

Value of measuring suicidal intent in the assessment of people attending hospital following self-poisoning or self-injury

LOUISE HARRISS, KEITH HAWTON and DANIEL ZAHL

Background Self-harm is associated with a high risk of suicide. It is unclear whether suicidal intent at the time of self-harm is a risk factor for future suicidal behaviour.

Aims To investigate the relationship between suicidal intent and patient characteristics, repetition of self-harm, and suicide.

Method Clinical and demographic data on 4415 patients presenting to hospital following self-harm between 1993 and 2000 were analysed. Suicidal intent was measured using the Beck Suicide Intent Scale (SIS). Follow-up information on repetition of self-harm and suicide was investigated for 2489 patients presenting between 1993 and 1997.

Results Suicidal intent at the time of self-harm was associated with risk of subsequent suicide, especially within the first year and among female patients. Suicide was more strongly associated with scores on the circumstances section of the SIS than the self-report section. The association between repetition of self-harm and SIS scores was different for male and female patients.

Conclusions The measurement of suicidal intent in the assessment of self-harm patients is beneficial for the evaluation of future suicide risk. A shortened measuring scale might be useful in clinical practice.

Declaration of interest None. Funding detailed in Acknowledgements

For an individual who has engaged in self-harm, the risk of dying by suicide is significantly higher than for the general population (Hawton & Fagg, 1988; Sakinofsky, 2000; Owens *et al*, 2002), especially during the first 12 months following self-harm (Hawton *et al*, 2003*b*). Consequently, the identification of risk factors for suicide in these patients is important for clinicians conducting assessments and planning after-care. The level of suicidal intent at the time of self-harm – that is, the degree to which the individual wished to die – has been investigated as a potential risk factor. However, follow-up studies of people treated for self-harm have produced inconsistent results concerning the relationship between suicidal intent and future suicidal behaviour (e.g. Pierce, 1981, 1984; Beck & Steer, 1989; Hjelmeland *et al*, 1998; Scocco *et al*, 2000; Niméus *et al*, 2002) and a range of demographic and clinical factors (e.g. Dyer & Kreitman, 1984; Hamdi *et al*, 1991; Hjelmeland *et al*, 2000). As suicidal intent is often routinely measured in clinical practice, it is essential that the value of this procedure can be verified. Using a long-term monitoring system for self-harm, we have examined the characteristics associated with suicidal intent and the relationship of intent to both repetition of self-harm and eventual suicide.

METHOD

Study population

Patients were identified through the Oxford Monitoring System for Attempted Suicide (Hawton *et al*, 2003*a*). All individuals who present to the general hospital following an episode of self-harm are identified either through assessment by members of the hospital psychiatric service, or (for non-assessed patients) by scrutiny of records of presentations to the accident and emergency department. It has been established that this system produces comprehensive data (Sellar *et al*, 1990), and that

the findings are comparable with those from other areas in the UK (e.g. Platt *et al*, 1988).

The definition of self-harm¹ comprises intentional self-injury or self-poisoning, irrespective of motivation (Hawton *et al*, 2003*a*). Self-poisoning is defined as the intentional self-administration of more than the prescribed dose of any drug, and includes poisoning with non-ingestible substances, overdoses of 'recreational drugs' and severe alcohol intoxication where clinical staff consider such cases to be acts of self-harm. Self-injury is defined as any injury that has been intentionally self-inflicted.

For all patients assessed by the general hospital psychiatric service, a clinician completes a standardised form that records demographic and clinical information. Since 1 January 1993 the Suicide Intent Scale (SIS; Beck *et al*, 1974) has also been completed at the time of assessment whenever possible. All assessed patients aged 15 years or over, who presented to the general hospital following self-harm between 1 January 1993 and 31 December 2000 and for whom the SIS had been completed on at least one presentation, were included in the initial examination of the characteristics associated with suicidal intent. To allow a substantial follow-up period, only patients who presented to the general hospital before 1 January 1998 were included in the follow-up part of this study, which investigated the association between suicidal intent and both repetition of self-harm and eventual suicide.

Suicide Intent Scale

The SIS is a 15-item questionnaire designed to assess the severity of suicidal intention associated with an episode of self-harm (Beck *et al*, 1974). Each item scores 0–2, giving a total score range of 0–30. The questionnaire is divided into two sections: the first 8 items constitute the 'circumstances' section (part 1) and are concerned with the objective circumstances of the act of self-harm; the remaining 7 items, the 'self-report' section (part 2), are based on patients' own reconstruction of their feelings and thoughts at the time of the act. Scores for each of these sections were

1. The term self-harm has been adopted in preference to 'deliberate self-harm' by the Royal College of Psychiatrists in response to representations from mental health service users.

considered separately in the analysis, as well as the total SIS score.

