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#### Abstract

We find relations between the Levi-Civita connection and a semisymmetric metric connection of the warped product $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. We obtain some results of Einstein warped product manifolds with a semi-symmetric metric connection.


## 1. Introduction

The idea of a semi-symmetric linear connection on a Riemannian manifold was introduced by A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten in [1]. Later, H. A. Hayden [3] gave the definition of a semi-symmetric metric connection. In 1970, K. Yano [8] considered semi-symmetric metric connection and studied some of its properties. He proved that a Riemannian manifold admitting the semi-symmetric metric connection has vanishing curvature tensor if and only if it is conformally flat. Then, the generalization of this result for vanishing Ricci tensor of the semi-symmetric metric connection was given by T. Imai $([4,5])$.

Motivated by the above studies, we study warped product manifolds with semisymmetric metric connection and find relations between the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric metric connection.

Furthermore, in [2], A. Gebarowski studied Einstein warped product manifolds. As an application, in this study we consider Einstein warped product manifolds endowed with semi-symmetric metric connection.

## 2. Semi-symmetric Metric Connection

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric $g$. A linear connection $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold $M$ is called a semi-symmetric connection if the torsion tensor $T$ of the connection $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{Y} X-[X, Y] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=\pi(Y) X-\pi(X) Y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ is a 1-form associated with the vector field $P$ on $M$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(X)=g(X, P) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ is called a semi-symmetric metric connection if it satisfies

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} g=0 .
$$

If $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian manifold $M$, the semi-symmetric metric connection $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\pi(Y) X-g(X, Y) P \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [8]).
Let $R$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}$ be curvature tensors of $\nabla$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ of a Riemannian manifold $M$, respectively. Then $R$ and $R$ are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z+g\left(Z, \nabla_{X} P\right) Y-g\left(Z, \nabla_{Y} P\right) X \\
& +g(X, Z) \nabla_{Y} P-g(Y, Z) \nabla_{X} P \\
& +\pi(P)[g(X, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) X]  \tag{5}\\
& +[g(Y, Z) \pi(X)-g(X, Z) \pi(Y)] P \\
& +\pi(Z)[\pi(Y) X-\pi(X) Y]
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$ [8]. For a general survey of different kinds of connections see also [7].

## 3. Warped Product Manifolds

Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{M_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{M_{2}}\right)$ be two Riemannian manifolds and $f$ a positive differentiable function on $M_{1}$. Consider the product manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ with its projections $\pi: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ and $\sigma: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$. The warped product $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ is the manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ with the Riemannian structure such that

$$
\|X\|^{2}=\left\|\pi^{*}(X)\right\|^{2}+f^{2}(\pi(p))\left\|\sigma^{*}(X)\right\|^{2}
$$

for any tangent vector $X$ on $M$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{M_{1}}+f^{2} g_{M_{2}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f$ is called the warping function of the warped product [6].
We need the following three lemmas from [6], for later use :
Lemma 3.1. Let us consider $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ and denote by $\nabla,{ }^{M_{1}} \nabla$ and ${ }^{M_{2}} \nabla$ the Riemannian connections on $M, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. If $X, Y$ are vector fields on $M_{1}$ and $V, W$ on $M_{2}$, then:
(i) $\nabla_{X} Y$ is the lift of ${ }^{M_{1}} \nabla_{X} Y$,
(ii) $\nabla_{X} V=\nabla_{V} X=(X f / f) V$,
(iii) The component of $\nabla_{V} W$ normal to the fibers is $-(g(V, W) / f) \operatorname{grad} f$,
(iv) The component of $\nabla_{V} W$ tangent to the fibers is the lift of ${ }^{M_{2}} \nabla_{V} W$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product with Riemannian curvature ${ }^{M} R$. Given fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M_{1}$ and $U, V, W$ on $M_{2}$, then:
(i) ${ }^{M} R(X, Y) Z$ is the lift of ${ }^{M_{1}} R(X, Y) Z$,
(ii) ${ }^{M} R(V, X) Y=-\left(H^{f}(X, Y) / f\right) V$, where $H^{f}$ is the Hessian of $f$,
(iii) ${ }^{M} R(X, Y) V={ }^{M} R(V, W) X=0$,
(iv) ${ }^{M} R(X, V) W=-(g(V, W) / f) \nabla_{X}(\operatorname{grad} f)$,
(v)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{M} R(V, W) U={ }^{M_{2}} R(V, W) U \\
& +\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}\{g(V, U) W-g(W, U) V\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product with Ricci tensor ${ }^{M} S$. Given fields $X, Y$ on $M_{1}$ and $V, W$ on $M_{2}$, then:
(i) ${ }^{M} S(X, Y)={ }^{M_{1}} S(X, Y)-\frac{d}{f} H^{f}(X, Y)$, where $d=\operatorname{dim} M_{2}$,
(ii) ${ }^{M} S(X, V)=0$,
(iii)

