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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r$ on a compact complex manifold $X$ of dimension $n$. It is shown that the cohomology groups $H^{p, q}\left(X, E^{\otimes k} \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l}\right)$ vanish if $E$ is ample and $p+q \geq n+1, l \geq n-p+r-1$. The proof rests on the well-known fact that every tensor power $E^{\otimes k}$ splits into irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Gl}(E)$. By Bott's theory, each component is canonically isomorphic to the direct image on $X$ of a homogeneous line bundle over a flag manifold of $E$. The proof is then reduced to the Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem for line bundles by means of the Leray spectral sequence, using backward induction on $p$. We also obtain a generalization of Le Potier's isomorphism theorem and a counterexample to a vanishing conjecture of Sommese.


## 0. Statement of results.

Many problems and results of contemporary algebraic geometry involve vanishing theorems for holomorphic vector bundles. Furthermore, tensor powers of such bundles are often introduced by natural geometric constructions. The aim of this work is to prove a rather general vanishing theorem for cohomology groups of tensor powers of a holomorphic vector bundle.

Let $X$ be a complex compact $n$-dimensional manifold and $E$ a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$. If $E$ is ample and $r>1$, only very few general and optimal vanishing results are available for the Dolbeault cohomology groups $H^{p, q}$ of tensor powers of $E$. For example, the famous Le Potier vanishing theorem [13] :

$$
E \text { ample } \Longrightarrow H^{p, q}(X, E)=0 \text { for } p+q \geq n+r
$$

does not extend to symmetric powers $S^{k} E$, even when $p=n$ and $q=n-2$ (cf. [11]) . Nevertheless, we will see that the vanishing property is true for tensor powers involving a sufficiently large power of $\operatorname{det} E$. In all the sequel, we let $L$ be a holomorphic line bundle on $X$ and we assume :

Hypothesis 0.1. - $E$ is ample and $L$ semi-ample, or $E$ is semi-ample and $L$ ample.

The precise definitions concerning ampleness are given in $\S 1$. Under this hypothesis, we prove the following two results.

Theorem 0.2. - Let us denote by $\Gamma^{a} E$ the irreducible tensor power representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(E)$ of highest weight $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$. If $h \in\{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \ldots \geq a_{h}>a_{h+1}=\ldots=a_{r}=0$, then

$$
H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h} \otimes L\right)=0 \text { for } q \geq 1
$$

Since $S^{k} E=\Gamma^{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)} E$, the special case $h=1$ is Griffiths' vanishing theorem [8] :

$$
H^{n, q}\left(X, S^{k} E \otimes \operatorname{det} E \otimes L\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad q \geq 1
$$

Theorem 0.3. - For all integers $p+q \geq n+1, k \geq 1, l \geq n-p+r-1$ then $H^{p, q}\left(X, E^{\otimes k} \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right)=0$.

For $p=n$ and arbitrary $r, k_{0} \geq 2$, Peternell-Le Potier and Schneider [11] have constructed an example of an ample vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ on a manifold $X$ of dimension $n=2 r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n, n-2}\left(X, S^{k} E\right) \neq 0 \quad, \quad 2 \leq k \leq k_{0} \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result shows that $\operatorname{det} E$ cannot be omitted in theorem 0.2 when $h=1$. More generally, the following example shows that the exponent $h$ is optimal.

Example. - Let $X=G_{r}(V)$ be the Grassmannian of subspaces of codimension $r$ of a vector space $V$ of dimension $d$, and $E$ the tautological quotient vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$ (then $E$ is spanned and $L=\operatorname{det} E$ very ample). Let $h \in\{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{h} \geq d-r, \quad a_{h+1}=\ldots=a_{r}=0 \\
& \beta=\left(a_{1}-d+r, \ldots, a_{h}-d+r, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $n=\operatorname{dim} X=r(d-r), q=(r-h)(d-r)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h}\right)=\Gamma^{\beta} V \otimes(\operatorname{det} V)^{h} \neq 0 \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our approach is based on three well-known facts. First, every tensor power $E^{\otimes k}$ splits into irreducible representations $\Gamma^{a} E$ of the linear group $\mathrm{Gl}(E)$ (cf. (2.16)). Secondly, every irreducible tensor bundle $\Gamma^{a} E$ appears in a natural way as the direct image on $X$ of an ample line bundle on a suitable flag manifold of $E$. This follows from Bott's theory of homogeneous vector bundles [3]. The third fact is the isomorphism theorem of Le Potier [13], which relates the cohomology groups of $E$ on $X$ to those of the line bundle $\mathrm{O}_{E}(1)$ on $P\left(E^{\star}\right)$. In $\S 3$, we generalize this isomorphism to the case of arbitrary flag bundles associated to $E$; theorem 0.2 is an immediate consequence.

The proof of theorem 0.3 rests on a generalization of the Borel-Le Potier spectral sequence, but we have avoided to make it explicit at this point in order to simplify the exposition. The main argument is a backward induction on $p$, based on the usual Leray spectral sequence and on the Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem for line bundles. A by-product of these methods is the following isomorphism result, already contained in the standard Borel-Le Potier spectral sequence, but which seems to have been overlooked.

Theorem 0.6. - For every vector bundle $E$ and every line bundle $L$ one can define a canonical morphism

$$
H^{p, q}\left(X, \Lambda^{2} E \otimes L\right) \longrightarrow H^{p+1, q+1}\left(X, S^{2} E \otimes L\right)
$$

Under hypothesis 0.1, this morphism is one-to-one for $p+q \geq n+r-1$ and surjective for $p+q=n+r-2$.

Combining theorem 0.6 and example (0.4), we get $H^{n-1, n-3}\left(X, \Lambda^{2} E\right) \neq 0$. This shows that Sommese's conjecture ([15], conjecture (4.2))

$$
E \text { ample } \Longrightarrow H^{p, q}\left(X, \Lambda^{k} E\right)=0 \quad \text { for } p+q \geq n+r-k+1
$$

is false for $n=2 r \geq 6$.
The following related problem is interesting, but its complete solution certainly requires a better understanding of the Borel-Le Potier spectral sequence for flag bundles.

Problem. - Given any dominant weight $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ with $a_{r}=0$ and $p, q$ such that $p+q \geq n+1$, determine the smallest exponent $l_{0}=l_{0}(n, p, q, r, a)$ such that $H^{p, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right)=0$ for $l \geq l_{0}$.

We show in $\S 5$ that if the Borel-Le Potier spectral sequence degenerates at the $E_{2}$ level, it is always sufficient to take $l \geq r-1+\min \{n-p, n-q\}$. In that case, theorem 0.6 appears also as a special case of a fairly general exact sequence. The $E_{2}$-degeneracy of the Borel-Le Potier spectral sequence is thus an important feature which would be interesting to investigate.

Some of the above results have been annouced in the note [4] and corresponding detailed proofs are given in [5]. However, the method of [5] is based on differential geometry and leads to results which overlap the present ones only in part. The author wishes to thank warmly Prof. Michel Brion, Friedrich Knopp, Thomas Peternell and Michael Schneider for valuable remarks which led to substantial improvements of this work.

## 1. Basic definitions and tools.

We recall here a few basic facts and definitions which will be used repeatedly in the sequel.
(1.1) Ample vector bundles (compare with Hartshorne [9]).

