Who is Afraid of Political Instability?

By: Nauro F. Campos and Jeffrey B. Nugent

Working Paper Number 326 July 2000

WHO IS AFRAID OF POLITICAL INSTABILITY?

by Nauro F. Campos and Jeffrey B. Nugent

Executive Summary

The analysis of the consequences of socio-political instability has been a central theme in recent macroeconomic research, in general, and in the economic growth literature, in particular. There are two different views on the nature of the relationship between political instability and growth. Some authors submit that political instability disrupts productive activities and increases uncertainty. By doing so, it undermines the incentives for the accumulation of physical capital with detrimental consequences for the rate of economic growth. Other economists argue that economic growth leads to more political instability because growth entails substantial structural changes that undo political coalitions and induce painful read*justments in the balance of power among different interest groups.

Despite the negative relationship between political instability and economic growth having been elevated to "stylized fact" status, the empirical studies on which this assessment is based have been heavily criticized for ad hoc selection of explanatory variables, excessively narrow definitions of political instability, insufficient sensitivity analysis and failure to investigate the direction of causality. Although not fully sharing this criticism, we believe that this finding should not be elevated to "stylized fact" status without demonstrating that causality exists and runs from political instability to growth, rather than vice-versa.

The objective of this paper is to investigate the existence and direction of a causal relationship between political instability and economic growth. To do so, we construct two indexes of political instability (one for mild and the another for severe instability) for non-overlapping five-year periods, between 1960 and 1995, for 98 developing countries. We use the Granger causality framework and report Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano instrumental variable estimates.

We find no evidence of the hypothesized negative and causal relationship between political instability and economic growth. Our sensitivity analysis, however, suggests two possible explanations for the apparent disagreement between our findings and those of the rest of the literature. First, for the full sample, the negative relationship obtains only contemporaneously (and independently of whether we use 25- or 5-year averages). Second, in the long run and ignoring institutional factors, the Sub-Saharan Africa sample seems to be the driving force in arriving at the negative relation between growth and political instability.

WHO IS AFRAID OF POLITICAL INSTABILITY?*

Nauro F. Campos CERGE-EI, Charles University, Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR), and William Davidson Institute at the University of Michigan Jeffrey B. Nugent
Department of Economics
University of Southern California

This version: July 15, 2000

Abstract: An unstable macroeconomic environment is often regarded as detrimental to economic growth. Among the sources contributing to such instability, the literature has assigned most of the blame to political issues. This paper empirically tests for a causal and negative long-term relation between political instability and economic growth, but finds no evidence of such a relationship. Sensitivity analysis indicates that there is a contemporaneous negative relationship and that, in the long run and ignoring institutional factors, the Sub-Saharan Africa group plays the determining role in steering this relationship into causal and negative.

Keywords: economic growth, political instability.

JEL classification: O40, E23, D72.

* We thank Daron Acemoglu, Lee Alston, Sebastian Edwards, Yi Feng, Dipak Gupta, Jan Hanousek, Phil Hoffman, Stephen Haber, Steven Helfand, Cheng Hsiao, Phil Keefer, Jan Kmenta, Christian Morrisson, Bernardo Mueller, Lant Pritchett, James Robinson, Gerard Roland, Luis Serven, Jakob Svensson, Kenneth Sokoloff, Viatcheslav Vinogradov, Ronald Wintrobe, two anonymous referees and seminar participants at Stanford University, University of Calabria and Stockholm School of Economics, WEA (Seattle), LACEA (Bogota) and EPCS (Gothenburg) meetings for valuable comments on previous versions of this paper. Alacritous research assistance was provided by Aurelijus Dabusinskas. The usual disclaimer applies. The data set used in this paper is available from the authors upon request, and it is also available on-line as http://home.cerge.cuni.cz/ncampost/gispi.txt.

Nauro F. Campos, CERGE-EI, P.O. Box 882, 11121 Prague 1, Czech Republic. E-mail: nauro.campos@cerge.cuni.cz.

Jeffrey B. Nugent, Department of Economics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0253, USA. E-mail: nugent@rcf.usc.edu

1. Introduction

The analysis of the consequences of socio-political instability (hereafter, SPI) has been a central theme in recent macroeconomic research, in general, and in the economic growth literature, in particular.¹ There are two very different views on the relationship between SPI and growth. Some submit that SPI disrupts production and increases uncertainty in the economy. By doing so, it undermines the incentives for the accumulation of physical capital and reduces the rate of economic growth.² Others argue that economic growth leads to either higher SPI (because growth entails substantial structural changes that undo political coalitions and induce painful readjustments in the balance of power among different interest groups³) or lower SPI because it reduces social and political tensions. Despite the negative relationship between SPI and economic growth having been elevated to "stylized fact" status,⁴ the empirical studies on which this is based have been heavily criticized for ad hoc selection of explanatory or control variables, excessively narrow definitions of SPI, insufficient sensitivity analysis and failure to investigate the direction of causality.⁵ Although not fully sharing this criticism, we

-

Among the variables allegedly affected by SPI are the independence of central banks (see e.g. Cukierman, Webb and Neypati, 1992 and Cukierman and Webb, 1995), seigniorage (Cukierman, Edwards, and Tabellini, 1992), aggregate investment (Ozler and Rodrik, 1992), budget deficits (Roubini, 1991), external debt (Alesina and Tabellini, 1989, and Ozler and Tabellini, 1991), and exchange rate regime (Collins, 1996). Examples of the literature on SPI and growth are Barro (1991), Alesina, Ozler, Roubini and Swagel (1996), and Ades and Chua (1997).

² See Alesina, Ozler, Roubini and Swagel (1996), and references therein.

³ See, e.g., Olson (1963) and North (1981).

⁴ According to the review of the literature by Mankiw (1995, 302), a robust finding is that "political instability, as measured by the frequency of revolutions, coups, or wars, is negatively associated with growth". Similarly, Persson and Tabellini (1999) conclude in their chapter for the *Handbook of Macroeconomics* (1999): "Political instability, as measured by more frequent regime changes, or political unrest and violence, is significantly and negatively correlated with growth in cross-country data".

⁵ Durlauf and Quah (1998) provide the most extensive review of this empirical literature and find that more than 80 different explanatory variables have been used thus far.

believe that this finding should not be elevated to "stylized fact" status without demonstrating that causality exists and runs from SPI to growth, rather than vice-versa.

The objective of this paper is to investigate the existence and direction of a causal relationship between SPI and economic growth. To do so, we construct two different indexes of SPI for non-overlapping five-year periods, between 1960 and 1995, for 98 developing countries. We use the Granger causality framework and report Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano instrumental variable estimates.

We find no evidence of the hypothesized negative and causal relationship between political instability and economic growth. Our sensitivity analysis, however, suggests two explanations for the apparent disagreement between our findings and those of the rest of the literature. First, for the full sample, the negative relationship obtains only contemporaneously (and independently of whether we use 25- or 5-year averages). Second, in the long run and ignoring institutional factors, the Sub-Saharan Africa sample seems to be the driving force in arriving at the negative relation between SPI and growth.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present our two measures of SPI, describe how each index is constructed and map the relationship between them. In Section III we discuss the advantages and shortcomings of the Granger-causality framework. In Section IV we present our causality results, reporting Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano instrumental variable estimates. In Section V we subject these results to sensitivity analysis. Section 6 concludes.

2. The Measurement of Political Instability

There seem to be two rather different understandings of SPI in the literature. One stresses regular and irregular government transfers, the other much harsher aspects, such as revolutions, *coups*

d'Etat, civil wars and political assassinations.⁶ That these overlap (e.g., by including irregular government transfers) does little to diminish the different intensities that each attaches to "instability." While the former interpretation constrains it to relatively tame phenomena, the latter places it closer to social chaos. In order to recognize both views, we construct two measures of SPI, one capturing the more severe and the other the less severe forms of SPI. While many other variants could have been used, our justification is that these can be considered the bounds of the realistic range of such measures, together permitting a more complete depiction of the causality structure between SPI and growth.

Our measure of "severe" or "upper-bound" SPI follows existing literature in using three indicators: the numbers of political assassinations per million people, revolutions and successful *coups* d'Etat.⁷ The first of these is especially important because it captures a magnitude dimension that is largely missing from the other (frequency) measures.

For the measure of the "moderate" or "lower-bound" SPI we follow Chen and Feng (1996) and others in the use of indicators from the *Polity III* data collection (Jaegger and Gurr, 1996). A crucial advantage of using this source is its relatively complete country and time coverage. From it, we select the following variables: competitiveness and regulation of political participation; regulation, competitiveness, and openness of executive recruitment; and the legal (*de jure*) and operational (*de facto*) independence of the chief executive. Because political actors and processes are to be subject to systematic regulation, this set of indicators is capable of capturing the extent of even subtle changes in

⁶ See footnote 4 above.

⁷ The data source is Barro and Lee (1993).

⁸ Although a more appropriate lower-bound measure of SPI might include strikes, demonstrations without violence or deaths, regional and internal conflicts, free press, etc., to our knowledge, data on such variables is largely lacking for our sample (98 developing countries, 1960-1995).

both legal and actual practice. The less regulated are such actors and processes, the greater is the potential for social and political change (and the higher the value of this SPI index).

These two SPI indexes are constructed by the method of principal components. This method has the benefit that it addresses the latent variable problem and minimizes the inherent arbitrariness in the aggregation procedure. For the severe or upper bound SPI (UBSPI) indicator, the loadings resulting from this procedure are 0.3162 for assassinations, 0.6909 for revolutions, and 0.6502 for coups. In the case of lower bound SPI (LBSPI), the resulting loadings are 0.3923 and 0.1105 for the competitiveness and regulation of political participation (respectively); 0.4677, 0.4734 and 0.3535 for regulation, competitiveness, and openness of executive recruitment; and 0.2317 and 0.4608 for the legal (de jure) and operational (de facto) independence of the chief executive.

Since both indexes are measures of SPI but capturing quite different aspects of it, one would expect them to be positively but not highly correlated. In general, this expectation is fulfilled: with the exception of the Middle East and North Africa region, for all other regions the correlation between the respective pairs of SPI indexes is positive and statistically significant but less than 0.6 (as shown in column 1, Table 1). Since these correlation coefficients are only with respect to the linear relation between the two measures, to get at non-linearities, in the remaining columns of Table 1 we present some results from two alternative specifications. Column (2) contains the adjusted-R² of regressions where the dependent variable is LBSPI and the independent variables are UBSPI and its square and column (3) those where a cubic term is added. Note that the adjusted values of R² are universally higher when the cubic terms are included. In the remaining columns of the table are the regression coefficients

⁹ Since in Polity III (Jaegger and Gurr, 1996) countries receive high scores when the extent of regulations is high (implying low SPI), for present purposes the coding has been reversed.

estimated from the model of column (3). Note that for the sample as a whole and for each region, the coefficients of the linear, quadratic and cubic terms have alternating signs – indicating non-linearity in the relationship - and are, with a single exception, significant at the 1-percent level. On this basis, we claim that our lower-bound SPI index is indeed systematically, though non-linearly, related to SPI of the more traditional "severe" or upper-bound variety.

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Next we turn to the rate of real per capita GDP growth and the other variables used in the analysis to follow and to the time periods chosen. All such measures are collected for non-overlapping five-year periods, covering the period 1960-1995 in an unbalanced panel of 98 developing countries. There are 14 countries from Asia, 21 from Latin America, 17 from the Middle East and North Africa and 46 from Sub-Sahara Africa. Table 2 shows basic statistics and correlation matrix. The negative correlation coefficients between both UBSPI and LBSPI and growth are both statistically significant for the full sample and for each of the regions. We also found that the same significant negative relationship holds for the pure cross section relating to growth over the whole period as to that reported in the table with the pooled data for five-year intervals. However, this negative relationship need not imply causality, the issue explored below.

-

¹⁰ Per capita GDP data are from Summers and Heston (1994). We chose an unbalanced panel in order to deviate as little as possible from the rest of the literature. The differences in country and time coverage between our sample and those used in other studies are marginal.

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

3. The Costs and Benefits of Granger Causality

This section discusses the conceptual and econometric advantages (as well as the limitations) of the Granger-causality framework. This framework has endured the test of time because of its elegance and strong intuitive appeal: the notion that an event in the future cannot cause one in the past. Consider two time series, x_t and y_t . Series x_t is said to Granger-cause series y_t if, in a regression of y_t on lagged y's and lagged y's, the coefficients of the lagged y's are jointly significantly different from zero.

There are two critical issues to be addressed in conducting Granger causality tests.¹² The first concerns the length and frequency of the time lags. On their length, Granger warns that "using data measured over intervals much wider than actual causal lags can destroy causal interpretation" (Granger, 1987, p.49). We believe that five year periods are short enough to allow us to investigate the effects of lagged variables, and yet long enough to be meaningful for studying the long-run effects of SPI on economic growth, and vice-versa (Solow, 1997). As to their frequency, there are tests to determine the optimal number of lags. Yet, because ours is a short panel, we use the grid procedure identified below to evaluate the robustness of the results presented below.

The second issue to be dealt with lies in the information set. The Granger test depends on the assumption that the cause contains unique information about the effect, in the sense that it is exhaustive

¹¹ Granger remarks that "causation is a non-symmetric relationship, and there are various ways in which asymmetry can be introduced, the most important of which are controllability, a relevant theory, outside knowledge, and temporal priority" (1987, 49.) For discussion see, e.g., Hsiao (1979), and Zellner (1989).

