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Abstract

The study aims to assess the vegetation and plant diversity in relation to edaphic factors at three sites (Cairo- Suez, Ain Sokhnia-Makattam desert roads and Wadi Hagul) in northern sector of Eastern Desert. The results revealed that northern sector of Eastern Desert (The Galalah Desert) harbors had 95 species (36 annuals, two biennial and 57 perennials) with high taxonomic diversity (species/genera = 1.17 and genera/families = 3.12). The largest families were Asteraceae comprising 22 species, followed by Poaceae, 11 species, Brassicaceae and Chenopodiaceae, 7 species each, Fabaceae 6 species, Zygophyllaceae, 5 species. *Zilla spinosa* and *Zygophyllum coccineum* had a wide ecological range of distribution (P = 63.3% and 61.7%, respectively). On the other hand, *Zygophyllum simplex*, *Matthiola longipetala* and *Senecio glaucus* showed the highest presence estimates among annuals (P = 38.3%, 31.7% and 30%, respectively). Species richness, Shannon-Weiner H and Simpson indices measurements indicated that group D and B are the most diverse group followed by group A and C in the present study. The main dominant species include *Haloxylon salicornicum*, *Launaea nudicaulis*, *Zilla spinosa* and *Zygophyllum coccineum*. Soil physical properties in addition to soil salinity and human activities are the main driving factors controlling the distribution of wild plants in the northern sector of Eastern Desert.

Introduction

The Egyptian desert is among the most arid parts of the world characterized by arid and, or extreme arid climate. Vegetation is, thus, continuously exposed to extreme and drastic environmental condition (Batanouny, 1979; Zahran & Willis, 2009). Egyptian deserts, the subject area of this study, comprise the desert east of the Nile which will be referred to as the “Eastern Desert”. According to Eig (1931–1932), three floral provinces are represented in Egypt: 1. The Saharo-Sindian province, comprising most of the deserts of Egypt, 2. The Irano-Turanian province, and 3. The Sudano-Deccanian province. The plant life in the Eastern Desert is much richer than that of the Western Desert. The flora of the northern wadis and mountains of the Eastern Desert, west of the Gulf of Suez, have strong relations with that of the Sinai Peninsula (Boulos, 2008). Two major phytogeographical regions are usually recognized within the Eastern Desert: the Red Sea coastal region and the inland desert. Kassas (1952) classified the desert vegetation into two groups: ephemerals and perennials. The ephemerals are active only in the vernal aspect of the vegetation. The appearance of ephemerals and duration of their life are dependent on the chance occurrence of rainy seasons. The perennials are linked to the stands which they occupy, and are governed by the whole complex of physical and biotic conditions. The perennial plant cover forms the permanent framework of the desert vegetation, and is the best indicator of the habitat conditions.

On the other hand, the desert vegetation in Egypt is by far the most important and characteristic type of natural plant life. It covers about 95% of the total area of the country, and is mainly formed of xerophytic shrubs and sub-shrubs. Monod (1954) recognized two types of desert vegetation, namely contracted and diffuse. Both types refer to permanent vegetation that can be accompanied by ephemeral (or annual) plant growth depending on the amount of precipitation in a given year. Kassas (1966, 1971) added a third type termed "accidental vegetation", where precipitation is so low and falls so irregularly that no permanent vegetation exists. The most critical gradients in abiotic factors may be related to water availability, including annual precipitation, soil properties, and topography (Parker, 1991). Correlation of soils and vegetation are important for most investigations of plant habitats. In the arid regions of the Middle East, Hillel & Tadmor (1962), Kassas & Girgis (1965), Olsvig-Whittaker et al. (1983), Stahr et al. (1985), Abd El-Ghani (1997, 1998, 2000), Masoud & Koike (2006) and Salama et al. (2012, 2013, 2014) worked in this direction. The present study aims to assess the vegetation and plant diversity in relation to edaphic factors at three sites (Cairo-Suez, Ain Sokhnia-Makattam desert roads and Wadi Hagul) in the northern sector of Eastern Desert.

Materials and methods
Study area
The Eastern Desert of Egypt occupies the area extending from the Nile Valley eastward to the Gulf of Suez and the Red Sea, which is about 223,000 km², i.e. 21% of the total area of Egypt. On the other hand, Cairo-Suez, Ain Sokhnia-Makattam desert roads and Wadi Hagul are located in the northern part of the Eastern Desert of Egypt (The Isthmic Desert), which extends east of the Nile Delta (Fig. 1). These three localities represent the natural xeric habitat which is mainly inhabited by xerophytic vegetation. The gravel desert is one of the most characteristic features of these roads. While, Wadi Hagul occupies the valley depression between Gebel Ataqa to the north and the Kahaliya ridge to the south. Its main channel extends for about 35 km, collects drainage on both sides and debouch into the Gulf of Suez. It is characterized by local physiographic variations and physiognomic heterogeneity.

The application of several methods suggested for the classification of climate indicates that the Cairo Suez, Ain Sokhnia-Makattam desert roads and Wadi Hagul belong to arid or extreme arid climate (Thornthwaite, 1948; Meig, 1953; Emberger, 1955). Meteorological data of the Cairo and Suez District shows that the climate of this region is obviously hot and dry. The low rainfall and high temperature are the main aspects of its aridity (Table 1).

