

Utilization of Kola Pod Husk for *Telfaria Occidentalis*, *Corchorus Olitorius* and *Amaranthus Cruentus* Production in Ikorodu, Lagos StateEA Makinde<sup>1</sup>, Ipinmoroti RR<sup>2</sup>, GO Iremiren<sup>2</sup>, and LS Ayeni<sup>3\*</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Lagos State University Ojo, Lagos.<sup>2</sup>Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria, Idayunre, Ibadan. PMB 5244,<sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Science, Adeyemi College of Education, PMB 520, Ondo, Nigeria.

## Article

Received: 27/12/2012

Revised: 02/02/2013

Accepted: 8/02/2013

## For Correspondence:

Department of Agricultural Science,  
Adeyemi College of Education, PMB  
520, Ondo, Nigeria.

Email: leye\_sam@yahoo.com

**Keywords:** yield, soil nutrient, organic  
fertilizer, organomineral fertilizer,  
urea fertilizer

## ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of Kola Pod Husk, urea and their combinations on three leafy vegetables (*Telfaria*, *Corchorus olitorius* and *Amaranthus cruentus*) in Lagos, southwestern Nigeria. The treatments consisted of kola pod husk (KPH) + Urea (ratio 4: 1 N content) and kola pod husk (KPH) alone to supply 0 (control), 80 (KPH<sub>1</sub>), 120 (KPH<sub>2</sub>) and 160kg N/ha (KPH<sub>3</sub>). The treatments were arranged in randomized complete block design with three replications. Application of the varying rate of the fertilizers on the vegetables showed that the use of KPH + Urea at 80kg N/ha (KPH<sub>1</sub>+urea) was optimum for *Telfaria* and *C. olitorius*, while *A. cruentus* production was optimum at 160kg N/ha (KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea) addition. This indicates that all the vegetables at all the levels of treatments significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) gave optimal yields performances compared with control, the supplementation of KPH with external N source to lower the C: N ratio was the best. The yield values at the optimal rates were 14.8, 17.4 and 11.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *Telfaria*, *C. olitorius* and *A. cruentus* respectively.

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of fertilizer for crop production in Nigeria cannot be over emphasized. This is because of the inherent low fertility and poor organic matter contents of the soils [1] Lagos State soils are not exemption to these nutrients and organic matter additions to the soils for economic crop production at all times.

Lagos State vegetable farmers at both urban and peri-urban areas depend heavily on the use of mineral fertilizers to improve the fertility condition of their farms. Over the years, due to the prevailing non-availability of the mineral fertilizer in the market and subsequently, the prohibited cost of the few available bags, the farmers could not afford to get adequate nutrient supplements to meet their farm needs. In most cases, the applied fertilizers are not in the right proportion required by the soils. The resultant effects of these practice is poor crop output subsequently a low-income earning to the farmers.

Very small proportion of the farmers in Lagos State is used to applying organic fertilizers by way of poultry droppings. The application of such manure was not based on defined recommended amount per hectare. It was also observed that the manures were not properly cured before application on the farm. The heavy dosage and the improper curing may on the long run lead to underground water pollution and source of environmental hazard. To take care of these difficulties and to be able to have good crop output from time to time, CERUD and CRIN under collaborative efforts introduced KOLA POD HUSK (KPH) which was used alone and in combination with UREA as alternative source of nutrient fertilizer material to meet the nutrient demand of crops (leafy vegetables) on farmers' farms. The KPH through research have found to be suitable for arable crop production [2] [3] [4]. It is greatly abundant [5] and environmentally friendly. They could easily be sourced in the neighbouring villages around Ikorodu and the nearby State of the southwestern Nigeria.

As a result of the peculiar acidic soil conditions of the Lagos State soil, an on – farm adaptive research was conducted at Imota via Ikorodu to investigate the appropriate rate of the formulated KPH fertilizer that would be optimal for *Telfaria*, *C.olitorius* and *A.cruentus* production. These are the notable and common vegetables that are produced by farmers in the area.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fresh Kola pod husks (KPH) were collected, dried and milled to pass 2mm sieve. Representative samples were taken. Some part of the KPH was mixed with Urea at ratio of 4: 1 N content to obtain KPH based organomineral fertilizer. This formulated fertilizer was then compared with ordinary KPH on the growth and yield of *Telfaria*, *C.olitorius* and *A.cruentus* at Imota in Ikorodu southwestern Nigeria.

