

**Eastern Illinois University**

---

**From the Selected Works of Zhiwei Liu**

---

June 29, 2018

## When the cure kills—CBD limits biodiversity research

Zhiwei Liu

Kaniyarikkal Divakaran Prathapan

Rohan Pethiyagoda, *Australian Museum*

Kamaljit S Bawa

Peter H. Raven, et al.



Available at: [https://works.bepress.com/zhiwei\\_liu/13/](https://works.bepress.com/zhiwei_liu/13/)



Taxonomy of many species of *Rhododendron* from the Himalaya (four are seen flowering) is uncertain.

prohibiting the destruction of fauna and flora. Given the magnitude of the threats, effective conservation also demands the scientific understanding of species, the anthropogenic and other disturbances they face, and the development of scientific conservation interventions. None of this is possible unless scientists have access to the resources they seek to study, and ability to share resources and expertise with other countries. No one country will ever have the expertise to identify all the plants, animals, fungi, and protists that it contains.

## BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION

# When the cure kills—CBD limits biodiversity research

National laws fearing biopiracy squelch taxonomy studies

By **K. Divakaran Prathapan<sup>1</sup>, Rohan Pethiyagoda<sup>2</sup>, Kamaljit S. Bawa<sup>3</sup>, Peter H. Raven<sup>4</sup>, Priyadarsanan Dharma Rajan,<sup>5</sup> and 172 co-signatories from 35 countries\***

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) commits its 196 nation parties to conserve biological diversity, use its components sustainably, and share fairly and equitably the benefits from the utilization of genetic resources. The last of these objectives was further codified in the Convention's Nagoya Protocol (NP), which came into effect in 2014. Although these aspirations are laudable, the NP and resulting national ambitions on Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS) of genetic resources have generated several national regulatory regimes fraught with unintended consequences (1). Anticipated benefits from the commercial use of genetic resources, especially those that might flow to local or indigenous communities because of regulated access to those resources, have largely been exaggerated and not yet realized. Instead, national regulations created in anticipation of commercial benefits, particularly in many countries that are rich in biodiversity, have curtailed biodiversity research by in-country scientists as well as international collaboration (1). This weakens the first and foremost

objective of the CBD—conservation of biological diversity. We suggest ways that the Conference of the Parties (CoP) of the CBD may proactively engage scientists to create a regulatory environment conducive to advancing biodiversity science.

The opportunity to ever know about many of the kinds of organisms with which we share this world is rapidly slipping through our fingers. Of the estimated 12 million species of eukaryotes on Earth, fewer than 2 million have been named. Current estimates are that 20% of the species on Earth are in danger of extinction, driven primarily by a range of human activities. Although biological resources had long been treated as a common heritage of humankind, the CBD reinforced the notion of sovereign rights of nation states over biological resources within their political boundaries and entrusted the nation parties to take measures to share benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources. In most countries, particularly developing countries, the agendas of numerous pressure groups, many of them well-intended but not prioritizing science, get mixed up during the legislative process, while conservation biologists and taxonomists, a vanishingly small constituency, hold little leverage. Thus, the resulting national legislations vary greatly, from being extremely prohibitive of research, to a very few that are relatively enabling, such as Costa Rica and South Africa. The problem is particularly acute where there is a poor policy-science interface resulting from weak scientific institutions.

In many developing nations, conservation approaches may be largely reduced to mere protectionism—preventing deforestation and

## COMMERCIAL VALUE: HYPE VERSUS REALITY

The CBD inspired many biodiversity-rich nations to entertain unrealistic expectations regarding the commercial value of their native species. It is true that important medicines have been derived from plants, and less commonly, animals. However, a widely publicized example (2) that captured the public imagination and the attention of governments, estimating that “11 of the top 25 best-selling pharmaceutical products are entities derived from natural products,” is misleading. These “natural products” are derived largely from ubiquitous organisms over which sovereign ownership or community interest could not be reasonably or practically asserted. Eight are derived from fungi common in soil or similar environments, and two are obtained from genetically engineered bacteria or ovarian cells (2).