Vegetation survey
Vegetation survey and assessing the ecological situation of plants were carried out in year 2013 at three sites (Cairo-Suez, Ain Sokhnia-Makattam desert roads and Wadi Hagul) in two governorates of Egypt. Sixty stands (area = 10 m × 10 m) have been selected for sampling vegetation as follows: 30 stands in Cairo-Suez road, 15 stands in Wadi Hagul and 15 stands in Ain Sokhnia-Makattam road. These stands were randomly chosen at locations where considerable vegetation cover was encountered.

The density and cover of each species have been estimated in each selected stand
Fig. 1. Map of the Egypt showing different localities ( * ) in northern part of Eastern Desert of the study area.

TABLE 1
Long-term averages (≥ 20 years) of the climatic records at two stations in northern sector of Eastern Desert (Anonymous, 1980)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorological variable</th>
<th>Cairo</th>
<th>Suez</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum air temperature (ºC)</td>
<td>20.5–34.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum air temperature (ºC)</td>
<td>8.8–21.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean air temperature (ºC)</td>
<td>13.6–27.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>42.0–61.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporation (mm/day)</td>
<td>7.4–17.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm/month)</td>
<td>0.0–6.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Canfield, 1941). Relative values of density and cover were calculated for each plant species and summed up to give an estimate of its important value (IV) in each stand which is out of 200. Nomenclature, identification and floristic categories were carried out according to Zohary (1966, 1972), Tackholm (1974), Feinbrun-Dothan (1978, 1986) and Boulos (1999, 2005). Life forms were identified according to the scheme of Raunkiaer (1937).

Soil analysis

Sixty surface soil samples were collected as a mixture from zero to 25 cm in depth. They were air-dried and sieved through 2-mm sieve to obtain representative sub-samples (fine soil) for physical and chemical analyses. Soil texture, water holding capacity (WHC), soil porosity, organic carbon and sulphate were determined according to Piper (1947). Calcium carbonate content was determined by titration against 1 N NaOH.
and expressed as a percentage (Jackson, 1962). Determination of electric conductivity and pH was determined in soil-water (1:5) extracts by the method adopted by Jackson (1962). Carbonates and bicarbonates were determined by titration using 0.1 N HCl (Pierce et al., 1958). Sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometry, while calcium and magnesium were estimated using atomic absorption spectrometer (Allen et al., 1974). The sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) and potassium adsorption ratio (PAR) were calculated to express the combined effects of different ions in the soil (Mckell & Goodin, 1984).

Data analysis

A floristic data matrix of 60 stands and 95 species was subjected for classification by two way indicator species analysis (TWINSPLAN, version 2.3) and Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) into groups (Hill & Smilauer, 2005). The relation between the vegetation and soil gradients was assessed using Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) (ter Braak, 1987). Plant diversity indices included species richness (SR), Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H’) and Simpson index. These diversity indices were estimated for each vegetation group (Whittaker, 1972; Pielou, 1975; Magurran, 1988). Taxonomic diversity including species/genera and genera/families ratios for studied area as a whole was determined (Wilson & Schmida, 1984). Linear correlations coefficient (r) was calculated for assessing the relationship between the estimated soil variables on one hand and the community variables, on the other hand. The obtained data were statistically evaluated using SPSS 16 for Windows.

Results

Floristic analysis

The total number of the recorded plant species surveyed in the present study is 95 species (36 annuals, two biennial and 57 perennials) belonging to 81 genera and related to 26 families. The largest families were Asteraceae comprising 22 species, followed by Poaceae 11 species, Brassicaceae and Chenopodiaceae, seven species each, Fabaceae, six species, Zygophyllaceae comprising five species, Asclepiadaceae and Caryophyllaceae comprising four species each. Other families were represented in different numbers of species.

Taxonomic diversity of study area is 1.17 for species/genera ratio and 3.12 for genera/families. Generally, the family size is small: 24 families have less than 10 species and only two families have more than 10 species. Obviously, genus with higher number of species included Launaea (4 species). Another nine genera were represented by two species, including, amongst others, Artemisia, Bassia, Cleome and Plantago (Table 2).

According to Raunkiaer (1934) the life-forms of the species recorded are grouped under six types. The majority of the recorded species are therophytes (36 species = 37.89%) followed by chamaephytes (31 species = 32.63%) then hemicyryptophytes (14 species = 14.74%), phanerophytes (12 species = 12.63%) and geophytes (4 species = 21.34%). The lowest value of life-forms is recorded as helophytes which attained value of 1.10%.