¹² We do not know of other studies that use the Granger framework in this context. The closest paper to ours is Blomstrom, Lipsey and Zejan (1996).

and unavailable elsewhere. If the two variables in the Granger test are affected by a third one, unless the latter is accounted for, the test can be rendered useless. In what follows, we present Granger causality results that are unaffected by the inclusion of variables that could potentially play this disruptive role.

Finally, we must attend to the econometric issue that arises from the inclusion on the right-hand side of the (lagged) dependent variable, referred to in the econometric literature as the dynamic panel problem: unless the time dimension of the panel is very large, parameter estimates will be inconsistent and biased.¹³ While the best solution to this problem is still an object of debate in the econometrics literature, ¹⁴ in one of the few studies focusing on "short and wide" panels (like ours), Kiviet (1995) finds that the instrumental variable approach pioneered by Anderson and Hsiao (1982) performs as well as any other alternative. Hence, we use this method. Specifically, we first-differenced all variables and followed Arellano's (1989) recommendation by using the twice-lagged levels instead of the twice-lagged first-differences as instruments.

4. Empirical Results

We begin our investigation of the causality patterns between SPI and economic growth in Tables 3 and 4. In Table 3 we ask whether (severe or moderate) SPI Granger-causes per capita GDP growth. In our complete sample of 98 developing countries, we find no evidence of a causal relationship: neither moderate nor severe SPI seems to Granger-cause economic growth. When we break down these results by region, at best we find a negative relationship between moderate SPI and

_

¹³ For discussion see, e.g., Hsiao (1986), Sevestre and Trognon (1992), and Baltagi (1995).

¹⁴ See, among others, Holtz-Eakin et al. (1988), Arellano and Bond (1991), Kiviet (1995), and Judson and Owen (1999).

growth that is significant at the .10 (but not .05) level and only for the Sub-Saharan Africa sample. Note also that the effect (i.e., the sign of the relevant coefficient) varies substantially not only by region, but also by the SPI index used. In particular, for the Middle East and North Africa region, the commonly used severe SPI index Granger-causes greater rather than lower rates of economic growth. With respect to the relationship flowing from economic growth to SPI, the results presented in Table 4 fail to reveal any indication of causality.

INSERT TABLES 3 AND 4 ABOUT HERE

Summarizing, the evidence supporting the hypothesis that SPI causes a decrease in the growth rate of per capita income seems much weaker than generally believed. In addition, such a negative and causal relation seems to be largely confined to the Sub-Saharan Africa sample, the only sample for which the relevant coefficient is statistically significant. Finally, we find no evidence whatsoever of causality flowing the other way (i.e., from per capita GDP growth to SPI). Before discussing these results further, in the following section we subject them to various sensitivity analyses.

5. Sensitivity Analysis

The objective of this section is to test the sensitivity of the results to various modifications, which (in the interest of space) are only partially reported in the text.

political instability (as above) statistically insignificant.

8

¹⁵ Campos, Nugent and Robinson (1999) find that in the Middle East and North Africa region, external political instability affect economic performance directly and indirectly (via policy distortions), conditional on the level of internal SPI. Controlling for external political instability renders the coefficient on internal

The first sensitivity test reported here is with respect to the frequency of the time lags. Having in mind that ours is a short panel, we experimented with including two lags of the "causing variable x" (instead of the one lag results presented throughout this paper), and with the exclusion of one lag of the "caused variable y." Since none of these changes affects our conclusions, and our focus is on the relation between SPI and *long-term* growth, in subsequent sensitivity analyses we keep the length of the lag fixed at five years. ¹⁶

The issue regarding the content of the information set refers to whether there are omitted variables that affect both growth and SPI. A particularly promising candidate for such a role is institutional development. Our measure of institutional development is the index of "legislative effectiveness" from Banks (1984). It is selected here because it is available for a large number of developing countries for a long period of time, and conceptually it captures an aspect of institutional development that is closely related to SPI.¹⁷ The unattractive features are that the data are available only until 1984 (thereby forcing us to lose observations) and it is a categorical variable that assumes one of four values, from zero to 3.¹⁸ We mitigate these drawbacks by lagging it one period and using 5-year

_

¹⁶ It would be important to investigate the effect of alternative lag lengths (such as one, two, three or four year periods) relative to the five year length use here. While Gupta (1990) constructed annual series for a similar SPI index, he did so only until 1982 and in personal communication has stated that the updating of these series (until 1995) has not yet been completed. We thus have to leave this important exercise for future work.

¹⁷ For example, the quality of the bureaucracy is another aspect of institutional development, but its relation to SPI is not as direct or clear.

[&]quot;Legislative effectiveness" (LEGEF) is coded zero if no legislature exists, '1' if legislative activity is of a "rubber stamp" character, its implementation is faulty or it is completely subordinate to the executive, '2' if the executive's power substantially outweighs, but does not completely dominate, that of the legislature, and '3' for an "effective legislature" distinguished by significant governmental autonomy, including its ability to override vetoes by the executive.

averages. Our working hypothesis is that, in a given country, the level of SPI is contemporaneously negatively correlated with the level of institutional development.¹⁹

The initial level of per capita income is another natural candidate for having an influence on both SPI and economic growth. The convergence property of the neoclassical growth model suggests that growth should be negatively related to the initial level of income per capita. We conjecture also that lower levels of per capita income may increase the potential for political instability.

In what follows, we present results obtained by adding the levels of both initial income and institutional development to the specifications for the Granger-causality tests reported in the previous section. In Table 5 we investigate whether or not SPI Granger-causes GDP growth, once we control for the levels of institutional development and initial income. There are two important differences in results from those in Table 3. First, a rise in lower bound SPI ceases to Granger-cause (a decrease in) economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa and, second, a rise in severe SPI ceases to Granger-cause (an increase in) GDP growth in the Middle East and North Africa. It is worth noting that it is the inclusion of the institutional variable that makes the coefficient for Sub-Saharan Africa statistically insignificant but it is the inclusion of initial income per capita that does so for the Middle East and North Africa. In other words, the result for Sub-Saharan Africa from Table 3 holds with initial income in the specification (provided the institutional development variable is not included) and the result for the MENA region holds with the institutional development in the specification (provided initial income is not included).

¹⁹ We find support for the hypothesis that high levels of SPI are associated with low levels of institutional development. The contemporaneous correlation between "legislative effectiveness" and each of our SPI indexes are negative and statistically significant, at the 5 percent level, for our whole sample and each of the four regions individually.

INSERT TABLES 5 AND 6 ABOUT HERE

In Table 6 we ask whether economic growth Granger-causes SPI after controlling for the levels of institutional development and initial income. Recall that from Table 4 there was no indication of causality flowing in this direction, irrespective of the SPI index used or of the regional breakdown. These same results hold in Table 6 with one exception. The coefficient on economic growth for Latin America turns out to be statistically significant after we enlarge the information set. Moreover, the result indicates that a rise in the rate of per capita economic growth in this region seems to Granger-cause a rise in the level of our moderate index of SPI. Further investigation revealed that it is the inclusion of the institutional development variable that is responsible for this change.²⁰ The identification of the precise mechanism for this destabilizing effect of economic growth in Latin America is left for future work.

Further sensitivity tests were performed for alternative control variables with the following results (available on request from the authors). In particular, the results are not affected by using (instead of level of per capita income or institutional development) the rate of population growth or the growth rate of the country's main trade partners as alternative controls. The use of a "terms of trade" index as an alternative control does have some effect, but this is to make the coefficient on LBSPI for the Africa region statistically insignificant, thereby further strengthening the case against a causal relation.

Finally, some tests with respect to estimation procedure were also performed. Yet, our conclusions remain the same even if instead of the Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano estimator, we should use

²⁰ Notice that including only initial income in the relevant specifications also makes the coefficient on economic growth become statistically significant, although only marginally at the 10 percent level. It is on this basis that we claim that the institutional variable is responsible for the change.

11

any of the following procedures: OLS (with levels), OLS (with first-differences), the Anderson-Hsiao estimator, the one-step GMM estimator proposed by Arellano and Bond (1991), the two-step GMM estimator proposed by Arellano and Bond (1991), or the GMM estimator proposed by Ahn and Schmidt (1995).

6. Conclusions

The objective of this paper was to investigate the existence (and direction) of a causal relationship between SPI and economic growth. We find that the evidence supporting the hypothesis that high levels of SPI cause lower rates of economic growth is much weaker than generally believed, as we find no traces of a long-run causal relationship. How can this be reconciled with the results from other studies? Our sensitivity analysis shows that the Sub-Sahara Africa sample constitutes a large part of the explanation. Not only is the Sub-Saharan African sample much larger than those for other regions, but also its SPI seems to be of a more structural nature. This explanation is supported by our finding that, once one controls for institutional development or alternatively the terms of trade, the causality results vanish. Hence, we suspect that of other studies were to exclude African countries from their samples, the existing results of a negative relation between SPI and growth would disappear.

Given the prominence attached to SPI in recent macroeconomic research in general (and in political economy in particular), there are a number of suggestions for further research that should be put forward. First, in light of the inconsistency between existing results (of a negative contemporaneous relation between SPI and economic growth) and our own findings of the lack of a causal negative relationship between SPI and growth, one should ask at what frequencies and lag lengths does the

relationship change from non-causal to causal?²¹ As noted above, this is an important question answerable only when higher frequency data should become available.

A second direction for future research would be to investigate whether a causal negative relationship emerges between growth and other important sources of instability, for instance, policy variability. Hopenhayn and Muniagurria (1996) have formalized its macroeconomic role, and Brunetti (1998) presents relevant empirical evidence.

Third, there should be considerable scope to identify additional omitted variables, especially those of an institutional nature, which might be related to both SPI and growth. Numerous institutional variables may be relevant, like the fairness and effectiveness of the judicial system and the stability of property rights. Indeed, in a cross-sectional framework Keefer and Knack (1995) find that, once these are taken into account, the negative effect of SPI on growth vanishes. Another important candidate for such an omitted variable role, following Persson and Tabellini (1992, 1994) and Alesina and Perotti (1996), might be the level of income inequality. Unfortunately, the data (on income distribution and institutions) needed for these "enlargements" of our Granger tests are not presently available.

Fourth, given the difficulties in constructing a lower-bound measure of socio-political instability, exploratory research of this sort with other SPI measures should be encouraged.

Finally, in the light of the wide variety of other consequences that have been alleged to SPI, and referred to in our introduction, serious consideration should also be given to the examination of causal

_

²¹ For example, might it not be that there is a very short period of an initial negative impact of SPI on growth, say six months or a year, followed by several years of catch-up, reflected in a positive relation between five year lagged SPI and growth?

relationships between SPI and these other variables. In particular, it would be interesting to see whether the Sub-Saharan Africa sample would again play such a determinant role.

References

Ades, A. and H. Chua (1997). "Thy Neighbor's Curse: Regional Instability and Economic Growth," *Journal of Economic Growth* 2, 279-304.

Alesina, A., S. Ozler, N. Roubini and P. Swagel. (1996). "Political Instability and Economic Growth," *Journal of Economic Growth* 1, 189-211.

Alesina, A. and R. Perotti. (1996). "Income Distribution, Political Instability, and Investment," *European Economic Review* 40, 1203-1228.

Alesina, A, and G. Tabellini. (1989). "External Debt, Capital Flight and Political Risk," *Journal of International Economics* 27, 199-220.

Anderson, T.W. and C. Hsiao (1982). "Formulation and Estimation of Dynamic Models using Panel Data," *Journal of Econometrics* 18, 47-82.

Arellano, M. (1989). "A Note on the Anderson-Hsiao Estimator for Panel Data," *Economic Letters* 31, 337-341.

Arellano, M. and S. Bond (1991). Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations, *Review of Economic Studies* 58, 277-297.

Baltagi, B. (1995). Econometric Analysis of Panel Data. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Banks, A. (1984). Political Handbook of the World. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Barro, R. (1991), "Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 106, 407-444.

Barro, R. and J. Lee. (1993). "Data set for 138 Countries," (available by anonymous ftp from www.nber.org, at directory pub/barro.lee).

Blomstrom, M., Lipsey, R. and M. Zejan. (1996). 'Is Fixed Investment the Key to Economic Growth?' *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 111, 269-276.

Brunetti, A. (1998), "Policy Volatility and Economic Growth: A Comparative, Empirical Analysis", *European Journal of Political Economy* 14, 35-52.

Campos, N., Nugent, J. and J. Robinson. (1999). "Can Political Instability Be Good for Growth? The Middle East and North Africa Case" in I. Limam (ed.), *Institutional Reform and Development in the MENA Region*, Cairo: Economic Research Forum.

Chen, B. and Y. Feng. (1996). "Some Political Determinants of Economic Growth: Theory and Empirical Implications," *European Journal of Political Economy* 12, 609-627.

Collins, S.(1996). "On Becoming More Flexible: Exchange Rate Regimes in Latin America and the Caribbean," *Journal of Development Economics* 51,117-138.

Cukierman, A., Edwards, S. and G. Tabellini. (1992). "Seigniorage and Political Instability," *American Economic Review* 82, 537-555.

Cukierman, A. and S. Webb (1995). "Political Influence on the Central Bank", *World Bank Economic Review* 9, September,

Cukierman, A., Webb, S. and B. Neyapti. (1992). "Measuring the Independence of Central Bank and its Effects on Policy Outcomes," *World Bank Economic Review* 6, 353-598.

