We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

5,900 Open access books available 145,000

180M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

# Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



# Wet Air Oxidation of Aqueous Wastes

Antal Tungler, Erika Szabados and

Arezoo M. Hosseini

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/60935

#### Abstract

Wet air oxidation (WAO) is a key technology in the disposal of industrial and agricultural process wastewaters. It is often used coupled with activated sludge treatment at a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) as preliminary conversion of toxic and/or non-biodegradable components. The process is based on a high temperature and pressure reaction of the oxidizable materials in water with air or oxygen, in most cases in a bubble column reactor. The oxidation is a chain type radical reaction. The intensification of this technology is possible with the application of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts, recently non-thermal radical generating methods (UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, ozonization, Fenton type processes) gathered ground also. The most frequent use of the process is in sludge treatment and oxidation of spent caustic of refineries or ethylene plants.

**Keywords:** Process wastewater treatment, wet air oxidation, catalysts, intensification of wet oxidation

# 1. Introduction

Nowadays, many industries and some agricultural activities generate large quantities of aqueous wastes containing organic and inorganic substances known as process wastewaters (PWWs). Before discharging these wastewaters into the environment like domestic wastewaters, industrial wastes must undergo various physical, biological, chemical, or combined treatments to reduce their toxicity and to convert them into biodegradable materials.



© 2015 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Liquid wastes of high organic and inorganic content have been classified as hazardous wastes and are mainly disposed of by incineration. Incineration is typically used when everything else fails and then the hazardous and polluting components are eliminated with fuel consumption, which bears high costs while producing secondary pollutants such as dioxin, furans, and sulfur dioxide.

Among typical treatment methods applied for these kinds of wastes, biological treatment is the primary method for removal of organic pollutants, but often not suitable since they may contain toxic, non-biodegradable, and hazardous pollutants. Moreover, microbes are vulnerable to (chemical) shocks, further limiting their use in the chemical industry. Some wastewater streams are too concentrated to be cleaned effectively by biological treatment. On the other hand non-biodegradable liquid wastes generated from industrial and agricultural processes can be treated by chemical oxidation, wet oxidation, or with advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) in order to eliminate their toxicity and enhance their biodegradability.

Wet oxidation, also known as wet air oxidation, refers to a process of oxidizing suspended or dissolved material in liquid phase with dissolved oxygen at elevated temperature. It is a method for treatment of waste streams that are too dilute to incinerate and too concentrated for biological treatment.

### 2. Thermal wet oxidation processes

#### 2.1. Wet air oxidation

Thermal wet oxidation processes use high-temperature and high-pressure air or oxygen as oxidant. Wet air oxidation (WAO) refers to a process of oxidizing wastewaters, the water containing liquid wastes under pressure with air or oxygen and at high temperature (>120°C). It is a good option for treating the high-organic content PWWs which can originate from fine chemical and pharmaceutical industries. The chemistry of wet air oxidation involves chain reactions of radicals formed from organic and inorganic compounds present in the reaction mixture. In this hydrothermal process, the organic pollutants are converted into easily biodegradable substances or completely mineralized and the inorganic compounds are converted into their form with higher oxidation value, such as sulfides into sulfates.

A typical condition for wet air oxidation ranges from 180°C and pressure of 2 MPa to 315°C and 20 MPa pressure.

#### 2.1.1. Industrial application of wet air oxidation

WAO technologies have been commercialized around 60 years ago. Applying different temperatures, one can mention three different oxidation categories, namely: low temperature oxidation, medium temperature oxidation, and high temperature oxidation.

Commercial application of low-temperature oxidation (100°C-200°C) involves low-pressure thermal conditioning (LPO) of activated sludge and the treatment of low-strength sulfidic

spent caustic. The most prevalent WAO applications are for ethylene plant spent caustic and refinery spent caustic.

The ethylene plant spent caustic is traditionally oxidized at low temperatures in the range of 120°C-220°C; the main purpose is to destroy the odorous sulfide content of this effluent. The refinery spent caustic WAO application operates at 240°C-260°C that already fits the medium temperature category, as well as the WAO of some organic wastes. Other industrial wastes treated by low-temperature oxidation include cyanide and phosphorous wastes, as well as non-chlorinated pesticides. High-temperature oxidation 260°C-320°C is used for refinery spent caustic, sludge destruction, and most WAO treated industrial wastewaters. Most organic industrial wastes are oxidized in this temperature range, including pharmaceutical wastes as well as pesticides, solvents, and the complete destruction of liquid wastes of pulp and paper production and other organic sludges.

#### 2.1.2. Zimpro® wet air oxidation and related processes

The history of WAO started almost about 60 years ago, when Zimmermann observed that he could dispose pulp mill liquors using air at high pressure leading to the oxidation of organic compounds dissolved or suspended in water at relatively low temperatures in the presence of oxygen [1].

They took spent pulping liquor from a local paper mill to produce artificial vanilla flavoring (vanillin) by partial oxidation of ligno-sulfonic acids. They perfected the wet air oxidation process (or the "Zimmermann Process" as it was known), and expanded it to other applications, including wastewater treatment. The company, developed and installed these wet oxidation plants, had a diversified history as it was established by Sterling Drug Inc. as "Zimpro" at Rothschild in 1961, building the engineering and research center along the Wisconsin River. After a long expansion period, with new developments and acquisitions Zimpro was purchased by USFilter in 1996. In April 1999, Paris-based Vivendi announced the acquisition of USFilter. Siemens bought USFilter in May 2004. Presently, it is owned by Siemens Co. under the name "Siemens Water Technologies."

Since the beginning, this process (Zimpro) had been mainly used for sewage sludge treatment, but by the early 1970s, it was applied to regenerate spent powdered active carbon from wastewater treatment processes. During 1980s, WAO began to be more useful as an industrial waste treatment technology. Zimpro Products installed the first wet oxidation unit in 1982 to treat ethylene plant spent caustic. The next year, they installed and operated a wet oxidation system in California for the treatment and detoxification of hazardous wastes. In 1992, Zimpro installed a wet oxidation system at Sterling Organics in Dudley, Northumberland, UK, for pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. Currently, about 200 full-scale WAO plants are in operation for the treatment of a variety of effluent streams (municipal sludge, night soil, carbon regeneration, acrylonitrile process effluent, metallurgical coking, ethylene production spent caustic, paper filler, industrial activated sludge, pulping liquor, warfare chemicals, paper mill sludge, explosives, monosodium glutamate production, polysulfide rubber, textile sludge, chrome tannery waste, petroleum refining spent caustic, miscellaneous industrial sludges, nuclear reprocessing wastes) [2, 3]. In 2009, 2011, and 2012, Siemens contracted with Sinopec

to build several WAO units in PR China for the disposal of spent caustic from ethylene plants and refineries.




Figure 1. Typical flow diagram of WAO [2]

The typical wet oxidation system (Figure 1) is a continuous process using rotary compressor and pump to compress the air (or oxygen) and feed liquid stream to the required operating pressure. Heat exchangers serve to recover energy from the reactor effluent and use it to preheat the feed/air mixture entering the reactor. Auxiliary energy, usually steam, is necessary for startup and can provide trim heat if required. The residence time in the reactor vessel is several hours at a temperature that enables the oxidation reactions to proceed in some cases toward total mineralization. The reactor is a bubble column; it is coupled after the heat exchanger with a separator for the separation of the effluent and the off-gases. Since the oxidation reactions are exothermic, sufficient energy may be released in the reactor to allow the wet oxidation system to operate without any additional heat input at or above COD > ~10000 mg/L.

The typical reactions during WAO:

Organics +  $O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O + RCOOH^*$ Sulfur Species +  $O_2 \rightarrow SO_4^{2-}$ Organic Cl +  $O_2 \rightarrow Cl^- + CO_2 + RCOOH^*$ Organic N +  $O_2 \rightarrow NH_3 + CO_2 + RCOOH^*$ Phosphorus +  $O_2 \rightarrow PO_4^{3-}$  The oxidation reactions occur at temperatures of 150°C to 320°C and at pressures from 10 bar to 220 bar. The required operating temperature is determined by the treatment objectives. Higher temperatures require higher pressure to maintain a liquid phase in the system. Typical industrial applications for the WAO process have a feed flow rate of 1 m<sup>3</sup>/h to 50 m<sup>3</sup>/h per unit, with a chemical oxygen demand (COD) from 10,000 mg/L to 150,000 mg/L (higher COD with dilution).

