

# Various Factors Affecting Labour Productivity, Work Hour Loss to Improve Effective Material Management- Case Study for Burnt Brick Masonry and Plastering

Sunil V. Desale<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Sharad V. Deodhar<sup>2</sup>

Department of Civil Engineering, S. S. V. P. S's B. S. Deore College of Engineering, Dhule, Maharashtra State, Pin 424 005, India<sup>1</sup>

Department of Civil Engineering, S. V. Institute of Technology, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract:** Poor productivity of construction workers is one of the causes of cost and time overruns in construction projects. The productivity of labour is particularly important especially in developing countries, where most of the building construction work is still on manual basis. Recourse inputs at the project site include men, material, machinery and money. These inputs produce outputs in the form of work. The success of any project depends upon the performance availability of these resources. This paper elaborates the methodology used for controlling labour productivity which can be improved by cutting down un productivity time of the labour. The control process involves accounting of actual productivity of labors, comparing and analyzing the causes for finding the remedial measures to improve productivity. A case study approach is used to compare the brick masonry, plastering work, constructed at two similar, medium sized commercial construction projects located in at Walwadi area of Dhule city. The objectives of this case study are to qualify the potential benefits. For a concern site, Material related problems are identified and linked to the material management practices. A Study for brick masonry, plastering is taken. The numbers of work - hours lost, time loss and work-Hour overturn as well percentages of ineffective days were calculated. This study provides guidelines for necessary steps required to improve construction labour productivity. The most significant factors affecting labour productivity for small, medium and large companies are identified.

**Keywords:** - Work - hours loss, Labour productivity, Time loss, Ineffective days

## Abbreviations

B. B.	Burn Brick	D wh	Daily working hour
Masonry	Masonry		
Cum. D prod.	Cumulative Daily productivity	LP	Labor Productivity
Cum.D wh	Cumulative Day Work Hour	Nom	Number of masons
Dprod.	Daily productivity	TQ	Total quality work
DQty.	Daily quantity of work done	Wd. No.	Work Day Number

## I. INTRODUCTION

Construction is the world's largest and most challenging industry. Human resource today has a strategic role for production increase of any organization, and this makes it superior in industrial competition. With the effective and optimum uses of it, all the advantages supplied by the productivity growth are obtained. Construction plays a nation's total employment and its significant contribution to nation's revenue as a whole. However it facing number of problems regarding the productivity, poor safety, and insufficient quality. Productivity commonly is the ratio of out put to in put, but it convey different meaning to different people as productivity and production capability. Much link productivity to mean workers out put capability; they express productivity as work quality production per man-hours of input. In the narrow sense of controlling project resources, the productivity concept is used to measure the performance of the resource

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

The actual quantity of units produced by a team of people compared to the standard amount of time needed to produce those units is generally accepted as the measurement of a factory's productivity. While productivity improvement itself is not typically a stated goal of the Lean manufacturer, the methodologies of Lean manufacturing inherently cause process improvement to occur. Formal strategies, like kaizen, focus on the incremental reductions of wait time, queue time, and other non value-adding activities. By eliminating wasteful time elements embedded in manufacturing processes, manufacturing operators are able to spend more of the working day producing products. Productivity improvement is an ancillary benefit of Lean manufacturing.

## II. VARIOUS FACTORS AFFECTING LABOUR PRODUCTIVITY

Identification and evaluation of factors affecting labour construction productivity have become a critical issue facing project managers for a long time in order to increase productivity in construction. Understanding critical factors affecting productivity of both positive and negative can be used to prepare a strategy to reduce inefficiencies and to improve the effectiveness of project performance.

Knowledge and understanding of the various factors affecting construction labour productivity is needed to determine the focus of the necessary steps in an effort to reduce project cost overrun and project completion delay, thereby increasing productivity and overall project performance.

Based on the study & survey, Factors affecting construction labour productivity for small and medium company have been identified and are grouped into 10 categories according to their characteristics, namely

1) Lack of material 2) Labour strikes 3) Delay in arrival of materials 4) Financial difficulties of the owner 5) Unclear instruction to labourer and high absentees of labours 6) Bad weather (e.g. rain, heat ,etc.) 7) Non discipline labour and use of alcohol and drugs 8) No supervision method, design changes, repairs and repetition of work, and bad resources management 9) Bad supervisors absentees and far away from location of material storage, and 10) Bad leadership

## III. DATA COLLECTION

The data collection method included direct observation and documentary analysis .The data collected for this case study were collected as part of an ongoing study of

construction labour productivity. The goal of the research is to test a productivity measurement technique that provides daily assessment of the problems affecting production without the need for continuous on site work measurement methods.

