

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10654-7

OPEN

Widely tunable GaAs bandgap via strain engineering in core/shell nanowires with large lattice mismatch

Leila Balaghi ^{1,2}, Genziana Bussone³, Raphael Grifone³, René Hübner¹, Jörg Grenzer¹, Mahdi Ghorbani-Asl ¹, Arkady V. Krasheninnikov¹, Harald Schneider ¹, Manfred Helm^{1,2} & Emmanouil Dimakis ¹

The realisation of photonic devices for different energy ranges demands materials with different bandgaps, sometimes even within the same device. The optimal solution in terms of integration, device performance and device economics would be a simple material system with widely tunable bandgap and compatible with the mainstream silicon technology. Here, we show that gallium arsenide nanowires grown epitaxially on silicon substrates exhibit a sizeable reduction of their bandgap by up to 40% when overgrown with lattice-mismatched indium gallium arsenide or indium aluminium arsenide shells. Specifically, we demonstrate that the gallium arsenide core sustains unusually large tensile strain with hydrostatic character and its magnitude can be engineered via the composition and the thickness of the shell. The resulted bandgap reduction renders gallium arsenide nanowires suitable for photonic devices across the near-infrared range, including telecom photonics at 1.3 and potentially 1.55 μ m, with the additional possibility of monolithic integration in silicon-CMOS chips.

¹ Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Materials Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, 01328 Dresden, Germany. ² Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden (cfaed), Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany. ³ PETRA III, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), 22607 Hamburg, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.D. (email: e.dimakis@hzdr.de)

II-V compound semiconductors have stimulated many breakthroughs in physics and technology owing to their direct bandgap and high electron mobility¹. It has also been very important that these fundamental properties can be tailored, depending on the targeted device application or operation energy-range, by using (qua-) ternary alloys with selected chemical compositions. $In_rGa_{1-r}As$ is a representative example for applications in the near-infrared range, where the In-content x can be chosen to provide appropriate bandgaps for multi-junction photovoltaics, light emitting diodes and photodiodes, or telecom photonics^{2,3}. Nevertheless, not all compositions and corresponding bandgaps between the two endpoint binaries of a ternary alloy (e.g. GaAs and InAs for $In_xGa_{1-x}As$) are feasible because of the unavailability of lattice-matched substrates as well as the spinodal decomposition⁴. Furthermore, the alloy disorder is another factor that affects the performance of ternary alloys^{5,6}.

More recently, III-V semiconductors in the form of freestanding nanowires have shown new potentials for a wide range of future applications in nanotechnology, e.g., photovoltaic cells with enhanced light absorption⁷, lasers with sub-wavelength size⁸, tunnel field-effect transistors as energy-efficient electronic switches⁹, and entangled photon-pair sources for quantum information technology¹⁰. Owing to their small footprint, nanowires can also be grown epitaxially without dislocations on lattice-mismatched substrates, enabling the monolithic integration of dissimilar materials with complementary properties, such as III-V semiconductors and Si¹¹⁻¹⁴ or graphene^{15,16}. A distinct feature of the nanowire geometry is the possibility to create core/ shell heterostructures of highly lattice-mismatched materials well beyond the limits for coherent growth in equivalent thin-film heterostructures¹⁷. The lattice mismatch can be accommodated via elastic deformation of not only the shell, but also the core, depending on the relative thicknesses and chemical compositions¹⁸. This increases the capabilities for engineering the strain and, thus, the electronic structure and properties of the heterostructure¹⁹⁻²³. Unlike quantum-dot heterostructures, where elastic accommodation of large misfit stresses is also possible²⁴, the hetero-interface in nanowires can be several micrometres long, allowing for practical use in a wide variety of device concepts, e.g., in photovoltaics, lasers, thermoelectrics and electronics²⁵.

Strain-induced changes in the bandgap of the core in core/shell nanowires have been reported for GaAs/GaP²⁶, GaAs/Al_xGa_{1-x}As²⁷, InAs/InAs_xP_{1-x}²², and GaN/Al_xGa_{1-x}N²⁰. In all cases, the core was compressively strained and its bandgap increased, in the most extreme case by 260 meV²⁶. Tensile strain and up to 150 meV smaller bandgap in the core have been reported only for GaAs/Ga_xIn_{1-x}P nanowires²⁸. Nevertheless, extending the same concept to higher strain values is not straightforward owing to limiting factors like plastic relaxation and/or morphological instabilities^{29–32}. Alternatively, quantum confinement in thin nanowires³³ or post-growth external stress^{34,35}, which is less practical though for device applications, have also been suggested for tuning the GaAs bandgap.