To examine the relationship between suicidal intent and patient characteristics, total SIS scores were divided into two categories – ‘low’ and ‘high’ – by taking the median score for each gender as the point of division. Scores on the circumstances and self-report sections were also assigned to ‘low’ and ‘high’ categories using the same principle. For patients who presented on more than one occasion during the study period, the SIS score from the first episode was used in the initial analysis. Scores for subsequent episodes were included in the examination of changes in suicidal intent preceding suicide. We investigated suicidal intent in relation to a range of demographic and clinical variables: age, marital status, employment status, drug misuse, alcohol misuse (defined as chronic alcoholism with physical symptoms, alcohol dependence or excessive drinking), lonely living conditions (living alone, in an institution, in lodgings or in a hostel), previous self-harm (irrespective of whether or not this resulted in a general hospital referral), physical illness, method of self-harm, further repetition of self-harm and eventual suicide.

Repetition of self-harm

Further episodes of self-harm that resulted in another presentation to the general hospital in Oxford were included in the analysis of repetition of self-harm. Episodes that did not result in hospital presentation, or resulted in presentation to another hospital, were not included.

Suicide

Deaths from suicide that occurred up to 31 December 2000 were identified for patients who presented between 1 January 1993 and 31 December 1997, through the submission of demographic information (name, gender and date of birth) to the Office for National Statistics for England and Wales, the Central Services Agency in Northern Ireland and the General Register Office for Scotland. Tracing revealed whether a patient was alive or dead at 31 December 2000. Patients who could not be traced were excluded from the follow-up analyses. All deaths that received a coroner’s verdict of suicide (ICD-9 codes E950–E959), undetermined cause (E980–E989) or accidental poisoning (E850–E869) (World Health Organization, 1978) were combined to form the suicide category for the

purposes of this study, as it has been shown that the overall mortality from suicide is underestimated if the ‘suicide’ verdict alone is used (Charlton *et al*, 1992).

Statistical analyses

Chi-squared, Mann–Whitney *U*, Kruskal–Wallis and Wilcoxon signed rank tests, Spearman’s rank correlation and forward stepwise logistic regression analyses were used to examine the data. The analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 10 for Windows (SPSS Inc., 2000).

RESULTS

Between 1 January 1993 and 31 December 2000 a total of 6494 persons (2727 males and 3767 females) presented following 10 690 episodes of self-harm. Psychosocial assessment was conducted for 7767 (72.7%) of these episodes. An SIS score was available for 5855 (75.4%) of the assessed episodes, which involved 4415 persons (1784 males, 2631 females). These 4415 persons were included in the analysis of suicidal intent and patient characteristics. To provide a sufficient follow-up period for investigation of repetition of self-harm and eventual suicide, only the 2719 patients (1136 males, 1583 females) presenting between 1 January 1993 and 31 December 1997 were included in the follow-up analysis. Follow-up information was available for 2489 of these patients, with a mean follow-up time of 5.2 years (range 2 days to 8 years).

To determine whether the patients for whom the SIS had been completed were a representative sample, all assessed patients

with an SIS score were compared with all assessed patients without a score for gender, age and method of self-harm. A marginally greater proportion of those with an SIS score were female (59.6% *v.* 54.7%; $\chi^2=7.94$, $P<0.01$), under 35 years old (67.3% *v.* 63.9%; $\chi^2=4.10$, $P<0.05$) and had self-poisoned (89.7% *v.* 84.9%, $\chi^2=16.89$, $P<0.0005$).

Suicide Intent Scale scores

Suicide Intent Scale scores are shown in Table 1. The median total SIS score for all patients was 9 (interquartile range 5–14). Males scored significantly higher on the SIS than females, both overall and for each of the two parts of the SIS. Scores on the two parts of the SIS correlated moderately well with each other (Spearman’s ρ 0.63, $P<0.0005$).

Suicidal intent and method of self-harm

Median SIS scores for patients harming themselves by self-poisoning, self-cutting, other methods of self-injury, and both self-injury and self-poisoning together are presented in Table 2. For both males and females, SIS scores were lowest among patients who engaged in self-cutting, and highest among those who used other methods of self-injury (e.g. jumping from a height or in front of a vehicle, hanging, gunshot).