The technique relies upon both quantitative and qualitative data. The site supervisor visits each case study projects daily and classifies the day according to a predefined set of site factors or conditions that essentially affect productivity. These factors include disruptions, one of which a material management, work content and constructability issues, construction methods, environmental conditions, and other management aspects. The data set can then be partitioned according to the desired classifications and. examined to determine the impacts of one or more factors.

## IV. DETAILS OF CASE STUDY

The case study involves the construction of the Brickwork and plastering for the structures. The operations involved are preparation of mortar, checking horizontality and verticality, spreading mortar, filling, joints with mortar and finishing. Both the structures were constructed by a local contractor by using a non uniform work force available locally. The site staff consisted of a single project supervisor.

Project A: The case study project is a three- story residential-building with 12 Flats constructed in Walwadi area of Dhule city. The building consists of a R.C.C. frame and brick facade. The plan of the building is attached. The total Built up area is 478.418 Sq M. The area available for the storage of construction material was limited.

Project B: The project B is also a three- storied residential building with 10 Flats and 8 shops at ground floor constructed on corner plot of Walwadi area of Dhule city. The building consists of R.C.C. frame and brick facade. The plan of the building is attached. Total built-up area is 557.303 Sq M. The area available for storage of construction material is more as compared to Project A.

## V. METHODOLOGY

The procedure used to calculate work- hour losses involves a comparison between the productivity on those days when adverse material- related conditions were present and the expected productivity had there been no adverse conditions present. The ineffective material management leads to the inefficient use of craft labour. Construction labour productivity is the measure of the

effect. There is no standard definition of productivity but one can use construction labour productivity as

$$\text{Labour Productivity} = \frac{\text{Labour cost / Work Hours}}{\text{Units of Output}}$$

In general productivity signifies the measurement of how well an individual entity uses resources to produce outputs from inputs. The measurement scheme can be readily applied to task or crew level work.

The first step is to purge from the data set all days for which adverse conditions of any kind are reported. Next, the expected daily productivity is derived by fitting a curve through the remaining data points. This curve represents the best estimate of what would have occurred had there been no adverse conditions present. The last step involves subtracting the actual productivity from what was expected for each day affected by the material management practices. The difference is converted to work-hours, and the sum of the differences represents the total work-hour impact. The procedure ensures that significant impacts from other sources are not counted. Specifically, all impacts that occur during one day or for several consecutive days are removed prior to deriving the expected curve. Impacts that underlie the entire project, for example, poor supervision or an unmotivated work force are still present, but these are eliminated, by the subtraction process.

The expected curve for the case study Project A, was developed using data of brick masonry Plastering from workdays 1-2,3-5,7-8,10-11,12-14,16-18,19-21,23-25. Similar procedure was adapted for Project B.

#### Discussion

For Project A, and B the construction of B.B. Masonry, and plastering activity lasted 35,25 days and required 271.5,197.5 work-hours. Work-hours and quantity data were recorded daily and yielded the daily and cumulative

- Organization of storage areas.
- Extensive multiple handling of materials.
- Materials improperly sorted or marked.
- Housekeeping.
- Trash and debris obscuring access to and from movement of materials
- Planning of material deliveries
- Material expediting not coordinated with the process sequence
- Reimmobilization and refamiliarization after a lengthy delay.
- Material availability

productivity (total work-hours divided by total units of output) as shown in Table 1, 2, and Table 3, 4. The same procedure was adopted for Project B also as shown in Table 3, 4 and 5, 6. Then data was presented in the form of combined graph respectively i.e. Daily productivity Vs. Work day and Cumulative productivity Vs. Work day.

## VI. RESULTS

The distinct material-related conditions or events occurred during B.B. Masonry are:

1. Exhaust of material supply, and crew was sent to another project.
2. The lack of materials interrupted the normal pattern of the crew and resulted in the crew stretching the work.
3. Little or no work available which slows down the work.
4. Stock of materials in haphazard manner, with little consideration for the sequence of construction.