During the decades of process development, huge amount of data were collected and published about the oxidation properties of individual compounds, different process wastewaters, and sludges. In WAO of ethylene spent caustic, the conversion of sulfide is nearly 100% at 200°C during 60 minutes. The high conversion of the polluting compounds in refinery spent caustic needs higher temperature of oxidation (260°C), residuals from pesticide and herbicide production need even higher (280°C). This is associated obviously with higher total pressure because of the increased vapor pressure of water and other volatiles.

A good instance is, for illustrating the technical details and problems of WAO, the oxidation of ethylene plant spent caustic, which is one of best-elaborated technology among WAO processes. Such spent caustic liquor contains as major components the compounds listed in Table 1. The sulfur containing compounds are oxidized to sulfate, being present in the basic solution as sodium sulfate, the organic components are oxidized primarily to carboxylic acids, such as acetic, oxalic, formic, and propionic acid. At 200°C and 28 bar pressure only the partial oxidation of organic compounds occurs, as the forming carboxylic acids are well biodegradable [4].

Compound	Concentration range		
NaHS	0.5-6 %		
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1-5 %		
NaOH	1-4 %		
NaSR	0-0.2 %		
Soluble oil	50-150 ppm		
тос	50-1500 ppm		
Benzene	20-100 ppm		

Table 1. Composition of ethylene plant spent caustic

WAO reliability can be hampered by off-spec feed, which is affected by the upstream processing and handling of the spent caustic. The feed of the WAO plant for treating ethylene plant spent caustic contains some reactive organic compounds (called "red oil," main components are primarily aldehydes that form high molecular weight materials through the so-called aldol condensation reaction) that cause fouling not only in the ethylene compressor and the separation equipments but in the heat exchanger in front of the oxidation reactor serving for the preheating of the caustic feed and cooling of the effluent. The fouling is even worse in the presence of iron that usually forms insoluble scale, which has to be removed by chemical and/ or mechanical treatment. Chloride is dangerous because of corrosion, in spite of the use of special alloys in the WAO equipments.

A special process is the so-called VerTech oxidation of sludges. This applies an underground installation, consisting of concentric tubes as heat exchangers going down to 1200 m depth to an oxidation vessel. Due to the depth of the vessel, the bottom pressure in the reactor is above 100 bar at 275°C without the need of high-pressure pumps at the surface. The building of the installation required drilling and casing technology developed by the gas and oil production industry. The operation of the system required a frequent descaling operation with nitric acid in order to preserve the efficiency of heat exchange in the concentric tubes. The output of this plant was 80 tons of sludge per day [5].

#### 2.1.3. Kinetic mechanism of WAO

WAO of organic pollutants is generally described by a free-radical chain reaction mechanism in which the induction period to generate a minimum radical concentration is of great significance [6-9]. In the most detailed studied reaction in WAO, in the oxidation of phenol water solution during the induction period, practically no change was observed in phenol concentration. Once the critical concentration of free radical is reached, fast reaction takes place (propagation step) when almost all phenol is oxidized. It has been found that the induction period length depends on oxygen concentration, temperature, type of organic compound and if applied on the catalyst concentration [2, 10-13]. The pH also has influence on the induction period that actually is shorter for pH values of about 4, and is increasing with the increase in pH [14].

In wet oxidation, the reaction chains are thermally initiated [15]:

$$RH \rightarrow R' + H'$$
(1)  

$$RH + O_{2} \rightarrow R' + HO_{2}'$$
(2)  

$$R' + O_{2} \rightarrow ROO'$$
(3)  

$$ROO' + RH \rightarrow ROOH + R'$$
(4)  

$$RH + (OH', HO_{2}', ROO') \rightarrow R' + (H_{2}O, H_{2}O_{2}, ROOH)$$
(5)

$$H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ HO}^{\circ}$$
 (6)

(7)

In the mechanism, R is any organic molecule present in the reaction mixture. In addition to the organic radicals several inorganic radicals participate in the degradation such as H, HO, and  $HO_2/O_2^-$ . Among these radicals HO is the most reactive, it reacts with aromatic molecules (Ph=phenol) in radical addition reaction with practically diffusion limited rate coefficient at all temperatures up to the supercritical value [16].

The hydroxycyclohexadienyl radical formed in Reaction (7) from phenol is also highly sensitive to oxygen.

Ph + HO' → PhHO'

In all real PWW and in the reaction mixtures of wet oxidation in stainless steel autoclaves, Fe ions are present with measurable concentration [17-19]. These ions and other transition metal ions present accelerate the decomposition of peroxide to HO radicals in Fenton-type processes. The steady state (propagation step) is then followed by the third step (termination step) characterized by a slow oxidation rate.


The first step is the chain initiation, in which free radicals (R, OH, HO<sub>2</sub>) are produced by dissociation (1) and the bimolecular reaction of dissolved oxygen with the organic compound (2), which is found to be very slow at low temperatures. When the free radical R is formed, it can readily react with molecular oxygen to give peroxoradical (ROO) (3). The other reaction is the formation of hydrogen peroxide, which decomposes with metal catalysis to OH radicals (6). Finally the peroxo radical gives with the parent compound a free radical and hydroper-oxide (4). The OH radicals oxidize the parent compound into a free radical again (5).

In the mechanism, the organic parent compound (RH) can react thus with molecular oxygen, the organic peroxyl (ROO) (5), hydroxyl (OH) (6) and hydroperoxyl (HO<sub>2</sub>) (3) radicals [20, 21].

Intermediates formation is of great importance in WAO and has been reviewed by Devlin and Harris for the oxidation of aqueous phenol with dissolved oxygen [22]. The conclusion was that, at elevated temperatures, oxygen is capable of three different oxidation reactions with the organic: (i) introducing an oxygen atom into an aromatic ring to form a dihydric phenol or quinone; (ii) attacking carbon to carbon double bonds to form carbonyl compounds; and (iii) oxidizing alcohols and carbonyl groups to form carboxylic acids. The ring compound intermediates (dihydric phenols and quinones) were formed under conditions near the stoichiometric ratio of phenol and oxygen, increasing in quantity when oxygen was in deficiency. The unsaturated acids, namely maleic and acrylic and saturated ones, namely formic, acetic and oxalic appear independently of phenol to oxygen ratio used. Malonic, propionic and succinic acids were identified only in case of deficit of oxygen. Malonic acid undergoes decarboxylation to produce acetic acid and carbon dioxide.

Another interesting research was done about the kinetics of oxidation of phenol, and nine substituted phenols were investigated [10]. The process was studied in a 1 L stainless steel autoclave at temperatures in the range of 150°C-180°C and the initial phenol concentration was 200 mg/L. The oxidation reaction found to be the first order for oxygen and also first order with respect to phenolic substrates in both cases. The overall oxidation reaction rate was found

to be kinetically controlled when the temperature was less than 195°C and the phenol concentration was less than 200 mg/L. At higher temperatures (>240°C) and higher phenol concentration (>20000 mg/L) the overall oxidation reaction rate became mass transfer controlled.



In the 80s significant need was revealed toward treatment of highly concentrated wastewaters of chemical and pharmaceutical production, as well as residual sludge [22, 23]. Aside from WAO, CW(A)O has been applied to many different model effluents, but relatively few works have been devoted to real and complex industrial wastes [2, 24-28].

In order to carry out wet oxidation under milder conditions (at lower temperature and pressure) an alternative way would be catalytic wet (air) oxidation (CW[A]O). Soluble transition metal salts (such as copper and iron salts) have been found to give significant enhancement of the reaction rate, but post-treatment is needed to separate and recycle them.

Heterogeneous catalysts have the advantage that they can be used without the problem of separation and for continuous operation. Mixtures of metal oxides of Cu, Zn, Co, Mn, and Bi are reported to exhibit good activity, but leaching of these catalysts was detected [25, 29-32]

The reaction mechanism of CWAO is thought to be similar to the mechanism of WAO, and the function of the catalyst is essential promoting the formation of free radicals.

