

## Voltage measurement in current zero investigations

**Citation for published version (APA):**

van den Heuvel, W. M. C., & Kersten, W. F. J. (1969). *Voltage measurement in current zero investigations*. (EUT report. E, Fac. of Electrical Engineering; Vol. 69-E-07). Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven.

**Document status and date:**

Published: 01/01/1969

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

**Please check the document version of this publication:**

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

E A  
6804

th e

VOLTAGE MEASUREMENT IN CURRENT ZERO  
INVESTIGATIONS

W.M.C. van den Heuvel

W.F.J. Kersten

TECHNISCHE HOGESCHOOL EINDHOVEN  
NEDERLAND  
AFDELING ELEKTROTECHNIEK  
GROEP HOGE SPANNINGEN EN HOGE STROMEN

TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY EINDHOVEN  
THE NETHERLANDS  
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING  
GROUP HIGH VOLTAGES AND HIGH CURRENTS

Voltage measurement in current zero  
investigations

W.M.C. van den Heuvel  
W.F.J. Kersten

september 1969

TH-Report 69 - E - 07

<u>CONTENTS</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction.	2
2. Requirements for the dividing circuits.	2
2.1 The ratio between the applied voltage and the measured voltage.	2
2.2 The mixed divider.	3
2.3 Measuring errors due to inaccuracy of the RC-ratio.	5
2.4 The inherent selfinductances.	9
2.5 The measuring cable.	10
2.6 The complete measuring circuit with a mixed divider.	12
3. Conclusions in respect of divider constructions.	14

-----

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Despite intensive theoretical and experimental work in the last decennia the process of current interruption in high voltage circuit breakers is least of all got to the bottom of understanding. The main reason is that the success or failure of interruption is mostly determined by phenomena occurring within a few or some tens of micro-seconds before and after current zero. These phenomena depend on the physical properties of the gas discharge as well as on the electrical characteristics of the circuit. Therefore the interruption is a complicated cooperation of a number of factors which assert their influence simultaneously or successively. Besides further extension of the available theories an amount of experimental work on circuit breakers, breaker models and gas discharges will have to get through for a more complete comprehension. The most important expedient in these investigations are the oscillograms of current and voltage during the interruption period.

Modern cathode ray oscillography is developed adequately to registrate even the very rapid electrical transients with a sufficient rate of accuracy. However, the measuring circuits required to adapt the currents and voltages in the high voltage circuits to the ranges of the oscillograph ask for special attention.

For measuring the high transient voltages voltage dividers are used with the low voltage side connected to the oscillograph by means of a screened cable. These divider circuits must be suited to an extended frequency range, preferable from d.c. to  $\geq 20$  Mc/s, while higher frequencies may not give rise to high voltage peaks (e.g. owing to a resonance of the divider itself).

Another requirement is that the current through the voltage divider must be small in comparison with the current through the object under investigation. For this purpose a high impedance for low frequencies is necessary (e.g.  $\geq 10^5$  à  $10^6$   $\Omega$ /kV for 50 c/s) while the capacitance of the divider must be small with respect to the inherent capacitance of the interruption device or discharge chamber and the high voltage circuit in its direct vicinity. Usually a capacitance of 10 to 50 pF will be acceptable.

A peak break-down voltage of 50 to 100 KV will be sufficient for most investigations.

Voltage dividers as usually applied in surge voltage and short circuit testing are generally inadequate for fundamental research because of their low impedance and limited frequency range.

In this paper it will be studied which are the sources for inaccuracy in voltage measurement and by which constructive means the measuring errors can be limited.

## 2. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DIVIDING CIRCUITS

### 2.1 The ratio between the applied voltage and the measured voltage

A voltage divider is composed of a high impedance  $Z_p$  ("primary impedance") in series with a small impedance  $Z_s$  ("secondary impedance"), fig. 2.1. In this chapter it is accepted that  $Z_s$  exclusively consists of passive elements. Then in principle  $Z_p$  and  $Z_s$  may be composed of one or more resistors and/or capacitors. In section 2.2 it will be demonstrated that an acceptable accuracy over the full frequency range can only be obtained with mixed (resistive-capacitive) dividers.




fig. 2.1.