A vector bundle $E$ on $X$ is said to be spanned if the canonical map $H^{0}(X, E) \longrightarrow E_{x}$ is onto for every $x \in X$, and semi-ample if the symmetric powers $S^{k} E$ are spanned for $k \geq k_{0}$ large enough.
$E$ is said to be very ample if the canonical maps

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H^{0}(X, E) \longrightarrow E_{x} \oplus E_{y} \quad, \quad \forall x \neq y \in X \\
H^{0}(X, E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{x} / \mathcal{M}_{x}^{2} \quad, \quad \forall x \in X
\end{array}\right.
$$

are onto, $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ denoting the maximal ideal at $x \in X$. If $E$ is very ample, then the holomorphic map from $X$ to the Grassmannian of $r$-codimensional subspaces of $V=H^{0}(X, E)$ given by

$$
\Phi: X \longrightarrow G_{r}(V), x \longmapsto W_{x}=\{\sigma \in V ; \sigma(x)=0\}
$$

is an embedding, and $E$ is the pull-back by $\Phi$ of the canonical quotient vector bundle of rank $r$ on $G_{r}(V)$. The embedding condition is in fact equivalent to $E$ being very ample if $r=1$, but weaker if $r \geq 2$.

At last, $E$ is said to be ample if the symmetric powers $S^{k} E$ are very ample for $k \geq k_{0}$ large enough. Denoting $\mathrm{O}_{E}(1)$ the canonical line bundle on $Y=P\left(E^{\star}\right)$ associated to $E$ and $\pi: Y \longrightarrow X$ the projection, it is well-known that $\pi_{\star} \mathrm{O}_{E}(k)=S^{k} E$. One gets then easily

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \text { spanned on } X \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{O}_{E}(1) \text { spanned on } Y, \\
& E \quad \text { (semi-)ample on } X \quad \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{E}(1) \text { (semi-)ample on } Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for any line bundle $L$ on $X$, ampleness is equivalent to the existence of a smooth hermitian metric on the fibers of $L$ with positive curvature form $i c(L)$. Since $S^{k}(E \otimes L)=S^{k} E \otimes L^{k}$, it is clear that
$E, L$ semi-ample $\Longrightarrow E \otimes L$ semi-ample ,
$E, L$ spanned, one of them very ample $\Longrightarrow E \otimes L$ very ample ,
$E, L$ semi-ample, one of them ample $\Longrightarrow E \otimes L$ ample .
(1.2) Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem [1].

If $L$ is an ample (or positive) line bundle on $X$, then

$$
H^{p, q}(X, L)=H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p} \otimes L\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p+q \geq n+1 .
$$

(1.3) Leray spectral sequence (cf. Godement [7]).

Let $\pi: Y \longrightarrow X$ be a continuous map between topological spaces, $\mathcal{S}$ a sheaf of abelian groups on $Y$, and $R^{q} \pi_{\star} \mathcal{S}$ the direct image sheaves of $\mathcal{S}$ on $X$. Then there exists a spectral sequence such that

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(X, R^{q} \pi_{\star} \mathcal{S}\right)
$$

and such that the limit term $E_{\infty}^{p, q-p}$ is the $p$-graded module associated to a decreasing filtration of $H^{q}(Y, \mathcal{S})$.

## Cohomology of a filtered sheaf.

We will need also the following elementary result. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of abelian groups on $X$ and

$$
\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{0} \supset \mathcal{F}^{1} \supset \ldots \supset \mathcal{F}^{p} \supset \ldots \supset \mathcal{F}^{N}=0
$$

be a filtration of $\mathcal{F}$ such that the graded sheaf $\bigoplus \mathcal{G}^{p}, \mathcal{G}^{p}=\mathcal{F}^{p} / \mathcal{F}^{p+1}$, satisfies $H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{G}^{p}\right)=0$ for $q \geq q_{0}$. Then $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})=0$ for $q \geq q_{0}$.

Indeed, it is immediately verified by induction on $p \geq 1$ that $H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F}^{p}\right)$ vanishes for $q \geq q_{0}$, using the cohomology long exact sequence associated to

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{F}^{p} \longrightarrow 0
$$

## 2. Homogeneous line bundles on flag manifolds and irreducible representations of the linear group.

The aim of this section is to settle notations and to recall a few basic results on homogeneous line bundles on flag manifolds (cf. Borel-Weil [2] and R. Bott [3]).

Let $B_{r}$ be the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{Gl}_{r}=\mathrm{Gl}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$ of lower triangular matrices, $U_{r} \subset B_{r}$ the subgroup of unipotent matrices, and $T^{r}$ the complex torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)^{r}$ of diagonal matrices. Let $V$ be a complex vector space of dimension $r$. We denote by $M(V)$ the manifold of complete flags

$$
V=V_{0} \supset V_{1} \supset \ldots \supset V_{r}=\{0\}, \quad \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda}=\lambda .
$$

To every linear isomorphism $\zeta \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}, V\right):\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}\right) \longmapsto \sum_{1 \leq \lambda \leq r} u_{\lambda} \zeta_{\lambda}$, one can associate the flag $[\zeta] \in M(V)$ defined by $V_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Vect}\left(\zeta_{\lambda+1}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}\right)$, $1 \leq \lambda \leq r$. This leads to the identification

$$
M(V)=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}, V\right) / B_{r}
$$

where $B_{r}$ acts on the right side. We denote simply by $V_{\lambda}$ the tautological vector bundle of rank $r-\lambda$ on $M(V)$, and we consider the canonical quotient line bundles

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
Q_{\lambda} & =V_{\lambda-1} / V_{\lambda}, & & 1 \leq \lambda \leq r  \tag{2.1}\\
Q^{a} & =Q_{1}^{a_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{r}^{a_{r}} & , & a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The linear group $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$ acts on $M(V)$ on the left, and there exist natural equivariant left actions of $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$ on all bundles $V_{\lambda}, Q_{\lambda}, Q^{a}$. If $\rho: B_{r} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gl}(E)$ is a representation of $B_{r}$, we may associate to $\rho$ the manifold

$$
E_{V, \rho}=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}, V\right) \times_{B_{r}} E=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}, V\right) \times E / \sim
$$

where $\sim$ denotes the equivalence relation $(\zeta g, u) \sim(\zeta, \rho(g) u), g \in B_{r}$. Then $E_{V, \rho}$ is a $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$-equivariant bundle over $M(V)$, and all such bundles are obtained in this way. It is clear that $Q^{a}$ is isomorphic to the line bundle $\mathbb{C}_{V, \underline{a}}$ arising from the 1-dimensional representation of weight $\underline{a}$ :

$$
\underline{a}: \quad T^{r}=B_{r} / U_{r} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star} \quad, \quad\left(t_{1} \ldots t_{r}\right) \longmapsto t_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots t_{r}^{a_{r}} ;
$$

the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}_{V, \underline{a}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^{a}$ is induced by the map

$$
\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}, V\right) \times \mathbb{C} \ni(\zeta, u) \longmapsto u \tilde{\zeta}_{1}^{a_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{\zeta}_{r}^{a_{r}} \in Q^{a} \quad, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{\lambda}=\zeta_{\lambda} \bmod V_{\lambda}
$$

We compute now the tangent and cotangent vector bundles of $M(V)$. The action of $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$ on $M(V)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
T M(V)=\operatorname{Hom}(V, V) / W \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is the subbundle of endomorphisms $g \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, V)$ such that $g\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \subset V_{\lambda}$, $1 \leq \lambda \leq r$. Using the self-duality of $\operatorname{Hom}(V, V)$ given by the Killing form $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)$, we find