Here, we exploit the unique opportunities for strain engineering in nanowires to achieve wide tuning of the bandgap in GaAs, a traditional III–V binary alloy. Specifically, we investigate the strain in highly-mismatched GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As and GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires, and its effects on the electronic properties of the GaAs core. The nanowires are grown epitaxially on Si substrates. Our work shows how to surmount strain-induced difficulties in the growth, how the misfit strain is distributed between the core and the shell depending on the design of the heterostructure and, most important, how to obtain highly strained cores with a sizeable change in their bandgap.

GaAs by up to 40% (\approx 600 meV) in a continuous manner (all intermediate values are possible), which renders GaAs nanowires a versatile material system for various photonic devices in the near-infrared range, including the 1.3 µm and potentially the 1.55 µm telecom windows, monolithically integrated on the same Si chip.

Results

Growth of strained core/shell nanowires. Vertical GaAs/In_xGa₁ _{-x}As and GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires were grown on Si(111) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). First, GaAs core nanowires with a diameter of 20–25 nm and a length of 2 µm were grown in self-catalysed mode³⁶ and then $In_xGa_{1-x}As$ or $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ shells were grown around the core nanowires (see Methods). The shell thickness (L_S) and composition (x) were varied independently according to the needs of our study. Figure 1a, b depict side-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of bare GaAs core nanowires (without shell) and GaAs/ $In_rGa_{1-r}As$ core/shell nanowires (x = 0.20, L_S = 40 nm), respectively. The growth conditions for the shell were tuned to obtain a homogeneous thickness and composition around the core nanowires. Specifically, the growth of the shell was performed at a considerably low substrate temperature (370 °C) with a continuous substrate-rotation of 20 rpm and relatively high growth rates (≈ 0.6 Å/s). Apart from the nanowires, a continuous planar layer with polycrystalline structure and similar composition to that of the nanowire shells also grew on the substrate.

The structure and composition of the nanowires were evaluated with transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The nanowires grew along the $[\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1}]$ crystallographic direction and have six $\{1\overline{1}0\}$ sidewalls. Figure 1c, d show element maps along and perpendicular to the nanowire axis as measured with energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS; see Methods). The incorporation of In into the shell was found very homogeneous except for the nanowire corners (Fig. 1d), where the incorporation was reduced, giving rise to six $\langle 11\overline{2} \rangle$ lines of lower x (the same occurs in $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ shells). Similar phenomena have been observed by others in various material systems and have been attributed to self-ordering effects that occur during heteroepitaxy on nonplanar substrates³⁷. The shell adopted the crystal structure of the core (see Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Note 1), i.e., both the core and the shell grew in the zinc blende structure and only the two ends of the nanowires contain a high number of rotational twins around the [111] nanowire axis and stacking faults that were formed in the beginning and the end of the GaAs growth (owing to transient changes of the droplet contact angle). Small wurtzite segments (i.e. continuous formation of twins) could be found by high-resolution TEM only occasionally and only at the two ends of the nanowires, but their volume was negligible compared to the total volume of the nanowires. Finally, the coherent growth along the $\langle 11\overline{2} \rangle$ crystallographic directions of the core/shell interface was evidenced by the absence of misfit dislocations as shown in Fig. 1e (high-resolution TEM image of the region shown with the yellow square in Fig. 1d).

We obtained similar results also for $In_xGa_{1-x}As$ shells with higher x and larger L_S or for $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ shells. For example, cross-sectional EDXS element maps for $In_{0.45}Ga_{0.55}As$ and $In_{0.49}Al_{0.51}As$ shells ($L_S = 80$ nm) are shown in Fig. 1f, g, respectively. The absence of misfit dislocations at the core/shell interface across the whole nanowire length was confirmed with TEM weak-beam dark-field measurements using the 220 reflection and the so-called (g, 3 g) condition. The example of a GaAs/In_{0.49}Al_{0.51}As nanowire, of the same type like the one in Fig. 1g, is shown in Fig. 1h. Finally, we found that the highest possible x for coherent growth of GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As nanowires



Fig. 1 Morphological, compositional and structural analysis of GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As and GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires grown on Si(111) substrates. **a** Side-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of as-grown bare GaAs core nanowires and (**b**) GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires (x = 0.20, shell thickness $L_S = 40$ nm). **c** Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) compositional analysis of the shell along the axis of one nanowire from the sample shown in (**b**). The zero position corresponds to the tip of the nanowire. The inset depicts the corresponding compositional map. **d** EDXS compositional map perpendicular to the axis of one nanowire from the sample shown in (**b**). **e** High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrograph of the core/shell interface region shown in (**d**) with a yellow square. The dotted line indicates the core/shell interface. The [110], [112] and [111] crystallographic directions are indicated as *x*-, *y*- and *z*- axes, respectively. **f** EDXS compositional map perpendicular to the axis of a GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As core/shell nanowire with x = 0.45 and $L_S = 80$ nm. **g** EDXS compositional map perpendicular to the axis of a GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As core/shell nanowire with x = 0.45 and $L_S = 80$ nm. **b** (220) weak-beam dark-field TEM image of a GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As nanowire like in (**g**) that shows no misfit dislocations in the region of the core (between the yellow dotted lines). The scale bars correspond to 1 µm in (**a**, **b**), 30 nm in (**d**, **f**, **g**), 5 nm in (**e**), and 100 nm in (**h**)

with $L_{\rm S} = 80$ nm resides between 0.55 (no misfit dislocations were observed) and 0.70 (misfit dislocations were observed).

