

WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR MULTISUBLINEAR MAXIMAL OPERATOR ON MARTINGALE SPACES

WEI CHEN* AND PEIDE LIU

(Received June 7, 2013, revised August 29, 2013)

Abstract. Let v, ω_1, ω_2 be weights and let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and the couple of weights (ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the reverse Hölder's condition. For the multisublinear maximal operator \mathfrak{M} on martingale spaces, we characterize the weights for which \mathfrak{M} is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\omega_1) \times L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$ to $L^{p, \infty}(v)$ or $L^p(v)$. If $v = \omega_2^{p/p_2} \omega_1^{p/p_1}$, we partially give the bilinear version of one-weight theory.

Introduction. Let R^n be the n -dimensional real Euclidean space and f a real valued measurable function, the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M , the maximal geometric mean operator G and the minimal operator \mathbf{m} are defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

$$G(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \exp \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \log |f(y)| dy$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}f(x) = \inf_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

where Q is a non-degenerate cube with its sides parallel to the coordinate axes and $|Q|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Q .

Let u, v be two weights, i.e., positive measurable functions. As is well known, for $p \geq 1$, Muckenhoupt [18] showed that the inequality

$$\lambda^p \int_{\{Mf > \lambda\}} u(x) dx \leq C \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad f \in L^p(v)$$

holds if and only if $(u, v) \in A_p$, i.e., for any cube Q in R^n with sides parallel to the coordinates

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} < C, \quad p > 1;$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G46; Secondary 60G42.

Key words and phrases. Martingale, multisublinear maximal operator, weighted inequality, reverse Hölder's inequality.

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11101353 and 11071190), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Education Committee (Grant No. 11KJB110018) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK2012682).

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u(x) dx \leq C \operatorname{ess\,inf}_Q v(x), \quad p = 1.$$

Suppose that $u = v$ and $p > 1$, Muckenhoupt [18] also proved that

$$\int_{R^n} (Mf(x))^p v(x) dx \leq C \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx, \quad \forall f \in L^p(v)$$

holds if and only if v satisfies

$$(1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} < C, \quad \forall Q.$$

The crucial step is to show that if v satisfies A_p , then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that v also satisfies $A_{p-\varepsilon}$. However, the problem of finding all u and v such that

$$\int_{R^n} (Mf(x))^p u(x) dx \leq C \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx, \quad \forall f \in L^p(v)$$

is much hard and complicated. In order to solve the problem, Sawyer [22] established the testing condition $S_{p,q}$, i.e., for any cube Q in R^n with sides parallel to the coordinates

$$\left(\int_Q (M(\chi_Q v^{1-p'})(x))^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_Q v(x)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall Q$$

where $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. The condition $S_{p,q}$ is a necessary and sufficient condition such that the weighted inequality

$$\left(\int_{R^n} (Mf(x))^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(v)$$

holds. In this case, the method of proof is very interesting. Motivated by [18, 22], the theory of weights developed so rapidly that it is difficult to give its history a full account here (see [6] and [5] for more information). However, it is possible to give a story of weighted inequalities for the different variants of Hardy-littlewood operator. Let $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (1), it follows that

$$(2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x) dx \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \log \left(\frac{1}{v(x)} \right) dx \right) < C,$$

which is an alternative definition of A_∞ weight (see [10]). It is known that Sbordone and Wik [23] used (2) to characterize the boundedness of G from $L^1(v)$ to $L^1(v)$. In the case of two weights, Yin and Muckenhoupt [24] gave that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u(x) dx \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \log \left(\frac{1}{v(x)} \right) dx \right) < C, \quad \forall Q \Leftrightarrow \sup_{\|f\|_{L^p(v)}=1} \|Gf\|_{L^{p,\infty}(u)} < \infty$$

and

$$\int_Q G(v^{-1} \chi_Q)(x) u(x) dx \leq C|Q|, \quad \forall Q \Leftrightarrow \sup_{\|f\|_{L^p(v)}=1} \|Gf\|_{L^p(u)} < \infty,$$

