

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Phys Chem B. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 October 11

Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem B. 2007 October 11; 111(40): 11611–11613. doi:10.1021/jp0750912.

## **Vibrational Stark Effect Probes for Nucleic Acids**

Lisa N. Silverman<sup>§</sup>, Michael E. Pitzer<sup>†</sup>, Peter O. Ankomah<sup>†</sup>, Steven G. Boxer<sup>§\*</sup>, and Edward E. Fenlon<sup>†\*</sup>

Spepartment of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5080

†Department of Chemistry, Franklin & Marshall College, PO Box 3003, Lancaster, PA 17601

### Abstract

The vibrational Stark effect (VSE) has proven to be an effective method for the study of electric fields in proteins via the use of infrared probes. In order to explore the use of VSE in nucleic acids, the Stark spectroscopy of nine structurally diverse nucleosides was investigated. These nucleosides contained nitrile or azide probes in positions that correspond to both the major and minor grooves of DNA. The nitrile probes showed better characteristics and exhibited absorption frequencies over a broad range; i.e., from 2253 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2'-*O*-cyanoethyl ribonucleosides **8** and **9** to 2102 cm<sup>-1</sup> for a <sup>13</sup>C-labeled 5-thiocyanatomethyl-2'-deoxyuridine **3c**. The largest Stark tuning rate observed was  $|\Delta\mu| = 1.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}/(\text{MV/cm})$  for both 5-cyano-2'-deoxyuridine **1** and N2-nitrile-2'-deoxyguanosine **7**. The latter is a particularly attractive probe because of its high extinction coefficient ( $\epsilon = 412 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and ease of incorporation into oligomers.

### Keywords

Stark spectroscopy; tuning rate; electrostatics; electric field; nucleosides; nitriles; azides; DNA; RNA

### Introduction

DNA and RNA are polyanions, with one formal negative charge per monomer unit, where the phosphate charge ensures that water solubility and electrostatic interactions play important roles in their structure and function. Electrostatic interactions in nucleic acids have been extensively studied by a variety of theoretical methods, 1-7 often with conflicting results. In contrast, relatively little experimental data on electric fields in nucleic acids are available, including only one spin-labeling electron-electron double-resonance EPR study 9-11 and one fluorescein p $K_a$  shift experiment. 12,13 The probes used in both of these studies are large relative to a single nucleoside, and this presents two potential limitations: they cannot properly address changes in electrostatic potential over short distances, and they may also disrupt the native structure of the DNA.

Recently, the vibrational Stark effect (VSE), which describes the sensitivity of a vibrational probe to an external electric field, has been used to measure electric fields in proteins.<sup>14–18</sup> In this method, a probe vibration is calibrated by measuring the Stark tuning rate of the transition in a known external electric field, after which it is used as a reporter of electrostatic environment in an organized system whose electric field changes in response to a mutation,

folding or binding event. This change in electric field,  $\Delta \vec{F}_{system}$  interacts with the change in

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Stark Spectroscopy: E-mail: sboxer@stanford.edu. Telephone: 650-723-4482. Fax: 650-723-4817. Synthesis: E-mail: efenlon@fandm.edu. Telephone: 717-291-4201. Fax: 717-291-4343.

dipole moment or Stark tuning rate,  $\Delta \vec{\mu}_{probe}$  for the vibrational transition to produce a frequency shift,  $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{obs}$  (in cm<sup>-1</sup>):

 $hc\Delta\overline{\nu}_{obs} = -\Delta\overrightarrow{\mu}_{probe}\cdot\Delta\overrightarrow{F}_{system}$ 

where *h* is Planck's constant and *c* is the speed of light. With  $\Delta \vec{\mu}_{\text{probe}}$  calibrated in a defined external electric field, frequency shifts can be measured in a variety of environments by

conventional IR spectroscopy and interpreted in terms of the projection of  $\Delta \vec{F}_{system}$  on

 $\Delta \vec{\mu}_{\text{probe}}$  the resulting  $\Delta \vec{F}_{\text{system}}$  can be directly compared with electrostatics calculations.

