Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2014, Article ID 107560, 10 pages
http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/107560

Research Article

Weighted Composition Operator from Mixed Norm Space to Bloch-Type Space on the Unit Ball

Yu-Xia Liang[1] and Ren-Yu Chen[2]

[1] *School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, China*
[2] *Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ren-Yu Chen; chenry@tju.edu.cn

Received 13 May 2014; Accepted 19 July 2014; Published 7 August 2014

Academic Editor: Henrik Kalisch

We discuss the boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operator from mixed norm space to Bloch-type space on the unit ball of C^n.

1. Introduction

Let $H(B_n)$ be the class of all holomorphic functions on B_n and $S(B_n)$ the collection of all the holomorphic self-mappings of B_n, where B_n is the unit ball in the n-dimensional complex space C^n. Let dv denote the Lebesegue measure on B_n normalized so that $v(B_n) = 1$ and $d\sigma$ the normalized rotation invariant measure on the boundary $S = \partial B_n$ of B_n. For $f \in H(B_n)$, let

$$\Re f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z) \tag{1}$$

be the radial derivative of f.

A positive continuous function μ on $[0,1)$ is called normal (see, e.g., [1]) if there exist three constants $0 \le \delta < 1$, and $0 < a < b < \infty$, such that for $r \in [\delta, 1)$

$$\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^a} \downarrow 0, \quad \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^b} \uparrow \infty, \quad r \longrightarrow 1. \tag{2}$$

In the rest of this paper we always assume that μ is normal on $[0,1)$, and from now on if we say that a function $\mu : B_n \to [0,\infty)$ is normal we will also suppose that it is radial on B_n, that is, $\mu(z) = \mu(|z|)$ for $z \in B_n$.

Let $0 < p \le \infty$, $0 < q \le \infty$, and μ be normal on $[0,1)$. f is said to belong to the mixed norm space $L(p,q,\mu)$ if f is a measurable function on B_n and $\|f\|_{p,q,\mu} < \infty$, where

$$\begin{gathered} \|f\|_{p,q,\mu} = \left\{ \int_0^1 r^{2n-1}(1-r)^{-1}\mu^p(r)\, M_q^p(r,f)\, dr \right\}^{1/p} \\ (0 < p < \infty,\ 0 < q \le \infty), \\ \|f\|_{\infty,q,\mu} = \sup_{0\le r<1} \mu(r)\, M_q(r,f), \\ M_\infty(r,f) = \sup_{\zeta\in S} |f(r\zeta)|, \\ M_q(r,f) = \left\{ \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma(\zeta) \right\}^{1/q}, \quad (0<q<\infty). \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

If $0 < p = q < \infty$, then $L(p,q,\mu)$ is just the space $L^p(\mu) = \{f$ is measurable function on B_n : $\int_{B_n} |f(z)|^p (\mu^p(z)/(1-|z|)) dv(z) < \infty\}$.

Let $H(p,q,\mu) = L(p,q,\mu) \cap H(B_n)$. If $0 < p = q < \infty$, then $H(p,q,\mu)$ is just the weighted Bergman space $L_a^p(\mu)$. In particular, $H(p,q,\mu)$ is Bergman space $L_a^p(\mu)$ if $0 < p = q < \infty$ and $\mu(r) = (1-r)^{1/p}$. Otherwise, if $p = q = 2$ and $\mu(r) = (1-r)^{\beta/2}$ $(\beta < 0)$, then $H(p,q,\mu(r))$ is the Dirichlet-type space.

For $0 < p, q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < 1$, let $\mu(r) = r^{-(2n-1)/p}(1-r)^{(\gamma+1)/p}$; it is easy to see that the mixed norm space $H(p,q,\mu)$, written by $H_{p,q,\gamma}$, consists of all $f \in H(B_n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} = \left\{\int_0^1 M_q^p(f,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr\right\}^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Now $f \in H(B_n)$ is said to belong to Bloch-type space $\mathscr{B}_\mu$ if

$$\|f\|_{\mu,1} = \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\nabla f(z)\right| < \infty, \quad (5)$$

where $\nabla f(z) = (\partial f(z)/\partial z_1, \dots, \partial f(z)/\partial z_n)$ is the complex gradient of f.

It is clear that $\mathscr{B}_\mu$ is a Banach space with norm $\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{\mu,1}$. For $f \in H(B_n)$, we denote

$$\|f\|_{\mu,2} = \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re f(z)\right|, \qquad \|f\|_{\mu,3} = \sup_{z\in B_n}Q_f^\mu(z), \quad (6)$$

where

$$Q_f^\mu(z) = \sup_{u\in C^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{\left|\langle\nabla f(z), \overline{u}\rangle\right|}{\sqrt{G_z^\mu(u,u)}},$$

$$G_z^\mu(u,u) = \frac{1}{\mu^2(z)}\left\{\frac{\mu^2(z)}{\sigma_\mu^2(|z|)}|u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu^2(z)}{\sigma_\mu^2(|z|)}\right)\frac{|\langle z,u\rangle|^2}{|z|^2}\right\} \quad (z \neq 0), \quad (7)$$

$$G_0^\mu(u,u) = \frac{|u|^2}{\mu^2(0)},$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_\mu(t)} = \frac{1}{\mu(0)} + \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{(1-\tau)^{1/2}\mu(\tau)} \quad (0 \le t < 1).$$

It was proved that $\|f\|_{\mu,1}$, $\|f\|_{\mu,2}$, and $\|f\|_{\mu,3}$ are equivalent for $f \in \mathscr{B}_\mu(B_n)$ in [2, 3].