which generalize the results of [11]. Recently, Cruz-Urbe [4] (see also the references therein) also studied the minimal operator and reverse Hölder’s inequality. There are still other variants of Hardy-littlewood operator, for example, the generalized maximal operator and the

strong maximal operator which were considered in [20, 21] and [14], respectively. Now, the multisublinear maximal function

$$\mathfrak{M}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_i(y_i)| dy_i$$

associated with cubes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes was studied in [15]. They introduced the multilinear $A_{\vec{p}}$ condition which is an analogue of the A_p weight for multiple weights. The more general case was extensively discussed in [9, 8].

The above operators can be defined in martingale space, and the weighted inequalities also have their martingale versions. In fact, all of them have been discussed in [26, 17, 1, 12, 3, 16] (see also the references therein), except the one for multisublinear maximal function. In this paper, with stopping times and a kind of reverse Hölder’s condition, we discuss weighted inequalities for multisublinear maximal operator on martingale spaces. One of our main results is the martingale-variant of $A_{\vec{p}}$, and the other is the equivalence of $S_{\vec{p}}$ and strong weighted inequality in martingale space. We also discuss the convergence of martingale, which is partly a bilinear version of the results in [13].

The rest of this section consists of the preliminaries for our paper.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a complete probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ an increasing sequence of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{F} = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n$. A weight ω is a random variable with $\omega > 0$ and

$E(\omega) < \infty$. For any $n \geq 0$ and $f \in L^1$, we denote the conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{F}_n by $E_n(f)$, $E(f|\mathcal{F}_n)$ or f_n , then $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an uniformly integrable martingale. Suppose that functions f, g are integrable, the maximal operator and multisublinear maximal operator are defined by

$$Mf = \sup_{n \geq 0} |E_n(f)| \text{ and } \mathfrak{M}(f, g) = \sup_{n \geq 0} |E_n(f)| |E_n(g)|,$$

respectively. For $B \in \mathcal{F}$, we always denote $\int_{\Omega} \chi_B d\mu$ and $\int_{\Omega} \chi_B \omega d\mu$ by $|B|$ and $|B|_{\omega}$, respectively. For $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$, the family of all stopping times is denoted by \mathcal{T} . Throughout this paper, C will denote a constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

Acknowledgement. This paper was completed while the first author was at the Faculty of Mathematics of the University of Seville, Spain. He is very grateful for the hospitality. The authors thank Gang Li for many valuable comments on this paper. They also thank the anonymous referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and useful corrections.

1. Results and their proofs.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $\sigma_i = \omega_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i-1}} \in L^1, i = 1, 2$. We say that the couple of weights (ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the reverse Hölder’s condition $RH(p_1, p_2)$, if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left(\int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \sigma_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \sigma_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \leq C \int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \sigma_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \sigma_2^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}.$$

REMARK 1.2. In literature there exist many inverse Hölder’s inequalities of the type

$$\|f\|_p \|g\|_q \leq C \|fg\|_1,$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, C is a constant and the functions f and g are subjected to suitable restrictions. The suitable restrictions can be found in [19, 25]. In our paper, we find that the reverse Hölder’s condition is useful for bilinear weighted theory in martingale context.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let v, ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Denote that $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ and $\sigma_i = \omega_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i-1}} \in L^1, i = 1, 2$. We say that the triple of weights (v, ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the condition $A_{\vec{p}}$, if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} E_n(v)^{\frac{1}{p}} E_n(\omega_1^{1-p'_1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{1-p'_2})^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \leq C,$$

where $1/p_i + 1/p'_i = 1, i = 1, 2$.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let v, ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Denote that $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ and $\sigma_i = \omega_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i-1}} \in L^1, i = 1, 2$. We say that the triple of weights (v, ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the condition $S_{\vec{p}}$, if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left(\int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \mathfrak{M}(\sigma_1 \chi_{\{\tau < \infty\}}, \sigma_2 \chi_{\{\tau < \infty\}})^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C |\{\tau < \infty\}|_{\sigma_1}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} |\{\tau < \infty\}|_{\sigma_2}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}.$$

REMARK 1.5. If we substitute $p_1 = p_2$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ into Definition 1.3 and Definition 1.4, they reduce to the A_{p_1} condition and the S_{p_1} condition in martingale spaces, respectively (see, e.g., [17]).

