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#### Abstract

I propose a new equation to estimate the normal at a vertex of a polygonal approximation to a smooth surface, as a weighted sum of the normals to the facets surrounding the vertex. It accounts for the difference in size of these facets by assigning larger weights for smaller facets. When tested on random cubic polynomial surfaces, it is superior to other popular weighting methods.


## Introduction

When a surface is approximated by polygonal facets, often only the vertex positions are known, but associated vertex normals are required for smooth shading. Sometimes the model is just a polyhedron, and there is no single correct normal at a vertex. However, in other cases, there is an underlying smooth surface approximated by the facets, whose true normals are to be estimated from the facet geometry. Examples are range data, or measured vertices on a plaster character model. A vertex normal is usually taken as a weighted sum of the normals of facets sharing that vertex. Gouraud [1] suggested equal weights, and Thürmer and Wüthrich [2] propose weighting by the facet angles at the vertex. Here I propose a new set of weights, which help to handle the cases when the facets surrounding a vertex differ greatly in size. The weights are appropriate to, and tested for, the situation when the smooth surface is locally represented near the vertex by a height field approximated by a taylor series polynomial.

The problem of facets of different sizes is illustrated in a 2 D analogue in figure 1, where a circle is approximated by an inscribed polygon $D E F G \ldots$ with unequal sides. The normal to the circle at $E$ passes through the center $C$ of the circle. An unweighted average of the normals to segments $D E$ and $E F$ will lie on the angle bisector of angle $D E F$, which does not pass through $C$. The correct weights are inversely proportional to the lengths of the segments. This can be shown by solving simultaneous linear equations, as done in the 3D case below.

## The new weights

The weights I propose in 3D give the correct normals for a polyhedron inscribed in a sphere. Suppose $Q$ is a vertex of the polyhedron, and its adjacent vertices are $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n-1}$. The center $C$ of the sphere can be found as the intersection of the perpendicular bisector planes of the edges $Q V_{i}$. For simplicity in the derivation, translate the coordinates so that $Q$ is at the origin. Then the midpoint of the edge between $Q=(0,0,0)$ and $V_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ is $(1 / 2) V_{i}$, and the vector $V_{i}$ is normal to any plane perpendicular to this edge, so the equation of the perpendicular bisector plane is

$$
x_{i} x+y_{i} y+z_{i} z=\left|V_{i}\right|^{2} / 2 .
$$

We first consider the case $n=3$, where we get 3 linear equations in 3 unknowns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0} x+y_{0} y+z_{0} z=\left|V_{0}\right|^{2} / 2 \\
& x_{1} x+y_{1} y+z_{1} z=\left|V_{1}\right|^{2} / 2 \\
& x_{2} x+y_{2} y+z_{2} z=\left|V_{2}\right|^{2} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging and grouping the terms of the Cramer's rule solution [3] of this system, one gets

$$
C=(x, y, z)=\left(\left|V_{2}\right|^{2} V_{0} \times V_{1}+\left|V_{0}\right|^{2} V_{1} \times V_{2}+\left|V_{1}\right|^{2} V_{2} \times V_{0}\right) /(2 D)
$$

where $D$ is the determinant of the coefficient matrix, and is negative if the vertices $V_{0}, V_{1}$, and $V_{2}$ are in counterclockwise order, when viewed from $Q$. Divide this equation by the negative quantity $\left|V_{0}\right|^{2}\left|V_{1}\right|^{2}\left|V_{2}\right|^{2} /(2 D)$, to get a vector pointing in the outward normal direction, that is, a positive multiple $c$ of the normal vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{0} \times V_{1}}{\left|V_{0}\right|^{2}\left|V_{1}\right|^{2}}+\frac{V_{1} \times V_{2}}{\left|V_{1}\right|^{2}\left|V_{2}\right|^{2}}+\frac{V_{2} \times V_{0}}{\left|V_{2}\right|^{2}\left|V_{0}\right|^{2}}=c N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the degenerate case when $Q, V_{0}, V_{1}$, and $V_{2}$ are all in the same plane $P, D$ is zero, but since $Q$, $V_{0}, V_{1}$, and $V_{2}$ then all lie on the circle where the plane $P$ intersects the sphere, one can show that $\left|V_{2}\right|^{2} V_{0} \times V_{1}+\left|V_{0}\right|^{2} V_{1} \times V_{2}+\left|V_{1}\right|^{2} V_{2} \times V_{0}$ is also zero, so equation (1) holds with $c=0$. If $V_{0}$, $V_{1}$, and $V_{2}$ are in clockwise order when viewed from $Q, c$ is negative.

To express this equation in terms of the facet normals, let $N_{i}$ be the normal to the $i^{\text {th }}$ facet, between $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+1}$, with all indices taken $\bmod n($ which, for now, is 3$)$, and let $\alpha_{i}$ be the angle between $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+1}$. Then

$$
V_{i} \times V_{i+1}=N_{i}\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{i+1}\right| \sin \alpha_{i}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{V_{i} \times V_{i+1}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}\left|V_{i+1}\right|^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{N_{i} \sin \alpha_{i}}{\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{i+1}\right|}=c N \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the proposed weight for normal $N_{i}$ is $\sin \alpha_{i} /\left(\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{i+1}\right|\right)$. The $\sin \alpha_{i}$ factor is analogous to the $\alpha_{i}$ factor in [2] and the reciprocals of the edge lengths are related to the reciprocal segment lengths discussed in the 2D case above. In practice, the left hand sum in equation (2) is easier to compute, since it involves neither square roots nor trigonometry.