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T^{\star} M(V)=(\operatorname{Hom}(V, V) / W)^{\star}=W^{\perp}  \tag{2.3}\\
W^{\perp}=\left\{g \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, V) ; g\left(V_{\lambda-1}\right) \subset V_{\lambda}, 1 \leq \lambda \leq r\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If ad denotes the adjoint representation of $B_{r}$ on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g l}_{r}, \mathfrak{\Re}_{r}, \mathfrak{U}_{r}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T M(V)=\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r} / \mathfrak{\Re}_{r}\right)_{V, \mathrm{ad}} \quad, \quad T^{\star} M(V)=\left(\mathfrak{U}_{r}\right)_{V, \mathrm{ad}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $W_{[\zeta]}=\zeta \mathfrak{\Re}_{r} \zeta^{-1}, W_{[\zeta]}^{\perp}=\zeta \mathfrak{U}_{r} \zeta^{-1}$ at every point $[\zeta] \in M(V)$. There exists a filtration of $T^{\star} M(V)$ by subbundles of the type

$$
\left\{g \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, V) ; g\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \subset V_{\mu(\lambda)}, 1 \leq \lambda \leq r\right\}
$$

in such a way that the corresponding graded bundle is the direct sum of the line bundles $\operatorname{Hom}\left(Q_{\lambda}, Q_{\mu}\right)=Q_{\lambda}^{-1} \otimes Q_{\mu}, \lambda<\mu$; their tensor product is thus isomorphic to the canonical line bundle $K_{M(V)}=\operatorname{det}\left(T^{\star} M(V)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{M(V)}=Q_{1}^{1-r} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{\lambda}^{2 \lambda-r-1} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{r}^{r-1}=Q^{c} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=(1-r, \ldots, r-1) ; \underline{c}$ will be called the canonical weight of $M(V)$.

- Case of incomplete flag manifolds.

More generally, given any sequence of integers $s=\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)$ such that $0=s_{0}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{m}=r$, we may consider the manifold $M_{s}(V)$ of incomplete flags

$$
V=V_{s_{0}} \supset V_{s_{1}} \supset \ldots \supset V_{s_{m}}=\{0\} \quad, \quad \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} V_{s_{j}}=s_{j} .
$$

On $M_{s}(V)$ we still have tautological vector bundles $V_{s, j}$ of rank $r-s_{j}$ and line bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s, j}=\operatorname{det}\left(V_{s, j-1} / V_{s, j}\right) \quad, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $r$-tuple $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ such that $a_{s_{j-1}+1}=\ldots=a_{s_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, we set

$$
Q_{s}^{a}=Q_{s, 1}^{a_{s_{1}}} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{s, m}^{a_{s_{m}}} .
$$

If $\eta: M(V) \rightarrow M_{s}(V)$ is the natural projection, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\star} V_{s, j}=V_{s_{j}} \quad, \quad \eta^{\star} Q_{s, j}=Q_{s_{j-1}+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{s_{j}}, \quad \eta^{\star} Q_{s}^{a}=Q^{a} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, one has the identification

$$
M_{s}(V)=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}, V\right) / B_{s}
$$

where $B_{s}$ is the parabolic subgroup of matrices $\left(z_{\lambda \mu}\right)$ with $z_{\lambda \mu}=0$ for all $\lambda$, $\mu$ such that there exists an integer $j=1, \ldots, m-1$ with $\lambda \leq s_{j}$ and $\mu>s_{j}$. We define $U_{s}$ as the unipotent subgroup of lower triangular matrices $\left(z_{\lambda \mu}\right)$ with $z_{\lambda \mu}=0$ for
all $\lambda$, $\mu$ such that $s_{j-1}<\lambda \neq \mu \leq s_{j}$ for some $j$ (hence $\mathfrak{U}_{s}=\mathfrak{B}_{s}^{\perp}$ ). In the same way as above, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
T M_{s}(V) & =\operatorname{Hom}(V, V) / W_{s} \quad, \quad W_{s}=\left\{g ; g\left(V_{s_{j-1}}\right) \subset V_{s_{j}}\right\},  \tag{2.8}\\
T^{\star} M_{s}(V) & =W_{s}^{\perp}=\left(\mathfrak{U}_{s}\right)_{V, \text { ad }},  \tag{2.9}\\
K_{M_{s}(V)} & =Q_{s, 1}^{s_{1}-r} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{s, j}^{s_{j-1}+s_{j}-r} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{s, m}^{s_{m-1}}=Q_{s}^{c(s)} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c(s)=\left(s_{1}-r, \ldots, s_{1}-r, \ldots, s_{j-1}+s_{j}-r, \ldots, s_{j-1}+s_{j}-r, \ldots\right)$ is the canonical weight of $M_{s}(V)$.

Lemma 2.11. - $Q_{s}^{a}$ enjoys the following properties:
(a) If $a_{s_{j}}<a_{s_{j+1}}$ for some $j=1, \ldots, m-1$, then $H^{0}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=0$.
(b) $Q_{s}^{a}$ is spanned if and only if $a_{s_{1}} \geq a_{s_{2}} \geq \ldots \geq a_{s_{m}}$.
(c) $Q_{s}^{a}$ is (very) ample if and only if $a_{s_{1}}>a_{s_{2}}>\ldots>a_{s_{m}}$.

Proof. -
(a) Let $\left(V_{s_{0}}^{0} \supset V_{s_{1}}^{0} \supset \ldots \supset V_{s_{m}}^{0}\right) \in M_{s}(V)$ be an arbitrary flag and $F, F^{\prime}$ subspaces of $V$ such that

$$
V_{s_{j-1}}^{0} \supset F \supset V_{s_{j}}^{0} \supset F^{\prime} \supset V_{s_{j+1}}^{0}, \quad \operatorname{dim} F=s_{j}+1, \quad \operatorname{dim} F^{\prime}=s_{j}-1 .
$$

Let us consider the projective line $P\left(F / F^{\prime}\right) \subset M_{s}(V)$ of flags

$$
V_{s_{0}}^{0} \supset \ldots \supset V_{s_{j-1}}^{0} \supset V_{s_{j}} \supset V_{s_{j+1}}^{0} \supset V_{s_{m}}^{0}
$$

such that $F \supset V_{s_{j}} \supset F^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{s, j \mid P\left(F / F^{\prime}\right)}=\operatorname{det}\left(V_{s_{j-1}}^{0} / V_{s_{j}}\right) \simeq F / V_{s_{j}} \simeq \mathrm{O}(1) \\
& Q_{s, j+1 \upharpoonright P\left(F / F^{\prime}\right)}=\operatorname{det}\left(V_{s_{j}} / V_{s_{j+1}}^{0}\right) \simeq V_{s_{j}} / F^{\prime} \simeq \mathrm{O}(-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $Q_{s \mid P\left(F / F^{\prime}\right)}^{a} \simeq \mathrm{O}\left(a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}}\right)$, which implies (a) and the "only if" part of assertions (b), (c).
(b) Since $Q_{s, 1} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{s, j}=\operatorname{det}\left(V / V_{s_{j}}\right)$, we see that this line bundle is a quotient of the trivial bundle $\Lambda^{s_{j}} V$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}^{a}=\bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \operatorname{det}\left(V / V_{s_{j}}\right)^{a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}}} \otimes(\operatorname{det} V)^{a_{r}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is spanned by sections arising from elements of $\otimes S^{a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}}}\left(\Lambda^{s_{j}} V\right) \otimes(\operatorname{det} V)^{a_{r}}$ as soon as $a_{s_{1}} \geq \ldots \geq a_{s_{m}}$.
(c) If $a_{s_{1}}>\ldots>a_{s_{m}}$, it is elementary to verify that the sections of $Q_{s}^{a}$ arising from (2.12) suffice to make $Q_{s}^{a}$ very ample (this is in fact a generalization of Plücker's imbedding of Grassmannians).

