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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to value distribution and uniqueness problems for difference polynomials of entire functions such as $f^{n}(f-1) f(z+c)$. We also consider sharing value problems for $f(z)$ and its shifts $f(z+c)$, and improve some recent results of Heittokangas et al. [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 355 (2009), 352-363]. Finally, we obtain some results on the existence of entire solutions of a difference equation of the form $f^{n}+P(z)\left(\Delta_{c} f\right)^{m}=Q(z)$.


1. Introduction and main results. A meromorphic function means meromorphic in the whole complex plane. We assume that the reader is familiar with standard symbols and fundamental results of Nevanlinna theory [8, 16]. As usual, the abbreviation CM stands for "counting multiplicities", while IM means "ignoring multiplicities". For $f$ meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$, denote by $S(f)$ the family of all meromorphic functions $a(z)$ that satisfy $T(r, a)=o(T(r, f))$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside a possible exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure. In addition, we define difference operators by $\Delta_{c} f=f(z+c)-f(z)$ where $c$ is a non-zero constant. If $c=1$, we use the usual difference notation $\Delta_{c} f=\Delta f$.

Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $n$ be a positive integer. Concerning the value distribution of $f^{n} f^{\prime}$, Hayman [6, Corollary to Theorem 9] proved that $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ takes every non-zero complex value infinitely often if $n \geq 3$. Mues [14, Satz 3] proved that $f^{2} f^{\prime}-1$ has infinitely many zeros. Later on, Bergweiler and Eremenko [1, Theorem 2] showed that $f f^{\prime}-1$ has infinitely many zeros as well. Corresponding to these results, Fang [3] considered the number of zeros of $\left(f^{n}(f-1)\right)^{(k)}-1$ :

Theorem A ([3, Proposition 1]). Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, and let $n, k$ be positive integers with $n \geq k+2$. Then $\left(f^{n}(f-1)\right)^{(k)}-1$ has infinitely many zeros.
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Corresponding to the value distribution of $f^{n} f^{\prime}$, Laine and Yang 9] investigated the value distribution of difference products of entire functions, and obtained the following:

Theorem B ([9, Theorem 2]). Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and let $c$ be a non-zero complex constant. Then for $n \geq 2$, $f(z)^{n} f(z+c)$ assumes every non-zero value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ infinitely often.

Some improvements of Theorem B can be found in [13]. In the present paper, we consider the value distribution of $f(z)^{n}(f(z)-1) f(z+c)$, which can be seen as a difference analogue of Theorem A in the case $k=1$.

ThEOREM 1. Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma(f)$, let $a \neq 0$ be a small function with respect to $f$, and let $c$ be $a$ non-zero complex constant. If the exponent of convergence of the poles of $f$ satisfies $\lambda(1 / f)<\sigma(f)$ and $n \geq 2$, then $f(z)^{n}(f(z)-1) f(z+c)-a$ has infinitely many zeros.

Corollary 1. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and let $c$ be a non-zero complex constant. Then for $n \geq 2, f(z)^{n}(f(z)-1)$ - $f(z+c)$ assumes every non-zero value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ infinitely often.

REmARk. The restriction on the order in Theorem 1 cannot be deleted. This can be seen by taking $f(z)=e^{e^{z}}, e^{c}=-n(n \geq 2)$ and $a=-1$. Then $f$ is of infinite order, while $f(z)^{n}(f(z)-1) f(z+c)+1=e^{e^{z}}$ has no zeros.

Concerning the uniqueness problems related to Theorem A, some results have been obtained by Fang [3, Theorem 2] and Lin and Yi [11]. One of them can be stated as follows.

Theorem C ([11, Theorem 1]). Let $f$ and $g$ be non-constant entire functions, and let $n \geq 7$ be an integer. If $f^{n}(f-1) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-1) g^{\prime}$ share 1 $C M$, then $f \equiv g$.

