KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 51(2011), 93-108 DOI 10.5666/KMJ.2011.51.1.093

## Weakly np- Injective Rings and Weakly C2 Rings

JUNCHAO WEI\* AND JIANHUA CHEN

Junchao Wei and Jianhua Chen School of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225002, P. R. China

 $e\text{-}mail: \verb"jcweiyz@yahoo.com.cn" and \verb"cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and \verb"cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and \verb"cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com.cn" and "cjh_m@yahoo.com" and "cjh_m@yahoo"" and "cjh_m@yahoo" and "cjh_m@yahoo" and "cjh_m@yaho$ 

ABSTRACT. A ring R is called left weakly np- injective if for each non-nilpotent element a of R, there exists a positive integer n such that any left R- homomorphism from  $Ra^n$  to R is right multiplication by an element of R. In this paper various properties of these rings are first developed, many extending known results such as every left or right module over a left weakly np- injective ring is divisible; R is left seft-injective if and only if R is left weakly np-injective and  $_RR$  is weakly injective; R is strongly regular if and only if R is abelian left pp and left weakly np- injective. We next introduce the concepts of left weakly pp rings and left weakly C2 rings. In terms of these rings, we give some characterizations of (von Neumann) regular rings such as R is regular if and only if R is n- regular, left weakly pp and left weakly C2. Finally, the relations among left C2 rings, left weakly C2 rings are given.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout R denotes an associative ring with identity, and all modules are unitary. We write  $_RM$  and  $M_R$  to indicate a left and right R-module, respectively. For any nonempty subset X of a ring R, r(X) and l(X) denote the right annihilator of X and the left annihilator of X, respectively. If  $X = \{a\}$ , we usually abbreviate it to l(a) and r(a). As usual, J(R),  $Z_l(R)$ , N(R),  $N_2(R)$  and E(R) denote the Jacobson radical, the left singular ideal, the set of all nilpotent elements, the set of all non-nilpotents and the set of all idempotent elements of R, respectively. As a generalization of AP- injective rings (cf. Page and Zhou, (1998)) np- injective rings (cf. Ming, (1983)), we introduced the notion of left weakly np- injective modules, that is, left R- module M is weakly np- injective if for each  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists a positive integer n such that any left R- homomorphism from  $Ra^n$  to M is right multiplication by an element of M. If  $_RR$  is left weakly np- injective, then R is called a left weakly np- injective ring, which is a generalization of left

Zooo Mathematics Subject Classification. 10A50, 10A50, 10A50, 10D50.

Key words and phrases: Left weakly np- injective rings. Left weakly C2 rings, Directly finite rings, Regular rings.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

Received September 17, 2008; revised November 24, 2010; accepted December 27, 2010. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16A30, 16A50, 16E50, 16D30.

YJ- injective rings (cf. Chen and Ding, (1999)). Some important results which are known for p- injective rings (cf. Nicholson and Yousif, (1995)) and np- injective rings were shown to hold for right weakly np- injective rings.

An important source of left C2 rings is given by Nicholson and Yousif in Nicholson and Yousif, (2001), and in Wei and Chen, (2007) and (2008), left NC2 rings are introduced, which is a generalization of left C2 rings. In this note, we introduce left WC2 rings, that is, a ring R is left WC2 if for any projective principally left ideal Ra with  $a \in N_2(R)$ , Ra = Re for some  $e \in E(R)$ . The connections among left WC2 rings, left FGF rings and quasi-Frobenius rings are considered, which generalize the Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 of Nicholson and Yousif, (2001).

(von Neumann) regular rings have been studied extensively by many authors. It is well known that a ring R is regular if and only if every left R-module is p-injective. Recently, Ding and Chen, (1999) showed that a ring R is regular if and only if every left R-module is YJ-injective. In this paper, we show that R is regular ring if and only if  $N_1(R) = \{0 \neq a \in R \mid a^2 = 0\}$  is regular and every left R-module is left weakly np-injective.

#### 2. Weakly np-injective rings and modules

**Theorem 2.1.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

(1) R is a left weakly np-injective ring.

(2) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $rl(a^n) = a^n R$ .

(3) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $b \in a^n R$  for any  $b \in R$ , whence  $l(a^n) \subseteq l(b)$ .

(4) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $b \in a^n R$  for any  $b \in R$ , whence  $l(a^n)b = 0$ .

(5) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $Ext^1_B(R/Ra^n, R) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Similar to Lemma 1.1 of Nicholson and Yousif, (1995), we can easy show the Theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Call an idempotent e of R is left weakly corner element if ReN = N for any left R- submodule N of Re. Clearly any central idempotent of a ring R is left weakly corner element. Let  $e \in E(R)$  such that ReR = R, then e is also a left weakly corner element of R.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let R be a left weakly np-injective ring with  $e \in E(R)$ . If e satisfies one of the following conditions, then S = eRe is left weakly np- injective.

- (1) e is a left weakly corner element of R.
- (2) e is contained in central of R.
- (3) ReR = R.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a \in N_2(S)$ . Then  $a \in N_2(R)$ . Since R is left weakly np-injective, by Theorem 2.1, there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $r_R l_R(a^n) = a^n R$ . We claim that  $r_S l_S(a^n) = a^n S$ . Let  $x \in r_S l_S(a^n)$ , then  $l_S(a^n) \subseteq l_S(x)$ . For any  $y \in l_R(a^n)$ , then  $ya^n = 0$ , so we have  $eRyea^n = 0$ . Therefore  $eRye \in l_S(a^n)$  and so eRyx = eRyex = 0 because  $l_S(a^n) \subseteq l_S(x)$ . Since e is left weakly corner element, Ryx =Ryxe = ReRyxe = ReRyx = ReRyex = 0. Hence  $y \in l_R(x)$  and so  $l_R(a^n) \subseteq l_R(x)$ . Therefore  $x \in r_R l_R(x) \subseteq r_R l_R(a^n) = a^n R$ . Since  $x = xe, x \in a^n Re = a^n e Re =$  $a^n S$ . Therefore  $r_S l_S(a^n) = a^n S$ . 

(2) and (3) follow from (1).

