Advance Publication

INDUSTRIAL HEALTH

Received: October 6, 2020

Accepted: November 5, 2020

J-STAGE Advance Published Date: November 14, 2020

Original article

Validation of ISO 9920 clothing item insulation summation method based on an ambulance personnel clothing system

Kalev KUKLANE^{*a,b}; Róbert TOMA^c

^a Institute for Safety (IFV), Zoetermeer, The Netherlands

^b Lund University, Dept. of Design Sciences, Div. of Ergonomics and Aerosol Technology, Lund, Sweden ^c Brno University of Technology, Energy Institute, Brno, Czech Republic

 *corresponding author: Kalev Kuklane Institute for Safety (IFV)
 P.O. Box 7112
 NL-2701 AC Zoetermeer
 The Netherlands
 Visiting address: Zilverstraat 91, Zoetermeer
 www.ifv.nl

> Mob. +31 640 640125 <u>kalev.kuklane@ifv.nl</u> ORCID: 0000-0003-3169-436X

Co-author:

Róbert Toma, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Brno University of Technology, Brno, Jihomoravský Kraj 616 69, Czech Republic. <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1521-0142</u>

Short title: VALIDATION OF ISO 9920 INSULATION SUMMATION METHOD

Received: October 6, 2020

Accepted: November 5, 2020

Advance Epub: November 14, 2020

Abstract

This study aimed to validate the summation methods suggested by ISO 9920. 27 items from an ambulance personnel clothing system were selected for testing. The basic insulation of each garment item (l_{clu}) was calculated based on the thermal manikin tests. More than 100 realistic clothing combinations were compiled and basic insulation (l_{cl}) of these ensembles was calculated according to ISO 9920. These were ranked after the calculated insulation, and 14 sets covering insulation from 0.63 to 3.33 clo were measured on the thermal manikin for acquiring the basic clothing insulation (l_{cl}). Regression analysis was used to compare the summed and measured l_{cl} values. The difference between values varied from -18 to 12 %. The highest percentual difference was for the lightest clothing sets, while the absolute differences were similar over the whole insulation range ranging between -0.17 to 0.18 clo with an average difference of 0.02 clo (-0.16 %). All basic insulation values stayed very close to the line of identity ($R^2 = 0.98$). The summation equation gave, in the case of this ambulance clothing system, very close results to the measured values. This encourages evaluating and selecting protective clothing combinations for thermal comfort based on individual item measurements.

Keywords

Standard method; basic insulation; garment item, modelling, thermal comfort, optimal clothing selection, protective clothing

Validation of ISO 9920 clothing item insulation summation method based on an ambulance personnel clothing system

Kalev Kuklane^{a,b}; Róbert Toma^c

^a IFV (Instituut Fysieke Veiligheid), Zoetermeer, The Netherlands

^b Lund University, Dept. of Design Sciences, Div. of Ergonomics and Aerosol Technology, Lund, Sweden ^c Brno University of Technology, Energy Institute, Brno, Czech Republic

Introduction

Clothing insulation is one of the basic parameters that affects human heat exchange with the environment. It is used as a common behavioural thermoregulatory measure and is a powerful means to maintain thermal comfort in a wide range of temperatures¹⁾. The two most important clothing properties that affect human heat exchange with the environment, are thermal insulation and evaporative resistance. Commonly, these are not evenly distributed over the body surface due to the material choice, clothing design, layering, fit etc. Clothing parameters for body regions can be measured, and the change due to walking and wind can be estimated²⁻⁴⁾. Local values can be used in advanced physiological models for exposure evaluation^{5, 6)} and as a feedback for clothing manufacturers to improve their products, or for industries to select the clothing provided to their employees.