Two of the recorded species have a wide ecological range of distribution. Zilla spinosa and Zygophyllum coccineum and had the highest presence values (P = 63.3% and
### Table 2

Floristic composition of the recorded species in northern part of Eastern Desert, Egypt. P%, presence of values; Per, perennials; Bi, Biannual; Ann, annuals; Ph, Phanerophytes; H, Hemicryptophyte; Ch, Chamaephytes; Th, Theophytes; G, Geophytes; P, Parasites; COSM, Cosmopolitan; NEO, Neotropical SA-SI, Saharo-Sindian; S-Z, Sudano-Zambezian; IR-TR, Irano-Turanian; ER-SR, Euro-Siberian; ME, Mediterranean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Life form</th>
<th>Chorology</th>
<th>P%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aizoaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aizoon canariense L.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesembryanthemum forsskaolii Hochst. Ex Boiss.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerva javanica (Burm.F.) Juss. ex. Schult.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI + S-Z</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asciepiadaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calotropis procera (Wild.) R.Br.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>SA-SI + S-Z</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynanchum acutum L.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptadenia pyrotechnica (Forrsk.) Decne.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Nph</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pergularia tomentosa L.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achillea fragrantissima (Forssk.)Sch.Bip.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthemis cotula L.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisia judaica L.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisia monosperma Delile.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>ME+SA-SI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atractylis cardaus (Forssk.) C.Ch.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>SA-SI+ME</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centaurea aegyptiaca L.</td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinops spinosus L.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>ME+SA-SI</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francoeuria crispa (Forssk.) Cass.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ifloga spicata (Forsk.) Sch.Bip.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iphiona mucronata (Forsk.) Asch. &amp; Schweinf.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactuca serriola L.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea capitata (Spreng) Dandy</td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea mucronata (Forsk.) Muschl.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>ME+SA-SI</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea nudicaulis (L.) Hook.f.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea spinosa (Forsk.) Sch.Bip. ex Kuntze.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauplius graveolens (Forsk.) Wilkund</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plachea dioscoridis (L.)DC.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Nph</td>
<td>SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulicaria undulata (L.) C. A. Mey.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reichardia tingitana (L.) Roth.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio glaucus L.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symphyotrichum squamatum (Spreng.) Nesom</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>NEO</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volutaria lippii</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boraginaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkanna lehmannii (Tin.) A.DC.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heliotropium carassovicum L.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>NEO</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichodesma africanaum (L.) R.Br.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassicaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica tournefortii Gouan.</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplotaxis harra (Forsk.) Boiss.</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>ME+SA-SI</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Growth Form</td>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erysimum repandum L.</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI+ S-Z</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farsetia aegyptia Turra.</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidium draba L.</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthiola longipetala (Vent.)DC.</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zilla spinosa (L.)Prantl.</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsophilla capillaris (Forssk.) C.Chr</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarpaea repens (Forssk.)Asch.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spargularia media (L.) C. Presl</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henniaria hemistemon J. Gay</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+SA-SI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anabasis articulata (Forssk.) Moq.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atriplex lindlesi Moq.subsp. Inflated (F.Muell.)Wilson.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassia indica (Wight) Scott.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>TR+IR-S-Z</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium murale L.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>COSM</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloxylon salicornicum (Moq.)Bunge ex Boiss.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>CH SA-SI</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salsola kali L.</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>COSM</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleomes amblyocarpa Barratte &amp;Murb.</td>
<td>Cleomaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleome droserifolia (Forssk.) Delile</td>
<td>Cleomaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbia retusa Forssk.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astragalus bombycinus Boiss.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astragalus spinosus (Forssk.) Muschl.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotalaria aegyptiaca Benth.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotus ginosoides Delile.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>S-Z</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retama raetam (Forssk.) Webb &amp;Berthel.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigonella stellata Forsk.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraniaceae</td>
<td>Geraniaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erodium laciniatum (Cav.) Wild.</td>
<td>Geraniaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paeae</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyndon dactylon (L.) Pers.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hordeum marium L.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hordeum spontaneum K. Koch</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiurus scindicus Henrard.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lolium multiflorum Lam.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum coloratum L.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum turgidum Forsk.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parapholis incave (L.) C.E.Hubb.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phragmites australis (Cav.)Trin.exSteud.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>G,He</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poa annua L.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>COSM</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamiales</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Per</td>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavandula coronopifolia Poir.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malva parviflora L.</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Neurada procumbense L.  
Plantago lagopus L.  
Plantago notata Lag.  
Calligonum polygonoides L.  
Emex spinosa (L.) Campd.  
Rumex vesicarius L.  
Ochradenus baccatus Delile.  
Reseda decursiva Forssk.  
Haplophyllum tuberculatum (Forssk.) Juss.  
Kickxia aegyptiaca (L.) NÜbelek.  
Scrophularia deserti Delile  
Hyoscyamus muticus L.  
Lycium shawii Roem. & Schult.  
Tamarix aphylla (L.) H. Karst.  
Tamarix nilotica (Ehrenb.) Bunge.  
Deverra tortuosa (Desf.) DC.  
Forsskaolea tenacissima L.  
Fagonia Arabica L.  
Fagonia mollis Delile.  
Zygophyllum coccineum L.  
Zygophyllum decumbens Delile.  
Zygophyllum simplex L.  

61.7%, respectively). On the other hand, Zygoophyllum simplex, Matthiola longipetala and Senecio glaucus showed the highest presence estimates among annuals (P = 38.3%, 31.7% and 30%, respectively).