When a "primary voltage"  $U_p$  is applied to the divider a "secondary voltage"  $U_s$  arises over  $Z_s$ , being a measure for the primary voltage. In the ideal case the ratio of the divider impedance and the secondary impedance will be a constant scalar for all frequencies and will be called furtheron the (ideal) divider-ratio  $A$ :

$$A \equiv \frac{Z_p(\text{ideal}) + Z_s(\text{ideal})}{Z_s(\text{ideal})} = \frac{U_p}{U_s} \quad (2.1.)$$

Owing to their geometrical dimensions  $Z_p$  and  $Z_s$  are not ideal but have inherent inductances and parasitic capacitances. Also the impedance of the measuring cable and the input impedance of the oscillograph may interfere with the ideal circumstances so that the real secondary impedance measured at the oscillograph is not  $Z_s$  but a total secondary substitute impedance  $Z'_s$ . Therefore the ratio between the absolute values of the primary voltage and the voltage at the oscillograph ( $U_1$ ) is a frequency dependent quantity which will be called the dividing-ratio  $\bar{A}$ :

$$\bar{A} \equiv \frac{|Z_p + Z'_s|}{|Z'_s|} = \frac{|U_p|}{|U_1|} \quad (2.2.)$$

The relative dividing-ratio  $D$ , defined by:

$$D \equiv \frac{A}{\bar{A}} = \frac{|U_1|}{|U_p|} \cdot A \quad (2.3.)$$

is a measure for the quality of the dividing circuit.  $D = D(\omega)$  represents the frequency characteristic of the complete voltage dividing-circuit.

After treating the properties of the divider and the cable separately (sections 2.2 to 2.5 incl.) the frequency characteristic of the complete circuit will be considered in section 2.6.

## 2.2 The mixed divider

Each material point of a divider has a (small) stray capacitance with respect to the high voltage terminal and to ground. Therefore a pure resistive divider cannot be realized. A divider composed of large resistors will always obtain a mixed, resistive-capacitive character for high frequencies. For very high frequencies the capacitive character may dominate and the inherent inductances may also be of importance.

On the other hand a pure capacitive divider introduces large errors for low frequency signals owing to the parallel input resistance of the oscillograph.

When a signal

$$U_p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k U_i \sin(i\omega t + \psi_i) \quad (2.4.)$$

is suddenly applied to a capacitive voltage divider connected to an oscillograph with parallel input resistance  $R_1$ , a secondary signal will be measured

$$U_s = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^k U_i [F_i \sin(i\omega t + \psi_i + \varphi_i) + \varepsilon^{-t/\tau} \{ \sin \psi_i - F_i \sin(\psi_i + \varphi_i) \}] \quad (2.5.)$$

with

$$A = \frac{C_p + C_s}{C_p} \quad \varphi_i = \text{tg}^{-1} \frac{1}{i\omega\tau}$$

$$\tau = R_1(C_p + C_s) \quad F_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (1/i\omega\tau)^2}}$$

Apart from the transient behaviour there results a phase shift  $\varphi_i$  and a ratio error  $1 - F_i$  of each harmonic component  $i$ . Both errors may reach large values particularly for the basic harmonic component (industrial frequency) as may be seen from table 1, set up for  $C_p + C_s = 10.000 \text{ pF}$ ,  $R_1 = 1 \text{ M}\Omega$ ,  $\omega = 2\pi \cdot 50 \text{ c/s}$ .

component	ratio error	phase shift
$i=1, (50 \text{ c/s})$	$1 - F_1 = 4.7\%$	$\varphi_1 = 17^\circ 40'$
$i=3, (150 \text{ c/s})$	$1 - F_3 = 0.6\%$	$\varphi_3 = 6^\circ 3'$
$i=5, (250 \text{ c/s})$	$1 - F_5 = 0\%$	$\varphi_5 = 3^\circ 39'$

Table 1

Essentially a mixed divider may exist of a series or parallel RC-network. The series circuit meets the same objections as applicable to a resistive divider. For high frequency transients the total voltage arises across the resistors. So they must have fairly large dimensions which involves important stray capacitances.