There are several methods available to measure or estimate clothing items' or their combinations' insulation, e.g. ASTM F1291⁷, ISO 15831⁸, ISO 9920⁹. ISO 9920⁹ presents databases of clothing ensembles allowing us to sum individual items and presents possibilities to calculate effect of wind and motion. However, it does not account for many effects that occur when dressing the clothing combination and related to clothing fit etc., while some of these effects may counterbalance or amplify each other. The standard has earlier not considered much different clothing styles than western clothing, and corrections are commonly based on workwear, while recent publication cover

that gap^{10, 11)}. Also, modern western clothing has been measured and detailed thermal properties have been reported in literature^{3, 12)}.

It may be quite laborious to test all the possible clothing combinations that people may wear, and therefore a summation method⁹⁾ can be very useful in adding up individual items' insulation into the insulation of the whole ensemble. Such an outcome can be utilized in standards on evaluation of human thermal environments, e.g. ISO 11079¹³⁾ (IREQ – insulation required for cold environments), ISO 7933¹⁴⁾ (PHS – predicted heat strain for heat exposure), ISO 7730¹⁵⁾ (PMV – predicted mean vote; PPD – predicted percentage of dissatisfied for indoor climate range), that require clothing insulation as input for the evaluation of the protection, stress, comfort or thermal climate. These standards allow exposure evaluation by combining environmental and clothing parameters and human activity levels on thermo-physiological basis to predict thermal stress and estimate exposure¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾, or allow their use in mobile apps for decision support to plan for and cope with unfavourable climate conditions¹⁹⁾. Even the recent version of ISO 7243²⁰⁾ (WBGT – wet bulb globe temperature index for work in hot climates) includes a limited table with clothing examples for adjustment of the calculated exposure limits.

However, prediction accuracy depends on the accuracy of its components. A 5 % deviation, for example, is accepted for manikin tests by ISO 15831^{8} . It counts, for example, for the differences that may occur during dressing of the manikin. To counterbalance the focus on percentual differences then a rough estimation by experience has shown that a measured insulation difference of less than $0.02 \text{ m}^2\text{K}/\text{W}$ can hardly be noticed by a user as other factors including fit and dressing habits etc. do influence the outcome more. Thus, for predictions of clothing insulation we can assume that the difference from the true insulation value of less than ± 10 % can be acceptable²¹⁾. The very same presentation²¹⁾ showed, that for various available data sets the summation method could allow for differences far above 20 % depending on clothing, confirming the conclusions of Kakitsuba²²⁾ on the influence of many other factors on clothing thermal parameters.

4

The aim of this study was to validate the summation method suggested by ISO 9920⁹⁾ based on the example of an available ambulance personnel clothing system from the same manufacturer, where various items were meant to work together and fulfil their protective / comfort function.

Methods

27 items from the Taiga AB (Sweden) ambulance personnel clothing system, shoes and gloves were selected for this study (Table 1). All items were tested individually on the thermal manikin Tore^{23, 24)} at Lund University according to ISO 15831⁸⁾ following ISO 9920⁹⁾ recommendation (low air velocity), and basic insulation of each garment item (I_{clu}) was calculated. During testing, there was a small difference from suggested air velocity as the chamber air motion could not be set below 0.2 m/s due to the influence on vertical temperature distribution and function of the regulation system. Air velocity in the chamber stayed in average at 0.22±0.08 m/s. Air layer insulation (I_a) was measured in the same conditions.

(Table 1 about here)

Based on 27 clothing items over 100 realistic clothing combinations were compiled and basic insulation (I_{cl}) of these clothing ensembles was calculated according to the summation equation given in ISO 9920⁹:

$$I_{\rm cl} = 0.161 + 0.835 \times \Sigma I_{\rm clu} \tag{1}$$

Also, the simplified equation was used for comparison:

$$I_{\rm cl} = \Sigma I_{\rm clu} \tag{2}$$

The ensembles were sorted based on the calculated insulation, and 14 sets were selected to reasonably cover the range of insulation values from 0.63 to 3.33 clo. Basic insulation (I_{cl}) of the selected sets were calculated based on thermal manikin measurements. Photographic method based on 2 photos was used to estimate clothing area factor (f_{cl}) of the individual garments and the

ensembles¹¹⁾. The front and the side photos were used. The f_{cl} estimation and calculation analysis for these particular clothing-sets is described in detail a separate paper²⁵⁾.