The experimental location at Imota was about 500m from the towns' library on the way to Igbokuta. The site tilled into 21 ridges of 6.5m with three replicates making a total sum of 63 ridges for the three vegetables i.e. seven ridges were set-aside for each of the three crops in three rows. The *Telfaria* and *C.olitorius* seeds were planted at the rate of 2kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by drilling at 30cm apart along the ridges. The *Telfaria* was planted at 1 m apart on a single row on the ridges at 2 seeds/hole for a population of 20,000 seeds/ha. Soil samples were collected between 0–30cm depths and analyzed for the mechanical and nutrient contents.

The vegetables were each treated with 7 fertilizer treatments as follow:

- |    |                         |  |
|----|-------------------------|--|
| 1. | KPH <sub>0</sub>        | = Control (no KPH application)                           |
| 2. | KPH <sub>1</sub>        | = KPH as sole source of N at 80kg ha <sup>-1</sup>       |
| 3. | KPH <sub>1</sub> + Urea | = KPH and Urea as source of N at 80kg ha <sup>-1</sup>   |
| 4. | KPH <sub>2</sub>        | = KPH as sole source of N at 120kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      |
| 5. | KPH <sub>2</sub> + Urea | = KPH and Urea as sources of N at 120kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |
| 6. | KPH <sub>3</sub>        | = KPH as sole source of N at 160kg ha <sup>-1</sup>      |
| 7. | KPH <sub>3</sub> + Urea | = KPH and Urea as sources of N at 160kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |

Each treatment was applied per ridge corresponding to it. For both *Telfaria* and *C. olitorius* the fertilizer treatments were by drilling in-between the rows of drilled seed. For *Telfaria*, the fertilizers were drilled and applied in a ring form per planting stand. Weeding was carried out twice at 2 and 6 weeks after planting. Watering was done twice a week during short spell of drought. Data on plant height, girth, number of leaves and leaf area were collected over 12 weeks for *Telfaria* and *C.olitorius*, while it was over 16 weeks for *Tef/aria*. Yield was collected from the 4th week cumulatively up to the 11th week for *Tef/aria* and *C.olitorius* from 8– 16th week for *Telfaria*.

### Statistical Analysis

The mean values of the collected data were compared and results analyzed statistically using analyses of variance. Duncan Multiple Range Test was used to determine the degree of significance

## RESULTS

The mechanical analysis indicated that the soil of the study site was sandy loam in texture. The silt + clay content was 168gm kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). This shows that the soil has low capacity to retain sufficient water against short spell of rainfall for optimal crop growth. The chemical components indicated that the soil is low in Nitrogen (N) and Potassium (K). The N and K values are lower than the needed criteria values for good arable crop performance [6]. The P, Ca and Mg contents are moderately adequate for better crop performance. The soil is acidic (pH = 5.51) and low in organic matter content. This therefore suggests the need for external supply of nutrients and organic matter for sustainable good soil structure and optimum crop production at all times.

### Kola Pod Husk (KPH)

The KPH contained reasonable amount of plant nutrients and carbon that could be used to advantage to improve the soil chemical and physical conditions. *Telfaria*, *Cochorus* and *Amaranthus* are high K demanding crops [7]. The reasonable contents of KPH for K, Ca and Mg compared to NPK (15: 15: 15) or any other inorganic fertilizers, makes it a better fertilizer material for vegetable production. The high carbon content is an added advantage to improve on the low soil organic matter level and to serve as buffer materials to check the acidic condition of the soil. The low N content of KPH however, suggests the need for external N supply to reduce the volume of KPH that may be needed to meet crop N need. This would in addition help to reduce the C/N ratio of the KPH for quick mineralisation. This is especially so, when it is to be used for arable crops of short gestation period like vegetables.