An element  $a \in R$  is called left regular if l(a) = 0. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let R be a left weakly np-injective ring. Then

(1) Any left regular element of R is right invertible.

(2)  $Z_l(R) \subseteq J(R)$ .

(3) Every left or right R-module is divisible.

(4) If P is a reduced principal left ideal of R, then P = Re, where  $e = e^2 \in R$ and R(1-e) is an ideal of R.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $c \in R$  such that l(c) = 0. Then  $c \in N_2(R)$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exists a positive integer n such that  $rl(c^n) = c^n R$ . This shows that  $R = c^n R$  which proves (1).

(2) If  $z \in Z_l(R)$ ,  $a \in R$ , then l(1-za) = 0 implies (1-za)v = 1 for some  $v \in R$ by (1). This proves that  $z \in J(R)$ .

(3) If c is a non-zero-divisor in R, then cd = 1 for some  $d \in R$  by (1). Now r(c) = 0 implies dc = 1 and for any right *R*-module  $M, M = Mdc \subseteq Mc \subseteq M$ . Hence M = Mc and we show that M is divisible. Similarly, we can show that any left R-module is divisible.

(4) Let  $P = Rc, c \in R$ , be a non-zero reduced principal left ideal. Since  $c^2 \in$  $N_2(R)$  and R is left weakly np-injective, there exists a positive integer n such that  $rl(c^{2n}) = c^{2n}R$ . Hence  $l(c) = l(c^{2n})$  shows that  $cR \subseteq rl(c) = rl(c^{2n}) = c^{2n}R$ . Therefore  $c = c^{2n}b$  for some  $b \in R$ , which implies c = cdc, where  $d = c^{2n-2}b$ (P being reduced), whence P is generated by the idempotent e = dc. Also, for any  $a \in R$ ,  $(ae - eae)^2 = 0$  implies ae = eae, whence (1 - e)Re = 0. Therefore  $(1-e)R \subseteq R(1-e)$  which establishes the last part of (4). 

An extension of left R- modules

(\*):  $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \longrightarrow 0$  (exact)

is said to be weakly pure if it has one of the following two equivalent properties: (1) For every  $d \in N_2(R)$ , there exists a positive integer n such that  $A \cap d^n B =$  $d^n A$ .

(2) If  $d^n c = 0$  for  $c \in C$ , then there exists  $b \in B$  satisfying  $\beta(b) = c$  and  $d^n b = 0$ . (In (1) A is identified with  $\alpha(A) \subseteq B$ .) It is easy to see that these are equivalent respectively to

 $(1^{/})$  For every  $d \in N_2(R)$ , there exists a positive integer n such that  $R/d^n R \otimes$  $A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \alpha} R/d^n R \otimes B$  is a monomorphism.

 $(2^{/})$   $Hom(R/Rd^n, B) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} Hom(R/Rd^n, C)$  is an epimorphism. Then, we have

**Proposition 2.4.** Let A be a left R-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) A is left weakly np- injective as R- module.

(2) Every extension (\*) with A as the kernel is weakly pure.

(3) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $r_A l_R(a^n) = a^n A$ .

(4) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $b \in a^n A$  for any  $b \in A$ , whence  $l_R(a^n)b = 0$ .

(5) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ , there exists  $n \in Z^+$  such that  $Ext^1_R(R/Ra^n, A) = 0$ .

As a corollary we have

**Corollary 2.5.** (1) An extension of a left weakly np- injective module by a left weakly np- injective module yields always left weakly np- injective.

(2) A direct product as well as a direct sum of left np- injective modules is np- injective.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let R be a left weakly np- injective ring. If Rb embeds in Ra, where l(b) = 0, then there exists a positive integer number n such that  $b^n R$  is an image of aR.

Proof. If  $\sigma: Rb \longrightarrow Ra$  is monic. Since R is left weakly np- injective, there exists a positive integer n such that any left R-homomorphism of  $Rb^n$  into R extends to one of R into R. Let left R-homomorphism  $f = \iota \sigma i : Rb^n \longrightarrow R$ , where  $i: Rb^n \hookrightarrow Rb$  and  $\iota: Ra \hookrightarrow R$  are embedation maps. Hence  $\sigma(b^n) = b^n v = ua$ , where  $v, u \in R$ . Now let  $\varphi: aR \longrightarrow b^n R$ , via:  $\varphi(ar) = uar = b^n vr$ . Since  $b^n v \in N_2(R)$ , there exists a positive integer m such that  $(b^n v)^m R = rl((b^n v)^m)$ . Since  $l((b^n v)^m) = l(b^n v) = l(b^n) = l(b) = 0$ ,  $(b^n v)^m R = rl((b^v)^m) = R$ . Let  $b^n = (b^n v)^m c$ , where  $c \in R$ . Hence  $\varphi(a(b^n v)^{m-1}c) = ua(b^n v)^{m-1}c = (b^n v)^m c = b^n$ and so  $\varphi$  is an epic.

According to Ming, (1983), a ring R is called left np- injective if, for each  $a \in N_2(R)$ , we have rl(a) = aR. Evidently, left np- injective rings are weakly np-injective. Similar to Theorem 2.6, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let R be a left np- injective ring. If Rb embeds in Ra, where l(b) = 0, then bR is an image of aR.

On the other hand, we also have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let R be a left np- injective ring. If Ra is an image of Rb, where  $b \in N_2(R)$ , then aR embeds in bR.

*Proof.* If  $\sigma : Rb \longrightarrow Ra$  is epic. Since R is left np-injective and  $b \in N_2(R)$ ,  $\sigma = \cdot v$ ,  $v \in R$ . Then bv = ua for some  $u \in R$ . So define  $\varphi : aR \longrightarrow bR$  by  $ar \longmapsto uar = bvr$ . Write  $a = \sigma(sb) = sbv$ , where  $s \in R$ . Then  $\varphi(ar) = 0$  gives 0 = uar = bvr, whence ar = sbvr = 0, and  $\varphi$  is monic.

A ring R is called left zero-divisor power if, for each  $0 \neq a \in R$ ,  $l(a^n) = l(a)$  for all positive integer n satisfying  $a^n \neq 0$ .

If R is only a left weakly np- injective ring, we don't know whether the result in theorem 2.8 is right. But it is right if R is also a left zero-divisor power ring.