It is worth to mention that we identified a tendency for preferential growth of the shells with high x on one side of the core, similar to Day et al.³⁸ for highly strained Si/Ge core/shell nanowires. This effect imposed anisotropic misfit stress to the core and, thus, the nanowires bent towards the thinner shell side^{21,30,38,39}. However, it was possible to minimise this tendency by performing the shell growth at sufficiently low temperatures with high growth rates, which imposed strong kinetic limitations. In contrast, the nanowires were bent permanently at higher growth temperatures and lower growth rates, even for x as low as 0.20.

Analysis of strain in core/shell nanowires. The strain in core/ shell nanowires was measured by micro-Raman scattering spectroscopy at 300 K ($\lambda = 532$ nm, beam spot size = 800 nm). The measurements were performed in back-scattering configuration with normal incidence excitation on single nanowires (see Methods), which had been transferred previously on an Aucoated Si wafer. The removal of nanowires from their original substrate did not affect their strain state because of the small nanowire/substrate interface area (see Supplementary Fig. 2d and Supplementary Note 2). An example of a GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As core/ shell nanowire with x = 0.20 and $L_s = 40$ nm is shown in Fig. 2a in comparison with a bare GaAs nanowire (without shell). The spectrum of the bare GaAs nanowire is dominated by scattering from transverse optical (TO) phonons, with the peak position at 268.6 cm⁻¹ in good agreement with reported values for strainfree bulk GaAs in zinc blende phase^{40,41} (longitudinal optical (LO) phonon transitions are forbidden in the particular measurement geometry, but a weak signal is still present). In contrast, the spectrum of the core/shell nanowire shows a more complex structure. Using Lorentzian curves for the fitting of the line shape, we identified three scattering contributions, i.e. scattering in the core from GaAs TO phonons and scattering in the shell from GaAs-like and InAs-like TO phonons (see Methods). Measuring the relative peak shift $(\Delta \omega / \omega)$ with respect to the strain-free position for GaAs and GaAs-like TO phonons (268.6 cm⁻¹ and $268.6-30 \times \text{cm}^{-1}$, respectively 42,43), we deduced the amount of hydrostatic strain $\Delta V/V$ in the core and in the shell, respectively, using the following equation:

$$\Delta V/V = 1/\gamma_{\rm TO} \cdot \Delta \omega/\omega, \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma_{TO} = 1.39$ is the hydrostatic deformation potential (or Grüneisen parameter) of GaAs TO phonons⁴⁰. Here, the shift of



Fig. 2 Strain analysis of GaAs/In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As core/shell nanowires as a function of shell thickness L_s . **a** Raman scattering spectra at 300 K from a single core nanowire without shell ("bare core") and a single core/shell nanowire with $L_s = 40$ nm ("core/shell"). Phonon peaks in blue are attributed to the core, whereas the ones in red belong to the shell. The cumulative fit curve is shown in green and the strain-free values of phonons with vertical dashed lines. The arrows indicate the strain-induced shift of the various transverse optical (TO) phonons. **b** Raman shift of the GaAs (blue data points) and the GaAs-like (red data points) TO phonons as a function of L_s . The dashed lines are guides to the eye, whereas the horizontal dotted lines show the expected Raman shift of GaAs and GaAs-like TO phonons in strain-free GaAs and strain-free $In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As$, respectively. The error bars represent the standard deviation and the instrument error. **c** The hydrostatic strain in the core (blue data points) and the shell (red data points) as a function of L_s . The dashed lines are guides to the eye. The error bars originate from the error bars in Raman shift. The star symbols correspond to X-ray diffraction (XRD) results

GaAs TO phonons has been attributed exclusively to strain, neglecting any other potential contributions (e.g., phonon confinement or zone-folding)^{44,45}. This means that our $\Delta V/V$ values for the GaAs core correspond to the highest possible strain. Given the large size of the laser beam compared to the nanowire length, the potential existence of alloy disorder in the shell (below the resolution of our EDXS analysis) or any other type of local structural disorder is expected to affect the width of the phonon lines rather than their peak positions.