From these brief considerations it can be concluded that only a mixed divider with a resistor branch and a capacitor branch in parallel will be able to meet the heavy duties when  $Z_p$  and  $Z_s$  are composed of passive elements only.

For exact measurements it should be required that the voltage across each divider element is proportional to the impedance of that element. Therefore no current may flow through the capacitive coupling between the resistor-branch and the capacitor-branch.

Thus at each fictive horizontal cut at a position  $i$  dividing the branches in parts  $R_{hi}$ ,  $R_{gi}$  and  $C_{hi}$ ,  $C_{gi}$  (fig. 2.2.) the general requirement

$$R_{hi} C_{hi} = R_{gi} C_{gi} = \text{constant} \quad (2.6.)$$

must be fulfilled.




fig. 2.2.

To attain this a number of compensation methods are developed which are of great importance for dividers of large physical dimensions (extra high voltages), as applied in surge voltage testing. In voltage dividers for current zero investigations the influence of parasitic capacitive coupling can be held within acceptable limits by compact construction and by choice of a high value  $C_p$  in respect to the parallel capacitances. Condition (2.6.) holds specially for the primary and secondary impedances:

$$R_p C_p = R_s C_s \quad (2.7.)$$

In the next section it will be showed that for certain measurements this condition (2.7.) must be fulfilled with an extremely high accuracy. Fluctuations in resistances and capacitances may occur owing to variations in temperature and humidity or to aging. Therefore it may be preferable to fit a correction device for  $R_s$  and  $C_s$  at the secondary side. Furthermore space charges and partial discharges on primary elements should be prevent because they can cause a relatively large decrease of  $R_p$ .

### 2.3 Measuring errors due to inaccuracy of the RC-ratio

By closing Switch S in the circuit of fig. 2.3. a step voltage  $U_p$  is applied to a mixed divider. This leads to a secondary response

$$U_s = \frac{R_s}{R_p + R_s} U_p + \epsilon^{-t/\tau} \left\{ \frac{R_p C_p - R_s C_s}{(R_p + R_s)(C_p + C_s)} U_p - \frac{R_p C_p - R_s C_s}{R_s (C_p + C_s)} V_{c_s} \right\} \quad (2.8.)$$

with

$$\tau = \frac{R_p R_s}{R_p + R_s} (C_p + C_s) \quad (2.9.)$$

$$\frac{V_{c_p}}{V_{c_s}} = \frac{R_p}{R_s} \quad (2.10.)$$

$V_{c_p}, V_{c_s}$  = voltage across  $C_p, C_s$  at  $t \leq 0$




fig. 2.3.

Condition (2.10.) expresses that the voltage division before closing S was governed by the resistors.



If  $V_{C_s} = V_{C_p} = 0$  equation (2.8.) indicates that the voltage division immediately after closing is controlled by the capacitors

$$U_s(0) = \frac{C_p}{C_p + C_s} U_p \quad (2.11.)$$

Some time afterwards the stationary state is reached and the voltage ratio is determined by the resistors again

$$U_s(\infty) = \frac{R_s}{R_p + R_s} U_p \quad (2.12.)$$

The transition occurs with a time constant  $\tau \approx R_s C_s$ . This time constant is generally fairly large, e.g. of the order of  $10^{-2}$ s.

When the ideal divider-ratio A is defined by

$$A = \frac{R_p + R_s}{R_s} \quad (2.13.)$$

the initial error shows to be

$$U_s(\infty) - U(0) = \frac{U_p}{A} \cdot \frac{R_s C_s - R_p C_p}{R_s (C_p + C_s)} = \frac{U_p}{A} \cdot \Delta \quad (2.14.)$$

Due to  $C_p \ll C_s$ ,  $\Delta$  equals the relative error of the RC-ratio in good approximation

$$\Delta \approx 1 - \frac{R_p C_p}{R_s C_s} \quad (2.15.)$$

Thus a small inaccuracy  $\Delta$  in the RC-ratio will yield a similar small error in the measurement provided no initial charge on  $C_p$  and  $C_s$  exists (see fig. 2.4.).




fig. 2.4.






fig. 2.5.