The ideal properties of a VSE probe for a biomolecule<sup>14,19,20</sup> include: (1) an absorption frequency that is in a clear region of the IR spectrum; (2) an absorption band that is narrow with a relatively high extinction coefficient; (3) an absorption band that is as sensitive as possible to changes in the local electrostatic environment, i.e. has the largest possible Stark tuning rate (given in units of cm<sup>-1</sup>/(MV/cm), which describes the shift in cm<sup>-1</sup> of an IR absorption band per unit of electric field projected on the vibrator bond axis); (4) small size so that the perturbation of the native structure is minimized; and (5) chemical stability. Nitriles (R-CN) meet all of these requirements.<sup>14,19–26</sup> Azides (R-N<sub>3</sub>) may also be useful, though they are less stable than nitriles, their IR spectra are more complex, and contributions from different resonance structures may complicate the analysis. On the other hand, azides have been incorporated into carbohydrates,<sup>27</sup> proteins,<sup>28</sup> and nucleic acids<sup>29</sup> due to their ability to undergo selective reactions, such as "click" cycloadditions with alkynes.<sup>30</sup>

Nitriles, thiocyanates, and azides can be readily incorporated into DNA<sup>31–39</sup> and RNA.<sup>38–40</sup> Previously, these functional groups were incorporated into oligomers by accident,<sup>31,32</sup> or they were synthesized in order to test medicinal, biochemical, or electronic properties. It is desirable to have probes in both the major and minor grooves, Figure 1, requiring structural diversity in the nucleosides containing the vibrational probe. To this end, we have prepared nine nucleosides with nitrile, thiocyanate, or azide groups, shown in Chart I, and explored their potential to act as vibrational probes.

### Materials and Methods

The nucleosides studied were known compounds (6, 8, 9) or prepared from known compounds. <sup>41</sup> All new compounds (1–5, 7) had <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR, and mass spectral properties that are fully consistent with the assigned structures.<sup>41</sup> The experimental setup for measurement of Stark tuning rates and the equations used to determine the Stark tuning rate from the spectral data have been described in detail elsewhere.<sup>14</sup> All Stark spectra were carried out on 25  $\mu$ m thick samples in frozen glass solvents to prevent poling of the sample in response to the applied electric field. Typically, external electric fields of up to 1.4 MV/cm were achieved.

### **Results and Discussion**

Nitriles satisfy all of the criteria for probe selection described above, and therefore we synthesized an array of nucleosides containing this functional group. It is known that aromatic nitriles generally have larger Stark tuning rates than aliphatic ones;<sup>19</sup> however, in the interest of creating a structurally diverse set of probes, we chose to synthesize nucleosides containing either type of nitrile. As expected, a large Stark tuning rate was seen in 1 ( $|\Delta\mu| = 1.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}/((MV/cm))$ ), in which the nitrile is directly bound to the uracil ring (Table I). This is a larger Stark tuning rate than that observed for 4-cyanophenylalanine, a VSE probe for protein electrostatics, <sup>14</sup> making this compound an excellent choice for probing the DNA major groove. As an illustration of the superiority of aromatic over aliphatic nitriles, the major groove probe

Silverman et al.

2, which differs from 1 by a single methylene group between the nitrile and the uracil ring, is less suitable, having low intensity ( $\epsilon = 23 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and no observable Stark effect even at 500 mM concentration in an applied electric field of up to 1.4 MV/cm. Likewise, the cytidine-based probe 5, also containing a cyanomethyl group on the aromatic ring, has low intensity ( $\epsilon = 22 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and no observable Stark effect. Despite the poor characteristics of these cyanomethyl groups, significant Stark effects were observed for aliphatic nitriles at remote positions to the bases. For example, the RNA minor groove probes 8 and 9, which have a cyanoethyl group on the ribose moiety, have Stark tuning rates nearly half that of the aromatic nitrile 1 ( $|\Delta \mu| = 0.46$  and 0.50 cm<sup>-1</sup>/(MV/cm), respectively). We expect a similar Stark tuning rate for other 2'-cyanoethyl-ribose-labeled nucleosides, making this a versatile motif for probing electric fields in a wide range of oligonucleotides.