Durlauf, S. and D. Quah (1998). The New Empirics of Economic Growth, Discussion Paper 384, London: Centre for Economic Performance.

Granger, C.W. (1987). "Causal Inference," *The New Palgrave: Econometrics*, New York: W.W. Norton.

Gupta, D. (1990). The Economics of Political Violence, New York: Praeger.

Holtz-Eakin, D., Newey, W. and H. Rosen (1988). Estimating Vector Autoregressions with Panel Data, *Econometrica* 56, 1371-1395.

Hopenhayn, H. and M. Muniagurria (1996), "Policy Variability and Economic Growth," *Review of Economic Studies* 63, 611-625.

Hsiao, C. (1979). "Causality Tests in Econometrics," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 1, 321-346.

Hsiao, C. (1986). Analysis of Panel Data. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Jaegger, K. and T. Gurr. (1996). "Polity III" (available by anonymous ftp from isere.colorado.edu, at directory pub/datasets/polity3).

Judson, R. and A. Owen (1999). Estimating Dynamic Panel Data Models: A Guide for Macroeconomists, *Economic Letters* 65, 9-15.

Keefer, P. and S. Knack (1995). Institutions and Economic Performance: Cross-Country Tests Using Alternative Institutional Measures, *Economics and Politics* 7, 207-227.

Kiviet, J. (1995). On Bias, Inconsistency, and Efficiency of Various Estimators in Dynamic Panel Data Models, *Journal of Econometrics* 68, 53-78.

Mankiw, G. (1995). "The Growth of Nations," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1, 275-310.

North, D. (1981). Structure and Change in Economic History. New York: W.W.Norton.

Olson, M. (1963). "Rapid Growth as a Destabilizing Force," *Journal of Economic History* 23, 529-552.

Ozler, S. and G. Tabellini. (1991). "External Debt and Political Instability," NBER Working Paper 3772.

Ozler, S. and D. Rodrik (1992). "External Shocks, Politics, and Private Investment: Some Theory and Empirical Evidence," *Journal of Development Economics* 39, 141-162.

Persson, T. and G. Tabellini (1992), Growth, Distribution, and Politics, in A.Cukierman, Z.Hercowitz and L.Leiderman, eds, *Political economy, growth, and business cycles*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Persson, T. and G. Tabellini (1994), Is Inequality Harmful for Growth?, *American Economic Review* 84, 600-621.

Persson, T. and G. Tabellini (1999). "Political Economics and Macroeconomic Policy", in J. Taylor and M. Woodford, eds. *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

Roubini, N. (1991) "Economic and Political Determinants of Budget Deficits in Developing Countries," *Journal of International Money and Finance* 10 (Supplement), S49-S72.

Sevestre, P. and A. Trognon (1992). "Linear Dynamic Models" in L. Matyas and P.Sevestre (eds) *The Econometrics of Panel Data*, Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Solow, R. (1997). *Learning from "Learning by Doing": Lessons for Economic Growth*, Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Summers, R. and A. Heston (1994). "Penn World Tables, Version 5.6," (available by anonymous ftp from www.nber.org, at pub/pwt56).

Zellner, A. (1989). "Causality and Causal Laws in Economics," *Journal of Econometrics* 39, 7-22.

Table 1. The Relationship between the Lower-Bound and Upper-Bound Indexes of SPI

	Simple	Adj. R ²	Adj. R ²	UBSPI	$UBSPI^2$	UBSPI ³
	Correlation					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
All LDCs	0.319	0.2577	0.5616	-1.93816***	2.89843***	-0.40813***
	[0.0001]			(-10.043)	(22.225)	(-16.989)
Asia	0.284	0.3840	0.6776	-0.73461	4.03200***	-0.94505***
	[0.0001]			(-1.632)	(10.714)	(-7.459)
Latin America	0.535	0.3198	0.6555	-1.08082***	3.61378***	-0.65841***
	[0.0001]			(-3.085)	(13.113)	(-10.019)
Middle East &	-0.027	0.1590	0.5978	-2.91247***	3.44408***	-0.42997***
North Africa	[0.8169]			(-6.236)	(10.163)	(-8.859)
Sub-Saharan	0.319	0.3419	0.6119	-1.45044***	3.74465***	-0.72011***
Africa	[0.0001]			(-4.925)	(15.281)	(-11.110)

<u>Notes</u>: Column (1) contains the simple correlation coefficients between the two indexes of sociopolitical instability (LBSPI and UBSPI). Numbers in brackets are p-values.

Column (2) shows the adjusted R^2 of a regression where the dependent variable is LBSPI and the independent variables are UBSPI and UBSPI². In all regressions, both coefficients are significant at the 1 percent level, and have negative and positive signs respectively.

Column (3) shows the adjusted R^2 of a regression where the dependent variable is LBSPI and the independent variables are UBSPI, UBSPI² and UBSPI³.

Columns (4), (5) and (6) contain the coefficients on UBSPI, $UBSPI^2$ and $UBSPI^3$ for the regression which adjusted R^2 is shown in column (3). Numbers in parenthesis are t-statistics. A * denotes that the coefficient is statistically significant at the 10 percent level, ** that it is statistically significant at the 5 percent level, and *** that it is statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table 2. Basic Statistics and Correlation Matrix

Variable	Mean	Std.Dev.	Min	Max
Growth	1.5713	4.1372	-15.8808	10.6604
UBSPI	0472	1.2155	9076	4.8948
LBSPI	5.1137	1.5630	.4046	10.3362
Institutional	1.2979	.9106	0	3
Development				
Per capita income	2013.7	1563.4	322	7777
Population growth	2.532	.7744	.1490	6.9542
Trading partners'	2.5198	1.2869	-2.2389	6.4387
Growth				
Terms of Trade	.00088	.02485	0805	.1493

Correlation Matrix

Variable	Growth	UBSPI	LBSPI	Institutional	Per	Pop.	Trading
				Dev.	capita	growth	partners'
					income		Growth
UBSPI	-0.1168						
LBSPI	-0.0610	0.3658					
Institutional	0.0027	-0.3899	-0.6902				
Development							
Per capita	-0.0954	-0.1080	-0.2435	0.2245			
income							
Population	-0.0992	-0.0665	0.1705	-0.1404	-0.0511		
growth							
Trading	0.4006	-0.0149	-0.0902	0.0176	-0.1583	0.0297	
partners'							
Growth							
Terms of	0.0980	0.0252	0.0265	-0.0645	0.0333	0.0795	0.0596
Trade							
Note: See text f	or details.						

19

Table 3. Does SPI Granger-cause per capita GDP Growth? (Endogenous variable is Δ GDP_t)

	$\DeltaGDP_{t\text{-}1}$	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}
All LDCs	.1020	1603
	(1.48)	(73)
Asia	.310948	.3553
	(1.46)	(.83)
Latin America	1744	.098049
	(-1.28)	(.34)
Middle East & North	.1701	2473
Africa	(1.32)	(35)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.1192	8550 *
	(1.02)	(-1.96)

(Endogenous variable is ΔGDP_t)

	$\Delta\mathrm{GDP}_{t ext{-}1}$	Δ UBSPI $_{t-1}$
All LDCs	.0880	.3629
	(.90)	(1.56)
Asia	.3595	3424
	(1.25)	(8288)
Latin America	0560	.5528
	(31)	(1.21)
Middle East & North	.1687	1.6020 *
Africa	(.75)	(1.83)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.1082	.0494
	(.77)	(.15)

Notes: All variables are in first-differences (Δ), five-year averages, between 1960-1995, and t-statistics are in parenthesis. Instrumental variables estimates shown (Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano). *LBSPI* is lower-bound SPI, *UBSPI* is upper bound SPI, *and GDP* is the OLS per capita GDP Growth Rate.

st Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

 $Table \ 4.$ Does per capita GDP Growth Granger-cause SPI? (Endogenous variable is lower-bound $\Delta \, LBSPI_t$)

	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	ΔGDP_{t-1}
All LDCs	.5434 ***	0036
	(4.48)	(33)
Asia	.8702 **	0153
	(2.55)	(35)
Latin America	.5818 ***	.0513
	(2.99)	(1.61)
Middle East & North	.0159	0014
Africa	(.10)	(13)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.6208 ***	0276
	(2.78)	(-1.54)

(Endogenous variable is upper-bound Δ UBSPI_t)

	Δ UBSPI $_{t-1}$	$\Delta ext{GDP}_{ ext{t-}1}$
All LDCs	.1773	0021
	(1.59)	(11)
Asia	.3255	0736
	(.87)	(88)
Latin America	.0776	.0673
	(.34)	(1.56)
Middle East & North	.1854	.0057
Africa	(1.29)	(.19)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.2195	0331
	(1.07)	(-1.08)

Notes: All variables are in first-differences (Δ), five-year averages, between 1960-1995, and t-statistics are in parenthesis. Instrumental variables estimates shown (Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano). *LBSPI* is lower-bound SPI, *UBSPI* is upper bound SPI, *and GDP* is the OLS per capita GDP Growth Rate.

st Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table 5. Controlling for institutions and initial income, does SPI Granger-cause per capita GDP growth? (Endogenous variable is Δ GDP_t)

	Δ GDP _{t-1}	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	Δ LEGEF _{t-1}	$\DeltaGDP0_{t-1}$
All LDCs	099901	127939	310724	000694
	(793022)	(579914)	(657877)	(843915)
Asia	.217832	.025806	.308899	001467
	(1.23315)	(.060398)	(.390275)	(-1.477620
Latin America	411040*	040021	575221	002343*
	(-1.93362)	(128262)	(888192)	(-1.67330)
Middle East & North	.260669	285469	1.63488	.001697
Africa	(.624948)	(334162)	(.756562)	(.654005)
Sub-Saharan Africa	049150	460715	-1.23415	000452
	(236183)	(973416)	(-1.34210)	(245089)

(Endogenous variable is Δ GDP_t)

	ΔGDP_{t-1}	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ LEGEF _{t-1}	$\Delta GDP0_{t-1}$
All LDCs	272948*	.219158	524852	001768*
	(-1.84204)	(.932017)	(886105)	(-1.89236)
Asia	.243242	.018053	654817	001444
	(.977085)	(.044311)	(620857)	(-1.42580)
Latin America	848040***	256357	-1.02379	006313***
	(-2.68874)	(502485)	(-1.14927)	(-3.14233)
Middle East & North	.341391	1.82170	2.28172	.002853
Africa	(.593774)	(1.33377)	(.867335)	(.814455)
Sub-Saharan Africa	215917	.075596	-1.19105	001621
	(-1.05915)	(.229527)	(-1.14784)	(924678)

Notes: All variables are in first-differences (Δ), five-year averages, between 1960-1995, and t-statistics are in parenthesis. Instrumental variables estimates shown (Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano). *LBSPI* is lower-bound SPI, *UBSPI* is upper-bound SPI, *GDP* is the OLS per capita GDP Growth Rate, *LEGEF* is an index of legislative effectiveness (institutional development), and *GDP0* is level of initial per capita income.

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table 6. Controlling for institutions and initial income, does GDP per capita growth Granger-cause SPI? (Endogenous variable is Δ LBSPI_t)

	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	ΔGDP_{t-1}	Δ LEGEF _{t-1}	Δ GDP0 _{t-1}
All LDCs	.241667**	.001460	-1.21630***	000082
	(2.51915)	(.106914)	(-12.6326)	(604501)
Asia	.212834	.006572	-1.23008***	000027
	(.948019)	(.210992)	(-6.44086)	(114898)
Latin America	.197626	.070435**	-1.51822***	.000166
	(1.27543)	(2.14353)	(-8.82059)	(.541064)
Middle East & North	012567	036122	542633**	000476 *
Africa	(066910)	(-1.19802)	(-2.19795)	(-1.89664)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.490306***	029438	-1.07150***	000153
	(2.73276)	(-1.38838)	(-6.23833)	(601373)

(Endogenous variable is Δ UBSPI_t)

	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	$\Delta \text{GDP}_{\text{t-1}}$	Δ LEGEF _{t-1}	$\Delta\mathrm{GDP0}_{\mathrm{t-1}}$
All LDCs	.023900	005830	796847***	000130
	(.238744)	(284849)	(-4.98379)	(659980)
Asia	.170841	065159	-1.05159**	000046
	(.536400)	(858917)	(-2.32080)	(104656)
Latin America	034635	.068619	727868***	000053
	(162371)	(1.45854)	(-2.91017)	(123021)
Middle East & North	.047810	019980	471677	000257
Africa	(.280701)	(477918)	(-1.48426)	(774756)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.003319	029328	-1.07179***	000064
	(.019613)	(894690)	(-3.39197)	(158291)

Notes: All variables are in first-differences (Δ), five-year averages, between 1960-1995, and t-statistics are in parenthesis. Instrumental variables estimates shown (Anderson-Hsiao-Arellano). *LBSPI* is lower-bound SPI, *UBSPI* is upper-bound SPI, *GDP* is the OLS per capita GDP Growth Rate, *LEGEF* is an index of legislative effectiveness (institutional development), and *GDP0* is level of initial per capita income.

