## VARIATION NORM CONVERGENCE OF FUNCTION SEQUENCES<sup>1</sup>

## RANDOLPH CONSTANTINE, JR.

ABSTRACT. We prove that a pointwise convergent sequence of convex functions with a continuous limit converges with respect to the total variation norm. This yields a theorem on convexity-preserving operators which has as a corollary the result that a complex function f is absolutely continuous on [0, 1] if and only if the sequence  $B_{\bullet}(f)$  of Bernstein polynomials of f converges to f with respect to the total variation norm.

In this paper a theorem which is analogous to Dini's theorem is proved;

**Theorem 1.** If f, is a pointwise convergent sequence of real-valued functions, each of which is convex on [a, b] and the limit function F is continuous on [a, b], then the sequence f, converges to F with respect to the total variation norm on [a, b].

This is then used to prove

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $T_{\cdot}$  is a sequence of linear operators from AC[a, b]into AC[a, b] such that for each  $f \in AC[a, b]$ , (1)  $T_{\cdot}(f)$  converges pointwise to f on [a, b]; (2) if f is convex on [a, b] and n is a nonnegative integer,  $T_n(f)$  is convex on [a, b]; and (3) there is a number  $M \ge 0$  such that for each nonnegative integer n,  $\int_a^b |d(T_n(f))| \le M \int_a^b |df|$ . Then, for each  $f \in AC[a, b]$ , the function sequence  $T_{\cdot}(f)$  converges to f with respect to the total variation norm.

Corollary. A complex-valued function f is absolutely continuous on

Presented to the Society, January 25, 1973; received by the editors March 10, 1973 and, in revised form, October 22, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A30, 41A25; Secondary 26A51.

Key words and phrases. Total variation norm, convex function, absolutely continuous function, Bernstein polynomial.

<sup>1</sup> The results of this paper constitute a portion of the author's dissertation which was written under the direction of J. S. Mac Nerney at the University of Houston.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

[0, 1] if and only if the sequence B f of Bernstein polynomials of f converges to f with respect to the total variation norm.

An example is given to show that Theorem 1 does not extend to differences of convex functions.

**Definitions and notation.** A real-valued function f on [a, b] is said to be convex on [a, b] (or simply, convex) provided that for each  $[u, v] \subseteq [a, b]$  and each number t, 0 < t < 1,

$$f((1-t)u + tv) > (1-t)f(u) + tf(v).$$

*I* denotes the identity function on the complex plane, and we employ the convention that  $I^0$  is the constant function 1 so that for each nonnegative integer *n* and each complex number *x*,  $I^n(x) = x^n$ . Hence if *f* is a complex function on [0, 1], the Bernstein polynomial sequence of *f* is defined by

$$B_0 f = f(0)$$
 and  $B_n f = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} f(\frac{p}{n}) l^p (1-l)^{n-p}$ 

for *n* a positive integer. For a complex function *f* from a subset of the real numbers, f(x-) and f(x+) respectively denote the left and right hand limits of *f* at *x* in case the limit exists; if *S* is a subset of the domain of *f* and f(S) is a bounded set,  $|f|_S = \sup\{|f(x)|: x \text{ in } S\}$ ; if *f* is of bounded variation on [a, b],  $\int_a^b |df|$  denotes the total variation of *f* on [a, b]. The notation ]a, b[ denotes the open interval  $\{x: a < x < b\}$  and (a, b) is reserved for an ordered pair.

1. Convex functions. We note without proof the following properties of convex functions:

If f is a convex function on [a, b], then

(1) f is continuous on ]a, b[;

(2) each of f(a+) and f(b-) exists and  $f(a) \le f(a+)$  and  $f(b-) \ge f(b)$ ;

(3) if, in addition, f is nonconstant on ]a, b[, then only one of the following statements is true:

(a) f is nondecreasing on [a, b[,

(b) f is nonincreasing on ]a, b],

(c) there is a number  $x_0$  in ]a, b[ such that f is nondecreasing on  $[a, x_0], f$  is nonincreasing on  $[x_0, b]$  and f is nonconstant on  $]a, x_0[$  and on  $]x_0, b[$ ;

(4) if in addition f is continuous at a and at b, then f is absolutely continuous on [a, b];

(5) a continuous polygonal function is a difference of continuous convex polygonal functions.