$$
{ }^{M} S(V, W)={ }^{M_{2}} S(V, W)-g(V, W)\left[\frac{\Delta f}{f}+\frac{(d-1)}{f^{2}}\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right],
$$

where $\Delta f$ is the Laplacian of $f$ on $M_{1}$.
Moreover, the scalar curvature ${ }^{M} r$ of $M$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{M^{M}} r={ }^{M_{1}} r+{\frac{1}{f^{2}}}^{M_{2}} r-\frac{2 d}{f} \Delta f-\frac{d(d-1)}{f^{2}}\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{M_{1}} r$ and ${ }^{M_{2}} r$ are scalar curvatures of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively.

## 4. Warped Product Manifolds Endowed with a Semi-symmetric Metric Connection

In this section, we consider warped product manifolds with respect to the semisymmetric metric connection and find new expressions concerning with curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature admitting this connection where the associated vector field $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ or $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$.

Now, let begin with the following lemma:
Lemma 4.1. Let us consider $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ and denote by $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ the semisymmetric metric connection on $M, M_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ and ${ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ be connections on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. If $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), V, W \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, then:
(i) $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y$ is the lift of ${ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y$,
(ii) $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=(X f / f) V$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X=[(X f / f)+\pi(X)] V$,
(iii) $n o r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W=-[g(V, W) / f] \operatorname{grad} f-g(V, W) P$,
(iv) $\tan \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W$ is the lift of $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W$ on $M_{2}$.

Proof. From the Koszul formula we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
2 g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)= & X g(Y, Z)+Y g(X, Z)-Z g(X, Y)  \tag{8}\\
& -g(X,[Y, Z])-g(Y,[X, Z])+g(Z,[X, Y]),
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $M$. By the use of (4) for the semi-symmetric metric connection, the equation (8) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
2 g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y, V\right)= & X g(Y, V)+Y g(X, V)-V g(X, Y) \\
& -g(X,[Y, V])-g(Y,[X, V])+g(V,[X, Y])  \tag{9}\\
& +2 \pi(Y) g(X, V)-2 \pi(V) g(X, Y)
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$.
Since $X, Y$ and $[X, Y]$ are lifts from $M_{1}$ and $V$ is vertical, we know from [6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(Y, V)=g(X, V)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, V]=[Y, V]=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the equation (9) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y, V\right)=-V g(X, Y)-2 \pi(V) g(X, Y) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $X$ and $Y$ are lifts from $M_{1}$ and $V$ is vertical, $g(X, Y)$ is constant on fibers which means that

$$
V g(X, Y)=0
$$

So the equation (12) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y, V\right)=-\pi(V) g(X, Y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, from the equation (13) we get

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y, V\right)=0
$$

which gives us (i).
By the use of the definition of the covariant derivative with respect to the semisymmetric metric connection, we can write

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, Y\right)=X g(Y, V)-g\left(V, \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M_{1}$ and $V$ on $M_{2}$. By making use of (10) and (13), the above equation turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, Y\right)=\pi(V) g(X, Y) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, Y\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the definitions of Koszul formula and the semi-symmetric metric connection we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)= & X g(V, W)+V g(X, W)-W g(X, V) \\
& -g(X,[V, W])-g(V,[X, W])+g(W,[X, V]) \\
& +2 \pi(V) g(X, W)-2 \pi(W) g(X, V)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ on $M_{1}$ and $V, W$ on $M_{2}$. In view of (10) and (11), the last equation reduces to

$$
2 g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)=X g(V, W)-g(X,[V, W])
$$