However for  $V_{C_s} \neq 0$  the initial secondary voltage equals

$$U_s(0) = U_p \left\{ \frac{C_p}{C_p + C_s} + \Delta \frac{V_{C_s}}{U_p} \right\} \quad (2.16.)$$

For the stationary state eq. (2.12.) naturally remains valid so the initial error is now given by

$$U_s(\infty) - U(0) = \Delta \frac{U_p}{A} - \Delta V_{c_s} = \Delta \frac{U_p}{A} \left(1 - \frac{V_c}{U_p}\right) \quad (2.17.)$$

with

$$V_c = V_{c_p} + V_{c_s}$$

From this appears that a small error  $\Delta$  may give rise to an extensive error in the measured voltage in the case  $V_c \gg U_p$  i.e. when  $V_{c_s} \gg U_s(\infty)$ , (see fig. 2.5.).

This condition may arise at a reignition in a circuitbreaker after a relatively slow increasing restriking voltage. (For phenomena occurring within a time  $\ll \tau$  the divider keeps its capacitive character naturally.)

A mixed divider with  $\Delta \neq 0$  gives rise to similar complications when used in investigations of transient phenomena in gas-discharges (e.g. in breaker models). Fig. 2.6. shows a possible circuit.




fig. 2.6.

Here B represents the chamber in which a discharge occurs due to an ignition,  $R_a$  is the current limiting resistor. For a first approximation the ignition may be represented by closing S in fig. 2.6., thus bringing a steady-state discharge resistance  $R_b$  into the circuit.

Now the solution for the secondary voltage  $U_s$  has a rather complicated form, which may be simplified by introducing

$$\begin{aligned} R_p C_p &\approx R_s C_s \\ R_p &\gg R_s \gg R_b \\ R_a &\gg R_b \end{aligned}$$

and the initial conditions  $V_c = U$  and  $V_{c_s} = \frac{R_s}{R_p + R_s} U = \frac{U}{A}$  for  $t \leq 0$

Then

$$U_s = (K_1 + K_2 \cdot \epsilon^{-t/\tau_1} + K_3 \cdot \epsilon^{-t/\tau_2}) U \quad (2.19.)$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{A} \cdot \frac{R_b (R_p + R_s)}{R_1 (R_b + R_p + R_s) + R_b (R_p + R_s)} \approx \frac{1}{A} \cdot \frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b} \approx \frac{R_b}{AR_a}$$

$$K_2 \approx \left(1 - \frac{R_p C_p}{R_s C_s}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{A} = \frac{\Delta}{A}$$

$$K_3 \approx \frac{C_p}{C_p + C_s} \approx \frac{1}{A}$$

$$\tau_1 = R_s C_s \quad \tau_2 = R_b C_p$$

With

$$U_s(\infty) \approx \frac{U}{A} \cdot \frac{R_b}{R_a}$$

equation (2.18.) yields

$$U_s \approx U_s(\infty) \left\{ 1 + \Delta \frac{R_a}{R_b} \cdot \varepsilon^{-t/\tau_1} + \frac{R_a}{R_b} \cdot \varepsilon^{-t/\tau_2} \right\} \quad (2.19.)$$

So also for this situation a small inaccuracy  $\Delta$  may induce a large measuring error  $\Delta \cdot \frac{R_a}{R_b}$ , diminishing with the fairly large time constant  $\tau_1$ .

The third term of (2.19.) shows another effect which may lead to an incorrect interpretation of results in a certain type of measurements. Even for  $\Delta = 0$  the stationary state will not immediately be reached because the initial charge of  $C_p$  and  $C_s$  transfers to a new state governed by the time constant  $\tau_2$ . During this transition the voltage across the discharge chamber B decreases from the "open" voltage  $U$  to the discharge voltage after the reignition, being approximately  $\frac{R_b}{R_a} U$ . When  $R_b$  and  $C_p$  are relatively large (e.g.  $R_b \approx 5 \cdot 10^3$  and  $C_p \approx 200$  pF),  $\tau_2$  may be of the order of 1  $\mu$ s. This time may be of the same order or even larger than the time required for the gas discharge under investigation to reach the (quasi-) steady state after reignition.