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of soil and kola pod husk used

Properties	Soil	Kola pod husk
Nitrogen-N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.40	10.60
Carbon - C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	14.74	218.30
C/N ratio	10.53	20.59
P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	15.49	6.00
K(C mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.12	4.97
Ca (C mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.20	1.61
Mg (C mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	2.42	0.28
pH (soil/water 1: 1)	5.51	-
Sand (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	832	-
Silt (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	56	-
Clay (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	112	-
Texture	Sandy loam	-

Compared with control, KPH<sub>1</sub>, KPH<sub>2</sub>+Urea, KPH<sub>3</sub> and KPH<sub>3</sub>+Urea significantly increased (p < 0.05) plant height.

All the treatments significantly increased (p < 0.05) girth (except PKH<sub>3</sub>+urea) number of branches, number of leaves (except KPH<sub>1</sub> and KPH<sub>3</sub>+urea) leaf area and yield of *Telfaria* (Table 2).

Table 2: Effects of KPH and Urea amended KPH on growth performance and yield of *Telfaria*

Treatments	Height (cm)	Girth (mm)	Branches	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Yield (kg m <sup>2</sup> )
KPH <sub>0</sub>	125.6c	8.2b	8.3c	61.3b	3859.07e	1.03c
KPH <sub>1</sub>	141.3a	11.8a	11.3b	65.3b	5901.16c	1.33b
KPH <sub>1</sub> + Urea	123.6c	10.3a	10.0b	96.6a	4748.86d	1.48a
KPH <sub>2</sub>	126.3c	9.1ab	10.0b	69.6b	4274.83d	1.08c
KPH <sub>2</sub> + Urea	143.0a	11.5a	15.6a	98.6a	8012.24a	1.47a
KPH <sub>3</sub>	137.6b	12.3a	11.0b	81.3a	7954.39b	1.58a
KPH <sub>3</sub> + Urea	149.3a	8.8b	16.3a	89.3a	5946.49c	1.27b

a,b,c Means with different letters in the same column are significantly different at 5% level using Duncam Multiple Range Test

Plant height values indicated the KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea gave the highest value of 149.3cm.

The overall trends was KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea.

Table 3 showed that all the treatments (except KPH<sub>2</sub>+urea) significantly increased (p < 0.05) height, girth, number of branches, number of leaves (except KPH<sub>2</sub>+urea), leaf area and yield of *C. olitorius* when compared with control. The plant girth value was best at KPH<sub>3</sub>. This was followed by KPH<sub>1</sub> and least in the control (KPH<sub>0</sub>). The trend was KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>0</sub>. The number of branches produced was in the order KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea = KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>. This indicates that the higher the KPH + Urea application, the more the number of branches that were produced by *Telfaria*.

Table 3: Effects of KPH and Urea amended KPH on growth and yield of *C. olitorius*.

Treatments	Height (cm)	Girth (mm)	Branches	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Yield (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )
KPH <sub>0</sub>	56.0c	5.1c	5.6c	70.0b	226.1d	0.2d
KPH <sub>1</sub>	89.3ab	10.5a	14.4bc	144.0a	12168.0b	1.29b
KPH <sub>1</sub> + Urea	100.3a	10.5a	20.6a	140.6a	11079.3b	1.74a
KPH <sub>2</sub>	99.0a	11.5a	22.3a	158.0a	7678.8c	1.57a
KPH <sub>2</sub> + Urea	60.6c	7.0b	17.3b	76.6b	5437.07c	0.91c
KPH <sub>3</sub>	73.0b	6.8bc	15.0b	33.5c	2763.79a	0.75c
KPH <sub>3</sub> + Urea	73.3b	7.1b	21.3a	46.0c	2785.30a	1.02bc

a,b,c Means with different letters in the same column are significantly different at 5% level using Duncam Multiple Range Test

On number of leaves, KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea gave the highest value of 98.6. This was followed by KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea and it was least in the control. Leaf area per plant did not follow the same trend with the number of leaves. The highest leaf area value of 8012.24cm<sup>2</sup> was recorded with the use of KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea and it was least in the control. The order of performance was KPH<sub>2</sub> Urea> KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>. Cumulative yield was highest at KPH<sub>3</sub> with a value of 1.58 kg m<sup>-2</sup> or 15.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This was followed by KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea and KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea with values of 1.48kgm<sup>-2</sup> (14.8t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 1.47 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (14.7t ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. The least value was recorded at KPH<sub>0</sub> (1.03kg m<sup>-2</sup> or 10.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Table 3 shows the growth performance of *C. olitorius* and the cumulative yield values. The plant height was highest at KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea and least in the control. The overall trend was KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>0</sub>. The reduce values at the higher rates of KPH application may however be due to the noticed antelope infestation on the field at the same 3 weeks of establishment.