Since $X$ is horizontal and $[V, W]$ is vertical, $g(X,[V, W])=0$ hence we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)=X g(V, W) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of the warped product metric from (6), we have

$$
g(V, W)(p, q)=(f \circ \pi)^{2}(p, q) g_{M_{2}}\left(V_{q}, W_{q}\right)
$$

Then by making use of $f$ instead of $f \circ \pi$, we get

$$
g(V, W)=f^{2}\left(g_{M_{2}}(V, W) \circ \sigma\right)
$$

Hence, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
X g(V, W) & =X\left[f^{2}\left(g_{M_{2}}(V, W) \circ \sigma\right)\right] \\
& =2 f X f\left(g_{M_{2}}(V, W) \circ \sigma\right)+f^{2} X\left(g_{M_{2}}(V, W) \circ \sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the term $\left(g_{M_{2}}(V, W) \circ \sigma\right)$ is constant on leaves, by the use of (6), the above equation turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
X g(V, W)=2(X f / f) g(V, W) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of (17) in (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)=(X f / f) g(V, W) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, in view of the equations (15) and (18), we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=(X f / f) V
$$

On the other hand, by the use of (1) we can write

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)=g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X, W\right)+g([X, V], W)+g(T(X, V), W)
$$

Using (2) and (11), the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)=g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X, W\right)-\pi(X) g(V, W) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X, W\right)=[(X f / f)+\pi(X)] g(V, W)
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X=[(X f / f)+\pi(X)] V, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we have (ii). By the definition of the covariant derivative with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, we can write

$$
V g(X, W)=g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X, W\right)+g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W, X\right)
$$

for any vector fields $X$ on $M_{1}$ and $V, W$ on $M_{2}$. From (10), the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W, X\right)=-g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X, W\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, by the use of (20), we get

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W, X\right)=-[(X f / f)+\pi(X)] g(V, W)
$$

which implies that

$$
n o r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W=-[g(V, W) / f] \operatorname{grad} f-g(V, W) P
$$

where $X f=g(\operatorname{grad} f, X)$ for any vector field $X$ on $M_{1}$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is completed.

Lemma 4.2. Let us consider $M=M_{1} \times f M_{2}$ and denote by $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ the semisymmetric metric connection on $M,{ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ and ${ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ be connections on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. If $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), V, W \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then:
(i) nor $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y$ is the lift of $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y$ on $M_{1}$,
(ii) $\tan \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y=-g(X, Y) P$,
(iii) $\tan \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=(X f / f) V$ and $n o r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=\pi(V) X$,
(iv) $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X=(X f / f) V$,
(v) $n o r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W=-[g(V, W) / f] \operatorname{grad} f$,
(vi) $\tan \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W$ is the lift of $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W$ on $M_{2}$.

Proof. Since $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, in view of the equation (13), we find

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y, V\right)=-\pi(V) g(X, Y)
$$

which gives us the proof of (i) and (ii).
Similarly from the equation (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V, Y\right)=\pi(V) g(X, Y) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the use of (18) for $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and in view of (22), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=(X f / f) V+\pi(V) X \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\tan \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=(X f / f) V \text { and } \operatorname{nor} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V=\pi(V) X .
$$

Hence we have (iii).
Moreover, in view of (1) and (11) we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} V-T(X, V) .
$$

Then by making use of the equations (2) and (23), the last equation gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} X=(X f / f) V, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of (iv).
Similarly taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ in the equation (21) and by making use of (24), we obtain

$$
g\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W, X\right)=-(X f / f) g(V, W)
$$

which gives us

$$
n o r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{V} W=-[g(V, W) / f] \operatorname{grad} f
$$

Hence, we complete the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 4.3. Let $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product, $R$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}$ denote the Riemannian curvature tensors of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric metric connection, respectively. If $X, Y, Z \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), U, V, W \in$ $\chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, then:
(i) $\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is the lift of ${ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z$ on $M_{1}$,
(ii) $\quad \stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y=-\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f+(P f / f) g(X, Y)+\pi(P) g(X, Y)\right.$ $\left.+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} P\right)-\pi(X) \pi(Y)\right] V$,
(iii) $\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) V=0$,
(iv) $\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, W) X=0$,
(v)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W=g(V, W)\left[-\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f\right) / f-(P f / f) X\right. \\
& \left.-\nabla_{X} P-\pi(P) X+\pi(X) P\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