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

APPENDIX TABLES

Table A1.							
(Controlling for POPULATION GROWTH,						
does SPI Granger cause Growth?							
	(Endogenous variable	e is Δ GDP _t)					
	Δ GDP $_{t$ -1	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ POP _{t-1}				
All LDCs	.063713	.333251	996263**				
	(.673218)	(1.45867)	(-2.55773)				
Asia	.347481	320292	.364514				
	(1.21911)	(764315)	(.253842)				
Latin America	048101	.558470	096387				
	(263422)	(1.21246)	(060595)				
Middle East & North	.120133	1.38320	-1.13134				
Africa	(.573351)	(1.62525)	(-1.46858)				
Sub-Saharan Africa	.071367	.064364	928208				
	(.525525)	(.199753)	(-1.56678)				
	(Endogenous variable	e is ΔGDP_t)					
	$\Delta \text{GDP}_{\text{t-1}}$	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	Δ POP _{t-1}				
All LDCs	.1077099	154811	977435**				
	(1.33395)	(516021)	(-2.47625)				
Asia	.290755	115740	.877745				
	(1.08038)	(211145)	(.606567)				
Latin America	070321	.336406	.002995				
	(392847)	(.763578)	(.001888)				
Middle East & North	.171345	018353	-1.34555*				
Africa	(1.12078)	(012682)	(-1.70763)				
Sub-Saharan Africa	.104306	800627*	743341				
	(.807724)	(-1.66537)	(-1.25646)				

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table A2. Controlling for POPULATION GROWTH , does growth Granger cause SPI?

(Endogenous variable is Δ UBSPI_t)

	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GDP _{t-1}	ΔPOP_{t-1}
All LDCs	.177096	002293	019691
	(1.58257)	(119629)	(125354)
Asia	.357684	050176	.492166
	(.877997)	(546921)	(.674980)
Latin America	.070654	.064832	501138
	(.313628)	(1.50734)	(-1.04395)
Middle East & North	.227904	.010491	.313509
Africa	(1.44434)	(.340054)	(1.128160
Sub-Saharan Africa	.235191	034555	128745
	(1.12014)	(-1.10513)	(563433)

(Endogenous variable is $\Delta LBSPI_t$)

	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	ΔGDP_{t-1}	ΔPOP_{t-1}
All LDCs	.727157***	005373	040605
	(4.48790)	(460717)	(451696)
Asia	1.15754**	034005	436315
	(2.42053)	(624177)	(796154)
Latin America	.747953***	.060699*	.781040*
	(3.12212)	(1.75235)	(1.68018)
Middle East & North	.987216**	007426	077700
Africa	(2.00831)	(555842)	(889653)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.502235**	026458	093244
	(2.22661)	(-1.47059)	(743186)

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table A3. Controlling for GROWTH OF TRADE PARTNERS, does UBSPI Granger cause Growth? (Endogenous variable is Δ GDP_t)

	Δ GDP _{t-1}	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GTR _{t-1}
All LDCs	.027706	.319729	277978
	(.260707)	(1.38067)	(-1.08602)
Asia	.514409	433201	902744**
	(1.44240)	(-1.00014)	(-2.13731)
Latin America	181755	.469721	361112
	(-1.01994)	(1.07862)	(627208)
Middle East & North	.103222	1.52685*	.786441
Africa	(.443000)	(1.69143)	(1.10039)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.037149	.033208	537624
	(241965)	(.103939)	(-1 37845)

(Endogenous variable is ΔGDP_t)

	Δ GDP _{t-1}	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GTR _{t-1}
All LDCs	.090733	139800	317800
	(1.01752)	(458321)	(-1.25765)
Asia	.339162	126568	789257**
	(1.14546)	(238707)	(-2.04404)
Latin America	222673	.354821	361688
	(-1.29501)	(.845274)	(627232)
Middle East & North	.146200	350853	.690579
Africa	(.871692)	(235615)	(.927054)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.077057	879801*	628217*
	(.528958)	(-1.80158)	(-1.73434)

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

$\label{eq:controlling} Table~A4.$ Controlling for GROWTH OF TRADE PARTNERS , does growth Granger cause UBSPI?

(Endogenous variable is $\Delta UBSPI_t$)

	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GDP _{t-1}	$\Delta \ GTR_{t-1}$
All LDCs	.168124	.002781	098260
	(1.42441	(.129847)	(-1.01434)
Asia	.342873	076887	.048993
	(.830166)	(763641)	(.228379)
Latin America	.059153	.062023	141492
	(.259548)	(1.38413)	(502934)
Middle East & North	.172882	.007879	.101710
Africa	(1.10923)	(.238707)	(.590362)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.244716	029368	237864
	(1.01520)	(.768695)	(-1.21281)

(Endogenous variable is $\Delta LBSPI_t$)

	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GDP _{t-1}	$\Delta \ GTR_{t-1}$
All LDCs	.730082***	004363	.062273
	(4.54081)	(336497)	(1.25365)
Asia	1.12818**	037148	.111635
	(2.50427)	(653014)	(.881780)
Latin America	.765661***	.054805	.094215
	(3.16806)	(1.46851)	(.572528)
Middle East & North	.968833**	007356	006187
Africa	(1.99367)	(525418)	(087783)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.538116**	029093	.069778
	(2.20668)	(-1.29039)	(1.01961)

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table A5. Controlling for TERMS OF TRADE, does SPI Granger cause Growth? (Endogenous variable is Δ GDP_t)

	$\Delta \Delta GDP_{t-1}$	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ TRADE _{t-1}
All LDCs	018488	.157844	-13.8265*
	(179112)	(.565360)	(-1.66314)
Asia	.559613	-1.03075*	-46.1092
	(1.13233)	(-1.90834)	(-1.60947)
Latin America	.001457	.865198	-90.9842**
	(.006833)	(1.55346)	(-2.55452)
Middle East & North	060317	1.03243	8.66788
Africa	(258443)	(.769931)	(.337650)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.120246	088595	-12.9814
	(.734215)	(202830)	(-1.19894)

(Endogenous variable is ΔGDP_t)

	$\Delta \text{GDP}_{\text{t}1}$	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	Δ TRADE _{t-1}
All LDCs	.058502	.018173	-16.1476*
	(.676804)	(.049225)	(-1.86851)
Asia	.394451	.790993	-45.4362
	(.985616)	(.942705)	(-1.47553)
Latin America	065674	.548343	-81.8608**
	(331421)	(1.06997)	(-2.40753)
Middle East & North	.107492	-1.64159	15.0812
Africa	(.698454)	(560102)	(.541614)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.158013	950590	-16.5602
	(.956214)	(-1.36600)	(-1.50938)

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.

Table A6. Controlling for TERMS OF TRADE , does growth Granger cause UBSPI? (Endogenous variable is Δ UBSPI_t)

	Δ UBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GDP _{t-1}	Δ TRADE _{t-1}
All LDCs	.080099	008692	935119
	(.558266)	(398951)	(364147)
Asia	.265709	001380	17.0913*
	(.646096)	(013287)	(1.95409)
Latin America	.180841	.090800	-12.1262
	(.629474)	(1.51321)	(-1.17756)
Middle East & North	.236310	.007069	-1.11948
Africa	(1.12685)	(.217414)	(329785)
Sub-Saharan Africa	031384	044168	917071
	(139568)	(-1.37238)	(257331)

(Endogenous variable is $\Delta LBSPI_t$)

	Δ LBSPI _{t-1}	Δ GDP _{t-1}	Δ TRADE _{t-1}
All LDCs	.698154***	005652	2.60659
	(3.78818)	(418939)	(1.43548)
Asia	1.13936**	.027353	-24.9810**
	(1.98261)	(.409489)	(-2.01537)
Latin America	.693441***	.053226	2.36615
	(2.74535)	(1.38417)	(.347874)
Middle East & North	.273076	000573	-1.92029
Africa	(.562626)	(048486)	(741188)
Sub-Saharan Africa	.525919*	038697*	4.95784**
	(1.91550)	(-1.66179)	(2.51766)

^{*} Statistically significant at the 10 percent level.

^{**} Statistically significant at the 5 percent level.

^{***} Statistically significant at the 1 percent level.



DAVIDSON INSTITUTE WORKING PAPER SERIES

CURRENT AS OF 8/02/00

Publication	Authors	Date of Paper
No. 326 Who is Afraid of Political Instability?	Nauro F. Campos and Jeffrey B. Nugent	July 2000
No. 325 Business Groups, the Financial	Raja Kali	June 2000
Market and Modernization		
No. 324 Restructuring with What Success? A	Susan Linz	July 2000
Case Study of Russian Firms		
No. 323 Priorities and Sequencing in	Nandini Gupta, John C. Ham and Jan	May 2000
Privatization: Theory and Evidence from the	Svejnar	
Czech Republic		
No. 322 Liquidity, Volatility, and Equity	Ian Domowitz, Jack Glen and Ananth	March 2000
Trading Costs Across Countries and Over	Madhavan	
Time		
No. 321 Equilibrium Wage Arrears:	John S. Earle and Klara Z. Sabirianova	June 2000
Institutional Lock-In of Contractual Failure in		
Russia	W D I.A	7.000
No. 320 Rethinking Marketing Programs for	Niraj Dawar and Amitava Chattopadhyay	June 2000
Emerging Markets	D : ID : ID I W	7 2000
No. 319 Public Finance and Low Equilibria in	Daniel Daianu and Radu Vranceanu	June 2000
Transition Economies; the Role of Institutions	Mandia Eighten and Mill 11 1	I 2000
No. 318 Some Econometric Evidence on the	Martin Eichler and Michael Lechner	June 2000
Effectiveness of Active Labour Market		
Programmes in East Germany No. 317 A Model of Russia's "Virtual	R.E Ericson and B.W Ickes	May 2000
Economy"	R.E Elicson and B. Wickes	May 2000
No. 316 Financial Institutions, Financial	Haizhou Huang and Chenggang Xu	March 2000
Contagion, and Financial Crises	Huizhoù Huang ana Chenggang Ku	march 2000
No. 315 Privatization versus Regulation in	Jean Paul Azam, Bruno Biais, and	February 2000
Developing Economies: The Case of West	Magueye Dia	1 cornary 2000
African Banks		
No. 314 Is Life More Risky in the Open?	John Giles	April 2000
Household Risk-Coping and the Opening of		1
China's Labor Markets		
No. 313 Networks, Migration and Investment:	Abhijit Banerjee and Kaivan Munshi	March 2000
Insiders and Outsiders in Tirupur's		
Production Cluster		
No. 312 Computational Analysis of the Impact	Rajesh Chadha, Drusilla K. Brown, Alan	March 2000
on India of the Uruguay Round and the	V. Deardorff and Robert M. Stern	
Forthcoming WTO Trade Negotiations		
No. 311 Subsidized Jobs for Unemployed	Jan. C. van Ours	May 2000
Workers in Slovakia		
No. 310 Determinants of Managerial Pay in	Tor Eriksson, Jaromir Gottvald and Pavel	May 2000
the Czech Republic	Mrazek	
No. 309 The Great Human Capital	Klara Z. Sabirianova	May 2000
Reallocation: An Empirical Analysis of		
Occupational Mobility in Transitional Russia	D. I.D. I. W. J. D. I.	F. I. 2000
No. 308 Economic Development, Legality, and	Daniel Berkowitz, Katharina Pistor, and	February 2000
the Transplant Effect	Jean-Francois Richard	



AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN BUSINESS SCHOOL

No. 307 Community Participation, Teacher Effort, and Educational Outcome: The Case of	Yasuyuki Sawada	November 1999
El Salvador's EDUCO Program No. 306 Gender Wage Gap and Segregation in Late Transition	Stepan Jurajda	May 2000
No. 305 The Gender Pay Gap in the Transition from Communism: Some Empirical Evidence	Andrew Newell and Barry Reilly	May 2000
No. 304 Post-Unification Wage Growth in East Germany	Jennifer Hunt	November 1998
No. 303 How Does Privatization Affect Workers? The Case of the Russian Mass Privatization Program	Elizabeth Brainerd	May 2000
No. 302 Liability for Past Environmental Contamination and Privatization	Dietrich Earnhart	March 2000
No. 301 Varieties, Jobs and EU Enlargement	Tito Boeri and Joaquim Oliveira Martins	May 2000
No. 300 Employer Size Effects in Russia	Todd Idson	April 2000
No. 299 Information Complements, Substitutes, and Strategic Product Design	Geoffrey G. Parker and Marshall W. Van Alstyne	March 2000
No. 298 Markets, Human Capital, and Inequality: Evidence from Rural China	Dwayne Benjamin, Loren Brandt, Paul Glewwe, and Li Guo	May 2000
No. 297 Corporate Governance in the Asian Financial Crisis	Simon Johnson, Peter Boone, Alasdair Breach, and Eric Friedman	November 1999
No. 296 Competition and Firm Performance: Lessons from Russia	J. David Brown and John S. Earle	March 2000
No. 295 Wage Determination in Russia: An Econometric Investigation	Peter J. Luke and Mark E. Schaffer	March 2000
No. 294: Can Banks Promote Enterprise Restructuring?: Evidence From a Polish Bank's Experience	John P. Bonin and Bozena Leven	March 2000
No. 293: Why do Governments Sell Privatised Companies Abroad?	Bernardo Bortolotti, Marcella Fantini and Carlo Scarpa	March 2000
No. 292: Going Public in Poland: Case-by- Case Privatizations, Mass Privatization and Private Sector Initial Public Offerings	Wolfgang Aussenegg	December 1999
No. 291: Institutional Technology and the Chains of Trust: Capital Markets and Privatization in Russia and the Czech Republic	Bruce Kogut and Andrew Spicer	March 1999
No. 290: Banking Crises and Bank Rescues: The Effect of Reputation	Jenny Corbett and Janet Mitchell	January 2000
No. 289: Do Active Labor Market Policies Help Unemployed Workers to Find and Keep Regular Jobs?	Jan C. van Ours	February 2000
No. 288: Consumption Patterns of the New Elite in Zimbabwe	Russell Belk	February 2000
No. 287: Barter in Transition Economies: Competing Explanations Confront Ukranian Data	Dalia Marin, Daniel Kaufmann and Bogdan Gorochowskij	January 2000
No. 286: The Quest for Pension Reform: Poland's Security through Diversity	Marek Góra and Michael Rutkowski	January 2000



AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN BUSINESS SCHOOL

No. 285: Disorganization and Financial Collapse	Dalia Marin and Monika Schnitzer	October 1999
No. 284: Coordinating Changes in M-form	Yingyi Qian, Gérard Roland and	May 1999
and U-form Organizations No. 283: Why Russian Workers Do Not Move: Attachment of Workers Through In-Kind Payments	Chenggang Xu Guido Friebel and Sergei Guriev	October 1999
No. 282: Lessons From Fiascos in Russian Corporate Governance	Merritt B. Fox and Michael A. Heller	October 1999
No. 281: Income Distribution and Price Controls: Targeting a Social Safety Net During Economic Transition	Michael Alexeev and James Leitzel	March 1999
No. 280: Starting Positions, Reform Speed, and Economic Outcomes in Transitioning Economies	William Hallagan and Zhang Jun	January 2000
No. 279: The Value of Prominent Directors	Yoshiro Miwa & J. Mark Ramseyer	October 1999
No. 278: The System Paradigm	János Kornai	April 1998
No. 277: The Developmental Consequences of Foreign Direct Investment in the Transition from Socialism to Capitalism: The Performance of Foreign Owned Firms in Hungary	Lawrence Peter King	September 1999
No. 276: Stability and Disorder: An Evolutionary Analysis of Russia's Virtual Economy	Clifford Gaddy and Barry W. Ickes	November 1999
No. 275: Limiting Government Predation Through Anonymous Banking: A Theory with Evidence from China.	Chong-En Bai, David D. Li, Yingyi Qian and Yijiang Wang	July 1999
*No. 274: Transition with Labour Supply	Tito Boeri	December 1999
No. 273: Sectoral Restructuring and Labor Mobility: A Comparative Look at the Czech Republic	Vit Sorm and Katherine Terrell	November 1999
*No. 272: Published in: Journal of Comparative Economics "Returns to Human Capital Under the Communist Wage Grid and During the Transition to a Market Economy" Vol. 27, pp. 33-60 1999.	Daniel Munich, Jan Svejnar and Katherine Terrell	October 1999
No. 271: Barter in Russia: Liquidity Shortage Versus Lack of Restructuring	Sophie Brana and Mathilde Maurel	June 1999
No. 270: Tests for Efficient Financial Intermediation with Application to China	Albert Park and Kaja Sehrt	March 1999
No. 269a: Russian Privatization and Corporate Governance: What Went Wrong?	Bernard Black, Reinier Kraakman and Anna Tarassova	May 2000
No. 269: Russian Privatization and Corporate Governance: What Went Wrong?	Bernard Black, Reinier Kraakman and Anna Tarassova	September 1999
No. 268: Are Russians Really Ready for Capitalism?	Susan Linz	September 1999
No. 267: Do Stock Markets Promote Economic Growth?	Randall K. Filer, Jan Hanousek and Nauro Campos	September 1999
No. 266: Objectivity, Proximity and	Arnoud W.A Boot and Jonathan R. Macey	September 1999
J //		1 4



AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN BUSINESS SCHOOL

Adaptability in Corporate Governance		
No. 265: When the Future is not What it Used	Nauro F. Campos, Gerard Hughes, Stepan	September 1999
to Be: Lessons from the Western European	Jurajda, and Daniel Munich	
Experience to Forecasting Education and		
Training in Transitional Economies		
No. 264: The Institutional Foundation of	Yasheng Huang	September 1999
Foreign-Invested Enterprises (FIEs) in China		September 1999
No. 263: The Changing Corporate	Erik Berglof and Ernst-Ludwig von	June 1999
Governance Paradigm: Implications for	Thadden	June 1999
Transition and Developing Countries	1 martin	
No. 262: Law Enforcement and Transition	Gerard Roland and Thierry Verdier	May 1999
No. 261: Soft Budget Constraints, Pecuniary	Jiahua Che	June 2000
Externality, and the Dual Track System	Junua Che	June 2000
No. 260: Missing Market in Labor Quality:	Gary H. Jefferson	July 1999
The Role of Quality Markets in Transiton	Gary 11. Jefferson	July 1999
No. 259: Do Corporate Global Environmental	Glen Dowell, Stuart Hart and Bernard	June 1999
Standards in Emerging Markets Create or	Yeung	June 1777
Destroy Market Value	Teung	
No. 258: Public Training and Outflows from	Patrick A. Puhani	June 1999
	Fanick A. Funani	June 1999
Unemployment	Ann P. Bartel and Ann E. Harrison	June 1999
No. 257: Ownership Versus Environment:	Ann P. Bartei and Ann E. Harrison	June 1999
Why are Public Sector Firms Ineffecient?	M: I IAI FII II I	N 1 1000
No. 256: Taxation and Evasion in the	Michael Alexeev, Eckhard Janeba and	November 1999
Presence of Exortion by Organized Crime	Stefan Osborne	C . 1 1000
No. 255: Revisiting Hungary's Bankruptcy	John P. Bonin and Mark E. Schaffer	September 1999
Episode	M . N. W.	1 1000
No. 254: FDI in Emerging Markets: A Home-	Marina v.N Whitman	June 1999
Country View	A CC D C I LIVE THE W	1000
No. 253: The Asian Financial Crisis: What	Jeffrey D. Sachs and Wing Thye Woo	January 1999
Happened, and What is to be Done		G 1 1000
No. 252: Organizational Law as Asset	Henry Hansmann and Reinier Kraakman	September 1999
Partitioning D. L. L.		g 1 1000
No. 251: Consumer Behavior Research in	Jan-Benedict E. M. Steenkamp and Steven	September 1999
Emerging Consumer Markets: the Case of the	M. Burgess	
Optimum Stimulation Level in South Africa		
No. 250: Property Rights Formation and the	Matthew A. Turner, Loren Brandt, and	July 1998
Organization of Exchange and Production in	Scott Rozelle	
Rural China		
No. 249: Impacts of the Indonesian Economic	James Levinsohn, Steven Berry, and Jed	June 1999
Crisis: Price Changes and the Poor	Friedman	
No. 248: Internal Barriers in the Transition of	Charalambos Vlachoutsicos	July 1999
Enterprises from Central Plan to Market		
No. 247: Spillovers from Multinationals in	Richard E. Caves	June 1999
Developing Countries: the Mechanisms at		
Work		
No. 246: Dynamism and Inertia on the	Irena Grosfeld, Claudia Senik-Leygonie,	May 1999
Russian Labour Market: A Model of	Thierry Verdier, Stanislav Kolenikov and	
Segmentation	Elena Paltseva	
No. 245: Lessons from Bank Privatization in	John Bonin and Paul Wachtel	May 1999
Central Europe		
No. 244: Nominal-Real Tradeoffs and the	Christian Popa	December 1998



Effects of Monetary Policy: the Romanian		
Experience		
No. 243: Privatization, Political Risk and	Enrico C. Perotti and Pieter van Oijen	March 1999
Stock Market Development in Emerging		
Economies		
No. 242: Investment Financing in Russian	Enrico C. Perotti and Stanislav Gelfer	October 1998
Financial-Industrial Groups	,	
No. 241: Can governments maintain hard	Octavian Carare, Constantijn Claessens,	January 1999
budget constraints? Bank lending and	Enrico C. Perotti	J
financial isolation in Romania	2	
No. 240: Democratic Institutions and	John E. Jackson, Jacek Klich, and	April 1998
Economic Reform: the Polish Case	Krystyna Poznanska	127.11.1330
No. 239: A Longitudinal Study of IJV	Keith D. Brouthers and Gary Bamossy	June 1999
Performance in Eastern Europe	Ketin D. Brouners and Gary Bamossy	June 1777
No. 238: Published in: Journal of Business	John E. Jackson, Jacek Klich, Krystyna	July 1998
Venturing, "Firm Creation and Economic	Poznanska	July 1990
Transitions" Vol. 14, Iss. 5,6 Sep/Nov 1999,	1 Oznanska	
pp. 427-450.		
No. 237: Analysis of Entrepreneurial Attitudes	John E. Jackson and Aleksander S.	March 1997
in Poland	Marcinkowski	March 1997
No. 236: Investment and Finance in De Novo	Andrzej Bratkowski, Irena Grosfeld, Jacek	April 1999
Private Firms: Empirical Results from the	Rostowski	Арги 1999
Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland	Rostowski	
No. 235: Does a Soft Macroeconomic	Lubomír Lízal	June 1999
Environment Induce Restructuring on the	Ludomii Lizui	June 1999
Microeconomic Level during the Transition		
Period? Evidence from Investment Behavior		
of Czech Enterprises		
No. 234: Banking Reform in China: Gradually	John Bonin	June 1999
Strengthening Pillar or Fragile Reed?	John Bohin	June 1999
No. 233: Theories of Soft Budget Constraints	Janet Mitchell	March 1999
and the Analysis of Banking Crises	Junei Milchell	March 1999
No. 232: Unemployment Risk, Precautionary	Alessandra Guariglia and Byung-Yeon	June 1999
Savings, and Moonlighting in Russia	Kim	June 1999
No. 231: Investing in Turbulent Times: The	Josef C. Brada, Arthur E. King, and Chia-	April 1999
Investment Behavior of Polish Firms in the	Ying Ma	April 1999
Transition	Ting Ma	
No. 230: The End of Moderate Inflation in	Josef C Brada and Ali M Vistan	April 1000
Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada and Ali M. Kutan	April 1999
No. 229: Back to the Future: The Growth	Nauro F. Campos	April 1999
Prospects of Transition Economies	Tranto 1. Campos	11p111 1777
Reconsidered		
No. 228: The Enterprise Isolation Program in	Simeon Djankov	April 1999
Russia		11p111 1 2 2 2
No. 227: Published in: Journal of	Stijn Claessens and Simeon Djankov	April 1999
Comparative Economics, "Ownership	Sign Ciaessens and Simeon Djankov	11μ111 1 2 2 2
Concentration and Corporate Performance in		
the Czech Republic" 27(3), September 1999,		
pp. 498-513.		
No. 226: Unemployment Benefit Entitlement	Patrick A. Puhani	March 1999
and Training Effects in Poland during	Tantek A. Tunani	ויזנונוו זאאי
Transition		
Transmon		1



No. 225: Transition at Whirlpool-Tatramat:	Hans Brechbuhl and Sonia Ferencikova	March 1999
Case Studies		
No. 224: Measuring Progress in Transition	Wendy Carlin, Saul Estrin, and Mark	March 1999
and Towards EU Accession: A Comparison of	Schaffer	
Manufacturing Firms in Poland, Romania,		
and Spain		
No. 223: Product Market Competition in	Mitsutoshi M. Adachi	March 1999
Transition Economies: Increasing Varieties		
and Consumer Loyalty		
No. 222: Opaque Markets and Rapid Growth:	Rodney Wallace	July 1999
the Superiority of Bank-Centered Financial		
Systems for Developing Nations		
No. 221: Technology Spillovers through	Yuko Kinoshita	January 1999
Foreign Direct Investment		
No. 220: Managerial, Expertise and Team	Leslie Perlow	January 1999
Centered Forms of Organizing: A Cross-		
Cultural Exploration of Independence in		
Engineering Work		
No. 219: Household Structure and Labor	Audra J. Bowlus and Terry Sicular	January 1999
Demand in Agriculture: Testing for	_	
Separability in Rural China		
No. 218: Competing Strategies of FDI and	W. Mark Fruin and Penelope Prime	January 1999
Technology Transfer to China: American and	1	
Japanese Firms		
No. 217 Published in: Journal of	Tito Boeri and Christopher J. Flinn	January 1999
Comparative Economics, "Returns to		J
Mobility in the Transition to a Market		
Economy" Vol. 27, No. 1, March 1999, pp. 4-		
No. 216 Published in: Journal of	Katherine Terrell and Vit Sorm	November 1998
Comparative Economics, "Labor Market		
Policies and Unemployment in the Czech		
Republic." Vol. 27, No. 1, March 1999, pp.		
33-60.		
No. 215 Published in: Journal of	Jochen Kluve, Hartmut Lehmann, and	December 1998
Comparative Economics, "Active Labor	Christoph M. Schmidt	
Market Policies in Poland: Human Capital	- The second sec	
Enhancement, Stigmatization or Benefit		
Churning?" Vol. 27, No. 1, March 1999, pp.		
61-		
No. 214 Published in: Journal of	Milan Vodopivec	December 1998
Comparative Economics, "Does the Slovenian		
Public Work Program Increase Participants'		
Chances to Find a Job?" Vol. 27, No.1,		
March 1999, pp. 113-		
No. 213 Published in: Journal of	Martina Lubyova and Jan C. van Ours	December 1998
Comparative Economics, "Effects of Active		1,11,11,11,11,11
Labor Market Programs on the Transition		
Rate from Unemployment into Regular Jobs in		
the Slovak Republic." Vol. 27, No. 1, March		
1999, pp. 90-		
No. 212: The Marketing System in Bulgarian	Yordan Staykov, Team Leader	October 1998
Livestock Production – The Present State and	200000	2
Indicate I resem state and	<u> </u>	