The following result is a difference analogue of Theorem C.
THEOREM 2. Let $f$ and $g$ be transcendental entire functions of finite order, let $c$ be a non-zero complex constant, and let $n \geq 7$ be an integer. If $f(z)^{n}(f(z)-1) f(z+c)$ and $g(z)^{n}(g(z)-1) g(z+c)$ share a CM, where $a \in S(f) \cap S(g) \backslash\{0\}$, then $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Remark. Very recently, Zhang [18, Theorem 6] has obtained the same result of Theorem 2 . However, our proof is different, being based on Lemma 5 of Section 2, while Zhang does not use that lemma.

Similarly to the above situations, one may also consider sharing value problems for $f(z)$ and its shifts $f(z+c)$. Next, we recall a result which may be understood as a "1 CM + 1 IM" theorem for differences:

Theorem D ([7, Corollary 3]). Let $f$ be an entire function of finite order, let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $a, b \in S(f)$ be distinct periodic functions with period c. If $f(z)$ and $f(z+c)$ share $a C M$ and $b I M$, then $f(z) \equiv f(z+c)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Next, we show that " $1 \mathrm{CM}+1 \mathrm{IM}$ " in Theorem D can be replaced by " 2 IM".

Theorem 3. Let $f$ be an entire function of finite order, let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $a, b \in S(f)$ be distinct periodic functions with period c. If $f(z)$ and $f(z+c)$ share a IM and bIM, then $f(z) \equiv f(z+c)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

REmARK. Theorem 3 is the best possible, in the sense that " 2 IM" cannot be replaced by " 1 CM ". Indeed, let $f=e^{z}$ and $f(z+c)=e^{z+c}$, where $c \neq 2 n \pi i, n$ an integer. It is easy to see that $f(z)$ and $f(z+c)$ share 0 CM , but $f(z) \not \equiv f(z+c)$. Let $f=e^{z}+1$ and $f(z+c)=e^{z+c}+1$, where $c \neq 2 n \pi i$, $n$ is an integer. Clearly, $f(z)$ and $f(z+c)$ share 1 CM, but $f(z) \not \equiv f(z+c)$. The proof of Theorem 3 is based on some ideas that Li and Yang used to prove a result of different nature (see [10, Theorem 2.1]).

We investigate the existence of entire solutions of an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}+P(z)\left(\Delta_{c} f\right)^{m}=Q(z) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=n$ and $P(z)=Q(z)=1$, then we rewrite 1.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}+\left(\Delta_{c} f\right)^{n}=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that (1.2) has no entire solutions when $n \geq 3$ (see [4, Theorem 3]). Recently, Liu [12, Proposion 5.3] proved that (1.2) has no non-constant finite order entire solutions when $n=2$. Clearly, if $n=1$, there are no non-constant solutions of (1.2). Thus, there are no non-constant finite order entire solutions of the equation (1.2).

Recently, Yang and Laine [15] considered the existence of finite order solutions of a certain type of non-linear difference equation.

Theorem E ([15, Theorem 3.4]). Let $P, Q$ be polynomials. Then the non-linear difference equation

$$
f(z)^{2}+P(z) f(z+1)=Q(z)
$$

has no transcendental entire solutions of finite order.
Theorem F ([15, Theorem 3.5]). The non-linear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{3}+P(z) f(z+1)=c \sin b z \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z)$ is a non-constant polynomial and $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ are non-zero constants, does not admit entire solutions of finite order. If $P(z)=p$ is a non-zero constant, then (1.3) has three distinct entire solutions of finite order whenever $b=3 n \pi$ and $p^{3}=(-1)^{n+1} \frac{27}{4} c^{2}$ for a non-zero integer $n$.

Replacing $f(z+c)$ with $\Delta_{c} f$ in Theorems E and F , we get the following results.

Theorem 4. Let $P, Q$ be polynomials, and let $n$ and $m$ be integers satisfying $n>m \geq 0$. Then equation (1.1) has no transcendental entire solutions of finite order.

Remark. The conclusion of Theorem 4 is not true if $m>n$. In the special case of

$$
f(z)-\left(\Delta_{-1 / 4} f\right)^{2}=z-1 / 16
$$

a finite order entire solution is $f(z)=4 e^{8 \pi i z}-e^{4 \pi i z}+z$.
The reasoning used in proving Theorem 4 yields the following result, which can be seen as an improvement of Theorem E.