Kinetic study was carried out on the phenol oxidation by CWAO using aqueous copper nitrate as homogeneous catalyst. A kinetic model has been established based on the free radical mechanism where the electron transfer from copper to phenol was assumed to initiate the formation of free radicals and this led to propose that the formation of free radicals (PhO· and PhOOO·) is primarily due to the electron transfer from metal to phenol. In this model, the reaction orders were found to be approximately 1.0, 0.5, and 0.5 with respect to phenol, oxygen, and copper concentrations, respectively. In order to verify the proposed kinetics, a series of CWAO experimental tests were done at 313-333 K, oxygen partial pressures of 0.6-1.9 MPa, and copper concentrations 0-13 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The experimental data fitted well with the model [33].

With supported copper oxide catalyst in the temperature range of 120°C-160°C, with 0.6 MPa and 1.2 MPa oxygen pressures, it was found that the reaction was first order with respect to phenol concentration and half order with respect to oxygen partial pressure [34].

The composition of the most active heterogeneous catalysts in wet oxidation, namely that they are multicomponent, alludes on the validity of the Mars-van Krevelen mechanism well known in catalytic oxidation chemistry [35, 36].

#### 2.2.1. Industrial homogenous CWAO processes

In these processes, homogeneous transition metal catalysts are used that need, however, to be separated and then recycled to the reactor or discarded.

The first process to be mentioned was developed by *Ciba-Geigy*, which uses a copper salt as a catalyst. From the oxidized material the catalyst has to be separated as copper sulfide and recycled into the reactor, which is titanium lined. The unit works at 300°C and pressure above 100 bar. Three units that are installed in Germany and Switzerland have achieved high oxidation efficiencies (95%-99%) on chemical and pharmaceutical wastes at elevated temperatures.

The other one is the *LOPROX* process, a relatively low-temperature and low-pressure wet oxidation that was developed by Bayer AG for treatment of organic substances, especially aromatic compounds, which degrade too slow in normal biological plants or adversely affect the degradation of other substances. The disposal of aromatics is important for two reasons, they are forming significant portion of PWWs from the chemical industry. They are present in the clarified activated sludge also, which contains humic acids, these have aromatic part with chlorine substituents. It takes place in the presence of oxygen in acidic range in a multi-stage bubble column reactor under relatively mild operating conditions (temperature below 200°C, pressure 0.5-2.0 MPa) the catalyst is the combination of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions and quinone-generating substances. The residence time is 1-3 hours. Above COD value of 6-8 g/L the process is

autothermal, no heat energy input is needed. A critical issue is the choice of structural materials of the reactor and of other hot parts of the system. Enameled or PTFE lined steel can be used up to 160°C, titanium and titanium-palladium alloys are applied up to 200°C because of the acidic pH. Several LOPROX plants are in operation at Bayer AG. They dispose PWWs from intermediate, dyestuff, pharmaceutical, paper and pulp production, and clarified sludge.

Veolia developed the **ATHOS**<sup>®</sup> process for the treatment of clarified sludge at WWTPs. It works with Cu ion catalysis at 250°C and 50-60 bar pressure with pure oxygen. The reactor is perfectly mixed, because it has a circulation loop, not the usual bubble column. The heat exchangers are working with extremely high-temperature water as heating source. Such plant is working at the Brussels WWTP, in a complex line for the abatement of clarified sludge [37].

#### 2.2.2. Industrial heterogeneous CWAO processes

The development of stable and active heterogeneous catalysts for WO of PWWs is a difficult task, as the substrates to be oxidized are diverse, the wastes are multicomponent, and severe conditions are needed for the completion of the reactions. At high-temperature and high-oxygen partial pressure even at basic pH of the reaction mixture the leaching of the active component(s) of the catalyst into the water solution frequently occurs. As mentioned in a review, there are two catalytic WAO technologies that have been developed in the late '80s in Japan. Both processes use heterogeneous catalysts, precious metals deposited on titania-zirconia carriers. They are able to oxidize two refractory compounds namely acetic acid and ammonia also [27].

The **NS-LC** process uses a Pt-Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub> honeycomb catalyst. Typical operating conditions are 220°C and 4 MPa pressure with space velocity = 2 hour<sup>-1</sup>, which with these operating conditions the oxidation of compounds such as phenol, formaldehyde, acetic acid, glucose, etc., exceeds 99% conversion. In the absence of a catalyst the removal efficiency would go down to 5%-50% [38]. The specialty of the reactor is the segmented gas-liquid flow, which means that the liquid plugs are sandwiched between two gas plugs, and the flow has a mass transfer increasing and solid deposition preventing effect.

The other process, which is called **Osaka Gas**, is based on a mixture of precious and base metals on titania or titania-zirconia carriers in a form of honeycomb or sphere. This process has been applied in several industrial and urban wastes. A typical pilot plant at British Gas's London Research station works at 250°C and pressure of 9 MPa, with 200 L/h feed of waste [39].

Kurita Company developed a process to abate ammonia with the oxidation agent nitrite in the presence of a supported Pt catalyst. The reaction temperature (170°C) is lower than in usual WO.

One of the recent developments is the *CALIPHOX* process made by the National Institute of Chemistry of Slovenia and an engineering firm for treatment of industrial wastewaters with a metal oxide catalyst in the extruded form in a trickle-bed reactor. It is operating in relatively mild conditions (180°C, 4 MPa). The catalyst is based on the work of Pintar and Levec [40] who studied CuO- ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

#### 2.2.3. Types of CWAO catalysts

The objective of catalyst application, beside reaction rate enhancement, is to operate among milder conditions. The catalyst is usually a metal salt, a metal oxide, or the metal itself [Table 2.]. As we know, heterogeneous catalysts based on precious metals deposited on stable supports are less sensitive to leaching [25, 31, 41-44]. Different catalysts were applied and their effects were investigated on different catalytic wet oxidation processes in the past years. Pt and Ru on ceria and zirkonia-ceria supports were tested in the oxidation of acetic acid that was accompanied by the loss of activity [32]. In a following paper, the same authors described the reason for deactivation of the Pt catalyst, the accumulation of carbonate species on the surface [45]. Recently, activity of Ru-oxide on different oxide supports in acetic acid oxidation was reported. The mixed Zr, Ce oxide supported catalyst proved to be the most active [46].

Substrate	Reaction condition	Oxidant, catalyst	Reactor type	Removal	Reference
Phenol	120-160°C 6-12bar(O <sub>2</sub> )	Air, Cu	trickle bed reactor	<90%COD	Fortuny et al.1999
Phenol, acetic acid	170-200°C 20 bar (O <sub>2</sub> )	O <sub>2</sub> , Ru, Pt, Rh	batch	<97%COD	Duprez et al. 1996
Phenol chlorophenol nitro phenol	150-210°C 30 bar (total)	O <sub>2</sub> , CuO, Zn, Co oxides	trickle bed reactor	>95%TOC	Pintar&Levec1994
Phenol	90-150°C	CuO+Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , CuO+ZnO	batch	100% X	Akyurtlu et al.1998
p-chlorophenol	180°C 26bar (total)	O <sub>2</sub> ,Pt, Pd,Ru	slurry	<98% TOC	Qin et al., 2001
Ammonia	110°C-130°C	O <sub>2</sub> , Pt/SDB	trickle bed reactor	100% X	Huang et al., 2001
Ethyl benzene	310-390°C 0-1 bar (O <sub>2</sub> )	O <sub>2</sub> , AC	packed bed reactor	50%	Pereira, et al, 2000
Aniline	160-230°C 20 bar O <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> , Ru/CeO <sub>2</sub>	batch	100% X	Oliviero et al., 2003
Paper industry wastewater	140-190°C 5 bar (O <sub>2</sub> )	O <sub>2</sub> , Cu/Mn, Cu/Pd, Mn/Pd	batch	>84% TOC	Akolekar et al., 2002
Carboxylic acid	180°C 1-11bar(total)	Air, Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	batch	100% X	Lee & Kim, 2000
Kraft bleach plant effluent	190°C 8 bar (O <sub>2</sub> )	Air, Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	trickle bed reactor	< 90%TOC	Pintar et al., 2001

Table 2. CWAO of organic pollutants and industrial effluents. [40-41, 47-56]

#### 2.2.3.1. Supported noble metal catalyst

Various noble metals (Ru, Pt, Rh, Ir, and Pd) and some metal oxides (Cu, Mn, Co, Cr, V, Ti, Bi, and Zn) have traditionally been used as heterogeneous catalysts in CWAO. Several studies have ranked catalysts according to their activity. Imamura and his colleagues ranked noble metal and metal oxide catalysts according to their total organic carbon conversion achieved in 1 h, during the oxidation of polyethylene glycol at 200°C and pH of 5.4 [57]. They found the following order: Ru = Rh = Pt > Ir > Pd > MnO.