Let $\varphi \in S(B_n)$, $\psi \in H(B_n)$; the composition operator C_φ induced by φ is defined by

$$\left(C_\varphi f\right)(z) = f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(B_n),\ z \in B_n, \quad (8)$$

and the weighted composition operator $T_{\psi,\varphi}$ is defined by

$$T_{\psi,\varphi}(f) = \psi f \circ \varphi \quad (9)$$

for $f \in H(B_n)$. We can regard this operator as a generalization of a multiplication operator M_ψ and a composition operator C_φ. That is, when $\varphi(z) \equiv z$, we obtain $T_{\psi,\varphi}f(z) = M_\psi f(z) = \psi(z)f(z)$ and when $\psi(z) \equiv 1$ we obtain $T_{\psi,\varphi}f(z) = C_\varphi f(z) = f(\varphi(z))$.

It is interesting to provide a function theoretic characterization when ψ and φ induce a bounded or compact weighted composition operator between some spaces of holomorphic functions on B_n. Recently, this operator is well studied by many papers; see, for example, [3–17] and their references therein. In particular, Stević [18] gave some conditions of weighted composition operators between mixed-norm spaces and H_α^∞ spaces on the unit ball. Zhou and Chen [19] discussed weighted composition operators from $F(p,q,s)$ to Bloch-type spaces on the unit ball. More recently, the weighted composition operator from Bers-type space to Bloch-type space on the unit ball was studied in [6]. Now in this paper, we will continue this line of research and characterize the boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operator $T_{\psi,\varphi}$ acting from mixed-norm spaces $H_{p,q,\gamma}$ to Bloch-type space $\mathscr{B}_\mu$ on the unit ball of C^n. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some lemmas. The main results are given in Section 3.

Throughout the remainder of this paper, C will denote a positive constant; the exact value of which will vary from one appearance to the next. The notation $A \asymp B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $B/C \le A \le CB$.

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 1. *Assume that $0 < p, q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, and $f \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$. Then there is a positive constant C which is independent of f such that*

$$\left|f(z)\right| \le C\frac{\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}}{\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\left|\Re f(z)\right| \le C\frac{\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}}{\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{n/q+1+(\gamma+1)/p}}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. We first prove (10). By the monotonicity of the integral means and [20, Theorem 1.12] we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}^p &\ge \int_{(1+|z|)/2}^{(3+|z|)/4} M_q^p(f,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr \\ &\ge CM_q^p\left(f, \frac{1+|z|}{2}\right)\int_{(1+|z|)/2}^{(3+|z|)/4}(1-r)^\gamma dr \\ &\ge CM_q^p\left(f, \frac{1+|z|}{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\gamma+1} \\ &\ge C\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\gamma+1+(pn)/q}\left|f(z)\right|^p,\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

from which the desired result (10) follows.

Next we prove (11). By the monotonicity of the integral means, using the well-known asymptotic formula (e.g., [21, Theorem 2]), we obtain that

$$\int_0^1 M_q^p(f,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr \asymp \left|f(0)\right|^p + \int_0^1 M_q^p(\Re f, r)(1-r)^{\gamma+p}dr. \quad (13)$$

By [20, Theorem 1.12], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^p_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} &\geq \int_{(1+|z|)/2}^1 M_q^p(f,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr \\ &\geq C\int_{(1+|z|)/2}^1 M_q^p(\Re f,r)(1-r)^{\gamma+p}dr \\ &\geq CM_q^p\left(\Re f,\frac{1+|z|}{2}\right)\int_{(1+|z|)/2}^1 (1-r)^{\gamma+p}dr \\ &\geq CM_q^p\left(\Re f,\frac{1+|z|}{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\gamma+1+p} \\ &\geq C\left(1-|z|^2\right)^{\gamma+1+p+(pn)/q}|\Re f(z)|^p.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then the desired result (11) follows. This completes the proof. □

From the above lemma, when $f \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$, then

$$f \in \mathscr{B}^{n/q+1+(\gamma+1)/p}, \quad \|f\|_{\mathscr{B}^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} \leq C\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}. \tag{15}$$

