What's Wife Swap got to do with it? Talking politics in the net-based public sphere

Graham, T.S.

Publication date
2009

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Graham, T. S. (2009). *What's Wife Swap got to do with it? Talking politics in the net-based public sphere.*

Agre, P. E. (2002). Real-time politics: The internet and the political process. *The Information Society, 18*(5), 311-331.

Albrecht, S. (2006). Whose voice is heard in online deliberation? A study of participation and representation in political debates on the internet. *Information, Communication & Society, 9*(1), 62-82.

Barber, B. R. (1984). *Strong democracy: Participatory politics for a new age.* Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Barber, B. R. (1998). *A passion for democracy: American essays.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Barnes, M. (2005). The same old process? Older people, participation and deliberation. *Ageing & Society, 25*(2), 245-259.

Barnes, M., Knops, A., Newman, J., & Sullivan, H. (2004). The micro-politics of deliberation: Case studies in public participation. *Contemporary Politics, 10*(2), 93-110.

Beck, U. (1994). The reinvention of politics: Towards a theory of reflexive modernization. In U. Beck, A. Giddens & S. Lash (Eds.), *Reflexive modernization* (pp. 1-55). Cambridge: Polity Press.

Basu, S. (1999). Dialogic ethics and the virtue of humor. *The Journal of Political Philosophy, 7*(4), 378-403.

Baumgartner, J. C. (2007). Humor on the next frontier: Youth, online political humor, and the JibJab effect. *Social Science Computer Review, 25*(3), 319-338.

Beierle, T. C. (2004). Engaging the public through online policy dialogues. In P. Shane (Ed.), *Democracy online: The prospects for democratic renewal through the internet* (pp. 155-166). New York: Taylor & Francis.

Benhabib, S. (1996). Toward a deliberative model of democratic legitimacy. In S. Benhabib (Ed.), *Democracy and difference: Contesting the boundaries of the political* (pp. 67-94). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Bennett, S. E., Flickinger, R. S., & Rhine, S. L. (2000). Political talk over here, over there, over time. *British Journal of Political Science, 30*(1), 99-119.

Bennett, W. L. (1998). The uncivic culture: Communication, identity, and the rise of lifestyle politics. *P.S: Political Science and Politics, 31*(December), 41-61.

Bibliography

Bennett, W. L. (2004). Communicating global activism: Strengths and vulnerabilities of network politics. In W. B. H. J. v. d. Donk & B. D. Loader (Eds.), *Cyberprotest: New media, citizens, and social movements* (pp. 123-146). New York: Routledge.

Bohman, J. (1996). *Public deliberation: Pluralism, complexity, and democracy.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bohman, J. (2004). Expanding dialogue: The internet, public sphere, and transnational democracy. In P. Shane (Ed.), *Democracy online: The prospects for democratic renewal through the internet* (pp. 47-61). New York: Taylor & Francis.

Brants, K. (2002). Politics is e-verywhere. *Communications: The European Journal of Communication Research, 27* (2), 171-188.

Calhoun, C. (Ed.). (1992). *Habermas and the public sphere.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chambers, S. (2003). Deliberative democratic theory. *Annual Review of Political Science, 6*, 307-326.

Cohen, J. (1997a). Deliberation and democratic legitimacy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 67-92). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Cohen, J. (1997b). Procedure and substance in deliberative democracy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 407-437). Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Coleman, S. (2003). *A tale of two houses: The House of Commons, the Big Brother house and the people at home.* London: Hansard Society.

Coleman, S. (2004). Connecting parliament to the public via the internet. *Information, Communication & Society, 7*(1), 1-22.

Coleman, S. (2006). How the other half votes: Big Brother viewers and the 2005 general election. *International Journal of Cultural Studies, 9*(4), 457-479.

Coleman, S. (2007a). E-Democracy: The history and future of an idea. In R. Mansell, C. Avgerou, D. Quah & R. Silverstone (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of information and communication technologies.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Coleman, S. (2007b). From big brother to Big Brother: Two faces of interactive engagement. In P. Dahlgren (Ed.), *Young citizens and new media: Learning for democratic participation* (pp. 21-39). New York: Routledge.

Coleman, S. (2007c). How democracies have disengaged from young people. In B. Loader (Ed.), *Young citizens in the digital age: Political engagement, young people and new media* (pp. 166-185). New York: Routledge.

Conover, P. J., & Searing, D. D. (2005). Studying 'everyday political talk' in the deliberative system. *Acta Politica, 40*, 269-283.