One advantage to using VSE probes in oligonucleotides is that it is straightforward to incorporate any number of modified nucleosides by standard solid-phase synthesis techniques. This provides an opportunity to incorporate multiple spectrally-resolved probes in order to get information about the electrostatic environment at several locations simultaneously. To this end, we have examined several aminenitriles and thiocyanates, which are expected to have peaks at lower energies than standard carbon-bound nitriles. Indeed, the aminenitrile 7 has a CN stretch that is more than  $60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  lower in energy than in any of the carbon-bound nitriles. Despite the relative broadness of this peak compared to carbon-bound nitriles, it has the highest intensity and Stark tuning rate of any compound that we examined ( $\varepsilon = 412 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $|\Delta u| =$ 1.1 cm<sup>-1</sup>/(MV/cm)), making it a useful probe of the DNA minor groove. An additional benefit of probe 7 stems from the fact that it can be easily incorporated into oligomers using the known conversion of a dimethylforamidine (dmf) protecting group to a nitrile as part of the solidphase synthesis.<sup>31</sup> The method involves the use of the commercially available dmf protection only on the deoxyguanosine(s) undergoing conversion to the nitrile probe and the traditional isobutyryl protection on all others.<sup>42</sup> We have found that the most effective method for conversion is to treat the oligomer with a small quantity of iodine and ammonia after the synthesis cycle is complete but prior to the final deprotection.<sup>42</sup> To get to even lower energy, we have synthesized the <sup>13</sup>C-labeled thiocyanate 3c, which has its peak at 2102 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This compound has a smaller Stark tuning rate ( $|\Delta \mu| = 0.30 \text{ cm}^{-1}/(\text{MV/cm})$ ), making it less useful as an individual probe, but still allowing it to complement 1 and 7 in a three-probe oligonucleotide sequence.

In addition to the variety of nitrile-containing nucleosides that we have studied, we have also synthesized two azide-containing nucleosides: probe **4** is analogous to the nitrile-containing **2**, and probe **6** has an azide directly bound to the 2' position on the ribose moiety. Both **4** and **6** have three overlapping IR absorption bands in the azide region due to the presence of coupled symmetric and antisymmetric stretching modes. Stark effects were observable for each of the bands, and for both probes, the Stark tuning rate is similar although not identical across the three azide bands. We report an averaged value of the Stark tuning rate for each of these azide-containing compounds in Table 1; however, the inability to deconvolute the Stark tuning rates of the individual bands makes the interpretation of peak shifts as a function of electrostatic field potentially more difficult for the azides than for the simpler nitrile probes.

In conclusion, we have laid the foundation for the use of VSE as a new experimental method to measure electric fields in nucleic acids by measuring the Stark tuning rates for a variety of nitrile and azide probes. Nitrilede-oxyuridine **1** and nitrile-deoxyguanosine **7** appear to be particularly attractive probes for the major and minor grooves, respectively, of DNA. Work on Stark shifts of nitriles incorporated into DNA oligomers is ongoing.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. Todd Trout of Lancaster Country Day School for the syntheses of compounds **3** and **3c** and Scott Morrow of Johns Hopkins University for his work on the synthesis of nitrile-oligonucleotides. We gratefully acknowledge the help of Beth Buckwalter, Lisa Mertzman, and Carol Strausser, of Franklin & Marshall. Finally, we gratefully acknowledge financial support for this work from the NSF (0412901) for purchase of a 500 MHz NMR at Franklin & Marshall, and the William M. and Lucille M. Hackman Scholars Program at F&M; this work was also supported in part by a grant from the NIH (GM27738 to S.G.B.).

