

VISUAL WRITING:

A critique of graphic devices in hybrid novels,
from a Visual Communication Design perspective



Zoë Sadokierski

Doctor of Philosophy – Design

2010

University of Technology, Sydney

Certificate of Authorship/Originality



I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.


I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Zoë Sadokierski

Acknowledgements



First, I wholeheartedly thank my principal supervisor Dr Kate Sweetapple. Without her encouragement, mentorship and much appreciated sense of humour, I doubt I would find myself here.



I thank my Co-Supervisor, Dr Naomi Stead, for her feedback, enthusiasm and editing skills. In addition, I also thank Dr Cameron Tonkinwise and Dr Sally McLaughlin for their respective supervision in 2006 and 2007, and the support of academics in the visual communications faculty who encouraged and inspired me, particularly Mark Roxburgh, Jacqueline Kasunic, Jacqueline Gothe, Ian Gwilt and Louise McWhinnie. I would also like to acknowledge my gratitude for receiving a DAB faculty scholarship.

Many people supported me through this research. I would like to thank: the happily eclectic mix of postgraduate students in room 675, past and present; Timo for his delightfully wicked sense of humour; Cris for generously talking and reading through my work and keeping an eye on my vital statistics in those last, heady weeks; Astrid and Tom/Fred for the writing retreats; Craig and Katherine for making home a fun place to be; everyone who contributed opinions and book suggestions; and my terrific parents, who kindly proof-read this thesis.

Finally, I thank my family and friends who support me in the best way possible – with food and wine. I promise never to do anything like this again.

Preface




This document breaks two of the presentation guidelines for a doctoral thesis set by the Graduate School at the University of Technology, Sydney. Here, I justify why.

First, the presentation guidelines stipulate that examiners' copies must be printed single sided. This exegesis is designed as a double page document because at times it is important to compare images that appear on facing pages.

Second, producing a list of 'illustrations' is difficult – images within this thesis form a significant part of my argument. Often, images are integrated with written text, rather than separated as 'illustrations'. This will become clear as the argument is established.

Contents



| | |
|--|-----------|
| Certificate of Authorship/Originality | iii |
| Acknowledgements | iv |
| Abstract | ix |
| | |
| Chapter One: Introduction | 1 |
| Section One: Motivation – identifying an insufficiently explained phenomenon, in practice | 2 |
| Section Two: Positioning the research – the potential for the ‘distinct knowledge’ of Visual Communication Design to explain graphic devices in hybrid novels | 7 |
| Section Three: Aims, research questions and chapter overview | 12 |
| | |
| Chapter Two: Preliminary Study | 15 |
| Section One: Conventions of word-image interplay in novels – graphic devices as supplementary additions | 16 |
| <i>Primary text versus paratext</i> | 17 |
| <i>The articulate package; material, typographic and graphic devices</i> | 19 |
| Section Two: Preliminary survey and analysis of fifteen hybrid novels | 25 |
| <i>Criteria for identifying hybrid novels</i> | 27 |
| <i>Typology of graphic devices in hybrid novels</i> | 28 |
| Section Three: Critical reception of hybrid novels | 54 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chapter Three: Theoretical Framework | 59 |
| <i>The duel between word and image</i> | 61 |
| <i>Visual Studies – calls for exploratory and discursive critique of hybrid texts</i> | 66 |
| | |
| Chapter Four: Analytical Approach | 76 |
| Section One: Developing analytical tools – the ‘good eye’ of the practitioner, the ‘curious eye’ of the practitioner-researcher | 79 |
| Section Two: Analytical tools for critiquing graphic devices in hybrid novels | 85 |
| <i>Tool One: Thumbnail schema</i> | 85 |
| <i>Tool Two: Questionnaire for graphic devices</i> | 89 |
| <i>Tool Three: Reviewing epitexts</i> | 92 |
| | |
| Chapter Five: Primary Study | 95 |
| Section One: <i>Extremely Loud and Incredibly Close</i> , Jonathan Safran Foer | 98 |
| <i>Contextual information</i> | 102 |
| <i>Synopsis</i> | 103 |
| <i>Analysis of graphic devices</i> | 105 |
| <i>Critical reception</i> | 136 |
| Section Two: <i>The Raw Shark Texts</i> , Steven Hall | 140 |
| <i>Contextual information</i> | 144 |
| <i>Synopsis</i> | 145 |
| <i>Analysis of graphic devices</i> | 146 |
| <i>Critical reception</i> | 157 |
| Section Three: <i>You Shall Know Our Velocity</i> , Dave Eggers | 162 |
| <i>Contextual information</i> | 166 |
| <i>Synopsis</i> | 168 |
| <i>Analysis of graphic devices</i> | 169 |
| <i>Critical reception</i> | 188 |
| Reflections on the Primary Study | 190 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chapter Six: Conclusion and Future Implications | 197 |
| Findings | 198 |
| Future Implications | 200 |
| Epilogue | 203 |
| | |
| Appendix | 204 |
| Appendix A: Survey sent to readers, writers and critics, and a long list of hybrid novels, compiled from the survey | 205 |
| Appendix B: Other hybrid literary types | 211 |
| Appendix C: Sundays – thinking through making | 218 |
| Appendix D: Writing Workshop – experimenting with graphic devices | 228 |
| Appendix E: 125 reviews of hybrid novels, analysed to generate word clouds | 236 |
| | |
| Bibliography | 241 |

Abstract



This thesis examines *hybrid novels* – novels in which graphic devices like photographs, drawings and experimental typography are integrated into the written text. Within hybrid novels, word and image combine to create a text that is neither purely written, nor purely visual. Although not new, hybrid novels are increasingly appearing in commercial publishing, and increasingly recognised as an insufficiently explained phenomenon by both literary critics and academics.

Book reviews and essays show that readers and critics accustomed to conventional novels can find hybrid novels perplexing. They ask: What are these images? What are they doing in novels? How does one ‘read’ them? These questions point to the need for new approaches to the analysis and critique of hybrid texts, approaches that account for the interplay between words and images. This thesis proposes that Visual Communication Designers – those versed in both the verbal and the visual – offer useful analytical tools and critique for the study of hybrid texts. So the research asks: How could a designer’s particular knowledge of word-image interplay explain the function of graphic devices in hybrid novels?

A preliminary study of fifteen hybrid novels develops: criteria for identifying hybrid novels; a typology of graphic devices in hybrid novels – photographs, illustrative elements, unconventional typesetting, ephemera and diagrams; and a set of analytical tools to critique the effectiveness of the graphic devices in hybrid novels. Then, a primary study uses the analytical tools to critique the graphic devices in three exemplar hybrid novels: Jonathan Safran Foer’s *Extremely Loud and Incredibly Close*, Steven Hall’s *The Raw Shark Texts* and Dave Egger’s *You Shall Know Our Velocity*.

This thesis is practice-led in that an issue identified through my design practice led to the research, and analytical and critical tools derived from practice are applied as research methods. The research also draws upon a theoretical framework from the emergent field of Visual Studies, where scholars call for the interdisciplinary study of hybrid texts in a critically acute and widely accessible way.

Finally, this thesis is itself a hybrid text; a combination of graphic devices and writing form parts of the argument.