- Cohomology groups of $Q^{a}$.

It remains now to compute $H^{0}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(M(V), Q^{a}\right)$ when $a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{r}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_{r} \geq 0$, because $Q_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{r}=\operatorname{det} V$ is a trivial bundle.

Proposition 2.13. - For all integers $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \ldots \geq a_{r} \geq 0$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
H^{0}\left(M(V), Q^{a}\right)=\Gamma^{a} V
$$

where $\Gamma^{a} V \subset S^{a_{1}} V \otimes \ldots \otimes S^{a_{r}} V$ is the set of polynomials $f\left(\zeta_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}^{\star}\right)$ on $\left(V^{\star}\right)^{r}$ which are homogeneous of degree $a_{\lambda}$ with respect to $\zeta_{\lambda}^{\star}$ and invariant under the left action of $U_{r}$ on $\left(V^{\star}\right)^{r}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, \mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$ :

$$
f\left(\zeta_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, \zeta_{\lambda-1}^{\star}, \zeta_{\lambda}^{\star}+\zeta_{\nu}^{\star}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}^{\star}\right)=f\left(\zeta_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}^{\star}\right), \quad \forall \nu<\lambda .
$$

Proof. - To any section $\sigma \in H^{0}\left(M(V), Q^{a}\right)$ we associate the holomorphic function $f$ on $\operatorname{Isom}\left(V, \mathbb{C}^{r}\right) \subset\left(V^{\star}\right)^{r}$ defined by

$$
f\left(\zeta_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}^{\star}\right)=\left(\zeta_{1}^{\star}\right)^{a_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(\zeta_{r}^{\star}\right)^{a_{r}} \cdot \sigma\left(\left[\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}\right]\right)
$$

where $\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}\right)$ is the dual basis of $\left(\zeta_{1}^{\star}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}^{\star}\right)$, and where the linear form induced by $\zeta_{\lambda}^{\star}$ on $Q_{\lambda}=V_{\lambda-1} / V_{\lambda} \simeq \mathbb{C} \zeta_{\lambda}$ is still denoted $\zeta_{\lambda}^{\star}$. Let us observe that $f$ is homogeneous of degree $a_{\lambda}$ in $\zeta_{\lambda}^{\star}$ and locally bounded in a neighborhood of every $r$-tuple of $\left(V^{\star}\right)^{r} \backslash \operatorname{Isom}\left(V, \mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$ (because $M(V)$ is compact and $\left.a_{\lambda} \geq 0\right)$. Therefore $f$ can be extended to a polynomial on all $\left(V^{\star}\right)^{r}$. The invariance of $f$ under $U_{r}$ is clear. Conversely, such a polynomial $f$ obviously defines a unique section $\sigma$ on $M(V)$.

From the definition of $\Gamma^{a} V$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{k} V=\Gamma^{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)} V  \tag{2.14}\\
& \Lambda^{k} V=\Gamma^{(1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)} V \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$, proposition 2.13 remains true if we set
$\Gamma^{a} V=\Gamma^{\left(a_{1}-a_{r}, \ldots, a_{r-1}-a_{r}, 0\right)} V \otimes(\operatorname{det} V)^{a_{r}}$ when $a$ is non-increasing ,
$\Gamma^{a} V=0 \quad$ otherwise .
The weights will be ordered according to their usual partial ordering :

$$
\underline{a} \succcurlyeq \underline{b} \quad \text { iff } \quad \sum_{1 \leq \lambda \leq \mu} a_{\lambda} \geq \sum_{1 \leq \lambda \leq \mu} b_{\lambda}, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq r .
$$

Bott's theorem [3] shows that $\Gamma^{a} V$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$ of highest weight $\underline{a}$; all irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$ are in fact of this type (cf. Kraft [10]). In particular, since the weights of the action of a maximal torus $T^{r} \subset \operatorname{Gl}(V)$ on $V^{\otimes k}$ verify $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}=k$ and $a_{\lambda} \geq 0$, we have a canonical $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$-isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\otimes k}=\bigoplus_{\substack{a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}=k \\ a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{r} \geq 0}} \mu(a, k) \Gamma^{a} V \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(a, k)>0$ is the multiplicity of the isotypical factor $\Gamma^{a} V$ in $V^{\otimes k}$.
Bott's formula (cf. also Demazure [6] for a very simple proof) gives in fact the expression of all cohomology groups $H^{q}\left(M(V), Q^{a}\right)$. A weight $\underline{a}$ is called regular if all the elements of $a$ are distinct, and singular otherwise. We will denote by $a^{\geq}$the reordered non-increasing sequence associated to $a$, by $l(a)$ the number of strict inversions of the order, and we will set

$$
\widehat{a}=\left(a-\frac{c}{2}\right)^{\geq}+\frac{c}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r} ;
$$

the sequence $\widehat{a}$ is non-increasing if and only if $a-\frac{c}{2}$ is regular.
Proposition 2.17 (Bott [3]). - One has

$$
H^{q}\left(M(V), Q^{a}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } q \neq l\left(a-\frac{c}{2}\right), \\ \Gamma^{\hat{a}} V & \text { if } q=l\left(a-\frac{c}{2}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

In particular all $H^{q}$ vanish if and only if $a-\frac{c}{2}$ is singular.
We will be particularly interested by those line bundles $Q^{a}$ such that the cohomology groups $H^{p, q}$ vanish for all $q \geq 1, p$ being given. The following proposition is one of the main steps in the proof of our results.

Proposition 2.18. - $\operatorname{Set} N=\operatorname{dim} M(V), N(s)=\operatorname{dim} M_{s}(V)$. Then
(a) $\quad H^{p, q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=0 \quad$ for all $p+q \geq N(s)+1$ as soon as $a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}} \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-1$.
(b) $\quad H^{q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=0 \quad$ for all $q \geq 1$ as soon as $a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}} \geq 1-\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-1$.
(c) In general, $H^{p, q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a-c(s)}\right)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$-modules $\Gamma^{b} V$ with

$$
b_{1} \geq \ldots \geq b_{r} \geq \min \left\{a_{\lambda}\right\}-(N(s)-p)
$$

(d) $\quad H^{p, q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=0$ for all $q \geq 1$ as soon as $a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}} \geq \min \left\{p, N(s)-p+\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right)-1, r+1-\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right)\right\}$.
(e) Under the assumption of (d), there is a $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$-isomorphism

$$
H^{p, 0}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=\bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, p) \Gamma^{a+u} V,
$$

where $\nu_{s}(\underline{u}, p)$ is the multiplicity of the weight $\underline{u}$ in $\Lambda^{p} \mathfrak{U}_{s}$.
Proof. - Under the assumption of (a), $Q_{s}^{a}$ is ample by lemma 2.11 (c). The result follows therefore from the Kodaira-Nakano-Akizuki theorem. Now (b) is a consequence of (a) since $c(s)_{s_{j}}-c(s)_{s_{j+1}}=-\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right)$ and

$$
H^{q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=H^{N(s), q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a-c(s)}\right)
$$