### 2.2.3.2. Supported metal oxides

Metal oxides can be classified according to their physical-chemical properties. One of these properties is the stability of metal oxide. Metals with unstable high oxidation state oxides, such as Pt, Pd, Ru, Au, and Ag do not perform stable bulk oxides at moderate temperatures. Most of the commonly used metal oxide catalysts (Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Zn, and Al) have stable high oxidation state oxides. Fe, Co, Ni, and Pb belong to the group with intermediate stability of high oxidation state oxides [58].

Mixtures of metal oxides frequently exhibit greater activity than the single oxide. Cobalt, copper, or nickel oxide in combination with the following oxides of iron (III), platinum, palladium, or ruthenium, are reported as effective oxidation catalysts above 100°C [59]. In addition, combining two or more metal catalysts may improve non-selective catalytic activity.

Metal oxides are usually applied in the form of powders and fine particles, and with this form of catalyst structure we can achieve maximum specific surface area, but the dispersion of the particles can create unsteady state. To keep the stable state of the catalyst, at the same time not losing the active phase, some porous supports can be used. Commonly, alumina and zeolites are used as support, but surface area of aluminum oxide is limited and the pore size of zeolites cannot be permeable for large size organic molecules.

#### 2.2.3.3. Activated carbon catalysts for CWAO

Another promising catalyst could be activated carbon (AC) that shows good properties as adsorbent for both organic materials and oxygen because of its porous structure and high surface area [60, 61]. Activated carbon is stable in highly acidic and basic media and it is also easy to prepare, which is why it is used as a catalyst for different reactions [62], and also as a support for other oxidation catalysts [63, 64].

Activated carbon can also catalyze the polymerization reactions in the presence of oxygen via oxidative coupling. Phenol oxidation over activated carbon in trickle bed reactor has been investigated [65, 66]. The activated carbon was found less active than metal oxide catalysts but more stable and more environmentally accepted, and of course cost-effective [65, 66].

Phenol conversion was compared using copper catalyst and activated carbon [67]. In the long run, copper catalyst was found to lose its activity due to leaching of copper phase. On the other hand, activated carbon also exhibited a continuous drop in phenol conversion, starting from

nearly complete and finally reaching about 48%. However, the loss of activated carbon efficiency could be ascribed to its consumption during experiments; thus, the absolute activity of activated carbon remained stable during the long term.

One of the recent studies was about the CWAO of paracetamol on activated carbon [68]. The CWAO of paracetamol was investigated both as a water treatment technique and as a regenerative treatment of the carbon after adsorption in a sequential fixed bed process. They used three ACs as catalysts: a microporous basic AC and meso- and micro-porous acidic ACs. During the first CWAO experiment, they noticed that the adsorption capacity and catalytic performance of fresh basic activated carbons (S23 and C1) were higher than those of the fresh microporous acidic one (L27) despite its higher surface area. It seems that this situation changed after reuse, as finally L27 gave the best results after five CWAO cycles. Respirometric tests were also done with activated sludge and it was mentioned that in the studied conditions the use of CWAO enhanced the aerobic biodegradability of the effluent. The group also checked the different ageing by measuring the physico-chemical properties of activated carbons.

#### 2.2.4. Application of CWAO in fine chemical industry

CWAO has been applied to many different model effluents, but relatively few studies have been devoted to real and complex industrial wastes [23, 27-28, 69-73]. Even in literature there are very limited number of works that dealt with real complex wastewaters. Our focus here is the pharmaceutical industry that produces mixtures of liquid wastes containing water and organic solvents, aside from higher molecular weight organic and inorganic compounds with different concentrations and different pH. Treating these wastewaters needs special conditions.

In a publication, the catalytic wet oxidation of wastewater originating from apramycin production was investigated with supported Ru oxide catalysts [72]. Ru catalyzed oxidation of wastewaters originating from meat processing and vegetable processing industries were also carried out [73]. Three rather detailed reviews were published concerning wet oxidation and catalytic wet oxidation [60, 74, 75]. They also mentioned the oxidation of miscellaneous organic compounds, but published no data specifically about the oxidation of pharmaceutical PWWs.

In another research, the effect of  $CuO/Al_2O_3$  was investigated—which was prepared by consecutive impregnation—on three different azo dyes (Methyl Orange, Direct Brown, and Direct Green), which were treated by CWAO. The relationships of decolorization extent, COD, and total organic carbon (TOC) removal in the dye solution were also investigated. The 99% of color and 70% of TOC removal in 2 h indicated that the  $CuO/Al_2O_3$  catalyst had excellent catalytic activity in treating azo dyes [76]. In Table 3, the most characteristic results of CWAO are collected; the substrates tested are in most cases phenol and acetic acid. The latter is resistant in WO, but easily biodegradable in the biological denitrification.

Catalyst	Application			
Active Phase	Carrier	Substrate	Reference	
Cu	Alumina	Phenol	Sandra et. al. 1974	
		Phenol	Kim et al. 1991	
		p-cresol	Mishra et. al. 1993	
Cu	Alumina	chlorophenols	Sanger et. al. 1992	
	Silica			
Cu-Zn-Al oxide	Alumina	phenol compounds	Pintar et al. 1992	
Cu-Mg-La	Zn aluminate	acetic acid	Box et.al. 1974, Levec et. al. 1976	
Mn	Alumina	phenol	Sandra et. al. 1974	
	Sr115	chlorophenols	Sanger et. al. 1992	
Mn-Ce	None	polyethylene-glycol	Imamura et al.1986	
Mn-Zn-Cr	None	industrial wastes	Moses et al. 1954	
Cu-Co-Ti-Al	Cement	phenol	Schmidt et. al. 1990	
Co	None	alcohols, amines, etc.	Ito et. al. 1989	
Co-Bi	None	acetic acid	Imamura et. al.1982	
Co-Ce	None	ammonia	Imamura et. al. 1985	
Fe	Silica	chlorophenols	Sanger et. al. 1992	
Ru	Cerium oxide	alcohols, phenols, etc	Imamura et. al. 1988	
Ru-Rh	Alumina	wet oxidized sludge	Takahasi et. al. 1991	
Pt-Pd	Titania-zirconia	industrial wastes	Ishii et. al. 1991	
Ru	Titania-zirconia	industrial wastes sludge	Harada et. al. 1993	
?t	Alumina	phenol	Hamoudi et. al. 1998	
Mn	Cerium oxide	phenol	Hamoudi et. al. 1998	
Ru	Titania	phenol	Vaidya et. al. 2002	
Pt, Ru	Carbon black composite Silica-	phenol	Cybulski et. al. 2004	
t, itu	titania	prenor	Cybuloki Cl. ul. 2004	
Ru	Pelletized cerium oxide Zirconia	phenol	Wang et al. 2008	
Ru oxide	Titania Zirconia Titania-cerium oxide Zirconia- cerium oxide	acetic acid	Wang et. al. 2008	
Pt, Ru	Cerium oxide, Zr-(Ce-Pr)-O <sub>2</sub>	phenol	Keav et. al. 2010	
Ru, Ru-Ce	Alumina	isopropyl alcohol phenol acetic acid DMF	Yu et. al. 2011	
Graphene oxide (GO)	None	phenol	Yang et. al. 2014	
Ru, Pt	Zirconia Cerium oxide Titania	acetic acid	Lafaye et. al. 2015	
Ru, Pt	Titania Cerium oxide Titania-cerium oxide	phenol	Espinosa de los Monteros et al. 2015	

Table 3. Summary of reported heterogeneous catalytic WO research [38, 57, 77-100]

# 2.3. Intensification of WAO with combined technologies; effect of high energy radiation on wet oxidation at elevated temperature (combination of the two methods)

As it is indicated in the previous section, one of the new areas in treating liquid wastes of highorganic content generated by the fine chemical mainly the pharmaceutical industry is the combination of AOPs with WAO. This area of work is rather new and there are very few articles dealing with this area of research.


