

Where is the neutral atomic gas in Hickson groups?*

L. Verdes-Montenegro¹, M. S. Yun², B. A. Williams³, W. K. Huchtmeier⁴, A. Del Olmo⁵, and J. Perea⁶

¹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Apdo. Correos 3004, 18080 Granada, Spain

² Astronomy Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
e-mail: myun@astro.umass.edu

³ University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA
e-mail: baw@udel.edu

⁴ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany
e-mail: huchtmeier@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de

⁵ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC Apdo. Correos 3004, 18080 Granada, Spain
e-mail: chony@iaa.es

⁶ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC Apdo. Correos 3004, 18080 Granada, Spain
e-mail: jaime@iaa.es

Received 7 May 2001 / Accepted 8 August 2001

Abstract. We have analyzed the total HI contents of 72 Hickson compact groups of galaxies (HCGs) and the detailed spatial distributions and kinematics of HI within a subset of 16 groups using the high angular resolution observations obtained with the VLA in order to investigate a possible evolutionary scenario for these densest systems in the present day galaxy hierarchy. For the more homogeneous subsample of 48 groups, we found a mean HI deficiency of $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.40 \pm 0.07$, which corresponds to 40% of the expected HI for the optical luminosities and morphological types of the member galaxies. The individual galaxies show larger degrees of deficiency than the groups globally, $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.62 \pm 0.09$ (24% of the expected HI), due in most cases to efficient gas stripping from individual galaxies into the group environment visible in the VLA maps. The degree of deficiency is found to be similar to the central galaxies of Virgo and Coma cluster, and Coma I group, in spite of the significantly different characteristics (number of galaxies, velocity dispersion) of these environments. It does not seem plausible that a significant amount of extended HI has been missed by the observations. Hence phase transformation of the atomic gas should explain the HI deficiency. The groups richer in early type galaxies or more compact with larger velocity dispersions show a weak tendency to be more HI deficient. The detection rate of HCGs at X-ray wavelengths is larger for HI deficient groups, although the hot gas distribution and hence its origin is only known for a few cases. In the evolutionary scenario we propose, the amount of detected HI would decrease further with evolution, by continuous tidal stripping and/or heating. The H₂ content also tends to be lower than expected for the galaxies in HI deficient groups, this may suggest that the HI stripping by frequent tidal interaction breaks the balance between the disruption of molecular clouds by star formation and the replenishment from the ambient HI.

Key words. galaxies: interactions – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: structure – galaxies: ISM – radio lines: galaxies

1. Introduction

The Hickson Compact Groups (Hickson 1982: HCGs) are characterized by a small number of members (4 to 10) with

Send offprint requests to: L. Verdes-Montenegro,
e-mail: lourdes@iaa.es

* This work is partially based on observations made with the VLA operated by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc., ALFOSC, which is owned by the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA, CSIC) and operated at the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) under agreement between IAA and the NBIfA of the Astronomical Observatory of Copenhagen, and 1.5 m telescope of the Observatorio de Sierra Nevada, Granada, Spain, which is operated by the IAA (CSIC).

a low velocity dispersion ($\langle \sigma \rangle = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Hickson et al. 1992). Their projected galaxy densities are extremely high, similar to the cores of dense clusters, but they are found in low galaxy density environments, as a consequence of the isolation criterion used for their selection (Hickson 1982; Sulentic 1987). This combination of high galaxy density in the low density environment makes them unique and interesting laboratories for studying galaxy interaction and evolution.

The origin and the existence of Hickson compact groups constitute a matter of great interest and debate. Their short crossing times argue for short lifetimes, yet few merger candidates or precursors are found (Zepf et al. 1991; Moles et al. 1994; Sulentic & Rabaça 1994). Diaferio et al. (1994) proposed that compact groups could form

continually out of rich groups. Governato et al. (1996) suggest that primordial merger events with ongoing acquisition of intruders might prevent the groups from merging entirely. These models seem at work in HCG 92 (Moles et al. 1997). On the other hand, well isolated groups with no obvious intruders also exist (e.g. HCG 96 Verdes-Montenegro et al. 1997). Athanassoula et al. (1997) have shown that compact groups with an appropriate arrangement of luminous and dark matter can persist over several hundred million years, in agreement with the analysis of the dynamics of satellite galaxies (Perea et al. 2000).

The characteristics of the interstellar medium in HCGs are also not well understood. Elevated star formation activity induced by tidal interactions and resulting enhancement in FIR and CO emission are expected but not supported by observations (see Verdes-Montenegro et al. 1998 and references therein). The highly perturbed distributions of the molecular gas in the most CO deficient groups suggest that strong disruptions of gaseous disks and gas stripping by continuous tidal disruption may suppress star formation (Yun et al. 1997).

A detailed analysis of the morphology and the strength of interactions among the HCG galaxies is needed in order to better understand the way these compact groups form and evolve. Studies of neutral hydrogen (HI) can offer valuable insights into the dynamics of galaxy interaction and evolution (e.g. Yun et al. 1994; Hibbard & van Gorkom 1997), and we were motivated to undertake a survey of HI distribution and content for a large sample of HCGs (Williams et al. 1997, 1999; Verdes-Montenegro et al. 2000a,b; Huchtmeier et al. 2000) in order to analyze the type and effect of the interactions that are taking place. In this paper we report the analysis of the HI content for 72 HCGs and combine this with the analysis of the high spatial and spectral resolution VLA HI observations for a subset of 16 groups. Detailed studies of the individual groups will be presented elsewhere (in preparation). We have determined the frequency of perturbed groups from the HI content, the morphology, and the nature of the anomalies in the HI distribution. A global HI deficiency in HCGs has been previously reported in the literature (Williams & Rood 1987; Huchtmeier 1997). We explore here a large set of parameters that might account for the HI anomaly. The high spatial and kinematical resolution of the HI data should help restrict the boundary conditions used in hydrodynamical modeling of galaxy interactions.

2. The Sample selection and the HI data

2.1. Single-dish sample

We have investigated published data for all groups from the Hickson catalog (Hickson 1982) containing at least 3 concordant members (hence HCG 9, 11, 36, 41 and 77 have been excluded; Hickson et al. 1992). HCG 70 is a projected superposition of a triplet and a quadruplet at different redshifts. Hence there are a total of 96 true groups in the Hickson Catalog. The HI single-dish

spectra are available for 72 groups, either from the Arecibo and Green Bank radiotelescopes (Williams & Rood 1987) and/or from the 100 m Effelsberg antenna (Huchtmeier 1997), and a total of 58 groups are detected. There is good agreement in the fluxes of the 31 groups with duplicate measures, as indicated in Huchtmeier (1997). Williams & Rood (1987) find that, on average, HCGs are 50% deficient in HI compared to a sample of loose groups. Huchtmeier (1997) finds a large scattering in the ratios of integrated HI mass to optical luminosity, including strong HI deficiencies for a large number of objects.