**Chorological affinities**

Chorological analysis of the surveyed flora (Table 3) revealed that 25 species (26.32% of the total flora) were bi- and pluriregional Mediterranean elements. Monoregional chorotypes extending their distribution all over the Saharo-Sindian, Sudano-Zambeziian, and Mediterranean regions amounted to 40% of the recorded flora. On the other hand, Cosmopolitan and Neotropical chorotypes constituted seven species. While Saharo-Sindian chorotype, either pure or penetrated into other regions, was represented by 69 species of the total recorded flora.

Classification of vegetation

The application of TWINSPAN classification on 95 plant species recorded in 60 stands representing the study area yielded four vegetation groups (Fig. 2). Five species were recorded with variable presence values in the four groups. It included three annuals (Zygophyllum
simplex, Rumex vesicarius and Erodium laciniatum) and two perennials (Launaea nudicaulis and Lasiurus scindicus).

Group (A): Launaea nudicaulis group
It is the smallest among the separated vegetation groups. It comprised of 24 species recorded from four stands, with the lowest species richness of 1.58 species/stands, Simpson index 0.88 and Shannon-Wiener diversity index of 2.48. Stands of this group inhabited soil rich in its soil texture (clay and silt), SAR, Na+ and pH (Table 4). The abundant species include Cyndon dactylon (IV = 26.19), Cynanchum acutum (IV = 19.04) and Pluchea dioscoridis (IV = 17.67) (Table 5).

Group (B): Haloxylon salicornicum group
The 62 species in this group were recorded from 14 stands, with average species richness of 2.32 species/stand, Simpson index 0.96 and Shannon diversity index of 3.52. The stands of this group inhabited soil with water-holding capacity, bicarbonate and CaCO3 (Table 4). Sixteen sporadic species (or about 25.81% of the recorded species in this group) were recorded which included, amongst others, Aizoon canariense, Astragalus spinosus, Calligonum polygonoides, Calotropis procera, Fagonia mollis and Gypsopila capillaris (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floristic category</th>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COSM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>World wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>Monoregional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-SI</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Z</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME+SA-SI</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>Biregional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME+IR-TR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-SI+IR-TR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Z+IR-TR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Z+SA-SI</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME+IR-TR+ER-SR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>Pluriregional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME+IR-TR+SA-SI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME+SA-SI+S-Z</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The abundant species include *Diplotaxis harra* (IV = 14.32), *Zygophyllum coccineum* (IV = 13.47), *Senecio glaucus* (IV = 12.44) and *Zygophyllum simplex* (IV = 12.40).

**Group (C): Zygophyllum coccineum group**

The group size of this group was represented by 18 stands that included 45 species. The average species richness in this group is 1.69 species/stands, Simpson index 0.92 and Shannon-Wiener diversity index of 3.0. The stands of this group inhabited soil with the highest content of sand, porosity and lowest content of sulphates (Table 4). Sporadic species included 17 species, of which *Aerva javanica*, *Anthemis cotula*, *Cleome amblyocarpa*, *Deverra tortuosa* and *Erodium laciniatum* were included (Table 5). The abundant species include *Haloxylon salicornicum* (IV = 27.27), *Zygophyllum simplex* (IV = 23.05), *Zilla spinosa* (IV = 20.48) and *Ochradenus baccatus* (IV = 16.11).

**Group (D): Zilla spinosa group**

This group was the most diversified among the recognized groups. It comprised 65 species recorded from 24 stands, with average species richness of 2.43 species/stands, Simpson index 0.97 and Shannon-Wiener diversity index of 3.76. It inhabited soil with the highest water-holding capacity,
Sporadic species comprised 15 species (or about 23% of the recorded species of this group) which included, amongst others, *Alkanna lehmani*, *Artemisia monosperma*, *Astragalus bombycinus*, *Cleome droserifolia*, *Convolvulus lanatus*, *Heliotropium curassavicum* and *Herniaria hemistemon* (Table 5). The abundant species in this group include *Ochradenus baccatus* (IV = 16.69), *Haloxylon salicornicum* (IV = 13.55) and *Retama raetam* (IV = 13.58).

The ordination of sampled stands given by DCA is shown in Fig. 3. It is clear that the vegetation groups obtained by TWINSPLAN classification were markedly distinguishable and having a comprehensible pattern of segregation on the ordination plane, where the group A separated at the lower part of the right side of the DCA diagram. Group A is clearly separated from other groups (B, C and D), the group B segregated at the middle part, while group C and D are separated at the lift side of the DCA diagram.

**Soil-vegetation relationships**

Significant differences in the examined soil variables within the separated vegetation groups were demonstrated in Table 4. The soil texture in all groups is formed mainly of coarse fraction (sand) and partly of fine fractions (silt and clay). Water-holding capacity, pH, bicarbonate, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium adsorption ratio showed clear significant differences between groups at $P < 0.01$ and $P < 0.05$, respectively. Some other soil variables showed no significant correlation such as soil texture (silt, sand and clay), porosity, calcium carbonate, organic carbon, EC, chloride and sulphate.

