# Vaccine development for emerging infectious diseases 

Jean-Louis Excler ${ }^{1 \times 1 \times}$, Melanie Saville ${ }^{2}$, Seth Berkley ${ }^{3}$ and Jerome H. Kim ${ }^{1 \otimes}$


#### Abstract

Examination of the vaccine strategies and technical platforms used for the COVID-19 pandemic in the context of those used for previous emerging and reemerging infectious diseases and pandemics may offer some mutually beneficial lessons. The unprecedented scale and rapidity of dissemination of recent emerging infectious diseases pose new challenges for vaccine developers, regulators, health authorities and political constituencies. Vaccine manufacturing and distribution are complex and challenging. While speed is essential, clinical development to emergency use authorization and licensure, pharmacovigilance of vaccine safety and surveillance of virus variants are also critical. Access to vaccines and vaccination needs to be prioritized in low- and middle-income countries. The combination of these factors will weigh heavily on the ultimate success of efforts to bring the current and any future emerging infectious disease pandemics to a close.


Newly emerging and reemerging infectious viral diseases have threatened humanity throughout history. Several interlaced and synergistic factors including demographic trends and high-density urbanization, modernization favoring high mobility of people by all modes of transportation, large gatherings, altered human behaviors, environmental changes with modification of ecosystems and inadequate global public health mechanisms have accelerated both the emergence and spread of animal viruses as existential human threats. In 1918, at the time of the 'Spanish flu', the world population was estimated at 1.8 billion. It is projected to reach 9.9 billion by 2050, an increase of more than $25 \%$ from the current 2020 population of 7.8 billion (https://www.worldometers.info). The novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) responsible for the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic ${ }^{1-3}$ engulfed the entire world in less than 6 months, with high mortality in the elderly and those with associated comorbidities. The pandemic has severely disrupted the world economy. Short of lockdowns, the only means of control have been limited to series of mitigation measures such as self-distancing, wearing masks, travel restrictions and avoiding gatherings, all imperfect and constraining. Now with more than 100 million people infected and more than 2 million deaths, it seems that the addition of vaccine(s) to existing countermeasures holds the best hope for pandemic control. Taken together, these reasons compel researchers and policymakers to be vigilant, reexamine the approach to surveillance and management of emerging infectious disease threats, and revisit global mechanisms for the control of pandemic disease ${ }^{4,5}$.

## Emerging and reemerging infectious diseases

The appearance of new infectious diseases has been recognized for millennia, well before the discovery of causative infectious agents. Despite advances in development of countermeasures (diagnostics, therapeutics and vaccines), world travel and increased global interdependence have added layers of complexity to containing these infectious diseases. Emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) are threats to human health and global stability ${ }^{6,7}$. A review of emerging pandemic diseases throughout history offers a perspective on the emergence and characteristics of coronavirus epidemics, with emphasis on the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic ${ }^{8,9}$. As human societies grow in size and complexity, an endless variety of opportunities is created for infectious agents to emerge into the unfilled ecologic niches we
continue to create. To illustrate this constant vulnerability of populations to emerging and reemerging pathogens and their respective risks to rapidly evolve into devastating outbreaks and pandemics, a partial list of emerging viral infectious diseases that occurred between 1900 and 2020 is shown in Table 1.

Although nonemerging infectious diseases (not listed in Table 1), two other major mosquito-borne viral infections are yellow fever and dengue. Yellow fever, known for centuries and an Aedes mosquito-borne disease, is endemic in more than 40 countries across Africa and South America. Since 2016, several yellow fever outbreaks have occurred in Angola, Democratic Republic of Congo, Nigeria and Brazil to cite a few ${ }^{10}$, raising major concerns about the adequacy of yellow fever vaccine supply. Four live attenuated vaccines derived from the live attenuated yellow fever strain (17D) ${ }^{11}$ and prequalified by the WHO (World Health Organization) are available ${ }^{12}$.

Dengue is an increasing global public health threat with the four dengue virus types (DENV1-4) now cocirculating in most dengue endemic areas. Population growth, an expansion of areas hospitable for Aedes mosquito species and the ease of travel have all contributed to a steady rise in dengue infections and disease. Dengue is common in more than 100 countries around the world. Each year, up to 400 million people acquire dengue. Approximately 100 million people get sick from infection, and 22,000 die from severe dengue. Most seriously affected by outbreaks are the Americas, South/ Southeast Asia and the Western Pacific; Asia represents $\sim 70 \%$ of the global burden of disease (https://www.cdc.gov/dengue). Several vaccines have been developed ${ }^{13}$. A single dengue vaccine, Sanofi Pasteur's Dengvaxia based on the yellow fever 17D backbone, has been licensed in 20 countries, but uptake has been poor. A safety signal in dengue-seronegative vaccine recipients stimulated an international review of the vaccine performance profile, new WHO recommendations for use and controversy in the Philippines involving the government, regulatory agencies, Sanofi Pasteur, clinicians responsible for testing and administering the vaccine, and the parents of vaccinated children ${ }^{14}$.