2.2. The VLA sample

We have mapped a total of 16 HCGs using the VLA. Detailed analysis of six spiral dominated groups have already been published (HCG 18, Williams & Van Gorkom 1988; HCG 31, 44 and 79, Williams et al. 1991; HCG 23 and 26, Williams & Van Gorkom 1995), and the preliminary analysis for the 5 other spiral dominated groups have also been reported (Williams et al. 1997, 1999; Verdes-Montenegro et al. 2000a,b). HCG 92 (Stephan's Quintet) was also previously studied at WSRT (Shostak et al. 1984). We recently obtained the VLA data for five new groups (HCG 40, 49, 54, 95 and 96) in an effort to expand the sample to include more representatives of different interaction scenarios found in HCGs. The new additions include the densest compact groups, where the strongest interactions are expected, as well as some groups that are known to be HI deficient, as HCG 40, 44 and 92. A summary of the parameters for all observations is given in Table 1. Single-dish fluxes are compared to the integrated fluxes for the 16 groups observed with the VLA (corrected for primary beam attenuation, and removing the contributions from neighbor galaxies detected in HI within the VLA beam but external to the single-dish beam). Only in two cases (HCG 33 and HCG 95) are we concerned that the interferometer may have missed flux (about 50%). On the other hand the VLA flux for HCG 16 is larger than the measured value with a single dish, due to an HI extent larger than the single-dish beam.

HCG 22, 26, 42, 48, 63, 65 and 91 have been observed with the ATNF interferometer, but maps are only published for HCG 22 and 26 (Price et al. 2000). The sensitivity of the ATNF observations of HCG 26 is considerably lower than for the VLA data. No information on the total recovered flux is given for HCG 22, so it is not possible to evaluate how representative the VLA flux distribution is of the total HI emission.

3. HI content of the HCGs

3.1. The single-dish sample

The atomic hydrogen mass of the 72 groups with single-dish data is computed as $M(\text{HI}) = 2.36 \times 10^5 D^2 S \Delta V$, where D is the luminosity distance in Mpc (assuming $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$) and $S \Delta V$ is the velocity

Table 1. Observational parameters of the interferometric observations.

HCG	VLA configuration	Beam size		Channel width (km s ⁻¹)	$\sigma(S_\nu)$ (mJy/beam)	$\sigma(N_{\text{HI}})^a$ (10 ¹⁸ cm ⁻²)
		("×")	(kpc × kpc)			
2	D	68 × 58	18.0 × 16.2	10.6	0.64	1.9
16	C+D	25 × 19	6.3 × 5.0	21.3	0.31	15.0
18	C	20 × 20	5.2 × 5.2	21.2	0.50	28.6
23	C	21 × 17	5.3 × 6.6	10.6	1.70	54.5
26	C	25 × 18	15.3 × 11.0	21.8	0.40	20.9
31	CnD	21 × 18	5.6 × 4.8	21.0	0.22	13.2
	CnB	16 × 14	4.2 × 3.9	10.6	1.50	76.6
33	C	18 × 16	9.0 × 8.3	21.8	0.65	53.1
40	C	23 × 18	10.1 × 7.9	10.8	0.65	18.3
44	D	61 × 60	5.4 × 5.4	42.0	0.40	5.0
49	C	41 × 23	26.3 × 14.8	11.0	0.51	6.4
54	C	20 × 16	1.9 × 1.5	10.4	0.33	11.6
79	CnD	22 × 17	7.1 × 4.5	21.0	0.86	52.1
	C	25 × 16	7.0 × 4.5	10.6	0.39	11.2
88	C	24 × 17	9.2 × 6.8	21.4	0.43	24.3
92	C+Cs+D	20 × 19	7.8 × 7.3	21.5	0.54	33.0
95	C+D	23 × 16	17.7 × 12.4	22.2	0.22	14.3
96	C+D	33 × 25	18.7 × 14.3	21.9	0.34	9.7

^a Column density obtained integrating for a channelwidth.

integrated HI flux in Jy km s⁻¹. Fluxes are taken from Williams & Rood (1987) and Huchtmeier (1997). For each galaxy the predicted mass is calculated as a function of its optical luminosity and morphological type (taken from Hickson et al. 1989) via the relationships obtained by Haynes & Giovanelli (1984) for a sample of 324 isolated galaxies. In fact 34 galaxies were excluded from their analysis since they were not detected. Those correspond mostly to early types, and for this reason larger errors can be expected in the relationships. This effect is considered in Sect. 4. The HI mass expected for each group is obtained as the sum of the calculated masses for all its spiral and lenticular members.

The HI deficiency is defined as $Def_{\text{HI}} = \log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{pred}}] - \log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{obs}}]$. We also adopt the definition of the standard estimate of the error (s.e.e.) in the HI masses predicted from the optical luminosity L_B as defined by Haynes & Giovanelli (1984). This is on the order of $\log[M(\text{HI})] = 0.20$ for the HCGs studied. We consider the HI content of a galaxy/group anomalous when its HI content deviates from the predicted value by more than twice the mean s.e.e. The expected HI masses for the groups were derived as sums of the expected HI masses for the individual member galaxies. This approach is used because it takes into account the morphological dependency of $M(\text{HI})$ and the well known non-linearity in the $M(\text{HI}) - L_B$ relation ($M(\text{HI}) \sim L_B^{0.63-0.84}$; Haynes & Giovanelli 1984). Finally it would imply in many cases

to extrapolate the $M(\text{HI})$ versus L_B relationships above the range of values used in their determination.

The observed and predicted HI masses are compared in Fig. 1 (the diagonal line indicates the locus of galaxies with normal HI content) and are listed in Table 2 along with the s.e.e. An histogram of the HI deficiency for the 72 groups, shown in the inset of Fig. 1, gives a mean value of $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.36 \pm 0.06$. The upper and lower limits have been taken into account by means of survival analysis. This value will be revised in Sect. 4 considering effects that might increase/decrease the HI deficiency.

3.2. Groups mapped with the VLA

In Fig. 2 the total observed masses of the 16 compact groups imaged with the VLA are compared with the expected one. The mean deficiency of the groups of the VLA subsample is $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.16 \pm 0.10$ even though it is biased towards the groups with the strongest HI detections. The largest deficiency, $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.97$, is found in HCG 40, whose detected HI mass is only about 10% of the expected mass. HI excess is inferred for HCG 33, but this group consists mostly of ellipticals, and the optical luminosity (thus predicted HI mass) of the only spiral member H33c is highly uncertain due to a bright foreground star and large Galactic extinction ($b = -12.6^\circ$). Only lower limits

Table 2. HI masses for groups.