Simple t-test for paired two sample for means and regression analysis were used to evaluate and compare the estimated and measured basic clothing insulation values.

Results and discussion

Table 2 shows the insulation of all single items and lists the finally selected combinations' composition. The evaporative resistances of the selected combinations are available and analysed in Toma et al.²⁶⁾.

(Table 2 about here)

The difference between measured and estimated basic insulation values varied from -18 to 12 % for equation 1 (Table 3), and from -18 to 9 % for equation 2 (Table 3). Correlation between measured and calculated insulation values is shown in Figure 1. Correlation for these randomly picked and measured clothing ensembles was good for both equations.

(Table 3 about here)

(Figure 1 about here)

For equation 1 the differences were commonly less than 10 % that was defined by Kuklane and Havenith²¹⁾ as an aim to be reached for reasonable predictions when used in thermal models. In spite of high difference (over 10-15 %) in light clothing (Table 3) the absolute difference in measured and summed insulation values of the tested sets, according to equation 1, was not higher than in more insulating clothes – the absolute differences were similar and ranged between -0.17 to 0.18 clo (<0.028 m²K/W) with an average difference of 0.02 clo (-0.16 %, Table 3). Such difference occurs during manikin testing and may depend on the measuring accuracy of the system, chamber regulation stability and accuracy and consistency of manikin dressing. Measuring standards, e.g. ISO 15831⁸, commonly allow differences up to 5 % between individual determinations. At the same

time, the human thermal responses will largely be related to the absolute values. I.e. for evaluation of the results the relative difference (%) cannot be the only criteria, but it is important to consider the absolute value depending on clothing insulation. For equation 1 two-tailed t-test between measured and calculated values did not show significant differences (p=0.61), and all basic insulation values for equation 1 stayed very close to the line of identity ($R^2 = 0.98$, Figure 1).

The calculated insulation values according to equation 2 were commonly higher than the measured values and absolute differences increased with increasing insulation (Table 3 and Figure 1). Although the correlation was good ($R^2 = 0.98$, Figure 1), then the absolute values differed and the two-tailed t-test between measured and calculated values did show significant differences (p<0.01). Still, as it can be seen from Figure 1 the equation 2 did provide quite close results for the clothing ensembles up to about 2 clo.

In addition to the extensive ISO 9920⁹⁾ database (originates from McCullough et al.²⁷⁾ and the databases of modern clothing combinations^{11, 12)}, there is a need for more information on modern individual garments' properties. However, it must be remembered, that the tested clothes in this study were the modern western type of industrial style clothing, that have been relatively well studied. Items influenced by modern fashion ideas or from traditional clothing of the other world regions may not get as good match between measured and calculated values^{10, 11)}. More research is needed to validate the relationship or develop new ones based on clothing design, that considers draping, overlap, length and number of the layers, material stiffness, material compressibility etc. The recent studies in the field have started to fill this gap^{3, 5, 28)}.

Conclusions

The equation 1 to estimate basic clothing insulation (I_{cl}) from individual items' insulation (I_{clu}) gave, in the case of this modern ambulance personnel clothing system with a relatively even insulation distribution over the whole body surface, very close results to the measured values (root mean square deviation 0.12 clo) and placed the trendline practically overlapping the line of identity

7

(inclination 0.99 and intercept 0.04, R²= 0.98). This encourages evaluating and selecting protective clothing for ambulance personnel based on individual item measurements. It also allows to assume, that the calculations work for other modern workwear systems, at least from this manufacturer's assortment. For clothing insulation up to 2 clo, it can be assumed that simplified method (equation 2) works reasonably well, too. Considering that many manufacturers follow the modern, sporty workwear design based on layer-by-layer principle and use similar materials, then it can be assumed that the tested items' insulation values are relevant even for other manufacturers' products and could help to estimate basic insulation of various clothing ensembles for estimating exposure limits and thermal load in human thermal environments.