Two bacterial diseases, old scourges of humanity, are endemic and responsible for recurrent outbreaks and are increasingly antimicrobial resistant. Cholera, caused by pathogenic strains of Vibrio cholerae, is currently in its seventh global pandemic since 1817; notably, the seventh pandemic started in $1961^{15}$. Global mortality due to cholera infection remains high, mainly due to delay in rehydrating

[^0]| Year of first description | Name | Deaths | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1918 | 'Spanish influenza' | In the range of about 50 million to 100 million | 1918: H1N1; other pandemics in 1957-1958 (H2N2), 1968 (H3N2) and 2009 (H1N1) |
| 1931 | Rift Valley Fever | Overall CFR < $1 \%$; ~50\% for hemorrhagic fever | Contact with blood or organs of infected animals and mosquito-borne; several outbreaks in 1977, 1997-1998, 2000-2016 |
| 1937 | West Nile fever | CFR - 5\% | Mosquito-borne; worldwide outbreaks (most recent 1999-2010, USA) |
| 1967 | Marburg hemorrhagic fever | ~470; very high CFR (24-88\%, WHO) | Contact with African green monkey; numerous outbreaks in Africa 1969-2018 |
| 1969 | Lassa fever | -5,000 deaths annually; CFR <br> 1-2\%; Nigerian CFR 25\% | Contact with rodents or contaminated food or items; mostly in West Africa (Nigeria 2018) |
| 1969 | Acute hemorrhagic conjunctivitis | Rare | First identified in 1969; pandemic in 1981; frequent outbreaks worldwide |
| 1976-2020 | Ebola hemorrhagic fever | >15,000; CFR 75\% | First identified in 1976; first major outbreak in 2013-2016 in West Africa and in 2018 in Democratic Republic of Congo; 29 regional epidemics in 2020 in West and Central Africa |
| 1981 | HIV/AIDS | $\sim 37$ million | Ongoing pandemic |
| 1996 | Avian flu | High CFR (60\%) | H5N1 and H7N9 viruses from poultry; several outbreaks worldwide; last outbreak in China in 2018 |
| 1999 | Nipah fever | $\begin{aligned} & <1,000 ? ; \\ & \text { very high CFR } \end{aligned}$ | Outbreaks in Malaysia, Singapore, Bangladesh and India |
| 2002 | SARS | 813; CFR ~ $10 \%$ | Contained-did not turn into pandemic |
| 2009 | H1N1; H7N9 'swine flu' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 284,000; } \\ & \text { CFR 2.9-9\% } \end{aligned}$ | Pandemic |
| 2012 | MERS | 935; CFR 34.4\% | Major outbreak in 2012-2019; ongoing (camels, humans); detected in 27 countries but mostly in Middle Eastern countries |
| 2014 | Chikungunya | Rare | Mosquito-borne |
| 2015 | Zika | Unknown | Mosquito-borne |
| 2019-ongoing | COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) | >2.3 million; CFR 2-10\%; high in elderly and individuals with comorbidities | Pandemic-animal-to-animal, animal-to-human and human-to-human transmission |

CFR, case-fatality rate.
patients. The global burden of cholera is estimated to be between 1.4 and 4.3 million cases with about $21,000-143,000$ deaths per year, mostly in Asia and Africa. Tragic outbreaks have occurred in Yemen and Haiti. Adding to rehydration therapy, antibiotics have been used in the treatment of cholera to shorten the duration of diarrhea and to limit bacterial spread. Over the years, antimicrobial resistance developed in Asia and Africa to many useful antibiotics including chloramphenicol, furazolidone, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, nalidixic acid, tetracycline and fluoroquinolones. Several vaccines have been developed and WHO prequalified; these vaccines constitute a Gavi-supported global stockpile for rapid deployment during outbreaks ${ }^{16}$.

Typhoid fever is a severe disease caused by the Gram-negative bacterium Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhi (S. Typhi). Antimicrobial-resistant $S$. Typhi strains have become increasingly common. The first large-scale emergence and spread of a novel extensively drug-resistant (XDR) S. Typhi clone was first reported in Sindh, Pakistan ${ }^{17,18}$, and has subsequently been reported in India, Bangladesh, Nepal, the Philippines, Iraq and Guatemala ${ }^{19,20}$. The world is in a critical period as XDR S. Typhi has appeared in densely populated areas. The successful development of improved typhoid vaccines (conjugation of the Vi polysaccharide with a carrier
protein) with increased immunogenicity and efficacy including in children less than 2 years of age will facilitate the control of typhoid, in particular in XDR areas by decreasing the incidence of typhoid fever cases needing antibiotic treatment ${ }^{21,22}$.