Let us now observe that for every vector bundle $E$ on $M_{s}(V)$ there are isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{q}\left(M_{s}(V), E\right) & \simeq H^{q}\left(M(V), \eta^{\star} E\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
H^{q}\left(M_{s}(V), E\right) & \simeq H^{q+N-N(s)}\left(M(V), Q^{c-c(s)} \otimes \eta^{\star} E\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q^{c-c(s)}$ is the relative canonical bundle along the fibers of the projection $\eta: M(V) \longrightarrow M_{s}(V)$. In fact, the fibers of $\eta$ are products of flag manifolds. For such a fiber $F$, we have $H^{q}\left(F, K_{F}\right)=\left(H^{\operatorname{dim} F-q}(F, \mathcal{O})\right)^{\star}=\mathbb{C}$ when $q=\operatorname{dim} F=N-N(s)$ and $H^{q}\left(F, K_{F}\right)=0$ otherwise (apply (b) with $a=0$ and Künneth's formula). We get thus direct image sheaves

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.R^{q} \eta_{\star}\left(\eta^{\star} E\right)\right) & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } q \neq 0 \\
\mathcal{O}(E) & \text { if } q=0 .\end{cases} \\
R^{q} \eta_{\star}\left(Q^{c-c(s)} \otimes \eta^{\star} E\right) & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } q \neq N-N(s) \\
\mathcal{O}(E) & \text { if } q=N-N(s) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Formulas (2.19) and (2.20) are thus immediate consequences of the Leray spectral sequence. When applied to $E=\Lambda^{p} T^{\star} M_{s}(V) \otimes Q_{s}^{a-c(s)}$, formula (2.19) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{p, q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a-c(s)}\right) & =H^{q}\left(M(V), Q^{a-c(s)} \otimes \eta^{\star} \Lambda^{p} T^{\star} M_{s}(V)\right) \\
& =H^{q}\left(M(V), Q^{a} \otimes \eta^{\star} \Lambda^{N(s)-p} T M_{s}(V)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

using the isomorphism $\Lambda^{p} T^{\star} M_{s}(V) \simeq K_{M_{s}(V)} \otimes \Lambda^{N(s)-p} T M_{s}(V)$. In the same way, (2.20) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{p, q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right) & =H^{q+N-N(s)}\left(M(V), Q^{a+c-c(s)} \otimes \eta^{\star} \Lambda^{p} T^{\star} M_{s}(V)\right) \\
& =H^{q+N-N(s)}\left(M(V), Q^{a+c} \otimes \eta^{\star} \Lambda^{N(s)-p} T M_{s}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bundle $\eta^{\star} T^{\star} M_{s}(V)=\left(\mathfrak{U}_{s}\right)_{V, \text { ad }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\eta^{\star} T M_{s}(V)=\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r} / \mathfrak{R}_{s}\right)_{V, \text { ad }}\right)$ has a filtration with associated graded bundle

$$
\bigoplus Q_{\lambda}^{-1} \otimes Q_{\mu} \quad\left(\text { resp. } \bigoplus Q_{\lambda} \otimes Q_{\mu}^{-1}\right)
$$

where the indices $\lambda, \mu$ are such that $\lambda \leq s_{j}<\mu$ for some $j$. It follows that $\eta^{\star} \Lambda^{p} T^{\star} M_{s}(V)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\eta^{\star} \Lambda^{N(s)-p} T M_{s}(V)\right)$ has a filtration with associated graded bundle

$$
\bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, p) Q^{u} \quad\left(\operatorname{resp} . \bigoplus_{u^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}} \nu_{s}^{\prime}\left(\underline{u}^{\prime}, N(s)-p\right) Q^{u^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where the weights $\underline{u}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \underline{u}^{\prime}\right)$ and multiplicities $\nu_{s}(\underline{u}, p)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\nu_{s}^{\prime}\left(\underline{u}^{\prime}, N(s)-p\right)\right)$ are those of $\Lambda^{p} \mathfrak{U}_{s}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Lambda^{N(s)-p} \mathfrak{g}_{r} / \mathfrak{\Re}_{s}\right)$. We need a lemma.

Lemma 2.21. - The weights $u$ of $\Lambda^{p} \mathfrak{U}_{s}$ verify

$$
u_{\mu}-u_{\lambda} \leq \min \left\{p+1, r+1-(\mu-\lambda), N(s)-p+\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right)\right\}
$$

for $s_{j-1}<\lambda \leq s_{j}<\mu \leq s_{j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$.
Proof. - Let us denote by $\left(\varepsilon_{\lambda}\right)_{1 \leq \lambda \leq r}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{r}$. The weights $\underline{u}$ (resp. $\underline{u}^{\prime}$ ) are the sums of $p(\operatorname{resp} . N(s)-p)$ distinct elements of the set of weights $-\varepsilon_{\lambda}+\varepsilon_{\mu}$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{\lambda}-\varepsilon_{\mu}$ ) where $\lambda \leq s_{j}<\mu$ for some $j$. It follows that $u_{\mu}-u_{\lambda} \leq r+1-(\mu-\lambda)$, with equality for the weight

$$
u=\left(-\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{\mu}\right)+\ldots+\left(-\varepsilon_{\lambda}+\varepsilon_{\mu}\right)+\left(-\varepsilon_{\lambda}+\varepsilon_{\mu+1}\right)+\ldots+\left(-\varepsilon_{\lambda}+\varepsilon_{r}\right) .
$$

It is clear also that $u_{\mu}-u_{\lambda} \leq p+1$ and $u_{\mu}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}^{\prime} \leq N(s)-p$. Since the weights $\underline{u}, \underline{u}^{\prime}$ are related by $u=u^{\prime}+c(s)$, lemma 2.21 follows.

Proof of (c). - By the filtration property (1.4) and the above formulas, we see that $H^{p, q}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a-c(s)}\right)$ is a direct sum of certain irreducible $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$-modules

$$
H^{q}\left(M(V), Q^{a+u^{\prime}}\right)=\Gamma^{b} V \quad, \quad b=\left(a+u^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge}=\left(a-\frac{c}{2}+u^{\prime}\right)^{\geq}+\frac{c}{2}
$$

Clearly $u_{\lambda}^{\prime} \geq-(N(s)-p)$, thus

$$
b_{r} \geq \min \left\{a_{\lambda}\right\}-\max \left\{\frac{c_{\lambda}}{2}\right\}-(N(s)-p)+\frac{c_{r}}{2}=\min \left\{a_{\lambda}\right\}-(N(s)-p)
$$

Proof of (d). - Similarly, (d) is reduced by (1.4) to proving

$$
H^{q+N-N(s)}\left(M(V), Q^{a+u+c-c(s)}\right)=0
$$

for all the above weights $\underline{u}$ and all $q \geq 1$. By proposition 2.17 it is sufficient to get

$$
l\left(a+u+\frac{c}{2}-c(s)\right) \leq N-N(s)
$$

Let us observe that a weight $\underline{h}$ will be such that $l(h) \leq N-N(s)$ as soon as $h_{\lambda}-h_{\mu} \geq 0$ whenever $s_{j-1}<\lambda \leq s_{j}<\mu \leq s_{j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$. For $h=a+u+\frac{c}{2}-c(s)$ this condition yields, thanks to lemma 2.21:
$a_{\lambda}-a_{\mu} \geq \min \left\{p+1, r+1-(\mu-\lambda), N(s)-p+\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right)\right\}+(\mu-\lambda)-\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j}\right)$. Taking $\mu-\lambda$ as large as possible, i.e. $\mu-\lambda=s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}-1$, we get the asserted condition.