### References

- 1. Reddy SY, Leclerc F, Karplus M. Biophys. J 2003;84:1421-1449. [PubMed: 12609851]
- 2. Khandogin J, York DM. J. Phys. Chem 2002;106:7693-7703.
- 3. Kornyshev AA, Leikin S. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci 1998;95:13579–13584. [PubMed: 9811842]
- 4. Chin K, Sharp KA, Honig B, Pyle AM. Nat. Struct. Bio 1999;11:1055-1061. [PubMed: 10542099]
- Draper DE, Grilley D, Soto AM. Ions and RNA folding. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct 2005;34:221–243. [PubMed: 15869389]
- Cherstvy AG. Effect of DNA charge helicity on B–Z DNA transition. J. Chem. Phys 2005;123:116101-1–16101-3. [PubMed: 16392598]
- 7. Misra VK, Honig B. The electrostatic contribution to the B to Z transition of DNA. Biochemistry 1996;35:1115–1124. [PubMed: 8573566]
- Honig B, Nicholls A. Classical electrostatics in biology and chemistry. Science 1995;268:1144–1149. [PubMed: 7761829]
- 9. Shin Y-K, Hubbell WL. Biophys. J 1992;61:1443-1453. [PubMed: 1319760]
- 10. Hecht JL, Honig B, Shin Y-K, Hubbell WL. 1995;99:7782-7786.
- For more on the spin-label approach, see:Likhtenshtein GI, Adin I, Novoselsky A, Shames A, Vaisbuch I, Glaser R. Biophys. J 1999;77:443–453. [PubMed: 10388770]
- 12. Sjöback R, Nygren J, Kubista M. Biopolymers 1998;46:445–453. [PubMed: 9838871]
- 13. The electrostatic difference between DNA and its solution has also been investigated recently, see:Carrasco M, Coca R, Cruz I, Daza S, Espina M, Garcia-Fernandez E, Guerra FJ, León R, Marchena MJ, Pérez I, Puente M, Suárez E, Valencia I, Villalba I, Jiménez R. Chem. Phys. Lett 2007;441:148–151.
- 14. Suydam IT, Boxer SG. Biochemistry 2003;42:12050-12055. [PubMed: 14556636]
- 15. Suydam IT, Snow CD, Pande VS, Boxer SG. Science 2006;313:200–204. [PubMed: 16840693]
- (a) Park ES, Andrews SS, Hu RB, Boxer SG. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999;103:9813–9817. (b) Park ES, Thomas MR, Boxer SG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000;122:12297–12303. (c) Fafarman AT, Webb LJ, Chuang JI, Boxer SG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:13356–13357. [PubMed: 17031938]
- (a) Kriegl JM, Nienhaus K, Deng P, Fuchs J, Nienhaus GH. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 2003;100:7069–7074. [PubMed: 12773621] (b) Lehle H, Kriegl JM, Nienhaus K, Deng P, Fengler S, Nienhaus GU. Biophys. J 2004;88:1978–1990. [PubMed: 15596507]and references therein
- For calculations on the VSE, see:(a) Dalsosto SD, Vanderkooi JM, Sharp KA. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004;108:6450–6457. (b) Brewer SH, Franzen S. J. Chem. Phys 2003;119:851–858.
- 19. Andrews SS, Boxer SG. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000;104:11853-11863.
- 20. Getahum Z, Huang C-Y, Wang T, De Leon B, DeGrado WF, Gai F. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:405–411. [PubMed: 12517152]
- (a) Chattopadhyay A, Boxer SG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1995;117:1449–1450. (b) Andrews SS, Boxer SG. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002;106:469–477.
- 22. Reimers JR, Hall LE. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1999;121:3730-3744.
- 22. Huang CY, Wang T, Gai F. Chem. Phys. Lett 2003;371:731–738.