## A model of vaccine development for emerging infectious diseases

The understanding of emerging infectious diseases has evolved over the past two decades. A look back at the SARS-CoV outbreak in 2002 shows that-despite a small number of deaths and infec-tions-its high mortality and transmissibility caused significant global disruption (see Table 1). The epidemic ended as work on vaccines was initiated. Since then, the disease has not reappearedwet markets were closed and transmission to humans from civets ceased. Consequently, work on vaccines against SARS-CoV ended and its funding was cut. Only a whole inactivated vaccine ${ }^{23}$ and a DNA vaccine ${ }^{24}$ were tested in phase 1 clinical trials.

Following a traditional research and development pipeline, it takes between 5 and 10 years to develop a vaccine for an infectious agent. This approach is not well suited for the needs imposed by the emergence of a new pathogen during an epidemic. Figure 1 shows a comparison of the epidemic curves and vaccine development timelines


Fig. 1 | A comparison of the epidemic curves and vaccine development timelines between the 2014 West African Ebola outbreak and COVID-19. a, The number of months from the onset of the epidemic is shown against the number of reported cases per day. Note that the COVID-19 (left) and Ebola (right) axes are scaled differently. b, Vaccine development timelines for COVID-19 versus Ebola in the context of particular events during the respective outbreaks. PHEIC, public health emergency of international concern.
between the 2014 West African Ebola outbreak and COVID-19 The 2014 Ebola epidemic lasted more than 24 months with 11,325 deaths and was sufficiently prolonged to enable the development and testing of vaccines for Ebola, with efficacy being shown for one vaccine (of several) toward the end of the epidemic ${ }^{25,26}$. What makes the COVID-19 pandemic remarkable is that the whole research and development pipeline, from the first SARS-CoV-2 viral sequenced to interim analyses of vaccine efficacy trials, was accomplished in just under 300 days $^{27}$. Amid increasing concerns about unmitigated transmission during the 2013-2016 Western African Ebola outbreak in mid-2014, WHO urged acceleration of the development and evaluation of candidate vaccines ${ }^{25}$. To ensure that manufacturers would take the Ebola vaccine to full development and deployment, Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, publicly announced support of up to US $\$ 300$ million for vaccine purchase and followed that announcement with an advance purchase agreement. Ironically, there had been Ebola vaccines previously developed and tested for biodefense purposes in nonhuman primates, but this previous work was neither
'ready' for clinical trials during the epidemic nor considered commercially attractive enough to finish development ${ }^{28}$.

From these perceived shortcomings in vaccine development during public health emergencies arose the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI), a not-for-profit organization dedicated to timely vaccine development capabilities in anticipation of epidemics ${ }^{29,30}$. CEPI initially focused on diseases chosen from a list of WHO priority pathogens for EIDsMiddle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), Lassa fever, Nipah, Rift Valley fever (RVF) and chikungunya. The goal of CEPI was to advance candidate vaccines through phase 2 and to prepare stockpiles of vaccine against eventual use/testing under epidemic circumstances. CEPI had also prepared for 'disease X' by investing in innovative rapid response platforms that could move from sequence to clinical trials in weeks rather than months or years, such as mRNA and DNA technology, platforms that were useful when COVID-19 was declared a global health emergency in January 2020, and a pandemic in March $2020^{31,32}$.

CEPI has been able to fund several vaccine development efforts, among them product development by Moderna, Inovio, OxfordAstraZeneca and Novavax. Providing upfront funding helped these groups to advance vaccine candidates to clinical trials and develop scaled manufacturing processes in parallel, minimizing financial risk to vaccine developers. The launch of the larger US-funded Operation Warp Speed ${ }^{33}$ further provided companies with fund-ing-reducing risks associated with rapid vaccine development and securing initial commitments in vaccine doses.

## Vaccine platforms and vaccines for emerging infectious diseases

Vaccines are the cornerstone of the management of infectious disease outbreaks and are the surest means to defuse pandemic and epidemic risk. The faster a vaccine is deployed, the faster an outbreak can be controlled. As discussed in the previous section, the standard vaccine development cycle is not suited to the needs of explosive pandemics. New vaccine platform technologies however may shorten that cycle and make it possible for multiple vaccines to be more rapidly developed, tested and produced ${ }^{34}$. Table 2 provides examples of the most important technical vaccine platforms for vaccines developed or under development for emerging viral infectious diseases. Two COVID-19 vaccines were developed using mRNA technology (Pfizer-BioNTech ${ }^{35}$ and Moderna $^{36}$ ), both showing safety and high efficacy, and now with US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) emergency use authorization (EUA) ${ }^{37,38}$ and European Medicines Agency (EMA) conditional marketing authorization ${ }^{39,40}$. While innovative and encouraging for other EIDs, it is too early to assert that mRNA vaccines represent a universal vaccine approach that could be broadly applied to other EIDs (such as bacterial or enteric pathogens). While COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are a useful proof of concept, gathering lessons from their large-scale deployment and effectiveness studies still requires more work and time.