Proof of (e). - Because of the vanishing of $H^{1}$ of the graded quotients, we obtain

$$
H^{p, 0}\left(M_{s}(V), Q_{s}^{a}\right)=\bigoplus_{u} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, p) H^{N-N(s)}\left(M(V), Q^{a+u+c-c(s)}\right) .
$$

Applying proposition 2.17, we get $(a+u+c-c(s))^{\wedge}=a+\widetilde{u}$, where $\widetilde{u}$ is the partial reordering of $u$ such that only the coefficients in each interval $\left[s_{j-1}+1, s_{j}\right]$ have been reordered (in non-increasing order). The number of inversions is always $\leq N-N(s)$, with equality if and only if $u$ is non-decreasing in each interval. In that case

$$
H^{N-N(s)}\left(M(V), Q^{a+u+c-c(s)}\right)=\Gamma^{a+\tilde{u}} V
$$

and $=0$ otherwise. Since $\Lambda^{p} \mathfrak{U}_{s}$ is a $B_{s}$-module we have $\nu_{s}(\underline{u}, p)=\nu_{s}(\underline{\widetilde{u}}, p)$. Formula (e) is proved, and we see that non-zero terms correspond to weights $\underline{u}$ which are non-increasing in each interval $\left[s_{j-1}+1, s_{j}\right]$.

Remark 2.22. - If the manifold $M_{s}(V)$ is a Grassmannian, then $m=2$, $s=\left(0, s_{1}, r\right)$. The condition required in proposition 2.21 is therefore always satisfied when $a_{r}-a_{s_{1}} \geq 1$, i.e. when $Q_{s}^{a}$ is ample.

## 3. An isomorphism theorem

Our aim here is to generalize Griffiths and Le Potier's isomorphism theorems ( $[8],[13]$ ) in the case of arbitrary flag bundles, following the simple method of Schneider [14] .

Let $X$ be a $n$-dimensional compact complex manifold and $E \longrightarrow X$ a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r$. For every sequence $0=s_{0}<s_{1}<\ldots<s_{m}=r$, we associate to $E$ its flag bundle $Y=M_{s}(E) \longrightarrow X$. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ is such that $a_{s_{j-1}+1}=\ldots=a_{s_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, we may define a line bundle $Q_{s}^{a} \longrightarrow Y$ just as we did in $\S 2$. Let us set

$$
\Omega_{X}^{p}=\Lambda^{p} T^{\star} X \quad, \quad \Omega_{Y}^{p}=\Lambda^{p} T^{\star} Y
$$

One has an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \pi^{\star} \Omega_{X}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y / X}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{Y / X}^{1}$ is by definition the bundle of relative differential 1-forms along the fibers of the projection $\pi: Y=M_{s}(E) \longrightarrow X$. One may then define a decreasing filtration of $\Omega_{Y}^{t}$ as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{p, t}=F^{p}\left(\Omega_{Y}^{t}\right)=\pi^{\star}\left(\Omega_{X}^{p}\right) \wedge \Omega_{Y}^{t-p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding graded bundle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{p, t}=F^{p, t} / F^{p+1, t}=\pi^{\star}\left(\Omega_{X}^{p}\right) \otimes \Omega_{Y / X}^{t-p} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Over any open subset of $X$ where $E$ is a trivial bundle $X \times V$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V=r$, the exact sequence (3.1) splits as well as the filtration (3.2). Using proposition 2.18 (a), (d), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. - For every weight $\underline{a}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}} \geq 1 \quad \text { if } t=N(s) \quad \text { and otherwise }  \tag{3.5}\\
a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}} \geq \min \left\{t, N(s)-t+\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j}\right)-1, r+1-\left(s_{j+1}-s_{j-1}\right)\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

the sheaf of sections of $\Omega_{Y / X}^{t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a}$ has direct images

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
R^{q} \pi_{\star}\left(\Omega_{Y / X}^{t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a}\right) & =0 \quad \text { for } \quad q \geq 1  \tag{3.6}\\
\pi_{\star}\left(\Omega_{Y / X}^{t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a}\right) & =\bigoplus_{u} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, t) \Gamma^{a+u} E .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We have in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\star}\left(Q_{s}^{a}\right)=\Gamma^{a} E \quad, \quad \pi_{\star}\left(\Omega_{Y / X}^{N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a}\right)=\Gamma^{a+c(s)} E \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be an arbitrary line bundle on $X$. Under assumption (3.5), formulas (3.3) and (3.6) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{q} \pi_{\star}\left(G^{p, p+t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) & =0 \quad \text { for } \quad q \geq 1, \\
\pi_{\star}\left(G^{p, p+t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) & =\bigoplus_{u} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, t) \Omega_{X}^{p} \otimes \Gamma^{a+u} E \otimes L .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Leray spectral sequence implies therefore :
Theorem 3.8. - Under assumption (3.5), one has for all $q \geq 0$ :

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{u} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, t) H^{p, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a+u} E \otimes L\right)
$$

The special case $t=N(s)$ gives :
Corollary 3.9. - If $a_{s_{j}}-a_{s_{j+1}} \geq 1$, then for all $q \geq 0$

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \simeq H^{p, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a+c(s)} E \otimes L\right)
$$

When $p=n, G^{n, n+N(s)}$ is the only non-vanishing quotient in the filtration of the canonical line bundle $\Omega_{Y}^{n+N(s)}$. We thus obtain the following generalization of Griffiths' isomorphism theorem [8] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n+N(s), q}\left(M_{s}(E), Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \simeq H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a+c(s)} E \otimes L\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Vanishing theorems.

In order to carry over results for line bundles to vector bundles, one needs the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1. - Assume that $a_{s_{1}}>a_{s_{2}}>\ldots>a_{s_{m}} \geq 0$. Then
(a) E semi-ample $\Longrightarrow \quad Q_{s}^{a}$ semi-ample;
(b) $E$ ample $\Longrightarrow \quad Q_{s}^{a}$ ample;
(c) $\quad E$ semi-ample and $L$ ample $\Longrightarrow \quad Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L$ ample.

Proof. -
(a) If $E$ is semi-ample, then by definition (1.1) $S^{k} E$ is spanned for $k \geq k_{0}$ large enough. Hence $\Gamma^{k a} E$, which is a direct summand in $S^{k a_{1}} E \otimes \ldots \otimes S^{k a_{r}} E$, is also spanned for $k \geq k_{0}$. Since the fibers of $\pi_{\star}\left(Q_{s}^{k a}\right)=\Gamma^{k a} E$ generate $Q_{s}^{k a}$, we conclude that $Q_{s}^{k a}$ is spanned for $k \geq k_{0}$.
(b) Similar proof, replacing "semi-ample" by "ample" and "spanned" by "very ample". One needs moreover the fact that $Q_{s}^{k a}$ is very ample along the fibers of $\pi$ (lemma 2.11).
(c) If $L^{k}$ is very ample for $k \geq k_{1}$, then $Q_{s}^{k a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L^{k}$ is very ample for $k \geq \max \left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right)$, because $Q_{s}^{k a}$ is spanned on $Y$ and very ample along the fibers, whereas $H^{0}\left(Y, \pi^{\star} L^{k}\right)=H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$ separates points of $Y$ which lie in distinct fibers.