Patient characteristics and suicidal intent

The relationships between SIS scores (high *v.* low) and demographic and clinical patient characteristics were examined

Table 1 Suicide Intent Scale part and total scores

SIS score	Whole sample (<i>n</i> =4415)	Males (<i>n</i> =1784)	Females (<i>n</i> =2631)	Mann–Whitney <i>Z</i>	<i>P</i>
Total					
Mean (s.d.)	9.8 (6.4)	10.6 (6.6)	9.2 (6.2)		
Median (IQR)	9 (5–14)	10 (5–15)	8 (4–13)	6.64	<0.0005
Part 1					
Mean (s.d.)	4.1 (3.1)	4.3 (3.2)	3.9 (3.0)		
Median (IQR)	3 (2–6)	4 (2–6)	3 (2–6)	4.79	<0.0005
Part 2					
Mean (s.d.)	5.7 (3.9)	6.2 (4.0)	5.3 (3.8)		
Median (IQR)	6 (2–9)	6 (3–9)	5 (2–8)	7.27	<0.0005

IQR, interquartile range; SIS, Suicide Intent Scale.

Table 2 Suicide Intent Scale scores categorised by method of self-harm and gender

	Self-cutting	Self-poisoning	Self-poisoning and any self-injury	Self-injury other than cutting	Kruskal-Wallis χ^2 (d.f.=3)	P
Males (n=1784)						
n (%)	102 (5.7)	1553 (87.1)	79 (4.4)	50 (2.8)	44.20	<0.0005
Median SIS score (IQR)	6 (2-13)	10 (5-15)	13 (8-18)	16 (9-20)		
Females (n=2631)						
n (%)	84 (3.2)	2413 (91.7)	119 (4.5)	15 (0.6)	25.47	<0.0005
Median SIS score (IQR)	6 (3-9)	8 (4-13)	10 (6-15)	13 (8-18)		

IQR, interquartile range; SIS, Suicide Intent Scale.

separately for men and women (Table 3). Univariate analyses showed that high suicidal intent was associated with increasing age in both genders. Among males, patients aged 55 years or over had almost

twice the odds of having high suicide intent scores compared with those aged 15-24 years (OR=1.93, 95% CI 1.3-2.9), and among females this ratio was even greater (OR=2.62, 95% CI 1.9-3.7). High SIS

scores in males were additionally associated with being widowed, divorced or separated, being employed and having a single previous episode of self-harm. Low scores were associated with alcohol misuse. Among females, high SIS scores were again associated with being widowed, divorced or separated, having a single previous episode of self-harm and also with living in lonely household conditions.

To determine which of these factors were independently and most strongly related to suicidal intent, the variables examined in the univariate analyses were entered into a forward stepwise conditional logistic regression model as the independent factors, with intent score (high or low) as the dependent variable. Given the different patterns of results for men and women at the univariate stage of the analysis, a

Table 3 Factors associated with high Suicide Intent Scale scores

Factor	Males (n=1784)				Females (n=2631)			
	n	High SIS n (%)	OR (95% CI)	P	n	High SIS n (%)	OR (95% CI)	P
Age, years								
15-24	599	264 (44.1)	1		1123	518 (46.1)	1	
25-54	1059	513 (48.4)	1.19 (0.98-1.5)	0.09	1333	662 (49.7)	1.15 (0.98-1.4)	0.80
55+	126	76 (60.3)	1.93 (1.3-2.9)	<0.001	175	121 (69.1)	2.62 (1.9-3.7)	<0.001
Marital status								
Single	1044	470 (45.0)	1		1376	655 (47.6)	1	
Married	374	178 (47.6)	1.11 (0.9-1.4)	0.39	670	311 (46.4)	0.95 (0.8-1.1)	0.62
Widowed/divorced/separated	356	197 (55.3)	1.51 (1.2-1.9)	<0.001	569	327 (57.5)	1.61 (1.2-1.8)	<0.001
Employment								
Employed	744	367 (49.3)	1		1024	515 (50.3)	1	
Unemployed	554	241 (43.5)	0.79 (0.6-0.99)	<0.04	365	183 (50.1)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	0.96
Other	465	236 (50.8)	1.06 (0.8-1.3)	0.63	1223	593 (48.5)	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.39
Misuse of drugs								
No	1321	648 (49.1)	1		2310	1137 (49.2)	1	
Yes	393	177 (45.0)	0.85 (0.7-1.1)	0.16	242	124 (51.2)	1.08 (0.8-1.4)	0.55
Misuse of alcohol								
No	976	503 (51.5)	1		1892	916 (48.4)	1	
Yes	747	323 (43.2)	0.72 (0.6-0.9)	<0.001	644	333 (51.7)	1.14 (0.95-1.4)	0.15
Lonely household conditions								
No	1160	545 (47.0)	1		1997	946 (47.4)	1	
Yes	617	307 (49.8)	1.12 (0.9-1.4)	0.27	619	348 (56.2)	1.43 (1.2-1.7)	<0.001
Previous episode of self-harm								
None	937	433 (46.2)	1		1327	627 (47.2)	1	
Single	271	156 (57.6)	1.56 (1.2-2.1)	<0.001	449	240 (53.5)	1.28 (1.0-1.6)	<0.03
Multiple	512	234 (45.7)	0.98 (0.8-1.2)	0.85	774	390 (50.4)	1.13 (0.95-1.4)	0.17
Physical illness								
No	1361	651 (47.8)	1		1967	965 (49.1)	1	
Yes	423	202 (47.8)	1 (0.8-1.2)	0.98	664	336 (50.6)	1.06 (0.9-1.3)	0.49