A ring R is called directly finite if uv = 1 in R implies vu = 1. By Theorem 2.6, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let R be a left weakly np- injective ring. Then R is directly finite if and only if every left regular element is invertible.

Let E(M) be an injective hull of  $_RM$ . M is called left weakly injective (cf. Nicholson and Yousif, (1995)) if for any finite generated submodule  $_RN \subseteq E(M)$ , there exists  $_RX \cong M$  and  $_RN \subseteq_R X \subseteq E(R)$ . Clearly, left injective modules are left weakly injective. If  $_RR$  is left weakly injective, we call R is left weakly injective ring.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let R be a left weakly np- injective ring. If  $_RR$  is essential in  $_RX$ , where  $_RX \cong_R R$ , then X = R.

*Proof.* Let  $f :_R R \longrightarrow_R X$  be the isomorphism and  $f(1) = b \in X$ . Then Rb = Im(f) = X. Since  $1 \in R \subseteq X$ , let  $1 = ub, u \in R$ . Hence  $_RR = R1 = Rub$  and l(u) = 0. Since R is left weakly np- injective, there exists a  $d \in R$  such that ud = 1 by Theorem 2.3. Let e = du, then  $e^2 = e$  and Ru = Re, so we have R = Rub = Reb. It is clear that  $X = Rb = (Re \oplus R(1-e))b = Reb + R(1-e)b$ . If  $x \in Reb \cap R(1-e)b$ , then there exist  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  such that  $x = r_1eb = r_2(1-e)b$ , so  $f^{-1}(x) = r_1e = r_2(1-e)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(x) = 0$  and then x = 0, so  $X = Rb = Reb \oplus R(1-e)b = R \oplus R(1-e)b$ . Since  $_RR$  is essential in  $_RX$ , R(1-e)b = 0, and so X = Reb = R. □

The following theorem is a generalization of Nicholson and Yousif, (1995, Theorem 1.3).

**Theorem 2.11.** Ring R is left seft-injective if and only if R is left weakly npinjective and left weakly injective.

*Proof.* We only show "if" part, in other word, we show that  $E(RR) \subseteq R$ . Let  $a \in E(RR)$ . Since  $R + Ra \subseteq E(RR)$  and R is left weakly injective, there exists  $X \subseteq E(RR)$  such that  $R + Ra \subseteq X$  and  $RX \cong_R R$ . Since R is left weakly np-injective, X = R by lemma 2.10. Hence R = E(RR).

The next theorem extends Chen and Ding, (1999, Theorem 3.1).

**Theorem 2.12.** Let R be a semiprime left weakly np- injective ring. Then every maximal left (respectively, right) annihilator is a maximal left (respectively, right) ideal of R which is generated by an idempotent.

*Proof.* Let L be a maximal left (respectively, right) annihilator. Then L = l(a) (respectively, r(a)) for some  $0 \neq a \in R$ . Since R is semiprime,  $Z_l(R) \cap l(Z_l(R)) = 0$ . Claim:  $a \notin Z_l(R)$ . Otherwise,  $a \notin l(Z_l(R))$ . That is  $aZ_l(R) \neq 0$ . Take  $x \in Z_l(R)$  such that  $ax \neq 0$ . Since l(ax) = l(a) by the maximality of L. Thus  $l(x) \cap Ra = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $a \notin Z_l(R)$ . Then there exists a nonzero left ideal I of *R* such that " $l(a) \cap I = 0$ " maximal. Let  $0 \neq b \in I$ , then  $ba \neq 0$ . If there exists a minimal positive integer *n* such that  $(ba)^n = 0$ , then  $(ba)^{n-1}b \in l(a) \cap I = 0$ and so  $b \in l((ab)^{n-1})$ . Since  $l(a) = l((ab)^{n-1})$ ,  $b \in l(a)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $ba \in N_2(R)$ . Since *R* is left weakly np- injective, there exists a positive integer *m* such that  $rl((ba)^m) = (ba)^m R$  by Theorem 2.1. Since  $l((ba)^{m-1}b) = l((ba)^m)$ ,  $(ba)^{m-1}b = (ba)^m c$  for some  $c \in R$ . Hence  $(ba)^{m-1}b(1 - ac) = 0$ . Let d = a - aca, then  $l(a) \subseteq l(d)$ , since  $(ba)^{m-1}b \notin l(a)$  and in l(d). Hence d = 0 by the maximality of l(a). Therefore L = l(ac) with ac is idempotent. So we can assume that a = eis an idempotent. To see *L* is a maximal left ideal, we show that *Re* is a minimal left ideal of *R*. Since R is semiprime, it suffices to show that eRe is a division ring. Let  $0 \neq d \in eRe$ . Since l(e) = l(d), *d* is not nilpotent. Hence  $d^s R = rl(d^s)$  for some positive integer *s*. Since  $l(d^s) = l(e)$ ,  $d^s R = eR$ . Write  $e = d^s t$  where  $t \in R$ . Then  $e = d(d^{s-1}te)$  with  $d^{s-1}te \in eRe$ . So, eRe is a division ring.

## 3. Weakly C2 rings

A ring R is called left C2 (cf. Nicholson and Yousif, (2001)) if, every left ideal T is isomorphic to a summand of  $_{R}R$  then T is a summand.

A ring R is called left generalized C2 (or GC2) (cf. Zhou, (2002)) if, for any left ideal L of R with  $_{R}L \cong_{R} R$ , L is a summand of R.

A ring R is called left NC2 (cf. Wei and Chen, (2007)) if for any  $a \in N(R)$  with  $_RRa$  projective, Ra is a summand of R.

Call a ring R left weakly C2 (or WC2) if for any  $a \in N_2(R)$  with <sub>R</sub>Ra projective, Ra is a summand of R.

Clearly, A ring R is left C2 if and only if R is left NC2 and left WC2, and a left C2 ring is always left GC2. On the other hand, we can easy to show that left npinjective rings are left WC2. Evidently, if R is a local left WC2 ring, then R is left C2 ring. Now let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & Z_2 \\ 0 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then R is a left WC2 ring but not left C2 ring. On the other hand, the referee shows that left WC2 rings are left GC2 (Proof. If  $f: R \longrightarrow Ra$  is a isomorphism with a = f(1), then l(a) = 0. So  $a \in N_2(R)$ , and Ra is a direct summand of R for R is left WC2. Hence R is left GC2).