1.1. Bilinear version of two-weight inequalities.

THEOREM 1.6. Let v, ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in RH(p_1, p_2)$, then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) There exists a positive constant C such that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, any $f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1)$ and any $g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$,

$$(3) \quad \left(\int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} (|f_\tau| |g_\tau|)^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)};$$

(b) There exists a positive constant C such that

$$(4) \quad \|\mathfrak{M}(f, g)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1), g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2);$$

(c) The triple of weights (v, ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the condition $A_{\vec{p}}$.

PROOF. We shall follow the scheme: (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftarrow (c) \Leftarrow (a).

(a) \Rightarrow (b). It is trivial and we omit it.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Fix $n \in N$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_n$. For $f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1)$ and $g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$, let

$$F = f\chi_B \text{ and } G = g\chi_B,$$

respectively. Then $E_n(F) = f_n\chi_B$ and $E_n(G) = g_n\chi_B$. Moreover

$$|f_n g_n|\chi_B \leq \mathfrak{M}(F, G).$$

Combining with (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^p \int_{B \cap \{|f_n g_n| > \lambda\}} v d\mu &\leq \lambda^p \int_{\{\mathfrak{M}(F, G) > \lambda\}} v d\mu \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |F|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |G|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_B |f|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_B |g|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \in Z$, let

$$B_k = \{2^k < |f_n||g_n| \leq 2^{k+1}\}.$$

Note that

$$\{2^k < |f_n||g_n| \leq 2^{k+1}\} \subseteq \{2^k < |f_n||g_n|\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|f_n||g_n|)^p v d\mu &= \sum_{k \in Z} \int_{B_k} (|f_n||g_n|)^p v d\mu \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in Z} \int_{B_k \cap \{|f_n||g_n| > 2^k\}} 2^{kp} v d\mu \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in Z} \left(\int_{B_k} |f|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B_k} |g|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \in Z} \int_{B_k} |f|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\sum_{k \in Z} \int_{B_k} |g|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality. As for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} (|f_{\tau}||g_{\tau}|)^p v d\mu &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\{\tau = n\}} (|f_n||g_n|)^p v d\mu \\ &\leq C \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f\chi_{\{\tau = n\}}|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g\chi_{\{\tau = n\}}|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\Omega} |f \chi_{\{\tau=n\}}|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\Omega} |g \chi_{\{\tau=n\}}|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{p_1} \omega_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g|^{p_2} \omega_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} (|f_{\tau}| |g_{\tau}|)^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}.$$

(c)⇒(b). For $f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1)$, $g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$ and $n \in N$, we get

$$|E_n(f)| \leq E_n(|f|^{p_1} \omega_1)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |E_n(g)| \leq E_n(|g|^{p_2} \omega_2)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_n(f)E_n(g)|^p &\leq E_n(|f|^{p_1} \omega_1)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} E_n(|g|^{p_2} \omega_2)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &= E_n^v(|f|^{p_1} \omega_1 v^{-1})^{\frac{p}{p_1}} E_n^v(|g|^{p_2} \omega_2 v^{-1})^{\frac{p}{p_2}} E_n(v) E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_n^v(\cdot)$ is the conditional expectation relative to the probability measure $\frac{v}{|\Omega|_v} d\mu$. Because of $(v, \omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}$, we get

$$|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \leq C E_n^v(|f|^{p_1} \omega_1 v^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} E_n^v(|g|^{p_2} \omega_2 v^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Thus

$$\mathfrak{M}(f, g) \leq C M^v(f^{p_1} \omega_1 v^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} M^v(g^{p_2} \omega_2 v^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