Figure 2b, c summarise the measured Raman shifts and the corresponding strain, respectively, in GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires as a function of L_S for x = 0.20 (see all Raman spectra in Supplementary Fig. 3a). For the smallest L_S , both the shell and the core are strained, i.e., the shell is compressively strained, whereas the core is tensile-strained. With increasing $L_{\rm S}$, though, the shell becomes less strained and the core more strained. In other words, the compressive misfit strain that exists in thin shells is elastically relaxed with increasing $L_{\rm S}$ by stretching the core (later we will show that this is not the only mechanism of strain relaxation in the shell). Eventually, for $L_S \ge 40 \text{ nm}$ the shell becomes almost strain-free, whereas the strain in the core saturates at 3.2%. These results already show that thin enough nanowires can be used as flexible substrates for overgrowth with lattice-mismatched shells, going far beyond what is possible in equivalent thin-film heterostructures⁴⁶.

The strain state of the GaAs core and the $In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As$ shell was verified using high-resolution X-ray diffraction (XRD) at synchrotron light sources. The lattice parameters of the core and the shell were measured along the three orthogonal crystallographic directions x, y, z defined in Fig. 1e (*z*-axis is parallel to the nanowire axis, whereas *x*- and *y*- axes are perpendicular to it). For this purpose, three-dimensional reciprocal space maps were recorded for nanowire ensembles around the $20\overline{2}$, $22\overline{4}$ and $\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1}$ Bragg reflections, respectively (see Methods). As an example, the reciprocal space map around the 224 reflection, projected on the $(Q_{11\overline{2}}, Q_{1\overline{10}})$ plane, for nanowires with $L_{\rm S} = 10$ nm is depicted in Fig. 3a. The contributions from the core and the shell are indicated with dashed rectangles. The corresponding 1D plot along $Q_{[11\bar{2}]}$, after integration of the intensity along $Q_{[1\bar{1}0]}$, is also shown in Fig. 3a. The comparison of the 1D plot (continuous curve) with simulations (dashed curve) based on elasticity theory (see Methods) shows a reasonable agreement. Measurements and simulations were also performed on nanowires with $L_{\rm S} = 0, 5, 40,$ and 80 nm (see Supplementary Fig. 5). The diffraction signal from the core was strong enough and, thus, could be unambiguously identified only for $L_{\rm S} = 0$, 5, and 10 nm. On the other hand, the complexity of the radial strain profile in thin shells (see Supplementary Fig. 6) allowed for extracting single lattice parameters for the shell along x or y direction only for $L_S = 40$ and 80 nm. The extracted average lattice parameters of the core $(\alpha_x^c, \alpha_y^c, \alpha_z^c)$ and the shell $(\alpha_x^s, \alpha_y^s, \alpha_z^s)$ are plotted in Fig. 3b as a function of $L_{\rm S}$.

The fact that all three lattice parameters of the core increased with L_S is a manifestation of the hydrostatic character of strain in the core. α_z^c , which was found equal to α_z^s , increased gradually with L_S from the value of strain-free GaAs to that of strain-free In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As. This means that for thick enough shells, the misfit along the nanowire axis was elastically accommodated exclusively by stretching the core. The situation is not the same in the x–y plane. α_x^c and α_y^c also increased with L_S , but they showed a tendency to saturate well below the value of strain-free In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As. Nevertheless, α_x^s and α_y^s reached almost strainfree values, which suggests that the misfit perpendicular to the



Fig. 3 Measurements of the lattice parameters in GaAs/In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As core/shell nanowires as a function of shell thickness L_s by high-resolution X-ray diffraction (XRD). The measurements were performed on ensembles of as-grown nanowires. **a** Left: An example of a 2D reciprocal space map of the $22\bar{4}$ reflection for nanowires with $L_s = 10$ nm. The contributions from the core and the shell are indicated with dashed rectangles. The weak contribution from the planar polycrystalline layer is indicated with a curved dashed line. Right: the corresponding 1D plot after integration of the 2D map intensity along $Q_{[1\bar{10}]}$. The identification of the core and shell contributions was based on the comparison of the measurement (continuous curve) with the theoretical simulation (dashed curve). The multiple peaks from the shell in the range of 5.33-5.40 Å⁻¹ indicate the complex strain distribution in thin shells. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the strain-free values of the $22\bar{4}$ reflection for GaAs and $\ln_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As$. **b** XRD-measured average lattice parameters of the core (blue data points) and the shell (red data points) as a function of L_5 . α_z is the lattice parameter parallel to the nanowire axis, extracted from the $\overline{111}$ reflection. α_x and α_y are two orthogonal lattice parameters perpendicular to the nanowire axis, extracted from the $20\bar{2}$ and the $22\bar{4}$ reflections, respectively. The dashed lines are guides to the eye, whereas the horizontal dotted lines show the strain-free lattice parameters for GaAs and $\ln_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As$. The error bars originate from the fitting error of the corresponding 1D XRD spectra

nanowire axis was only partly accommodated by stretching the core. We speculate that the reason for that is the continuously increasing width of the nanowire sidewalls during shell growth, which also enables the elastic accommodation of misfit.