We already know that the organic compounds undergo chain type oxidation in a reactor at high temperature and high pressure, of course sometimes in the presence of a catalyst. The high temperature is needed for initiation of oxidation processes, but at the same time this high temperature and pressure could cause serious corrosion even at alkaline pH, so it could be a good idea to decrease the temperature yet induce the chain initiation of oxidation by radiation. For this reason, WO and irradiation could be combined.

For radiation processing of polluted water, high-energy electron beam,  $\gamma$  -rays, or x-rays can be principally used. For producing the electron beam, electron accelerator devices are far the best radiation sources with respect to their output power and practical applicability.

#### 2.3.1. Water radiolysis

In the interaction between ionizing radiation (high-energy electron beam, gamma rays) and water, electronically excited and ionized molecules are formed and the product of it will be primary species such as  $\cdot OH$ ,  $e_{aq}^{-}$ ,  $H_{\cdot}$ , and molecular products such as  $H_2$ ,  $H_2O_2$ . In the presence of oxygen in water the reducing species H-atoms and the solvated electrons ( $e_{eq}^{-}$ ) are converted into oxidizing species, perhydroxy radicals ( $HO_2 \cdot$ ) and perhydroxyde radical anions ( $HO_2 \cdot$ ). The last one together with the  $OH_{\cdot}$  radicals are responsible for the degradation of water pollutants.

$$H_2O \rightarrow OH \bullet + e_{ag}^- + H \bullet + H_2O_2$$



#### Figure 3. Radiolysis of water.

The radiation induced degradation of neutral phenol solution was studied in the past using end-product techniques [101-105]. There are other few works on mechanistic studies on phenol and other aromatic molecules that were carried out by combining end products and transient detections and it was suggested that the transformations were initiated by hydroxyl radical attachment to the ring and reaction of  $O_2$  with the radicals produced [106-108].

Pulse radiolysis of 2,6-dichloroaniline in dilute aqueous solution was investigated. It is known that mono- and dichloroanilines are considered to be highly hazardous pollutants in wastewater. These compounds are important chemical intermediates of dye and plant protection agent production. In this investigation, the hydroxyl radical formed in water radiolysis was reacted with 2,6-dichloroanliline forming hydroxy-cyclohexadienyl derivative. The irradiation was carried out at room temperature by a <sup>60</sup>Co  $\gamma$ -source, built into a panorama irradiator, with 1.5 kGy h<sup>-1</sup> dose rate. The hydroxy-cyclohexadienyl radical in the absence of dissolved O<sub>2</sub> partly transformed to anilino radical when oxygen was present in the reaction mixture the radical transformed to peroxy radical. According to chemical oxygen demand measurements, the reaction of one OH· radical induced the incorporation of 0.6 O<sub>2</sub> into the products [109].

The irradiation-induced decolorization and degradation of aqueous solutions of azo dyes and some intermediates (anilines, phenols, triazines) were successful with electron beam irradiation. The experimental methods were the pulse radiolysis and end-product analysis with HPLC-MS. Demonstrating the practical applicability of this method, a continuous irradiation device has been built. The feed was the dye containing water of red color; the effluent was the colorless liquid during contact time of less than 0.1 second with the high energy electron beam (4 MeV) generated by a linear electron accelerator [110].

The other topic is the investigation of the degradation of pharmaceuticals that can be detected in natural waters as emergent pollutants. The radiation-induced degradation of ketoprofen in dilute aqueous solution has been tested. The intermediates and final products of ketoprofen degradation were determined in 0.4 mmol/dm<sup>3</sup> solution by pulse radiolysis and gamma radiolysis. UV-Vis spectrophotometry and HPLC separation served for identifying the product compounds [111].

The successful degradation of organic molecules being in small concentration in waters, with high-energy irradiation generating radicals already at room temperature prompted us to combine this method with WO. Recently, this hybrid method was used and compared with the classical WO method. Noticeable conversion was observed in phenol oxidation by irradiation already at room temperature in the presence of high concentration of dissolved oxygen [112].

In one of the recent studies, WAO of highly concentrated emulsified wastewater was conducted. These kinds of wastewater usually contain all kinds of organic matters such as surfactants, additives, and mineral oils. They are typical, highly concentrated hardly biodegradable organic wastewaters. This oxidation took place in a 2 L high-pressure autoclave in batch mode. The initial COD concentration of the wastewater was 48000 mg/L. After 2 h of oxidation at 220°C with supply of oxygen 1.25 times more than its theoretical value, the COD was reduced by 86.4%. The temperature seemed to be a key influential factor, especially between 180°C and 220°C the COD and TOC removal was evidently increased. They also recognized that with increasing the initial partial pressure of oxygen (pO<sub>2</sub>), the reaction rate significantly increased [113].

## 3. Conclusion

The WO of PWWs became an important disposal technology, which in combination with activated sludge treatment fulfils the strict environmental requirements as well. It works at high temperature and high pressure and often has corrosive reaction mixture; therefore, its intensification is a permanent need. The most promising solutions are the catalytic wet oxidation and the combination of WO with AOP techniques, both of generate the most reactive OH• radicals.

# Author details

Antal Tungler, Erika Szabados\* and Arezoo M. Hosseini

\*Address all correspondence to: szabados.erika@energia.mta.hu

Hungarian Academy of Sciences Centre for Energy Research, Hungary

# References

- [1] Zimmermann FJ, Diddams DG. The Zimmermann process and its application in the pulp and paper industry. TAPPI. 1960;43:710-715.
- [2] Mishra VS, Mahajani VV, Joshi JB. Wet air oxidation. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1995;34:2-48. DOI: 10.1021/ie00040a001.
- [3] Siemens AG. "Zimpro wet air oxidation systems: The cleanest way to treat the dirtiest water" brochure [Internet]. Available from: http://www.energy.siemens.com/hq/ pool/hq/industries-utilities/oil-gas/portfolio/water-solution/Zimpro\_Wet\_Air\_Oxidation.pdf [Accessed: 2015-03-05].
- [4] Siemens AG, "The effects of caustic tower operations and spent caustic handling on the Zimpro wet air oxidation (WAO) of ethylene spent caustic" brochure [Internet]. Available from: http://www.energy.siemens.com/hq/pool/hq/industries-utilities/oilgas/portfolio/water-solution/Ethylene\_plant\_effects\_on\_WAO\_operations\_EPC\_4\_2009.pdf [Accessed: 2015-03-06].