Dahl, R. A. (1967). The evolution of political systems. In I. d. S. Pool (Ed.), *Contemporary political science: Toward empirical theory.* New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

Dahlberg, L. (2001a). Computer-mediated communication and the public sphere: A critical analysis. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 7*(1). http://www.ascusc.org/jcmc/vol7/issue1/dahlberg.html

Bibliography

Dahlberg, L. (2001b). Extending the public sphere through cyberspace: The case of Minnesota E-democracy. *First Monday: Peer-Reviewed Journal on the Internet, 6*(3).

Dahlberg, L. (2001c). Democracy via cyberspace: Mapping the rhetorics and practices of three prominent camps. *New Media & Society, 3*(2), 157-177.

Dahlberg, L. (2004a). The Habermasian public sphere: A specification of the idealized conditions of democratic communication. *Studies in Social and Political Thought, 10*, 2-18.

Dahlberg, L. (2004b). Net-public sphere research: Beyond the "first phase". *Javnost - The Public, 11*(1), 5-22.

Dahlberg, L. (2007). Rethinking the fragmentation of the cyberpublic: From consensus to contestation. *New Media & Society, 9*(5), 827-847.

Dahlgren, P. (2001). The public sphere and the net: Structure, space, and communication. In W. L. Bennett & R. M. Entman (Eds.), *Mediated politics: Communication in the future of democracy* (pp. 33-55). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dahlgren, P. (2005). The internet, public spheres, and political communication: Dispersion and deliberation *Political Communication, 22*(2), 147-162.

Darr, C., & Barko, J. (2004). *Under the radar and over the top: Independently-produced political videos in the 2004 presidential election.* Washington, DC: Institute for Politics, Democracy & the Internet.

Davis, R. (1999). *The web of politics: The internet's impact on the American political system.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

De Nooy, W., Mrvar, A., & Batagelj, V. (2005). *Exploratory social network analysis with Pajek* (Vol. 27). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (1990). *Discursive democracy: Politics, policy and political science.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (1995). Critical theory as a research program. In S. K. White (Ed.), *The Cambridge companion to Habermas* (pp. 97-119). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (2000). *Deliberative democracy and beyond: Liberals, critics, contestations.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Eley, G. (1992). Nations, publics, and political cultures: Placing Habermas in the nineteenth century. In C. Calhoun (Ed.), *Habermas and the public sphere* (pp. 289-339). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Eliasoph, N. (1996). Making a fragile public: A talk-centered study of citizenship and power. *Sociological Theory, 14*(3), 262-289.

Eliasoph, N. (2000). Where can Americans talk politics: Civil society, intimacy, and the case for deep citizenship. *Communication Review, 4*(1), 65-95.

Elster, J. (Ed.). (1998). *Deliberative democracy.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Fishkin, J. S. (1991). *Democracy and deliberation: New direction for democratic reform.* New Haven: Yale University Press.

Fleming, M. (1995). Women and the "public use of reason". In J. Meehan (Ed.), *Feminists read Habermas* (pp. 117-137). London: Routledge.

Bibliography

Foot, K. A., & Schneider, S. M. (2002). Online action in Campaign 2000: An exploratory analysis of the U.S. political web sphere. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 46*(2), 222-244.

Fraser, N. (1992). Rethinking the public sphere: A contribution to the critique of actually existing democracy. In C. Calhoun (Ed.), *Habermas and the public sphere* (pp. 109-142). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Giddens, A. (1991). *Modernity and self identity: Self and society in the late modern age.* Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Gimmler, A. (2001). Deliberative democracy, the public sphere and the internet. *Philosophy & Social Criticism, 27*(4), 21-39.

Graham, T. (2002). *Deliberating in online forums: New hope for the public sphere?* Unpublished Master Thesis, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam.

Graham, T. (2004a). *Deliberating online: Constructing a new method for examining the democratic value of online discourse.* Paper presented at the Oxford Internet Institute Summer Doctoral Program.

Graham, T. (2004b). *Online deliberation and the public sphere.* Paper presented at the ECREA European Media and Communication Doctoral Summer

Graham, T. (2008). Needles in a haystack: A new approach for identifying and assessing political talk in nonpolitical discussion forums. *Javnost - The Public, 15*(2), 17-36.

Graham, T., & Witschge, T. (2003). In search of online deliberation: Towards a new method for examining the quality of online discussions. *Communications: The European Journal of Communication Research, 28*(2), 173-204.