While several DNA vaccines are licensed for veterinary applications, and DNA vaccines have shown safety and immunogenicity in human clinical trials, no DNA vaccine has reached licensure for use in humans ${ }^{41}$. Recombinant proteins vary greatly in design for the same pathogen (for example, subunit, virus-like particles) and are often formulated with adjuvants but have longer development times. Virus-like particle-based vaccines used for hepatitis B and human papillomavirus are safe, highly immunogenic, efficacious and easy to manufacture in large quantity. The technology is also easily transferable. Whole inactivated pathogens (for example, SARS-CoV-2, polio, cholera) or live attenuated vaccines (for example, SARS-CoV-2, polio, chikungunya) are unique to each pathogen. Depending on the pathogen, these vaccines also may require biosafety level 3 manufacturing (at least for COVID-19 and polio), which may limit the possibility of technology transfer for increasing the global manufacturing capacity.

Other vaccines are based on recombinant vector platforms, subdivided into nonreplicating vectors (for example, adenovirus 5 (Ad5), Ad26, chimpanzee adenovirus-derived ChAdOx, highly attenuated vectors like modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA)) and live attenuated vectors such as the measles-based vector or the vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) vector. Either each vector is designed with specific inserts for the pathogen targeted, or the same vector can be designed with different inserts for the same disease. The development of the Merck Ebola vaccine is an example. ERVEBO is a live attenuated, recombinant VSV-based, chimeric-vector vaccine, where the VSV envelope G protein was deleted and replaced by the envelope glycoprotein of Zaire ebolavirus. ERVEBO is safe and highly efficacious, now approved by the US FDA and the EMA, and WHO prequalified, making VSV an attractive 'platform' for COVID-19 and perhaps for other EID vaccines ${ }^{26}$ although the $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ultracold chain storage requirement still presents a challenge.

Other equally important considerations are speed of development, ease of manufacture and scale-up, ease of logistics (presentation, storage conditions and administration), technology transfer to other manufacturers to ensure worldwide supply, and cost of goods. Viral vectors such as Ad5, Ad26 and MVA have been used in HIV as well as in Ebola vaccines ${ }^{42}$. Finally, regulatory authorities do not approve platforms but vaccines. Each vaccine is different. However, with each use of a specific technology, regulatory agencies may, over time, become more comfortable with underlying technology and the overall safety and efficacy of the vaccine platform, allowing expedited review and approvals in the context of a pandemic ${ }^{43}$. With COVID-19, it meant that the regulatory authorities could permit expedited review of 'platform' technologies, such as RNA and DNA, that had been used (for other conditions) and had safety profiles in hundreds of people.

A heterologous prime-boost (HPB) vaccine approach has been extensively explored for HIV ${ }^{44}$ and Ebola vaccines ${ }^{42}$. It is being investigated for COVID-19 vaccines with the Oxford-AstraZeneca AZD1222 and Gamaleya Sputnik V COVID-19 vaccines ${ }^{45}$ or with the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine (https://www.comcovstudy.org.uk). Other HPB combinations might be considered involving mRNA, DNA, viral vector-based and protein-based vaccines. This may offer the potential benefit of improving the immune response and avoiding mutlidose reactogenicity or anti-vector immune responses. Additionally, people previously vaccinated with the standard regimen (for example, single or two dose) could be offered a booster immunization with a different vaccine. This might mitigate current shortages in vaccines, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Such a matrix of HPB possibilities deserves further consideration by manufacturers, funders and regulators supported by clinical trial studies and assessment of implementation challenges.

Important improvements could speed up availability. Standardized labeling of vaccines so that they can be interchanged across countries and regions, date of production rather than expiration so that shelf life can be tracked, three-dimensional bar coding to allow critical information to be updated, standard indemnification and liability language that would allow agreement with all manufacturers, a no-fault compensation mechanism for serious adverse events related to vaccine administration, and regulatory harmonization are all critical and being worked on as part of the COVID-19 vaccine response and must be optimized for future outbreaks.