For $z \in B_n$, $u \in C^n$, denote the Bergman metric of B_n by

$$H_z(u,u) = \frac{\left(1-|z|^2\right)|u|^2 + |\langle z,u\rangle|^2}{\left(1-|z|^2\right)^2}. \tag{16}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $v(r) = (1-r^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}$ and $\varphi \in S(B_n)$. Then*

$$G^v_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z) \leq \frac{CH_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{2(n/q+(\gamma+1)/p)}} \tag{17}$$

for all $z \in B_n$, where $J\varphi(z)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of $\varphi(z)$ and

$$J\varphi(z)z = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial\varphi_1}{\partial z_k}z_k, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial\varphi_n}{\partial z_k}z_k\right)^T. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = n/q + (\gamma+1)/p$. If $\varphi(z) = 0$, the desired result is obvious. If $\varphi(z) \neq 0$, from the definition of σ_v,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_v(r)} = 1 + \int_0^r \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{1/2}(1-t^2)^{\alpha+1}} \asymp \frac{\left(1-r^2\right)^{1/2}}{v(r)}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \tag{19}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &G^v_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z), J\varphi(z)z) \\ &= \frac{1}{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)} \times \left[\frac{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}{\sigma_v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}|J\varphi(z)z|^2 + \left(1-\frac{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}{\sigma_v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}\right)\frac{|\langle\varphi(z),J\varphi(z)z\rangle|^2}{|\varphi(z)|^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)} \times \left[\frac{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}{\sigma_v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}\left(|J\varphi(z)z|^2 - \frac{|\langle\varphi(z),J\varphi(z)z\rangle|^2}{|\varphi(z)|^2}\right) + \frac{|\langle\varphi(z),J\varphi(z)z\rangle|^2}{|\varphi(z)|^2}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)} \times \left[\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)\left(|J\varphi(z)z|^2 - \frac{|\langle\varphi(z),J\varphi(z)z\rangle|^2}{|\varphi(z)|^2}\right) + \frac{|\langle\varphi(z),J\varphi(z)z\rangle|^2}{|\varphi(z)|^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{C}{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)} \times \left[\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)\left(|J\varphi(z)z|^2 + |\langle\varphi(z),J\varphi(z)z\rangle|^2\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{C\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^2}{v^2(|\varphi(z)|)}H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z) \\ &= \frac{CH_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{2(n/q+(\gamma+1)/p)}}.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The desired result follows from (20). The proof is completed. □

The proof of the next lemma is standard; see, for example, [4, Proposition 3.11]. Hence, it is omitted.

Lemma 3. *Assume that $0 < p,q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\varphi \in S(B_n)$, $\psi \in H(B_n)$. Then $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is compact if and only if for any bounded sequence $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $H_{p,q,\gamma}$ which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of B_n as $k \to \infty$; then $\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$.*

Lemma 4. *For $\beta > -1$ and $m > 1 + \beta$, one has*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^\beta}{(1-\rho r)^m} dr \le C(1-\rho)^{1+\beta-m}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1. \tag{21}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^\beta}{(1-\rho r)^m} dr &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^\beta}{(1-\rho r)^{m-\beta}(1-\rho r)^\beta} dr \\ &\le \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^\beta}{(1-\rho r)^{m-\beta}(1-r)^\beta} dr \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-\rho r)^{m-\beta}} dr \\ &= \frac{1}{p(1+\beta-m)}(1-\rho)^{1+\beta-m} \\ &= C(1-\rho)^{1+\beta-m}.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

This completes the proof. □

3. The Boundedness and Compactness of $T_{\psi,\varphi}:H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$

Theorem 5. *Assume that $0 < p, q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\varphi \in S(B_n)$, $\psi \in H(B_n)$. Then $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if*

$$M_1 := \sup_{z\in B_n} \frac{\mu(z)|\Re\psi(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} < \infty, \tag{23}$$

$$M_2 := \sup_{z\in B_n} \frac{\mu(z)|\psi(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} \times \{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)\}^{1/2} < \infty. \tag{24}$$

Proof

Sufficiency. Assume that (23) and (24) hold. Then for any $f \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$, if $J\varphi(z)z \ne 0$ for $z \in B_n$, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f(z)\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \\ &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)|\Re(T_{\psi,\varphi}f)(z)| \\ &\le \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)|\Re\psi(z)||f(\varphi(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)|\psi(z)||\Re(f\circ\varphi)(z)| \\ &\le \sup_{z\in B_n}\frac{\mu(z)|\Re\psi(z)|\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)|\psi(z)||\langle\nabla f(\varphi(z)), \overline{J\varphi(z)z}\rangle| \\ &\le M_1\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{z\in B_n}\Big(\Big(C\mu(z)|\psi(z)|\{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)\}^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \times |\langle\nabla f(\varphi(z)), \overline{J\varphi(z)z}\rangle|\Big) \\ &\qquad \times \Big((1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{q/n+(\gamma+1)/p} \\ &\qquad \times \sqrt{G^v_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)}\Big)^{-1}\Big) \\ &\le M_1\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} + CM_2\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}_{(1-r^2)^{q/n+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}} \le C\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

When $J\varphi(z)z = 0$ for $z \in B_n$. From (23) we can easily obtain

$$\mu(z)|\Re(T_{\psi,\varphi}(f))(z)| \le M_1\|f\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}. \tag{26}$$

Combining (25) and (26), the boundedness of $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ follows.