Gutmann, A., & Thompson, D. (1996). *Democracy and disagreement* (3 ed.). Cambridge, MA: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Habermas, J. (1984). *The theory of communicative action. Vol. 1, Reason and the rationalization of society* (T. McCarthy, Trans.). Boston: Beacon Press.

Habermas, J. (1987). *The theory of communicative action. Vol. 2, Lifeworld and system: A critique of functionalist reason* (T. McCarthy, Trans.). Boston: Beacon Press.

Habermas, J. (1989). *The structural transformation of the public sphere: An inquiry into a category of bourgeois society.* Cambridge: Polity Press.

Habermas, J. (1990). *Moral consciousness and communicative action.* Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Habermas, J. (1992a). Concluding remarks. In C. Calhoun (Ed.), *Habermas and the public sphere* (pp. 462-479). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Habermas, J. (1992b). Further reflections on the public sphere. In C. Calhoun (Ed.), *Habermas and the public sphere* (pp. 421-461). Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.

Habermas, J. (1996). *Between facts and norms: Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy.* Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Habermas, J. (2001). From Kant's "Ideas" of pure reason to the "Idealizing" presuppositions of communicative action: Reflections on the detranscendentalized "Use of Reason". In W. Rheg & J. Bohman (Eds.), *Pluralism and the pragmatic turn: The transformation of critical theory* (pp. 11-39). Minnesota: MIT Press.

Bibliography

Habermas, J. (2005). Concluding comments on empirical approaches to deliberative politics. *Acta Politica, 40*, 384-392.

Hagemann, C. (2002). Participation in and contents of two political discussion lists on the internet. *Javnost - The Public, 9*(2), 61-76.

Heim, M. (1994). *The metaphysics of virtual reality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Herring, S., C. (1996). Gender and democracy in computer-mediated communication. In R. Kling (Ed.), *Computerization and controversy: Value conflicts and social choices*. New York: Academic Press.

Herring, S., C. (2001). *Ethical challenges to doing research on the internet: The CMDA perspective*. Paper presented at the Association of Internet Researchers.

Herring, S., C. (2003). Gender and power in on-line communication. In J. Holmes & M. Meyerhoff (Eds.), *The handbook of language and gender* (pp. 202-228). London: Blackwell Publishing.

Hibbing, J. R., & Theiss-Morse, E. (2002). *Stealth democracy: Americans' beliefs about how government should work*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hill, K. A., & Hughes, J. E. (1998). *Cyberpolitics: Citizen activism in the age of internet*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Inglehart, R. (1997). *Modernization and post-modernization: Cultural, economic and political change in 43 societies*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Jankowski, N. W., & Van Os, R. (2004). Internet-based political discourse: A case study of electronic democracy in Hoogeveen. In P. Shane (Ed.), *Democracy online: The prospects for democratic renewal through the internet* (pp. 181-194). New York: Taylor & Francis.

Jankowski, N. W., & Van Selm, M. (2000). The promise and practice of public debate in cyberspace. In K. L. Hacker & J. v. Dijk (Eds.), *Digital democracy; Issues of theory and practice* (pp. 149-165). London: SAGE Publications.

Jankowski, N. W., & Van Selm, M. (2007). Research ethics in a virtual world. Guidelines and illustrations. In N. Carpentier, P. Pruulmann-Vengerfeldt, K. Nordenstreng, M. Hartmann, B. Cammaerts, & H. Nieminen (Eds.), *Media technologies and democracy in an enlarged Europe* (pp. 275-284). Tartu, Estonia: Tartu University Press.

Janssen, D., & Kies, R. (2005). Online forums and deliberative democracy. *Acta Politica, 40*(3), 317-335.

Jensen, J. L. (2003). Public spheres on the internet: Anarchic or government-sponsored - A comparison. *Scandinavian Political Studies, 26*(4), 349-374.

Katz, J. (1997). Birth of a digital nation. *Wired, 5*(4).

Kim, J., Wyatt, R. O., & Katz, E. (1999). News, talk, opinion, participation: The part played by conversation in deliberative democracy. *Political Communication, 16*(4), 361-385.

Kolb, D. (1996). Discourses across links. In C. Ess (Ed.), *Philosophical perspectives on computer-mediated communication* (pp. 15-27). Albany: State University of New York.

Koller, M. R. (1988). *Humor and society: Explorations in the sociology of humor* Houston: Cap and Gown Press.

Kuhn, D. (1991). *The skills of argument*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Manin, B. (1987). On legitimacy and political deliberation. *Political Theory, 15*(3), 338-368.