A large value  $R_b$  may be due to a large length, intensive cooling or a specific character of the gasdischarge. A large value  $C_p$  is not necessarily due to the divider itself. The total inherent ground capacitance of the discharge chamber and its direct vicinity, ( $C_B$ ), participates in the adjustment because prior to the ignition also this parallel capacitor was charged to the initial voltage  $V_c = U$ . Taking this in account the time constant  $\tau_2$  changes to

$$\tau_2 = R_b (C_p + C_B) \quad (2.20.)$$

In case the selfinductances of the capacitors and their connections to the discharge chamber are not negligible small, i.e. for

$$L_B > \frac{1}{4} R_b^2 C$$

it should be observed that the last term of (2.19.):

$$\frac{R_a}{R_b} \cdot \varepsilon^{-t/\tau_2} \quad (2.21.)$$

has to be replaced by a damped oscillation

$$\frac{R_a}{R_b} \cdot \varepsilon^{-t/\tau_3} \cos \omega t \quad (2.22.)$$


where  $\tau_3 = \frac{L_B}{2 R_B}$  ,  $\omega = (L_B C)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $C = C_B + \frac{C_p C_s}{C_p + C_s} \approx C_B + C_p$

$L_B$  is a substitution for the inductances of  $C_B, C_p, C_s, R_b$  and their mutual connections.

So the term (2.21.) or (2.22.) respectively indicates the way in which the behaviour of the gasdischarge under investigation is influenced by the electric circuit itself.

### 2.4 The inherent selfinductances

In the high frequency range the capacitive reactances of the primary and secondary divider side will usually be very much smaller than the resistances. Therefore the equivalent diagram for high frequencies takes the form of two LC-circuits in series, corresponding fig. 2.7.



Here  $L_p$  and  $L_s$  are the inherent selfinductances of the primary and secondary divider side. Because the resonant frequencies of the two series circuits determine the limitation of the frequency range (section 2.6)  $L_p$  and  $L_s$  are to be kept as small as possible.

fig. 2.7.

Stretched conductors forming part of an electric circuit have a selfinductance per unit length which can be expressed by

$$L \approx 0,2 \cdot \ln \alpha \frac{b}{d} \quad \mu\text{H/m} \quad (2.23.)$$

Here  $b$  = mean width of the circuit (see fig. 2.8.).

$d$  = diameter of the conductor

$\alpha$  = a constant depending on the shape of the circuit.




fig. 2.8.

For rectangular circuits  $\alpha$  varies between 1,2 (for  $l=b$ , i.e. for a square circuit, see fig. 2.8.) and 2,6 (for  $l \gg b$ , i.e. for two long parallel conductors). For a circular circuit  $\alpha \approx 1,1$  and for a coaxial system  $\alpha \approx 1,3$ . For high frequencies  $\alpha$  may decrease to  $\approx 30\%$  due to skin effect.

From these values it appears that the selfinductance of the measuring devices does not strongly depend on the shape of the circuits or the cross-section of the conductors because  $\alpha$  is found under the log-sign.

Eq. (2.23.) provides values between 0,5 and 1,3  $\mu\text{H/m}$  for the usual electrical circuits. (However coaxial cables have selfinductances which are considerably smaller, usually 0,25 to 0,6  $\mu\text{H}$  per meter cable length.)

Because the inductances are proportional to the conductor length a compact construction is most important. Furthermore the connections to the high voltage circuit and to the central grounding point of the circuits must be kept as short as possible. A large diameter of the primary divider elements has only a relative advantage, especially as this give rise to enlargement of the parasitic capacitances. At the secondary side all possible means for small inductance must be employed because of the large capacitance  $C_s$ .

For a divider with  $C_p = 25 \text{ pF}$  and  $L_p = 2 \text{ } \mu\text{H}$  the primary resonant frequency is about 22 Mc/s. When the divider ratio  $A = 1000$  an inductance  $L_s = 0.002 \text{ } \mu\text{H}$  gives already the same secondary resonant frequency. Therefore a coaxial framing must be chosen. In that case the relatively small grounding capacitances are fixed and incorporated in the secondary capacitance  $C_s$ . Further reduction of  $L_s$  is obtained by dividing  $C_s$  in a number of parallel capacitances each having a small inherent selfinductance.