Necessity. Suppose that $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is bounded. Firstly, we assume that $w \in B_n$ and $\varphi(w) = r_w e_1$, where $r_w = |\varphi(w)|$ and $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

If $\sqrt{(1-r_w^2)(|\eta_2|^2 + \cdots + |\eta_n|^2)} \le |\eta_1|$, where $J\varphi(w)w = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)^T$, choose the function

$$f_w(z) = \frac{z_1 - r_w}{1 - r_w z_1}\left(\frac{1-r_w^2}{(1-r_w z_1)^2}\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}. \tag{27}$$

By [20, Theorem 1.12] and Lemma 4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}M_q(f_w, r) &= \left(\int_S |f_w(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{1/q} \\ &\le \left(\int_S \left(\frac{1-r_w^2}{(1-r_w r\zeta_1)^2}\right)^{n+q(\gamma+1)/p} d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{1/q} \\ &\le C\frac{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}{(1-rr_w^2)^{n/q+2(\gamma+1)/p}},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_w\|^p_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} &= \int_0^1 M_q^p(f_w,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &\le C\left(1-r_w^2\right)^{pn/q+\gamma+1}\int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^\gamma}{(1-rr_w^2)^{pn/q+2(\gamma+1)}}dr\\ &\le C\left(1-r_w^2\right)^{pn/q+\gamma+1}\frac{1}{(1-r_w^2)^{pn/q+\gamma+1}}\le C.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then $f_w \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$ and $\|f_w\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} \le C$. Moreover, $f_w(\varphi(w)) = 0$ and

$$\nabla f_w(\varphi(w)) = \left(\frac{1}{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}},0,\dots,0\right). \tag{29}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_w\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} &\ge \mu(w)\left|\mathfrak{R}(\psi f\circ\varphi)(w)\right|\\ &\ge \mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left|\mathfrak{R}(f\circ\varphi)(w)\right|\\ &\quad -\mu(w)\left|\mathfrak{R}\psi(w)\right|\left|f_w(\varphi(w))\right|\\ &= \mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left|\left\langle\nabla f_w(\varphi(w)),\overline{J\varphi(w)w}\right\rangle\right|\\ &= \frac{\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left|\eta_1\right|}{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

By the definition of $H_{\varphi(w)}(J\varphi(w)w, J\varphi(w)w)$ and (30) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left\{H_{\varphi(w)}(J\varphi(w)w,J\varphi(w)w)\right\}^{1/2}}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}\\ &=\Big(\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\\ &\qquad\times\left\{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)|J\varphi(w)w|^2+\left|\langle\varphi(w),J\varphi(w)w\rangle\right|^2\right\}^{1/2}\Big)\\ &\quad\times\left(\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}\right)^{-1}\\ &=\frac{\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left\{\left(1-r_w^2\right)\left(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2\right)+|\eta_1|^2\right\}^{1/2}}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}\\ &\le\frac{\sqrt{2}\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left|\eta_1\right|}{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}\le C\left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_w\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}\le C.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

This shows that when $\sqrt{(1-r_w^2)(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2)} \le |\eta_1|$, (24) follows.

On the other hand, if $\sqrt{(1-r_w^2)(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2)} > |\eta_1|$. For $j = 2,\dots,n$, let $\theta_j = \arg\eta_j$ and $a_j = e^{-i\theta_j}$, when $\eta_j \ne 0$; otherwise $a_j = 0$ when $\eta_j = 0$. Take

$$f_w(z) = \frac{a_2z_2+\cdots+a_nz_n}{(1-r_wz_1)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}. \tag{32}$$

By [20, Theorem 1.12] and Lemma 4 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}M_q(f_w,r) &\le \left\{\int_S \frac{(|\zeta_2|+\cdots+|\zeta_n|)^q}{|1-r_wr\zeta_1|^{n+q(\gamma+1)/p+q}}d\sigma(\zeta)\right\}^{1/q}\\ &\le\left\{\int_S\frac{C\left(|\zeta_2|^2+\cdots+|\zeta_n|^2\right)^{q/2}}{|1-r_wr\zeta_1|^{n+q(\gamma+1)/p+q}}d\sigma(\zeta)\right\}^{1/q}\\ &=\left\{\int_S\frac{C\left(1-|\zeta_1|^2\right)^{q/2}}{|1-r_wr\zeta_1|^{n+q(\gamma+1)/p+q}}d\sigma(\zeta)\right\}^{1/q}\\ &\le C\left\{\int_S\frac{1}{|1-r_wr\zeta_1|^{n+q(\gamma+1)/p+q/2}}d\sigma(\zeta)\right\}^{1/q}\\ &\le\frac{C}{(1-rr_w^2)^{(\gamma+1)/p+1/2}}.\\ \|f_w\|^p_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} &= \int_0^1 M_q^p(f_w,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &\le C\int_0^1\frac{(1-r)^\gamma}{(1-rr_w^2)^{\gamma+1+p/2}}dr\\ &\le C\left(1-r_w^2\right)^{p/2}\le C.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Hence $f_w \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$ and $\|f_w\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} \le C$. Moreover $f_w(\varphi(w)) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla f_w(\varphi(w))\\ &=\left(0,\frac{a_2}{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}},\dots,\frac{a_n}{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Similar to the proof of (30), we obtain that

$$\frac{\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\left(|\eta_2|+\cdots+|\eta_n|\right)}{(1-r_w^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}\le C\left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_w\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}. \tag{35}$$