- 25. Yoshikawa S, O'Keeffe DHD, Caughey WS. J. Biol. Chem 1985;260:3518–3528. [PubMed: 3972836]
- 26. Schutz KC, Supekova L, Ryu Y, Xie J, Perera R, Schutlz PG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:13984–13985. [PubMed: 17061854]
- (a) Perez-Balderas F, Ortega-Munoz M, Morales-Sanfrutos J, Hernandez-Mateo F, Calvo-Flores FG, Calvo-Asin JA, Isac-Garcia J, Santoyo-Gonzalez F. Org. Lett 2003;5:1951–1954. [PubMed: 12762694] (b) Bodine KD, Gin DY, Gin MS. Org. Lett 2005;7:4479–4482. [PubMed: 16178563]
- 28. (a) Kiick KL, Saxon E, Tirrell DA, Bertozzi CR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 2002;99:19–24.
  [PubMed: 11752401] (b) Agard NJ, Prescher JA, Bertozzi CR. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:15046–15047. [PubMed: 15547999]
- 29. (a) Seo TS, Li Z, Ruparel H, Ju J. J. Org. Chem 2003;68:609–612. [PubMed: 12530893] (b) Lu G, Burgess K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2006;16:3902–3905. [PubMed: 16757167]
- (a) Kolb HC, Finn MG, Sharpless KB. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2001;40:2004–2021. (b) Rostovtsev VV, Green LG, Fokin VV, Sharpless KB. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2002;41:2596–2599. (c) Tornøe CW, Christensen C, Meldal M. J. Org. Chem 2002;67:3057–3064. [PubMed: 11975567]
- 31. Mullah B, Andrus A, Zhao H, Jones RA. Tetrahedron Lett 1995;36:4373-4376.
- 32. Markley JC, Chirakul P, Sologub D, Sigurdsson S. Th. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2001;11:2453-2455.
- 33. Hayakawa T, Ono A, Udea T. Nucleic Acids Res 1988;16:4761-4776. [PubMed: 2838807]
- 34. Graham D, Parkinson JA, Brown T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans. 1 1998:1131–1138.
- 35. Ishikawa R, Kojima C, Ono A, Kainosho M. Magn. Reson. Chem 2001;39:S159–S165.
- 36. Hwang GT, Romesberg FE. Nucleic Acids Res 2006;34:2037-2045. [PubMed: 16617144]
- 37. Polushin NN, Smirnov IP, Verentchikov AN, Coull JM. Tetrahedron Lett 1996;37:3227-3230.
- 38. Bradley DH, Hanna MM. Tetrahedron Lett 1992;33:6223-6226.
- 39. Evans RK, Haley BE. Biochemistry 1987;26:269-276. [PubMed: 3548818]
- 40. Saneyoshi H, Seio K, Sekine M. J. Org. Chem 2005;70:10453-10460. [PubMed: 16323857]
- 41. See Supporting Information for more details.
- 42. Fenlon EE, Pitzer ME, Ankomah PO, Morrow S. 2007unpublished results

Silverman et al.



### Figure 1.

**a**. Thymine-adenine base pair. **b**. Guanine-cytosine base pair. (R1 = major groove vibrational probe; R2 = minor groove vibrational probe)

Silverman et al.



Chart I.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

# NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table I IR and Stark Snactroscomic Data for Nucleoside Vibrational Probas

punoduu	Peak Position (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	FWHM (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	${}^{\mathbf{E}}_{(\mathbf{M}^{1}\mathbf{cm}^{-1})}$	Tuning Rate (cm <sup>-1</sup> /MV/cm)	Solvent
VA Major					
	2231	8	332	1.1	2-MeTHF
	2252	14	23		2-MeTHF
	2102	∞	175	0.30	2-MeTHF
	2117,			0.51	2-MeTHF
	2104, 2076				
	0/07	ç.	ç		
	0077	10	77		2-MethF
IA Minor oove					
	2139,			0.45	2-MeTHF
	2120, 2097				
	2170	29	412	1.1	4:1 PLA - DAGO
IA Minor oove					UCINIC/JUNIU/
	2253	10	41	0.48	2-MeTHF
	2253	6	25	0.50	1:1 PhMe/MeOH

Abbreviations: DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide), MeOH (methanol), 2-MeTHF (2-methyltetrahydrofuran), PhMe (toluene)

Silverman et al.