Additionally, high-throughput screening, combinatorial chemistry, synthetic biology, and other advanced methodologies have largely replaced the role of natural products in the discovery of new molecules for developing new drugs, rendering physical access to biological material less important than it has been in the past. Modern technologies, including CRISPR gene editing, are redefining the modalities of access and utilization of biological resources in ways that were not foreseeable during NP negotiations.

Overall, examples of financially significant ABS agreements, a quarter-century after the CBD was signed, are scarce. Often-mentioned cases are marginal arrangements for the use of plant extracts for treatment of bone fractures as is traditional in the Cook Islands, the failed Merck-INBio initiative in Costa Rica, and the now discredited case of the “Indian ginseng.” A survey of mostly megadiverse countries having functional ABS legislation showed that very few commercial ABS agreements (2.05 per year per country) have been concluded (3), suggesting lack of demand for genetic resources by potential users, as well as restrictive procedures for access, as factors for the poor performance.

<sup>1</sup>Kerala Agricultural University, Thiruvananthapuram 695522, Kerala, India. <sup>2</sup>Ichthyology Section, Australian Museum, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. <sup>3</sup>University of Massachusetts, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, MO 63166, USA. <sup>5</sup>Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment (ATREE), Bangalore 560064, India. \*A full list of co-signatories can be found in the supplementary materials. Email: prathapankd@gmail.com; priyan@atree.org

## OBSTACLES TO RESEARCH

The principles underlying the CBD and NP are laudable, and underscore that international collaboration in research is crucial for conservation of biodiversity and that access to genetic resources should be facilitated. However, even as national governments, following the CBD, began to enact legislation to regulate access to their biological resources and benefit-sharing from the derived products, consequences of such actions on biodiversity research and food security were pointed out by the science community (4–6). About 100 countries have enacted, or are considering, laws that regulate access by scientists to biological material and benefit sharing. Since the CBD came into effect, and especially after the NP led nation states to step up legislative processes to tighten their control over genetic resources (1, 7), obtaining permits for access to specimens for noncommercial research has become increasingly difficult in many countries in South Asia, East Africa, and South America, including megadiverse countries and biodiversity hotspots (8). More than 1200 Brazilian researchers recently submitted an appeal to the Ministry of the Environment to differentiate taxonomic studies from commercial research under the New Biodiversity Law (9). In some cases, researchers have even been prosecuted.

Although the importance of biological inventories and taxonomy is widely appreciated, especially by the CBD itself, for most nations, including those with the largest numbers of species, the cataloging of species remains woefully incomplete, an already difficult challenge made more so by legislation ensuing from the CBD (1, 4). Taxonomy involves comparison of preserved specimens, including types scattered across the world's natural history museums. Although most countries have established institutions for regulating access and material transfer, cross-border exchange and loaning of such historical specimens, and taxonomic revisions and monographic studies on widely distributed groups of organisms, can now be extremely challenging, if not impossible owing to fears of biopiracy. Although the system works well among developed countries, museums may be wary of risks of loaning specimens to scientists in developing countries, fearing that their return may not be permitted. Biodiversity research has seemingly become suspect in the minds of many regulatory bodies, owing to fear that a taxonomic discovery today might conceivably translate into a commercial development tomorrow. Meanwhile, biodiversity is vanishing and scarce talent is walking away from research.

The recent decision to consider the use of digital sequence information (DSI) under the framework of the CBD and NP (10) may

go beyond physical access to genetic materials and run counter to the larger overall goals of the CBD. Scientific information in the form of DSI is increasingly being published through portals of the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) such as GenBank. Unlimited and open access of DSI encourages collaboration to gain insights into the evolution, maintenance, conservation, and sustainable use of biological diversity.

Although NP Article 8(a) appears to encourage regulations that do not impede bona fide scientific research, the NP's definition of the "utilization of genetic resources" as the "means to conduct research and development on the genetic and/or biochemical composition of genetic resources" (Article 2c) makes no exceptions for purely academic or conservation-related biodiversity research, such as taxonomic studies. The protocol cautions nations to take into account "the need to address a change of intent for such research," effectively warning regulators of the "risk" of pure research spawning commercial applications.