Disclaimer

The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of their respective organizations.

Acknowledgements

The work was partly carried out as a method evaluation for the ERA4CS project ClimApp—Translating climate service information into personalized adaptation strategies to cope with thermal climate stress (<u>http://www.jpi-climate.eu/nl/25223441-ClimApp.html</u>). This research was also supported by two projects (RV9080000301, FSI-S-17_4444) at the Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic. The authors thank Taiga AB for providing the clothing items of their ambulance personnel clothing system.

References

- Parsons, K (2014) Human Thermal Environments, 3rd ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA
- Lu Y, Wang F, Wan X, Song G, Zhang C, Shi W (2015) Clothing resultant thermal insulation determined on a movable thermal manikin. Part II: effects of wind and body movement on local insulation. Int J Biometeorol 59, 1487–1498. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-015-0959-0</u>
- Veselá S, Psikuta A, Frijns AJH (2018) Local clothing thermal properties of typical office ensembles under realistic static and dynamic conditions. Int J Biometeorol 62, 2215–2229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-1625-0</u>

- Wang F, del Ferraro S, Lin LY, Sotto Mayor T, Ribeiro M, Gao C, Kuklane K, Holmér I (2012) Localised boundary air layer and clothing evaporative resistances for individual body segments. Ergonomics 55:799–812
- 5) Fojtlín M, Psikuta A, Fišer J, Toma R, Annaheim S, Jícha M (2019) Local clothing properties for thermo-physiological modelling: comparison of methods and body positions. Build. Environ., 155, pp. 376-388
- 6) Havenith G, Fiala D (2016) Thermal Indices and Thermophysiological Modeling for Heat Stress. In: Comprehensive Physiology 6: 255-302
- ASTM F1291-16 (2016) Standard Test Method for Measuring the Thermal Insulation of Clothing Using a Heated Manikin. American Society of Testing and Materials International (ASTM), Philadelphia, Pa
- ISO 15831:2004 (2004) Clothing—Physiological Effects—Measurement of Thermal Insulation by Means of a Thermal Manikin; International Organisation for Standardisation: Geneva, Switzerland
- 9) ISO 9920:2009 (2009) Ergonomics of the thermal environment Estimation of the thermal insulation and evaporative resistance of a clothing ensemble. International Standards Organisation, Geneva
- 10) Al-ajmi FF, Loveday DL, Bedwell KH, Havenith G (2008). Thermal insulation and clothing area factors of typical Arabian Gulf clothing ensembles for males and females: measurements using thermal manikins. Appl. Ergon., 39(3): 407-414
- 11) Havenith G, Kuklane K, Fan J, Hodder S, Ouzzahra Y, Lundgren K, Au Y, Loveday D (2015) A Database of Static Clothing Thermal Insulation and Vapor Permeability Values of Non-Western Ensembles for Use in ASHRAE Standard 55, ISO 7730, and ISO 9920. ASHRAE Trans, 121, 197– 215
- 12) Smallcombe J, Hodder S, Loveday D, Kuklane K, Mlynarczyk M, Halder A, Petersson J, Havenith G (2021) Updated Database of Clothing Thermal Insulation and Vapor Permeability Values of Western Ensembles for Use in ASHRAE Standard 55, ISO 7730 and ISO 9920; Results of ASHRAE RP-1760. ASHRAE Trans, 126,
- 13) ISO 11079:2007 (2007) Ergonomics of the thermal environment Determination and interpretation of cold stress when using required clothing insulation (IREQ) and local cooling effects; The International Organisation for Standardisation: Geneva, Switzerland
- 14) ISO 7933:2004 (2004) Ergonomics of the Thermal Environment—Analytical Determination and Interpretation of Heat Stress Using Calculation of the Predicted Heat Strain; The International Organisation for Standardisation: Geneva, Switzerland
- 15) ISO 7730:2005 (2005) Ergonomics of the thermal environment Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort using calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and local thermal comfort criteria; The International Organisation for Standardisation: Geneva, Switzerland
- 16) Holmér I (1988) Assessment of cold stress in terms of required clothing insulation—IREQ. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics; 3(2): 159-166
- 17) Kuklane K, Toma R, Lucas RA (2020) Insulation and Evaporative Resistance of Clothing for Sugarcane Harvesters and Chemical Sprayers, and Their Application in PHS Model-Based Exposure Predictions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health; 17(9):3074.
- 18) Malchaire J, Kampmann B, Mehnert P, Gebhardt H, Piette A, Havenith G, Holmér I, Parsons K, Alfano G, Griefahn B (2002) Assessment of the risk of heat disorders encountered during work in hot conditions. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health, 75, 153–162, doi:10.1007/s004200100287.