SIS, Suicide Intent Scale.

Table 4 Multivariate logistic regression for factors associated with high Suicide Intent Scale scores

	Males (n=1662)			Females (n=2522)		
	OR	(95% CI)	P	OR	(95% CI)	P
Age, years						
55+	1.79	(1.2–2.7)	0.006	2.08	(1.4–3.0)	0.001
Marital status						
Widowed/divorced/separated	1.40	(1.1–1.8)	0.01	1.31	(1.1–1.6)	0.01
Previous self-harm						
Single previous episode	1.62	(1.2–2.1)	0.001	1.30	(1.0–1.6)	0.02
Alcohol misuse						
No	1.49	(1.2–2.1)	0.001			
Lonely living conditions						
Yes				1.35	(1.1–1.6)	0.002

separate model was constructed for each gender.

Among male patients, age over 55 years, being widowed, divorced or separated, having a single previous episode of self-harm and absence of alcohol misuse were all independently associated with high suicidal intent scores (Table 4). Among females, high suicidal intent was associated with being over 55 years old, being widowed, divorced or separated, having a single previous episode of self-harm and living in lonely household conditions.

Suicidal intent and repetition of self-harm

Initial analysis of all patients showed no difference between the proportions of high-scoring and low-scoring patients (15.4% *v.* 17.7%) who engaged in another episode of self-harm within 12 months of

the index episode ($\chi^2=2.42$, $P=0.1$). However, when male and female patients were examined separately, a contrasting pattern of association between repetition of self-harm and SIS scores emerged. Among male patients, 12.4% of those with high SIS scores harmed themselves again within 12 months, whereas 22.3% of those with low SIS scores had one or more further episodes ($\chi^2=18.26$, $P<0.0001$). The same pattern was observed in the male patient group when repetition of self-harm within 3 years of the index episode was examined. Among females, patients with high scores (17.4%) were more likely than those with low scores (14.2%) to engage in self-harm again within 1 year, although this difference was not statistically significant. When repetition of self-harm during the 3 years following the index episode was considered, this difference was found to be greater, and significant: 29.5% (high) *v.* 20.6% (low); $\chi^2=5.84$, $P<0.02$.

Table 5 Association of Suicide Intent Scale scores at index episode with outcome of suicide or non-suicide

	Suicide	Non-suicide	Mann–Whitney Z	P
Whole sample				
n	54	2435		
Median SIS score (IQR)	15 (10–21)	9 (5–14)	4.78	<0.001
Males				
n	30	1019		
Median SIS score (IQR)	13 (10–18)	10 (5–15)	2.37	<0.02
Females				
n	24	1416		
Median SIS score (IQR)	17 (10–22)	8 (4–13)	4.18	<0.001

IQR, interquartile range; SIS, Suicide Intent Scale.

Suicidal intent and suicide

Of the patients for whom follow-up information was available, 30 males (2.9%) and 24 females (1.7%) died by suicide. Of these patients, 19 males (63%) and 19 females (79%) had high SIS scores at their index episode. Associations between SIS scores and suicide are shown in Table 5.

Suicidal intent recorded at the index episode was significantly higher in both male and female patients who later died by suicide than for those who did not (males, Mann–Whitney $z=2.37$, $P<0.02$; females, $z=4.18$, $P<0.001$). The difference in SIS scores between suicides and non-suicides was notably greater within the female patient group than in the males.

The SIS scores of those who died by suicide and those who did not were examined within three age groups: 15–24 years, 25–54 years and 55+ years. With the exception of men in the oldest group, none of whom died by suicide, within every group the proportion of patients with high SIS scores was greater among those who died by suicide. However, because of the small numbers, these differences were not significant.