HCG	Distance (Mpc)	$\log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{obs}}]$ ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	$\log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{pred}}]^a$ ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	s.e.e. ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	Remarks
1	136.6	10.53	10.42	0.19	
2	57.8	10.33	10.33	0.19	triplet
3	102.6	10.18	9.99	0.19	triplet
4	112.7	10.31	10.34	0.20	
5	165.5	9.77	10.05	0.32	triplet
6	152.9	9.69	10.02	0.22	
7	56.6	9.68	10.37	0.17	
8	220.7	<10.09	10.13	0.21	no spiral members
10	64.6	10.10	10.34	0.21	
14	73.5	9.61	9.71	0.27	triplet
15	91.7	9.41	10.03	0.22	
16	52.9	>10.42	10.57	0.15	
18	54.1	10.03	9.89	0.18	false group
19	57.4	9.31	9.89	0.22	triplet
20	195.8	<10.06	9.41	0.28	
21	101.0	10.36	10.25	0.21	
22	36.1	9.13	9.68	0.24	triplet
23	64.6	10.03	10.00	0.17	
24	122.8	< 9.54	9.97	0.24	
25	85.2	9.90	10.16	0.19	
26	127.3	10.42	10.23	0.16	
30	61.8	8.62	10.18	0.22	
31	54.5	10.35	10.53	0.19	
33	104.6	10.23	9.48	0.30	
34	123.6	10.70	9.93	0.20	
35	219.5	<10.12	10.01	0.22	
37	89.6	9.19	10.07	0.20	
38	117.6	9.69	10.44	0.18	triplet
40	89.6	9.14	10.11	0.18	
42	53.3	9.40	9.18	0.36	no spiral members
43	133.0	10.11	10.37	0.18	
44	18.4	9.23	9.92	0.19	
46	108.6	< 9.22	9.37	0.25	no spiral members
47	127.7	9.93	10.21	0.21	
48	37.7	8.52	9.34	0.22	
49	133.8	10.54	10.16	0.19	
51	103.8	< 9.66	10.17	0.17	
53	82.8	10.04	10.42	0.21	triplet
54	19.6	8.75	9.24	0.23	false group
56	108.6	9.36	10.09	0.16	
57	122.4	9.71	10.57	0.16	
58	83.2	9.83	10.40	0.19	
59	54.1	9.49	9.45	0.22	no spiral members
61	52.1	9.96	10.04	0.20	triplet
62	54.9	< 9.06	9.52	0.26	no spiral members
64	145.2	<10.06	10.29	0.19	triplet
67	98.5	10.03	10.30	0.18	
68	32.0	9.62	10.10	0.22	
69	118.4	10.27	10.18	0.17	

Table 2. continued.

HCG	Distance (Mpc)	$\log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{obs}}]$ ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	$\log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{pred}}]^a$ ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	s.e.e. ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	Remarks
70	109.9	9.53	10.16	0.20	triplet
72	170.0	< 9.83	10.33	0.27	
73	181.4	10.84	10.11	0.22	triplet
74	161.0	<10.08	9.44	0.28	no spiral members
76	137.0	< 9.51	10.05	0.20	
78	122.0	10.40	10.24	0.22	triplet
79	58.2	9.30	9.71	0.20	
80	124.9	10.45	10.46	0.18	
82	146.0	<9.69	10.45	0.22	
85	158.6	<9.72	9.07	0.36	no spiral members
87	116.7	9.59	10.47	0.18	
88	80.7	10.28	10.55	0.17	
89	119.6	10.45	10.49	0.14	
91	95.7	10.32	10.56	0.17	
92	86.4	10.02	10.51	0.17	
93	67.4	9.56	10.36	0.24	
94	168.4	10.22	10.06	0.17	
95	159.8	>10.10	10.31	0.24	triplet
96	117.6	>10.20	10.37	0.19	
97	87.6	9.10	9.99	0.21	
98	107.0	9.09	9.81	0.29	no spiral members, triplet
99	116.7	9.21	10.11	0.26	
100	71.5	9.74	10.24	0.18	

^a The derivation of the expected HI mass for the groups are discussed in Sect. 3.1.

are given for HCG 16, 95 and 96 because HI absorption strongly affects their spectra.

Out of the 70 galaxies (11 ellipticals) included in the VLA sample of the 16 HCGs, 50 galaxies are detected, and the HI emission is resolved for 34 galaxies in 12 groups. Good upper limits, ranging from 5×10^7 to $10^8 M_{\odot}$, are obtained for the 9 undetected spiral galaxies. We measured the VLA HI flux associated with the main body of each galaxy, as well as that in gaseous tidal features, bridges and external clouds (Table 3). Separating HI fluxes for individual galaxies in HCG 18, 26, 49 and 54 was not possible because of confusion. Figure 3 shows the observed versus expected HI masses for individual galaxies, with the solid line representing a normal HI content. The HI in tidal features has not been included in the observed mass. For those cases where a tidal feature could be clearly associated to a particular member of a group, a dotted line connects the HI masses obtained with (open circle) and without (filled circle) the tidal feature. Arrows represent upper or lower limits (due to absorption features in the HI spectra). The mean HI deficiency of the 43 resolved spiral galaxies is $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.62 \pm 0.09$. This is significantly larger than the mean value for the groups, due in most cases to efficient gas stripping in these galaxies visible in the VLA maps. The most deficient galaxy is HCG 92b, undetected in HI, with $Def_{\text{HI}} > 2.15$, which corresponds to 0.7% of the expected mass.

4. Discussion

4.1. Possible systematic effects

4.1.1. Early type groups and the role of lenticulars

Among the 72 groups in our sample, 8 do not contain any spiral member (HCG 8, 42, 46, 59, 62, 74, 85 and 98) hence the determination of the HI deficiency is highly uncertain. These groups lie mostly in the left part of Fig. 1 (large open circles), and when excluded from the calculation, the HI deficiency rises marginally to $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.35 \pm 0.06$. For the rest of the paper these 8 groups will be excluded from the calculations.

Since the expected HI content for S0 galaxies is generally uncertain, we have also calculated the decrease in expected HI mass if one assumes them to be devoid of HI (dashed lines in Fig. 1). In spite of the large number of lenticular galaxies in the sample (22%), the change is only significant for a few groups, and the assumption leads to a global deficiency of $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.18 \pm 0.06$. On the other hand the early type population excess that exists in HCGs (Hickson et al. 1988) could suggest that some of them are stripped spirals (see e.g. Sulentic 2000). Hence for all groups with S0 members we have calculated the increase in predicted mass assuming that all were originally (conservatively) Sbs (thick lines in Fig. 1). Again this effect

Table 3. HI masses for HCG galaxies.