## FINDING SOLUTIONS IN SEEDS

With the sovereignty of nations over their biological resources now well established, and the ABS regimes put in place by many countries, individual states are unlikely to discontinue restrictive practices on their own, despite the CBD itself acknowledging the importance of research and knowledge-sharing. Though well-intentioned, the regulations are inimical to the pursuit of basic biodiversity science. The CoP should recognize the problem and urge the parties to establish enabling legal mechanisms for conservation-relevant biodiversity research, including taxonomy. Without close cooperation between scientists and national policy-making bodies, the broader goals of the CBD will be difficult to achieve.

Not-for profit research, such as inventories and taxonomic studies intended for the public domain, should be differentiated from commercial research leading to proprietary rights (8). Access has to be open when the benefits are in the public domain and the providers of the resource are free to make use of the benefits like anybody else. However, if the benefits are confined to the private realm through intellectual property rights, the provider may secure a share bilaterally (11).

The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, popularly known as the "Seed Treaty," provides a promising model. This treaty ensures worldwide public accessibility of genetic resources of essential food and fodder crops. Whereas the CBD and NP necessitate access to genetic resources on a bilateral basis through case-

by-case negotiations, the Seed Treaty adopted a multilateral system for access and benefit sharing (MLS) through a Standard Material Transfer Agreement, averting the need for bilateral negotiations. The MLS established under the Seed Treaty has been viewed as a very successful model in terms of volume of material exchanged (8500 transfers every week) (12), in contrast to the very limited performance of the bilateral system of CBD and NP (3). Exchange of genetic material under the Seed Treaty is exempted from the NP, and the benefit-sharing requirement arises only when access for further research and breeding is restricted through intellectual property rights. One possible course of action for the CoP to the CBD might be to add an explicit treaty or annex to promote and facilitate biodiversity research, conservation, and international collaboration. Such a treaty will address legal uncertainties in the governance of global research commons such as microbial culture collections held by the World Federation of Culture Collections as well as DSI published through the portals of INSDC or taxonomic type materials held in various museums all over the world.

As scientists aspiring to describe Earth's biological diversity in the face of formidable odds, we ask that the parties to the CBD do more to raise the legal curtain that has fallen between biodiversity scientists and the biodiversity they strive to discover, document, and conserve. ■

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. D. Neumann *et al.*, *Org. Divers. Evol.* **18**, 1 (2018).
2. K. ten Kate, S.A. Laird, *The Commercial Use of Biodiversity: Access to Genetic Resources and Benefit Sharing* (Earthscan, London, 1999).
3. N. Pauchard, *Resources* **6**, 11 (2017).
4. A. Grajal, *Conserv. Biol.* **13**, 6 (1999).
5. K. D. Prathapan *et al.*, *Curr. Sci.* **94**, 170 (2008).
6. K. D. Prathapan, P. D. Rajan, *Curr. Sci.* **97**, 626 (2009).
7. E. C. Kamau *et al.*, Eds., *Research and Development on Genetic Resources: Public Domain Approaches in Implementing the Nagoya Protocol* (Routledge, 2015).
8. E. C. Kamau, G. Winter, "Unbound R&D and bound benefit sharing," in *Research and Development on Genetic Resources: Public Domain Approaches in Implementing the Nagoya Protocol*, E. C. Kamau *et al.*, Eds. (Routledge, 2015).
9. F. A. Bockmann *et al.*, *Science* **360**, 865 (2018).
10. [www.cbd.int/doc/decisions/np-mop-02/np-mop-02-dec-14-en.pdf/](http://www.cbd.int/doc/decisions/np-mop-02/np-mop-02-dec-14-en.pdf/) (2016).
11. C. von Kries, G. Winter, "Defining commercial and non-commercial research and development under the Nagoya Protocol and in other contexts," in *Research and Development on Genetic Resources: Public Domain Approaches in Implementing the Nagoya Protocol*, E. C. Kamau *et al.*, Eds. (Routledge, 2015).
12. E. C. Kamau, "The Multilateral system of the international treaty on plant genetic resources for food and agriculture: Lessons and room for further development," in *Common Pools of Genetic Resources: Equity and Innovation in International Biodiversity Law*, E. C. Kamau, G. Winter, Eds. (Routledge, 2013).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