Evolutionary Processes During the Period of Economic Transition No. 211: Bankruptcy Experience in Hungary and the Czech Republic No 210: Values, Optimum Stimulation Levels Steven M. Burgess and Mari Harris September 1	98
No. 211: Bankruptcy Experience in Hungary and the Czech Republic Janet Mitchell October 199	98
and the Czech Republic	98
No 210: Values, Ontimum Stimulation Levels Steven M Burgess and Mari Harris Sentember 1	
and Brand Loyalty: New Scales in New	1998
Populations	
No. 209: Inherited Wealth, Corporate Control Randall K. Morck, David A. Stangeland, and Economic Growth and Bernard Yeung	1998
No. 208: A Cultural Analysis of Homosocial Michael D. Kennedy July 1998	
Reproduction and Contesting Claims to	
Competence in Transitional Firms	
No. 207: From Survival to Success: The Arthur Yeung and Kenneth DeWoskin July 1998	
Journey of Corporate Transformation at	
Haier. Forthcoming in Teaching the	
Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational Change	
in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.	
No. 206: Why Do People Work If They Are Irina L. Zinovieva May 1998	
Not Paid? An Example from Eastern Europe.	
Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to	
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition	
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.	
No. 205: Firm Ownership and Work Robert A. Roe, Irina L. Zinovieva, May 1998	
Motivation in Bulgaria and Hungary: An Elizabeth Dienes, and Laurens A. ten Horn	
Empirical Study of the Transition in the Mid-	
1990s. Forthcoming in Teaching the	
Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational Change	
in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.	
No. 204: Human Resource Management in the Nandani Lynton April 1998	
Restructuring of Chinese Joint Ventures. Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to	
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition	
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.	
No. 203: Emergent Compensation Strategies Marc Weinstein March 1998	R
in Post-Socialist Poland: Understanding the	,
Cognitive Underpinnings of Management	
Practices in a Transition Economy.	
Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to	
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition	
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.	
No. 202: Corporate Transformation and Meinolf Dierkes and Zhang Xinhua March 1998	3
Organizational Learning: The People's	
Republic of China. Forthcoming in Teaching	
the Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational	
Change in Transition Economies ed. Daniel	
Denison.	
No. 201: Foreign Direct Investment as a Sonia Ferencikova February 19	998
Factor of Change: The Case of Slovakia.	
Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to	
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition	
	000



The Role of Capabilities, Competition, and		
Leaders. Forthcoming in Teaching the		
Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational Change		
in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 199: The Emergence of Market Practices	Douglas Guthrie	Fahruam, 1009
in China's Economic Transition: Price Setting	Douglas Guinrie	February 1998
o contract of the contract of		
Practices in Shanghai's Industrial Firms.		
Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to		
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
	Dr. János Fehér	January 1009
No. 198: The Application of Change Management Methods at Business	Dr. Janos Fener	January 1998
Organizations Operating in Hungary:		
Challenges in the Business and Cultural Environment and First Practical Experiences.		
Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to		
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition		
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 197: Organizational Changes in Russian	Igor B. Gurkov	January 1998
Industrial Enterprises: Mutation of Decision-	Igor B. Gurkov	Junuary 1990
Making Structures and Transformations of		
Ownership. Forthcoming in Teaching the		
Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational Change		
in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 196: Understanding and Managing	Dan Candea and Rodica M. Candea	January 1998
Challenges to the Romanian Companies	Dan Canaea ana Roaica M. Canaea	January 1998
during Transition. Forthcoming in Teaching		
the Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational		
Change in Transition Economies ed. Daniel		
Denison.		
No. 195: Insider Lending and Economic	Lisa A. Keister	December 1997
Transition: The Structure, Function, and	List II. Reisier	Becember 1997
Performance Impact of Finance Companies in		
Chinese Business Groups. Forthcoming in		
Teaching the Dinosaurs to Dance:		
Organizational Change in Transition		
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 194: Japanese Investment in Transitional	Paul W. Beamish and Andrew Delios	November 1997
Economies: Characteristics and Performance.	- I Seeman and I have a seeman	1.0,0
Forthcoming in Teaching the Dinosaurs to		
Dance: Organizational Change in Transition		
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 193: Building Successful Companies in	Dr. Ivan Perlaki	January 1998
Transition Economies. Forthcoming in) 9
Teaching the Dinosaurs to Dance:		
Organizational Change in Transition		
Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 192: Russian Communitariansim: An	Charalambos Vlachoutsicos	July 1998
Invisible Fist in the Transformation Process of		
Russia. Forthcoming in Teaching the		
Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational Change		
in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
	I.	



No. 191: Teaching the Dinosaurs to Dance	Michal Cakrt	September 1997
No. 190: Strategic Restructuring: Making	Lawrence P. King	September 1997
Capitalism in Post-Communist Eastern	Zamence 1. Hing	September 1997
Europe. Forthcoming in Teaching the		
Dinosaurs to Dance: Organizational Change		
in Transition Economies ed. Daniel Denison.		
No. 189: Published in: Regional Science and	Daniel Berkowitz and David N. DeJong	July 1998
Urban Economics, "Russia's Internal	Banter Bernovilly and Barra 14. Berong	
Border", 29 (5), September 1999.		
No. 187: Corporate Structure and	László Halpern and Gábor Kórsöi	July 1998
Performance in Hungary	Eastio Haiperi ana Gaoor Rorsor	July 1990
No. 186: Performance of Czech Companies by	Andrew Weiss and Georgiy Nikitin	June 1998
Ownership Structure	Thurew weiss and Georgiy Wikitin	June 1990
No. 185: Firm Performance in Bulgaria and	Jozef Konings	July 1998
Estonia: The effects of competitive pressure,	Jozef Konings	July 1770
financial pressure and disorganisation		
No. 184: Investment and Wages during the	Janez Prasnikar and Jan Svejnar	July 1998
Transition: Evidence from Slovene Firms	Janez I rushikar ana Jan Svejnar	July 1990
No. 183: Investment Portfolio under Soft	Chongen Bai and Yijiang Wang	
Budget: Implications for Growth, Volatility	Chongen but und Hijiung Wang	
and Savings		
No. 181: Delegation and Delay in Bank	Loránd Ambrus-Lakatos and Ulrich Hege	July 1998
Privatization	Lorana Amorus-Lakatos ana Otrich Hege	July 1990
No. 180: Financing Mechanisms and R&D	Haizhou Huang and Chenggang Xu	July 1998
Investment	Haiznoù Huang ana Chenggang Xu	July 1990
	Coult For and Double Double or	1 1000
No. 179: Organizational Culture and	Carl F. Fey and Daniel R. Denison	January 1999
Effectiveness: The Case of Foreign Firms in Russia		
	Vinel II Debeija and Develas W. Dumen	I 1009
No. 178: Output and Unemployment Dynamics in Transition	Vivek H. Dehejia and Douglas W. Dwyer	January 1998
·	Guido Friebel	June 1998
No. 177: Published in: Economics of Transition ,, "Bureaucracies in the Russian	Guido Friebei	June 1990
Voucher Privatization" Vol. 8, No. 1, 2000,		
pp. 37-57.	Lánga Vinge	Luna 1009
No. 176: Chronic Moderate Inflation in	János Vincze	June 1998
Transition: The Tale of Hungary	II D w II M	1 1000
No. 175: Privatisation and Market Structure	John Bennett and James Maw	June 1998
in a Transition Economy	Dataick Dollars I Cl V	L 1000
No. 174: Ownership and Managerial	Patrick Bolton and Chenggang Xu	June 1998
Competition: Employee, Customer, or Outside Ownership		
1	Chang on Pai Vu Dan and Villana War	June 1998
No. 173: Intragovernment Procurement of	Chong-en Bai, Yu Pan and Yijiang Wang	June 1998
Local Public Good: A Theory of		
Decentralization in Nondemocratic		
Government	Lady Overland and Michael Course	Assessed 1000
No. 172: Political Instability and Growth in	Jody Overland and Michael Spagat	August 1998
Proprietary Economies No. 1711 Published in Post Communist	Mamia Damatai:	Lun a 1000
No. 171: Published in Post-Communist	Morris Bornstein	June 1998
Economies, "Framework Issues in the		
Privatization Strategies of the Czech Republic,		
Hungary, and Poland" Vol. 11, no. 1 March		
1999.		



No. 170: Published in: **European Journal of** Frantisek Turnovec

No. 170: Published in: European Journal of	Frantisek Turnovec	May 1998
Political Economy "Privatization, Ownership	Transisek Turnovec	May 1990
Structure and Transparency: How to Measure		
a Real Involvement of the State" 15(4),		
November 1999, pp. 605-18.		
No. 169 Published in: American Economic	John C. Ham, Jan Svejnar, and Katherine	December 1998
Review, "Unemployment and the Social Safety	Terrell	December 1990
Net during Transitions to a Market Economy:	Terren	
Evidence from Czech and Slovak Men." Vol.		
88, No. 5, Dec. 1998, pp. 1117-1142.		
No. 167: Voucher Privatization with	David Ellerman	March 1998
Investment Funds: An Institutional Analysis	Davia Etterman	March 1990
No. 166: Published in: Marketing Issues in	Stoven M. Dung and Jan Danadiet E.M.	August 1009
Transitional Economies, "Value Priorities	Steven M. Burgess and Jan-Benedict E.M. Steenkamp	August 1998
and Consumer Behavior in a Transitional	Steenkump	
Economy: The Case of South Africa" ed.		
Rajeev Batra.		
No. 164: Finance and Investment in	Ronald Anderson and Chantal Kegels	September 1997
Transition: Czech Enterprises, 1993-1994	Roman Thuerson and Chantal Regels	September 1997
No. 163: European Union Trade and	Alexander Repkine and Patrick P. Walsh	April 1998
Investment Flows U-Shaping Industrial	Alexander Reprime and Fairter F. Waish	April 1990
Output in Central and Eastern Europe:		
Theory and Evidence		
No. 162: Skill Acquisition and Private Firm	Zuzana Brixiova and Wenli Li	October 1999
Creation in Transition Economies	Zuzuna Brixtova ana Wetti Li	October 1777
No. 161: Corruption in Transition	Susanto Basu and David D. Li	May 1998
No. 160a: Tenures that Shook the World:	Hartmut Lehmann and Jonathan	November 1999
Worker Turnover in Russia, Poland and	Wadsworth	1,0,0,0,000
Britain		
No. 160: Tenures that Shook the World:	Hartmut Lehmann and Jonathan	June 1998
Worker Turnover in the Russian Federation	Wadsworth	
and Poland		
No. 159: Does Market Structure Matter? New	Annette N. Brown and J. David Brown	June 1998
Evidence from Russia		
No. 158: Structural Adjustment and Regional	Hartmut Lehmann and Patrick P. Walsh	June 1997
Long Term Unemployment in Poland		
No. 157: Baby Boom or Bust? Changing	Robert S. Chase	April 1998
Fertility in Post-Communist Czech Republic		
and Slovakia		
No. 156 Published in: Leadership and	Karen L. Newman	June 1998
Organization Development Journal,		
"Leading Radical Change in Transition		
Economies." Vol. 19, No. 6, 1998, pp. 309-		
324.		
No. 155 Published in: Oxford Review of	Wendy Carlin and Michael Landesmann	June 1997
Economic Policy, "From Theory into		
Practice? Restructuring and Dynamism in		
Transition Economies." Vol. 13, No. 2,		
Summer 1997, pp. 77-105.		
No. 154: The Model and the Reality:	Edmund Malesky, Vu Thanh Hung, Vu Thi	July 1998
The state of the s		0111, 1770
Assessment of Vietnamese SOE Reform— Implementation at the Firm Level	Dieu Anh, and Nancy K. Napier	



No. 153 Published in: Journal of	David D. Li and Minsong Liang	March 1998
Comparative Economics, "Causes of the Soft		
Budget Constraint: Evidence on Three		
Explanations." Vol. 26, No. 1, March 1998,		
pp. 104-116.		
No. 152 Published in: Comparative Economic	Susan J. Linz and Gary Krueger	April 1998
Studies, "Enterprise Restructuring in Russia's		
Transition Economy: Formal and Informal		
Mechanisms." Vol. 40, No. 2, Summer 1998,		
pp. 5-52.		
No. 151: Labor Productivity in Transition: A	Susan J. Linz	May 1998
Regional Analysis of Russian Industry		
No. 150: Tax Avoidance and the Allocation of	Anna Meyendorff	June 1998
Credit. Forthcoming in Financial Systems in		
Transition: The Design of Financial Systems		
in Central Europe eds. Anna Meyendorff and		
Anjan Thakor.		
No. 149: Commitment, Versatility and	Leslie Perlow and Ron Fortgang	April 1998
Balance: Determinants of Work Time		
Standards and Norms in a Multi-Country		
Study of Software Engineers		
No. 148: Changes in Poland's Transfer	Bozena Leven	June 1998
Payments in the 1990s: the Fate of		
Pensioners		
No. 147: Environmental Protection and	Robert Letovsky, Reze Ramazani, and	June 1998
Economic Development: The Case of the	Debra Murphy	
Huaihe River Basin Cleanup Plan		
No. 146: Chief Executive Compensation	Derek C. Jones, Takao Kato, and Jeffrey	June 1998
During Early Transition: Further Evidence	Miller	
from Bulgaria		
No. 145 Published in: Economics of	John Ham, Jan Svejnar, and Katherine	May 1998
Transition, "Women's Unemployment During	Terrell	
the Transition: Evidence from Czech and		
Slovak Micro Data," Vol. 7, No. 1, May 1999,		
pp. 47-78.		
No. 144: Investment and Wages in Slovenia	Janez Prasnikar	May 1998
No. 143 Published in: Review of Financial	Elazar Berkovitch and Ronen Israel	March 1998
Studies, "Optimal Bankruptcy Laws Across		
Different Economic Systems," 12(2), Summer		
1999, pgs. 347-77.		
No. 142: Industrial Policy and Poverty in	Susan J. Linz	March 1998
Transition Economies: Two Steps Forward or		
One Step Back?		
No. 141: Collective Ownership and	Suwen Pan and Albert Park	April 1998
Privatization of China's Village Enterprises		
No. 140: A Comparative Look at Labor	Vit Sorm and Katherine Terrell	April 1999
Mobility in the Czech Republic: Where have		
all the Workers Gone?		
No. 139: The Failure of the Government-Led	Simeon Djankov and Kosali Ilayperuma	September 1997
Program of Corporate Reorganization in		
Romania		
No. 138: Ownership and Employment in	Susan J. Linz	March 1998