Similar to Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Proposition 3.3), we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

(1) R is a left WC2 ring.

(2) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$  and each *R*-isomorphism  $Ra \longrightarrow Re, e^2 = e \in R$ , extends to  $R \longrightarrow R$ .

(3) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$  and if  $l(a) = l(e), e^2 = e \in R$ , then  $e \in aR$ .

(4) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$  and if  $l(a) = l(e), e^2 = e \in R$ , then eR = aR.

(5) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$  and  $aR \subseteq eR \subseteq rl(a), e^2 = e \in R$ , then eR = aR.

(6) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$  and if Ra is projective, then Ra is a direct summand of  $_RR$ .

Similar to Theorem 2.3, for left WC2 rins, we have the following results:

**Proposition 3.2.** Let R be a left WC2 ring, then:

- (1) Every left regular element of R is right invertible.
- (2) Every left or right R-module is divisible.
- (3)  $Z_l(R) \subseteq J(R)$ .

Recall that a ring R is directly finite if and only if R/J(R) is directly finite if and only if every left R- epic:  $R \longrightarrow R$  is monic.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let R be a left WC2 ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is directly finite.

(2)  $R/Z_l(R)$  is directly finite.

(3) Every monomorphism  $_{R}R \longrightarrow_{R} R$  is an isomorphism.

If R is also a local ring, then also equivalent to

(4) R is left C2.

(5)  $J(R) = \{a \in R | l(a) \neq 0\}.$ 

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \bar{1}$  in  $R/Z_l(R)$ . Then ab = 1 + x for some  $x \in Z_l(R)$ . By hypothesis and Proposition 3.2(3),  $x \in J(R)$ , so we have  $1 = (1 + x)^{-1}ab$ . By (1),  $b(1 + x)^{-1}a = 1$ . It follows that  $\bar{b}\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{1}\bar{a} = \bar{b}(1 + x)^{-1}\bar{a} = \bar{1}$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let  $f :_R R \longrightarrow_R R$  be monic and a = f(1). Then  $a \neq 0$  and l(a) = 0. By hypothesis and Proposition 3.2(1), there exists a  $d \in R$  such that ad = 1. Hence  $\bar{a}\bar{d} = \bar{1}$  in  $R/Z_l(R)$ , and so  $\bar{d}\bar{a} = \bar{1}$  by (2). Then we have da = 1 + y, where  $y \in Z_l(R) \subseteq J(R)$  and so  $f((1+y)^{-1}d) = (1+y)^{-1}da = 1$ . Showing that f is an isomorphism.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let ab = 1 in R. Define  $f :_R R \longrightarrow_R R$  by f(r) = ra. Then f is monic and, by (3), 1 = ca for some  $c \in R$ . Therefore, c = c(ab) = (ca)b = b and so ba = 1.

By Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Corollary 3.5), we yield  $(3) \iff (4) \iff (5)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let R be a left WC2 ring. then

(1) If  $a \in R$  and  $e \in E(R)$  is central with  $f : Re \longrightarrow Ra$  being a left R-isomorphism, then there exists  $g^2 = g \in R$  such that Ra = Rg.

(2) Let  $e, f \in E(R)$  and f be central, if  $Re \cap Rf = 0$ , then there exists  $g^2 = g \in R$  such that  $Re \oplus Rf = Rg$ .

(3) If R is an abelian ring, then R is left C2.

*Proof.* (1) Let f(e) = b, then Ra = Im(f) = Rb and eb = ef(e) = f(e) = b. If there exists a positive integer n > 1 such that  $b^n = 0$ , then  $f(b^{n-1}e) = b^n = 0$ , and so  $b^{n-1}e = 0$ . Since e is central,  $eb^{n-1} = 0$  and so  $b^{n-1} = 0$ . Repeating the above process, we have b = 0, which is a contradiction. Hence  $b \in N_2(R)$ . Since R is left WC2, Ra is a summand of R.

(2) Let  $L \subseteq_R R$  satisfy  $R = Re \oplus L$ , then  $Re \oplus Rf = Re \oplus ((Re \oplus Rf) \cap L)$ . Since  $Rf \cong (Re \oplus Rf)/Re \cong (Re \oplus Rf) \cap L$ , by (1),  $(Re \oplus Rf) \cap L = Rh$  for some  $h \in E(R)$ . Let  $R = ((Re \oplus Rf) \cap L) \oplus K$ , where  $K \subseteq_R R$ . Since  $L = L \cap R = L \cap (((Re \oplus Rf) \cap L) \oplus K) = ((Re \oplus Rf) \cap L) \oplus (L \cap K), R = Re \oplus L = Re \oplus ((Re \oplus Rf) \cap L) \oplus (L \cap K) = Re \oplus Rf \oplus (L \cap K)$  and so  $Re \oplus Rf = Rg$  for some  $g \in E(R)$ .

(3) It is an immediate result of (1).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let R be a left weakly np- injective ring. If R satisfies one of the following conditions, then R is left WC2.

(1) R is an abelian ring.

(2) R is a left zero-disivor power ring.

Proof. Let  $a \in N_2(R)$  and  $e \in E(R)$  such that  $Ra \cong Re$ . Then, clearly, there exists an idempotent  $g \in R$  such that a = ga and l(a) = l(g). Since R is left weakly npinjective,  $rl(a^n) = a^n R$  for some  $n \ge 1$  by Theorem 2.1. If R is a left zero-divisor power ring,  $l(a^n) = l(a)$ . Now we assume that R is an abelian ring. Let  $x \in l(a^n)$ , then  $xa^{n-1} \in l(a) = l(g)$ . Hence  $xa^{n-1} = xga^{n-1} = xa^{n-1}g = 0$ , so we have  $x \in l(a^{n-1})$ . Repeating the above process, we have  $x \in l(a)$ . Hence  $l(a^n) = l(a)$ . However, we have  $gR = rl(g) = rl(a) = rl(a^n) = a^n R \subseteq aR = gaR \subseteq gR$ , this shows that qR = aR. Therefore R is left WC2.