[www.sciencemag.org/content/360/6396/1405/suppl/DC1](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/360/6396/1405/suppl/DC1)

10.1126/science.aat9844

## When the cure kills—CBD limits biodiversity research

K. Divakaran Prathapan, Rohan Pethiyagoda, Kamaljit S. Bawa, Peter H. Raven, Priyadarsanan Dharma Rajan and 172 co-signatories from 35 countries

*Science* **360** (6396), 1405-1406.  
DOI: 10.1126/science.aat9844

### ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/360/6396/1405>

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2018/06/27/360.6396.1405.DC1>

### REFERENCES

This article cites 6 articles, 1 of which you can access for free  
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/360/6396/1405#BIBL>

### PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

---

*Science* (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.



## Supplementary Materials for

### **When the cure kills—CBD limits biodiversity research**

K. Divakaran Prathapan\*, Rohan Pethiyagoda, Kamaljit S. Bawa, Peter H. Raven,  
Priyadarsanan Dharma Rajan\*, and 172 co-signatories from 35 countries

\*Corresponding author. Email: prathapankd@gmail.com (K.D.P.); priyan@atree.org (P.D.R.)

Published 29 June 2018, *Science* **360**, 1405 (2018)  
DOI: 10.1126/science.aat9844

#### **This PDF file includes:**

List of co-signatories

### **List of co-signatories**

**Luis E. Acosta** (luis.acosta@unc.edu.ar), Instituto de Diversidad y Ecología Animal (IDEA), CONICET-Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina

**Byron Adams** (byron\_adams@byu.edu), Monte L. Bean Museum, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA

**Sina Adl** (sina.adl@usask.ca), University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada

**Shane T. Ahyong** (shane.ahyong@austmus.gov.au), Australian Museum, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

**Robert Anderson** (randerson@mus-nature.ca), Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

**Claudia P. Arango** (claudia.arango@qm.qld.gov.au), Queensland Museum, South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

**Miquel A. Arnedo** (marnedo@gmail.com), Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences & Biodiversity Research Institute, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

**Jonathan W. Armbruster** (armbrjw@auburn.edu), Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, USA

**Luciano Javier Avila** (avilacnp@gmail.com), IPEEC-CONICET, Puerto Madryn, Chubut, Argentina

**Celso O. Azevedo** (bethylidae@gmail.com), Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, Espírito Santo, Brazil

**Diego Baldo** (diegobaldo@gmail.com), Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Posadas, Argentina

**Maxwell V. L. Barclay** (m.barclay@nhm.ac.uk), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Rosemarie Baron-Szabo** (Rosemarie.Baron-Szabo@senckenberg.de), Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA; and Senckenberg Research Institute, Frankfurt/Main, Germany

**Aaron M. Bauer** (aaron.bauer@villanova.edu), Villanova University, Villanova, Pennsylvania, USA

**Bastian Bentlage** (bastian.bentlage@gmail.com), University of Guam Marine Laboratory, Mangilao, Guam, USA

**Aleš Bezděk** (bezdek@entu.cas.cz), Biology Centre CAS, Institute of Entomology, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

**Graham Bird** (zeuxo@clear.net.nz), Waikanae, Kapiti, 5036, New Zealand

**Vladimir Blagoderov** (v.blagoderov@nms.ac.uk), National Museums Scotland, UK



**Ladislav Bocak** (ladislav.bocak@upol.cz), Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic

**Alexandre Bonaldo** (bonaldo@museu-goeldi.br), Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belém, Pará, Brazil

**Jason E. Bond** (jbond@auburn.edu), Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, USA

**Christopher J. Borkent** (chris.borkent@gmail.com), California State Collection of Arthropods, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA

**Marc A. Branham** (marcbran@ufl.edu), Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

**Salvador Carranza** (salvador.carranza@ibe.upf-csic.es), Institut de Biologia Evolutiva, Barcelona, Spain

**Ramon Carreno** (racarren@owu.edu), Ohio Wesleyan University, Delaware, Ohio, USA.

**M. R. de Carvalho**, (gogolia99@gmail.com), American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, NY, 10024, U.S.A.