The values of plant girth showed that KPH<sub>2</sub> gave the highest value of 11.5mm, the overall trend was KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> = KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea> KPH) + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>. The number of branches produced gave the trend KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea> KPH] + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea> KPH) > KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>. The number of leaves was highest at KPH<sub>2</sub> with a value of 158 leaves and least at KPH<sub>3</sub> with 46 leaves. The leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> showed that KPH<sub>1</sub> with 12168 cm<sup>2</sup> gave the highest value. This was closely followed by KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea. *C. olitorius* yield was best at KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea with a value of 1.74kg m<sup>-2</sup> or 17.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This was closely followed by KPH<sub>2</sub> and least in KPH<sub>0</sub>. The overall trend was KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub>> KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea > KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>.

Relative to control, all the treatments significantly increased (P> 0.05) height, girth, number of branches, number of leaves (except KPH<sub>1</sub> and KPH<sub>2</sub>), number of leaves (except KPH<sub>2</sub>+urea), leaf area and yield of *Amaranthus cruentus*.

The values of the growth parameters and yield of *A. caudatus* are shown in Table 4. Plant height values indicated that KPH<sub>3</sub> gave the highest value of 94.3cm and least in the control (KPH<sub>0</sub>). Generally, the plant height values showed a positive linear relationship with rate of KPH application. As the level of KPH increased so was the height of the *A. cruentus*. The trend was KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>.

Plant girth was best at KPH<sub>3</sub> and was followed in the order of KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea = KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>0</sub>. The plant number of branches has a trend of KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>2</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea = KPH<sub>0</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea. The combined use of KPH with urea gave reduced number of branches compared to their corresponding KPH level. The plant number of leaves was highest at KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea and followed by KPH<sub>1</sub> with values of 65 and 63 respectively. The leaf area per plant was highest at KPH<sub>1</sub> and followed by KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea. The trend was KPH<sub>1</sub> > KPH<sub>1</sub> Urea> KPH<sub>3</sub> > KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>2</sub> + Urea> KPH<sub>0</sub>. Similar trend was observed for the number of branches, this was repeated for the leaf area values with regards to KPH and Urea combination. The cumulative yield of *A. cruentus* was highest at KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea and least at KPH<sub>0</sub>. The values increased with increase in the level of KPH application. It gave a linear trend as recorded for the plant height. *Amaranthus cruentus* yield was better when KPH was complemented with Urea at all the levels of application (Table 4).

**Table 4: Effects of KPH and Urea amended KPH on growth and yield of *Amaranthus cruentus***

Treatments	Height (cm)	Girth (mm)	Branches	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Yield (kg/ m <sup>-2</sup> )
KPH <sub>0</sub>	17.0d	5.5c	12.0b	13.0c	419.9e	0.16d
KPH <sub>1</sub>	47.7cd	6.5c	12.6b	63.0a	5323.5a	0.32c
KPH <sub>1</sub> + Urea	50.7c	9.0b	12.0b	65.0a	5122.0a	0.52b
KPH <sub>2</sub>	63.3bc	5.7c	13.0b	33.3b	1618.38d	0.42bc
KPH <sub>2</sub> + Urea	70.0b	8.5bc	9.0c	19.3c	1369.91d	0.59b
KPH <sub>3</sub>	94.3a	11.0a	17.6a	57.6a	4752.0b	0.69b
KPH <sub>3</sub> + Urea	70.3b	9.0b	11.3b	61.0a	3593.59c	1.15a

a,b,c Means with different letters in the same column are significantly different at 5% level using Duncam Multiple Range Test

## DISCUSSION

From all the growth parameters considered, there was no fertilizer treatment that gave a consistent superior treatment value effect across the vegetable types. All the fertilizers were significantly superior to the control. The superior values over the control suggest that the soil need external nutrient supply for optimal crop performance [4]. The soil nutrients, especially the N and K contents, were far below their critical values for optimal vegetable production.