Recall that a ring R is left morphic (see, Nicholson and Campos, (2004)) if, for each  $a \in R$ ,  $R/Ra \cong l(a)$ , equivalently, if for each  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that Ra = l(b) and Rb = l(a). In Nicholson and Campos, (2004) it is proved that left morphic rings are right p-injective, and hence right C2. Furthermore, we have.

## **Theorem 3.6.** Let R be a left morphic ring. Then R is left C2.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R$  and  $\sigma : Ra \cong Re, e^2 = e \in R$ . Then there exists an idempotent f of R such that a = fa and l(a) = l(f) = R(1 - f). Since R is a left morphic ring, Ra = l(d) and Rd = l(a) for some  $d \in R$ . Write d = dud, where  $u \in R$  with ud = 1 - f. Set g = du, then  $g^2 = g$  and dR = gR. Hence Ra = l(d) = l(g) = R(1 - g) is a direct summand.

A ring R is called left Johns (cf. Faith and Menal, (1992)) if it is left noetherian and every left ideal is an annihilator, and R is called strongly left Johns (cf. Faith and Menal, (1994)) if the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$  is left Johns for every  $n \ge 1$ . It is an open question whether or not strongly left Johns rings are quasi-Frobenius. A ring R is called a left CEP if every cyclic left R-module can be essentially embedded in a projective module. These rings are known to be left artinian (cf. Pardo and Asensio, (1997)). A ring R is called left (right, resp.) perfect if R satisfies the descending chain condition for cyclic right (left, resp.) ideals. It is well known that R is left perfect if and only if R/J(R) is semisimple and J(R) is right T- nilpotent, where a ring R is called right T- nilpotent if for every family  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots\} \subseteq R$ , there exists a positive integer n such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n = 0$ . In Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.5) it is proved that a ring R is left CEP if and only if R is left Johns and left C2, and Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.6) proved that R is a strongly left Johns left C2 ring if and only if R is a quasi-Frobenius ring. We will show that left Johns rings and left CEP rings are same whence R is a left WC2 ring.

Since left Johns rings are right principally injective (cf. Nicholson and Yousif, (1995)), left Johns rings are right AGP-injective and left noetherian, by Zhou, (2003, Theorem 2.1), J(R) is nilpotent. Hence we have the following theorem, which is a generalization of Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.5).

**Theorem 3.7.** R is a left CEP ring if and only if R is a left Johns left WC2 ring.

*Proof.*  $\implies$  It is an immediate consequence of Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.5).

 $\Leftarrow$  Since *R* is left *Johns*, *J*(*R*) is nilpotent. Since *R* is left noetherian, *R* is directly finite. By Theorem 3.3, every left *R*- monic *R*  $\longrightarrow$  *R* is epic because *R* is left *WC*2. By Camps and Dicks, (1993, Theorem 5), *R* is semilocal, so, *R* is semiprimary. Therefore *R* is left artinian. Then, by Nicholson and Yousif, (1998, Proposition 3.3), *R* is left *CEP*.  $\Box$ 

The following theorem is a generalization of Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.6).

**Theorem 3.8.** R is a quasi-Frobenius ring if and only if R is a strongly left Johns left WC2 ring.

*Proof.*  $\implies$  It is obvious by Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.6).

 $\Leftarrow$  By hypothesis, and using theorem 3.7, R is left CEP. By Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.5), R is left C2. By Nicholson and Yousif, (2001, Theorem 4.6), R is quasi-Frobenius.

Since quasi-Frobenius rings are left self-injective, quasi-Frobenius rings are left np- injective. Since left np- injective rings are left WC2, by Theorem 3.8, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** R is a quasi-Frobenius ring if and only if R is a strongly left Johns left np- injetive ring.

By Proposition 3.5, we yield the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let R be an abelian ring. Then R is a quasi-Frobenius ring if and only if R is a strongly left Johns left weakly np- injetive ring.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let R be a directly finite left weakly np- injective ring, then:

(1) R is left GC2.

(2) If  $_{R}R$  is of finite Goldie dimension, then R is semilocal.

(3) If  $Soc(_RR) \subseteq l(J)$ , then R is left Noetherian if and only if R is left artinian.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $\sigma : Ra \cong R$ , where  $a \in R$ . Write  $\sigma(a) = d$ , and  $\sigma(ca) = 1$  for

some  $c, d \in R$ . Hence 1 = cd, and so dc = 1 because R is directly finite. Since l(a) = l(d) = 0,  $a \in N_2(R)$ . Since R is left np- injective, there exists a positive integer m such that  $rl(a^m) = a^m R$ . Hence  $R = rl(a) = rl(a^m) = a^m R = aR$ . Since R is directly finite, Ra = R. Hence R is a left GC2 ring.

(2) Let  $\sigma: R \longrightarrow R$  be a monomorphism. Then, by (1),  $R = \sigma(R) \oplus L$  for some  $L \subseteq R$ . Since  $_RR$  has finite Goldie dimension, L = 0. So  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $_RR$  satisfies the assumptions in Camps-Dicks, (1993, Theorem 5), and so  $R \cong End(R)$  is semilocal.

(3) If R is left Noetherian, then  $R/Soc(_RR)$  is left Noetherian, and so  $R/Soc(_RR)$  has ACC on left annihilator. Hence  $(r(Soc(_RR)\cap J(R))+Soc(_RR))/Soc(_RR))$  is nilpotent in  $R/Soc(_RR)$ . Because  $Soc(_RR) \subseteq l(J)$ ,  $J + Soc(_RR)/Soc(_RR)$  is nilpotent. Hence there exists a positive integer n such that  $J^n \subseteq Soc(_RR)$ , and so  $J^{n+1} \subseteq JS_l = 0$ . By (2) R is a semilocal ring, and so R is a semiprimary ring. Hence R is left artinian.  $\Box$ 

A ring R is called left finite embedded (cf. Nicholson and Yousif, (2000)) if,  $Soc(_RR)$  is finite generated and left essential in  $_RR$ , and R is said to be right Kasch if for any maximal right ideal M of R,  $l(M) \neq 0$ .