(vi) $\quad \stackrel{\circ}{R}(U, V) W={ }^{M_{2}} R(U, V) W-\left\{\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}+2(P f / f)\right.$

$$
+\pi(P)\}[g(V, W) U-g(U, W) V]
$$

Proof. Assume that $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ is a warped product, $R$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}$ denote the curvature tensors of the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric metric connection, respectively.
(i) Since $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y$ is the lift of ${ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{X} Y$, for $X, Y, P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, then by the definition of $\stackrel{\circ}{R}$ it is easy to see that $\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is the lift of ${ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z$ on $M_{1}$, for the vector field $Z$ on $M_{1}$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$.
(ii) In view of the equation (5), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y= & R(V, X) Y+g\left(Y, \nabla_{V} P\right) X-g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} P\right) V \\
& -g(X, Y)\left[\nabla_{V} P+\pi(P) V-\pi(V) P\right]  \tag{25}\\
& +\pi(Y)[\pi(X) V-\pi(V) X]
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M_{1}$ and $V$ on $M_{2}$, respectively.
Since $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, by making use of Lemma 3.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y= & -\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f+(P f / f) g(X, Y)+\pi(P) g(X, Y)\right. \\
& \left.+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} P\right)-\pi(X) \pi(Y)\right] V
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Putting $Z=V$ in equation (5), where $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) V= & g\left(V, \nabla_{X} P\right) Y-g\left(V, \nabla_{Y} P\right) X  \tag{26}\\
& +\pi(V)[\pi(Y) X-\pi(X) Y]
\end{align*}
$$

which shows us

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) V=0
$$

for $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$.
(iv) By making use of (5) and Lemma 3.2, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, W) X= & g\left(X, \nabla_{V} P\right) W-g\left(X, \nabla_{W} P\right) V  \tag{27}\\
& +\pi(X)[\pi(W) V-\pi(V) W]
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ on $M_{1}$ and $V, W$ on $M_{2}$, respectively. Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, W) X=0 .
$$

(v) From the equation (5), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W= & R(X, V) W+g\left(W, \nabla_{X} P\right) V-g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right) X \\
& -g(V, W)\left[\nabla_{X} P+\pi(P) X-\pi(X) P\right]  \tag{28}\\
& +\pi(W)[\pi(V) X-\pi(X) V],
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $V, W \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$.
If $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, then by making use of Lemma 3.2 in (28), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W= & g(V, W)\left[-\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f\right) / f-(P f / f) X\right. \\
& \left.-\nabla_{X} P-\pi(P) X+\pi(X) P\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(vi) In view of the equation (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(U, V) W= & R(U, V) W+g\left(W, \nabla_{U} P\right) V-g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right) U \\
& +g(U, W) \nabla_{V} P-g(V, W) \nabla_{U} P \\
& +\pi(P)[g(U, W) V-g(V, W) U]  \tag{29}\\
& +[g(U, W) \pi(U)-g(V, W) \pi(V)] P \\
& +\pi(W)[\pi(V) U-\pi(U) V]
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $U, V, W$ on $M_{2}$.
Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and by making use of Lemma 3.2 in the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(U, V) W= & M_{2} R(U, V) W \\
& -\left\{\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}+2(P f / f)\right. \\
& +\pi(P)\}[g(V, W) U-g(U, W) V]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proof of the lemma is completed.
Lemma 4.4. Let $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product, $R$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}$ denote the Riemannian curvature tensors of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric metric connection, respectively. If $X, Y, Z \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), U, V, W \in$ $\chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then:
(i) ${ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z={ }^{M_{1}} R(X, Y) Z+\pi(P)[g(X, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) X]$,
(ii) ${ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z=[g(X, Z)(Y f / f)-g(Y, Z)(X f / f)] P$,
(iii) ${ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y=-g((\pi(V) / f) \operatorname{grad} f, Y) X+g(X, Y)[\pi(V) / f] \operatorname{grad} f$,
(iv) $\quad{ }_{2} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y=-\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f\right] V-g(X, Y)\left(\tan \nabla_{V} P\right)$ $-\pi(P) g(X, Y) V+\pi(V) g(X, Y) P$,
(v) $\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) V=\pi(V)[(X f / f) Y-(Y f / f) X]$,
(vi) $\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, W) X=(X f / f)[\pi(W) V-\pi(V) W]$,
(vii) $\quad M_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W=-g(V, W)\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f\right) / f+\pi(P) X\right]$

$$
-g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right) X+\pi(V) \pi(W) X
$$