The strain components along (ε_{zz}) and perpendicular $(\varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy})$ to the nanowire axis were calculated for the GaAs core as $\varepsilon_n = (\alpha_n^c - \alpha_0)/\alpha_0$ (where n = xx, yy, zz, and $\alpha_0 = 5.6533$ Å is the lattice parameter of strain-free GaAs), whereas the corresponding hydrostatic strain was calculated as $\Delta V/V = \varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz}$. As shown in Fig. 2c, the results for $\Delta V/V$ (star symbols) are in good agreement with the strain measured by Raman scattering. Thus, it is two independent experimental techniques that verify the unusually large strain in the GaAs core.

The amount of tensile strain in the GaAs core depends also on the core/shell misfit f (relative difference in lattice constants) or, in other words, the shell composition x. Figure 4a summarises the Raman shift and Fig. 4b the corresponding strain in GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires with different values of x, from 0.10 to 0.55 (the nominal values of x were confirmed for a selected number of samples by EDXS analysis in the TEM), and $L_{\rm S} = 40-80$ nm (see all Raman spectra in Supplementary Fig. 3b). The tensile strain in the core was found to increase linearly with x(i.e. $\Delta V/V = 1.7 f$), whereas the shell remained approximately strain-free. The tensile strain in the core was also measured for GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As nanowires with different x and $L_S = 80$ nm (see all Raman spectra in Supplementary Fig. 4). The results (open symbols in Fig. 4; $\Delta V/V = 1.8 f$) are similar to those for GaAs/ $In_xGa_{1-x}As$ nanowires because of the similar lattice parameters of $In_xGa_{1-x}As$ and $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ for the same x. Assuming $\varepsilon_{zz} \approx f$, we estimate that the strain in the core is 2.5 times larger along the nanowire axis than perpendicular to it (i.e. $\varepsilon_{\rm zz} = 2.5 \, \varepsilon_{\rm xx} = 2.5 \, \varepsilon_{\rm vv}$).

For the highest misfit in this work, i.e. f = 4% for In_{0.55}Ga_{0.45}As or In_{0.54}Al_{0.46}As shells, the tensile hydrostatic strain in the core reached the remarkably large value of 7%. The linear increase of strain in the core with *x* suggests that no apparent plastic relaxation occurred, in accordance with our TEM analysis. These results are in agreement with theoretical predictions of growth coherency in core/shell nanowires with *f* up to 4% and core radii of about 10 nm or less⁴⁷. We point out that it would have been impossible to grow such highly lattice-mismatched

heterostructures in conventional thin-film geometry without forming dislocations.

Effect of strain on the electronic properties of the GaAs core. The effect of strain on the bandgap of the GaAs core was studied by means of photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy. The existence of tensile strain with hydrostatic character in the core is expected to reduce the bandgap. In fact, the bandgaps of the tensile-strained GaAs core and the strain-free In_xGa_{1-x}As shell are expected to be similar^{1,48}, which makes their distinction in optical spectra difficult. To avoid any ambiguities, we used $GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As$ core/shell nanowires, where the larger bandgap of strain-free $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ (larger than 1.38 eV at 12 K for $x \le 0.54$) cannot be confused with that of the tensilestrained GaAs. PL measurements were performed at 12 and 300 K (laser excitation at 532 nm) on ensembles of GaAs/ $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ nanowires, which had been transferred previously on amorphized Ge wafers (to quench the photoluminescence from crystalline Ge). The spectra for different values of x ($L_S =$ 80 nm) are plotted in Fig. 5a. Emission was obtained only in the 0.8-1.2 eV range, which is suggestive of radiative recombination of electron-hole pairs only inside the tensile-strained GaAs core. The emission shifts to lower energies with increasing x, in agreement with the expected effect of increasing tensile strain in the core.

The energy of the emission peak (E_1) at 12 K is plotted in Fig. 5b as a function of the hydrostatic strain $(\Delta V/V)$ in the core and the corresponding In-content x in the shell. The linear dependence was fitted (blue dashed line) with an equation of the form:

$$E_1 = E^0 + a \cdot \frac{\Delta V}{V}, \qquad (2)$$

where E^0 is the strain-free value of E_1 and a is the hydrostatic deformation potential of E_1 . The fitting parameters ($E^0 = 1.55 \pm 0.03 \text{ eV}$ and $a = -9.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ eV}$) are in good agreement with the strain-free bandgap energy (1.52 eV) and the hydrostatic deformation potential (-8.5 eV) of bulk GaAs^{1,49,50}. Thus, E_1 can be attributed to band-edge transitions in the tensile-strained GaAs core.