## The pathway to EUA, licensure and beyond

Big pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies supported by organizations such as CEPI or efforts such as Operation Warp Speed have conducted efficacy trials in countries or regions with the highest SARS-CoV-2 incidence rates. The same groups have also committed funding for large-scale manufacturing at risk. With more than 60 vaccine candidates in clinical trials and another 170 in preclinical development (WHO COVID-19 vaccine landscape) ${ }^{46}$, it is uncertain whether vaccine candidates not in the first wave of testing/approvals will be able to progress to EUA and licensure based solely on results of randomized clinical efficacy trials with clinical endpoints. Regulators and ethics committees may decide that noninferiority clinical trials against comparator vaccines with proven clinical efficacy will be needed for further approvals. Would the demonstration of equivalence between immune responses generated by a new vaccine and those of a clinically proven efficacious vaccine (bridging studies) ${ }^{47}$ be accepted by regulatory authorities and replace the need for noninferiority clinical endpoint studies? For that to occur there must be agreement on what are immune correlates of protection (ICP) to COVID-19, and these have yet to be identified. Moreover, it is not yet clear that ICP will translate equally between different vaccine platforms; for example, are immune responses generated by chimpanzee adenovirus the same as those generated by proteins or whole inactivated virus?

Table 2 | Examples of different vaccine platforms and vaccines currently developed or under development for emerging viral infectious diseases

| Vaccine platform | Other specifications | Developed for | Under development or <br> stopped | for |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{a}$ Vaccine development stopped.

As incidence rates of a disease decrease over time due to sustained mitigation measures and implementation of vaccination, larger sample sizes in multicountry trials, additional participant accrual time and complex logistics will likely be required for future approvals, compromising the speed of clinical development and increasing cost. Early deployment of scarce doses of still-investigational vaccines (under emergency use listing (EUL) or similar regulatory mechanisms) could bring additional public health benefits if accompanied by firm commitment to maintaining blinded follow-up of participants in ongoing or future placebo-controlled trials until a licensed vaccine is fully deployed in the population ${ }^{48}$.

Randomized controlled trials might underestimate the protective effect of vaccines at the population level. This would occur if the COVID-19 vaccine, in addition to conferring direct protection to individuals, reduces transmission of COVID-19 between individuals, providing protection to unvaccinated individuals and enhanced protection of vaccinated individuals in contact with vaccinated individuals. Vaccine-induced herd protection, which might be crucial to the public health value of a vaccine, will be missed when trials are individually randomized and analyses fail to take
account of the geographical distribution of individuals in the population ${ }^{49}$. For these reasons, other clinical trial designs have been proposed once COVID-19 vaccines have achieved licensure via current phase 3 trials to assess how useful the vaccines will be in practice and addressing vaccine effectiveness, including the level of protection of both vaccinated and nonvaccinated individuals in targeted populations ${ }^{50}$.

In the particular context of the COVID-19 pandemic, whether regulatory authorities would require clinical endpoints in future efficacy trials or would consider ICP remains unclear. Clinical endpoints provide increased accuracy with regard to definitive clinical outcomes where outcome-related analyses using ICP are inferential. ICP will contribute to our understanding of viral pathogenesis and immunity, be useful for future approval of vaccines, and help in our understanding of waning of protective immunity following vaccination or infection. The paradox is that the higher the efficacy, the more difficult it will be to identify these correlates because there may not be enough infected vaccine recipients to compare with uninfected vaccine recipients. The analysis of ICP may be possible only in clinical trials showing a lower vaccine efficacy ${ }^{50}$. They would
also not provide a rigorous evaluation of long-term safety and the potential for vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease ${ }^{51}$.

## Pharmacovigilance and surveillance

In May 2020, the 42nd Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety addressed pharmacovigilance preparedness for the launch of the future COVID-19 vaccines ${ }^{52}$. One of their recommendations was that infrastructure and capacity for surveillance of the safety of COVID-19 vaccines should be in place in all countries and engaged before a vaccine is introduced. The WHO's COVID-19 vaccine safety surveillance manual develops the monitoring and reporting of adverse events following immunization and adverse events of special interest, data management systems and safety communication, and the need for postauthorization safety surveillance studies ${ }^{53}$. One critical element of this surveillance is the duration of the observation period. The implementation of this surveillance will require local, national, regional and global collaboration. While countries should include preparedness plans for COVID-19 vaccine safety in their overall plans for vaccine introduction, building on WHO guidance, it is imperative that the COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access (COVAX) initiative (coordinated by CEPI, Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, and WHO) works with partners on capacity building and the practical aspects of implementation with technical and training support tailored to the settings.