Corollary 2. Let $P, Q$ be polynomials, and let $n, m$ be distinct positive integers. Then the equation

$$
f^{n}+P(z) f(z+c)^{m}=Q(z)
$$

has no transcendental entire solutions of finite order.
If $m \neq 1$ and $Q \neq 0$, then Theorem 4 can be improved.
Theorem 5. If $n, m \neq 1$ are positive integers such that $n>m /(m-1)$, and $P, Q \neq 0$ are polynomials, then equation (1.1) has no transcendental entire solutions.

In connection with Theorems 4 and 5, we consider equation (1.1) in the case $m=1$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}+P(z) \Delta_{c} f=Q(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get the following result.
Theorem 6. Equation (1.4) has no entire solutions of infinite order if $\bar{N}\left(r, 1 / \Delta_{c} f\right) \leq T(r, f), n \geq 3$ and $P(z), Q(z) \not \equiv 0$ are polynomials.

Remark. (1) Clearly, if $n=1$ and $P(z) \equiv 1$, then (1.4) has no entire solutions of infinite order. However, if $P(z) \not \equiv 1$, there may exist such solutions. Indeed, $f(z)=e^{z} e^{e^{2 z}}+1$ is an entire function of infinite order and satisfies $f+\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{c} f=1$, where $c=\pi i$.
(2) If $n=2$, then (1.4) may have an infinite order entire solution. Indeed, $f(z)=e^{e^{z}}-1 / 2$ is an entire function of infinite order and satisfies $f^{2}-\Delta_{c} f$ $=1 / 4$, where $e^{c}=2$.

Theorem 7. Let $P$ be a non-constant polynomial, and let $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ be non-zero constants. Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{3}+P(z) \Delta f=c \sin b z \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no transcendental non-periodic entire solutions of finite order. In particular, if $P(z)=p$ is a non-zero constant, then (1.5) has three distinct entire solutions of finite order whenever $b=3 k \pi$ and $p^{3}=\frac{27}{32} c^{2}$ for an odd number $k$.

The proof of Theorem 7 is similar to the proof of Theorem F. In fact, one has to apply Lemmas 2 and 4 below, instead of Remark of [15, Lemma 3.2 ], and use an elementary computation. We omit the details.
2. Some lemmas. The first lemma is a difference analogue of the logarithmic derivative lemma, given by Halburd-Korhonen [5]. Chiang and Feng have obtained similar estimates for the logarithmic difference [2, Corollary 2.5], and their work is independent of [5].

Lemma 1 ([5, Theorem 2.1]). Let $f$ be a meromorphic function of finite order, and let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Then

$$
m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right)+m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right)=o\left(\frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\delta}}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2 ([5, Lemma 2.3]). Let $f$ be a meromorphic function of finite order, and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for any small function $a \in S(f)$ with period $c$,

$$
m\left(r, \frac{\Delta_{c} f}{f-a}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 3 ([5], Lemma 2.2]). Let $T:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing continuous function, $s>0,0<\alpha<1$, and let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$be the set of all $r$ such that

$$
T(r) \leq \alpha T(r+s)
$$

If the logarithmic measure of $F$ is infinite, then

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r}=\infty
$$

Lemma 4 ([8, Theorem 2.4.2]). Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic solution of

$$
f^{n} A(z, f)=B(z, f)
$$

where $A(z, f), B(z, f)$ are differential polynomials in $f$ and its derivatives with small meromorphic coefficients $a_{\lambda}$, in the sense that $m\left(r, a_{\lambda}\right)=S(r, f)$ for all $\lambda \in I$. If $d(B(z, f)) \leq n$, then $m(r, A(z, f))=S(r, f)$.

Denote by $N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$, where an $m$-fold zero is counted $m$ times if $m \leq p$ and $p$ times if $m>p$.