From this, using Hölder’s inequality for weak spaces (see, e.g., [7, p. 15]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}(f, g)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(v)} &\leq C \|M^v(f^{p_1} \omega_1 v^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\|_{L^{p_1, \infty}(v)} \|M^v(g^{p_2} \omega_2 v^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}\|_{L^{p_2, \infty}(v)} \\ &= C \|M^v(f^{p_1} \omega_1 v^{-1})\|_{L^{1, \infty}(v)}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|M^v(g^{p_2} \omega_2 v^{-1})\|_{L^{1, \infty}(v)}^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\leq C \|f^{p_1} \omega_1 v^{-1}\|_{L^1(v)}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|g^{p_2} \omega_2 v^{-1}\|_{L^1(v)}^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &= C \|f^{p_1} \omega_1\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|g^{p_2} \omega_2\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &= C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

(a)⇒(c). For any $n \in N$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_n$, set $f = \omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}} \chi_B$ and $g = \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}} \chi_B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}} \chi_B d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}} \chi_B d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$(5) \quad \left(\int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p E_n(v) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \leq C \left(\int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_B E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}}) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

We claim that there exists a constant C such that

$$\left(E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p E_n(v) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Otherwise, for any $C > 0$, let

$$B = \{ E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p E_n(v) > C E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \},$$

then $\mu(B) > 0$. Consequently,

$$(6) \quad \int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p E_n(v) d\mu > C \int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu \\ \geq C \int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1} \frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu \\ = C \int_B \omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1} \frac{p}{p_1}} \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1} \frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu \\ (7) \quad \geq C \left(\int_B \omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_B \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}},$$

where (6) and (7) follow from Hölder's inequality for $E_n(\cdot)$ and the $RH(p_1, p_2)$ condition, respectively. It follows that

$$\int_B E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p E_n(v) d\mu > C \left(\int_B \omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_B \omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}},$$

which contradicts (5). By contradiction, we have

$$\left(E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^p E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^p E_n(v) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C E_n(\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}})^{\frac{1}{p_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}})^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Then

$$E_n(v)^{\frac{1}{p}} E_n(\omega_1^{1-p'_1})^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} E_n(\omega_2^{1-p'_2})^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \leq C.$$

This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 1.7. *Let v, ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in RH(p_1, p_2)$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}(f, g)\|_{L^p(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1), \quad g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2);$$

(b) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(8) \quad \|\mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2)\|_{L^p(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p_1}(\sigma_1), \quad g \in L^{p_2}(\sigma_2),$$

where $\sigma_i = \omega_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i-1}}$, $i = 1, 2$;

(c) *The triple of weights (v, ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the condition $S_{\vec{p}}$.*

REMARK 1.8. We mention that the first author has also obtained a similar characterization for the multisublinear maximal function in function space. The multilinear testing condition was further discussed by [2] in function space, which generalized the result in [22].

PROOF. It is clear that (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c), so we omit them. To prove (c) \Rightarrow (b), we proceed in the following way. Let $f \in L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)$, $g \in L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)$. For all $k \in Z$, define stopping times

$$\tau_k = \inf\{n : |E(f\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_n)E(g\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_n)| > 2^k\}.$$

Set

$$A_{k,j} = \{\tau_k < \infty\} \cap \{2^j < E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}) \leq 2^{j+1}\};$$

$$B_{k,j} = \{\tau_k < \infty, \tau_{k+1} = \infty\} \cap \{2^j < E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}) \leq 2^{j+1}\}, \quad j \in Z.$$