(viii) ${ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W=(X f / f)[\pi(W) V-g(V, W) P]$,
(ix) $\quad \stackrel{\circ}{R}(U, V) W={ }^{M_{2}} R(U, V) W$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}\right]\{g(V, W) U-g(U, W) V\} \\
& +g\left(W, \nabla_{U} P\right) V-g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right) U \\
& +g(U, W) \nabla_{V} P-g(V, W) \nabla_{U} P \\
& +\pi(P)[g(U, W) V-g(V, W) U] \\
& +[g(V, W) \pi(U)-g(U, W) \pi(V)] P \\
& +\pi(W)[\pi(V) U-\pi(U) V] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Assume that the associated vector field $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then the equation (5) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z+[g(X, Z)(Y f / f)-g(Y, Z)(X f / f)] P \\
& +\pi(P)[g(X, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) X]
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$. By the use of Lemma 3.2, the above equation gives us

$$
{ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z={ }^{M_{1}} R(X, Y) Z+\pi(P)[g(X, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) X]
$$

and

$$
{ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) Z=[g(X, Z)(Y f / f)-g(Y, Z)(X f / f)] P,
$$

which finishes the proof of (i) and (ii).
Similarly taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ in (25) and using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y= & -\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f\right] V-g([\pi(V) / f] \operatorname{grad} f, Y) X \\
& -g(X, Y)\left[\nabla_{V} P+\pi(P) V-\pi(V) P\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
{ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y=-g([\pi(V) / f] \operatorname{grad} f, Y) X+g(X, Y)[\pi(V) / f] \operatorname{grad} f
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, X) Y= & -\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f\right] V-g(X, Y)\left(\tan \nabla_{V} P\right) \\
& -g(X, Y)[\pi(P) V-\pi(V) P],
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of (iii) and (iv).
Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ in the equation (26), we get

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, Y) V=\pi(V)[(X f / f) Y-(Y f / f) X]
$$

which gives us (v).
From the equation (27) and by the use of Lemma 3.1 for $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ it can be easily seen that

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{R}(V, W) X=(X f / f)[\pi(W) V-\pi(V) W],
$$

which proves (vi).
Similarly, from the equation (28) if $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W= & -g(V, W)\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f\right) / f+\pi(P) X\right] \\
& -g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right) X+\pi(V) \pi(W) X
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
{ }^{M_{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{R}(X, V) W=(X f / f)[\pi(W) V-g(V, W) P]
$$

So we prove (vii) and (viii). Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ in (29) and by the use of Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{R(U, V) W=} & M_{2} R(U, V) W \\
& -\left[\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}\right]\{g(V, W) U-g(U, W) V\} \\
& +g\left(W, \nabla_{U} P\right) V-g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right) U \\
& +g(U, W) \nabla_{V} P-g(V, W) \nabla_{U} P \\
& +\pi(P)[g(U, W) V-g(V, W) U] \\
& +[g(U, W) \pi(U)-g(V, W) \pi(V)] P \\
& +\pi(W)[\pi(V) U-\pi(U) V]
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $U, V, W$ on $M_{2}$, hence the last equation gives us (ix). Thus, we complete the proof of the lemma.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, by a contraction of the curvature tensors we obtain the Ricci tensors of the warped product with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection as follows:

Corollary 4.5. Let $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product, $S$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$ denote the Ricci tensors of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semisymmetric metric connection, respectively, where $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=n_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{2}=n_{2}$. If $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), V, W \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, then:
(i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y) & ={ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)-n_{2}\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f+(P f / f) g(X, Y)\right. \\
& \left.+\pi(P) g(X, Y)+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} P\right)-\pi(X) \pi(Y)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, V)=\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, X)=0$,
(iii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, W) & ={ }^{M_{2}} S(V, W)-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) g(V, W) \\
& -\left[\left(n_{2}-1\right)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}+\left(n_{1}+2 n_{2}-2\right)(P f / f)\right. \\
& \left.+(n-2) \pi(P)+\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right] g(V, W)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.6. Let $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product, $S$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$ denote the Ricci tensors of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semisymmetric metric connection, respectively, where $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=n_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{2}=n_{2}$. If $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right), V, W \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then:

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)= & { }^{M_{1}} S(X, Y)-(n-2) \pi(P) g(X, Y)  \tag{i}\\
& -n_{2}\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f\right]-\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) g(X, Y)
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) $\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, V)=(2-n) \pi(V)(X f / f)$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, X)=(n-2) \pi(V)(X f / f)$,
(iii) $\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, W)={ }^{M_{2}} S(V, W)+\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n}\left\{g\left(W, \nabla_{e_{i}} P\right) g\left(V, e_{i}\right)-g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) g(V, W)\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\left(n_{2}-1\right)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}+\frac{\Delta f}{f}+(n-2) \pi(P)\right] g(V, W) \\
& -(n-1) g\left(W, \nabla_{V} P\right)+(n-2) \pi(V) \pi(W)
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of Corollary 4.5 and Corollary 4.6, by a contraction of the Ricci tensors we get scalar curvatures of the warped product with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection as follows:

Corollary 4.7. Let $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product, $r$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{r}$ denote the scalar curvatures of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semisymmetric metric connection, respectively and $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{r}= & { }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{r}+\frac{M_{2} r}{f^{2}}-n_{2}\left(n_{2}-1\right)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}-2 n_{2}(n-1)(P f / f) \\
& -2 n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f}-n_{2}\left[2 n_{1}+n_{2}-3\right] \pi(P)-2 n_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.8. Let $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ be a warped product, $r$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{r}$ denote the scalar curvatures of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semisymmetric metric connection, respectively and $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{r}= & M_{1} r+\frac{M_{2}}{f^{2}}-\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n} 2(n-1) g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) \\
& -(n-1)(n-2) \pi(P)-n_{2}\left[\left(n_{2}-1\right)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} / f^{2}+2 \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Einstein Warped Product Manifolds Endowed with the Semi-symmetric Metric Connection

In this section, we consider Einstein warped products endowed with the semisymmetric metric connection.

Now, let begin with the following theorem:
Theorem 5.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a warped product $I \times_{f} M_{2}$, where $\operatorname{dim} I=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{2}=n-1(n \geq 3)$. Then $(M, g)$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection if and only if $M_{2}$ is Einstein for $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection or the warping function $f$ is a constant on I for $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Assume that $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and denote by $g_{I}$ the metric on $I$. Taking $f=\exp \left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\}$ and by making use of Corollary 4.5 , we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\left(-\frac{(n-1)}{4}\left[2 q^{\prime \prime}+\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{q^{\prime}}{2}\right) g_{I}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right),  \tag{30}\\
& \stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, V\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, W)={ }^{M_{2}} S(V, W)-e^{q}\left[\frac{(n-1)}{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{(2 n-3)}{2} q^{\prime}+(n-2)\right] g_{M_{2}}(V, W), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $V, W$ on $M_{2}$.

Since $M$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\alpha g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)
$$

and

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, W)=\alpha g(V, W)
$$

Then by making use of (6), the last two equations reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\alpha g_{I}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, W)=\alpha e^{q} g_{M_{2}}(V, W) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the right hand sides of the equations (30) and (32) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\left(-\frac{(n-1)}{4}\left[2 q^{\prime \prime}+\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{q^{\prime}}{2}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, comparing the right hand sides of (31) and (33) and by the use of (34), we obtain

$$
{ }^{M_{2}} S(V, W)=-e^{q}\left(\frac{(n-2)}{2} q^{\prime \prime}+(n-1) q^{\prime}+(n-2)\right) g_{M_{2}}(V, W),
$$

which implies that $M_{2}$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection for $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$.

Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$ and by the use of Corollary 4.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, V\right)=(2-n) \frac{q^{\prime}}{2} \pi(V) g_{I}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=(n-2) \frac{q^{\prime}}{2} \pi(V) g_{I}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$.
Since $M$ is an Einstein manifold, we can write

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, V\right)=\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\alpha g\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right),
$$

where $g\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=0$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. Hence, the last equation turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, V\right)=\stackrel{\circ}{S}\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the right hand sides of the equations (35), (36) and (37), we obtain

$$
q^{\prime}=0
$$

which means that $q$ is a constant on $I$. Since the warping function $f=\exp \left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\}$, then $f$ is a constant on $I$. Thus, the proof of the theorem is completed.