**Santiago Castroviejo-Fisher** (castroviejo.fisher@gmail.com), Lab. de Sistemática de Vertebrados, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Av. Ipiranga, 6681, Prédio 40, sala 110, 90619-900, Porto Alegre, Brazil

**Hideyuki Chiba** (chiba.zootaxa@gmail.com), Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawai'i, USA

**Fedor Čiampor** (f.ciampor@savba.sk), Plant Science and Biodiversity Centre, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia

**Dave J. Clarke** (djclarke@memphis.edu), Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

**Allen G. Collins** (tesserazoa4zt@gmail.com), Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA

**Reginaldo Constantino** (termites.zootaxa@gmail.com), Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Distrito Federal, Brazil

**Francisco A. Crespo** (crespo.zootaxa@gmail.com), Centro Nacional de Diagnóstico e Investigación en Endemo-epidemias, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Marymegan Daly** (daly.66@osu.edu) Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio USA

**Patrycja Dominiak** (heliocopris@gmail.com), Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Parasitology, University of Gdańsk, 80-308 Gdańsk, Poland

**Norm Dronen** (n-dronen@tamu.edu), Laboratory of Parasitology, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

**Alain Dubois** (adubois@mnhn.fr), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France

**Thomas F. Duda, Jr.** (tfduda@umich.edu), University of Michigan Museum of Zoology & Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

**Marc Eléaume** (marc.eleaume@mnhn.fr), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Département Origine et Évolution, CP 51, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

**Sven Erlacher** (erlacher@naturkunde-chemnitz.de), Museum of Natural History, Chemnitz, Germany

**Pedro Cordeiro Estrela** (pedrocometa@gmail.com), Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil

**Neal Evenhuis** (neale@bishopmuseum.org), Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawai'i, USA

**Karin Hoch Fehlauer-Ale** (fehlauer.ale@gmail.com), Lab. de Bentos, Centro de Estudos do Mar, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Pontal do Paraná, Paraná, Brazil

**Hans Fery** (hanfry@aol.com), Zoologische Staatssammlung München, München, Germany

**Uwe Fritz** (Uwe.Fritz@senckenberg.de), Senckenberg Natural History Collections, Dresden, Germany

**Stephen D. Gaimari** (stephen.gaimari@cdfa.ca.gov), Plant Pest Diagnostics Center, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA

**Rosser Garrison** (rgarrison@cdfa.ca.gov), Plant Pest Diagnostics Center, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA.

**Philippe Gaubert** (philippe.gaubert@umontpellier.fr), Institut de Recherche pour le Développement / Institut des Sciences de l'Évolution de Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, 34095 Montpellier, France

**Daniel L. Geiger** (geiger@vetigastropoda.com), Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California, USA

**Anthony C. Gill** (gill.anthony@gmail.com), Macleay Museum and School of Life and Environmental Sciences, A12 - Macleay Building, The University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia

**Matthew L. Gimmel** (mgimmel@sbnature2.org), Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California, USA

**Tom Goldschmidt** (tomgoldschmidt@web.de), Zoologische Staatssammlung München, München, Germany



**Rajkamal Goswami** (rajkamalgoswami@gmail.com), Center For Research in Ecology, Development and Research (CEDAR), House No. 201, Phase 1, Vasant Vihar, Dehradun-248006, Uttarakhand, India

**Abel Pérez González** (abelaracno@gmail.com), Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Victor H. Gonzalez** (vhgonza@ku.edu), University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, 66045, USA

**Dennis Gordon** (Dennis.Gordon@niwa.co.nz), National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, Private Bag, 14901, Kilbirnie, Wellington, New Zealand

**David J. Gower** (d.gower@nhm.ac.uk), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Penelope Greenslade** (p.greenslade@federation.edu.au), Faculty of Science, Federation University, Ballarat, Victoria 3353, Australia