Pussian Industry: 1002 1005		
Russian Industry: 1992-1995	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	N 1 1007
No. 137 Published in: Journal of Political	Lawrence J. Lau, Yingyi Qian, and Gerard	November 1997
Economy, "Reform Without Losers: An	Roland	
Interpretation of China's Dual-Track		
Approach to Transition," Feb. 2000; Vol. 108,		
Iss.1; pg. 120		
No. 136 Published in: European Economic	Klaus M. Schmidt	March 1998
Review, "The Political Economy of Mass		
Privatization and the Risk of Expropriation,"		
44(2), February 2000, pgs. 393-421		
No. 135: Radical Organizational Change: The	Karen L. Newman	January 1998
Role of Starting Conditions, Competition, and		J
Leaders		
No. 134: To Restructure or Not to	Clifford Gaddy and Barry W. Ickes	May 1998
Restructure: Informal Activities and	Cujjora Gaday ana Barry W. Tekes	Muy 1770
Enterprise Behavior in Transition		
	Logof C. Bunda	March 1998
No. 133: Management 101: Behavior of Firms	Josef C. Brada	March 1998
in Transition Economies		F.1. 1000
No. 132 Published in: Quarterly Journal of	John McMillan and Christopher Woodruff	February 1998
Economics, "Interfirm Relationships and		
Informal Credit in Vietnam," 114(4), Nov.		
1999, pgs. 1285-1320		
No. 131 Published in: Comparative Economic	John B. Bonin and Istvan Abel	March 1998
Studies, "Will Restructuring Hungarian		
Companies Innovate? An Investigation Based		
on Joseph Berliner's Analysis of Innovation in		
Soviet Industry." Vol. 40, No. 2, Summer		
1998, pp. 53-74.		
No. 130: Published in The American	David D. Li	January 1998
Economic Review, "Changing Incentives of		
the Chinese Bureaucracy." May, 1998.		
No. 129: Restructuring Investment in	Richard E. Ericson	January 1998
Transition: A Model of the Enterprise	Richard E. Ericson	
Decision		
	Cugan I Lin-	I
No. 128 Published in: Comparative Economic	Susan J. Linz	January 1998
Studies, "Job Rights in Russian Firms:		
Endangered or Extinct Institutions?" Vol. 40,		
No. 4, Winter 1998, pp. 1-32.		* ***
No. 127: Accounting for Growth in Post-	Daniel Berkowitz and David N. DeJong	January 1998
Soviet Russia		
No. 126 Published in: Economics of	Yuanzheng Cao, Yingyi Qian, and Barry R.	December 1997
Transition, "From Federalism, Chinese Style,	Weingast	
to Privatization Chinese Style," 7(1), 1999,		
pgs. 103-31		
No. 125: Market Discipline in Conglomerate	Arnoud W. A. Boot and Anjolein Schmeits	November 1997
Banks: Is an Internal Allocation of Cost of		
Capital Necessary as Incentive Device?		
Forthcoming in Financial Systems in		
Transition: The Design of Financial Systems		
in Central Europe eds. Anna Meyendorff and		
Anjan Thakor.		
No. 124: Financial Discipline in the	Shumei Gao and Mark E. Schaffer	February 1998
110. 124. I manciai Disciptine in the	Snumei Gao ana mark E. Schaffer	1 evituary 1990



Enterprise Sector in Transition Countries:		
How Does China Compare?		
No. 123: Considerations of an Emerging	Brent Chrite and David Hudson	February 1998
	Breni Cartie and David Hudson	rebruary 1998
Marketplace: Managers' Perceptions in the Southern African Economic Community		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		N 1 1007
No. 122: A Model of the Informal Economy in	Simon Commander and Andrei	November 1997
Transition Economies	Tolstopiatenko	
No. 121: Local Labour Market Dynamics in	Peter Huber and Andreas Worgotter	November 1997
the Czech and Slovak Republics		
No. 121: Local Labour Market Dynamics in	Peter Huber and Andreas Worgotter	November 1997
the Czech and Slovak Republics		
No. 119: Institutional Upheaval and Company	Karen L. Newman	March 1998
Transformation in Emerging Market		
Economies		
No. 118: Industrial Decline and Labor	John S. Earle	October 1997
Reallocation in Romania		
No. 117: Notes for an Essay on the Soft	Lorand Ambrus-Lakatos	January 1997
Budget Constraint		
No. 116: Labor Demand During Transition in	Gabor Korosi	October 1997
Hungary		20.000. 1777
No. 115: Enterprise Performance and	Simeon Djankov and Stijn Claessens	December 1997
Managers' Profiles	Simeon Djankov and Siijn Cidessens	December 1777
No. 114b Employment and Wages in	Swati Basu, Saul Estrin, and Jan Svejnar	April 2000
Enterprises under Communism and in	Swatt Basu, Saut Estrin, and San Svejnar	April 2000
Transition: Evidence From Central Europe		
and Russia		0 1 1007
No. 114: Employment and Wage Behavior of	Swati Basu, Saul Estrin, and Jan Svejnar	October 1997
Enterprises in Transitional Economies		0 1 1007
No. 113: Preliminary Evidence on Active	Christopher J. O'Leary	October 1997
Labor Programs' Impact in Hungary and		
Poland	Y 1 - YY 100	0 1 1007
No. 111: Unemployment Benefits and	Joachim Wolff	October 1997
Incentives in Hungary: New Evidence		
No. 110: Published in: Empirical Economics,	Marek Gora and Christoph M. Schmidt	April 1997
"Long-Term Unemployment, Unemployment		
Benefits and Social Assistance: The Polish		
Experience" Empirical-Economics; 23(1-2),		
1998, pages 55-85.		
No. 109 Published in: Industrial and Labor	Robert S. Chase	October 1997
Relations Review, "Markets for Communist		
Human Capital: Returns to Education and		
Experience in Post-Communist Czech		
Republic and Slovakia." Vol. 51, No. 3, April		
1998, pp. 401-423.		
No. 107: The Worker-Firm Matching in the	Daniel Münich, Jan Svejnar, and	October 1997
Transition: (Why) Are the Czechs More	Katherine Terrell	
Successful Than Others?		
No. 106 Published in: Journal of	Valentijn Bilsen and Jozef Konings	September 1998
Comparative Economics, "Job Creation, Job		•
Destruction and Growth of Newly Established,		
Privatized and State-Owned Enterprises in		
Transition Economies: Survey Evidence from		
	1	



		T
Bulgaria, Hungary, and Romania," Vol. 26, No.3, September 1998, pp. 429-445.		
No. 105: Getting Behind the East-West	Michael Burda and Christoph Schmidt	May 1997
[German] Wage Differential: Theory and	Michael Buraa ana Christoph Schmai	Muy 1997
Evidence		
		0 1 1007
No. 104: The Birth of the "Wage Curve" in	Gabor Kertesi and Janos Kollo	October 1997
Hungary, 1989-95		
No. 103: Published in: Journal of	Hartmut Lehmann, Jonathan Wadsworth,	October 1997
Comparative Economics, "Grime and	and Alessandro Acquisti	
Punishment: Job Insecurity and Wage Arrears		
in the Russian Federation" 27, 595-617		
(1999).		
No. 102: Social Networks in Transition	Lorena Barberia, Simon Johnson, and	October 1997
	Daniel Kaufmann	
No. 101: Depreciation and Russian Corporate	Susan J. Linz	November 1997
Finance: A Pragmatic Approach to Surviving		
the Transition		
No. 100: Romanian Financial System Reform	Anna Meyendorff and Anjan V. Thakor	November 1997
No. 99: Proceedings of the Conference on	Edited by Cynthia Koch	May 1997
Strategic Alliances in Transitional Economies,		11200/ 1227
held May 20, 1997 at the Davidson Institute		
No. 98: Institutions, Strain and the	Daniel Daianu and Lucian Albu	November 1997
Underground Economy	Daniel Dalana ana Euclan Alba	Troveniber 1997
No. 97: Structure and Strain in Explaining	Daniel Daianu	November 1997
1	Daniei Daianu	November 1997
Inter-Enterprise Arrears	D . ID .	N 1 1007
No. 96: Resource Misallocation and Strain:	Daniel Daianu	November 1997
Explaining Shocks in Post-Command		
Economies V	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N 1 1007
No. 95: Published in: Finance-a-Uver,	Jan Hanousek and Evzen Kocenda	November 1997
"Czech Money Market: Emerging Links		
Among Interest Rates." 48(2) 1998 pp. 99-		
109.		
No. 94: Pre-Reform Industry and the	Xiao-Yuan Dong and Louis Putterman	October 1997
State Monopsony in China		
No. 93: China's State-Owned Enterprises	Xiao-Yuan Dong and Louis Putterman	October 1997
In the First Reform Decade:		
An Analysis of a Declining Monopsony		
No. 92: Expatriate Management in the Czech	Richard B. Peterson	September 1997
Republic		
No. 91: China and the Idea of Economic	Thomas G. Rawski	April 1997
Reform		
No. 90 Published in: China Economic	Thomas G. Rawski	July 1997
Review, "China's State Enterprise Reform: An		230, 222
Overseas Perspective." Vol. 8, Spring 1997,		
pp. 89-98.		
No. 89: The Economic Determinants of	Annette N. Brown	July 1997
Internal Migration Flows in Russia During	Inment II. Diowit	July 1771
Transition Transition		
	Managnat Mauran Eggia Thomas C	Luby 1007
No. 88: Gender Wage Gaps in China's Labor	Margaret Maurer-Fazio, Thomas G.	July 1997
Market: Size, Structure, Trends	Rawski, and Wei Zhang	1 1007
No. 87: Privatisation in Central and Eastern	Saul Estrin	June 1997
Europe		1



No. 86: Published in: Economics of Transition, "The Effect of Privatization on	Michael Alexeev	February 1998
Wealth Distribution in Russia." v. 7, no. 2, 1999, pp. 449-65		
No. 85: Was Privatization in Eastern Germany a Special Case? Some Lessons from the Treuhand	Uwe Siegmund	September 1997
No. 84: Start-ups and Transition	Daniel M. Berkowitz and David J. Cooper	September 1997
No. 83: Which Enterprises (Believe They) Have Soft Budgets after Mass Privatization? Evidence from Mongolia	James Anderson, Georges Korsun, and Peter Murrell	October 1997
No. 82: Published in: European Economic Review, "Unemployment Dynamics and the Restructuring of the Slovak Unemployment Benefit System." April, 1997.	Martina Lubyova and Jan C. van Ours	June 1997
No. 81: Determinants of Unemployment Duration in Russia	Mark C. Foley	August 1997
No. 80: The Many Faces of Information Disclosure	Arnoud W.A. Boot and Anjan V. Thakor	October 1997
No. 79: Published in: Journal of Finance, "Foreign Speculators and Emerging Equity Markets." v.22, iss. 2, 2000, pp. 565-613	Geert Bekaert and Campbell R. Harvey	August 1997
No. 78: The Relationship Between Economic Factors and Equity Markets in Central Europe	Jan Hanousek and Randall K. Filer	June 1997
No. 77 Published in: Economics of Transition, "A Gini Decomposition Analysis of Inequality in the Czech and Slovak Republics During the Transition," Vol. 6, No.1, May 1998, pp. 23-46.	Thesia I. Garner and Katherine Terrell	May 1998
No. 76: China's Emerging Market for Property Rights: Theoretical and Empirical Perspectives	Gary H. Jefferson and Thomas G. Rawski	June 1997
No. 75b: Test of Permanent Income Hypothesis on Czech Voucher Privatization	Jan Hanousek and Zdenek Tima	October 1997
No. 74: Determinants of Performance of Manufacturing Firms in Seven European Transition Economies	Stijn Claessens, Simeon Djankov, and Gerhard Pohl	February 1997
No. 73 Published in: Economics of Transition , "The Restructuring of Large Firms in Slovak Republic." Vol. 6, No. 1, May 1998, pp. 67-85	Simeon Djankov and Gerhard Pohl	May 1998
No. 72: Law, Relationships, and Private Enforcement: Transactional Strategies of Russian Enterprises	Kathryn Hendley, Peter Murrell, and Randi Ryterman	November 1998
No. 71: Giving Credit Where Credit Is Due: The Changing Role of Rural Financial Institutions in China	Albert Park, Loren Brandt, and John Giles	March 1997
No. 70: Privatization Versus Competition: Changing Enterprise Behavior in Russia	John S. Earle and Saul Estrin	Spring 1997
No. 69: Russian Managers under Storm: Explicit Reality and Implicit Leadership Theories (A Pilot Exploration)	Igor Gurkov	October 1998