We are now ready to attack the proof of the main theorems.
Proof of theorem 0.2. - Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ be such that

$$
a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \ldots \geq a_{h}>a_{h+1}=\ldots=a_{r}=0 .
$$

Define $s_{1}<s_{2}<\ldots<s_{m-1}$ as the sequence of indices $\lambda=1, \ldots, r-1$ such that $a_{\lambda}>a_{\lambda+1}$ and set $a^{\prime}=a+(h, \ldots, h)-c(s)$. The canonical weight $c(s)$ is non-decreasing and $c(s)_{r}=s_{m-1}=h, s_{m}=r$, hence

$$
a_{s_{1}}^{\prime}>a_{s_{2}}^{\prime}>\ldots>a_{s_{m}}^{\prime}=h-c(s)_{r}=0
$$

so $Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L$ is ample by lemma 4.1 and $\Gamma^{a^{\prime}+c(s)} E=\Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h}$. Formula (3.10) yields

$$
H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h} \otimes L\right) \simeq H^{n+N(s), q}\left(M_{s}(E), Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} M_{s}(E)=n+N(s)$, the group in the right hand side is zero for $q \geq 1$ by the Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem (1.2) .

Proof of theorem 0.3. - The proof proceeds by backward induction on $p$. The case $p=n$ is already settled by theorem 0.2 . The decomposition formula (2.16) shows that the result is equivalent to

$$
H^{p, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p+q \geq n+1, l \geq n-p+r-1
$$

and $a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{r}=0$ not all zero. Define $s$ as above and $a^{\prime}=a+(l, \ldots, l)-c(s)$. Then $Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}}$ is ample since $a_{r}=l-h \geq 0$, and corollary 3.9 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right) \simeq H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it is clear that $F^{p, p+N(s)}=\Omega_{Y}^{p+N(s)}$. We get thus an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{p+1, p+N(s)} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{p+N(s)} \longrightarrow G^{p, p+N(s)} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem (1.2) applied to $Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L$ with $\operatorname{dim} Y=n+N(s)$ yields

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p+q \geq n+1 .
$$

The cohomology groups in (4.2) will therefore vanish if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{q+1}\left(Y, F^{p+1, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)=0 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us observe that $F^{p+1, p+N(s)}$ has a filtration with associated graded bundle $\bigoplus_{t \geq 1} G^{p+t, p+N(s)}$. In order to verify (4.3), it is thus enough to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{q+1}\left(Y, G^{p+t, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)=0 \quad, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, the Leray spectral sequence will be used in an essential way. Since $G^{p+t, p+N(s)}=\pi^{\star}\left(\Omega_{X}^{p+t}\right) \otimes \Omega_{Y / X}^{N(s)-t}$, we get
$R^{k} \pi_{\star}\left(G^{p+t, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)=\Omega_{X}^{p+t} \otimes L \otimes R^{k} \pi_{\star}\left(\Omega_{Y / X}^{N(s)-t} \otimes Q^{a+(l, \ldots, l)-c(s)}\right)$.
Proposition 2.18 (a) and (c) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{k} \pi_{\star}\left(\Omega_{Y / X}^{N(s)-t} \otimes Q^{a+(l, \ldots, l)-c(s)}\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } k \geq t+1, \text { otherwise } \\
\bigoplus_{b} \Gamma^{b} E, b_{r} \geq l-t,
\end{array}\right. \\
R^{k} \pi_{\star}\left(G^{p+t, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } k \geq t+1, \text { otherwise } \\
\bigoplus_{b, m} \Omega_{X}^{p+t} \otimes \Gamma^{b} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{m} \otimes L
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last sum runs over weights $\underline{b}$ such that $b_{r}=0$ and integers $m$ such that $m \geq l-t \geq n-(p+t)+r-1$. Therefore $H^{q+1}\left(Y, G^{p+t, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a^{\prime}} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)$ has a filtration whose graded module is the limit term $\bigoplus_{j} E_{\infty}^{j, q+1-j}$ of a spectral sequence such that

$$
E_{2}^{j, k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } k \geq t+1, \text { otherwise } \\
\bigoplus_{b, m} H^{p+t, j}\left(X, \Gamma^{b} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{m} \otimes L\right) \quad, \quad m \geq n-(p+t)+r-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have thus $E_{2}^{j, q+1-j}=0$ for $j \leq q-t$ by the first case, and also for $j \geq q-t$ by the second case and the induction hypothesis. Hence $E_{\infty}^{j, q+1-j}=0$ for all $j$, and (4.4) is proved.

Proof of theorem 0.6. - Let us take here $a=(2,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $s=(0,1, r)$, so that $Y=M_{s}(E)=P\left(E^{\star}\right)$. Since $\Gamma^{a} E=S^{2} E$ and $\Gamma^{(1,1,0, \ldots, 0)} E=\Lambda^{2} E$, theorem 3.8 yields

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p+1, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) & \simeq H^{p+1, q}\left(X, S^{2} E\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) & \simeq H^{p, q}\left(X, \Lambda^{2} E\right) \\
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{k, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) & =0 \quad \text { for } \quad k<p
\end{align*}\right.
$$

because $u=-\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}$ is the only weight of $\Lambda^{1} \mathfrak{U}_{s}$ such that $a+u$ is nonincreasing, and because no such weights exist for higher exterior powers $\Lambda^{j} \mathfrak{U}_{s}$. Now, $\Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p, p+1}$ has a filtration with graded quotients $G^{k, p+1}, k<p$, therefore

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } q .
$$

Considering the exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow G^{p, p+1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p+1, p+1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p, p+1} \longrightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \longrightarrow G^{p+1, p+1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p+1, p+1} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we get an isomorphism

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \simeq H^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p+1, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)
$$

and a canonical coboundary morphism

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{p+1} / F^{p+1, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(Y, G^{p+1, p+1} \otimes Q_{s, 1}^{2} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)
$$

which by Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano is onto for $p+1+q+1 \geq n+N(s)+1$, i.e. $p+q \geq n+r-2$, and bijective for $p+q \geq n+r-1$. Combining this with the first two isomorphisms (4.5) achieves the proof of theorem 0.6.

As promised in the introduction, we show now that the condition $l \geq h$ in theorem 0.2 is best possible.