- 19) Petersson J, Kuklane K, Gao C (2019) Is There a Need to Integrate Human Thermal Models with Weather Forecasts to Predict Thermal Stress? International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 16(22):4586.
- 20) ISO 7243:2017 (2017) Ergonomics of the thermal environment -- Assessment of heat stress using the WBGT (wet bulb globe temperature) index; The International Organisation for Standardisation: Geneva, Switzerland
- 21) Kuklane K, Havenith G (2017) Clothing design parameters that affect estimation of clothing insulation change due to posture and motion. ICEE2017, Environmental Ergonomics XVII.
 Editors: Local organising committee. International Society for Environmental Ergonomics, November 12 18, 2017, Kobe, Japan
- 22) Kakitsuba N (2004) Investigation into Clothing area factors for tight and loose fitting clothing in three different body positions. J Hum Environ Syst; 7: 75–81.
- 23) Hänel SE (1983) A joint Nordic project to develop an improved thermal manikin for modeling and measuring human heat exchange. In Paper Presented at the Medical and Biophysical Aspects on Protective Clothing; Lyon, France, pp. 280–282
- 24) Kuklane K, Heidmets S, Johansson T (2006). Improving thermal comfort in an orthopaedic aid: Better Boston Brace for scoliosis patients. In Proceedings of the Paper Presented at the 6th International Meeting on Manikins and Modelling (6I3M), October 16-18, 2006, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China; pp. 343–351.
- 25) Kuklane K, Toma R (202X). Common clothing area factor estimation equations are inaccurate for highly insulating (Icl>2 clo) and non-western loose-fitting clothing ensembles (submitted to Industrial Health).
- 26) Toma R, Kuklane K, Fišer J, Jícha M (2019) Evaporative resistance calculations analysis based on prewetted thermal manikin measurements. ICEE2019, Environmental Ergonomics XVIII. Editors: Local organising committee. International Society for Environmental Ergonomics, July 7-12, 2019, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 27) McCullough EA, Jones BW, Huck J (1985) A comprehensive data base for estimating clothing insulation. ASHRAE Transactions 91 (2), 29-47.
- 28) Mert E, Psikuta A, Bueno M-A, Rossi RM (2017) The effect of body postures on the distribution of air gap thickness and contact area. Int J Biometeorol 61:363–375. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-016-1217-9</u>

Table 1. Clothing items used in the study. Weight is given for size L unless given differently.

Table 2. Individual clothing items' insulation and their presence in tested combinations. **Table 3.** Insulation of selected clothing combinations' and differences between measured an calculated values (air layer insulation is given in Table 2).

Figure 1. Calculated vs. measured insulation of a clothing system (I_{cl}).