No. 68: The Political Economy of Central- Local Relations in China: Inflation and	Yasheng Huang	Spring 1997
· ·		
Investment Controls During the Reform Era	V I II	g : 1007
No. 67: Between Two Coordination Failures:	Yasheng Huang	Spring 1997
Automotive Industrial Policy in China with a		
Comparison to Korea	~	
No. 66 Published in: Post-Soviet Geography	Susan J. Linz	January 1997
and Economics, "Red Executives in Russia's		
Transition Economy." Vol. 27, No. 10,		
November 1996, pp. 633-651.		
No. 65 Published in: Industrial and	Gautam Ahuja and Sumit K. Majumdar	April 1997
Corporate Change, "On the Sequencing of		
Privatization in Transition Economies." Vol.		
7, No. 1, 1998.		
No. 64: Published in: Journal of Law and	Pradeep K. Chhibber and Sumit K.	April 1997
Economics, "Foreign Ownership and	Majumdar	•
Profitability: Property Rights, Control and the		
Performance of Firms in Indian Industry"		
42(1), April 1999, pp. 209-38.		
No. 63: How Taxing Is Corruption on	Shang-Jin Wei	February 1997
International Investors?		
No. 62: What Can We Learn from the	Tito Boeri	1997
Experience of Transitional Economies with	The Boott	1///
Labour Market Policies?		
No. 61: Published in: Accounting	Shannon W. Anderson and William N.	April 1997
Organizations and Society, "Economic	Lanen	11pm 1777
Transition, Strategy and the Evolution of	Lunen	
Management Accounting Practices: The Case		
of India" 24(5,6), Jul/Aug 1999, pp. 379-412.		
No. 60a: Enterprise Investment During the	Lubomír Lizal and Jan Svejnar	December 1997
Transition: Evidence from Czech Panel Data	Lubomii Lizai ana 3an Svejnar	December 1997
	lighting Changed Vincoui Oign	Amail 1007
No. 59: Published in: Journal of Law,	Jiahua Che and Yingyi Qian	April 1997
Economics, and Organization, "Institutional		
Environment, Community Government, and		
Corporate Governance: Understanding		
China's Township-Village Enterprises."		
14(1), April 1998, pages 1-23	Latara Cha	J 2000
No. 58: From the Grabbing Hand to the	Jiahua Che	June 2000
Helping Hand	C. II D. III C. I	1 1007
No. 57: Published in: Brookings Papers on	Simon Johnson, Daniel Kaufmann, and	June 1997
Economic Activity, "The Unofficial Economy	Andrei Schleifer	
in Transition." 1: 1998.		4 11 1005
No. 56: Taxes and Government Incentives:	Roger H. Gordon and David D. Li	April 1997
Eastern Europe vs. China		
No. 55: Corruption and Reform	Susanto Basu and David Li	June 1996
· ·	Loren Brandt and Xiaodong Zhu	June 1997
Macroeconomic Consequences of		
Commitment to State-Owned Firms		
No. 53: Published in: The International	Pankaj Ghemawat and Robert E. Kennedy	May 1997
Journal of Industrial Organization,		
"Competitive Shocks and Industrial Structure:		
The Case of Polish Manufacturing." August,		
No. 54: Decentralization and the Macroeconomic Consequences of Commitment to State-Owned Firms No. 53: Published in: The International Journal of Industrial Organization, "Competitive Shocks and Industrial Structure:	Loren Brandt and Xiaodong Zhu	June 1997



1999		
No. 52: Published in: The Quarterly Journal	Jiahua Che and Yingyi Qian	May 1997
of Economics, "Insecure Property Rights and		
Government Ownership of Firms." May,		
<i>1998</i> .		
No. 51: Incentives, Scale Economies, and	Eric Maskin, Yingyi Qian, and Chenggang	May 1997
Organizational Form	Xu	
No. 50: Published in: Post-Soviet-Affairs,	Barry W. Ickes, Peter Murrell, and Randi	March 1997
"End of the Tunnel? The Effects of Financial	Ryterman	
Stabilization in Russia" April-June 1997,		
pages 105-33		
No. 49: The Evolution of Bank Credit Quality	Enrico C. Perotti and Octavian Carare	October 1996
in Transition: Theory and Evidence from		
Romania		
No. 48: Where Do the Leaders Trade?	Jan Hanousek and Libor Nemecek	May 1997
Information Revelation and Interactions		
Between the Segments of Czech Capital		
Markets		
No. 47: Firms' Heterogeneity in Transition:	Irena Grosfeld and Jean-François Nivet	May 1997
Evidence from a Polish Panel Data Set		
No. 46: Strategic Creditor Passivity,	Janet Mitchell	May 1997
Regulation, and Bank Bailouts		
No. 45a: Decentralization in Transition	Daniel M. Berkowitz and Wei Li	September 1997
Economies: A Tragedy of the Commons?		F
No. 44a: The Information Content of Stock	Randall Morck, Bernard Yeung, and	February 1999
Markets: Why do Emerging Markets have	Wayne Yu	y
Synchronous Stock Price Movements?		
(forthcoming in the Journal of Financial		
Economics).		
No. 43: Agency in Project Screening and	Chong-en Bai and Yijiang Wang	May 1997
Termination Decisions: Why Is Good Money		
Thrown After Bad?		
No. 42: Published in: Economics of	Simon Commander, Andrei Tolstopiatenko,	May 1997
Transition, "Channels of Redistribution:	and Ruslan Yemtsov	
Inequality and Poverty in the Russian		
Transition." Vol. 7 (2) 1999.		
No. 41: Published in: Economics of	László Halpern and Gabor Korosi	May 1997
Transition, "Labour Market Characteristics		
and Profitability: Econometric Analysis of		
Hungarian Exporting Firms, 1986-1995"		
6(1), May 1998, pages 145-62		
No. 40: Published in: the Harvard Law	Michael Heller	February 1997
Review, "The Tragedy of the Anticommons:		
Property in the Transition from Marx to		
Markets." January 1998.		
No. 39: Privatization and Managerial	Olivier Debande and Guido Friebel	May 1997
Efficiency		
No. 38 Published in: The Quarterly Journal	Olivier Blanchard and Michael Kremer	January 1997
of Economics, "Disorganization." Vol. 112,		
No. 4, November 1997, pp. 1091-1126.		
No. 37: Published in: Economics of	Gérard Roland and Thierry Verdier	March 1997
Transition, "Transition and the Output Fall."		



7(1), 1999, pages 1-28.		
No. 36: Restructuring an Industry During	Richard Ericson	September 1996
Transition: A Two-Period Model		1
No. 34: The East-West Joint Venture: BC	Sonia Ferencikova and Vern Terpstra	December 1998
Torsion Case Study	Bonta i erenemova ana vem i erpsira	Becember 1990
No. 33 Published in: Journal of Comparative	Daniel Berkowitz, David DeJong, and	December 1998
Economics, "Quantifying Price Liberalization	Steven Husted	December 1990
in Russia." Vol. 26, No. 4, December 1998,	Steven Husten	
pp. 735-737.		
No. 32: What Can North Korea Learn from	John McMillan	Santamban 1006
	John McMillan	September 1996
China's Market Reforms?	v··· w l.cl. cl	M 1 1007
No. 31: Published in: China-Economic-	Yijiang Wang and Chun Chang	March 1997
Review, "Towards a Model of China as a		
Partially Reformed Developing Economy		
Under a Semifederalist Government.", 9(1),		
Spring 1998, pages 1-23.		
No. 30: Convergence in Output in Transition	Saul Estrin and Giovanni Urga	February 1997
Economies: Central and Eastern Europe,		
1970-1995		
No. 29: Published in: Economics of	Evzen Kocenda	March 1997
Transition, "Altered Band and Exchange		
Volatility." Volume 6, no. 1, 1998, 173-181.		
No. 28: Published in: Quarterly Journal of	Hehui Jin and Yingyi Qian	January 1997
Economics, "Public Versus Private		
Ownership of Firms: Evidence from Rural		
China." Volume 113, no. 3, August 1998, 773-		
808.		
No. 27: East-West Joint Ventures in a	Sonia Ferencikova	March 1997
Transitional Economy: The Case of Slovakia		
No. 26: Published in Economic Analysis	Janez Prasnikar	February 1997
"Behavior of a Slovenian Firm in Transition"		
Vol. 1, no. 1, 1998, 57-73.		
No. 25: Cultural Encounters and Claims to	Michael D. Kennedy	February 1997
Expertise in Postcommunist Capitalism	The same of the sa	1 00.000.) 1>>,
No. 24: ZVU a.s.: Investment Funds on the	Tory Wolff	August 1995
Board of Directors of an Engineering Giant	1019 1104)	111181131 1773
No. 23: The Role of Investment Funds in the	Dusan Triska	June 1996
v v	Dusun Irisku	June 1990
Czech Republic (joint publication with Czech		
Management Center)	Dishand Dedmina	Man. 1006
No. 22: Czech Investment Fund Industry:	Richard Podpiera	May 1996
Development and Behaviour (joint publication		
with Czech Management Center)	1 4	Y 1006
No. 21: Restructuring of Czech Firms: An	Antonin Bulin	June 1996
Example of Gama, a.s. (joint publication with		
Czech Management Center)		
No. 20: YSE Funds: A Story of Czech	Michal Otradovec	November 1995
Investment Funds (joint publication with		
Czech Management Center)		
No. 19: První Investicni a.s., The First	Jaroslav Jirasek	August 1995
Investment Corporation (joint publication		
with Czech Management Center)		
No. 18: PPF a.s., The First Private Investment	Michal Otradovec	November 1995



Fund (joint publication with Czech		
Management Center)		
No. 17 Published in: Post-Soviet Geography	Susan J. Linz and Gary Krueger	November 1996
and Economics, "Russia's Managers in		
Transition: Pilferers or Paladins?" Vol. 37,		
o.7 (September 1996), pp. 397-426.		
No. 16: Banks in Transition—Investment	With commentary and edited by Anna	January 1997
Opportunities in Central Europe and Russia	Meyendorff	
Edited Transcript from 31 May 1996		
Conference in New York City		
No. 15: Marketing in Transitional Economies:	Compiled by The Davidson Institute	December 1996
Edited Transcript & Papers from 1 April 1996		
Conference in Ann Arbor, Michigan		
No. 14: Pensions in the Former Soviet Bloc:	Jan Svejnar	November 1996
Problems and Solutions. Published by		
Council on Foreign Relations. "The Coming		
Global Pension Crisis" New York, 1997		
No. 13: Enterprise Restructuring and	Lubomir Lizal, Miroslav Singer, and Jan	December 1996
Performance in the Transition. Forthcoming	Svejnar	
in Financial Systems in Transition: The		
Design of Financial Systems in Central		
Europe eds. Anna Meyendorff and Anjan		
Thakor.		
No. 12 Published in: Journal of International	Rajeev Batra	April 1997
Marketing, "Executive Insights: Marketing		
Issues and Challenges in Transitional		
Economies." Vol. 5, No. 4, 1997, pp. 95-114.		
Also published in: Marketing Issues in		
Transitional Economies ed. Rajeev Batra.		
No. 11: Worker Trust and System	Andrew Schotter	August 1996
Vulnerability in the Transition from Socialism		
to Capitalism		7 1 100 5
No. 10 Published in: Comparative Economic	Susan J. Linz	July 1996
Studies, "Russian Firms in Transition:		
Champions, Challengers, and Chaff." Vol.		
39, No.2, Summer 1997, pp. 1-36.		
No. 9: Corporate Debt Crisis and Bankruptcy	David D. Li and Shan Li	December 1995
Law During the Transition: The Case of China		
No. 8 Published in: Journal of Comparative	David D. Li	June 1996
Economics, "A Theory of Ambiguous		
Property Rights in Transition Economies: The		
Case of the Chinese Non-State Sector." Vol.		
23, No. 1, August 1996, pp. 1-19.	2 1 1 1 1	1000
No. 7: The Foreign Economic Contract Law of	Dong-lai Li	June 1993
China: Cases and Analysis	B 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1006
No. 3: Bank Privatization in Hungary and the	Roger Kormendi and Karen Schnatterly	May 1996
Magyar Kulkereskedelmi Bank Transaction	V 1 ((D 1 D :	
Replacing Nos. 1-2 & 4-6: Journal of	No. 1 "Bank Privatization in Transitional	August 1997
Comparative Economics Symposium on	Economies" by Roger Kormendi and	
"Bank Privatization in Central Europe and	Edward Snyder. No. 2 "Transactional	
Russia." Vol. 25, No. 1, August 1997.	Structures of Bank Privatizations in	
	Central Europe and Russia" by Anna	



Meyendorff and Edward A. Snyder. No. 4 "Bank Privatization in Poland: The Case of Bank Slaski" by Jeffery Abarbaness and John Bonin. No. 5 "Bank Privatization in Post-Communist Russia: The Case of Zhilsotsbank" by Jeffery Abarbanell and Anna Meyendorff and No. 6 ""The Czech Republic's Commercial Bank: Komercni	
Banka" by Edward A. Snyder and Roger C. Kormendi.	