The correlation coefficient ($r$) between the different soil variables in the sampled stands are shown in Table 6. It has been...
Table 4
Mean values and standard error of the different soil variables in the stands representing the different vegetation groups (A–D) obtained by TWINSPLAN classification in the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil variable</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
<th>LSD&lt;sub&gt;0.05&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand ( % )</td>
<td>88.43 ± 5.87</td>
<td>91.84 ± 1.70</td>
<td>88.07 ± 1.34</td>
<td>90.67 ± 1.31</td>
<td>89.75</td>
<td>0.24**</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt ( % )</td>
<td>10.42 ± 5.50</td>
<td>6.95 ± 1.53</td>
<td>10.40 ± 1.25</td>
<td>8.07 ± 1.25</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay ( % )</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.43</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.1=</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>33.10 ± 3.54</td>
<td>32.14 ± 1.35</td>
<td>29.84 ± 1.23</td>
<td>31.62 ± 0.99</td>
<td>31.68</td>
<td>3.34**</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHC</td>
<td>29.25 ± 1.60</td>
<td>28.01 ± 1.29</td>
<td>27.41 ± 1.34</td>
<td>28.81 ± 1.28</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td>56.89***</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>13.39 ± 6.01</td>
<td>14.62 ± 2.29</td>
<td>28.87 ± 2.67</td>
<td>18.89 ± 2.72</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>2.76**</td>
<td>13.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.65**</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.12 ± 0.08</td>
<td>7.98 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.97 ± 0.06</td>
<td>8.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>4.50**</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (μmhos/cm)</td>
<td>626.15±301.75</td>
<td>503.25 ± 113.64</td>
<td>471.86 ± 63.35</td>
<td>441.29 ± 69.10</td>
<td>510.64</td>
<td>0.47ns</td>
<td>305.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt; ( % )</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.91ns</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; ( % )</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.93ns</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; ( % )</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.10ns</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; ( % )</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>9.55**</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; mg/100g</td>
<td>272.70 ± 248.70</td>
<td>195.55 ± 77.69</td>
<td>178.08 ± 37.71</td>
<td>202.54 ± 51.23</td>
<td>212.22</td>
<td>139.49***</td>
<td>23.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; dry soil</td>
<td>30.32 ± 25.89</td>
<td>21.82 ± 7.92</td>
<td>20.02 ± 3.50</td>
<td>26.58 ± 5.59</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>105.16***</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>77.86 ± 71.37</td>
<td>57.16 ± 22.69</td>
<td>53.94 ± 11.03</td>
<td>74.02 ± 16.45</td>
<td>65.74</td>
<td>180.5***</td>
<td>7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37.79 ± 34.51</td>
<td>26.01 ± 10.70</td>
<td>23.18 ± 3.97</td>
<td>32.72 ± 7.31</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>359.63***</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>24.73 ± 15.00</td>
<td>24.70 ± 4.90</td>
<td>25.61 ± 3.23</td>
<td>23.73 ± 3.79</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>20.73***</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>3.38 ± 1.34</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.42</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.22</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.34</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>13.22**</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species richness</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.02**</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>4.68***</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon-Wiener index</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.64</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.72</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.51</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.32</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.17**</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns = not significant at P ≤ 0.05. *: Values are significant at P ≤ 0.05, **: values are significant at P < 0.01, ***: values are significant at P ≤ 0.01. Different superscript letters indicate a significant difference at P ≤ 0.05.
TABLE 5
Floristic composition in the vegetation groups in the study area. Values in bold are species with highest presence values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation groups</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total numbers of stands</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total numbers of species</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achillea fragrantissima (Forssk.) Sch.Bip.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerva javanica (Burm.F.) Juss. ex Schult.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aizoon canariense L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkanna lehmaniit (Tin.) A.DC.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anabasis articulata (Forssk.) Moq.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthenis cotula L.</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisia monosperma Delile.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atriplex lindleyi (Forssk.) F.Muell. subsp. inflata (F.Muell.) Wilson.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassia indica (Wight) Scott.</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassia muricata (L.) Asch.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica tournefortii Gouan.</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calligonum polygonoides L. subsp. comosum (L. Hér.) Soskov</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calotropis procera (Willd.) R.Br.</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium murale L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleome amblyocarpa Barratte &amp; Murb.</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleome drosjerifolia (Forssk.) Delile</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolvulus lanatus Vahl.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotalaria aegyptiaca Benth.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynanchum acutum L.</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cydonon dactylon (L.) Pers.</td>
<td>26.19</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deverra tortuosa (Desf.)DC.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploptatis harra (Forssk.) Boiss.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.32</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinops spinosus L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emex spinosa (L.) Camp.</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erodium laciniatum (Cav.) Wild.</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erysimum repandum L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbia retusa Forssk.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagonia arabica L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagonia mollis Delile.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farsetia aegyptia Turra.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forskkeloea tenacissima L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsopila capillaris (Forssk.) C.Chr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloxylon salicornicum (Moq.) Bunge ex Boiss.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.21</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td>13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplophyllum tuberculatum (Forssk.) Juss.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heliotropium curassavicum L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herniaria hemistemon J. Gay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Name</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Juice</td>
<td>Fatty Acid 1</td>
<td>Fatty Acid 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hordeum murinum L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hordeum spontaneum K. Koch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyoscyamus maticus L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ifloga spicata (Forsk.) Sch.Bip.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iphionia mucronata (Forsk.) Asch. &amp; Schweinf.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kickxia aegyptiaca (L.) NÜbelek.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactuea serriola L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiorus scindicus Henrard.</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavena capiata (Spreng) Dandy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavena mucronata (Forsk.) Muschl.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio glaucus L. (Hook.f)</td>
<td>34.21</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laverula coronopifolia Poir.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavandula coronopifolia Poir.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidium draba L.</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptadenia pyrotechnica (Forsk.) Deene.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loliurn multiflorum Lam.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotus glinoides Delile.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycium shawii Roem. &amp; schult.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malva parvifolra L.</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthiola longipetala (Vent.) DC.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesembryanthemum forsskaolii Hochst. Ex Boiss.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauplius graveolens (Forsk.) Wilklund</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurada procumbens L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochradenus baccatus Delile.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>16.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum coloratum L.</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum turgidum Forsk.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parapholis incurva (L.) C.E. Hubb.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pergularia tomentosa L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantago lagopus L.</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantago notata Lag.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluchea dioecordis (L.) DC.</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poa annua L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarpae repens (Forsk.) Asch.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulicaria incisa (Lam.) DC. Prodr.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulicaria undulata (L.) C.A. Mey.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reichardia tingitana (L.) Roth.</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reseda decursiva Forsk.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retama raetam (Forsk.) Webb &amp; Berthel.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>13.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex vesicarius L.</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salsola kali L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopularia de serti Delile</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio glaucus L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spergularia media (L.) C. Presl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symphyotrichum squamatum (Spreng.) Nesom</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarix aphylla (L.) H. Karst.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarix nilotica (Ehrenb.) Bunge.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichodesma africanum (L.) R.Br.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigonella stellata Forsk.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
found that some soil variables are significant positively correlated with other soil variables such as silt with clay and calcium carbonate, while clay fraction is significantly correlated with bicarbonate. Calcium carbonate showed high significant correlations with carbonate and bicarbonate, while organic carbon exhibited significant correlations with pH and Mg$^{++}$. The electrical conductivity showed high significant correlations with Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{++}$, SAR and PAR. Chloride and carbonate ions showed high significant correlations with sulphates and bicarbonate, respectively. Cations exhibited high significant correlations with each. On the other hand, some other variables have significant negative correlation or none with soil variables such as sand, WHC, porosity, sulphates, bicarbonate and pH.