Following Pierce (1984), changes in SIS scores were calculated for the 508 patients who had more than one episode of self-harm with an associated SIS score. Intent scores rose for 239 of these patients. Follow-up information was available for 222 of these 'rising repeaters' and for 249 of the 'non-rising repeaters'. In the 'rising repeaters' group, 7 died by suicide, yielding a suicide rate of 3.2%. The suicide rate among the 'repeaters' whose SIS scores did not rise was 2.0% (5/249). This difference was not significant.

Although 29 of the 54 patients who died by suicide had two or more episodes of self-harm during the study period, only 12 had more than one associated SIS score. The SIS scores in these 12 cases were used to examine changes in intent scores preceding suicide. SIS scores at the last episode of self-harm during the follow-up period (median 15) appeared to be greater than index episode scores (median 12). This rise in SIS scores was accounted for by changes in the scores of the seven 'rising repeater' suicide cases; the scores of the other five suicides decreased or remained the same. Non-parametric analysis revealed that this change in suicidal intent scores over time was not significant (Wilcoxon signed rank test $z=1.33$, $P=0.18$).

The relationship between each of the two sections of the SIS and eventual suicide was also examined. Males with high scores on part 1 of the SIS at their index episode were significantly more likely to die by suicide than those with low scores on part 1 (4.4% *v.* 1.78%; $\chi^2=6.53$, $P<0.02$). Males with high scores on part 2 of the SIS at their index episode were also more likely to die by suicide (3.7%) than those with low scores (2.2%), but this difference did not reach significance ($\chi^2=2.16$, $P=0.41$). Among female patients, those with high scores on part 1 at their index episode were again significantly more likely to die by suicide than those with low scores on part 1 (2.5% *v.* 0.7%; $\chi^2=8.97$, $P<0.005$). Females with high scores on part 2 at their index episode were more likely to die by suicide (2.3%) than those with low scores (1.0%), but this difference also did not reach significance ($\chi^2=3.46$, $P=0.06$).

Time between index episode and suicide

Of the patients who died by suicide and had high SIS scores at their index episode of self-harm, 42.1% died within 12 months of the index episode. In contrast, of those who recorded low SIS scores at the index episode and who later died by suicide, 12.5% died within 12 months ($\chi^2=4.44$, $P<0.04$). This difference was found among both males (42.1% with high SIS scores and 18.2% with low SIS scores died within 12 months) and females (42.1% *v.* 10.0%), although owing to the small number of suicides neither difference reached statistical significance.

DISCUSSION

Using a large sample of self-harm patients, followed up for an average of over 5 years, we have shown that suicidal intent at the time of self-harm is associated with risk of subsequent suicide, especially within the first year after an episode. The absence of any association between suicide intent scores and eventual suicide that has been reported by some researchers (Niméus *et al.*, 1997; Hjelmeland *et al.*, 1998; Scocco *et al.*, 2000) may be due to smaller sample sizes, shorter follow-up periods or different inclusion criteria. These factors vary greatly across studies of suicidal intent, which may account for some of the diversity in their findings. Our results support the findings

of studies by Pierce (1981) and Niméus *et al.* (2002), both of which concluded that high suicidal intent scores at the time of self-harm are associated with an elevated risk of eventual suicide.

Methodological issues

There are some limitations to our study. It was not possible to include all the self-harm patients who presented to the general hospital during the study period, as some people did not receive an assessment, and the SIS was not completed for all of those who were assessed. Additionally, some patients who repeated their self-harm did not have an SIS score for repeat episodes, thereby limiting the number of 'repeater' cases that could be included in the analysis of changes in intent scores over time. Although complete follow-up information was obtainable for a large proportion of the sample, for some patients this information was not available or covered a limited period only.

To allow a sufficient follow-up period, deaths by suicide were only identified for patients who presented during the first 5 years of the study. The patients who were categorised as having died from suicide included those whose deaths were officially recorded as suicides, open verdicts or accidental poisonings. This approach, which has been used in previous studies (e.g. Charlton *et al.*, 1992), ensures as complete an identification of suicides as possible. Although few deaths will be misidentified through this procedure, some suicides might be missed owing to misclassification under other categories.