- [5] Knops PCM, Bowers DL, Krielen A, Romano FJ. The start-up and operation of a commercial below-ground aqueous phase oxidation system for processing sewage sludge. VerTech Treatment Systems Technical Documentation. 1993.
- [6] Li L, Chen P, Gloyna EF. Generalized kinetic model for wet oxidation of organic compounds. AIChE Journal. 1991; 37;1687. DOI: 10.1002/aic.690371112.
- Bachir S, Barbati S, Ambrosio M, Tordo P. Kinetics and mechanism of wet-air oxidation of nuclear-fuel-chelating compounds. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2001;40:1798-1804. DOI: 10.1021/ie000818t.
- [8] Robert R, Barbati S, Ricq N, Ambrosio M. Intermediates in wet oxidation of cellulose: Identification of hydroxyl radical and characterization of hydrogen peroxide. Water Res. 2002;36:4821-4829. DOI: 10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00205-1
- [9] Duffy JE, Anderson MA, Hill CG, Zeltner WA. Wet peroxide oxidation of sediments contaminated with PCBs. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2000;34:3199-3204. DOI: 10.1021/ es000924m.
- [10] Joglekar HS, Samant SD, Joshi JB. Kinetics of wet air oxidation of phenol and substituted phenols. Water Res. 1991;25:135-145. DOI: 10.1016/0043-1354(91)90022-I.
- [11] Willms RS, Balinsky AM, Reible DD, Wetzel DM, Harrison DP. Aqueous Phase Oxidation: Rate Enhancement Studies. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1987;26:832-836. DOI: 10.1021/ie00063a031.
- [12] Rivas FJ, Beltran FJ, Gimeno O, Acedo B. Wet air oxidation of wastewater from olive oil mills. Chem. Eng. Tech. 2001;24:415-421. DOI: 10.1002/1521-4125(200104)24:4<415::AID-CEAT415>3.0.CO;2-C.
- [13] Wu Q, Hu X, Yue PL. Kinetics study on catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol. Chem. Eng. Sci. 2003;58:923-928. DOI: 10.1016/S0009-2509(02)00628-0.
- [14] Sadana A, Katzer JR. Catalytic oxidation of phenol in aqueous solution over copper oxide. J. Catal., 1974;35:140-152. DOI: 10.1021/i160050a007.
- [15] Rivas FJ, Kolaczkowski ST, Beltran FJ, McLurgh DB. Development of a model for the wet air oxidation of phenol based on a free radical mechanism. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1998;53:2575-2586. DOI: 10.1016/S0009-2509(98)00060-8.
- [16] Bonin J, Janik I, Janik D, Bartels DM. Reaction of the hydroxyl radical with phenol in water up to supercritical conditions. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2007;111:1869-1878. DOI: 10.1021/jp0665325.
- [17] Hosseini AM, Bakos V, Jobbágy A, Tardy GM, Mizsey P, Makó M, Tungler A. Cotreatment and utilization of liquid pharmaceutical wastes. Per. Pol. Chem. Eng. 2011;55:3-10. DOI: 10.3311/pp.ch.2011-1.01.

- [18] Hosseini AM, Tungler A, Bakos V. Wet oxidation of process wastewaters of fine chemical and pharmaceutical origin. Reac. Kinet. Mech. Cat. 2011;103:251-260. DOI: 10.1007/s11144-011-0315-2.
- [19] Hosseini AM, Tungler A, Horváth ZsE, Schay Z, Széles É. Catalytic wet oxidation of real process wastewaters. Per. Pol. Chem. Eng. 2011;55:49-57. DOI: 10.3311/pp.ch.
   2011-2.02.
- [20] Rivas FJ, Kolaczkowski ST, Beltran FJ, McLurgh DB. Hydrogen peroxide promoted wet air oxidation of phenol: influence of operating conditions and homogeneous metal catalysts. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 1999;74:390-398. DOI: 10.1002/(SI-CI)1097-4660(199905)74:5<390::AID-JCTB64>3.0.CO;2-G.
- [21] Vogel F, Harf J, Hug A, von Rohr PR. Promoted Oxidation of phenol in aqueous solution using molecular oxygen at mild conditions. Env. Prog. 1999;18:7-13. DOI: 10.1002/ep.670180114.
- [22] Devlin HR, Harris IJ. Mechanism of the oxidation of aqueous phenol with dissolved oxygen. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 1984;23:387-392. DOI: 10.1021/i100016a002.
- [23] Pintar A, Gorazol B, Besson M, Gallezot P. Catalytic wet-air oxidation of industrial effluents: total mineralization of organics and lumped kinetic modeling. Appl. Cat. B. Env. 2004;47:143-152. DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2003.08.005.
- [24] Suarez-Ojeda ME, Carrera J, Metcalfe IS, Font J. Wet Air oxidation (WAO) as a precursor to biological treatment of substituted phenols: Refractory Nature of the WAO intermediates. Chem. Eng. J. 2008;144:205-212. DOI:10.1016/j.cej.2008.01.022.
- [25] Barbier J Jr, Delanoë F, Jabouille F, Duprez D, Blanchard G, Isnard P. Total oxidation of acetic acid in aqueous solutions over noble metal catalysts. J. Catal. 1998;177:378-385. DOI: 10.1006/jcat.1998.2113.
- [26] Imamura S. Wet oxidation of a model domestic wastewater on a Ru/Mn/Ce composite catalyst, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1999;38:1743-1753. DOI: 10.1021/ie970538m.
- [27] Luck F. Wet air oxidation: past, present and future. Catal. Today. 1999;53:81-91. DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5861(99)00112-1.
- [28] Matatov-Meytal YI, Sheintuch M. Catalytic Abatement of Water Pollutants. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1998;37:309-326. DOI: 10.1021/ie9702439.
- [29] Fortuny A, Font J, Fabregat A. Wet air oxidation of phenol using active carbon as catalyst. Appl. Cat. B. 1998;19:165-173. DOI: 10.1016/S0926-3373(98)00072-1.
- [30] Pintar A, Batista J, Tisler T. Catalytic wet-air oxidation of aqueous solutions of formic acid, acetic acid and phenol in a continuous-flow trickle-bed reactor over Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Appl. Cat. B. Env. 2008;84:30-41. DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.03.001.

- [31] Mantzavinos D, Hellenbrand R, Livingston AG, Metcalfe IS. Catalytic wet air oxidation of polyethylene glycol. Appl. Cat. B. Env. 1996;11:99-119. DOI: 10.1016/ S0926-3373(96)00037-9.
- [32] Mikulová J, Rossignol S, Barbier J Jr, Mesnard D, Kappenstein C, Duprez D. Ruthenium and platinum catalysts supported on Ce, Zr, Pr-O mixed oxides prepared by soft chemistry for acetic acid wet air oxidation. Appl. Cat. B. Env. 2007;72:1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2006.10.002.
- [33] Wu Q, Hu X, Yue P. Kinetics study on catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol. Chem. Eng. Sci. 2003;58:923-928. DOI: 10.1016/S0009-2509(02)00628-0.
- [34] Fortuny A, Ferrer C, Bengoa C, Font J, Fabregat A. Catalytic removal of phenol from aqueous phase using oxygen or air as oxidant. 1995;24:79-83. Catal. Today. DOI: 10.1016/0920-5861(95)00002-W.
- [35] Doornkamp C, Ponec V. The universal character of the Mars and Van Krevelen mechanism. J. Mol. Catal. A. 2000;162:19-32. DOI: 10.1016/S1381-1169(00)00319-8.
- [36] Mars P, van Krevelen DW. Oxidations carried out by means of vanadium oxide catalysts. Spec. Suppl. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1954;8:41-59. DOI: 10.1016/S0009-2509(54)80005-4.
- [37] Chauzy J, Martin JC, Cretenot D, Rosiere JP. Wet air oxidation of municipal sludge: Return experience of the North Brussels Waste Water Treatment Plant. Water Practice & Technology. 2010;5;1. DOI:10.2166/wpt.2010.003.
- [38] Ishii T, Mitsui K, Sano K, Inoue A, Method for treatment of wastewater. US Patent No. 5145587. 1991.
- [39] Cooke BH, Taylor MR. The environmental benefit of coal gasification using the BGL gasifier. Fuel. 1993;72:305-314. DOI: 10.1016/0016-2361(93)90047-6.
- [40] Pintar A, Levec J. Catalytic liquid-phase oxidation of phenol aqueous solutions: A kinetic investigation. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1994;33:3070-3077. DOI: 10.1021/ ie00036a023.
- [41] Duprez D, Delanoë JF, Barbier J Jr, Isnard P, Blanchard G. Catalytic oxidation of organic compounds in aqueous media. Catal. Today. 1996;29:317-322. DOI: 10.1016/0920-5861(95)00298-7.
- [42] Gallezot P, Laurain N, Isnard P. Catalytic wet-air oxidation of carboxylic acids on carbon-supported platinum catalysts. Appl. Catal. B. Env. 1996;9:11-17. DOI: 10.1016/0926-3373(96)90070-3.
- [43] Gallezot P, Chaumet S, Perrard A, Isnard P. Catalytic wet air oxidation of acetic acid on carbon-supported ruthenium catalysts J. Catal. 1997;168:104-109. DOI:10.1006/jcat. 1997.1633.
- [44] Imamura S, Fukuda I, Ishida S. Wet oxidation catalyzed by ruthenium supported on cerium (IV) oxides. Ind. Eng. Res. 1988;27:718-721. DOI: 10.1021/ie00076a033.