### 2.5 The measuring cable

For the frequency range under consideration the usual coaxial measuring cables may be considered as ideal (no-loss) transmission lines. The Laplace-transformed voltage and current equations at a certain position  $x$  of such a cable situated in a diagram according fig. 2.9. are \*)

$$U(x,p) = U_s(p) \frac{Z}{Z_1 + Z} \cdot \frac{\epsilon^{-px/v} + r_1 \epsilon^{-p(2l-x)/v}}{1 - r_1 r_l \epsilon^{-2pl/v}} \quad (2.24.)$$

$$I(x,p) = U_s(p) \frac{1}{Z_1 + Z} \cdot \frac{\epsilon^{-px/v} - r_1 \epsilon^{-p(2l-x)/v}}{1 - r_1 r_l \epsilon^{-2pl/v}} \quad (2.25.)$$

$$\text{with } Z = \sqrt{\frac{L_c}{C_c}} \quad v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_c C_c}}$$

$$r_l = \frac{Z_1 - Z}{Z_1 + Z} \quad r_1 = \frac{Z_1 - Z}{Z_1 + Z}$$

- $p$  = Laplace operator
- $U_s(p)$  = Transformed source voltage
- $Z_1$  = Impedance operator of the source side
- $Z_l$  = Impedance operator of the load
- $Z$  = Characteristic impedance being a pure resistor for an ideal cable
- $r_l, r_1$  = Reflection coefficients
- $v$  = Velocity of propagation
- $L_c$  = Inductance per unit length of the cable
- $C_c$  = Capacitance per unit length of the cable
- $l$  = Cable length




fig. 2.9.

\*) See e.g. J.P. Schouten: "Operatorenrechnung", Springer Berlin (1961) (a book) p. 61 ff.

By putting  $x=l$  in eq. (2.24.) the voltage at the end of the cable is found

$$U_1(p) = U_s(p) \frac{Z}{Z_1 + Z} \frac{(1+r_1) \mathcal{E}^{-p1/v}}{1-r_1 r_1 \mathcal{E}^{-2p1/v}} \quad (2.26.)$$

Inverse transformation of this equation yields solutions which generally represent an infinity number of travelling waves.

These waves propagate in the cable with velocity  $v$  and are reflected at both terminals of the cable.

Two special cases are of interest for the voltage measuring techniques.

#### 1. Characteristic load $Z_1 = Z$

Then  $r_1 = 0$  (no reflection at the cable end) and eq. (2.26.) results in

$$U_1(p) = U_s(p) \frac{Z}{Z_1 + Z} \cdot \mathcal{E}^{-p1/v} \quad (2.27.)$$

Assuming  $Z_1$  is a pure resistance  $R_1$ , inverse transformation of this formula yields:

$$U_1(t) = \frac{Z}{R_1 + Z} \cdot U_s(t-1/v) \quad (2.28.)$$

So in case of characteristic termination at the end the voltage shape is undisturbed and the amplitude does not depend on frequency. The applied signal arises at the end after a certain and constant time lag and is there completely absorbed.

#### 2. Characteristic impedance at the source side $Z_1 = Z$

Now  $r_1 = 0$  and equation (2.26.) yields

$$U_1(p) = U_s(p) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 + r_1) \mathcal{E}^{-p1/v} \quad (2.29.)$$

If  $Z_1 = R_1$  is a pure resistance

$$U_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + r_1) U_s(t - 1/v) \quad (2.30.)$$

and here again the voltage shape is undisturbed.

However, if  $|Z_1| \gg Z$  then  $r_1 \approx 1$  and the character of  $Z_1$  has only little influence on the voltage at the end.

$$U_1(t) \approx U_s(t - 1/v) \quad (2.31.)$$

This result is of great importance to the voltage dividers here considered. It shows that (in a certain limited frequency range) the requirement of true reproduction at the end of the cable can fairly be fulfilled by characteristic termination at the source side. In this situation the travelling waves are fully reflected at the end by the relatively high impedance  $Z_1$  and consequently fully absorbed at the input by the characteristic impedance  $Z_1 = Z$ .