Lemma 5 ([17, Theorem 3.1]). Let $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2,3)$ be meromorphic functions that satisfy

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} f_{j}(z) \equiv 1
$$

If $f_{1}(z)$ is not a constant, and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \bar{N}\left(r, f_{j}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T(r), \quad r \in I
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda<1, T(r)=\max _{1 \leq j \leq 3} T\left(r, f_{j}\right)$, and I has infinite linear measure, then either $f_{2}(z) \equiv 1$ or $f_{3}(z) \equiv 1$.

Lemma 6 ([2, Theorem 2.1]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma$, and let $c$ be a non-zero constant. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
T(r, f(z+c))=T(r, f(z))+O\left(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\right)+O(\log r)
$$

Next, we introduce the auxiliary function

$$
H=\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f-1}-\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)-\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{g-1}-\frac{g^{\prime}}{g}\right)
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are given meromorphic functions. Using the reasoning applied in [10], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are meromorphic functions such that $N(r, f)=N(r, g)=S(r, f)$. If $H \doteq 0$, then either

$$
2 T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

or $f \equiv g$.
Proof. From $H=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f-1}{f}=a \frac{g-1}{g} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero constant. If $a=1$, then we obtain $f \equiv g$. It remains to consider the case $a \neq 1$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\frac{a-1}{a} \frac{f+\frac{1}{a-1}}{f}=\frac{1}{g}
$$

Since $N(r, f)=N(r, g)=S(r, f)$, we get $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\frac{1}{1-a}}\right)=S(r, f)$. Clearly, $\frac{1}{1-a} \neq 0$ and $\frac{1}{1-a} \neq 1$, so by the second main theorem, we get

$$
2 T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Set $F(z)=f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1) f(z+c)$. Since $f$ is a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\sigma$, we conclude by

Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) & \leq T\left(r, f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1)\right)+T(r, f(z+c))+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(n+2) T(r, f)+O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $S(r, F)=o(T(r, f))=S(r, f)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
(n+2) T(r, f)= & T\left(r, f^{n+1}(f-1)\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{3.1}\\
= & m\left(r, f^{n+1}(f-1)\right)+O\left(r^{\lambda(1 / f)+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & m\left(r, \frac{f^{n+1}(f-1)}{F}\right) \\
& +m(r, F)+O\left(r^{\lambda(1 / f)+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & T(r, F)+O\left(r^{\lambda(1 / f)+\varepsilon}\right)+O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

The second main theorem yields

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, F) \leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right)+S(r, F)  \tag{3.2}\\
\leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+O\left(r^{\lambda(1 / f)+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right)+3 T(r, f)+O\left(r^{\lambda(1 / f)+\varepsilon}\right)+O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$
(n-1) T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-a}\right)+O\left(r^{\lambda(1 / f)+\varepsilon}\right)+O\left(r^{\sigma(f)-1+\varepsilon}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

if $F-a$ has finitely many zeros, the above contradicts the fact that $f$ is of order $\sigma(f)$. The conclusion follows.
4. Proof of Theorem 2. By the assumptions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1) f(z+c)-a(z)}{g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1) g(z+c)-a(z)}=e^{h(z)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(z)$ is a polynomial. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}=\frac{f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1) f(z+c)}{a(z)}, \quad F_{2}=-\frac{e^{h(z)} g^{n}(z)(g(z)-1) g(z+c)}{a(z)}, \\
F_{3}=e^{h(z)}, \quad T(r)=\max _{1 \leq j \leq 3} T\left(r, F_{j}\right), \quad S(r)=o(T(r))
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}=1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will estimate the counting functions of $F_{j}(j=1,2,3)$. First,

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{1}}\right) \leq & 2 N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-1}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{4.3}\\
\leq & \frac{2}{n}\left(n N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)\left(N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-1}\right)\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

By a simple geometric observation and Lemma 3, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) & \leq N\left(r+|c|, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}(z)(f(z)-1) f(z+c)}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