- [45] Mikulová J, Rossignol S, Barbier J Jr, Duprez D, Kappenstein C. Characterizations of platinum catalysts supported on Ce, Zr, Pr-oxides and formation of carbonate species in catalytic wet air oxidation of acetic acid. Catal. Today. 2007;124:185-190. DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2007.03.036
- [46] Wang J, Zhu W, He X, Yang S. Catalytic wet air oxidation of acetic acid over different ruthenium catalysts. Catal. Commun. 2008;9:2163-2167. DOI: 10.1016/j.catcom. 2008.04.019.
- [47] Fortuny A, Miro C, Font J, Fabregat A. Water pollution abatement by catalytic wet air oxidation in a trickle bed reactor. Catal. Today, 1999;53:107-114. DOI: 10.1016/ S0920-5861(99)00106-6.
- [48] Akyurtlu JF, Kovenklioglu S, Akyurtlu A. Catalytic oxidation of phenol in aqueous solution. Catal. Today. 1998;40:343-352. DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5861(98)00063-7.
- [49] Pintar A, Levec J. Catalytic oxidation of aqueous p-chlorophenol and p-nitrophenol solutions. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1994;49:4391-4407. DOI: 10.1016/S0009-2509(05)80029-6.
- [50] Qin J, Zhang Q, Chuang KT. Catalytic wet oxidation of p-chlorophenol over supported noble metal catalysts. Appl. Catal. B. Env. 2001;29:115-123. DOI: 10.1016/ S0926-3373(00)00200-9.
- [51] Huang TL, Macinnes JM, Cliffe KR. Nitrogen removal from wastewater by a catalytic oxidation method. Water Res. 2001;35:2113-2120. DOI: 10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00492-9.
- [52] Pereira MFR, Orfao JJM, Figueiredo JL, Oxidative dehydrogenation of ethylbenzene on activated carbon catalysts: 2. Kinetic modeling. Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 2000;196:43-54. DOI: 10.1016/S0926-860X(99)00447-0.
- [53] Oliviero L, Wahyu H, Barbier J Jr, Duprez D, Ponton JW, Metcalfe IS, Mantzavinos D. Experimental and predictive approach for determining wet air oxidation reaction pathways in synthetic wastewaters. Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 2003;81:384-392. DOI: 10.1205/02638760360596937.
- [54] Akolekar DB, Bhargava SK, Shirgoankar I, Prasad J. Catalytic wet oxidation: An environmental solution for organic pollutant removal from paper and pulp industrial waste liquor. Appl. Catal. A. Gen. 2002;236:255-262. DOI: 10.1016/S0926-860X(02)00292-2.
- [55] Lee DK, Kim DS. Catalytic wet air oxidation of carboxylic acids at atmospheric pressure. Catal. Today. 2000;63:249-255, DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5861(00)00466-1.
- [56] Pintar A, Besson M, Gallezot P. Catalytic wet air oxidation of Kraft bleach plant effluents in a trickle-bed reactor over a Ru/TiO2 catalyst. Appl. Catal. B. Env. 2001;31:275-290. DOI: 10.1016/S0926-3373(00)00288-5.

- [57] Imamura S, Nakamura M, Kawabata N, Yoshida J, Ishida S. Wet oxidation of poly(ethylene glycol) catalyzed by manganese-cerium composite oxide, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1986;25:34-37. DOI: 10.1021/i300021a009.
- [58] Pirkanniemi K, Sillanpaa M. Heterogeneous water phase catalysis as an environmental application: a review. Chemosphere. 2002;48:1047-1060, DOI: 10.1016/ S0045-6535(02)00168-6.
- [59] Levec J, Pintar A. Catalytic wet-air oxidation processes: A review. Catal. Today. 2007;124:172-184. DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2007.03.035.
- [60] Singh B, Madhusudhanau S, Bubey V, Nath R, Rao NBSN. Active carbon for removal of toxic chemicals from contaminated water. Carbon. 1996;34:327-330. DOI: 10.1016/0008-6223(95)00179-4.
- [61] Hu X, Lei L, Chu HP, Po LY. Copper/Activated carbon as catalyst for organic wastewater treatment. Carbon. 1999;37:631-637, DOI: 10.1016/S0008-6223(98)00235-8.
- [62] Coughlin RW. Carbon as adsorbent and catalyst. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1969;8:12-23. DOI: 10.1021/i360029a003.
- [63] Aksoylu AE, Madalena M, Freitas A, Pereira MFR, Figueiredo JL. The effects of different activated carbon supports and support modifications on the properties of Pt/AC catalysts. Carbon. 2001;39:175-185. DOI: 10.1016/S0008-6223(00)00102-0.
- [64] Birbara PJ, Genovese JE. US Patent No 5 362 405 (1995).
- [65] Tukac V, Hanika J. Catalytic wet oxidation of substituted phenols in the trickle bed reactor, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 1998;71:262-266. DOI: 10.1002/(SI-CI)1097-4660(199803)71:3<262::AID-JCTB855>3.0.CO;2-R.
- [66] Tukac V, Vokal J, Hanika J. Mass transfer-limited wet oxidation of phenol. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2001;76:506-510. DOI: 10.1002/jctb.402.
- [67] Fortuny A, Font J, Fabregat A. Wet air oxidation of phenol using active carbon as catalyst. Appl. Catal. B. Env. 1998;19:165-173. DOI: 10.1016/S0926-3373(98)00072-1.
- [68] Quesada PI, Julcour-Lebigue C, Jauregui-Haza UJ, Wilhelm AM, Delmas H. Degradation of paracetamol by catalytic wet air oxidation and sequential adsorption - Catalytic wet air oxidation on activated carbons. J. Haz. Mat. 2012;221-222:131-138. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.021.
- [69] Suarez-Ojeda EM, Guisalsola A, Baeza JA, Fabregat A, Stuber F, Fortuny A, Carrera J. Integrated catalytic wet air oxidation and aerobic biological treatment in a municipal WWTP of high strength o-cresol waste water. Chemosphere. 2007;66:2096-2105. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.09.035.
- [70] Imamura S. Catalytic and noncatalytic wet oxidation. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1999;38:1743-1753. DOI: 10.1021/ie9805761

- [71] Lei YJ, Zhang SD, He JC, Wu JC, Yang Y. Ruthenium catalyst for treatment of water containing concentrated organic waste. Platinum Met. Rev. 2005;49:91-97. DOI: 10.1595/147106705x45640.
- [72] Yang SX, Feng YJ, Wan FJ, Lin QY, Zhu WP, Jiang ZP. Pretreatment of apramycin wastewater by catalytic wet air oxidation. J. Env. Sci. 2005;17:623-626. DOI: 1001-0742(2005)04-0623-04.
- [73] Heponiemi A, Rahikka L, Lassi U, Kuokkanen T. Catalytic oxidation of industrial wastewaters a comparison study using different analyzing methods. In: Chemical Engineering Transactions, 9th International Conference on Chemical and Process Engineering; 10-13 May 2009; Rome, Italy.
- [74] Bhargava SK, Tardio J, Prasad J, Föger K, Akolekar DB, Grocott SC. Wet oxidation and catalytic wet oxidation. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2006;45:1221-1258. DOI: 10.1021/ ie051059n.
- [75] Cybulski A. Catalytic wet air oxidation: are monolithic catalysts and reactors feasible? Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2007;46:4007-4033. DOI: 10.1021/ie060906z.
- [76] Hua L. Degradation process analysis of the azo dyes by catalytic wet air oxidation with catalyst CuO/γ-Al2O3. Chemosphere. 2013;90:143-149. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.06.018.
- [77] Sadana A, Katzer JR. Catalytic oxidation of phenol in aqueous solution over copper oxide. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 1974;13:127-134. DOI: 10.1021/i160050a007.
- [78] Kim S, Shah YT, Cerro RL, Abraham MA. Aqueous phase oxidation of phenol in a monolithic reactor. In: Proceedings of AIChE Annual Meeting; Miami Beach, Florida, 1992.
- [79] Mishra VS, Joshi JB, Mahajani VV, Kinetics of p-cresol destruction via wet air oxidation. Indian Chemical Engineer 1993;35;211.
- [80] Smith KJ, Sanford EC, editors. Sanger AR, Lee TTK, Chuang KT. Cu/Al2O3, silica, Fe/ silica for clorophenol treatment. Progress in Catalysis. Elsevier, 1992; 197.
- [81] Pintar A, Levec J. Catalytic liquid-phase oxidation of refractory organics in waste water. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1992;47:2395-2400. DOI: 10.1016/0009-2509(92)87066-Y.
- [82] Box E. O., Farha F. Polluted water purification. U.S. Patent No. 3823088. 1974.
- [83] Levec J, Herskowitz M, Smith JM. An active catalyst for the oxidation of acetic acid solutions. AlChe. J. 1976;22:919-920. DOI: 10.1002/aic.690220516.
- [84] Moses DV, Smith EA. A process for the disposal of industrial wastes of an organic nature by contact with a solid catalyst of manganese-zinc-chromium at a temperature of 100 U.S. Patent No. 2690425. 1954.