Then $A_{k,j} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}$, $B_{k,j} \subseteq A_{k,j}$. Moreover, $\{B_{k,j}\}_{k,j}$ is a family of disjoint sets and

$$\{2^k < \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2) \leq 2^{k+1}\} = \{\tau_k < \infty, \tau_{k+1} = \infty\} = \bigcup_{j \in Z} B_{k,j}, \quad k \in Z.$$

Trivially,

$$E(f\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}) = E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}) \quad \text{and} \quad E(g\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}) = E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}).$$

On each $A_{k,j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{kp} &\leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{A_{k,j}} |E(f\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})^p E(g\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})^p| \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{A_{k,j}} |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})|^p \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{A_{k,j}} (E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}))^p \\ &\leq 2^p \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{A_{k,j}} |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})|^p |B_{k,j}|_v^{-1} \int_{B_{k,j}} (E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}))^p v d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2)^p v d\mu$, firstly we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2)^p v d\mu \\ &= \sum_{k \in Z} \int_{\{2^k < \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2) \leq 2^{k+1}\}} \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2)^p v d\mu \\ &\leq 2^p \sum_{k \in Z} \int_{\{2^k < \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2) \leq 2^{k+1}\}} 2^{kp} v d\mu \\ &= 2^p \sum_{k \in Z, j \in Z} 2^{kp} \int_{B_{k,j}} v d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 4^p \sum_{k \in Z, j \in Z} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{A_{k,j}} |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})|^p \int_{B_{k,j}} (E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}))^p v d\mu.$$

It is clear that ϑ is a measure on $X = Z^2$ with

$$\vartheta(k, j) = \int_{B_{k,j}} (E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}))^p v d\mu.$$

For the above $f \in L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)$, $g \in L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)$, define

$$T_{f,g}(k, j) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{A_{k,j}} |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})|^p$$

and denote

$$E_\lambda = \left\{ (k, j); \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{A_{k,j}} |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})|^p > \lambda \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_\lambda = \bigcup_{(k,j) \in E_\lambda} A_{k,j}$$

for each $\lambda > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{T_{f,g} > \lambda\}|_\vartheta &= \sum_{(k,j) \in E_\lambda} \int_{B_{k,j}} (E(\sigma_1|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}))^p v d\mu \\ &= \sum_{(k,j) \in E_\lambda} \int_{B_{k,j}} (E(\sigma_1 \chi_{G_\lambda}|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k})E(\sigma_2 \chi_{G_\lambda}|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}))^p v d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{G_\lambda} \mathfrak{M}(\sigma_1 \chi_{G_\lambda}, \sigma_2 \chi_{G_\lambda})^p v d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau = \inf \{n: |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_n)E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_n)|^p > \lambda\}$. We have $G_\lambda \subseteq \{\mathfrak{M}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(f, g)^p > \lambda\} = \{\tau < \infty\}$, where $\mathfrak{M}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(f, g) = \sup_{n \geq 0} |E^{\sigma_1}(f|\mathcal{F}_n)||E^{\sigma_2}(g|\mathcal{F}_n)|$. It follows from $S_{\vec{p}}$ and

$RH(p_1, p_2)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{T_{f,g} > \lambda\}|_\vartheta &\leq \int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \mathfrak{M}(\sigma_1 \chi_{\{\tau < \infty\}}, \sigma_2 \chi_{\{\tau < \infty\}})^p v d\mu \\ &\leq C |\{\tau < \infty\}|_{\sigma_1}^{\frac{p}{p_1}} |\{\tau < \infty\}|_{\sigma_2}^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &\leq C \int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \sigma_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \sigma_2^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{M}(f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2)^p v d\mu &\leq 4^p \int_X T_{f,g} d\vartheta = 4^p \int_0^\infty |\{T_{f,g} > \lambda\}|_\vartheta d\lambda \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \sigma_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \sigma_2^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu d\lambda \\ &= C \int_0^\infty \int_{\{\mathfrak{M}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(f, g)^p > \lambda\}} \sigma_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \sigma_2^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu d\lambda \\ &= C \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{M}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(f, g)^p \sigma_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \sigma_2^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \int_{\Omega} M^{\sigma_1}(f)^p M^{\sigma_2}(g)^p \sigma_1^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \sigma_2^{\frac{p}{p_2}} d\mu \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} M^{\sigma_1}(f)^{p_1} \sigma_1 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} M^{\sigma_1}(f)^{p_2} \sigma_2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{p_2}} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder’s inequality. Hence (8) is valid. □