Fig. 4 Strain analysis of GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As (shell thickness $L_s = 40-80$ nm; closed symbols) and GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As ($L_s = 80$ nm; open symbols) core/ shell nanowires as a function of In-content x in the shell (bottom x-axis) and the corresponding core/shell misfit *f* (top x-axis). **a** Raman shift of the GaAs (blue data points) and the GaAs-like (red data points) transverse optical (TO) phonons as a function of x and *f*. The dashed lines are guides to the eye, whereas the dotted line shows the expected Raman shift of GaAs-like TO phonons in strain-free In_xGa_{1-x}As. The error bars represent the standard deviation and the instrument error. GaAs-like TO phonons do not exist in In_xAl_{1-x}As shells. **b** The hydrostatic strain in the core (blue data points) and the shell (red data points) as a function of x and *f*. The blue dashed lines are linear fits, whereas the red one is a guide to the eye. The error bars originate from the error bars in Raman shift

Our results are compared in Fig. 5b with the bandgap of strained GaAs calculated either from first-principles (a combination of density-functional theory, DFT, with GW approximation; black dash-dotted line) or with the band-edge deformation potential (DP) theory (black short-dashed line)^{49,51}. The theoretical bandgap here is defined as the energy difference between the electron conduction band minimum and the heavyhole valence band maximum at the Γ -point of the Brillouin zone (the heavy-hole/light-hole degeneracy of the valence band is lifted owing to the strain anisotropy; see Methods). The agreement between experiment and theory is reasonably good, whereas the energy offset of 40 meV between PL and DP in Fig. 5b could be attributed to quantum confinement owing to the small diameter of the GaAs core. The heavy-hole character of the valence band was also tested with polarisation-resolved PL measurements on

oriented nanowires. The polar graph in Fig. 5c shows that E_1 is polarised perpendicular to the nanowire axis, as expected for recombination of electrons with heavy holes⁵².

An empirical relation that describes the change of GaAs bandgap (ΔE_g) at 12 K as a function of *x* is extracted from the linear dependence of E_1 on *x* in Fig. 5b:

$$\Delta E_{g} = (-1.124 \pm 0.008) \, x \, \text{eV} \tag{3}$$

We emphasise that the bandgap of GaAs at 12 K was reduced from the strain-free value of 1.52 eV to 0.91 eV for the highest strain (obtained for x = 0.54), i.e. a striking reduction by 40%. The same behaviour was observed at 300 K, where the bandgap energy of strained GaAs (indicated with blue arrows in Fig. 5a) was reduced to 0.87 eV with increasing x to 0.54. This is particularly important for applications in optical fibre telecommunications because the emission from strained GaAs nanowires can now cover the 1.3 µm (O-band) and potentially the 1.55 µm (C-band) of telecommunication wavelengths. This is better illustrated in Fig. 6a, where our results (blue data points) are also compared to the bandgap of strain-free ternary alloys¹ (continuous curves). Although our experiments and discussion are focusing on the narrowest achievable bandgap for GaAs, all intermediate values should also be feasible by using shells with lower L_S and/or lower x.

A secondary PL peak (E_2) was observed at 300 K (indicated with black arrows in Fig. 5a), ~40–50 meV higher in energy compared to E_1 . Its origin is unclear, but could be associated either with the complex radial profile of strain inside the core, which leads to complex localisation patterns of carriers⁵³, or with unintentional composition/strain inhomogeneity. We also note that E_2 appears even at 12 K if optical excitation power is high enough.

The reduction of the bandgap of GaAs with increasing tensile strain should be accompanied by a reduction of the effective mass of electrons at the Γ -point of the Brillouin zone. If we assume that the strain in GaAs is purely hydrostatic, the corresponding effective mass of electrons (m_e^*) can be estimated using the following pressure coefficients⁴⁸:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{d}P} = 12.02\,\mathrm{eV}/\mathrm{Mbar} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{1}{m_{\rm e}^*} \frac{{\rm d}m_{\rm e}^*}{{\rm d}P} = 6.8\,{\rm Mbar}^{-1},\tag{5}$$

where dE_g is the change of the bandgap energy induced by a relative pressure dP. In our case, m_e^* in GaAs core is expected to decrease with increasing x in the shell, reaching a value of m_e^* =0.0448 m_0 at 300 K for the highest x here. This is equivalent to a reduction by ~30% from the strain-free value of 0.065 m_0 . In fact, this lowest value of m_e^* is comparable to that for bulk $\ln_x Ga_{1-x}As$ in the range of $x = 0.53^1$ that is typically used in high electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) on lattice-matched InP substrates (Fig. 6b). This means that high-frequency photonics as well as high-mobility transistors could now be possible with strained GaAs nanowires and without the need for lattice-matched substrates.