On crop yield, results generally indicated that yields due to the fertilizers were better than the control for all the test crops. The inherent low fertility condition of the soil must have resulted to the obtained low yield potential at the control levels without fertilizer addition [8]. This further supports the need for external nutrient supply to upgrade the soil nutrient status. For *Telfaria*, the KPH + Urea were generally better than the sole use of the KPH. Though there was a better performance at KPH<sub>3</sub> over KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea, the better performance of the KPH<sub>3</sub> over KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea may probably be due to the observed pest attack on the plants treated basis and on the cost of fertilizer material needed, the KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea (80kgN/ha) which gave a cumulative yield of 1.48 kg m<sup>-2</sup> or 14.8 ha<sup>-1</sup> would be optimal for *Telfaria* as against KPH<sub>3</sub> (160kgN ha<sup>-1</sup>) that gave a cumulative yield of 1.58kg plant<sup>-1</sup> or 15.8t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

For *C. olitorius*, the trend of the performance indicated that the fertilizers were better used at the lower rates (Table 3). Application of KPH, + Urea (80kgN/ha) with the highest yield value of 1.74kg m<sup>-2</sup> or 17.4t ha<sup>-1</sup> is optimal and advised. For *A. cruentus*, there was a consistent better yield performance at the higher rates of KPH application (Table 4). This was in agreement with the high demand of *A. cruentus* for potassium [4] [7] and this was high in KPH (Table 1). This, therefore indicates that *A. cruentus* would better be produced at the higher rates of KPH application. The yield at KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea (160 kgN ha<sup>-1</sup>) of 1.15kg m<sup>-2</sup> or 11.5t ha<sup>-1</sup> was more than twice the yields recorded at the lower rates and mixture levels (Table 4). The lower yield values at KPH<sub>3</sub>, which were not easily made available to the plants. This may be due to the inherent high C/N ratio of KPH (Table 1). This defect might have been rectified by the urea addition at the KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea mixture.

### CONCLUSION

For all the crops and at all the levels of optimal yield performances, the supplementation of KPH with external N source to lower the C:N ratio was adjudged the best. For *Telfaria* and *C. olitorius*, the use of KPH<sub>1</sub> + Urea (80kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recommended, while KPH<sub>3</sub> + Urea was best for *A. cruentus* production at Imota. The yield values for each of the crop were within the ranges given by NIHORT. However, the *A. cruentus* would perform better if pest management could be taken along with the new fertilizer technology for the Ikorodu area of Lagos State.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Directors/Chief Executives of Lagos State Centre for Rural Development (CERUD) and Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria (CRIN) for their financial support and permission to publish this work.

### REFERENCES

- 1 Ogunwale IA, JO Olaniyan, MO Aduloju. Morphological, physico-chemical and clay mineralogical properties of soil overlying basement complex rocks in Ilorin East, Nigeria. Moor Journal of Agric Research. 2003;34(2):147-154.
- 2 Ipinmoroti RR. Kola Pod Husk Potential as organic fertilizer for vegetable production. CRIN Annual Report (In press) 2003
- 3 Iremiren GO, RR Ipinmoroti, RA Harnzat, RA Adedeji. Reports on the Preliminary investigation of the length of fermentation and application time of KPH on the growth and yield of Okra. CRIN Annual Report (In press) 2003
- 4 Adeoye GO, Sridhar MKC, RR Ipinmoroti. Potassium Recovery from farm wastes for crop growth. Communications in Soil and Plant Analysis. 2001;32(15&16):2347-2358.
- 5 Oluokun JA and EA Olaloku. The effect of graded levels of brewer spent grain and kolanut pod meal on the performance characteristics and carcass quality of Rabbits. Nig Journ. Animal Production. 1999;26:71-77.
- 6 Prokoshev V. Rational use of K fertilizer in Central International Fertilizer Correspondent Vol. 34:pp4. 1993
- 7 Rudat N, JY Bargaen, E Frey. Some problems related to adoption of technologies by farm household. In: Agriculture. Seminar proceeding, Accra, Ghana, 15-19 November, 1993. pp 67-71