COROLLARY 1.9. *Let v, ω be weights and $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L^1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\left(\int_{\{\tau < \infty\}} |f_{\tau}|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}, \quad f \in L^p(\omega);$$

(b) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\omega);$$

(c) *The couple of weights (v, ω) satisfies the condition A_p .*

COROLLARY 1.10. *Let v, ω be weights and $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L^1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\omega);$$

(b) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|M(f\sigma)\|_{L^p(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\sigma)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\sigma),$$

where $\sigma = \omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$;

(c) *The couple of weights (v, ω) satisfies the condition S_p .*

PROOF. If we substitute $p_1 = p_2$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ into Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, then the reverse Hölder’s condition is trivial and we get Corollary 1.9 and Corollary 1.10. □

1.2. Bilinear version of one-weight theory. We recall the following Proposition 1.11 which characterizes an A_p weight in martingale context (see, e.g., [13, 16]). Then, we partially give its bilinear analogue.

PROPOSITION 1.11. *Let ω be a weight and let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L^1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *The weight ω satisfies the condition A_p , i.e.,*

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} E_n(\omega) E_n(\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}})^{p-1} \leq C;$$

(b) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|E_n(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall n \in N, f \in L^p(\omega);$$

(c) *If $f \in L^p(\omega)$, then $E_n(f) \in L^p(\omega)$, for any $n \in N$, and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f) - f|^p \omega d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0;$$

(d) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\omega).$$

REMARK 1.12. In the proof of Theorem 1.6, the condition $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in RH(p_1, p_2)$ has been used only to show that (3) implies $(v, \omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}$. Moreover, under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.6, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $n \in N$, any $f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1)$ and any $g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$,*

$$(9) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g)|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)};$$

(b) *The triple of weights (v, ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the condition $A_{\vec{p}}$.*

LEMMA 1.13. *Let ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, $\omega_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i-1}} \in L^1, i = 1, 2$ and $v = \omega_1^{p/p_1}\omega_2^{p/p_2}$. If $f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1), g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$ and $E_n(f)E_n(g) \in L^p(v)$, for any $n \in N$, then*

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g) - fg|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0,$$

if and only if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a nonnegative function $y \in L^p(v)$ such that

$$(11) \quad \sup_{n \geq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g)\chi_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq y\}}|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

PROOF. Suppose that (11) is valid. We will prove (10). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a nonnegative function $y \in L^p(v)$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g)\chi_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq y\}}|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\|fg\|_{L^p(v)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)}\|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)} < \infty$, we can assume that $y > |fg|$. We also have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$, because the martingales $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are uniformly integrable. Thus

$$(2y)^p \geq |f_n g_n \chi_{\{|f_n g_n| < y\}} - fg|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from the dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n g_n \chi_{\{|f_n g_n| < y\}} - fg\|_{L^p(v)} = 0.$$

For the above ε , there is an $n_0 \in N$, such that

$$\|f_n g_n \chi_{\{|f_n g_n| < y\}} - fg\|_{L^p(v)} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n g_n - fg\|_{L^p(v)} &= \|f_n g_n (\chi_{\{|f_n g_n| < y\}} + \chi_{\{|f_n g_n| \geq y\}}) - fg\|_{L^p(v)} \\ &\leq (2^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \vee 1) (\|f_n g_n \chi_{\{|f_n g_n| < y\}} - fg\|_{L^p(v)} + \|f_n g_n \chi_{\{|f_n g_n| \geq y\}}\|_{L^p(v)}) \\ &< 2(2^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \vee 1)\varepsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (10).