Correlations of edaphic variables with the important values of the dominant and abundant species are shown in Table 7. Silt and porosity correlated significantly with *Zygophyllum coccineum* ($r = 0.267$) and *Zilla spinosa* ($r = 0.258$), respectively. Calcium carbonate exhibited significant correlations with *Haloxylon salicornicum* ($r = 0.260$), *Zygophyllum coccineum* ($r = 0.440$) and *Zygophyllum simplex* ($r = 0.358$). CO$_3$ showed significant correlations with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Axis 1</th>
<th>Axis 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Volutaria lippii</em> (L.) Cass. Ex Maire.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zilla spinosa</em> (L.) Prantl.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zygophyllum coccineum</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zygophyllum decumbens</em> Delile.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zygophyllum simplex</em> L.</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>12.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) ordination diagram of plant species with soil variables. The indicator and preferential species are abbreviated to the first three letters of the genus and species, respectively. For plant species abbreviations, see Table 1.
### Table 6
Correlation matrix between the soil variables in the stands surveyed in the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil variables</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Silt</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Poc</th>
<th>WHC</th>
<th>CaCO$_3$</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>-0.994**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-0.624**</td>
<td>0.532**</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poc</td>
<td>0.308**</td>
<td>-0.334**</td>
<td>0.220*</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHC</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.253*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>-0.565**</td>
<td>0.580**</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.478**</td>
<td>-0.450**</td>
<td>-0.479**</td>
<td>-0.302**</td>
<td>-0.216*</td>
<td>-0.465**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.417**</td>
<td>-0.379**</td>
<td>-0.510**</td>
<td>-0.355**</td>
<td>-0.238*</td>
<td>-0.340**</td>
<td>0.753**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>-0.199*</td>
<td>-0.289**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.274**</td>
<td>-0.305**</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.594**</td>
<td>0.295**</td>
<td>-0.360**</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
<td>-0.204*</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>0.300**</td>
<td>-0.314**</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>0.281**</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.304**</td>
<td>0.206*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_3^{2-}$</td>
<td>-0.550**</td>
<td>0.548**</td>
<td>0.329**</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.481**</td>
<td>-0.371**</td>
<td>-0.233**</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO$_3^-$</td>
<td>-0.513**</td>
<td>0.498**</td>
<td>0.406**</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.597**</td>
<td>-0.569**</td>
<td>-0.595**</td>
<td>0.233*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>-0.252*</td>
<td>-0.376**</td>
<td>-0.206*</td>
<td>0.288**</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.529**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>-0.164</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>-0.225*</td>
<td>-0.228*</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>0.281**</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.481**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>-0.269**</td>
<td>-0.250*</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>0.291**</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.533**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.314**</td>
<td>-0.309**</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
<td>-0.253*</td>
<td>-0.235*</td>
<td>-0.345**</td>
<td>0.480**</td>
<td>0.255*</td>
<td>0.313**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>-0.349**</td>
<td>0.355**</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>-0.302**</td>
<td>0.336**</td>
<td>-0.402*</td>
<td>-0.375**</td>
<td>0.562**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>-0.222*</td>
<td>-0.242*</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.506**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Por.** = Porosity  
**OC** = Organic carbon  
**EC** = Electrical conductivity  
**SAR** = Sodium adsorption ratio  
**WHC** = Water holding capacity  
**Par.** = Potassium adsorption ratio  
* = Significant at $P < 0.05$  
** = Significant at $P < 0.01$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Edaphic variable</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Silt</th>
<th>Caly</th>
<th>Por.</th>
<th>WHC</th>
<th>CaCO₃</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cynodon dactylon</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
<td>0.297*</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.259*</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplotaxis harra</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagonia mollis</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloxylon salicornicu</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.084</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.260*</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea radiculata</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>-0.129</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea spinosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthiola longipetala</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>-0.257*</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochradenus baccatus</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retama reatam</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zilla spinosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>-0.164</td>
<td>0.258*</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygophyllum coccineum</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.266*</td>
<td>0.267*</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
<td>-0.223</td>
<td>0.440**</td>
<td>-0.421**</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>-0.307*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygophyllum simplex</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.133</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.358**</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7 cont’d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>CO₃⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Ca⁺⁺</th>
<th>Mg⁺⁺</th>
<th>SAR</th>
<th>PAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cynodon dactylon</td>
<td>0.388*</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplotaxis harra</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagonia mollis</td>
<td>-0.082</td>
<td>-0.085</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloxylon salicornicu</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea radiculata</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>0.365*</td>
<td>0.277*</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.287*</td>
<td>0.264*</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launaea spinosa</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthiola longipetala</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.390**</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochradenus baccatus</td>
<td>-0.193</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retama reatam</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zilla spinosa</td>
<td>-0.282*</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>-0.113</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygophyllum coccineum</td>
<td>-0.275*</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.379**</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygophyllum simplex</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.275*</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.085</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Por. = Porosity  SAR = Sodium adsorption ratio  EC = Electrical conductivity  PAR = Potassium adsorption ratio  WHC = Water holding capacity  OC = Organic carbon  ** = Significant at P < 0.01
Matthiola longipetala \((r = 0.390)\) while, HCO\(_3\) correlated significantly with Zygophyllum coccineum \((r = 0.379)\) and Zygophyllum simplex \((r = 0.275)\). Cynodon dactylon exhibited significant correlations with sulphates \((r = 0.388)\), organic carbon \((r = 0.297)\) and electrical conductivity \((r = 0.259)\) while, Launaea nudicaulis correlated significantly with sodium \((r = 0.365)\), potassium \((r = 0.277)\), magnesium \((r = 0.287)\) and SAR \((r = 0.264)\).