The classification of 'high' and 'low' suicide intent categories was based upon median scores within each gender. Although this is perhaps the most straightforward principle to adopt, it provides two broad categories that between them cover a range of scores that might be better classified as 'middle' rather than 'high' or 'low'. In consideration of this, we repeated the analysis of the categorised SIS scores using a higher cut-off point of 12, which assigned the top 25% (approximately) of SIS scores to the 'high' category. The pattern of results was for the most part the same as that obtained in the original analysis. The only exceptions were found in the associations between high SIS scores and both 'single previous episode of self-harm' and repetition of self-harm within 3 years among female patients, which, despite displaying

the same trends as observed in the original analysis, no longer reached statistical significance.

Suicidal intent and suicide

The risk of suicide among self-harm patients is highest within the first year following the episode of self-harm (Hawton & Fagg, 1988; Owens *et al.*, 2002; Hawton *et al.*, 2003b). Patients in this study who died by suicide and had high SIS scores were significantly more likely to die within 12 months of their index episode than those with low SIS scores, replicating the findings of Niméus *et al.* (2002). Measurement of suicidal intent may therefore be particularly useful in the assessment of short-term suicide risk.

Unlike Pierce (1984), we did not find an increased risk of suicide among patients whose suicide intent scores increased with repeated episodes of self-harm. Pierce originally compared his 'rising repeaters' with the remainder of his sample of 500 patients; in our study, the 'rising repeaters' were compared, perhaps more appropriately, with the other 'repeaters' whose intent scores did not rise. Further comparison of the 'rising repeaters' group with all the other patients in the sample also showed no difference in rates of suicide.

Additionally, among patients who repeated self-harm and died by suicide, suicidal intent scores at the last episode in the study period were not significantly greater than intent scores at their index episodes. Pierce (1981) reported that the mean scores for the penultimate episodes of patients who died by suicide and had repeatedly presented following self-harm ($n=4$) was especially high, in comparison with the rest of his sample. As Pierce did not report the mean score for the index episodes of these four patients, it is not possible to establish whether their scores were consistently high over repeated episodes of self-harm, or whether they increased over time. Our results suggest that a high level of suicidal intent at any single episode of self-harm is a better predictor of eventual suicide than change in intent over time.

It is desirable in clinical practice to use as brief a measuring instrument as possible in the assessment of self-harm patients. The 'circumstances' section of the SIS is regarded as a more reliable measure of suicidal intent than the 'self-report' section, as the items in the latter section are more vulnerable to distortion by the patient, who

might wish to enhance the social desirability of the act or exaggerate the wish to die. The results of our study support this theory: the relationship between scores on the 'circumstances' section of the SIS and eventual suicide was substantially stronger than that between suicide and 'self-report' scores, for both men and women. However, a verbally expressed wish to die at the time of the episode of self-harm has also been associated with subsequent suicide (Hjelmeland, 1996). For measuring suicidal intent in order to inform suicide risk assessment, the 'circumstances' section of the SIS along with a question from the 'self-report' section regarding the wish to die may be sufficient.

Suicidal intent and gender

Suicidal intent scores of male patients were higher than those of female patients, both overall and for each of the 'circumstances' and 'self-report' sections of the SIS. Although several previous smaller studies have found no gender difference in intent scores (Dyer & Kreitman, 1984; Niméus *et al*, 2002), others have found higher scores among male patients (Hjelmeland *et al*, 2000; Haw *et al*, 2003). Hjelmeland *et al* (2000) argued that the gender difference observed in their study was due to their large sample rendering a negligible difference statistically significant, and was therefore of no theoretical or practical significance. The difference in intent scores of the male and female patients in our study is considerably larger, and highly significant. In addition, the gender differences found throughout the rest of the analyses suggest that there are real differences between men and women who harm themselves, with respect to suicidal intent. Most notably, we found a stronger relationship between intent scores and suicide among women than men. Almost four-fifths of the women who went on to die by suicide, and almost two-thirds of the men, had high SIS scores at their index episode. Intent scores of both genders were higher among those who died by suicide than among those who did not, but this difference was markedly greater within the female patient group.

Suicidal intent and age

The association of suicide intent scores with increasing age found in previous studies (Dyer & Kreitman, 1984; Niméus *et al*, 2002) was confirmed by our findings.

Niméus *et al* (2002) reported that suicide intent scores were higher in those who died by suicide than in the non-suicide group only among patients over 55 years old. Intent scores of those who died by suicide within all age groups of both genders in our study were higher than those of the non-suicide group, with the exception of men over 55 years old. Although older men are generally at high risk of suicide (Hawton *et al*, 2003b), no suicides occurred in this patient group between 1993 and 1997. Because of the smaller number of suicides in some of the age groups, differences in intent scores were not significant.