In view of the public health urgency and the extensive vaccination campaigns foreseen worldwide, the EMA and the national competent authorities in EU member states have prepared themselves for the expected high data volume by putting pharmacovigilance plans specific for COVID-19 vaccines in place. Good pharmacovigilance practices include detailed requirements and guidance on the principles of a risk management plan (RMP) and requirements for vaccines. In addition, core RMP requirements for COVID-19 vaccines have been developed to facilitate and harmonize the preparation of RMPs by companies and their evaluation by assessors. The RMP preparation addresses the planning of the postauthorization safety follow-up of COVID-19 vaccines by marketing authorization holders, while acknowledging uncertainties in the pandemic setting and recommending ways to prepare for pharmacovigilance activities ${ }^{54}$. Similarly, the US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) initially convened the COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Technical Working Group in June 2020 to advise the ACIP COVID-19 Vaccine Workgroup and the full ACIP on the safety monitoring of COVID-19 vaccines under development and pharmacovigilance postapproval ${ }^{55}$.

Key lessons could be learnt from past situations where new vaccines were introduced in response to pandemic and epidemic emergencies. For the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic, few countries had a pandemic preparedness plan that comprehensively addressed vaccine deployment and monitoring of adverse events. The African Vaccine Regulatory Forum, a regional network of regulators and ethics committees, working closely with regulators from other parts of the world, participated in the review of clinical trial protocols and results, the joint monitoring of trials and the joint authorization and deployment of vaccines ${ }^{56}$. Such models can be used to guide pharmacovigilance for the deployment of COVID-19 vaccines, particularly in LMICs with limited resources. The introduction of the first licensed dengue vaccine, while not in the context of an international public health emergency, illustrated a number of lessons for the pharmacovigilance of newly introduced vaccines, particularly the vaccine-associated enhanced disease that was observed ${ }^{13,14}$. Due to the significant sequence homology between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) and vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease (VAERD) were raised as potential safety issues ${ }^{57,58}$. VAERD and ADE have not been described in current reports of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine phase 3 trials. Similarly, VAERD has not been reported in animal challenge studies
with SARS-CoV-2 vaccines that conferred protection ${ }^{50}$. With ADE the effect of waning antibody titers after vaccination (or after infection) and potential safety signals are unknown, which emphasizes the importance of follow-up monitoring ${ }^{57}$.

Pregnant women seem to be disproportionately affected during pandemics and emerging pathogen outbreaks ${ }^{55,60}$. The Pregnancy Research Ethics for Vaccines, Epidemics, and New Technologies (PREVENT) Working Group has published a roadmap to guide the inclusion of the interests of pregnant women in the development and deployment of vaccines against emerging pathogens ${ }^{61,62}$.

Equally important is the surveillance on SARS-CoV-2 circulating strains as well as of other coronaviruses (MERS, seasonal) ${ }^{63}$. SARS-CoV-2 is evolving, with new lineages being reported all over the world. Amongst previous lineages, D614G was shown to have faster growth in vitro and enhanced transmission in small animals, and has subsequently become globally dominant ${ }^{64-66}$. Other variants of concern have been described in the UK (B.1.1.7) ${ }^{67}$ and in Brazil (B.1.1.28.1/P1) ${ }^{68}$ with higher capacity for transmission and, potentially, lethality. N501Y (B.1.351) isolated in South Africa has an increased affinity for the human ACE2 receptor, which together with the repeated and independent evolution of 501Y-containing lineages ${ }^{69}$ strongly argues for enhanced transmissibility. The B.1.351 variant has nine spike alterations; it rapidly emerged in South Africa during the second half of 2020 and has shown resistance to neutralizing antibodies elicited by infection and vaccination with previously circulating lineages. The AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine rollout in South Africa was recently halted after the analysis showed minimal efficacy against mild and moderate cases due to B.1.351, which accounts for $90 \%$ of the cases in this country ${ }^{70}$. The Novavax vaccine efficacy is $86 \%$ against the variant identified in the UK and $60 \%$ against the variant identified in South Africa ${ }^{71}$. The efficacy of a single dose of Johnson \& Johnson's Ad26 was 57\% against moderate to severe COVID-19 infection in South Africa ${ }^{72}$.

For the many people who have already been infected with SARS-CoV-2 globally and are presumed to have accumulated some level of immunity, new variants such as B.1.351 pose a significant reinfection risk, although vaccine-induced cell-mediated immune responses might mitigate this risk. Scientists do not know how variant lineages will evolve under vaccine-induced immune pressure during the vaccination rollout or whether choices that alter the schedule or dose may impact virus evolution. Whether vaccines efficacious against current circulating strains including the variants identified in the UK and Brazil will keep their efficacy against emerging variants is unknown and deserves enhanced global COVID-19 surveillance in both humans and animals, similar to those developed for influenza. Global influenza surveillance has been conducted through WHO's Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System since 1952. The Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System is a global mechanism of surveillance, preparedness and response for seasonal, pandemic and zoonotic influenza, a global platform for monitoring influenza epidemiology and disease, and a global alert system for novel influenza viruses and other respiratory pathogens ${ }^{73}$. The Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Database (https://www.gisaid.org) promotes the rapid sharing of data from all influenza viruses and the coronavirus causing COVID-19. These include genetic sequence and related clinical and epidemiological data associated with human viruses, and geographical as well as species-specific data associated with avian and other animal viruses. This molecular epidemiology surveillance should be expanded to all EIDs, particularly the deadliest and most transmissible, as recently described for Ebola ${ }^{25}$. As with influenza, preparations for SARS-CoV-2 vaccine variants should be proactive, with a view that platforms such as mRNA could generate new vaccine strains very rapidly. A clear regulatory pathway for strain change needs discussion with the regulators.