It follows from (35) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}\left\{H_{\varphi(w)}(J\varphi(w)w,J\varphi(w)w)\right\}^{1/2}\\ &=\Big(\mu(w)\left|\psi(w)\right|\\ &\qquad\times\left\{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)|J\varphi(w)w|^2+\left|\langle\varphi(w),J\varphi(w)w\rangle\right|^2\right\}^{1/2}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\times\left(\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{\mu(w)|\psi(w)|\left\{\left(1-r_w^2\right)\left(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2\right)+|\eta_1|^2\right\}^{1/2}}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}$$

$$\le \frac{\mu(w)|\psi(w)|\left\{2\left(1-r_w^2\right)\left(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2\right)\right\}^{1/2}}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}$$

$$\le C\frac{\mu(w)|\psi(w)|\sqrt{2\left(1-r_w^2\right)}\left(|\eta_2|+\cdots+|\eta_n|\right)}{\left(1-r_w^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}$$

$$\le \left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_w\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}. \tag{36}$$

That is, when $\sqrt{(1-r_w^2)(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2)} > |\eta_1|$, (24) follows. Combining the above two cases, the desired result (24) holds.

For the general situation, we can use some unitary transform U_w to make $\varphi(w)=r_we_1U_w$ and we can prove (11) by taking the function $g_w=f_w\circ U_w^{-1}$. By the linearity of the unitary transform U_w, $|\zeta|=|U_w^{-1}\zeta|$, and $d\sigma$ the normalized rotation invariant measure on the boundary S, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_w\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}^p &= \int_0^1\left(\int_S|g_w(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{p/q}(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &= \int_0^1\left(\int_S\left|f_w\left(U_w^{-1}(r\zeta)\right)\right|^q d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{p/q}(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &= \int_0^1\left(\int_S\left|f_w\left(rU_w^{-1}(\zeta)\right)\right|^q d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{p/q}(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &= \int_0^1\left(\int_S|f_w(r\eta)|^q d\sigma(\eta)\right)^{p/q}(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &= \|f_w\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}^p.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Next we prove (23). Set the function

$$h_w(z)=\frac{\left(1-|w|^2\right)^{b-(\gamma+1)/p}}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n/q+b}} \tag{38}$$

for fixed $w\in B_n$ and $b>(\gamma+1)/p$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}M_q(h_w(z),r) &= \left(\int_{\partial B_n}|h_w(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{1/q}\\ &= \left(\int_{\partial B_n}\frac{\left(1-|w|^2\right)^{(b-(\gamma+1)/p)q}}{|1-\langle r\zeta,w\rangle|^{(n/q+b)q}}d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{1/q}.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

By [20, Theorem 1.12], it follows that

$$M_p(h_w(z),r)\le\frac{(1-|w|^2)^{b-(\gamma+1)/p}}{\left(1-r|w|^2\right)^b}. \tag{40}$$

Applying Lemma 4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|h_w\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}^q &= \int_0^1 M_q^p(h_w,r)(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &\le C\int_0^1\frac{\left(1-|w|^2\right)^{pb-(\gamma+1)}}{\left(1-r|w|^2\right)^{pb}}(1-r)^\gamma dr\\ &= C\left(1-|w|^2\right)^{pb-(\gamma+1)}\int_0^1\frac{(1-r)^\gamma}{\left(1-r|w|^2\right)^{pb}}dr\\ &\le C\left(1-|w|^2\right)^{pb-(\gamma+1)}\left(1-|w|^2\right)^{(\gamma+1)-pb}=C.\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Therefore $h_w\in H_{p,q,\gamma}$, and $\sup_{w\in B_n}\|h_w\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}\le C$. Besides,

$$h_{\varphi(w)}(\varphi(w))=\left(\frac{1}{1-|\varphi(w)|^2}\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}, \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla h_{\varphi(w)}(\varphi(w))\\ &= \left(\frac{n}{q}+b\right)\left(\frac{\overline{\varphi_1(w)}}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}},\ldots,\right.\\ &\qquad\left.\frac{\overline{\varphi_n(w)}}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\infty &> \left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}\left(h_{\varphi(w)}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}\ge\mu(w)\left|\Re\left(\psi h_{\varphi(w)}\circ\varphi\right)(w)\right|\\ &= \mu(w)\left|\Re\psi(w)h_{\varphi(w)}(\varphi(w))+\psi(w)\Re\left(h_{\varphi(w)}\circ\varphi\right)(w)\right|\\ &\ge \frac{\mu(w)|\Re\psi(w)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}\\ &\quad-\mu(w)|\psi(w)|\left|\Re\left(h_{\varphi(w)}\circ\varphi\right)(w)\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

It follows from (43) and (24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(w)|\psi(w)|\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(h_{\varphi(w)}\circ\varphi\right)(w)\right| \\
&\quad=\mu(w)|\psi(w)|\left|\left\langle\nabla h_{\varphi(w)}(\varphi(w)),\overline{J\varphi(w)w}\right\rangle\right| \\
&\quad=\left(\frac{n}{q}+b\right)\frac{\mu(w)|\psi(w)||\langle\varphi(w),J\varphi(w)w\rangle|}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} \\
&\quad\le\left(\frac{n}{q}+b\right)\frac{\mu(w)|\psi(w)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} \\
&\qquad\times\left\{H_{\varphi(w)}(J\varphi(w)w,J\varphi(w)w)\right\}^{1/2} \\
&\quad\le CM_2<\infty.
\end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