Mansbridge, J. (1999). Everyday talk in the deliberative system. In S. Macedo (Ed.), *Deliberative politics: Essays on democracy and disagreement* (pp. 211-239). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Mayring, P. (2000). Qualitative content analysis. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research, 1*(2).

Mendelberg, T. (2001). The deliberative citizen: Theory and evidence. In M. X. D. Carpini, L. Huddy & R. Y. Shapiro (Eds.), *Political decision making, deliberation and participation: research in micropolitics* (Vol. 6, pp. 151-193). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

McGregor, C. (2003, October 17-19). *Care (full) deliberation: Deliberation theory and citizenship education.* Paper presented at the Lifelong Citizenship Learning, Participatory Democracy & Social Change Conference, Transformative Learning Centre, Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, University of Toronto.

Muhlberger, P. (2000). *Defining and measuring deliberative participation and potential: A theoretical analysis and operationalization.* Paper presented at the International Society of Political Psychology Twenty-Third Annual Scientific Meeting, Seattle, Washington.

Muhlberger, P. (2004). Access, skill, and motivation in online political discussion: Testing cyberrealism. In P. Shane (Ed.), *Democracy online: The prospects for democratic renewal through the internet* (pp. 225-237). New York: Taylor & Francis.

Muhlberger, P. (2007). *Strategic and communicative rationality in a deliberative field experiment.* Paper presented at the Midwest Political Science Association Annual National Conference, Chicago.

Neblo, M. A. (2005). Thinking through democracy: Between the theory and practice of deliberative politics *Acta Politica, 40*(2), 169-181.

Neuendorf. (2002). *The content analysis guidebook.* London: Sage Publications.

Nofsinger, R. E. (1991). *Everyday conversation.* London: Sage.

Ó Baoill, A. (2000). Slashdot and the public sphere. *First Monday: Peer-Reviewed Journal on the Internet, 5*(9).

O'Neill, J. (2002). The rhetoric of deliberation: Some problems in Kantian theories of deliberative democracy. *Res Publica, 8,* 249-268.

Papacharissi, Z. (2002). The virtual sphere: The internet as a public sphere. *New Media & Society, 4*(1), 9-27.

Papacharissi, Z. (2004). Democracy online: Civility, politeness, and the democratic potential of online political discussion groups. *New Media & Society, 6*(2), 259-283.

Poster, M. (2001). *What's the matter with the internet?* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Postman, N. (1985). *Amusing ourselves to death.* London: Methuen.

Bibliography

Preece, J. (1999). Empathy online. *Virtual Reality, 4*, 1-11.

Preece, J. (2000). *Online communities: Designing usability, supporting sociability.* Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.

Rafaeli, S., & Sudweeks, F. (1997). Networked interactivity. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 2*(4).

Reinard, J. C. (2006). *Communication research statistics.* London: SAGE.

Rosenberg, S. W. (2004, January 15). Reconstructing the concept of democratic deliberation. Retrieved July 2, 2004, from http://repositories.cdlib.org/csd/04-02

Sanders, L. M. (1997). Against deliberation. *Political Theory, 25*(3), 347-376.

Saward, M. (2001). Making democratic connections: Political equality, deliberation and direct democracy. *Acta Politica, 36*(4), 361-379.

Schneider, S. M. (1997). *Expanding the public sphere through computer-mediated communication: Political discussion about abortion in a Usenet newsgroup.* Unpublished Doctoral, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Schultz, T. (2000). Mass media and the concept of interactivity: An exploratory study of online forums and reader email. *Media, Culture & Society, 22*(2), 205-221.

Shaver, P., Schwartz, J., Kirson, D., & O'Connor, C. (2001). Emotion knowledge: Further exploration of a prototype approach In G. W. Parrott (Ed.), *Emotions in social psychology: Essential readings* (pp. 26-56). Philadelphia: Psychology Press.

Shibles, W. (1997). *Humor reference guide: A comprehensive classification and analysis.* Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.

Shifman, L., Coleman, S., & Ward, S. (2007). Only joking? Online humour in the 2005 UK general election. *Information, Communication & Society, 10*(4), 465-487.

Sparks, C. (1998). Is there a global public sphere? In D. K. Thussu (Ed.), *Electronic empires: Global media and local resistance* (pp. 108-124). London: Arnold.

Sparks, C. (2001). The internet and the global public sphere. In W. L. Bennett & R. M. Entman (Eds.), *Mediated politics: Communication in the future of democracy* (pp. 75-95). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Squires, J. (1998). In different voices: Deliberative democracy and aestheticist politics. In J. Good & I. Velody (Eds.), *The politics of postmodernity* (pp. 126-146). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Stanley, W., Weare, C., & Musso, J. (2004). Participation, deliberative democracy, and the internet: lessons from a national forum on commercial vehicle safety. In P. Shane (Ed.), *Democracy online: The prospects for democratic renewal through the internet* (pp. 167-180). New York: Taylor & Francis.