The impact of the various material management conditions cited above is evident in Fig 1 and 2. As can be seen, almost all of the peak days on the curve representing major losses of productivity can be explained by the existence of these conditions

The qualitative approach used herein is a unique departure from work measurement concepts. The observer's evaluation is based on the daily observance of the work, daily measurement of progress, daily assessment of the working environment, and discussions with the crew foreman at the end of day's work. Typical examples of adverse material management conditions or events that have been identified on commercial construction projects include:

- Running out of materials
- Crew slowdowns in anticipation of material shortages
- Rework when materials arrive
- Material handling and distribution
- Materials miss fabricated
- Extraordinary and inefficient methods hooded to distribute materials.

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

**National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)**


**During 15-16 November, 2013**

**Organized by**

**Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.**

Table 1. Cumulative Productivity for Project “A” (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Date	Wd No.	Nom	No. of Labors	D wh.	D qty. (Sqm)	D. Prod (wh/ Sqm)	Cum. Dwh	Cum Dqty.	Dum Prod.
5/9/2007	1	2	2	7.5	11.13	0.67	7.5	11.13	0.67
5/10/2007	2	3	4	8	18.3	0.44	15.5	29.43	0.53
5/11/2007	3	3	4	8	16.5	0.48	23.5	45.93	0.51
5/12/2007	4	4	5	8	12.3	0.65	31.5	58.23	0.54
5/13/2007	5	4	6	7	18.4	0.38	38.5	76.63	0.50
5/14/2007	6	3	5	7.5	15.8	0.47	46	92.43	0.50
5/16/2007	7	4	6	8	19.6	0.41	54	112.03	0.48
5/17/2007	8	2	3	8	9.5	0.84	62	121.53	0.51
5/18/2007	9	4	6	8	22.46	0.36	70	143.99	0.49
5/19/2007	10	3	4	7.5	8.7	0.86	77.5	152.69	0.51
5/20/2007	11	3	5	7	15.7	0.45	84.5	168.39	0.50
5/21/2007	12	3	5	8	14.68	0.54	92.5	183.07	0.51
5/23/2007	13	2	4	8	9.21	0.87	100.5	192.28	0.52
5/24/2007	14	3	5	7.5	13.5	0.56	108	205.78	0.52
5/25/2007	15	4	6	8	23.89	0.33	116	229.67	0.51
5/26/2007	16	4	5	7	22.1	0.32	123	251.77	0.49
5/28/2007	17	4	5	8	18.93	0.42	131	270.7	0.48
5/29/2007	18	4	6	8	12.86	0.62	139	283.56	0.49
5/30/2007	19	3	5	6	7.35	0.82	145	290.91	0.50
5/31/2007	20	3	5	7	10.2	0.69	152	301.11	0.50
6/1/2007	21	4	6	8	19.53	0.41	160	320.64	0.50
6/3/2007	22	2	3	7.5	8.3	0.90	167.5	328.94	0.51
6/4/2007	23	3	5	8	12.43	0.64	175.5	341.37	0.51
6/5/2007	24	3	4	8	11.52	0.69	183.5	352.89	0.52
6/6/2007	25	4	6	8	22.6	0.35	191.5	375.49	0.51
6/8/2007	26	3	5	8	12.32	0.65	199.5	387.81	0.51
6/9/2007	27	3	4	8	17.3	0.46	207.5	405.11	0.51
6/10/2007	28	4	6	8	20.4	0.39	215.5	425.51	0.51
6/11/2007	29	3	5	8	10.23	0.78	223.5	435.74	0.51
6/12/2007	30	3	5	8	11.42	0.70	231.5	447.16	0.52
6/13/2007	31	4	5	8	24.2	0.33	239.5	471.36	0.51
6/15/2007	32	4	5	8	17.2	0.47	247.5	488.56	0.51
6/16/2007	33	3	4	8	11.3	0.71	255.5	499.86	0.51
6/17/2007	34	3	4	8	12.1	0.66	263.5	511.96	0.51
6/18/2007	35	4	6	8	21.3	0.38	271.5	533.26	0.51
		115	169	271.5	533.26	19.72	4850.5	9546.92	17.93