**Vladimir I. Gusarov** (vladimir.gusarov@nhm.uio.no), Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

**Eduardo Hajdu** (eduardo.hajdu@gmail.com), Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

**Danilo Harms** (danilo.harms@uni-hamburg.de), Dept. of Arachnology, *Centrum für Naturkunde (CeNak) - Center of Natural History*, Universität Hamburg - Zoological Museum, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 3, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

**Matthew P. Heinicke** (heinicke@umich.edu), University of Michigan-Dearborn, Dearborn, Michigan, USA

**Eric J. Hilton** (ehilton@vims.edu), Department of Fisheries Science, Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary, Gloucester Point, Virginia, USA

**C.J. Hodgson** (HodgsonCJ@cardiff.ac.uk), The National Museum of Wales, Cardiff, CF10 3NP, UK

**Gustavo Hormiga** (hormiga@gwu.edu), George Washington University, Washington, D.C., USA

**Lauren E. Hughes** (l.hughes@nhm.ac.uk), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Pat Hutchings** (pat.hutchings@austmus.gov.au), Australian Museum, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

**Peter Jäger** (Peter.jaeger@senckenberg.de), Senckenberg Research Institute, Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt, Germany

**John T. Jennings** (J\_JENNINGS@onaustralia.com.au), University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

**Marcin Kadej** (marcin.kadej@uwr.edu.pl), Department of Invertebrate Biology, Evolution and Conservation, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

**Lauri Kaila** (Lauri.Kaila@Helsinki.fi), Zoology Unit, Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

**Marcin Jan Kamiński** (mkaminski@miiz.waw.pl), Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

**G.S. Karaman** (karaman@t-com.me), Academy of Science and Arts of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

**Tom Karanovic** (tomislav.karanovic@gmail.com), University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

**Jeyaraney Kathirithamby** (jeyaraney.kathirithamby@zoo.ox.ac.uk), Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

**Peter H. Kerr** (peter.kerr@cdfa.ca.gov), Plant Pest Diagnostics Center, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA

**Lawrence R. Kirkendall** (lawrence.kirkendall@uib.no), University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

**Marcelo Visentini Kitahara** (mvkitahara@unifesp.br), Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Campus Baixada Santista, Santos, São Paulo, Brazil

**Michelle Klautau** (mklautau@gmail.com), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Biologia - Departamento de Zoologia, Laboratório de Biologia de Porifera  
Av. Carlos Chagas Filho 373 - CCS - Bloco A - Sala A0-100, Ilha do Fundão - Rio de Janeiro – RJ, Brazil

**Boris C. Kondratieff** (Boris.Kondratieff@Colostate.edu), Colorado State University, Dept. of Bioagricultural Sciences & Pest Management, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

**Andreas Kroh** (andreas.kroh@nhm-wien.ac.at), Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria

**Facundo M. Labarque** (facundo.labarque@gmail.com), Laboratório Especial Coleções Zoológicas, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, Brazil

**John M. Leavengood, Jr.** (johnshorrorcorner@gmail.com), Florida State Collection of Arthropods, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, Florida, USA

**Agostino Letardi** (agostino.letardi@enea.it), ENEA, Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Rome, Italy

**Ai-Ping Liang** (liangap@ioz.ac.cn), Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

**Flávio C.T. Lima** (fctlima@gmail.com), Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Instituto de Biologia, Museu de História Natural Prof. Adão José Cardoso, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

**Zhiwei Liu** (zliu@eiu.edu), Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, Illinois, USA

**Ivan Löbl** (ivan.lobl@bluewin.ch), Muséum d'histoire naturelle, Geneva, Switzerland

**Volker Lohrmann** (v.lohrmann@uebersee-museum.de), Übersee-Museum Bremen, Bremen, Germany

**Nikolaus Malchus** (Bivalvia.Zootaxa@gmx.net), Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Catalunya, Spain

**M. B. Malipatil** (Mallik.Malipatil@ecodev.vic.gov.au), La Trobe University / Agriculture Victoria, AgriBio, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

**Antonio C. Marques** (marques@ib.usp.br), Instituto de Biociências e Centro de Biologia Marinha, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

**R. Matzke-Karasz** (r.matzke@lrz.uni-muenchen.de), Ludwig-Maximilians-University, München, Germany

**Georg Mayer** (gmayer@onychophora.com), Department of Zoology, University of Kassel, 34132 Kassel, Germany

**Jaime G. Mayoral** (mayoralj@fiu.edu), Department of Biological Sciences. Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA

**S. J. McInnes** (s.mcinnes@bas.ac.uk), British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, CB3 0ET, UK

**Alessandro Minelli** (alessandro.minelli@unipd.it), University of Padova, Padova, Italy

**Melinda L. Moir** (Melinda.Moir@dpird.wa.gov.au), Agriculture and Food, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, South Perth, Western Australia, 6151, Australia

**Scott Monks** (monks.scott@gmail.com), Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca, Hidalgo, México

**Juan J. Morrone** (juanmorrone2001@yahoo.com.mx), Museo de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México

**C. Muster** (muster@rz.uni-leipzig.de), 18581 Putbus, Germany

**Zoltán Tamás Nagy** (zoltan-tamas.nagy@naturalsciences.be), Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium

**K. Seena Narayanan** (seena.narayanan@atree.org), Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment (ATREE), Bangalore – 560064, India

**Eugenio H. Nearns** (gino@nearns.com), Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

**Jeff Nekola** (jnekola@unm.edu), University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA

**Silvio S. Nihei** (silvionihei@gmail.com), Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

**Alexander Nützel** (a.nuetzel@lrz.uni-muenchen.de), SNSB-Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Palaeontology & Geobiology, GeoBio-Center LMU, Richard-Wagner-Str. 10, 80333 Munich, Germany.

**Annemarie Ohler** (annemarie.ohler@gmail.com), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France

**Victor Goyannes Dill Orrico** (zootaxa.hylids@gmail.com), Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil

**Jose M. Padial** (jpadial@amnh.org), American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA

**Lawrence M. Page** (lpage1@ufl.edu), Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

**Paulo Passos** (ppassos@mn.ufrj.br), Departamento de Vertebrados, Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

**Dennis Paulson** (dpaulson@pugetsound.edu), Slater Museum of Natural History, Tacoma, Washington, USA

**Philip D. Perkins** (perkins@oeb.harvard.edu), Department of Entomology, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, USA

**Tobias Pfingstl** (dr.tobias.pfingstl@gmail.com), Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, Graz, Austria

**Carlos Prieto** (prieto.zootaxa@gmail.com), Universidad del Atlántico, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Departamento de Biología, Barranquilla, Colombia

**Lívia Rodrigues Pinheiro** (lrpinheiro@gmail.com), Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

**Ricardo Pinto-da-Rocha** (ricrocha@usp.br), Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

**Lorenzo Prendini** (lorenzo@amnh.org), Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA

**Benjamin Price** (neuropterida@gmail.com), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Jurate De Prins** (jurate.deprins@gmail.com), Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium

**Martin Ramirez** (ramirez@macn.gov.ar), Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Claus Rasmussen** (claus.rasmussen@bios.au.dk), Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

**P. Rasmussen** (rasmus39@msu.edu), Michigan State University Museum, East Lansing, Michigan, USA

**David Redei** (david.redei@gmail.com), Nankai University, Tianjin, China

**Ignacio Ribera** (ignacio.ribera@ibe.upf-csic.es), Institut de Biologia Evolutiva, Barcelona, Spain

**Antonio Ricarte** (ricarte24@gmail.com), Centro Iberoamericano de la Biodiversidad (CIBIO), Universidad de Alicante, San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante, Spain

**Julio Rivera** (julio.riverac@usil.pe), Universidad San Ignacio de Loyola, Lima, Peru

**Michael G. Rix** (michael.rix@qm.qld.gov.au), Biodiversity and Geosciences Program, Queensland Museum, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

**Bruno Rossaro** (bruno.rossaro@unimi.it), Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

**Aniruddha Datta Roy** (datta.roy82@gmail.com), Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

**Gustavo R.S. Ruiz** (gustavoruiz86@hotmail.com), Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, Pará, Brazil

**Frederico F. Salles** (ffsalles@gmail.com), Laboratório de Sistemática e Ecologia de Insetos, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, São Mateus, Espírito Santo, Brazil