A ring R is called left minsymmetric if, whence Rk is a simple left ideal of R, then kR is also simple as right ideal. This is a large class of rings, including the left miniplective rings (see, Nicholson and Yousif, (1997)). If R is left minsymmetric, then  $S_l \subseteq S_r$ . If  $S_l$  is also an essential left ideal of R, then  $J(R) \subseteq Z_l(R)$ . Hence the next proposition is a generalization of Nicholson and Yousif, (2000, Lemma 1).

**Proposition 3.12.** Suppose R is a left finite embedded, left minsymmetric ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right Kasch ring.
- (2) R is a left C2 ring.
- (3) R is a left WC2 ring.
- (4) R is a left GC2 ring.
- (5)  $Z_l(R) = J(R)$ .
- (6)  $Z_l(R) \subseteq J(R)$ .

### 4. Weakly pp rings and weakly regular rings

**Theorem 4.1.** (1) R is a left pp ring if and only if R is a left NPP ring and left weakly pp ring.

(2) The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

(a) R is a left weakly pp ring.

(b) Every factor module of an injective left R- module is np- injective.

(c) Every sum of two injective submodules of a left R-module is np-injective.

(d) Every sum of two isomorphic injective submodules of a left R-module is np-injective.

(e) Every factor module of a np- injective left R- module is np- injective.

(3) The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

(a) R is an abelian left weakly almost pp ring.

(b) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$  and each  $x \in l(a)$ , there exists  $e \in E(R)$  such that  $e \in l(a)$  and x = ex = xe.

(c) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ ,  $l(a) = l(a^2) = l(a^3) = \cdots = l(a^n) = \cdots = \sum_{i \in I} Re_i \subseteq r(a)$ , where  $\{e_i | i \in I\} \subseteq E(R)$ .

(d) For any  $a \in N_2(R)$ ,  $l(a) = \sum_{i \in I} Re_i \subseteq r(a)$  and  $l(a) \cap Ra = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) is obvious.

(2) Similar to Theorem 2.1 of Wei and Chen, (2008).

(3)  $(d) \Longrightarrow (a)$  is obvious.

 $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$  Assume that  $a \in N_2(R)$ . Since R is left weakly almost pp,  $l(a) = \sum_{i \in I} Re_i$ , where  $\{e_i | i \in I\} \subseteq E(R)$ . For any  $x \in l(a)$ , there exists positive integer n such that  $x \in \sum_{i=1}^n Re_i$ . Since R is abelian, there exists a  $e \in E(R)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n Re_i = Re$ . Therefore x = xe = ex.

 $(b) \Longrightarrow (c)$  We first claim that R is abelian. Let  $e \in E(R)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , set h = ex - exe. Then he = 0 and eh = h. Since  $e \in N_2(R)$ ,  $h \in l(e)$ , by (b), there exists a  $g \in E(R)$  such that  $g \in l(e)$  and h = gh = hg. Since ge = 0, g = g(1 - e). Therefore h = gh = g(1 - e)h = gh - geh = gh - gh = 0, this implies R is abelian. Next, let  $a \in N_2(R)$  and let  $\{e_i | i \in I\}$  are the set of all idempotents containing in l(a). Clearly,  $l(a) = \sum_{i \in I} Re_i$ . For any  $n \ge 1$  and  $x \in l(a^{n+1})$ , then  $xa^n \in l(a)$ . By (b), there exists a  $e \in E(R)$  such that  $e \in l(a)$  and  $xa^n = exa^n = xa^ne$ . Clearly,  $xa^ne = xea^n = 0$  because R is abelian. Hence  $xa^n = 0$  and so  $x \in l(a^n)$ . This implies that  $l(a^n) = l(a^{n+1})$  for any positive integer n. Finally we assume that  $y \in l(a)$ , then there exists a  $e^2 = e \in l(a)$  such that y = ye = ey. Hence ay = aey = eay = 0, so we have  $y \in r(a)$ . Therefore  $l(a) \subseteq r(a)$ .

 $(c) \Longrightarrow (d)$  Let  $a \in N_2(R)$  and  $x \in l(a) \cap Ra$ . Then xa = 0 and x = ba for some  $b \in R$ . Clearly  $b \in l(a^2)$ . By (c),  $b \in l(a)$ , so we have x = ba = 0.

The following theorem is similar to Chen and Ding, (2001, Theorem 2.9).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let R be a ring, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a left weakly pp left np- injective ring.
- (2) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$ , aR = eR, where  $e^2 = e \in R$ .
- (3) For each  $a \in N_2(R)$ , Ra = Rg, where  $g^2 = g \in R$ .
- (4) R is a right weakly pp right np- injective ring.
- (5) R is a left weakly pp left WC2 ring.

*Proof.* (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) and (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (5) are clear.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$  Let  $a \in N_2(R)$ . Since R is left weakly pp, l(a) = Re for some  $e \in E(R)$ . Hence  $Ra \cong Re$ . Since R is left WC2, Ra = Rg for some  $g \in E(R)$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $a \in N_2(R)$ . Since  $Ra = Rg, g^2 = g$ , Ra is projective in  $_RR$ . Hence R is left weakly pp. Let  $a = ag, g = ba, b \in R$  and let e = ab. Then  $e^2 = e$ and aR = eR. Now because l(a) = R(1-e), rl(a) = eR. Hence aR = eR = rl(a)and so R is left np-injective.

Similarly, we can show  $(3) \iff (4)$ .

We call a ring R W- regular if it satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.2. According to Wei and Chen, (2007), a ring R is called n- regular if for every  $a \in N(R)$ , a = aba for some  $b \in R$ . Clearly, R is regular if and only if R is W- regular and n- regular.

Similar to Wei and Chen, (2001, Theorem 2.18), we have the following theorem.

## **Theorem 4.3.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is a w- regular ring.
- (2) Every left R-module is np- injective.
- (3) Every cyclic left R-module is np- injective.

Call a right R- module M w- flat if, for any  $a \in N_2(R)$  and the inclusion mapping  $\iota : Ra \longrightarrow R$ , mapping  $1_M \otimes \iota : M \otimes_R Ra \longrightarrow M \otimes_R R$  is always monomorphism. Clearly, right R- module M is flat if and only if M is N flat (cf. Wei and Chen, (2008)) and w-flat.