In practice the conditions  $r_1 = 0$  or  $r_1 = 0$  can never be perfectly fulfilled for all frequencies because of the resistance and leakage conductance of the cables presumed to be ideal and the inductance and capacitance of the characteristic resistor. The interference by those effects can be kept small for a relatively long frequency range by the use of short cable lengths. Maximum measuring accuracy can be gained by combining both cases 1 and 2, so for  $Z_1 = Z_1 = Z$  as is well known from surge current measuring techniques.

### 2.6 The complete measuring circuit with a mixed divider

In the complete measuring circuit both the cable and the oscillograph input impedance are in parallel to the secondary divider side according fig. 2.10. Then condition (2.7.)  $R_p C_p = R_s C_s$  must be extended to

$$R_p C_p = \frac{R_s R_1}{R_s + R_1} (C_s + 1C_c + C_1) = R'_s C'_s \quad (2.32.)$$

(Here is assumed  $Z_1 \gg Z_4$ )




fig. 2.10.

With condition (2.32.) fulfilled the ideal divider ratio is represented by

$$A \equiv \frac{Z_p(\text{ideal}) + Z_s(\text{ideal})}{Z_s(\text{ideal})} = \frac{R_p + R'_s}{R'_s} = \frac{C'_s + C_p}{C_p} \quad (2.33.)$$

At high frequencies the parasitic primary and secondary selfinductances  $L_p$  and  $L_s$ , the cable impedance and the input capacitance  $C_1$  will disturb the dividing ratio.

For the dividers here considered characteristic termination at the cable end is usually not possible for practical reasons. Since the current through the divider must be small with respect to the current through the test device under all transient circumstances to be recorded the primary resistance  $R_p$  must be very large. A divider current of 1 to 10 mA requires  $R_p$  to be of the order of  $10^5$  to  $10^6 \Omega$  per kV.  $C_p$  must be small in respect of the inherent parallel capacitance of the test device and its direct vicinity and therefore must be limited to some tens of pF's. On the other hand  $C_p$  may not be chosen too small due to the influence of the parasitic capacitances of  $C_p$  and  $R_p$ .

Due to the value of the order of  $100 \Omega$  of the characteristic impedance of coaxial cables it is for characteristic termination at the end required that  $R'_s$  should have at least the same value. This leads to  $A \cong 10^5$  and a secondary capacitance  $C_p \cong 10^6$  pF. This value is so high that in general the secondary resonance frequency does not occur beyond the required frequency range of the divider. Moreover it is doubtful whether calibration of a divider with such a high ratio over a wide frequency spectrum may be possible.

Characteristic termination at the cable input is less difficult. Where we apply an oscillograph with small input capacitance  $C_1$  and a large input resistance  $R_1$ , the condition  $|Z_1| \gg Z$  can be fulfilled over an extended frequency range. If  $C_s$  is sufficiently large moreover  $|Z_s| \ll Z_1$  will be valid for high frequencies and  $Z_1 = Z$  can be chosen. In this case equation (2.31.) shows in good approximation  $|U_1| = |U_s|$ .

The frequency characteristic, eq. (2.3.) is then given by

$$D = A \frac{|U_1|}{|U_p|} = A \frac{|U_s|}{|U_p|} = A \cdot \frac{|Z'_s|}{|Z_p + Z'_s|} \quad (2.34.)$$

with

$$Z'_s = \frac{Z_s(Z + Z_o)}{Z_s + Z + Z_o} \quad (2.35.)$$

$$Z_o = \frac{U_o}{I_o} = \text{input impedance of the cable} \quad (2.36.)$$

The well known stationary solutions for the no-loss transmission line equations in terms of the voltages and currents at the input and the output side are

$$U_o = U_1 \sin \varphi + jI_1 \cos \varphi \quad (2.37.)$$

$$I_o = I_1 \sin \varphi + j \frac{U_1}{Z} \cos \varphi \quad (2.38.)$$

with  $\varphi = \beta l$  and  $\beta = \omega \sqrt{L_c C_c}$  = phase constant, (see e.g. lit. on page 10).

For low frequencies (2.35.) and (2.36.) can be simplified to  $Z_o \approx R_1$  and  $Z'_s \approx Z_s$ , so (2.33.) with (2.34.) show  $D = 1$ .