Galaxy ^a	$\log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{obs}}]$ ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	$\log[M(\text{HI})_{\text{pred}}]$ ^b ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)	s.e.e. ($\log[M_{\odot}]$)
2a	10.18	10.07	0.30
2b	9.19	9.73	0.30
2c	9.55	9.63	0.26
2T	8.97		
16a	9.07	9.79	0.38
16b	8.90	9.66	0.38
16c	9.48	10.10	0.30
16d	>9.65	9.99	0.30
16-3 ^c	9.64	9.57	0.38
16-6 ^c	8.89	8.92	0.36
16T	10.06		
23a	8.92	9.49	0.38
23b	9.70	9.63	0.26
23c	8.36	8.95	0.63
23d	9.15	9.27	0.30
31a	9.46	9.54	0.30
31b	9.28	9.71	0.30
31c	9.20	10.32	0.30
31g	9.28	9.54	0.30
31q	8.95	9.07	0.30
31T	10.12		
33c	9.94	9.48	0.30
40b	<8.22	9.37	0.36
40c	8.96	9.66	0.29
40d	8.52	9.63	0.38
40e	<8.22	9.22	0.26
44a	8.61	9.51	0.38
44c	8.41	9.40	0.26
44d	8.92	9.33	0.30
44e	8.26	8.50	0.26
49T	8.99		
79b	<7.72	9.33	0.36
79c	<7.72	9.09	0.36
79d	9.14	9.23	0.30
79T	8.40		
88a	9.12	10.04	0.36
88b	9.51	10.03	0.36
88c	10.01	9.90	0.26
88d	9.58	9.74	0.26
88T	8.46		
92b	<8.03	10.18	0.29
92c	<8.03	10.08	0.26
92d	<8.03	9.59	0.36
92f	<8.03	9.03	0.38
92T	9.98		
95c	>9.41	10.20	0.30
95d	<8.70	9.69	0.26
95T	9.35		
96a	>9.91	10.21	0.26
96c	9.13	9.64	0.26
96d	8.99	9.51	0.30
96T	9.73		

^a A T indicates the HI mass in tidal features external to the galaxies, as tails and bridges.

^b HI mass expected for the group obtained as explained in Sect. 3.1.

^c Notation from De Carvalho et al. (1997).




Fig. 1. Comparison of the total observed HI mass in each HGC with HI single-dish data with the expected one obtained as described in Sect. 3.1. Triplets are marked with smaller symbols. Dashed lines indicate the decrease in expected HI mass if one assumes lenticulars to be devoid of HI. Solid lines correspond to the increase in the predicted mass of groups containing lenticular galaxies assuming that all were originally Sbs. Arrows represent upper and lower limits. Big open circles indicate groups with no spiral members. The segment in the lower right indicates the mean value of the standard estimate of the error (s.e.e.). The solid line represents a normal HI content. An histogram of the HI deficiency for the 72 groups of the sample is shown in the inset in the upper left of the figure.

would be important just for a few groups, and the mean deficiency would be $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.40 \pm 0.06$.

4.1.2. Beam size and HI extent

We have considered whether the HI deficiency might be due to a small HI beam diameter (D_{beam}). If true, the HI deficiency should be larger for groups with larger ratios of the HI extent (D_{HI}) with respect to the beam size. Since D_{HI} cannot be derived from the existing single-dish data, we have measured it for the groups mapped at the VLA and compared with their optical extent (D_{opt}) which is obviously known for the whole sample. D_{HI} has been determined at the lowest common HI column density that can be measured (7.7×10^{19} at cm^{-2}) (Table 1) and the largest HI extent ($\sim 20'$ for HCG 16) is well within the VLA primary beam of $30'$. We find that $D_{\text{opt}} = 0.6 - 1.7 \times D_{\text{HI}}$ and all mapped groups have within the optical diameter (D_{opt} , given in Hickson 1982 and revised here in order to exclude interlopers) at least 85% of the detected HI mass which is within the intrinsic errors in the determination of $M(\text{HI})_{\text{pred}}$. Hence, in those cases where the single-dish beam is equal to or larger than the optical extent of the




Fig. 2. Comparison of the total observed HI mass in each Hickson compact group observed with the VLA with the expected one obtained as described in Sect. 3.1. Symbols have the same meaning as in Fig. 1.

group no significant effect would be expected in the observed HI deficiency. This condition is met by 70 out of the 72 groups observed with single dish, and the remaining ones, HCG 10 and 21 are not classified as HI deficient. This rough calculation suggests that the beam size did not have a significant effect on the reported HI deficiency.

The reasoning above assumes that the total HI extent is given by the size measured in the VLA maps. Alternatively, the HI deficiency could be due to extended but undetected diffuse HI emission either within the $30'$ VLA primary beam, or extending even farther away. If the “empty” area of the VLA beam is filled with part of the missing HI and the extension of the detected HI fills only a small percentage of the single-dish beam, then the single-dish flux should be larger than the VLA flux. The premises are met for the HI deficient groups but no difference is found between the single-dish and VLA fluxes within the typical sensitivities of the single-dish measurements, $\sim 10^8 M_{\odot}$, while $\sim 10^9 - 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ are typically missing in the HI deficient groups (Table 2). This result is obtained for only a few groups, although including cases of extreme HI deficiency. Hence this indicates that the existence of significant undetected amounts of diffuse cold gas does not seem a general or at least the only explanation for the HI deficiency.

4.1.3. Morphological misclassification

Estimation of the HI mass expected for a given galaxy depends on its morphology. Galaxies in compact groups




Fig. 3. Comparison of the measured HI mass in the galaxies of the groups observed with the VLA with the expected one for their optical luminosities and morphological types, obtained as described in Sect. 3.1. Lenticular galaxies are marked with squares. The vertical segments connect the masses obtained excluding (filled circle) and including (open circle) the tidal feature. Arrows represent upper or lower limits.

show distortions that can complicate a reliable determination of their morphological type t (as presented in Hickson et al. 1989, e.g. $t(\text{Sa}) = 3$, $t(\text{Sb}) = 5$), hence a source of scatter that can mask real trends. For galaxies earlier than approximately $t = 8$ (Scd) errors larger than $\Delta t = 2$ would be infrequent, while for later types errors of the order of $\Delta t = 4$ might occur. The morphology of 85% of the galaxies in the studied groups are earlier than $t = 8$, ranging from E to Sc (Fig. 4), so that large errors are not expected. The most probable effect produced by tidal interactions would be disk stripping, hence favoring errors of the order of $\Delta t = -2$. We have quantified this effect by comparing the total HI masses expected for groups where the morphological types of its N members are $t_{(i=1,N)}$ and those obtained using $t_{(i=1,N)} - 2$ (Fig. 5). Therefore, in the improbable case that *all* galaxies have been misclassified systematically by $\Delta t = -2$, Def_{HI} would be increased by 0.18. For a random error of the order of ± 2 the spread in the HI deficiency would be increased by a similar amount, but the fraction of deficient groups would be still significant and not the result of a wrong determination of morphology.

4.1.4. Triplets and false groups in the HCG sample

According to Hickson classification (Hickson 1982; Hickson et al. 1992), a total of 15 triplets exist in the total sample, marked with smaller symbols in Fig. 1, or 14 in




Fig. 4. A histogram of the morphological types for the 309 galaxies included in the 72 groups with HI single-dish data. The numerical assignment of the Hubble types are also given under the x -axis.

our reduced sample of 64 groups (when groups with no spirals are removed). We analyze them separately since their physical reality as dynamical entities is not clearly established (Thomas & Couchman 1992). Some of them might be HCGs in formation, in a scenario as the one suggested by Governato et al. (1996), where groups form and are maintained against collapse by accretion of new members. They might also be the result of some merging in CGs, but no sign of that is evident from the existing observations. The HI deficiency of the triple systems is not significant, $Def_{HI} = 0.19 \pm 0.10$, which can be understood from the above considerations, as either not real systems or unevolved systems. One of these triplets, HCG 2, has been mapped by us at the VLA, and the only signs of interactions found are incipient HI tails arising from 2 of its members. When these systems are excluded from the sample, the HI deficiency of the 50 groups with at least 4 members (and at least one spiral) increases marginally to $Def_{HI} = 0.40 \pm 0.07$.