Example 4.6. - Let $X=G_{r}(V)$ be the Grassmannian of subspaces of codimension $r$ of a vector space $V, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V=d$, and $E$ the tautological quotient vector bundle of rank $r$ over $X$. Then $E$ is spanned (hence semi-ample) and $L=\operatorname{det} E$ is very ample. According to the notations of $\S 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =M_{s}(V), \quad s=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=(0, r, d) \\
E & =V / V_{r}, \operatorname{det} E=Q_{s, 1}, \operatorname{det} V_{r}=Q_{s, 2} \\
K_{X} & =Q_{s, 1}^{r-d} \otimes Q_{s, 2}^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, for any sequence $a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{r} \geq 0$, we have

$$
\Gamma^{a} E=\bar{\Gamma}^{a}\left(V / V_{r}\right)=\eta_{\star} Q^{(a, 0)}
$$

where $\eta: M(V) \longrightarrow M_{s}(V)$ is the projection. If $n=\operatorname{dim} X=r(d-r)$, this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h}\right) & =H^{q}\left(X, Q_{s, 1}^{h+r-d} \otimes Q_{s, 2}^{r} \otimes \eta_{\star} Q^{(a, 0)}\right) \\
& =H^{q}\left(X, \eta_{\star} Q^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(a_{1}+h+r-d, \ldots, a_{r}+h+r-d, r, \ldots, r\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. The fiber of $\eta$ is $M\left(V / V_{r}\right) \times M\left(V_{r}\right)$, hence $R^{q} \eta_{\star} Q^{\alpha}=0$ for $q \geq 1$ by Künneth's formula and proposition 2.18 (b). We obtain therefore

$$
H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h}\right)=H^{q}\left(M(V), Q^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Assume now that $a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{h} \geq d-r$ and $a_{h}=\ldots=a_{r}=0$. Define $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Then

$$
\alpha-\frac{c}{2}=\frac{1-d}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}+\left(a_{1}+r+h-1, \ldots, a_{h}+r ; r-1, \ldots, h ; d-1, \ldots, r\right)
$$

where dots indicate a decreasing sequence of consecutive integers. There are exactly $(r-h)(d-r)$ inversions of the ordering, corresponding to the inversion of the last two blocks between semicolons. Then one finds easily

$$
\left(\alpha-\frac{c}{2}\right)^{\geq}+\frac{c}{2}=\left(a_{1}+h+r-d, \ldots, a_{h}+h+r-d ; h, \ldots, h\right)=\beta+h \mathbf{1}
$$

where $\beta=\left(a_{1}+r-d, \ldots, a_{r}+r-d ; 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Proposition 2.17 yields therefore

$$
H^{n, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{h}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } q \neq(r-h)(d-r) \\ \Gamma^{\beta} V \otimes(\operatorname{det} V)^{h} & \text { if } q=(r-h)(d-r)\end{cases}
$$

## 5. On the Borel-Le Potier spectral sequence.

Denote as before $\pi: Y=M_{s}(E) \longrightarrow X$ the projection. To every integer $t$ and every coherent analytic sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ on $Y$, one may associate the filtration of $\Omega_{Y}^{t} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ by its subsheaves

$$
F^{p, t} \otimes \mathcal{S} \subset \Omega_{Y}^{t} \otimes \mathcal{S}
$$

the corresponding graded sheaf being of course $\bigoplus_{p} G^{p, t} \otimes \mathcal{S}$. This gives rise to a spectral sequence which we shall name after Borel and Le Potier, whose $E_{1}$ term is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}^{p, q-p}=H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, t} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The limit term $E_{\infty}^{p, q-p}$ is the $p$-graded module corresponding to the filtration of $H^{q}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}\right)=H^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{t} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right)$ by the canonical images of the groups $H^{q}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}^{\bullet}\right)$. Assume that the spectral sequence degenerates in $E_{2}$, i.e. $d_{r}: E_{r}^{p, q-p} \rightarrow E_{r}^{p+r, q+1-(p+r)}$ is zero for all $r \geq 2$ (by Peternell, Le Potier and Schneider [12], the spectral sequence does not degenerate in general in $E_{1}$ ). Then $E_{2}^{p, q-p}=E_{\infty}^{p, q-p}$. This equality means that the $q$-th cohomology group of the $E_{1}$-complex

$$
d_{1}: H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, t} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(Y, G^{p+1, t} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right)
$$

is the $p$-graded module corresponding to a filtration of $H^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{t} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right)$. By Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano, we get therefore :

Proposition 5.1. - Assume that $E$ is ample and $L \geq 0$, or $E \geq 0$ and $L$ ample, and that the $E_{2}$-degeneracy occurs for the ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{S}=Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L$ on $Y$. Then the complex

$$
d_{1}: H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(Y, G^{p+1, t} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)
$$

is exact in degree $q \geq n+N(s)+1-t$.
Our hope is that the $E_{2}$-degeneracy can be proved in all cases by means of harmonic forms and Hodge theory, but we have been unable to do so. Since $G^{p, t}=0$ for $t>p+N(s)$, proposition 5.1 yields for all $p+q \geq n+1$ an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(Y, G^{p+1, p+N(s)} \otimes Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)
$$

Replacing $a$ by $a^{\prime}=a+(l, \ldots, l)-c(s)$ as in the proof of theorem 0.3 , and using formula (3.10) and theorem 3.8, one gets an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \longrightarrow H^{p, q} & \left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{u} \nu_{s}(\underline{u}, N(s)-1) H^{p+1, q+1}\left(X, \Gamma^{a-c(s)+u} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because assumption (3.5) is satisfied for the weight $\underline{b}$ and for $t=N(s)-1$. But the above direct sum can be rewritten

$$
\bigoplus_{u^{\prime}} \nu_{s}^{\prime}\left(\underline{u}^{\prime}, 1\right) H^{p+1, q+1}\left(X, \Gamma^{a+u^{\prime}} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right)
$$

in terms of the weights $u^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{\lambda}-\varepsilon_{\mu}, \lambda \leq s_{j}<\mu$, of $\Lambda^{1} \mathfrak{g l}_{r} / \mathfrak{\Re}_{s}$. A backward induction on $\max \{p, q\}$ yields then immediately :

Corollary 5.2. - If the $E_{2}$-degeneracy occurs for all ample line bundles $Q_{s}^{a} \otimes \pi^{\star} L, a_{1} \geq \ldots \geq a_{r}=0$, on all flag manifolds of $E$, then

$$
H^{p, q}\left(X, \Gamma^{a} E \otimes(\operatorname{det} E)^{l} \otimes L\right)=0
$$

for $p+q \geq n+1$ and $l \geq r-1+\min \{n-p, n-q\}$.
Another interesting consequence of proposition 5.1 in the case $Y=P\left(E^{\star}\right)$ would be the following generalization of theorem 0.6.

Corollary 5.3. - Set $Z^{t, k} E=\Gamma^{(k-t, 1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)} E \subset S^{k-t} E \otimes \Lambda^{t} E$ if $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ and $Z^{t, k} E=0$ otherwise. Then there is a canonical complex

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow H^{p, q}\left(X, Z^{t, k} E \otimes L\right) \longrightarrow H^{p+1, q+1}\left(X, Z^{t-1, k} E \otimes L\right) \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

Under the hypotheses of proposition 5.1 for $\mathcal{S}=Q_{s}^{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)} \otimes \pi^{\star} L$, this complex is exact in each degree $q$ such that $p+q+t \geq n+r$.

Proof. - The only possible weight $\underline{u}$ of $\Lambda^{t} \mathfrak{U}_{s}$ such that $(k, 0, \ldots, 0)+u$ be non-increasing is $u=(-t, 1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Theorem 3.8 yields therefore

$$
H^{q}\left(Y, G^{p, p+t} \otimes Q_{s}^{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)} \otimes \pi^{\star} L\right)=H^{p, q}\left(X, Z^{t, k} E \otimes L\right)
$$

Note that the special case $k=1, t=0$ is Le Potier's theorem, and that the special case $k=2, t=1$ is theorem 0.6. These two cases do not depend on any degeneracy assumption.
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