Graph. 1 Productivity of Project "A" (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Table 2. Summary of Work Hour Losses from Material Project "A" (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Wd. No.	Dwh.	D qty. (Sqm)	Actual Prod. Wh./Sqm.	Exp. Prod. Wh./Sqm.	Wh. Loss
4	8	12.3	0.65	0.46	2.34
8	8	9.5	0.84	0.4	4.20
10	7.5	8.7	0.86	0.4	4.02
13	8	9.21	0.87	0.4	4.32
18	8	12.86	0.62	0.4	2.86
19	6	7.35	0.82	0.4	3.06
20	7	10.2	0.69	0.4	2.92
22	7.5	8.3	0.90	0.39	4.26
23	8	12.43	0.64	0.39	3.15
24	8	11.52	0.69	0.39	3.51
26	8	12.32	0.65	0.38	3.32
29	8	10.23	0.78	0.38	4.11
30	8	11.42	0.70	0.38	3.66
33	8	11.3	0.71	0.38	3.71
34	8	12.1	0.66	0.38	3.40
Total lost work - hours					52.84

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization


Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.



Graph. 2 Cumulative Productivity Project A B. B. Masonry

Table 3 Cumulative Productivity for Project “B” (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Date	Wd No.	Nom	No. of Labors	Dwh.	Dqty. (Sq.m)	D. Prod (Wh/Sqm)	Cum. Dwh	Cum Dqty.	Dum Prod.
6/23/2007	1	3	4	8	21.3	0.38	8	21.3	0.38
6/24/2007	2	4	6	8	23.65	0.34	16	44.95	0.36
6/25/2007	3	3	4	8	14.85	0.54	24	59.8	0.40
6/26/2007	4	6	8	7.5	35.61	0.21	31.5	95.41	0.33
6/27/2007	5	4	6	8	26.32	0.30	39.5	121.73	0.32
6/28/2007	6	5	7	8	28.43	0.28	47.5	150.16	0.32
6/30/2007	7	4	6	8	35.4	0.23	55.5	185.56	0.30
7/1/2007	8	3	5	8	15	0.53	63.5	200.56	0.32
7/2/2007	9	4	6	7.5	28.43	0.26	71	228.99	0.31
7/3/2007	10	5	8	8	26.43	0.30	79	255.42	0.31
7/4/2007	11	4	4	7	19.21	0.36	86	274.63	0.31
7/5/2007	12	5	6	8	32.1	0.25	94	306.73	0.31
7/7/2007	13	3	4	8	14.2	0.56	102	320.93	0.32
7/8/2007	14	4	5	8	25.98	0.31	110	346.91	0.32
7/9/2007	15	5	7	8	32.33	0.25	118	379.24	0.31
7/10/2007	16	4	4	8	15.3	0.52	126	394.54	0.32
7/11/2007	17	5	5	8	29.46	0.27	134	424	0.32
7/12/2007	18	6	7	8	34.69	0.23	142	458.69	0.31
7/13/2007	19	4	5	8	15.65	0.51	150	474.34	0.32
7/15/2007	20	5	6	8	28.76	0.28	158	503.1	0.31
7/16/2007	21	4	6	8	31.64	0.25	166	534.74	0.31
7/17/2007	22	3	3	8	13.95	0.57	174	548.69	0.32

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014


National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

7/18/2007	23	4	6	8	28.95	0.28	182	577.64	0.32
7/19/2007	24	5	5	8	30.64	0.26	190	608.28	0.31
7/20/2007	25	4	5	8	29.43	0.27	198	637.71	0.31
7/22/2007	26	4	5	8	28.58	0.28	206	666.29	0.31
7/23/2007	27	5	7	8	34.12	0.23	214	700.41	0.31
7/24/2007	28	4	4	7.5	13.63	0.55	221.5	714.04	0.31
7/25/2007	29	5	5	8	31.58	0.25	229.5	745.62	0.31
7/26/2007	30	6	7	8	39.85	0.20	237.5	785.47	0.30
7/27/2007	31	4	5	8	14.96	0.53	245.5	800.43	0.31
7/29/2007	32	5	6	8	26.46	0.30	253.5	826.89	0.31
7/30/2007	33	4	6	8	27.15	0.29	261.5	854.04	0.31
7/31/2007	34	3	3	8	15.2	0.53	269.5	869.24	0.31
8/1/2007	35	4	6	8	28.67	0.28	277.5	897.91	0.31
		150	192	277.5	897.91	12.01	4981.5	16014.39	11.12