**Theorem 0.** If  $f_{\cdot}$  is a pointwise convergent sequence of convex functions on [a, b] and F denotes the limit function, then

(1) F is convex on [a, b] and

(2) if F is continuous on [a, b], then f. converges uniformly on [a, b].

**Proof.** Part (1) follows from the facts that a pointwise convergent function sequence converges uniformly on a finite set, and, hence, for each  $[u, v] \in [a, b]$  and t, 0 < t < 1,  $F((1 - t)u + tv) \ge (1 - t)F(u) + tF(v)$  must be true since  $f_n((1 - t)u + tv) \ge (1 - t)f_n(u) + tf_n(v)$  for each n.

**Proof** of (2). There is an  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  such that F is monotone on each of  $[a, x_0]$  and  $[x_0, b]$ . Hence it is enough to prove the theorem under the added assumption that F is nondecreasing. Suppose c > 0. There is an increasing sequence  $\{t_i\}_0^k$  with  $t_0 = a$  and  $t_k = b$  such that  $F(t_i) - F(t_{i-1}) < c, i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Let  $s_i = (t_{i-1} + t_i)/2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $t_{i-1} \le x \le s_i$  then, since  $f_n$  is convex,

$$(f_n(s_i) - f_n(x))/(s_i - x) \ge (f_n(t_i) - f_n(s_i))/(t_i - s_i).$$

Since  $(s_i - x)/(t_i - s_i) \le (s_i - t_{i-1})/(t_i - s_i) = 1$ , this implies

$$f_n(x) \leq f_n(s_i) + |f_n(t_i) - f_n(s_i)|;$$

and hence

(A) 
$$\sup_{\substack{t_{i-1} \le x \le s_i}} \{f_n(x) - F(x)\} \le f_n(s_i) - F(t_{i-1}) + |f_n(t_i) - f_n(s_i)|.$$

Similarly, if  $s_i < x \leq t_j$  then

$$(f_n(x) - f_n(s_i))/(x - s_i) \le (f_n(s_i) - f_n(t_{i-1}))/(s_i - t_{i-1}),$$

whence

(B) 
$$\sup_{\substack{s_i \leq x \leq t_i}} \{f_n(x) - F(x)\} \leq f_n(s_i) - F(x_i) + |f_n(s_i) - f_n(t_{i-1})|.$$

Also, if 
$$t_{i-1} \le x \le t_i$$
, then  $f_n(x) \ge \min\{f_n(t_{i-1}), f_n(t_i)\}$ , and  
(C) 
$$\sup_{\substack{t_{i-1} \le x \le t_i}} \{F(x) - f_n(x)\} \le F(t_i) - \min\{f_n(t_{i-1}), f_n(t_i)\}.$$

As  $n \to \infty$ , the right-hand side of each of (A), (B) and (C) has a limit less than c, and the theorem is proved.

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

Lemma 1.1. If f is convex on [a, b], e > 0, g is convex on [a, b] such that

$$|f-g|_{[a,b]} \leq e \quad and \quad P = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(1-a)+f(a),$$

then

(1) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} |d(f-P)| = 2|f-P|_{[a,b]}$$

and

(2) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} |d(g-P)| \leq \int_{a}^{b} |d(f-P)| + 4e.$$

**Proof.** (1) follows immediately from the unproved assertion (3) about convex functions. To prove (2) we note that g - P is convex and apply the same assertion (3) in the three separate cases. Let us consider only the case where there exists a number  $x_0$  in ]a, b[ such that g - P is nondecreasing on  $[a, x_0]$ , nonincreasing on  $[x_0, b]$  and nonconstant on each of ]a,  $x_0[$  and  $]x_0$ , b[. Thus

$$\int_{a}^{b} |d(g - P)| = 2(g - P)(x_{0}) - (g - P)(a) - (g - P)(b)$$

$$= 2g(x_{0}) - 2P(x_{0}) - g(a) + f(a) - g(b) + f(b)$$

$$\leq 2\{f(x_{0}) + e\} - 2P(x_{0}) + e + e$$

$$= 2\{f(x_{0}) - P(x_{0})\} + 4e \leq 2|f - P|[a, b] + 4e$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} |d(f - P)| + 4e.$$

We omit proof of the other two cases.