Discussion

Our results show that the GaAs core in $GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As$ or $GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As$ core/shell nanowires can sustain unusually large misfit strains that would have been impossible in equivalent thin-film heterostructures. The strain of GaAs is tensile, can be engineered via the shell thickness and composition, and exhibits a predominantly hydrostatic character that is similar only to quantum-dot heterostructures. As a result, the electronic



properties of GaAs can be widely tuned as if we had changed its chemical composition by adding In, but without the limitations of ternary alloys. $In_xGa_{1-x}As$ or $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ shells are still necessary in order to regulate the strain in the GaAs core, but phenomena like phase separation or alloy disorder, which typically exist in ternary alloys and limit the device performance, become less

Fig. 5 Effect of strain on the bandgap energy of the core in GaAs/ $In_xAl_{1-x}As$ core/shell nanowires with shell thickness $L_{S} = 80$ nm. **a** Photoluminescence (PL) spectra as measured on ensembles of nanowires with different x at 12 and 300 K. The spectra have been shifted vertically for the sake of clarity. Fit curves (Voigt) are shown in green (contributing peaks) and red (cumulative curves). Primary (E_1) and secondary (E_2) emissions are indicated with blue and black arrows, respectively. **b** E_1 peak energy at 12 K (blue data points) as a function of the hydrostatic strain in the core (bottom x-axis) and the corresponding In-content x in the shell (top x-axis). The energy error bars correspond to the full-width at half-maximum of the PL fit curves, whereas the strain error bars originate from the error bars in Raman scattering measurements. The blue dashed line is a linear fit of the PL data. The black lines correspond to the bandgap energy of bulk GaAs as a function of strain, calculated with deformation potential theory (DP; dashed line) or from first-principles (GW; dash-dotted line). c Integrated intensity of the E₁ peak in polarisation-resolved PL at 300 K. The polarisation of the excitation light was parallel to the nanowire axis (0° and 180°)

critical. For the highest strain in this work, the bandgap of GaAs is reduced by 40%, making it possible to reach the 1.3 µm and potentially the 1.55 µm telecom wavelengths. A corresponding reduction of the electron effective mass by 30% is also expected. Extrapolation of our results suggests that tuning of the bandgap towards the visible range should also be possible using this time compressively strained GaAs nanowires, e.g., with GaAs_xN_{1-x} or $B_xGa_{1-x}As$ shells^{54,55}. Furthermore, we anticipate that the aforementioned concepts can also be adopted for other III-V binary/ ternary material systems. All in all, our findings open up new possibilities for monolithically integrated III-V photonics (as well as electronics) in Si-CMOS circuits. With an advanced method of position-controlled growth, different devices (lasers, photodiodes, photovoltaic cells, etc.) could be made of the same material system on the same Si chip. The use of the same material for all devices would ensure process compatibility (e.g. no issues with cross-contamination, different processing temperatures or different thermal budget limits) and minimisation of the fabrication costs.

Methods

Growth of core/shell nanowires. All nanowire samples were grown by solidsource MBE. Si(111) substrates covered with a native oxide layer were subjected to an in situ surface modification process with Ga droplets to create nanosized holes in the oxide layer for the subsequent nucleation of GaAs nanowires directly on Si³⁶. The GaAs core nanowires were grown for 10 min at a substrate temperature of 615 °C using Ga and As₄ beam fluxes equal to $6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $2 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. The core growth was interrupted by closing the Ga shutter and the substrate temperature was ramped down to 370 °C under continuous exposure to the As beam. During that stage, the Ga droplets at the nanowire tips were converted to GaAs⁵⁶. The shell growth was performed at 370 °C using In and Ga or Al beams with a total flux of $5 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and an As₄ or As₂ beam flux of $4-5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The shell In-content *x* was controlled via the ratio of In and Ga or Al fluxes, whereas the shell thickness was controlled via the shell growth duration. The In_xAl_{1-x}As shells were capped with a 5-nm-thick In_xGa_{1-x}As shell to avoid oxidation of the Al-containing shells in air.