A few cases are found in our sample that might be false groups, in the sense that instead of being separate galaxies they might well be knots of an irregular galaxy, or at most 2 galaxies. This is the case of HCG 18, which is probably a single knotty galaxy (see e.g. Williams & Van Gorkom 1988; Plana et al. 2000). HCG 54 is also composed of small optical knots (~ 0.6 kpc diameter), embedded in a single cloud with a diameter of 12 kpc with a long tail about 20 kpc long. We are currently investigating the existence of some neighbor galaxy that might have produced the tidal tail. When removed from the sample the deficiency does not change significantly.




Fig. 5. Comparison of the total HI masses expected for groups whose morphological types are $t_{(i=1,N)}$ versus those obtained using $t_{(i=1,N)} - 2$. This plot demonstrates the effect of misidentifying the Hubble type by $\Delta t = 2$.

4.2. HI deficiency and group properties

The most HI deficient groups among those mapped with the VLA are HCG 40, 44 and 92, while the existence of two lenticular galaxies in HCG 79 makes its deficiency uncertain. We find that the HI deficiency in them is not due to a few highly anemic objects, but shared by all of their members. Although this result is obtained based on a small set of groups, it suggests that the cause of HI deficiency should be associated with a group property.

In this section we explore possible parameters that can be the origin of the HI deficiency found in HCGs. We will exclude from all calculations groups with no spirals, triplets and false groups, so that the final sample is composed of 48 groups, with $Def_{HI} = 0.40 \pm 0.07$. For the purpose of the statistical calculations performed in this section the sample has been divided between normal HI groups and HI deficient groups according to the definition given in Sect. 3.1. HI excess has not been considered since only 3 groups would be, in this case, and derived quantities will not have statistical significance. The rest divides equally between normal and deficient groups.

4.2.1. Morphology of the galaxies

The presence of a 1st-ranked elliptical galaxy, acting as a deep potential well, has been suggested in the literature as the cause of the HI deficiency through consumption or ionization of the gas (Vílchez & Iglesias-Páramo 1998; Sulentic & de Mello Rabaca 1993). From our data the difference in HI deficiency between groups with a 1st-ranked

Table 4. Mean physical parameters for the HCGs.

Parameter ^a	HI normal	HI deficient	Difference in σ
Fraction of ellipticals	0.14	0.25	2.2
Fraction of E+S0	0.30	0.44	2.0
$Comp/(L_B \text{ Mpc}^{-2})$	390	888	2.5
N	4.6	4.9	1.1
D_G (kpc)	136.8	107.5	1.5
R_{25} (kpc)	11.9	12.7	0.6
R_p (kpc)	1.7	1.6	0.7
$\log \sigma_v$ (km s ⁻¹)	2.14	2.31	2.0
$\Delta\rho$	316	557	1.0
n_{corr}	1.1	2.2	1.3
Def_{H_2}	-0.21	0.25	3.2
X-ray detected	4/22	10/22	

^a The listed parameters are defined in Sect. 4.2. All of them are mean values of group parameters calculated on the basis of the final sample of 48 HCGs, except for Def_{H_2} and for the X-ray detection rate. Def_{H_2} is calculated for the 32 galaxies with both HI and CO data. The X-ray detection rate is given for the 44 groups with HI data observed in X-ray by Ponman et al. (1996).

elliptical and with a 1st-ranked spiral, or even when no elliptical galaxies exist, is not significant. Therefore a bright elliptical does not appear to play an important role in the HI deficiency, although it cannot be excluded that this mechanism is at work in some particular cases. Only a marginal (2σ) trend is found for HI deficient groups to be richer in early type galaxies (Table 4).

4.2.2. Group compactness, velocity dispersion, isolation and related parameters

Do the most compact groups show also the largest HI deficiencies? Since the densest groups should include the most dynamically evolved, compactness is a parameter to investigate in this study. We compared compactness of normal and HI deficient groups ($Comp$, calculated as the total L_B of accordant galaxies divided by the physical surface area of the group) and found weak (2.5σ) evidence for the HI deficient groups to show higher surface density (Table 4). No correlation is found between the number of galaxies in each group (N), group diameter (D_G), galaxy sizes (R_{25}), and median projected separation of the galaxies (R_p) and the HI deficiency. HI deficient groups have a marginal (2σ) trend to have larger velocity dispersions (σ_v) than normal groups.

HCGs were defined with an isolation criterion (Hickson 1982); however, new data have permitted refinements in the characterization of their environment, showing a variety of situations. Measuring on the red POSS plates, Sulentic (1987) performed counts of galaxies density enhancement within 0.5° of HCGs and within one magnitude of the faintest galaxy in the group ($\Delta\rho$).

Rood & Williams (1989) performed similar counts within 10 radii of the group, and apparent magnitude in the range of the group members, correcting for the estimated number of field galaxies (n_{corr}). We find no difference in the degree of isolation of normal and HI deficient groups (Table 4).

4.2.3. Interstellar medium

Gravitational torque during encounters can produce higher density conditions due to accretion of HI toward the center, inducing conversion of atomic into molecular gas (García-Barreto et al. 1994; Menon 1995 and references therein). CO data exist for 79 among 202 spirals in 44 of the groups with single-dish HI data (Verdes-Montenegro et al. 1998; Leon et al. 1998), and no CO excess has been found. Instead, 20% of the groups are in fact CO poor. For the 32 galaxies with both CO and HI data, we have compared HI and H₂ deficiency ($Def_{\text{H}_2} = \log[M(\text{H}_2)_{\text{pred}}] - \log[M(\text{H}_2)_{\text{obs}}]$, with $M(\text{H}_2)_{\text{pred}}$ obtained from Perea et al. 1997). We find that galaxies with a larger amount of stripped HI show a trend to have a depressed CO content (3σ , Table 4). Quilis et al. (2000) suggested that HI stripping would break the balance between disruption of molecular clouds by star formation and condensation of new molecular complexes, so producing H₂ deficiency and consequently depressed star formation activity. A general comparison between star formation activity (e.g. star formation rate or far-infrared emission) and HI content has not been possible due to the small number of galaxies common to both samples.

Sixty-four of the 72 HCGs with HI data have been observed in X-ray by Ponman et al. (1996), 44 of them belong to our final sample of 48 (22 normal in HI and 22 deficient), and 3 more are only composed of only early type galaxies. Among them only 14 have associated (diffuse) X-ray emission according to Ponman et al. (1996). This leaves us little cases for a statistical study of X-ray luminosities. However the detection rate is more than double for HI deficient groups (Table 4), and we have checked that this is not due to larger exposure times or closer distances. This suggests that HI deficient groups are more luminous at X-ray wavelengths. Not much information can be obtained from VLA mapped groups: only HCG 33, HCG 16 and HCG 92 have been detected in X-ray and no maps exist for HCG 33. Recent XMM images of HCG 16 (Turner et al. 2001) indicate that the X-ray emission is not diffuse but comes from the nuclei of the galaxies. This is consistent with the normal HI content of this group. In the case of HCG 92 the anticorrelation between HI and X-ray emission suggests that the missing atomic gas was heated in a shock after being removed from the individual galaxies (see Sect. 4.3). These evidences are not general enough to be applied to the whole sample.