The correlation between the identified vegetation groups and soil characteristics is shown on the ordination diagram produced by Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) of the biplot of species-environment. As shown in Fig. 4, it is clear that the percentages of soil texture (sand, silt and clay), water-holding capacity, pH, bicarbonate and organic carbon are the most effective soil variables. The dominant and abundant species of group A \((\text{Launaea nudicaulis, Cynodon dactylon, Cynanchum acutum and Pluchea dioscoridis})\) are separated at the right side of CCA-biplot diagram. These species in group A showed a close relationship with soil texture (clay and silt), SAR, Na\(^+\) and pH. Haloxylon salicornicum and Zilla spinosa as the dominant species in two groups \((\text{B and D})\), and abundant species \((\text{Ochradenus baccatus and Fagonia mollis})\) are separated at the upper left side of CCA biplot diagram and exhibited a distinct relationship with water-holding capacity, bicarbonate and CaCO\(_3\). While the dominant species of group C \((\text{Zygophyllum coccineum})\) and abundant species \((\text{Diplopatrix harra, Senecio glaucus and Zygophyllum simplex})\) of groups B and C are segregated at the lower right side of the diagram. These species exhibited a clear relationship with magnesium, porosity, calcium carbonate, silt, clay and organic carbon in the surface layer, as well as water-holding capacity in subsurface layer.

**Discussion**

Cairo-Suez, Ain Sokhnia- Makattam desert roads and Wadi Hagul are located in the northern part of the Eastern Desert of Egypt (The Galalah Desert) which extends east of the Nile Delta. These three localities represent the natural xeric habitat which is mainly inhabited by xerophytic vegetation. The natural plant in the present study is composed of 95 species belonging to 81 genera and related to 26 families. The major families were Asteraceae, Poaceae, Brassicaceae and Chenopodiaceae, which contributed collectively about 49.47% of the total recorded plant species. This indicated that these four families are leading taxa and constitute the major bulk of the flora of the study area. Similar results were also reported by other researchers (Abd El-Ghani, 2000; El-Amier et al., 2014; Salama et al., 2014). Pielou (1975) and Magurran (1988) point out that taxonomic diversity will be higher in an area in which the species are divided among many genera as opposed to one in which most species belong to the same genus, and still higher as these genera are divided among many families as opposed to few. Taxonomic diversity in terms of species/genera and genera/families ratios indicates that the study area is more diverse as compared with Sinai Peninsula as a whole (Ayyad et al., 2000; Barakat et al., 2014).