We easily obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}(f(z)-1) f(z+c)}\right) & =n N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& +N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 1, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n+1) T(r, f) & =T\left(r, f^{n}(f-1)\right)+S(r, f)=m\left(r, f^{n}(f-1)\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq m\left(r, f(z)^{n}(f(z)-1) f(z+c)\right)+m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq T(r, f)+O(1) \leq \frac{1}{n} T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $S(r, f)$ must be $S\left(r, F_{1}\right)$. Then from (4.3)-4.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{1}}\right) & \leq \frac{2}{n} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{1}}\right)+\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n}\left(N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{1}}\right)+T\left(r, F_{1}\right)\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq \frac{4 n-4}{n^{2}} T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+S\left(r, F_{1}\right) \leq \frac{4 n-4}{n^{2}} T(r)+S(r) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{2}}\right) \leq \frac{4 n-4}{n^{2}} T(r)+S(r) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously $F_{1}$ is not a constant, so since $n \geq 7$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \bar{N}\left(r, F_{j}\right)<\frac{48}{49} T(r)+S(r)
$$

From Lemma 5, we know that $F_{2}=1$ or $F_{3}=1$. Therefore, either $f(z)^{n}$. $(f(z)-1) f(z+c) g(z)^{n}(g(z)-1) g(z+c) \equiv a(z)^{2}$ or $f^{n}(f-1) f(z+c) \equiv$ $g^{n}(g-1) g(z+c)$. The assertion now follows as in [18, p. 407].
5. Proof of Theorem 3. If $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)=0$ or $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b}\right)=0$, then the assertion follows by Theorem C. It remains to consider the case when $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \neq 0$ and $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-b}\right) \neq 0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{f(z)-a(z)}{b(z)-a(z)} \quad \text { and } \quad F(z+c)=\frac{f(z+c)-a(z)}{b(z)-a(z)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F(z)$ and $F(z+c)$ share 0 IM and 1 IM. Clearly, neither 0 nor 1 is a Picard value of $F$ in this case. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F)=m(r, F)+S(r, F) & \leq m\left(r, \frac{F}{F(z+c)}\right)+m(r, F(z+c))+S(r, F) \\
& =T(r, F(z+c))+S(r, F)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F(z+c)) & =m(r, F(z+c))+S(r, F) \\
& \leq m\left(r, \frac{F(z+c)}{F}\right)+m(r, F)+S(r, F)=T(r, F)+S(r, F)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)=T(r, F(z+c))+S(r, F) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{F^{\prime}(F(z+c)-F)}{F(F-1)} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1 and the lemma on the logarithmic derivative, we see that $m(r, V)=S(r, F)$. From (5.3), the poles of $V$ are at the zeros and 1-points of $F$, and at the poles of $F$ and $F(z+c)$. Since $F(z)$ and $F(z+c)$ share 0 and 1 , and $N(r, F)=N(r, F(z+c))=S(r, F)$ by (5.1), we get $N(r, V)=$ $S(r, F)$. Therefore, $T(r, V)=S(r, F)$.

Case 1: $V \neq 0$. Then $F \neq F(z+c)$. From (5.3) and Lemma 1 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) & =N\left(r, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F(F-1)}\right)+S(r, F) \\
& =N\left(r, \frac{V}{F(z+c)-F}\right)+S(r, F) \\
& \leq T(r, F(z+c)-F)+S(r, F) \\
& =m(r, F(z+c)-F)+S(r, F) \\
& \leq m\left(r, \frac{F(z+c)-F}{F}\right)+m(r, F)+S(r, F) \\
& \leq T(r, F)+S(r, F)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the second main theorem and the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+S(r, F) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{F^{\prime}(z+c)(F(z+c)-F)}{F(z+c)(F(z+c)-1)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same argument as above, we deduce that $T(r, U)=S(r, F(z+c))=$ $S(r, F)$. We denote by $S_{f \sim g(m, n)}(a)$ the set of those points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z$ is an $a$-point of $f$ with multiplicity $m$ and an $a$-point of $g$ with multiplicity $n$. Let $N_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ denote the counting function and reduced counting function of $f$ with respect to the set $S_{f \sim g(m, n)}(a)$, respectively.