Factors associated with high suicidal intent

Logistic regression analysis showed that high suicidal intent scores among male patients were associated with being over 55 years old, being widowed, divorced or separated, having a single previous episode of self-harm and an absence of alcohol misuse. Among the female patients, high SIS scores were associated with being over 55 years old, being widowed, divorced or separated, having a single previous episode of self-harm and living in lonely household conditions. With the exception of absence of alcohol misuse in males, these characteristics are all known risk factors associated with eventual suicide following self-harm (Hawton & Catalan, 1987; Sakinofsky, 2000), and thus support the associations between suicidal intent and suicide found in this study. The finding relating to alcohol might be due to the relatively broad definition of alcohol misuse, which included excessive drinking as well as alcohol dependence and chronic alcoholism.

Suicidal intent and lethality

Suicide intent scores have been shown to be related to the potential lethality of the method of self-harm (Hamdi *et al*, 1991; Haw *et al*, 2003). Although no measure of lethality was used in our study, the suicide intent scores of patients using different methods of self-harm support these findings. The highest intent scores were recorded for patients who injured themselves using methods other than self-cutting, which are more likely to be lethal. Patients who engaged in self-cutting had the lowest intent scores. This behaviour is rarely suicidal in nature, and more often used for affect regulation or self-punishment (Shearer, 1994).

Suicidal intent and repetition of self-harm

The Suicide Intent Scale was not originally designed to predict repetition of self-harm. The majority of studies that have investigated the relationship between intent scores and repetition have done so retrospectively, and have not considered male and female patient groups separately. Hjelmeland *et al* (1998) reported that low suicide intent scores predicted repetition of self-harm within 12 months of the index episodes of 552 patients, using a logistic regression model in which gender was not a significant factor; however, the difference between mean SIS scores in male 'repeaters' and 'non-repeaters' (11.6 *v.* 14.7) was notably greater than that between female 'repeaters' (12.0) and 'non-repeaters' (13.1) (Hjelmeland *et al*, 1998). No associated statistical analysis of these proportions for each gender was reported. When mean SIS scores in our study were similarly examined, the pattern of association with repetition within 12 months was found to be comparable (males: 'repeaters' group mean SIS score 8.8, 'non-repeaters' group 10.8; females: 'repeaters' group mean SIS score 9.5, 'non-repeaters' group 9.4).

It is possible that previous findings of either no relationship between repetition of self-harm and SIS scores, or of an association between low SIS scores and repetition, have been confounded by examining data from both genders together, or by the relative brevity of follow-up periods. We have identified contrasting patterns of association between repetition of self-harm and SIS scores within male and female patients. A strong association between low suicide intent scores and repetition of self-harm was found among male patients, and a weaker association between high SIS scores and repetition was found in female patients, which reached statistical significance at 3 years' follow-up. It is evident that suicide intent scores cannot be used reliably to assess risk of repetition of self-harm, and that future studies investigating the relationship between suicidal intent and repetition of self-harm should address gender differences.

Clinical implications

Accurate prediction of suicide following self-harm will always be restricted by the low rate of suicide and by the low specificity of predictive factors, including measures of suicidal intent. However, the

findings of this study confirm that the measurement of suicidal intent is valuable in the evaluation of future suicide risk. It is likely to be most beneficial when considered in conjunction with other known risk factors (Hawton & Catalan, 1987; Sakinofsky, 2000). Use of the 'circumstances' section of the Suicide Intent Scale, along with a question concerning the patient's wish to die, may be sufficient in clinical practice. Suicide intent scores appear to be especially useful in the assessment of short-term suicide risk.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the staff of the Office for National Statistics for England and Wales, the General Register Office for Scotland, and the Central Services Agency in Northern Ireland for their assistance with this project. Staff at the Department of Psychological Medicine at the John Radcliffe Hospital in Oxford, and Elizabeth Bale and Alison Bond, assisted with the collection of patient information used in this study. The Oxford Monitoring System is funded by the Department of Health. The mortality follow-up study was funded by a grant from the National Health Science Executive for England. K.H. is also supported by Oxfordshire Mental Healthcare Trust.