Layers' position	Item\Ensemble	Material	Weight (g)
Feet	Hopedale sock	76% wool, 23% polyamide, 1% lyckra (size 40-42)	64
	Swede sneakers (by	- ,	
Feet	Arbesko AB)	Leather/textile (size 44)	843
Feet	Bylot sock	43% wool, 28% akrylic, 26% polyamide, 1% lyckra (size 40-42)	109
Feet	Kodiak sock	43% wool, 28% akrylic, 26% polyamide, 1% lyckra (size 40-42)	273
Feet	Woodman winter shoes (by Arbesko AB)	Uppers: impregnated leather, Thinsulate [®] , nylon fur; soles: nitril rubber (size 43)	1852
Hands	Fleece gloves (by Hestra AB)	100% polyester (size 10)	106
Hands	Grizzly mitten 2.0	Fabric:100% polyamide; Palm: Goatskin; Insul: Polyfill; Lining: 100% polyester	217
Head	Hillside cap 3.0	90% polyester, 10% elastane	35
Head	Biwak cap	Outer shell: 100% polyamid; lining:100% polyester	92
Underwear	Hawk boxer shorts	100% polyester	123
Underwear	Eagle PW trousers	78% polyester, 22% merino wool	182
Underwear	Hawk T-shirt	100% polyester	183
Underwear	Eagle PW sweater	78% polyester, 22% merino wool	212
Middle laver	Power trouser	53% polyester,38% polyamide,9% spandex	240
Middle layer	Sitka trouser lining	100% polyester	453
Middle layer	Pike Polo shirt	100% polyester	252
Middle layer	Wilmore AMB sweater shirt	100% polyester	487
Middle layer	Power sweater 2.0	53% polyester, 38% polyamide, 9% spandex	334
Middle layer	Ruby AMB softshell	100% polyester	816
Middle layer	Denver lining	100% polyester	530
Middle layer	Thule base jacket 2.0	100% polyester (fiberpile)	703
Outer layer	Riverside shorts	65% polyester, 35% cotton	374
Outer layer	Trader trousers 2.0	65% polyester, 35% cotton	671
Outer layer	Alarm trousers	100% polyester	1237
Outer layer	Emergency WP trousers	Outer textile and liner: 100% polyester	1146
Outer layer	Alarm jacket	100% polyester	1052
Outer layer	Emergency WP reflex jacket	Outer textile and liner: 100% polyester	1538

Table 1. Clothing items used in the study. Weight is given for size L unless given differently.

Item\Ensemble	I _{tot}	f_{cl}	I _{clu}	T1	T2	Т3	Т4	T5	Т6	T7	Т8	Т9	T10	T11	T12	T13	T14
	(m⁻K/W)		(clo)														
Air layer insulation	0.094	1.00															
Hopedale sock	0.097	1.02	0.03	Х	Х	Х	Х										
Swede sneakers	0.097	1.04	0.05	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х					
Bylot sock	0.102	1.01	0.06					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
Kodiak sock	0.109	1.02	0.11											Х	Х	Х	Х
Winter shoes	0.105	1.09	0.12										Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Hestra fleece gloves	0.096	1.01	0.02					Х	Х		Х	Х	Х				
Grizzly mitten 2.0	0.101	1.02	0.06											Х	Х	Х	Х
Hillside cap 3.0	0.095	1.00	0.01							Х							
Biwak cap	0.097	1.01	0.03					Х	Х		Х	Х	х	Х	х	х	Х
Hawk boxer shorts	0.104	1.02	0.08	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х
Eagle PW trousers	0.114	1.03	0.15					Х	Х		Х			х		х	х
Hawk shirt	0.120	1.07	0.21		Х	Х	Х										
Eagle PW sweater	0.131	1.09	0.29					Х	Х		Х			х		Х	х
Power trouser	0.125	1.11	0.26							Х		Х	х	х	х	Х	х
Sitka trouser lining	0.137	1.21	0.38												х	х	х
Pike Polo shirt	0.125	1.10	0.26	Х													
Wilmore AMB sweater shirt	0.139	1.08	0.34				Х	х				Х		х			Х
Power sweater 2.0	0.144	1.04	0.35							Х		Х	х	х	х	х	х
Ruby AMB softshell	0.142	1.13	0.38		х												
Denver lining	0.153	1.15	0.46								Х				х	х	х
Thule base jacket 2.0	0.167	1.17	0.56			Х			Х		Х		х		х	х	
Riverside shorts	0.111	1.07	0.15	Х	Х												
Trader trousers 2.0	0.126	1.14	0.28			Х	Х			Х							
Alarm trousers	0.132	1.18	0.34					Х	Х								
Emergency WP trousers	0.142	1.20	0.41								х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Alarm jacket	0.153	1.17	0.47				х	Х	Х	х							
Emergency WP reflex jacket	0.158	1.22	0.52								х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 2. Individual clothing items' insulation and their presence in tested combinations.