**Allen F. Sanborn** (asanborn@barry.edu), Barry University, Miami Shores, Florida, USA

**Michel Sartori** (michel.sartori@vd.ch), Musée cantonal de zoologie, Lausanne, Switzerland

**Matthias Schöller** (schoeller@tricho.b.shuttle.de), Biologische Beratung, Berlin, Germany

**Rüdiger M. Schmelz** (rmschmelz@gmail.com), University of A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain

**Michael Schrödl** (Michael.Schroedl@zsm.mwn.de), Zoologische Staatssammlung München, München, Germany

**Gabriel Hoinsoude Segniagbeto** (h\_segniagbeto@yahoo.fr) University of Lome, Lome, Togo

**J. Serrano** (jserrano@um.es), Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, Spain

**Satoshi Shimano** (sim@hosei.ac.jp), Hosei University, Fujimi, Chiyoda, Tokyo, Japan

**Mann Kyoon Shin** (mkshin@ulsan.ac.kr), University of Ulsan, Ulsan, Korea (South)

**Ekaterina Sidorchuk** (e.a.sidorchuk@gmail.com), Arthropoda Laboratory, Paleontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia.

**Cameron D. Siler** (camsiler@ou.edu), Sam Noble Oklahoma Museum of Natural History and Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA

**Boris Sket** (Boris.Sket@BF.uni-lj.si), Univerza v Ljubljani, Ljubljana, Slovenia

**Aaron D. Smith** (aaron.smith@nau.edu), Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA

**Andrew B.T. Smith** (asmith@unl.edu), Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

**Robin Smith** (smith@lbm.go.jp), Lake Biwa Museum, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan

**Allan H. Smith-Pardo** (Allan.H.Smith-Pardo@aphis.usda.gov), 389 Oyster Point Blvd. Suite 2, South San Francisco, CA. 94080, USA

**John Sparks** (otophysic@gmail.com), Department of Ichthyology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA

**W. E. Sterrer** (westerrer@gov.bm), Bermuda Natural History Museum, Flatts, Bermuda

**Adam Stroński** (adam@miiz.waw.pl), Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

**Jörundur Svavarsson** (jorundur@hi.is), University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland

**Mario Toledo** (toledo.pinguicula.mario3@gmail.com), Brescia, Italy

**Evan Twomey** (evan.twomey@gmail.com), Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

**Karthikeyan Vasudevan** (karthik@ccmb.res.in), Centre for Cellular & Molecular Biology, Telangana, India

**Miguel Vences** (m.vences@tu-braunschweig.de), Technical University of Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany

**Nicole de Voogd** (nicole.devoogd@naturalis.nl), Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

**Qiao Wang** (Q.Wang@massey.ac.nz), Institute of Agriculture and Environment, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

**Gillian W. Watson** (gillian.watson@cdfa.ca.gov), Plant Pest Diagnostics Center, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA

**Wanda M. Weiner** (weiner@isez.pan.krakow.pl), Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland

**Marcelo Weksler** (mweksler@mn.ufrj.br), Departamento de Vertebrados, Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

**Thomas Wesener** (t.wesener@leibniz-zfmk.de), Zoological Research Museum A. Koenig, Leibniz Institute for Terrestrial Biodiversity, Bonn, Germany

**Daniel Whitmore** (d.whitmore@nhm.ac.uk), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Helena Wiklund** (h.wiklund@nhm.ac.uk), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Paul H. Williams** (P.Williams@nhm.ac.uk), Natural History Museum, London, UK

**Shaun L. Winterton** (wintertonshaun@gmail.com), Plant Pest Diagnostics Center, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA

**Timothy S. Wood** (tim.wood@wright.edu), Department of Biological Sciences, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio, USA

**Shen-Horn Yen** (shenhornyen@gmail.com), National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

**Hussam Zaher** (hussam.zaher@gmail.com), Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

**Z.-Q. Zhang** (zhangz@landcareresearch.co.nz), New Zealand Arthropod Collection, Landcare Research, Auckland 1072, New Zealand

**Hong-zhang Zhou** (zhouhz@ioz.ac.cn), Key Laboratory of Zoological Systematics and Evolution Institute of Zoology, Beijing, China