Strandberg, K. (2008). Public deliberation goes on-line? An analysis of citizens' political discussions on the internet prior to the Finnish parliamentary elections in 2007. *Javnost - The Public, 15*(1), 71-90.

Streck, J. M. (1998). Pulling the plug on electronic town meetings: Participatory democracy and the reality of the Usenet. In C. Toulouse & T. W. Luke (Eds.), *The politics of cyberspace: A new political science reader* (pp. 18-48). New York: Routledge.

Bibliography

Stromer-Galley, J. (2002). New voices in the political sphere: A comparative analysis of interpersonal and online political talk. *Javnost - The Public, 9*(9), 23-42.

Stromer-Galley, J. (2003). Diversity of political conversation on the internet: users' perspectives. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 8*(3).

Stromer-Galley, J. (2007). Assessing deliberative quality: A coding scheme. *Journal of Public Deliberation, 3*(1), 1-35.

Sunstein, C. R. (2002). *Republic.com* (3 ed.). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Tanner, E. (2001). Chilean conversations: Internet forum participation debate Augusto Pinochet's detention. *Journal of Communication, 51*(2), 383-403.

Tsaliki, L. (2002). Online forums and the enlargement of public space: Research findings from a European project. *Javnost - The Public, 9*(2), 95-112.

Van Selm, M., Jankowski, N. W., & Tsaliki, L. (2002). Political parties online: Digital democracy as reflected in three Dutch political party web sites. *Communications: The European Journal of Communication Research, 27*(2), 189-209.

Van Zoonen, L. (2005). *Entertaining the citizen: When politics and popular culture converge.* Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Van Zoonen, L. (2007). Audience reactions to Hollywood politics. *Media, Culture & Society, 29*(4), 531-547

Van Zoonen, L., Muller, F., Alinejad, D., Dekker, M., Duits, L., Van Romondt Vis, P., et al. (2007). Dr. Phil meets the candidates: How family life and personal experience produce political discussions. *Critical Studies in Media Communication, 24*(4), 322-338.

Warnke, G. (1995). Discourse ethics and feminist dilemmas of difference. In J. Meehan (Ed.), *Feminists read Habermas: Gendering the subject of discourse* (pp. 247-261). London: Routledge.

Wilhelm, A. G. (1999). Virtual sounding boards: How deliberative is online political discussion? In B. N. Hague & B. D. Loader (Eds.), *Digital democracy* (pp. 154-178). New York: Routledge.

Winkler, R. (2002). Deliberation on the internet: Talkboard discussions on the UK Parliamentarian Elections 2001. *Medien Journal, 4*, 1-20.

Winkler, R. (2005). *Europeans have a say: Online debates and consultations in the EU.* Vienna: The Austrian Federal Ministry for Education.

Witschge, T. (2004). Online deliberation: Possibilities of the internet for deliberative democracy. In P. Shane (Ed.), *Democracy online: The prospects for democratic renewal through the internet* (pp. 109-122). New York: Routledge.

Wright, S. (2006). Government-run online discussion fora: Moderation, censorship and the shadow of control. *British Journal of Politics & International Relations, 8*(4), 550-568.

Wright, S. (2007). A virtual European public sphere? The Futurum discussion forum. *Journal of European Public Policy, 14*(8), 1167-1185.

Wright, S., & Street, J. (2007). Democracy, deliberation and design: The case of online discussion forums. *New Media & Society, 9*(5), 849-869.

Bibliography

Wyatt, R. O., Katz, E., & Kim, J. (2000). Bridging the spheres: Political and personal conversation in public and private spaces. *Journal of Communication, 50*(1), 71-92.

Young, I. M. (1996). Communication and the other: Beyond deliberative democracy. In S. Benhabib (Ed.), *Democracy and difference* (pp. 120-137). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Young, I. M. (1997). Difference as a resource for democratic communication. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Essays on reason and politics: Deliberative democracy* (pp. 383-406). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Young, I. M. (2000). *Inclusion and democracy.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Zhang, W. (2005). Are online discussions deliberate? A case study of a Chinese online discussion board. In P. Masip & J. Rom (Eds.), *Digital utopia in the media: From discourses to facts. A balance.* Barcelona: Blanquerna Tecnologia i Serveis.