Theorem 5.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a warped product $M_{1} \times_{f} I$, where $\operatorname{dim} I=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}=n-1(n \geq 3)$.
(i) If $(M, g)$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is parallel on $M_{1}$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on $M_{1}$ and $f$ is a constant on $M_{1}$, then:

$$
{ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{r}=-(n-2)^{2} \pi(P)
$$

(ii) If $(M, g)$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection for $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then $f$ is a constant on $M_{1}$.
(iii) If $f$ is a constant on $M_{1}$ and $M_{1}$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection for $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then $M$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection.

Proof. (i) Assume that $(M, g)$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)=\frac{\stackrel{\circ}{r}}{n} g(X, Y) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$. Taking $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and by the use of the equation (6) and Corollary 4.7, the equation (38) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)= & \frac{1}{n}\left[{ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{r}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right)-2 \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right. \\
& -2(n-1)(P f / f)-2(n-2) \pi(P)] g_{M_{1}}(X, Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a contraction from the above equation over $X$ and $Y$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{r}= & \frac{(n-1)}{n}\left[M_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{r}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right)-2 \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right.  \tag{39}\\
& -2(n-1)(P f / f)-2(n-2) \pi(P)]
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since the vector field $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$, then by the use of Corollary 4.5 we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)= & { }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)-\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f+(P f / f) g(X, Y)\right. \\
& \left.+\pi(P) g(X, Y)+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} P\right)-\pi(X) \pi(Y)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by a contraction from the last equation over $X$ and $Y$, it can be easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{r}={ }^{M_{1}} \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}}{ }-\frac{\Delta f}{f}-(n-1)(P f / f)-(n-2) \pi(P)-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the right hand sides of the equations (39) and (40), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(n-1)}{n}\left[M_{1} \stackrel{\circ}{r}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right)-2 \frac{\Delta f}{f}-2(n-1)(P f / f)-2(n-2) \pi(P)\right] \\
= & M_{1}^{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{r}-\frac{\Delta f}{f}-(n-1)(P f / f)-(n-2) \pi(P)-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} P, e_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is parallel and $f$ is a constant on $M_{1}$, then we get ${ }^{M_{1}}{ }_{r}^{\circ}=$ $-(n-2)^{2} \pi(P)$.
(ii) Let $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. By the use of Corollary 4.6, we have

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, V)=(2-n) g([\pi(V) / f] \operatorname{grad} f, X)
$$

and

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(V, X)=(n-2) g([\pi(V) / f] \operatorname{grad} f, X),
$$

for any vector fields $X \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $V \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. Since $M_{2}=I$, then taking $V=P$ and using the equality $g(\operatorname{grad} f, X)=X f$ from the last equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, P)=(2-n)(X f / f) \pi(P) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(P, X)=(n-2)(X f / f) \pi(P) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M$ is an Einstein manifold, we can write

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, P)=\stackrel{\circ}{S}(P, X)=\alpha g(P, X),
$$

where $g(P, X)=0$ for $X \in \chi\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. Hence, the last equation turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, P)=\stackrel{\circ}{S}(P, X)=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the right hand sides of the equations (41), (42) and (43) we get

$$
X f=0
$$

which gives us the warping function $f$ is a constant on $M_{1}$.
(iii) Assume that $M_{1}$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{M_{1}} S(X, Y)=\alpha g(X, Y) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M_{1}$.
On the other hand, in view of Corollary 4.6, we can write

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)={ }^{M_{1}} S(X, Y)-(n-2) \pi(P) g(X, Y)-\left[H^{f}(X, Y) / f\right]
$$

for $P \in \chi\left(M_{2}\right)$. Since $f$ is a constant on $M_{1}$, then $H^{f}(X, Y)=0$ for all $X, Y \in$ $\chi\left(M_{1}\right)$. Thus, the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)={ }^{M_{1}} S(X, Y)-(n-2) \pi(P) g(X, Y) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the use of (44) in (45), we obtain

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{S}(X, Y)=[\alpha-(n-2) \pi(P)] g(X, Y)
$$

which shows us $M_{1} \times_{f} I$ is an Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. Therefore, we complete the proof of the theorem.
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