For high frequencies  $Z_1 = (j\omega C_1)^{-1}$  and from (2.37.) and 2.38.) can be deducted

$$\bar{Z}_o = -jZ \cotg \left\{ \varphi + \text{arctg} \left( \varphi \cdot \frac{C_1}{1C_c} \right) \right\} \quad (2.39.)$$

Generally  $(C_1/1C_c) < 0.3$  so for the frequency range here considered (up to  $\varphi = \pi/2$  approximately)

$$\bar{Z}_o \approx -jZ \cotg \varphi \left( 1 + \frac{C_1}{1C_c} \right) = -jZ \cotg \psi \quad (2.40.)$$

with  $\psi = \varphi \left( 1 + \frac{C_1}{1C_c} \right)$

Comparison of this result with the impedance of the unloaded cable ( $I_1 = 0$  in (2.37.) and (2.38.))

$$Z_o = -jZ \cotg \varphi \quad (2.41.)$$

shows that the capacitive load of the oscillograph input in first approximation acts as an extension of the cable with  $C_1/C_c$  (meters).

For  $\varphi \left( 1 + \frac{C_1}{1C_c} \right) \rightarrow \pi/2$  we find  $Z_o \rightarrow 0$ . Because  $|Z_s| \ll Z$  equation (2.35.)

still yields  $Z'_s \approx Z_s$  and (2.34.) results in

$$D \approx A \frac{|Z_s|}{|Z_p + Z_s|} \quad (2.42.)$$



However  $Z_s = \frac{1}{j\omega C_s} + j\omega L_s$

and  $Z_p = \frac{1}{j\omega C_p} + j\omega L_p$  for these high frequencies.

Therefore the frequency characteristic shows 2 extrema namely (see fig. 2.11.):




fig. 2.11.

These extrema limit the useful frequency range in the upper range. A high primary resonance peak leads easily to an incorrect interpretation of results and even to zero-line drift on the records. Therefore it seems expedient to bring both extrema together as much as possible, so that they can balance each other. An ideal solution is usually not possible because the selfinductances and therefore the resonant frequencies depend somewhat on the place of the divider in the main circuit.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS IN RESPECT OF DIVIDER CONSTRUCTIONS

Below the most important requirements for the construction of mixed voltage dividers for voltages up to 100 kV and frequencies from d.c. to  $\geq 20$  Mc/s are shortly summarized. Because some of these requirements are in contradiction to each other one will always have to seek for the most ideal compromise.

1. The RC-products of the primary and secondary divider side should be equal, so

$$R_p C_p = R_s C_s$$

In case a low voltage should be measured immediately following a much higher voltage of a relatively long duration (at least of the order of  $R_s C_s$ ) this requirement must be fulfilled with an extremely high accuracy.

2. The influence of the parasitic capacitances must be reduced by a compact construction and a relatively high value for  $C_p$ .
3. To prevent interference of the transient phenomena to be measured by the divider itself  $R_p$  must be very large ( $10^5$  to  $10^6 \Omega$  per kV) and  $C_p$  must be relatively small (10 to 50 pF).
4. Since characteristic termination at the end is not possible (because of conclusions 3 and 7), a characteristic series-resistor  $Z$  must be employed at the input side of the coaxial measuring cable. To prevent disturbing reflections a high value  $C_s$  and a short cable length must be chosen.
5. To obtain an acceptable accuracy even for the highest frequencies  $Z \ll (\omega C_1)^{-1}$  should be fulfilled always. Because  $C_1$  is determined by the input capacitance of the oscillograph, a cable with a small characteristic impedance  $Z$  is preferable.

6. The high side of the frequency range is limited by the resonances of the primary and the secondary divider circuits. Therefore the inherent selfinductances of the divider elements must be kept as small as possible.  $C_p$  and  $C_s$  must be chosen as small as is acceptable with respect to conclusions 2 and 4.
7. The choice of a very large divider ratio  $A$  is not possible because a large  $C_s$  is not allowed (conclusion 6). Moreover an extremely high  $A$  would give rise to extensive difficulties in the calibration. Usually the order of magnitude of the ratio must be limited to 1000.