One can show by induction that also, for any $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{V_{i} \times V_{i+1}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}\left|V_{i+1}\right|^{2}}=c N . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The induction starts at $n=3$ with equation (2). To derive equation (3) for $n+1$ just add equation (3) for $n$ and equation (2) for the three vertices $\mathrm{V}_{0}, \mathrm{~V}_{n-1}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{n}$. The last term in the sum in equation (3), involving $V_{n-1} \times V_{0}$, will cancel the first term in equation (2), involving $V_{0} \times V_{n-1}$, giving equation (3) for $n+1$ (with some new multiple $c$ of $N$ ).

In degenerate cases, similar to those discussed in [2], $c$ will be zero, and no reasonable normal can be chosen. In cases like those in the tests below, when $(a)$ the smooth surface is defined locally by a height function $z=f(x, y),(b)$ the vertices $V_{i}$ are in monotonically increasing counterclockwise order around $Q$ when viewed from above, and (c) the angles from $V_{i}$ to $V_{i+1}$ are all acute, every term in equation (3) will have a positive $z$ component, so the sum will be a positive multiple of the upward surface normal. In other cases, the resulting normal may need to be flipped.

## Discussion and results

The derivation above only applies to polyhedra inscribed in spheres, and the normal estimate is incorrect even for ellipsoids. Nevertheless, I believe it is superior to other estimates, because it handles cases where edges adjacent to the vertex $Q$ have very different lengths.

To test this belief, for each $n$ between 3 and 9, I constructed 1,000,000 surfaces of the form $z$ $=f(x, y)=A x^{2}+B x y+C y^{2}+D x^{3}+E x^{2} y+F x y^{2}+G y^{3}$, with $A, B, C, D, E, F$, and $G$ all uniformly distributed pseudo random numbers in the interval $[-0.1,0.1]$. These are representative of the third order behavior of smooth surfaces, translated to place the vertex $Q$, where the normal is to be estimated, at the origin, and rotated to make the true normal at $Q$ point along the positive $z$ axis. I then generated the vertices $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n-1}$ in cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$ by choosing the $\theta$ 's randomly and uniformly in the interval [ $0,2 \pi$ ], resorting them in increasing order, choosing for each $\theta$ an $r$ randomly and uniformly in the interval $[0,1]$, converting $(r, \theta)$ to cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$, and then setting $z=f(x, y)$. I rejected cases where consecutive $\theta$ 's differed by more than $\pi$, because they violate condition (c) above.

For each accepted sequence $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n-1}$, I computed several average normals, weighting $N_{i}$ by: (a) the area of triangle $Q V_{i} V_{i+1}$, (b) one (i.e. an unweighted average), (c) the angle $\alpha_{i}$ as proposed in [2], (d) $\sin \alpha_{i} /\left(\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{i+1}\right|\right)$ as proposed here, (e) 1. /(|V$\left|\left|\left|V_{i+1}\right|\right)\right.$, and (f) 1. / $\operatorname{sqrt}\left(\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{i+1}\right|\right)$. I then measured the error angle between these estimated normals and the correct
normal, which is the positive $z$ axis. Table 1 below gives the root-mean-square error angle in degrees, over the $1,000,000$ trials, for each $n$ and each of the six weighting schemes.

| $n$ | $(a)$ | $(b)$ | $(c)$ | $(d)$ | $(e)$ | $(f)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 6.468480 | 7.301575 | 10.713165 | 2.976864 | 7.355423 | 7.052390 |
| 4 | 4.324776 | 5.447873 | 8.538115 | 2.275241 | 5.453043 | 5.216014 |
| 5 | 3.671877 | 4.644646 | 7.030462 | 1.952892 | 4.959709 | 4.588069 |
| 6 | 3.308351 | 4.234035 | 5.820398 | 1.783925 | 4.890262 | 4.352113 |
| 7 | 3.088986 | 4.005317 | 4.869360 | 1.674386 | 4.958369 | 4.253581 |
| 8 | 2.933336 | 3.835367 | 4.064310 | 1.590934 | 5.007468 | 4.169861 |
| 9 | 2.809747 | 3.719183 | 3.416770 | 1.534402 | 5.115330 | 4.131644 |

Table 1. RMS errors in degrees for a cubic surface, with all coefficients in [-0.1, 0.1].

The method proposed here does seem superior, and the method proposed in [2] seems worse than the unweighted average, at least in the situation tested here, where all vertices are on the smooth surface. The method in [2] was designed to be consistent when polygons are subdivided, possibly by adding along a facet edge a new vertex which might not be on the smooth surface.
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Figure 1. A polygon with unequal sides inscribed in a circle.