Lemma 1.2, which follows, was proved independently by the author for convex functions only. It follows immediately from a result of J. R. Edwards and S. G. Wayment [1, p. 254] on absolutely continuous functions and the fact that a continuous convex function is absolutely continuous.

**Lemma 1.2.** If F is a continuous convex function on [a, b] and c > 0, then there is an increasing sequence  $\{t_p\}_0^n$  with  $t_0 = a$  and  $t_n = b$  such that if P is the function on [a, b] defined by

$$P(x) = \frac{F(t_{p+1}) - F(t_p)}{t_{p+1} - t_p} (x - t_p) + F(t_p) \quad \text{for } x \text{ in}^{-}[t_p, t_{p+1}],$$
  
$$d(F - P)| < c.$$

then  $\int_a^b |d(F-P)| < c$ .

**Theorem 1.** If f. is a pointwise convergent sequence of functions on License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use [a, b] each of which is convex on [a, b] and the limit function F is continuous on [a, b], then the sequence f. converges to F in the total variation norm on [a, b].

**Proof.** Suppose the hypothesis and let c > 0. There is an increasing sequence  $\{t_p\}_0^n$  with  $t_0 = a$  and  $t_n = b$  such that if P is the function as defined in Lemma 1.2 for F, then  $\int_a^b |d(F - P)| < c/4$ . Let e = c/(8n). There is a positive integer N such that if q is an integer, q > N, then  $|f_q - F|_{[a,b]} < e$  by Theorem 0. For each integer q > N

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a}^{b} |d(f_{q} - F)| &\leq \int_{a}^{b} |d(f_{q} - P)| + \int_{a}^{b} |d(P - F)| \\ &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{p}+1} |d(f_{q} - P)| + \frac{c}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

But Lemma 1.1 and the fact that  $|f_q - F|_{[t_p, t_{p+1}]} \le |f_q - F|_{[a,b]} < e$  imply that for each integer  $p, 0 \le p \le n-1$ ,

$$\int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} |d(f_q - P)| \leq \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} |d(F - f_q)| + 4e.$$

Whence we see that

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} |d(f_q - P)| \le \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} |d(F - P)| + 4e \right\}$$
$$= \int_a^b |d(F - P)| + 4ne = \int_a^b |d(f - P)| + \frac{c}{2} < \frac{3c}{4}.$$

Thus  $\int_{a}^{b} |d(f_q - F)| < c$  for each integer q > N.

Corollary. If F is a continuous convex function on [0, 1], then the sequence B.F of Bernstein polynomials of F converges to F with respect to the total variation norm on [0, 1].

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of the well-known facts that since F is continuous,  $B_{\cdot}F$  converges uniformly to F and that for each non-negative integer, n,  $B_{n}F$  is convex on [0, 1]; cf. Lorentz [3, p. 5 and p. 23 resp.].

**Remark.** Theorem 1 does not extend to sequences of differences of convex functions, as may be seen from the following example: let  $f_{\cdot}$  be a sequence of functions on [0, 1] such that for each positive integer n, and nonnegative integer  $p < 2^n$ 

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} x - p/2^n & \text{if } x \in [p/2^n, (p+1)/2^n[ \text{ and } p \text{ is even,} \\ 1/2^n - (x - p/2^n) & \text{if } x \in [p/2^n, (p+1)/2^n] \text{ and } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Each function  $f_n$  is a continuous polygonal function with  $\int_a^b |df_n| = 1$ , and the sequence  $f_{\cdot}$  converges uniformly to the constant function 0.