Graph. 3 Productivity of Project “B” (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Table 4. Summary of Work Hour Losses from Material Project “B” (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Wd.No.	Dwh.	Dqty. (Sqm)	Actual Prod. Wh./(Sqm.)	Exp. Prod. Wh./Sqm	Wh. Loss
3	8	14.85	0.54	0.38	2.36
8	8	15	0.53	0.28	3.80
13	8	14.2	0.56	0.25	4.45
16	8	15.3	0.52	0.25	4.18
19	8	15.65	0.51	0.24	4.24

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014


National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

22	8	13.95	0.57	0.24	4.65
28	7.5	13.63	0.55	0.23	4.37
31	8	14.96	0.53	0.23	4.56
34	8	15.2	0.53	0.22	4.66
Total lost work - hours					37.26



Graph. 4 Cumulative Productivity of Project B (Burn Bricks Masonry)

Table 1-1 Cumulative Productivity Project- A (Plastering)

Date	Wd No.	Nom	No. Of Labours	Dwh.	Dqty. (Sqm)	D.Prod (Wh/Sqm)	Cum. Dwh	Cum Dqty.	Dum Prod.
9/12/2007	1	3	4	8	48.95	0.16	8	48.95	0.16
9/13/2007	2	3	6	8	52.3	0.15	16	101.25	0.16
9/14/2007	3	2	4	8	45.89	0.17	24	147.14	0.16
9/15/2007	4	4	6	7.5	29.45	0.25	31.5	176.59	0.18
9/16/2007	5	3	5	8	49.52	0.16	39.5	226.11	0.17
9/17/2007	6	3	5	8	30.73	0.26	47.5	256.84	0.18
9/19/2007	7	4	6	8	55.62	0.14	55.5	312.46	0.18
9/20/2007	8	4	3	8	49.52	0.16	63.5	361.98	0.18
9/21/2007	9	3	5	7.5	26.5	0.28	71	388.48	0.18
9/22/2007	10	3	4	8	48.62	0.16	79	437.1	0.18
9/23/2007	11	4	6	7	60.13	0.12	86	497.23	0.17
9/24/2007	12	3	5	8	55.61	0.14	94	552.84	0.17
9/26/2007	13	3	4	8	31.3	0.26	102	584.14	0.17
9/27/2007	14	4	6	8	58.49	0.14	110	642.63	0.17
9/28/2007	15	2	3	8	31.12	0.26	118	673.75	0.18



**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

9/29/2007	16	3	4	8	45.85	0.17	126	719.6	0.18
9/30/2007	17	4	6	8	29.87	0.27	134	749.47	0.18
10/1/2007	18	3	5	8	53.23	0.15	142	802.7	0.18
10/3/2007	19	3	4	8	51.45	0.16	150	854.15	0.18
10/4/2007	20	4	6	7.5	30.19	0.25	157.5	884.34	0.18
10/5/2007	21	3	5	8	52.98	0.15	165.5	937.32	0.18
10/6/2007	22	3	5	8	28.23	0.28	173.5	965.55	0.18
10/8/2007	23	4	6	8	55.69	0.14	181.5	1021.24	0.18
10/9/2007	24	2	3	8	32.45	0.25	189.5	1053.69	0.18
10/10/2007	25	3	5	8	45.85	0.17	197.5	1099.54	0.18
		80	121	197.5	1099.5	4.83	2562.5	14495.09	4.38



Fig. 1-1 Productivity Project A Plastering

Table 2-2 Summary Of Work Hour Losses From Material Project: A (Plastering)

Wd.No.	Dwh.	Dqty. (Sqm)	Actual Prod. Wh./ (Sqm.)	Exp. Prod. (Wh.)/Sqm	Wh. Loss
4	7.5	29.45	0.25	0.16	2.79
6	8	30.73	0.26	0.15	3.39
9	7.5	26.5	0.28	0.15	3.53
13	8	31.3	0.26	0.15	3.31
15	8	31.12	0.26	0.15	3.33
17	8	29.87	0.27	0.15	3.52
20	7.5	30.19	0.25	0.15	2.97
22	8	28.23	0.28	0.15	3.77
24	8	32.45	0.25	0.15	3.13
Total Lost Work - Hours					29.73

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.