Similar to Wei and Chen, (2008, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** (1) Right R- module B is w- flat if and only if  $B^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Hom_Z(B, Q/Z)$  is np- injective, where Q is the field of real number.

- (2) The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:
- (a) R is a w- regular ring.
- (b) Every right R-module is w-flat.
- (c) Every cyclic right R- module is w- flat.

Recall that a ring R is strongly regular if for any  $a \in R$ ,  $a \in a^2 R$ . It is well known that R is a strongly regular if and only if R is an abelian ring and regular ring. On the other hand, R is regular if and only if R is left pp and left C2. Hence by theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.5, we have:

**Corollary 4.5.** The following conditions are quivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is strongly regular
- (2) R is abelian left pp and left weakly np- injective.
- (3) R is abelian left pp and left np- injective.
- (4) R is abelian left PP and left WC2.

According to Chen and Ding, (2001), an element a of a ring R is called generalized  $\Pi$ - regular if there exists a positive integer n such that  $a^n = a^n ba$  for some  $b \in R$ . A ring R is called generalized  $\Pi$ - regular if, every element of R is generalized  $\Pi$ -regular. In Chen and Ding, (2001) it is shown that a ring R is regular if and only if  $N_1(R) = \{0 \neq a \in R \mid a^2 = 0\}$  is regular and R is generalized  $\Pi$ - regular if and only if every cyclic left R- module is YJ- injective. We generalize the result as follows.

**Theorem 4.6.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R with  $N_1(R) = \{0 \neq a \in R \mid a^2 = 0\}$  is regular.

- (1) R is a regular ring.
- (2) R is a left pp left weakly np- injective ring.
- (3) Every left R-module is left weakly np-injective.
- (4) Every cyclic left R- module is left weakly np- injective.
- (5) Every principal left ideal of R is left weakly np- injective.
- (6) Every proper principal left ideal of R is left weakly np- injective.
- (7) Every essential left ideal of R is left weakly np- injective.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2), (1) ⇒ (3) ⇒ (4) ⇒ (5) ⇒ (6) and (3) ⇒ (7) are evident.
(2) ⇒ (1) Let 0 ≠ a ∈ R. If a is nilpotent, then there exists a minimal positive integer n such that  $a^n = 0$  and  $a^{n-1} ≠ 0$ . If n = 2, then  $a ∈ N_1(R)$  and so a is regular. If n > 2, then  $a^{n-1}$  is regular, Hence a is generalized Π− regular. Hence we can assume that a is not nilpotent. Since R is left weakly np− injective, there exists a positive integer m such that  $rl(a^m) = a^m R$ . Because R is left pp,  $l(a^m) = Re, e^2 = e ∈ R$ . Hence  $a^m R = (1 - e)R$  and so a is Π− regular. Therefore, we always have a is generalized Π− regular.

 $(6) \Longrightarrow (1)$  Let  $0 \neq a \in R$ . If Ra = R, we are done. Hence we can assume that  $Ra \neq R$  and a is not nilpotent. By (6), Ra is left weakly np-injective, then there exists a positive integer n such that any homomorphism of  $Ra^n$  into Ra can be extended to one of R into Ra. Hence there exists a  $c \in R$  such that  $a^n = a^n ca$  and so a is generalized  $\Pi$ - regular.

 $(7) \Longrightarrow (5)$  Let  $0 \neq a \in R$ . Then there exists a left ideal L of R, respect to property " $Ra \cap L = 0$ " maximal. Hence  $Ra \oplus L$  is essential left ideal of R. By (7),  $Ra \oplus L$  is left weakly np- injective, then we can easy to show that Ra is left weakly np- injective.  $\Box$ 

By Corollary 2.5(2) and Theorem 4.1(2), we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.6.** (1) If R is a left weakly pp ring, then every left R-module possesses the largest np-injective submodule.

(2) Among submodules B of a left R-module M with np-injective factor modules there exists the smallest one, which we denote by WD(M).

As an immediate result of Proposition 4.7, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is a left weakly pp ring.
- (2) For every left R- module M, WD(M) is np- injective.
- (3) For any left R-module M with WD(M) = M, M is np-injective.

Let  $a \in N_2(R)$  such that  ${}_RRa$  be projective. Then, clearly, there exists an idempotent  $e_a \in R$  such that  $a = e_a a$  and  $l(a) = l(e_a)$ . Hence we can easy yield the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let R be a left weakly pp ring. Then a left R-module M is left np-injective if and only if  $a_l : M \longrightarrow e_a M$  induced by the left operation of a, is epimorphic for every  $a \in N_2(R)$ .

### 5. Application

Since division rings are regular, every module over division rings is p- injective. Hence every left (right) module over division rings is left (right) weakly np- injective. we now characterize division rings in terms of the following notion: R is called a left F- ring (cf. Ming, (1983)) if, for any maximal left ideal M of R, any  $b \in M$ , R/Mb is flat left R- module. Division rings are left (right) F- rings.

A ring R is called left uniform if and only if every non-zero left ideal is an essential left ideal of R.

**Theorem 5.1.** The following conditions are equivalent for a semiprime left uniform ring R:

(1) R is a division ring.

(2) R is a left p- injective left F- ring.

(3) R is a left YJ- injective left F- ring.

(4) R is a left weakly np- injective left F- ring.

*Proof.* It is evident that (1) implies (2), which, in turn, implies (3) and (4).

Assume (4). If  $b \in R, b \notin Z_l(R)$ . Since R is left uniform ring, l(b) = 0 which implies bc = 1 for some  $c \in R$  by theorem 2.3. This shows that every maximal right ideal of R is contained in  $Z_l(R)$ , whence R is a local ring with  $Z_l(R) = J(R)$ . Since R is a left F- ring,  $J(R)^2 = 0$  and so J(R) = 0, because R is a semiprime ring. Hence R is a division ring.  $\Box$ 

R is called a left CAM- ring if, for any essential maximal left ideal M of R (if it exists), for any left subideal I of M which is either a complement left subideal of M or a left annihilator ideal in R, I is an ideal of M.