Combining (44) and (45), the desired result (23) holds. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 6. *Assume that $0<p,q<\infty$, $-1<\gamma<\infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\varphi\in S(B_n)$, $\psi\in H(B_n)$. Then $T_{\psi,\varphi}: H_{p,q,\gamma}\to\mathscr{B}_\mu$ is compact if and only if the followings are all satisfied:*

(a) *$\psi\in\mathscr{B}_\mu$ and $\psi\varphi_l\in\mathscr{B}_\mu$ for $l\in\{1,\dots,n\}$;*

(b)

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}\frac{\mu(z)|\mathfrak{R}\psi(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}=0;\tag{46}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}\frac{\mu(z)|\psi(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} \\
&\qquad\times\left\{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z,J\varphi(z)z)\right\}^{1/2}=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{47}$$

Proof

Sufficiency. Suppose that (a), (b), and (c) hold. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$, such that

$$\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mu(z)|\mathfrak{R}\psi(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}<\varepsilon, \\
\frac{\mu(z)|\psi(z)|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}\left\{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z,J\varphi(z)z)\right\}^{1/2}<\varepsilon,
\end{gathered}\tag{48}$$

when $|\varphi(z)|>\delta$.

Let $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence which converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of B_n satisfying $\|f_k\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}\le 1$. Then f_k and $\mathfrak{R}f_k$ converge to 0 uniformly on $K=\{w\in B_n: |w|\le\delta\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right| \\
&\quad=\sup_{\varphi(z)\in K}\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right| \\
&\qquad+\sup_{\varphi(z)\in B_n\setminus K}\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right|.
\end{aligned}\tag{49}$$

If $\varphi(z)\in B_n\setminus K$ and $J\varphi(z)z\ne 0$, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right| \\
&\quad\le\mu(z)|\psi(z)||\mathfrak{R}(f_k\circ\varphi)(z)|+\mu(z)|\mathfrak{R}\psi(z)||f_k(\varphi(z))| \\
&\quad\le\Big(C\mu(z)|\psi(z)|\left\{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z,J\varphi(z)z)\right\}^{1/2} \\
&\qquad\quad\times\left|\left\langle\nabla f_k(\varphi(z)),\overline{J\varphi(z)z}\right\rangle\right|\Big) \\
&\qquad\times\left(\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}\sqrt{G^{\nu}_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z,J\varphi(z)z)}\right)^{-1} \\
&\qquad+\varepsilon\|f_k\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} \\
&\quad\le C\varepsilon\|f_k\|_{\mathscr{B}_{(1-r^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}}+\varepsilon\|f_k\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}\le C\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

When $J\varphi(z)z=0$,

$$\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right|\le\varepsilon\|f_k\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}}\le\varepsilon.\tag{51}$$

Combining (50) and (51) we obtain that

$$\sup_{\varphi(z)\in B_n\setminus K}\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right|\le C\varepsilon.\tag{52}$$

If $\varphi(z)\in K$, by (a), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(z)\left|\mathfrak{R}\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right)(z)\right| \\
&\quad\le\mu(z)|\psi(z)||\mathfrak{R}(f_k\circ\varphi)(z)|+\mu(z)|\mathfrak{R}\psi(z)||f_k(\varphi(z))| \\
&\quad\le\mu(z)|\psi(z)|\left|\left\langle\nabla f_k(\varphi(z)),\overline{J\varphi(z)z}\right\rangle\right| \\
&\qquad+|f_k(\varphi(z))|\,\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \\
&\quad\le|\nabla f_k(\varphi(z))|\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\mu(z)|\psi(z)||\mathfrak{R}\varphi_l(z)|\right) \\
&\qquad+|f_k(\varphi(z))|\,\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left|\nabla f_k(\varphi(z))\right| \times \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\mu(z)\left|\psi(z)\right|\left|\Re\varphi_l(z)\right| - \mu(z)\left|\varphi_l(z)\right|\left|\Re\psi(z)\right| + \mu(z)\left|\Re\psi(z)\right|\right) + \left|f_k(\varphi(z))\right|\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}$$
$$\leq \left|\nabla f_k(\varphi(z))\right| \times \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\mu(z)\left|\psi(z)\Re\varphi_l(z) + \Re\psi(z)\varphi_l(z)\right| + \mu(z)\left|\Re\psi(z)\right|\right) + \left|f_k(\varphi(z))\right|\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}$$
$$\leq \left|\nabla f_k(\varphi(z))\right|\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\|\psi\varphi_l\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} + \|\psi\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu}\right) + \left|f_k(\varphi(z))\right|\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \longrightarrow 0, \quad k \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{53}$$

Combining (49), (52), (53), and Lemma 4, it follows that the $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is compact.

Necessity. Assume that $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is compact. It is obvious that $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is bounded. Then taking $f(z) = 1 \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$ and by the boundedness of $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f(z)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re\left(T_{\psi,\varphi}f\right)(z)\right| \\ &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re\psi(z)f(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z)\Re(f\circ\varphi)(z)\right| \\ &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re\psi(z)\right| < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

This shows that $\psi \in \mathscr{B}_\mu$.