The dominance of perennials (60% of total recorded species) may be related to the nature of the habitat types in the present study in which the reproductive capacity, ecological, morphological and genetic plasticity are the limiting factors (Harper,
The high contribution of annuals (37.91% of total recorded species) can be attributed to time of study (March – May 2014) and short life cycle that enables them to resist the instability of the agro-ecosystem (Harper, 1977).

The life form spectra provide information which may help in assessing the response of vegetation to variations in environmental factors (Ayyad & El-Ghareeb, 1982). The present study demonstrated that therophytes was represented by 37.89% of the total recorded species, 32.63% chamaephytes, 22.44% cryptophytes, 14.74% hemicyryptophytes and 12.63% phanerophytes. The above results agree with those of other reports (Abd EL-Ghani et al., 2013; Salama et al., 2013; El-Amier et al., 2014). The dominance of therophytes over the other life-forms seems to be a response to Mediterranean climate, topography variation and biotic influence (Heneidy & Bidak, 2001). The highest values of hemicryptophytes and chamaephytes may be attributed to the ability of species to resist drought, salinity, sand accumulation and grazing (Danin & Orshan, 1990; Danin, 1996).

Chorological analysis of the floristic data revealed that the Saharo-Sindian chorotype forms the major component of the floristic structure where it was represented by 72.63%. This is in accordance with the results obtained by Danin & Plitman (1987) on the phytogeographical analysis of the flora of Israel and Sinai, and Salama et al. (2013) on vegetation analysis and species diversity in the desert of coastal wadis of South Sinai. The high percentage of Saharo-Sindian chorotype may be attributed to the fact that plants of the Saharo-Sindian species are good indicators for desert environmental conditions. It is worth noting that the species composition of the studied area varied considerably from those of the Mediterranean coast. This may be attributed mainly to the differences in the nature of soil sediments. The floristic elements of the Mediterranean coastal belt enjoy better climatic conditions than those of the other parts of Egypt (Zahran & Willis, 1992).

The present study revealed that stands of group A have the highest salinity soil dominated by Launaea nudicaulis, and the lowest species richness (1.58 ± 0.6 species stands⁻¹). Group B, dominated by Haloxylon salicornicum, has the average species richness (2.32 ± 0.8 species stands⁻¹) and exhibited a distinct relationship with water-holding capacity, bicarbonate and CaCO₃. The dominant species of group C (Zygophyllum coccineum) has the average species richness (1.69 ± 0.4 species stands⁻¹). This group exhibited a clear relationship with porosity and sulphates. Group D dominated by Zilla spinosa and the most diversified among the recognized groups with highest species richness (2.43±0.9 species stands⁻¹), this may explains the high contribution of annuals in this group. It inhabited soil with the highest water-holding capacity, bicarbonate and CaCO₃. These results are in line with those of Abd El-Ghani and Amer (2003) in El-Qaa plain of South Sinai, Abd El-Wahab et al. (2006) in Gebel Serbal of South Sinai, Abd El-Ghani et al. (2013) in the reclaimed lands along the northern sector of the Nile Valley and El-Amier et al. (2014) in costal sand formation. These groups were separated markedly along DCA ordination axes. It is of interest to note that interspecific relationships between the above mentioned vegetation groups may be due to the close similarities of their floristic composition and natural habitats.
The gravel desert is one of the most characteristic features of these roads. While, Wadi Hagul occupies the valley depression between Gebel Ataqa to the north and the Kahaliya ridge to the south, its main channel extends for about 35 km, collects drainage on both sides and debouch into the Gulf of Suez. It is characterized by local physiographic variations and physiognomic heterogeneity.

Soil texture, salinity and organic carbon can affect phytodiversity of wild communities (El-Sheikh, 1989; Andersson & Skovgaard, 2009; Pinke et al., 2010). In the present study linear correlation of soil variables with the important values of some dominant species indicates significant associations between the floristic composition of the study area and the edaphic factors such as soil texture, organic carbon, carbonate, bicarbonate, sulphates and sodium. Moreover, silt, clay, organic carbon, CaCO₃, SO₄⁻, magnesium and potassium exhibited significant differences between vegetation groups (A–D). These results suggest the effective role of these soil parameters in the study area community structure and diversity. The present findings agree with those of Al-Sodany (1992), Mashaly (2001), El-Halawany (2003) and Zahran et al. (2014). Soil texture may affect soil or productivity via influence on the soil water holding capacity, infiltration rate, moisture availability for plants and, consequently, plant nutrition (Sperry & Hacke, 2002; El-Sheikh, 2013).

**Conclusion**

The present study provided an assessment of the vegetation and plant diversity in northern part of Eastern Desert to help in management and conservation of these natural resources. Different types of human impacts, including urbanization, agriculture, mining and quarrying, over collection and over cutting of woody species threaten biodiversity of Eastern Desert. Therefore, the conservation of natural habitats of this desert is of vital importance. The recorded 95 plant species can play a vital role in the economic and medicinal purposes. Hence, for the Egyptian desert, especially the inland desert, there is the need for judicious utilization and sustainable development.
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