2. Absolutely continuous functions. A function f is said to be absolutely continuous on [a, b] provided that for each c > 0 there is a positive number d such that if  $\{[u_p, v_p]\}_0^n$  is a sequence of nonoverlapping subintervals of [a, b] with  $\sum_{p=0}^n (v_p - u_p) < d$ , then  $\sum_{p=0}^n |f(v_p) - f(u_p)| < c$ . It is well known [1] that the class AC[a, b] of all absolutely continuous real-valued functions on [a, b] is complete with respect to the total variation norm and that the polygonal functions form a dense subset thereof.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $T_i$  is a sequence of linear operators from AC[a, b] into AC[a, b] such that for each f in AC[a, b], (1)  $T_i(f)$  converges pointwise to f on [a, b]; (2) if f is convex on [a, b] and n is a nonnegative integer,  $T_n(f)$  is convex on [a, b]; and (3) there is a number  $M \ge 0$  such that for each nonnegative integer n,  $\int_a^b |d(T_n(f))| \le M \int_a^b |df|$ . Then, for each  $f \in AC[a, b]$ , the function sequence  $T_i(f)$  converges to f with respect to the total variation norm.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of all real-valued functions f on [a, b] such that  $T_{\cdot}f$  converges to f with respect to the total variation norm.  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed with respect to the total variation norm, for if F is the limit with respect to the total variation norm of a sequence  $f_{\cdot}$  with values in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a}^{b} |d(F - T_{n}F)| &\leq \int_{a}^{b} |d(F - f_{k})| + \int_{a}^{b} |d(f_{k} - T_{n}(f_{k}))| \\ &+ \int_{a}^{b} |d(T_{n}(f_{k}) - T_{n}(F))|. \end{aligned}$$

But from part (3) of the hypothesis we have that

$$\int_{a}^{b} |d(T_{n}(f_{k}) - T_{n}(F))| = \int_{a}^{b} |d(T_{n}(f_{k} - F))| \leq M \int_{a}^{b} |d(f_{k} - F)|.$$

Thus

$$\int_{a}^{b} |d(F - T_{n}(F))| \leq (M + 1) \int_{a}^{b} |d(F - f_{k})| + \int_{a}^{b} |d(f_{k} - T_{n}(f_{k}))|,$$

from which it is clear that  ${\mathscr B}$  is closed with respect to the total variation norm.

If P is a polygonal function on [a, b] then P is a difference of continuous convex functions, say P = b - k. But for each nonnegative integer n,

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

344

 $T_n P = T_n(b-k) = T_n(b) - T_n(k)$ ; whence by Theorem 1 and parts (1) and (2) of the hypothesis, P must belong to B. Thus  $\mathcal{B} = AC[a, b]$  since B contains all polygonal functions and is closed with respect to the total variation norm.

**Corollary.** A complex-valued function f is absolutely continuous on [0, 1] if and only if the sequence B f of Bernstein polynomials of f converges to f with respect to the total variation norm.

**Proof.** Let us note that if f is a complex-valued absolutely continuous function on [0, 1], then each of Re f and Im f is absolutely continuous; and if n is a nonengative integer,  $B_n f = B_n$  Re  $f + iB_n$  Im f. Thus it is sufficient to suppose f to be real valued, and we do so. Since any polynomial is absolutely continuous on [0, 1], then any function f on [0, 1] such that  $B_n f$  converges to f with respect to the total variation norm must perforce be absolutely continuous. Theorem 2 yields the converse.

**Comment.** The corollary to Theorem 2 has been obtained independently by G. G. Johnson, who used methods different from ours. While the results herein give no estimate on the size of  $\int_0^1 |d(F - B_n(F))|$ , they offer an extension of a result of W. Hoeffding [2, p. 349] that: If f is a continuous convex function such that  $\int_0^1 I^{1/2}(1 - I)^{1/2} d(f')$  exists, then  $B_{n}f$  converges with respect to the total variation norm. Some applications of these results to moment problems will appear in a subsequent paper.

Acknowledgment. I thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions; especially for indicating a way to significantly shorten the proof of Theorem 0, and for suggesting that the proof of my original Theorem 2 might indeed be a proof of a stronger theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. J. R. Edwards and S. G. Wayment, Representations for transformations continuous in the BV norm, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 251-265. MR 43 #466.

2. Wassily Hoeffding, The  $L_1$  norm of the approximation error for Bernstein-type polynomials, J. Approximation Theory 4 (1971), 347-356. MR 44 #5664.

3. G. G. Lorentz, Bernstein polynomials, Mathematical Expositions, no. 8, Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953. MR 15, 217.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Erskine College, Due West, South Carolina 29639