Virtual network functions placement and routing optimization — **Source link**

Bernardetta Addis, Dallal Belabed, Mathieu Bouet, Stefano Secci

Institutions: University of Paris, Thales Communications

Topics: Virtual network, Network topology and Virtualization

Related papers:

- Near optimal placement of virtual network functions

- Specifying and placing chains of virtual network functions

- VNF-P: A model for efficient placement of virtualized network functions

- Piecing together the NFV provisioning puzzle: Efficient placement and chaining of virtual network functions

- Network Function Virtualization: State-of-the-Art and Research Challenges

Share this paper:

Virtual Network Functions Placement and Routing Optimization

Bernardetta Addis, Dallal Belabed, Mathieu Bouet, Stefano Secci

HAL Id: hal-01170042
https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01170042v2

Submitted on 12 Sep 2015

Virtual Network Functions Placement and Routing Optimization

Bernardetta Addis[*], Dallal Belabed[†], Mathieu Bouet[‡], Stefano Secci[†]

[*]LORIA, France. Email: bernardetta.addis@loria.fr.

[†] Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7606, LIP6. Email: {dallal.belabed, stefano.secci}@upmc.fr

[‡]Thales Communications & Security. Email: mathieu.bouet@thalesgroup.com

Abstract—Network Functions Virtualization (NFV) is incrementally deployed by Internet Service Providers (ISPs) in their carrier networks, by means of Virtual Network Function (VNF) chains, to address customers' demands. The motivation is the increasing manageability, reliability and performance of NFV systems, the gains in energy and space granted by virtualization, at a cost that becomes competitive with respect to legacy physical network function nodes. From a network optimization perspective, the routing of VNF chains across a carrier network implies key novelties making the VNF chain routing problem unique with respect to the state of the art: the bitrate of each demand flow can change along a VNF chain, the VNF processing latency and computing load can be a function of the demands traffic, VNFs can be shared among demands, etc. In this paper, we provide an NFV network model suitable for ISP operations. We define the generic VNF chain routing optimization problem and devise a mixed integer linear programming formulation. By extensive simulation on realistic ISP topologies, we draw conclusions on the trade-offs achievable between legacy Traffic Engineering (TE) ISP goals and novel combined TE-NFV goals.

Fig. 1. VNF chaining with virtualized Customer Premises Equipment (vCPE).

I. INTRODUCTION

With the emergence of Network Functions Virtualization (NFV) [1], [2] the attention of network virtualization research is now focusing on key aspects of NFV systems that were either not considered relevant or not conceived before industry effort at Standards Developing Organizations (SDOs). Key aspects that are worth being mentioned are the:

- NFV service chaining provisioning;
- flow orchestration over VNF chains as a function of demand assignment to existing VNF chains or sub-chains;
- ingress/egress bit-rate variations at VNFs due to specific VNF operations (e.g., compression/decompression);
- VNF processing and forwarding latency as an orchestration parameter to take into account for emerging fastpath solutions such as [3].

ETSI is de-facto the reference SDO for the NFV high-level functional architecture specification. ETSI specifies three layers for the NFV architecture: Virtual Network Functions (VNFs), the nodes; NFV Infrastructure (NFVI), including the elements needed to run VNFs such as the hypervisor node and the virtualization clusters; MANagement and Orchestration (MANO), handling the operations needed to run, migrate, optimize VNF nodes and chains, possibly in relationship with transport network orchestrators.

A promising NFV use-case for carrier networks is the virtual Customer Premises Equipment (vCPE) that simplifies the CPE equipment by means of virtualized individual network functions placed at access and aggregation network locations, as depicted in Fig. 1. MANO operations must take into consideration the special nature of NFV architectures, such as the latency/traffic bounds at both the VNF node and the end-to-end levels, the fact that some VNFs can modify the incoming bitrate by compressing or decompressing it, etc. In this context, the paper contribution is as follows:

- we define and formulate via mathematical programming the VNF Placement and Routing (VNF-PR) optimization problem, including compression/decompression constraints and two forwarding latency regimes (with and without fastpath), under both TE and NFV objectives.
- we design a math-heuristic approach allowing us to run experiments also for large instances of the problem within an acceptable execution time.
- we evaluate our solution on realistic settings. We draw considerations on NFV deployment strategies.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the state of the art on NFV orchestration. Section III describes the network model and the Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) formulation. Analysis and discussion of optimization results are given in Section IV. Section V concludes the paper.