Fig. 2-2 Cumulative Productivity Project A Plastering

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

**National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)**

**During 15-16 November, 2013**

**Organized by**

**Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.**

Table 3-3 Cumulative Productivity Project- B (Plastering)

Date	Wd No.	Nom	No. of Labours	Dwh.	Dqty. (Sqm)	D.Prod (Wh/Sqm)	Cum. Dwh	Cum Dqty.	Dum Prod.
10/18/2007	1	3	5	8	78.94	0.10	8	78.94	0.10
10/19/2007	2	4	6	8	92.31	0.09	16	171.25	0.09
10/20/2007	3	5	8	8	100.23	0.08	24	271.48	0.09
10/21/2007	4	4	4	8	41.35	0.19	32	312.83	0.10
10/22/2007	5	5	6	8	95.46	0.08	40	408.29	0.10
10/23/2007	6	3	4	8	76.46	0.10	48	484.75	0.10
10/25/2007	7	4	5	8	82.46	0.10	56	567.21	0.10
10/26/2007	8	5	7	8	98.87	0.08	64	666.08	0.10
10/27/2007	9	5	4	8	76.31	0.10	72	742.39	0.10
10/28/2007	10	5	5	8	45.31	0.18	80	787.7	0.10
10/29/2007	11	6	7	7	112.36	0.06	87	900.06	0.10
10/30/2007	12	4	5	8	89.46	0.09	95	989.52	0.10
11/1/2007	13	5	6	8	97.64	0.08	103	1087.16	0.09
11/2/2007	14	3	4	7.5	42.19	0.18	110.5	1129.35	0.10
11/3/2007	15	4	6	8	79.46	0.10	118.5	1208.81	0.10
11/4/2007	16	4	6	8	86.61	0.09	126.5	1295.42	0.10
11/5/2007	17	5	6	8	90.45	0.09	134.5	1385.87	0.10
11/7/2007	18	4	6	8	44.89	0.18	142.5	1430.76	0.10
11/8/2007	19	5	8	8	91.23	0.09	150.5	1521.99	0.10
11/9/2007	20	4	4	8	47.23	0.17	158.5	1569.22	0.10
11/10/2007	21	6	7	8	101.85	0.08	166.5	1671.07	0.10
11/11/2007	22	4	5	8	78.95	0.10	174.5	1750.02	0.10
11/13/2007	23	5	6	8	86.74	0.09	182.5	1836.76	0.10
11/14/2007	24	5	4	8	90.46	0.09	190.5	1927.22	0.10
11/15/2007	25	4	6	8	76.89	0.10	198.5	2004.11	0.10
		111	140	198.5	2004.1	2.70	2579	26198.26	2.45

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology**

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.




Fig. 3 Productivity of Project B Plastering

Table 4-4 Summary of Work Hour Losses From Material Project: B (Plastering)

Wd.No.	Dwh.	Dqty. (Sqm)	Actual Prod. Wh./(Sqm.)	Exp. Prod. (Wh.)/Sqm	Wh. Loss
4	8	41.35	0.19	0.107	3.58
10	8	45.31	0.18	0.093	3.79
14	7.5	42.19	0.18	0.09	3.70
18	8	44.89	0.18	0.09	3.96
20	8	47.23	0.17	0.09	3.75
Total Lost Work - Hours					18.77