			Measured		Calculated									
	f_{cl}	/ _{tot}	I _{cl}	I _{cl}	$I_{cl} = 0.161 + 0.835 \times \Sigma I_{clu}$		Diff (me	ascalc.)	$I_{\rm cl} = \Sigma I_{\rm clu}$		Diff (meascalc.)			
		(m ² K/W)	(m²K/W)	(clo)	m²K/W	clo	Absol.	Relative	m²K/W	clo	Absol.	Relative		
							diff	diff (%)			diff	diff (%)		
T1	1.15	0.164	0.082	0.53	0.098	0.63	-0.11	-18.1	0.088	0.57	-0.04	-6.9		
T2	1.18	0.197	0.118	0.76	0.141	0.91	-0.15	-17.6	0.139	0.90	-0.13	-16.2		
Т3	1.27	0.277	0.204	1.31	0.181	1.17	0.15	11.8	0.187	1.21	0.11	8.6		
T4	1.29	0.290	0.218	1.40	0.212	1.37	0.03	2.4	0.225	1.45	-0.04	-3.1		
T5	1.39	0.336	0.269	1.74	0.261	1.68	0.05	3.1	0.282	1.82	-0.09	-4.8		
Т6	1.38	0.380	0.312	2.01	0.290	1.87	0.14	7.4	0.317	2.05	-0.03	-1.6		
T7	1.28	0.298	0.226	1.45	0.225	1.45	0.00	0.2	0.240	1.55	-0.09	-6.0		
Т8	1.44	0.431	0.366	2.36	0.366	2.36	0.00	0.0	0.408	2.64	-0.27	-11.0		
Т9	1.40	0.386	0.319	2.06	0.298	1.92	0.13	6.7	0.327	2.11	-0.05	-2.6		
T10	1.44	0.430	0.365	2.35	0.337	2.18	0.18	7.9	0.374	2.41	-0.06	-2.5		
T11	1.41	0.440	0.373	2.41	0.378	2.44	-0.03	-1.3	0.423	2.73	-0.32	-12.5		
T12	1.49	0.546	0.484	3.12	0.459	2.96	0.16	5.3	0.520	3.35	-0.23	-7.2		
T13	1.49	0.557	0.495	3.19	0.517	3.33	-0.14	-4.4	0.589	3.80	-0.61	-17.4		
T14	1.45	0.525	0.460	2.97	0.488	3.15	-0.17	-5.7	0.554	3.57	-0.60	-18.4		
					Mea	n difference	0.02	-0.16			-0.18	-7.26		
					Mean squa	re deviation	0.01				0.07			
Root mean square deviation						0.12				0.27				

 Table 3. Insulation of selected clothing combinations' and differences between measured an calculated values (air layer insulation is given in Table 2).



Figure 1. Calculated vs. measured insulation of a clothing system (I_{cl}) .