- [85] Schmidt FK, Kochetkova RP, Babikov AF, Shiverskaia IP, Shpilevskaia LI, Eppel CA. In: Proceedings of the 8th French-Soviet Meeting on Catalysis; June 1990; Novossibirsk, Russia. p.140.
- [86] Ito MM, Akita K, Inoue H. Wet oxidation of oxygen and nitrogen containing compounds catalyzed by cobalt (III) oxide. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1989;28:894-899. DOI: 10.1021/ie00091a003.
- [87] Imamura S, Hirano A, Kawabata N. Wet oxidation of acetic acid catalyzed by Co-Bi complex oxides, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1982;21:570-575. DOI: 10.1021/ i300008a011.
- [88] Imamura S, Doi A, Ishida S. Wet oxidation of ammonia catalyzed by cerium based composite oxides. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1985;24:75-80. DOI: 10.1021/ i300017a014.
- [89] Imamura S, Fukuda I, Ishida S. Wet oxidation catalyzed by ruthenium supported on cerium (IV) oxides. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1988;27:718-721. DOI: 10.1021/ie00076a033.
- [90] Takahasi Y, Takeda N, Aoyagi A, Tanaka K. Catalytic wet-oxidation of human waste produced in a space habitat: purification of the oxidized liquor for human drinking. In: Proceedings of 4th European Symposium on Space Environmental Control Systems; 21-24 October 1991; Florence, Italy; p. 643-648.
- [91] Harada Y, Yamasaki K. Treatment of wastewater and sludge by a catalytic wet oxidation process. In: Proceedings of IDA/WRPC World Conference on Desalination Water Treatment; 3-6 November 1993; Yokohama, Japan; p. 231-242..
- [92] Hamoudi S, Larachi F, Sayari A. Wet oxidation of phenolic solutions over heterogeneous catalysts: degradation profile and catalyst behavior. J. Catal. 1998;177;247-258 DOI: 10.1006/jcat.1998.2125.
- [93] Vaidya PD, Mahajani VV. Insight into heterogeneous catalytic wet oxidation of phenol over a Ru/TiO2 catalyst. Chem. Eng. Journ. 2002;87;403-416 DOI: 10.1016/ S1385-8947(02)00020-7.
- [94] Cybulski A, Trawczynski J. Catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol over platinum and ruthenium catalysts. Appl. Cat. B. Env. 2004;47;1-13 DOI: 10.1016/ S0926-3373(03)00327-8.
- [95] Wang J, Zhu W, Yang S, Wang W, Zhou Y. Catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol with pelletized ruthenium catalysts. Appl. Cat. B. Env. 2008;78;30-37 DOI: 10.1016/ j.apcatb.2007.08.014.
- [96] Keav S, Martin A, Barbier Jr. J, Duprez D. Deactivation and reactivation of noble metal catalysts tested in the catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol. Catal. Today. 2010;151;143-147 DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2010.01.025.

- [97] Yu C, Zhao P, Chen G, Hu B. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported Ru catalysts prepared by thermolysis of Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> for catalytic wet air oxidation. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2011;257;7727-7731 DOI: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2011.04.017.
- [98] Yang S, Cui Y, Sun Y, Yang H. Graphene oxide as an effective catalyst for wet air oxidation of phenol. J. Haz. Mat. 2014;280;55-62 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.07.051.
- [99] Lafaye G, Barbier Jr. J, Duprez D. Impact of cerium-based support oxides in catalytic wet air oxidation:Conflicting role of redox and acid-base properties. Catal. Today. 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2015.01.037.
- [100] Monteros AE dl, Lafaye G, Cervantes A, Del Angel G, Barbier Jr. J. Catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol over metal catalyst (Ru,Pt)supported on TiO<sub>2</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> oxides. Catal. Today. 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2015.01.009.
- [101] Hashimoto S, Miyata T, Washino M, Kawakami W. Decoloration and degradation of an anthraquinone dye aqueous solution in flow system using an electron beam accelerator. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 1979;13:107-113. DOI: 10.1016/0146-5724(79)90057-8.
- [102] Hashimoto S, Miyata T, Suzuki N, Kawakami W. A liquid chromatographic, study on the radiolysis of phenol in aqueous solution. Environ. Sci.Technol. 1979;13:71-75. DOI: 10.1021/es60149a008.
- [103] Hashimoto S, Miyata T, Kawakami W. Radiation-induced decomposition of phenol in flow system. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 1980;16:59-65. DOI: 10.1016/0146-5724(80)90114-4.
- [104] Sato K, Takimoto K, Tsuda S. Degradation of aqueous phenol solution by gamma irradiation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1978;12:1043-1046. DOI: 10.1021/es60145a016.
- [105] Bonin, J., Janik, I., Janik, D., Bartels, D.M., Reaction of hydroxyl radical with phenol in water up to supercritical conditions. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007;111:1869-1878. DOI: 10.1021/jp0665325.
- [106] von Sonntag C, Schuchmann HP. The chemistry behind the application of ionizing radiation in water-pollution abatement. Stud. Phys. Theor. Chem. 2001;87:657-670. DOI: 10.1016/S0167-6881(01)80025-2.
- [107] von Sonntag C. Free-radical-induced DNA damage and its repair: A chemical perspective. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2006. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-30592-0.
- [108] Kozmér Zs, Arany E, Alapi T, Takács E, Wojnárovits L, Dombi A. Determination of the rate constant of hydroperoxyl radical reaction with phenol. Rad. Phys. Chem. 2014;102:135-138. DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2014.04.029.
- [109] Homlok R, Takács E, Wojnárovits L. Ionizing radiation induced reactions of 2,6-dichloroaniline in dilute aqueous solution. Rad. Phys. Chem. 2012;81:1499-1502. DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2011.11.017.

- [110] Wojnárovits L, Takács E. Irradiation treatment of azo dye containing wastewater: An overview. Rad. Phys. Chem. 2008;75:225-244. DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem. 2007.05.003.
- [111] Illés E, Takács E, Dombi A, Gajda-Schrantz K, Gonter K, Wojnárovits L. Radiation induced degradation of ketoprofen in dilute aqueous solution. Rad. Phys. Chem. 2012;81:1479-1483. DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2011.11.038.
- [112] Chamam M, Földváry MCs, Hosseini AM, Tungler A, Takács E, Wojnárovits L. Mineralization of aqueous phenolate solutions: A combination of irradiation treatment and wet oxidation. Rad. Phys. Chem. 2012;81;1484-1488. DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem. 2011.11.013.
- [113] Tang W, Zeng X, Zhao J, Gu G, Li Y, Ni Y. The study on the wet air oxidation of highly concentrated emulsified wastewater and its kinetics. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2003;31:77-82. DOI: 10.1016/S1383-5866(02)00161-2.