The largest deficiency among the 72 groups with single-dish measurements is found in HCG 30, with $Def_{\text{HI}} = 1.56$ (97%). HCG 30 is composed of 4 galaxies




Fig. 6. Observed versus predicted HI mass for: **a)** HCGs as a whole (filled circles) where the total masses have been normalized to the number of galaxies in the group for comparison, and for the individual galaxies (open circles); **b)** Virgo galaxies (Huchtmeier and Richter 1989); **c)** Coma cluster galaxies (Bravo-Alfaro et al. 2000); **d)** Coma I group (García-Barreto et al. 1994). Histograms of the HI deficiency for each sample are shown in the insets of the upper left of each panel.

(3 spirals and 1 lenticular) with optical luminosities $L_B = 7, 5, 3$ and $0.8 \times 10^9 L_\odot$, but only $4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ of atomic gas is detected. We did not detect CO toward this group, with an upper limit for each galaxy of $9 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, a factor of 10 lower than expected for the spirals, the same applying to the FIR luminosity ($3 \times 10^9 L_\odot$ observed versus $3 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$ expected for each spiral; Verdes-Montenegro et al. 1998). Little H α emission is detected in the four galaxies of this group (Vílchez & Iglesias-Páramo 1998), consistent with the low amount of gas associated with them. Finally, the density contrast with respect to the environment is among the lowest. In a radius of 500 kpc four more galaxies with magnitudes in the range of the group members and velocity differences below 300 km s^{-1} are listed in NED.

The most extreme cases of HI deficient galaxies ($Def_{\text{HI}} > 1$) mapped at the VLA are HCG 92b and c where no HI is detected above a 3σ value of 0.8% of their expected content. These galaxies are strongly deficient in molecular gas, with $Def_{\text{H}_2} > 1.2$ for HCG 92b and $Def_{\text{H}_2} = 1.0$ for HCG 92c (Yun et al. 1997). The FIR emission of these galaxies is not enhanced with respect to their optical luminosity, indicating that disk star formation was not triggered by the interactions.

4.2.4. Comparison with other dense environments

We have compared the degree of HI deficiency in HCGs with galaxies in Virgo and Coma clusters as well as in the Coma I group. In Fig. 6 we compare the observed and expected values of HI mass for these environments. Histograms of the HI deficiency are also shown. The HI data for individual galaxies of HCGs are shown in Fig. 6a as filled circles, and the mean value for the groups, obtained after normalizing to the number of members, as open circles. In Fig. 6b we plot the data for 313 galaxies in Virgo cluster within $10^\circ 5$ of M87 (Huchtmeier & Richter 1989). Data for Coma cluster galaxies are plotted in Fig. 6c (Bravo-Alfaro et al. 2000) and for Coma I group in Fig. 6d (García-Barreto et al. 1994). In the 3 comparison samples the largest deficiencies are reached close to the center of the cluster/group and with values similar to those found in HCGs, in spite of the different characteristics of each environment. Deficiencies are larger for Coma I group galaxies and is probably due to a better constraint on the upper limits due to its closer distance. Clusters have larger number of members and velocity dispersions than groups, and ram pressure stripping

is the standard explanation there for HI deficiency. Coma I cloud is composed of 32 galaxies, half of them HI deficient, with radial velocity dispersion similar to compact groups ($\sigma = 190 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). García-Barreto et al. (1994) argue that the corresponding pressure of a hypothetical intragroup medium could strip gas from the outer part of the disks. Recent numerical simulations such as by Abadi et al. (1999) have found that ram pressure stripping is not an efficient mechanism in a group and poor cluster environment, and another mechanism is likely needed to account for the intragroup medium.

The question on how such intragroup medium can be generated or mimicked in the compact group environment maybe related with the fact that the number of crossings are much larger than in clusters, where most galaxies would instead suffer fewer but more violent passages through the cluster center. A second alternative, where gas is heated by shock induced by an intruder galaxy was discussed in Sect. 4.2.3 in the context of X-ray emission.

4.3. An evolutionary sequence from the HI distribution

The groups imaged with the VLA show a variety of HI distributions, with 70% of the spiral galaxies perturbed in HI, and their morphology and kinematics, as well as HI content, may be interpreted as different stages of group evolution. There is no one-to-one correspondence between the presence of tidal features and atomic gas content. Not all deficient groups show HI tidal tails, neither are all groups with HI tidal features deficient in HI, e.g. HCG 16, 31, 92 and 96 show numerous tidal tails although HCG 92 is the only HI deficient.

The normal level of FIR emission found among the HCG galaxies (Verdes-Montenegro et al. 1997) is not consistent with the usual scenario of tidally induced gas inflow seen among interacting galaxies and the contemporaneous, enhanced starburst activity. Although the inflow of gas and conversion into stars during the past episodes of interactions cannot be ruled out, efficient tidal removal of the outer HI disks and the inhibition of inflow (see Sect. 4.2.3) offers a simpler explanation. Once the HI is tidally removed from the individual galaxies, the dynamic and energetic compact group environment may be highly conducive to dispersal or ionization of the gas and producing the observed HI deficiency. As discussed by Hibbard et al. (2000), HI tidal tails are dynamically fragile and may be easily ionized, thereby possibly explaining why some HI deficient groups do not show tidal tails. The expected emission measure for ionized HI tidal tails is of order $1 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$ or less, which is detectable only by the most sensitive observations. This leads us to propose a scheme for an evolutionary sequence, shown in Fig. 7 with a sample group in each class. HCG 95 is not considered here since only half of the single-dish HI flux is detected in the VLA maps, and found to be located in the galaxies (Huchtmeier et al. 2000).

In Phase 1 the HI distribution and kinematics are relatively unperturbed, and more than $\sim 90\%$ of the HI mass

is located in the disks of the galaxies with the remaining gas found in incipient tidal tails. Examples of this are HCG 88 (top of the tree in Fig. 7) together with HCG 23 and 33. HCG 88 has a high density of galaxies ($160 \text{ galaxies/Mpc}^2$) and high degree of isolation (only two galaxies, 4 magnitudes fainter than galaxy d, are found in a 700 pc -side square centered on the group; De Carvalho et al. 1997), and is especially interesting since this quartet of spirals, aligned in projection, has been considered a good candidate for a projected filament (Hernquist et al. 1995). However the properties of this group, in particular velocity dispersion ($\sigma_v = 27 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and crossing time ($H_0 t_c = 8.70$), are quite different from those predicted by the simulations performed by Hernquist et al. (1995; see their Table 1). Therefore we think that HCG 88 is a good example of a physically dense group at a very early stage of interaction.