Fig. 4-4 Cumulative Productivity of Project B

Plastering expected  
**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

The ineffective material management of Project A, was due to less area for storage, labour involved in shifting material to make construction activity possible material storage at place away from construction area more transportation cost in the form of labour. Also due to less available area more chances of accidents and lesser in safety. Additional labour force was used to expedite the transportation of materials. Travel time and human efforts can be reduced by simply providing chute so that bricks and mortar can move through chute up to each floor level, which will reduce distance of transportation and wastage due to double handling, mishandling resulting in both labour productivity as well as minimizing the waste. In case of commercial building construction, the size of opening is kept uniform so it is better to use precast lintel which can be manufactured at the site if site layout permits or they can be manufactured at centrally. Work-Hour overrun allow located pre cast unit plant, so that there will be reduction in transportation distance, time to move, resulting in speedy construction .No use of proper methodology like Lean for A but used partially in project B .No proper discipline for the activities are made resulting Total Work-Hours 271.5 to 277.5, Total Lost Work-Hours 52.84 to 37.26, Work-Hour overrun 19.46% to 13.42% Time overrun 20% to 14.29% and Percentage of Ineffective days 42.86% to 25.71% for Brick masonry ,and Total Work-Hours 197.5 to 198.5, Total Lost Work-Hours 29.73 to 18.77, Work-Hour overrun 15.1% to 9.46% Time overrun 16% to 9.2% and

Percentage of Ineffective days 36% to 20% for plastering . Recommendation / Guideline Practically it is difficult task to improve labour productivity up to 100% but one can control and improve productivity up to large extent. Labour productivity can be broadly attributed to the low morale of the workers, poor pre-work preparation by the supervisor and the directional failure of the project management.

Guidelines for improving the labour productivity down to earth are

- 1) Proper training to the labour.
- 2) Motivate to workers towards project completion
- 3) Properly and well in advance material procurement and management.
- 4) On line/ In time payments to the workers
- 5) Systematic flow of work
- 6) Properly ,clearly and in time supervision by employing competent supervisor
- 7) Advance site lay out
- 8) Maintain work discipline
- 9) Facilities to the labour
- 10) Paying of funds in advance systematically
- 11) Replace labour by appropriate equipment if economically feasible.

**REFERENCES**

1. Thomas, H. Randolph, Sanvido, Victor E. and Sanders, Steve R. (1989), "Impact of Material Management on Productivity-A Case Study," Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, ASCE, Vol. 115, No. 3, pp. 370-384.
2. ASCE M, William Ibbs( 2005) " Impact of change~ s timing on labour Productivity." Journal of

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, March 2014

National Conference on Recent Advances in Civil Engineering (NCRACE-2013)

During 15-16 November, 2013

Organized by

Department of Civil Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Nirjuli, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

- Construction Engineering and Management, 2005,131( 11), 1219-1 223
3. Josef Pettersen, "Defining Lean production some conceptual and practical issues , " The TQM Journal vol.21,No 2 2009, pp. 127-142.

4. AynurKazaz, EkremM anisali, SerdarUlubeyli(2008) " Effect of basic motivational factors on construction workforce productivity in turkey." Journal of Civil Engineering and Management.2008, 14(2); 95-106.

Project Summary Comparison for Brickwork and Plastering

	Brickwork		Plastering	
	Project-A	Project-B	Project-A	Project-B
Activity Duration	35 Days	35 Days	25 Days	25 Days
Total Qty. Work	533.26 Sqm.	897.91 Sqm.	1099.5 Sqm.	2004.1 Sqm.
Total Work-Hours	271.5	277.5	197.5	198.5
Total Lost Work-Hours	52.84	37.26	29.73	18.77
Total labour	169	192	121	140
Total Mason	115	150	80	111
Work-Hour overrun = (Total Lost Work-Hours)/ (Total Work-Hours)*100	$(52.84/271.5) \times 100 = 19.46\%$	$(37.26/277.5) \times 100 = 13.42\%$	$(29.73/197.5) \times 100 = 15.1\%$	$(18.77/198.5) \times 100 = 9.46\%$
Time overrun	52.84 Hours is equivalent to approx. 7 days. $(7/35) \times 100 = 20\%$	37.26 Hours is equivalent to approx. 5 days. $(5/35) \times 100 = 14.29\%$	29.73 Hours is equivalent to approx. 4 days. $(4/25) \times 100 = 16\%$	18.77 Hours is equivalent to approx. 2.3 days. $(2.3/25) \times 100 = 9.2\%$
Percentage of Ineffective days	Out of 35 days 15 days are ineffectively used. $(15/35) \times 100 = 42.86\%$	Out of 35 days 9 days are ineffectively used. $(9/35) \times 100 = 25.71\%$	Out of 25 days 9 days are ineffectively used. $(9/25) \times 100 = 36\%$	Out of 25 days 5 days are ineffectively used. $(5/25) \times 100 = 20\%$