For any $z_{0} \in S_{F(z) \sim F(z+c)(m, n)}(0)$, we have $m n \neq 0$, since 0 is not a Picard value of $F$. From (5.3), 5.5), and by the Taylor expansion of $F$ and $F(z+c)$ at $z_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -V\left(z_{0}\right)=m\left(\frac{F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}+c\right)}{n}-\frac{F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{m}\right) \\
& -U\left(z_{0}\right)=n\left(\frac{F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}+c\right)}{n}-\frac{F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $n V\left(z_{0}\right)=m U\left(z_{0}\right)$.

If $n V=m U$, then we obtain

$$
n\left(\frac{F^{\prime}}{F-1}-\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\right) \equiv m\left(\frac{F^{\prime}(z+c)}{F(z+c)-1}-\frac{F^{\prime}(z+c)}{F(z+c)}\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
\left(\frac{F-1}{F}\right)^{n} \equiv d\left(\frac{F(z+c)-1}{F(z+c)}\right)^{m}
$$

where $d$ is a non-zero constant. If $m \neq n$ then from 5.2 we get $n T(r, F)=$ $m T(r, F(z+c))+S(r, F)=m T(r, F)+S(r, F)$, which is a contradiction. If $m=n$, from Lemma 7 we get

$$
2 T(r, F) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+S(r, F),
$$

which contradicts (5.4).
Hence $n V \neq m U$. Therefore

$$
\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{n U-m V}\right)=S(r, F)
$$

Using the same reasoning, we get

$$
\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{n U-m V}\right)=S(r, F) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=S(r, F) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.4) and (5.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F)= & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+S(r, F) \\
= & \sum_{m, n}\left(\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)\right)+S(r, F) \\
= & \sum_{m+n \geq 5}\left(\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)\right)+S(r, F) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{5} \sum_{m+n \geq 5}\left(N_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+N_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F(z+c)}\right)+N_{(m, n)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F(z+c)-1}\right)\right)+S(r, F) \\
\leq & \frac{4}{5} T(r, F)+S(r, F),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2: $V=0$. Then $F=F(z+c)$. Clearly, $f(z)=f(z+c)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## 6. Proofs of Theorem 4-6

Proof of Theorem 4 Suppose that $f$ is a transcendental entire solution of equation (1.1) of finite order. If $\Delta_{c} f \equiv 0$, then $f(z)^{n}=Q(z)$, and the conclusion holds. If $\Delta_{c} f \not \equiv 0$, then rewrite (1.1) as

$$
f^{n-1} f=Q(z)-P(z) \frac{\left(\Delta_{c} f\right)^{m}}{f^{m}} f^{m}
$$

Applying Lemmas 2and and invoking the assumption $n>m$, we conclude that

$$
T(r, f)=m(r, f)=S(r, f),
$$

a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 5. Suppose that $f$ is a transcendental entire solution to equation (1.1). Clearly, if $P \doteq 0$, the conclusion follows. It remains to consider the case $P \neq 0$. If $\Delta_{c} f \equiv 0$, then $f(z)^{n}=Q(z)$ and the conclusion holds. If $\Delta_{c} f \not \equiv 0$, then by the second fundamental theorem for three small target functions, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, \frac{f^{n}}{P}\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{f^{n}}{P}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{P}{f^{n}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{P}{f^{n}-Q}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{6.1}\\
& =\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_{c} f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, f)+T\left(r, \Delta_{c} f\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, \frac{f^{n}-Q}{P}\right)=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)=m T\left(r, \Delta_{c} f\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6.1) with (6.2), we get

$$
n T(r, f) \leq T(r, f)+\frac{n}{m} T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

and so

$$
\left(n-1-\frac{n}{m}\right) T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)
$$

which contradicts the assumption that $n>m /(m-1)$.
Proof of Theorem 6. Suppose that $f$ is an infinite order entire solution of (1.4). Since $P$ and $Q \neq 0$ are polynomials, they are small functions to $f$.

Using the second main theorem for three small target functions, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
n T(r, f)=T\left(r, f^{n}\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, f^{n}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}-Q}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{6.3}\\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Delta_{c} f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq 2 T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $n \geq 3$, we get a contradiction.
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