Left CAM- rings generalize semisimple artinian rings. In Ming, (1983) it is shown that semiprime left CAM- ring R is either semisimple artinian or reduced. If R is also left np- injective, then R is either semisimple artinian or strongly regular ring. We yield the following theorem, because reduced left weakly np- injective ring is left np- injective ring.

**Theorem 5.2.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

(1) R is either semisimple artinian or strongly regular.

(2) R is a semiprime left CAM- ring whose singular simple right modules are flat.

(3) R is a semiprime left weakly np- injective, left CAM- ring.

(4) R is a semiprime MERT left CAM- ring whose singular simple right R-modules are YJ- injective.

(5) R is a semiprime MERT left CAM- ring whose singular simple right R-modules are np- injective.

(6) R is a semiprime MERT left CAM- ring whose singular simple right R-modules are weakly np- injective.

*Proof.* (1) implies (2) and (1) $\iff$ (3) are evident.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$  If R is not a semisimple artinian ring, then R is reduced. Let  $0 \neq a \in R$ , if  $aR \oplus r(a) \neq R$ , then  $aR \oplus r(a) \subseteq M$  for some maximal right ideal M of R. If M is not essential right ideal of R, then  $M = (1 - e)R, e^2 = e \in R$ . Because R is reduced, ae = ea = 0 and  $e \in r(a) \subseteq M = r(e)$ , a contradiction. Hence M is an essential right ideal of R and so R/M is a singular simple right R-module. By (2), R/M is flat, then there exists a  $m \in M$  such that a = ma. But then a = am, because R is reduced. Now we obtain  $1 - m \in r(a)$ , and so  $1 \in M$ , a contradiction. Hence  $aR \oplus r(a) = R$  and then R is a strongly regular ring.

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (4) \Longrightarrow (5) \Longrightarrow (6)$  are clear.

(6)  $\implies$  (1) We can assume directly that R is reduced. Let  $0 \neq a \in R$ , if  $aR \oplus r(a) \neq R$ , then  $aR \oplus r(a) \subseteq M$  for some essential maximal right ideal M of R. Hence R/M is a singular simple right R- module. By (6), R/M is weakly np-injective, then there exists a positive integer n and a  $c \in R$  such that  $1 - ca^n \in M$ . But then  $1 \in M$ , because R is a MERT ring and M is an ideal. It is a contradiction. Hence  $aR \oplus r(a) = R$  and then R is a strongly regular ring.  $\Box$ 

A ring R is called left CM (cf. Ming, (1983)) if, for any essential maximal left ideal M of R, every complement left subideal is an ideal of M, and R is said to be left PS ring (cf. Nicholson and Watters, (1988)) if  $Soc(_RR)$  is projective left R- module. Note that left finite embedded left PS ring need not semiprime. We conclude with a few characteristic properties of semisimple artinian rings.

**Theorem 5.3.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is a semisimple artinian ring.
- (2) R is a left CM, left finite embedded and left PS ring.
- (3) R is a semiprime left weakly np- injective, left or right Goldie ring.

*Proof.* (1) implies (2) and (3) are evident.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$  Since R is a left PS left finite embedded ring,  $Soc(_RR)$  is semisimple projective left R- module. Since R is a left CM ring,  $Soc(_RR)$  is injective as left R- module. Hence  $Soc(_RR) = Re$ ,  $e^2 = e \in R$ . But then  $Soc(_RR) = R$ , because  $Soc(_RR)$  is essential in  $_RR$ . Hence R is semisimple artinian.

(3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1) Clearly, R has left (or right) fraction ring Q, and Q is semisimple artinian ring. If Q is left fraction ring, then for every  $x \in Q$ ,  $x = a^{-1}b$ , where  $a, b \in R$  and l(a) = r(a) = 0. Since R is left weakly np- injective, there exists a  $c \in R$  such that ac = 1 and then ca = 1. Hence  $a^{-1} \in R$  and so  $x \in R$ . Thus R = Q is a semisimple artinian ring.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement The author is indebted to the referee for his proof of left WC2 rings being left GC2 and many helpful advices.

# References

- [1] R. Camps and W. Dicks, On semi-local rings, Israel J. Math., 81(1993), 203-211.
- [2] J. L. Chen and N. Q. Ding, On General principally injective rings, Comm. Alg., 27(1999), 2097-2116.
- [3] J. L. Chen and N. Q. Ding, On regularity of rings, Alg. Colloq., 8(3)(2001), 267-274.
- [4] C. Faith and P. Menal, A counter example to a conjecture of Johns, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 116(1992), 21-26.
- [5] C. Faith and P. Menal, The structure of Johns rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120(1994), 1071-1081.
- Y. C. R. Ming, On Quasi-Frobeniusean and Artinian rings, Publications De L<sup>,</sup> institut Math ématique, 33(47)(1983), 239-245.
- W. K. Nicholson and E. S. Campos, Rings with the dual of the isomorphism theorem, J. Alg., 271(2004), 391-406.
- [8] W. K. Nicholson and J. F. Watters, *Rings with projective socle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 102(1988), 443-450.
- [9] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Principally injective rings, J. Alg., 174(1995), 77-93.
- [10] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, *Minijective rings*, J. Alg., 187(1997), 548-578.
- [11] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Annihilators and the CS-condition, Glasgow Math. J., 40(1998), 213-222.
- [12] W. K. Nicholson and Yousif M. F., On finitely embedded rings, Comm. Alg., 28(11)(2000), 5311-5315.
- [13] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Weakly continuous and C2-rings, Comm. Alg., 29(6)(2001), 2429-2466.
- [14] J. L. G. Pardo and P. A. G. Asensio, *Rings with finite essential socle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **125**(1997), 971-977.
- [15] S. Page and Y. Q. Zhou, Generalizations of principally injective rings, J. Alg., 206(3)(1998), 706-721.
- [16] J. C. Wei and J. H. Chen, Nil- injective rings, Intern. Electr. J. Alg., 3(2007), 1-21.
- [17] J. C. Wei and J. H. Chen, NPP rings, reduced rings and SNF rings, Intern. Electr. J. Alg., 4(2008), 9-26.
- Y. Q. Zhou, Rings in which certain right ideals are direct summands of annihilators, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 73(2002), 335-346.