Conversely, we assume that (10) is valid. Since $fg \in L^p(v)$, we obtain that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $E \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $|E|_v < \delta$, then $(\int_E |fg|^p v d\mu)^{1/p} < \frac{1}{2(2^{(1-p)/p} \vee 1)} \varepsilon$. For the above $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an n_0 , such that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g) - fg|^p v d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \left(\frac{1}{2(2^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \vee 1)} \wedge \delta^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Moreover, for the above $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \geq n_0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| - |fg| > \varepsilon\}|_v &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| - |fg| > \varepsilon\}} \varepsilon^p v d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g) - fg|^p v d\mu < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $y = \max\{2|f_1 g_1|, 2|f_2 g_2|, \dots, 2|f_{n_0} g_{n_0}|, |fg| + 2\varepsilon\}$. It follows that $y \in L^p(v)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{n \geq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g) \chi_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq y\}}|^p v d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{n > n_0} \left(\int_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq y\}} |E_n(f)E_n(g)|^p v d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{n > n_0} \left(\int_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq y\}} |E_n(f)E_n(g) - fg + fg|^p v d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (2^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \vee 1) \sup_{n > n_0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g) - fg|^p v d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad + (2^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \vee 1) \sup_{n > n_0} \left(\int_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| - |fg| > \varepsilon\}} |fg|^p v d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

PROPOSITION 1.14. *Let ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $v = \omega_1^{p/p_1} \omega_2^{p/p_2}$. If the triple of weights (v, ω_1, ω_2) satisfies the*

condition $A_{\vec{p}}$, then

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g) - fg|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0, \quad \forall f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1), \quad g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2).$$

PROOF. Let $f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1)$ and $g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2)$. It follows from the condition $A_{\vec{p}}$ and Remark 1.12 that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g)|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}, \quad \forall n \in N,$$

which is the assumption of the Lemma 1.13. If (11) is valid, we have (12) by the Lemma 1.13. We will prove (11) in the following way. Since f and g are integrable, the martingales $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are uniformly integrable. It follows from Doob's inequality that

$$(13) \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda |\{Mf > \lambda\}| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f| d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda |\{Mg > \lambda\}| \leq \int_{\Omega} |g| d\mu.$$

For $n \in N$, fix $\lambda > 0$, which will be determined later. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f)E_n(g)\chi_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq \lambda\}}|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} |E_n(f\chi_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq \lambda\}})E_n(g\chi_{\{|E_n(f)E_n(g)| \geq \lambda\}})|^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} E_n(|f\chi_{\{MfMg \geq \lambda\}}|)^p E_n(|g\chi_{\{MfMg \geq \lambda\}}|)^p v d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ (14) \quad &\leq C \|f\chi_{\{MfMg \geq \lambda\}}\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\chi_{\{MfMg \geq \lambda\}}\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where (14) is a result of Remark 1.12. It is clear that

$$\{MfMg \geq \lambda\} \subseteq \{Mf \geq \lambda^{\frac{p}{p_1}}\} \cup \{Mg \geq \lambda^{\frac{p}{p_2}}\}.$$

Thus $|\{MfMg \geq \lambda\}| \leq |\{Mf \geq \lambda^{p/p_1}\}| + |\{Mg \geq \lambda^{p/p_2}\}|$. Combing with (13), we get $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |\{MfMg \geq \lambda\}| = 0$. Then, (11) follows from (14), because of the absolute continuity of the integral. \square

PROPOSITION 1.15. Let ω_1, ω_2 be weights and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Suppose that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $v = \omega_1^{p/p_1} \omega_2^{p/p_2}$. If there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}(f, g)\|_{L^p(v)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\omega_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\omega_2)}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p_1}(\omega_1), \quad g \in L^{p_2}(\omega_2),$$

we have $(v, \omega_1, \omega_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}$, (9) and (12).