REFERENCES

- Beck, A. & Steer, R. (1989)** Clinical predictors of eventual suicide: a 5- to 10-year prospective study of suicide attempters. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, **17**, 203–209.
- Beck, A., Schuyler, D. & Herman, J. (1974)** Development of suicidal intent scales. In *The Prediction of Suicide* (eds A. Beck, H. Resnik & D. J. Lettieri), pp. 45–56. Bowie, MD: Charles.
- Charlton, J., Kelly, S., Dunnell, K., et al (1992)** Trends in suicide deaths in England and Wales. *Population Trends*, **69**, 10–16.
- Dyer, J. A. T. & Kreitman, N. (1984)** Hopelessness, depression and suicidal intent in parasuicide. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **144**, 127–133.
- Hamdi, E., Amin, T. & Mattar, T. (1991)** Clinical correlates of intent in attempted suicide. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, **83**, 406–411.
- Haw, C., Hawton, K., Houston, K., et al (2003)** Correlates of relative lethality and suicidal intent among deliberate self-harm patients. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, **33**, 353–364.
- Hawton, K. & Catalan, J. (1987)** *Attempted Suicide: A Practical Guide to its Nature and Management*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hawton, K. & Fagg, J. (1988)** Suicide, and other causes of death, following attempted suicide. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **152**, 359–366.
- Hawton, K., Harriss, L., Hall, S., et al (2003a)** Deliberate self-harm in Oxford, 1990–2000: a time of change in patient characteristics. *Psychological Medicine*, **33**, 987–996.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

- The measurement of suicidal intent is valuable in the evaluation of future suicide risk among self-harm patients.
- Suicide intent scores appear to be especially useful in the assessment of short-term suicide risk.
- Use of the 'circumstances' section of the Suicide Intent Scale, along with a question concerning the patient's wish to die, may be sufficient in clinical practice.

LIMITATIONS

- It was not possible to include all self-harm patients presenting to the general hospital during the study period.
- Follow-up information was not available for all patients in the study sample.
- Some suicides might have been missed owing to their classification as other types of death.

LOUISE HARRISS, MSc, KEITH HAWTON, DSc, DANIEL ZAHL, BA, Centre for Suicide Research, University Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, UK

Correspondence: Professor Keith Hawton, Centre for Suicide Research, University Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford OX3 7JX, UK. E-mail: keith.hawton@psychiatry.ox.ac.uk

(First received 10 November 2003, final revision 5 August 2004, accepted 11 August 2004)

Hawton, K., Zahl, D. & Weatherall, R. (2003b)

Suicide following deliberate self-harm: long-term follow-up of patients who presented to a general hospital. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **182**, 537–542.

Hjelmeland, H. (1996) Verbally expressed intentions of parasuicide. II. Prediction of fatal and non-fatal repetition. *Crisis*, **17**, 10–14.

Hjelmeland, H., Stiles, T. C., Bille-Brahe, U., et al (1998) Parasuicide: the value of suicidal intent and various motives as predictors of future suicidal behaviour. *Archives of Suicide Research*, **4**, 209–225.

Hjelmeland, H., Nordvik, H., Bille-Brahe, U., et al (2000) A cross-cultural study of suicide intent in parasuicide patients. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, **30**, 295–303.

Niméus, A., Traskman-Bendz, L. & Alsén, M. (1997) Hopelessness and suicidal behaviour. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, **42**, 137–144.

Niméus, A., Alsén, M. & Traskman-Bendz, L. (2002) High suicidal intent scores indicate future suicide. *Archives of Suicide Research*, **6**, 211–219.

Owens, D., Horrocks, J. & House, A. (2002) Fatal and non-fatal repetition of self-harm: systematic review. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **181**, 193–199.

Pierce, D. W. (1981) The predictive validation of a suicide intent scale: a five year follow up. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **139**, 391–396.

Pierce, D. W. (1984) Suicidal intent and repeated self-harm. *Psychological Medicine*, **14**, 655–659.

Platt, S., Hawton, K., Kreitman, N., et al (1988)

Recent clinical and epidemiological trends in parasuicide in Edinburgh and Oxford: a tale of two cities. *Psychological Medicine*, **18**, 405–418.

Sakinofsky, I. (2000) Repetition of suicidal behaviour. In *The International Handbook of Suicide and Attempted Suicide* (eds K. Hawton & K. Van Heeringen), pp. 385–404. Chichester: Wiley.

Scocco, P., Marietta, P., Tonietto, M., et al (2000) The role of psychopathology and suicidal intention in predicting suicide risk: a longitudinal study. *Psychopathology*, **33**, 143–150.

Sellar, C., Goldacre, M. J. & Hawton, K. (1990)

Reliability of routine hospital data on poisoning as measures of deliberate self-poisoning in adolescents. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, **44**, 313–315.

Shearer, S. L. (1994) Brief reports: phenomenology of self-injury among inpatient women with borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, **182**, 524–526.

SPSS Inc. (2000) *SPSS Base 10.0 Users' Guide*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

World Health Organization (1978) *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-9)*. Geneva: WHO.