On the other hand, for $l \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, take the function $f(z) = z_l \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$. By the boundedness of $T_{\psi,\varphi} : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f(z)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re\psi(z)f(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z)\Re(f\circ\varphi)(z)\right| \\ &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re\psi(z)\varphi_l(z) + \psi(z)\Re\varphi_l(z)\right| \\ &= \sup_{z\in B_n}\mu(z)\left|\Re(\psi\varphi_l)(z)\right| < \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

That is, $\psi\varphi_l \in \mathscr{B}_\mu$ for $l \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Hence we obtain (a).

Next we prove (b) and (c). Let $\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in B_n such that $|\varphi(z_k)| \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. We can still suppose $\varphi(z_k) = r_k e_1$, where $r_k = |\varphi(z_k)|$ and e_1 is the vector $(1,0,0,\ldots,0)$. That is, $|r_k| \to 1$, $k \to \infty$.

If $\sqrt{(1-r_k^2)(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2)} \leq |\eta_1|$, where $J\varphi(z_k)z_k = (\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_n)^T$. Let

$$f_k(z) = \frac{z_1 - r_k}{1 - r_k z_1}\left\{\frac{1-r_k^2}{(1-r_k z_1)^2}\right\}^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}. \tag{56}$$

From Theorem 5 we know that $f_k \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$, and we notice that f_k converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of B_n when $k \to \infty$. By Lemma 3 we have $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k(z)\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} = 0$. Then by a similar proof of (30) in Theorem 5 we have

$$\frac{\mu(z_k)\left|\psi(z_k)\right|\left|\eta_1\right|}{(1-r_k^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} \leq \left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k(z)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \longrightarrow 0, \quad k \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{57}$$

And similar to the proofs of (31) and (57) we get that

$$\frac{\mu(z_k)\left|\psi(z_k)\right|}{\left(1-\left|\varphi(z_k)\right|^2\right)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}}\left\{H_{\varphi(z_k)}\left(J\varphi(z_k)z_k, J\varphi(z_k)z_k\right)\right\}^{1/2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\mu(z_k)\left|\psi(z_k)\right|\left|\eta_1\right|}{(1-r_k^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad k \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{58}$$

On the other hand, we consider the case of $\sqrt{(1-r_k^2)(|\eta_2|^2+\cdots+|\eta_n|^2)} > |\eta_1|$. For $j = 2,\ldots,n$, let $\theta_j = \arg\eta_j$ and $a_j = e^{-i\theta_j}$, when $\eta_j \neq 0$; otherwise $a_j = 0$ when $\eta_j = 0$. Take

$$f_k(z) = \frac{(a_2 z_2 + \cdots + a_n z_n)\left(1-r_k^2\right)}{(1-r_k z_1)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+2}}. \tag{59}$$

Then $f_k \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and f_k converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of B_n when $k \to \infty$. By Lemma 3 we have $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k(z)\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} = 0$. Notice that $f_k(\varphi(z_k)) = 0$ and

$$\nabla f_w(\varphi(z_k)) = \left(0, \frac{a_2}{(1-r_k^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}, \ldots, \frac{a_n}{(1-r_k^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}}\right). \tag{60}$$

By a similar proof of (30), it follows that

$$\frac{\mu(z_k)\left|\psi(z_k)\right|\left(|\eta_2|+\cdots+|\eta_n|\right)}{(1-r_k^2)^{\alpha+1}} \leq \left\|T_{\psi,\varphi}f_k\right\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \longrightarrow 0, \quad k \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{61}$$

And similar to the proofs of (31) and (61), we obtain

$$\frac{\mu(z_k)|\psi(z_k)|}{(1-|\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{\alpha}}\{H_{\varphi(z_k)}(J\varphi(z_k)z_k, J\varphi(z_k)z_k)\}^{1/2} \le C\frac{\mu(z_k)|\psi(z_k)|\sqrt{2(1-r_k^2)}(|\eta_2|+\cdots+|\eta_n|)}{(1-r_k^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad k\longrightarrow\infty. \tag{62}$$

Combining (58) and (62), (47) holds under the two cases.

For the general situation, if there exists $\varphi(z_k)$ such that $\varphi(z_k) \ne |\varphi(z_k)|e_1$, then there is a unitary transformation U_k such that $\varphi(z_k) = r_k e_1 U_k$, $k \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. And we can prove (47) by taking the function sequence $g_k = f_k \circ U_k^{-1}$ and the details are omitted.