## Approval process for licensure and EUA and the risk of speed

Vaccines are classically approved by the country's national regulatory authority such as the US FDA or by a centralized procedure through the EMA. Once approved for licensure by a stringent or functional national regulatory authority in the country of manufacture, the manufacturing company can submit a dossier for WHO prequalification. However, for SARS-CoV-2 vaccines intended for COVAX, WHO prequalification is not required for initial use if they have received WHO EUL. COVAX is one of three pillars of the Access to COVID-19 Tools Accelerator, which was launched in April 2020 by the WHO, the EC (European Commission) and France. Vaccines receiving WHO EUL can be purchased by UNICEF (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund), the largest purchaser of vaccines for Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Countries participating in COVAX can access the vaccines through the COVAX Facility either as 1 of the 98 self-financing countries or, for the 92 LMICs, funded through the Gavi COVAX advance market commitment (AMC; https://www.gavi.org).

In the current pandemic situation, the US FDA is using the EUA process to allow initial use of the vaccines from Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson \& Johnson ${ }^{74}$. EMA is taking the approach of conditional approval ${ }^{75}$. The WHO emergency use assessment and listing (EUAL) procedure was developed in the wake of the Ebola virus disease outbreak in Africa to expedite the availability of vaccines. The EUAL was intended as guidance for national regulatory authorities in circumstances when the "community may be more willing to tolerate less certainty about the efficacy and safety of products, given the morbidity and/or mortality of the disease and the shortfall of treatment and/or prevention options ${ }^{376}$. In early 2020, the WHO issued a revised EUL procedure to assess whether submitted data demonstrate a reasonable likelihood that a vaccine's quality, safety and performance are acceptable and that the benefits outweigh the foreseeable risks and uncertainties in the context of a public health emergency of international concern ${ }^{77}$. It is intended that vaccines approved through EUAL would eventually go to full review and receive prequalification. WHO member states have the prerogative through their national regulatory authority to use the EUL procedure to authorize the use of unlicensed vaccines.

Some countries have used their national regulatory authorities to secure approval of nationally produced vaccines. The Russian government approved the Ad26 and Ad5 combination-based COVID-19 vaccine, Sputnik V, produced by the Gamaleya Research Institute, for use by individuals aged 60 years and above ${ }^{78,79}$. China's National Medical Products Agency has given conditional approval to the whole inactivated virus BBIBP-CorV COVID-19 vaccine developed by the Beijing Institute of Biological Products, a Sinopharm subsidiary ${ }^{80}$. The authorization allows the general public's use of the inoculation and comes after the company announced that its vaccine proved $79.3 \%$ effective in phase 3 trials ${ }^{81}$. Although the interim results are not yet published, they must have been reviewed and approved by the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention and National Medical Products Agency. The United Arab Emirates was first to approve the Sinopharm vaccine for EUA in early December 2020 based on interim analysis results ${ }^{82}$. The Sinovac CoronaVac vaccine was recently granted conditional approval on the basis of interim efficacy results ${ }^{83}$. The CanSinoBIO COVID-19 vaccine achieved $65.7 \%$ efficacy in preventing symptomatic cases in clinical trials (unpublished). The vaccine also showed a $90.98 \%$ success rate in stopping severe disease in one of its interim analysis. The vaccine was granted EUA in Mexico and Pakistan ${ }^{84}$.

## Manufacturing-how to make more, faster

Production and distribution of hundreds of millions of doses of COVID-19 vaccine within a year of identification of the pandemic pathogen is unprecedented, and while the principles are straightforward, the manufacturing equation is complex and
prone to delay. The technical platform utilized to make a vaccine (mRNA, whole inactivated virus, vector, protein with or without adjuvant), the dosage (low, mid, high), the schedule of vaccination (single or two dose) and the manufacturer capability, capacity and reputation are all important considerations for regulators and the WHO. The initial phase of manufacturing scale-up will be a key regulator of vaccine access initially. This could potentially be impacted by vaccine nationalism and the announced bilateral agreements between manufacturers and high-income countries. Companies such as Sinopharm, the Serum Institute of India or Bharat have a huge capacity for production but must supply the gigantic markets of China and India. Delays in the production of several western ${ }^{85}$ and Chinese COVID-19 vaccines ${ }^{86}$ have already been reported.