In Phase 2, groups still retain a significant amount of HI in the disks of the spirals but 30 to 60% of the total HI mass forms tidal features. The HI morphology in these groups can be understood as an extrapolation of interactions in pairs of galaxies, where tails and bridges are often seen. Examples are HCG 96, 16 and 31, ordered from lower to higher percentage of gas in tidal features. In the figure we show HCG 16 as an example where the intragroup atomic gas composes a grid of bridges and tails.

In Phase 3a most if not all of the HI have been stripped from the disks of the galaxies and are either found in tails, produced in multiple tidal interactions, or not even detected. Examples of this are HCG 40, 44 and 92. HCG 92 is a specially striking case since all the observed HI (35% of the expected content) has been stripped out from the galaxies and formed several intragalactic clouds and tidal tails (Williams et al. 2001). Abundant heated and/or ionized gas is observed: X-ray emission from shocked gas (Pietsch et al. 1997) anticorrelates with the HI, and intense bursts of star formation external to the galaxies have been reported by several authors (e.g. Moles et al. 1997; Plana et al. 1999; Xu et al. 1999; Gallagher et al. 2000). The most extreme case is HCG 40, where HI is only detected in a fraction of the disks of two of its spiral members, and no HI external to the galaxies is detected, so that $10^{10} M_\odot$ (90% of the expected HI) are missing. We think that the HI was stripped and then suffered more easily a phase change, although this requires further confirmation since no X-ray measurement exists for this group.

The least common Phase (named 3b in Fig. 7) involves groups where the HI gas forms a large cloud containing all galaxies, and with a single velocity gradient. The total HI spectrum has a characteristic single peaked shape. This appears to be a rare situation, since among the 72 groups observed with single dish (excluding HCG 18 and HCG 54 as probable false groups, see Sect. 4.1.4) only HCG 26 (Williams & Van Gorkom 1995) and 49 show this kind of spectrum. HCG 26 is dominated by a large edge-on spiral whose HI is difficult to differentiate from the whole cloud. HCG 49 is the best defined and most impressive example of this phase, shown in the right branch of Fig. 7. The HI




Fig. 8. A test of resolution effects. **a)** HI integrated emission (left) and velocity field (right) of HCG 49. **b)** The same as in **a)** for HCG 31. **c)** The same as in **b)** smoothed to a beam with the same physical size as in HCG 49 ($63'' \times 52''$). The shown levels are $N(\text{HI}) = 4, 18, 40, 72, 143, 206, 252, 295 \times 10^{19}$ at cm^{-2} .

more easily detached from the individual members to form a common HI cloud (A. Bosma, priv. comm.). Hence evolution from Phase 2 to 3a or 3b would depend on the size of the galaxies. Small optical disks with respect to the HI extent may favor the formation of a single cloud. We are currently performing optical imaging and spectroscopy of this group in order to better characterize the dynamics of the group.

The case of HCG 79 (Seyfert's sextet) is considered here separately due to the uncertainty in its classification. This group contains a giant elliptical with a prominent dust lane as well as FIR and radiocontinuum emission, two lenticulars and a single spiral galaxy, from which an HI and 2 optical tails are emerging. However the signs of

dynamical evolution are stronger in the optical, with an extended luminous halo (Sulentic & Lorre 1983; Nishiura et al. 2000). The detected HI tail might have been produced via interaction of the spiral member with this halo.

5. Conclusions

A combined analysis of single-dish data for 72 HCGs and high resolution mapping for 16 shows:

- The full sample of 72 groups with HI single-dish data has a mean HI deficiency of $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.36 \pm 0.06$. When a more homogeneous sample is extracted, excluding triplets, false groups, and groups with no spiral members, the remaining 48 groups show a larger mean

HI deficiency of 0.40 ± 0.07 . These values depend only weakly on expected errors in the classification of the morphological types;

- The galaxies mapped with the VLA have a larger degree of deficiency, $Def_{\text{HI}} = 0.62 \pm 0.09$ in agreement with the efficient gas stripping that takes place, evidenced by HI tails and bridges;
- We have investigated whether the deficiency could be due to HI missed from the beam, either due to a small beamsize relative to the group extent, or to the presence of diffuse undetected emission. If the HI extent observed in the VLA maps is reasonably representative of the whole sample, then the beam size did not have a relevant effect on the reported HI deficiency. We discard also the presence of significant amounts of extended cold gas that escaped the observations, from comparison between VLA and single-dish fluxes;
- A large set of group parameters is explored as the origin of the HI deficiency, including morphological types, size of the groups and galaxies, compactness, velocity dispersion, isolation and ISM. We find a weak ($2-2.5\sigma$) trend for the HI deficient groups to be early type rich, more compact, and show higher velocity dispersions. A slightly stronger correlation exists (3σ level) with the CO content: HI deficient groups have also depressed CO emission. The study of a larger and complete sample (as the one defined by Pradoni et al. 1994; see also Coziol et al. 2000) would be desirable to confirm these trends;
- The most extreme case of deficient group (HCG 30; $Def_{\text{HI}} = 1.56$ or 97% of the expected HI missing) as well as deficient galaxies (HCG 92b and d; $Def_{\text{HI}} = 2.1 - 99\%$) show also a depressed content of molecular gas and no FIR enhancement. Star formation seems therefore inhibited by intense HI stripping from the galactic disks that breaks the ISM equilibrium;
- The HI deficiency level is similar to the one found in the central galaxies of Coma and Virgo clusters, as well as Coma I group, although there the deficiencies seems to be related to the existence of a hot intra-group medium. The existence of a similar mechanism in groups is not clear. Among the 44 groups of our sample observed in X-ray, the detection rate is higher for the HI deficient groups, and this is not due to closer distances or longer exposure times. However the distribution of the X-ray emission is not clear. HCG 16 shows only nuclear X-ray emission while HCG 92 shows heated gas cooling at X-ray wavelengths, with the hot gas anticorrelated with the neutral atomic gas;
- The mapped groups show a variety of HI distributions, with 70% of the spiral galaxies perturbed in HI. These results are combined in a possible evolutionary scenario. Least evolved groups are found with a low level of interaction. Later, multiple tidal tails form, a stage that can be understood as an extrapolation of pair interactions. Once the gas is removed from the galaxies it can be more easily heated or destroyed, thereby producing HI deficient groups. In a few cases, the HI

evolves to form a single cloud containing the whole group, which might be related to the smaller size of the galaxies.

The general process producing HI deficiency in a large number of HCGs is yet to be clarified. Our results suggest that gas heating maybe playing a role, but more sensitive X-ray fluxes and maps for a larger set of groups with available HI data would substantially help to improve our understanding.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge interesting discussions with J. Sulentic, P. T. P. Ho, R. Sancisi, E. Athanassoula and A. Bosma. LV–M, AO and JP are partially supported by DGI (Spain) Grant AYA2000-1564 and Junta de Andalucía (Spain).