REMARK 1.16. The proof of Proposition 1.15 is clear and we omit it. But we can not give the converse of the Proposition 1.15 in martingale spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Q. CHANG, Some Sawyer type inequalities for martingales, *Studia Math.* 111 (1994), 187–194.
- [2] W. CHEN AND W. DAMIÁN, Weighted estimates for the multisublinear maximal function, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* 62 (2013), 379–391.
- [3] W. CHEN AND P. D. LIU, Weighted inequalities for the generalized maximal operator in martingale spaces, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 32 (2011), 781–792.
- [4] D. CRUZ-URIBE, The minimal operator and the geometric maximal operator in R^n , *Studia Math.* 144 (2001), 1–37.
- [5] D. CRUZ-URIBE, J. M. MARTELL AND C. PÉREZ, *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 215. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [6] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA AND J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, *North-Holland Math. Stud.* 116, *Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes]*, 104. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [7] L. GRAFAKOS, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Second edition. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 249. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [8] L. GRAFAKOS, L. G. LIU, C. PÉREZ AND R. H. TORRES, The multilinear strong maximal function, *J. Geom. Anal.* 21 (2011), 118–149.
- [9] L. GRAFAKOS, L. G. LIU AND D. C. YANG, Multiple-weighted norm inequalities for maximal multi-linear singular integrals with non-smooth kernels, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 141 (2011), 755–775.
- [10] S. V. HRUSČEV, A description of weights satisfying the A_∞ condition of Muckenhoupt, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1984), 253–257.
- [11] W. HU, X. L. SHI AND Q. Y. SUN, A_∞ condition characterized by maximal geometric mean operator, *Harmonic analysis (Tianjin, 1988)*, 68–72, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1494, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [12] M. IZUMISAWA AND N. KAZAMAKI, Weighted norm inequalities for martingale, *Tohoku Math. J.* 29 (1977), 115–124.
- [13] M. KIKUCHI, A note on the convergence of martingales in Banach function spaces, *Anal. Math.* 25 (1999), 265–276.
- [14] D. S. KURTZ, Classical operators on mixed-normed spaces with product weights, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 37 (2007), 269–283.
- [15] A. K. LERNER, S. OMBROSI, C. PÉREZ, R. H. TORRES AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ, New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, *Adv. Math.* 220 (2009), 1222–1264.
- [16] R. L. LONG, *Martingale spaces and inequalities*, Peking University Press, Beijing; Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1993.
- [17] R. L. LONG AND L. Z. PENG, (p, q) maximal inequalities with two weights in martingale theory, *Acta Math. Sinica* 29 (1986), 253–258.
- [18] B. MUCKENHOUP, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 165 (1972), 207–226.
- [19] Z. NEHARI, Inverse Hölder inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 21 (1968), 405–420.
- [20] F. J. RUIZ, A unified approach to Carleson measures and A_p weights, *Pacific J. Math.* 117 (1985), 397–404.
- [21] F. J. RUIZ AND J. L. TORREA, A unified approach to Carleson measures and A_p weights II, *Pacific J. Math.* 120 (1985), 189–197.
- [22] E. T. SAWYER, A characterization of a two weight norm inequality for maximal operators, *Studia Math.* 75 (1982), 1–11.
- [23] C. SBORDONE AND I. WIK, Maximal functions and related weight classes, *Publ. Mat.* 38 (1994), 127–155.
- [24] X. Q. YIN AND B. MUCKENHOUP, Weighted inequalities for the maximal geometric mean operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 75–81.

- [25] Y. D. ZHUANG, On inverses of the Hölder inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 161 (1991), 566–575.
- [26] H. L. ZUO AND P. D. LIU, The minimal operator and weighted inequalities for martingales, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 26 (2006), 31–40.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY
YANGZHOU 225002
CHINA

E-mail address: weichen@yzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
WUHAN UNIVERSITY
WUHAN 430072
CHINA

E-mail address: pdliu@whu.edu.cn