The Developing Countries Vaccine Manufacturers Network (DCVMN) was established in 2000 with the mission to increase the availability and affordability of quality vaccines to protect against known and emerging infectious diseases ${ }^{87}$. About 70\% of the global EPI vaccine supplies and about $75 \%$ procured by UN (United Nations) agencies are produced by DCVMN members ${ }^{88}$. Several technology transfers to DCVMN members have occurred over the past decades to significantly contribute to global health. Following an initial collaboration on the oral cholera vaccine between Sweden and VABIOTECH in Vietnam, the International Vaccine Institute improved the vaccine and then transferred the technology back to VABIOTECH and to several DCVMN members, including Shantha Biotechnics (Shanchol), India; EuBiologics (Euvichol), Republic of Korea; and Incepta (Cholvax), Bangladesh. Shanchol, Euvichol and Euvichol Plus are WHO prequalified and the major contributors to the Gavi-supported global stockpile ${ }^{16}$ while Cholvax is marketed in Bangladesh.

For COVID-19 vaccines, several companies have licensed or contracted vaccine production to other manufacturers-AstraZeneca and Novavax with the Serum Institute (India) and SK Bioscience (Korea); Moderna with Lonza (Switzerland), Johnson \& Johnson with Biological E (India); and Chinese Sinovac with Butantan (Brazil) and BioFarma (Indonesia). Hopefully the license and contract manufacturing arrangements will allow the production of sufficient doses of vaccines to provide equitable access to at-risk populations globally ${ }^{89}$.

Under the pressures of the pandemic, and with the need for accelerated development of COVID-19 vaccines, optimization of more practical aspects of vaccine implementation, supply and dosing was secondary to the need for rapid proof of concept. COVID-19 mRNA vaccines and the VSV-EBO Ebola vaccine from Merck have a similar requirement for ultracold chain storage. While that might be overcome by relatively simple technology, the scalability of these technologies for universal vaccination is unknown. Additional development is needed to establish the stability of vaccines at higher temperatures (Pfizer mRNA). There is evidence to suggest the presence of some protection against COVID-19 after the first dose; this is critical information not only for COVID-19 but also to frame thinking around other EID vaccines.

## Leave no one behind, or the unequal access to vaccines and treatments

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development has the vision to leave no one behind, particularly low-income countries. COVID-19 has seen exceptionalism at either extreme. On the one hand, COVAX aims to provide at least 2 billion doses of WHO-approved vaccine to participating countries by the end of 2021-roughly $20 \%$ of each country's vaccination needs. A total of 92 LMICs will receive vaccine largely through an AMC arranged by Gavi ${ }^{90}$. It now appears that the USA will join COVAX, which recently announced that it had secured agreements for sufficient doses to meet the 2021 target ${ }^{50}$.

Critically, vaccinating people in LMICs will require additional vaccine purchases, at a cost estimated in billions of dollars. In purely economic terms, it appears that such an investment could have substantial benefit for the global economy ${ }^{91}$. On the other hand, COVAX is on track to achieve its goals and poised to start delivering vaccines, and yet no AMC countries had yet been vaccinated when tens of millions of people were already being vaccinated in high-income countries. Among high-income countries, billions of doses have been preordered, several times more than justified by their populations. Can COVAX achieve its target of providing 2 billion doses by 2021, or will manufacturing bottlenecks lead to delay that will allow the coronavirus to continue to circulate in poorer countries and prolong the pandemic? If unable to access COVID-19 vaccines in a timely manner, the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, especially Sustainable Development Goal 3 focusing on health, will be difficult to achieve, and low-income countries will be under extraordinary pressure as the COVID-19 pandemic forces them further into poverty and deeper inequality.

UN Secretary-General António Guterres has again stressed that COVID-19 vaccines must be a global public good, available to everyone, everywhere. "Vaccinationalism is self-defeating and would delay a global recovery"92. Modeling studies suggest that if high-income countries take the first 2 billion doses of available COVID-19 vaccines without regard to equity, global COVID-19 deaths will double ${ }^{93}$. Ensuring that all countries have rapid, fair and equitable access to COVID-19 vaccines is the promise of COVAX.

Final remarks. The lessons of the COVID-19 pandemic need to be compiled and applied to the development of future vaccines against emerging infectious diseases and novel pandemic pathogens. The permanent threat of emerging pathogens calls for vigilance, surveillance and preparedness for vaccine development and deployment, all crosscutting activities to be conducted flawlessly between epidemiologists, scientists, developers, human and veterinary health authorities, regulators and funders. Global health stakeholders have learned something about developing vaccines efficiently: they still have much to learn about making and using them with due regard to equity and access.
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