Next we prove (46). Let $\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in B_n such that $|\varphi(z_k)| \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. Choose

$$h_k(z) = \frac{(1-|\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{b-(\gamma+1)/p}}{(1-\langle z,\varphi(z_k)\rangle)^{n/q+b}}. \tag{63}$$

Then $h_k \in H_{p,q,\gamma}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\|h_k\|_{H_{p,q,\gamma}} \le C$. It is obvious that $h_k \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of B_n as $k \to \infty$. By Lemma 3 we have that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|T_{\psi,\varphi}(h_k)(z)\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} = 0$. Then by the similar proof of (44) we obtain

$$\|T_{\psi,\varphi}(h_k)(z)\|_{\mathscr{B}_\mu} \ge \frac{\mu(z_k)|\Re\psi(z_k)|}{(1-|\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} - \mu(z_k)|\psi(z_k)||\Re(h_k\circ\varphi)(z_k)|. \tag{64}$$

From the similar proof of (45) it follows that

$$\mu(z_k)|\psi(z_k)||\Re(h_k\circ\varphi)(z_k)| \le \left(\frac{n}{q}+b\right)\frac{\mu(z_k)|\psi(z_k)|}{(1-|\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} \times \{H_{\varphi(z_k)}(J\varphi(z_k)z_k, J\varphi(z_k)z_k)\}^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0, \qquad k\longrightarrow\infty. \tag{65}$$

Combining (64) and (65) we obtain (46). This completes the proof. □

Corollary 7. *Assume that $0 < p,q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\varphi \in S(B_n)$. Then $C_\varphi : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{z\in B_n}\frac{\mu(z)\{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)\}^{1/2}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} < \infty. \tag{66}$$

Corollary 8. *Assume that $0 < p,q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\varphi \in S(B_n)$. Then $C_\varphi : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1}\frac{\mu(z)\{H_{\varphi(z)}(J\varphi(z)z, J\varphi(z)z)\}^{1/2}}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} = 0. \tag{67}$$

And $\varphi_l \in \mathscr{B}_\mu$ for $l \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$.

Corollary 9. *Assume that $0 < p,q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\psi \in H(B_n)$. Then $M_\psi : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{z\in B_n}\frac{\mu(z)|\Re\psi(z)|}{(1-|z|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} < \infty, \qquad \sup_{z\in B_n}\frac{\mu(z)|\psi(z)|}{(1-|z|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} < \infty. \tag{68}$$

Corollary 10. *Assume that $0 < p,q < \infty$, $-1 < \gamma < \infty$, μ is a normal function, and $\psi \in H(B_n)$. Then $M_\psi : H_{p,q,\gamma} \to \mathscr{B}_\mu$ is compact if and only if the following are all satisfied:*

(a) $\psi \in \mathscr{B}_\mu$ *and* $\psi z_l \in \mathscr{B}_\mu$ *for any* $l \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$;

(b)

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1}\frac{\mu(z)|\Re\psi(z)|}{(1-|z|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p}} = 0; \tag{69}$$

(c)

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1}\frac{\mu(z)|\psi(z)|}{(1-|z|^2)^{n/q+(\gamma+1)/p+1}} = 0. \tag{70}$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants nos. 11371276; 11301373; and 11201331).

References

[1] A. L. Shields and D. L. Williams, "Bonded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 162, pp. 287–302, 1971.

[2] H. Chen and P. Gauthier, "Boundedness from below of composition operators on α-Bloch spaces," *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 195–204, 2008.

[3] X. J. Zhang and J. C. Liu, "Composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to μ-Bloch spaces," *Chinese Annals of Mathematics A*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 255–266, 2007.

[4] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 1995.

[5] L. Luo and S. Ueki, "Weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces on the unit ball of C^n," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 326, no. 1, pp. 88–100, 2007.

[6] Y. Liang, Z. Zhou, and X. Dong, "Weighted composition operator from Bers-type space to Bloch-type space on the unit ball," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society II*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 833–844, 2013.

[7] B. D. MacCluer and R. H. Zhao, "Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1437–1458, 2003.

[8] S. Ohno, "Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and the Bloch space," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 555–563, 2001.

[9] S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff, and R. Zhao, "Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 191–215, 2003.

[10] A. Montes-Rodríguez, "Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 872–884, 2000.

[11] S. Stevic and S. Ueki, "Weighted composition operators from the weighted Bergman space to the weighted Hardy space on the unit ball," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 10, pp. 3526–3533, 2010.

[12] S. Ueki and L. Luo, "Essential norms of weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces of the ball," *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 74, no. 3-4, pp. 827–841, 2008.

[13] S. Ueki and L. Luo, "Compact weighted composition operators and multiplication operators between Hardy spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, Article ID 196498, 12 pages, 2008.

[14] J. Xiao, "Composition operators associated with Bloch-type spaces," *Complex Variables. Theory and Application*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 109–121, 2001.

[15] X. J. Zhang, "Composition type operator from Bergman space to μ-BLOch type space in $\mathbb{C}^n$," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 2, pp. 710–721, 2004.

[16] Z. Zhou and J. Shi, "Compactness of composition operators on the Bloch space in classical bounded symmetric domains," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 381–405, 2002.

[17] H. Zeng and Z. Zhou, "An estimate of the essential norm of a composition operator from $F(p,q,s)$ to $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$ in the unit ball," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 132970, 18 pages, 2010.

[18] S. Stević, "Weighted composition operators between mixed norm spaces and H_α^∞ spaces in the unit ball," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 28629, 9 pages, 2007.

[19] Z.-H. Zhou and R.-Y. Chen, "Weighted composition operators from $F(p,q,s)$ to Bloch type spaces on the unit ball," *International Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 899–926, 2008.

[20] K. Zhu, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, vol. 226 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2005.

[21] Z. J. Hu, "Extended Cesàro operators on mixed norm spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 131, no. 7, pp. 2171–2179, 2003.