References

- Abadi, M. G., Moore, B., & Bower, R. G. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 947
- Athanassoula, E., Makino, J., & Bosma, A. 1997, MNRAS, 286, 825
- Bravo-Alfaro, H., Cayatte, V., van Gorkom, J. H., & Balkowski, C. 2000, AJ, 119, 580
- De Carvalho, R. R., Ribeiro, A. L. B., Capelato, H. V., & Zepf, S. E. 1997, ApJS, 110, 1
- Coziol, R., Iovino, A., & de Carvalho, R. R. 2000, AJ, 120, 47
- Diaferio, A., Geller, M. J., & Ramella, M. 1994, AJ, 107, 868
- Gallagher, S. C., Hunsberger, S. D., Charlton, J. C., & Zaritsky, D. 2000, in Massive Stellar Clusters, Proceedings of the international workshop held in Strasbourg, France, November 8–11, 1999, ed. A. Lançon, & C. Boily, ASP Conf. Ser., 247
- García-Barreto, J. A., Downes, D. A., & Huchtmeier, W. K. 1994, A&A, 288, 705
- Governato, F., Tozzi, P., & Cavaliere, A. 1996, ApJ, 458, 18
- Haynes, M. P., & Giovanelli, R. 1984, AJ, 89, 758
- Hernquist, L., Katz, N., & Weinberg, D. H. 1995, ApJ, 442, 57
- Hibbard, J. E., Vacca, W. D., & Yun, M. S. 2000, AJ, 119, 1130
- Hibbard, J. E., & Van Gorkom, J. H. 1997, AJ, 111
- Hickson, P. 1982, ApJ, 255, 382
- Hickson, P., Kindl, E., & Auman, J. R. 1988, ApJ, 331, 64
- Hickson, P., Kindl, E., & Auman, J. R. 1989, ApJS, 70, 687
- Hickson, P., Mendes de Oliveira, C., Huchra, J. P., & Palumbo, G. 1992, ApJ, 399, 353
- Huchtmeier, W. K. 1973, A&A, 22, 91
- Huchtmeier, W. K. 1997, A&A, 325, 473
- Huchtmeier, W. K., & Richter, O.-G. 1989, A&A, 210, 1
- Huchtmeier, W. K., Verdes-Montenegro, L., Yun, M. S., Del Olmo, A., & Perea, J. 2000, Small Galaxy Groups: IAU Colloq., 174, ed. M. J. Valtonen, & C. Flynn, ASP Conf. Ser., 209 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific), 154
- Leon, S., Combes, F., & Menon, T. K. 1998, A&A, 330, 37
- Menon, T. K. 1995, MNRAS 274, 845
- Moles, M., del Olmo, A., Perea, J., et al. 1994, A&A, 285, 444
- Moles, M., Sulentic, J. W., Márquez, I., et al. 1997, ApJ, 485, L69
- Nishiura, S., Murayama, T., Shimada, M., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 2355

- Perea, J., del Olmo, A., Verdes-Montenegro, L., & Yun, M. S. 1997, *ApJ*, 490, 166
- Perea, J., del Olmo, A., Verdes-Montenegro, L., et al. 2000, *Small Galaxy Groups: IAU Colloq.*, 174, ed. M. J. Valtonen, & C. Flynn, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 209 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific), 377
- Pietsch, W., Trinchieri, G., Arp, H., & Sulentic, J. W. 1997, *A&A*, 322, 89
- Plana, H., Amram, P., Mendes de Oliveira, C., & Balkowski, C. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 621
- Plana, H., Mendes de Oliveira, C., & Amram, P. 1999, *ApJ*, 516, L69
- Ponman, T. J., Bourner, P. D. J., Ebeling, H., & Bohringer, H. 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 690
- Prandoni, I., Iovino, A., & MacGillvray, H. T. 1994, *AJ*, 107, 1235
- Price, R. M., Babic, B., & Jones, K. 2000, *Small Galaxy Groups: IAU Colloq.*, 174, ed. M. J. Valtonen, & C. Flynn, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 209 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific), 163
- Quilis, V., Moore, B., & Bower, R. 2000, *Science*, 288, 1617
- Rood, H. J., & Williams, B. A. 1989, *ApJ*, 339, 772
- Shostak, G. S., Sullivan III, W. T., & Allen, R. J. 1984, *A&A*, 139, 15
- Sulentic, J. W. 1987, *ApJ*, 322, 605
- Sulentic 2000, *Small Galaxy Groups: IAU Colloq.*, 174, ed. M. J. Valtonen, & C. Flynn, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 209 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific), 227
- Sulentic, J. W., & Lorre, J. J. 1983, *A&A*, 120, 36
- Sulentic, J. W., & Rabaca, C. R. 1994, *ApJ*, 429, 531
- Sulentic, J. W., & de Mello Rabaca, D. F. 1993, *ApJ*, 410, 520
- Turner, M. J. L., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 365, L11
- Thomas, P. A., & Couchman, H. M. P. 1992, *MNRAS*, 257, 11
- Verdes-Montenegro, L., Del Olmo, A., Perea, J., et al. 1997, *A&A*, 321, 409
- Verdes-Montenegro, L., Yun, M. S., Perea, J., Del Olmo, A., & Ho, P. T. P. 1998, *ApJ*, 497, 89
- Verdes-Montenegro, L., Yun, M. S., & Williams, B. A. 2000a, *Small Galaxy Groups: IAU Colloq.*, 174, ed. M. J. Valtonen, & C. Flynn, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 209 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific), 167
- Verdes-Montenegro, L., Yun, M. S., Williams, B. A., et al. 2000b, *Gas and Galaxy Evolution: A Conference in Honor of the 20th Anniversary of the VLA (Socorro, New Mexico, USA)*
- Vílchez, J. M., & Iglesias-Páramo, J. 1998, *ApJ*, 506, L101
- Williams, B. A., McMahon, P. M., & Van Gorkom, J. H. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 1957
- Williams, B. A., & Rood, H. J. 1987, *ApJS*, 63, 265
- Williams, B. A., & Van Gorkom, J. 1988, *AJ*, 95, 352
- Williams, B. A., & Van Gorkom, J. H. 1995, in *Groups of galaxies*, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 70, 77
- Williams, B. A., Van Gorkom, J. H., Yun, M. S., & Verdes-Montenegro, L. 1997, in *IAU Conf. Galaxy Interactions at Low and High Redshift (Kyoto, Japan)*
- Williams, B. A., Yun, M. S., & Verdes-Montenegro, L. 1999, *AAA*, 194, 19.05
- Williams, B. A., Yun, M. S., & Verdes-Montenegro, L. 2001, in preparation
- Xu, C., Sulentic, J. W., & Tuffs, R. 1999, *ApJ*, 512, 178
- Yun, M. S., Ho, P. T. P., & Lo, K. Y. 1994, *Nature*, 372, 530
- Yun, M. S., Verdes-Montenegro, L., Del Olmo, A., & Perea, J. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, L21
- Zepf, S. E., Whitmore, B. C., & Levison, H. F. 1991, *ApJ*, 383, 524