fig. 23. Formation of fragment.
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## APPENDIX A

## Use of Slide-Lines

The purpose of this appendix is to describe briefly the proper use of slide-lines.

Consider two surfaces in contact. In DYNA2D it is necessary to designate one as a slave surface and the other as a master surface. Nodal points defining the slave surface are called slave nodes, and similarly, nodes defining the master surface are called master nodes. Each slave-master surface combination is referred to as a slide-line.

Many potential problems with the algorithm can be avoided by observing the following precautions:

- If one surface is more finely zoned, it should be used as the slave surface.
- A slave node may have more than one master segment, and may be included as a member of a master segment if a slide-line intersection is defined.
- Angles in the master side of a slide-line that approach 90 deg must be avoided. Whenever such angles exist in a master surface, two or more slide-lines should be defined. This procedure is illustrated in Fig. Al. An exception for the foregoing rule arises if the surfaces are tied. In this case, only one slide-line is needed.
- Rigid walls are defined by a series of fixed master nodes (boundary condition code 3.0), which outline the desired profile. For best results, the spacing of these nodes should be approximately the same as that of the slave nodes. If very large spacing is used, errors may occur during the initialization phase.
- Whenever two surfaces are in contact, the smaller of the two surfaces should be used as the slave surface. For example, in modeling a missile impactiny a wall, the contact surface on the missile should be used as the slave surface.
- Care should be used when defining a master surface to prevent the extension from interfering with the solution. In Figs. A2 and A3, slide-line extensions are shown.
- Whenever slide-lines intersect as shown in Fig. A4, an intersection should be defined to insure proper treatment of the intersection.

$\frac{1}{\text { Slaves Masters }}$

| $s_{1}$ | $m_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $s_{2}$ | $m_{2}$ |

$\frac{2}{\text { Slaves Masters }}$

| $s_{11}$ | $m_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{12}$ | $m_{7}$ |
| $\cdot$ | $m_{8}$ |


| 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Slaves }}{s_{24}}$ |  |
| $\frac{\text { Masters }}{m_{14}}$ |  |
| $s_{23}$ | $m_{13}$ |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
|  | $m_{15}$ |
| $s_{15}$ | $m_{15}$ |

Fig. Al. Proper definition of illustrated slave-master surface requires three slide-lines (note that slave surface is to left of master surface as one moves along master nodes in order of definition).


Fig. A2. Master surface extensions defined automatically by DYNA2D (extensions are updated every time step to remain tangent to ends of master sides of slide-lines unless angle of extension is defined in input).

Note: A compressive loading is assumed.

Without extension and with improper definition of slide-lines, slave nodes move down inner and outer walls as shown.

With extension and proper slide-line definition, elements behave properly: extension

Slide-lines (arrows point to master slides).

Fig. A3. Example of slide-line extensions helping to provide realistic response.


Fig. A4. Example of slide-line intersection.
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