Chemical analysis. Chemical analysis based on EDXS was performed in scanning TEM mode. In particular, spectrum imaging analysis based on EDXS was used to obtain the element distributions along or perpendicular to the axis of single nanowires. Figure 1c, d, f, g show two-dimensional element distributions. Quantification of the recorded element maps including Bremsstrahlung background correction based on the physical TEM model, series fit peak deconvolution, and application of tabulated theoretical Cliff-Lorimer factors as well as absorption correction was done for the elements In (L α line), Ga (K α line), and As (K α line) using the ESPRIT software version 1.9 (Bruker). The line scan plotted in Fig. 1c shows the chemical composition of the shell along the nanowire axis. The data have been extracted from the complete two-dimensional element map shown in the inset by selecting the data along a line (line width = 10 nm) parallel to the nanowire axis and in a distance of ~20 nm from the nanowire (to exclude any contribution



Fig. 6 Comparison of electronic properties at the Γ -point of the Brillouin zone, at 300 K, between strained GaAs in GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As core/shell nanowires (our results) and strain-free III-As ternary alloys (ref. ¹), as a function of In-content *x* in the shell or the bulk. **a** Bandgap energy. The values for strained GaAs (blue data points) are the ones for E_1 measured by photoluminescence (PL) at 300 K in Fig. 5a. The blue dashed line is a linear fit. The magenta and green curves correspond to strain-free In_xGa_{1-x}As and strain-free In_xAl_{1-x}As, respectively. **b** Electron effective mass. The values for strained GaAs (blue data points) were calculated using Eqs. (3), (4) and (5). The blue dashed line is a linear fit. The magenta curve corresponds to strain-free In_xGa_{1-x}As. The grey bands in (**a**, **b**) indicate regimes relevant to specific device applications (telecom photonics and high electron mobility transistors-HEMTs), where typically In_xGa_{1-x}As thin films with *x* = 0.53 (indicated with a vertical dashed line) are employed because they are lattice-matched to commercial InP substrates

from the core). For the statistical confirmation of the results, we performed multiple line scans within the shell of the same or different nanowires.

Raman scattering spectroscopy. Micro-Raman scattering measurements were performed using a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser with $\lambda = 532$ nm and 0.08 mW (the laser spot size was approximately 800 nm). Nanowires were transferred from the original substrate onto an Au-coated Si wafer. Single nanowires lying on their $\{1\overline{1}0\}$ sidewall were selected and measured using an objective lens $\times 100$ in back scattering and normal incidence configuration. The nanowire axis was oriented parallel to the linear polarisation of the laser, whereas the measurement was polarisation-unresolved. Different polarisation configurations were also tested and found to be in agreement with the selection rules for zinc blende nanowires⁴¹ (see Supplementary Fig. 2b and Supplementary Note 2). Furthermore, no signal related to wurtzite phase could be detected within the resolution of our setup (see also Supplementary Fig. 2c and Supplementary Note 2). The identification of the GaAs TO phonon peak in a Raman spectrum of a GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As nanowire is simple because no other peaks exist in the same range of wavenumbers (see Supplementary Fig. 4). In contrast, the close proximity of GaAs and GaAs-like TO phonons in GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As nanowires (as shown in Fig. 2a) makes their distinction difficult. To overcome this problem, we used the peak position of GaAs TO phonon in GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As nanowires as a reference for the identification of the corresponding peak in GaAs/In_xGa_{1-x}As nanowires with the same In-content x (a similar peak position is expected). This methodology was eventually validated via the successful comparison to XRD and PL results (Figs. 2c and 5b). Raman spectra from GaAs/In_xAl_{1-x}As nanowires also showed the existence of only one peak for GaAs TO phonons within the resolution of our scans. This means that the triple degeneracy of TO phonons was not clearly lifted, which is in agreement with the predominantly hydrostatic character of the strain inside the GaAs core. The peak fitting was performed with Lorentzian profiles. The error bars in Raman shift measurements (Figs. 2b and 4a) represent the standard deviation of measurements on several nanowires from the same sample (${\approx}0.5~{\rm cm}^{-1})$ and the instrument error $(\approx 1.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}).$

Photoluminescence spectroscopy. Photoluminescence measurements were performed with a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser with $\lambda = 532$ nm and a liquidnitrogen-cooled InGaAs detector with a response up to 1.5 µm. The excitation power was 10 mW at 12 K (to avoid heating effects) and 20 mW at 300 K for all samples in Fig. 5a (the laser spot size was ~1 mm). Nanowires were transferred from the original substrate onto a Ge wafer, which was previously implanted with Ge-ions to quench its luminescence. The measurements were performed on ensembles of a few hundreds of nanowires at various temperatures using a closedcycle He-cryostat. Due to technical restrictions of the setup, it was not possible to perform the measurements at 12 K and 300 K at exactly the same position on the sample. Thus, the number of probed nanowires was probably different for the two temperatures. The peak fitting was performed with Voigt profiles and the fullwidth at half-maximum (FWHM) is shown as error bars in Fig. 5b. For polarisation-resolved measurements, a $\lambda/2$ plate and a polarizer were placed in the light path before and after the sample, respectively. High-resolution X-ray diffraction. The high-resolution X-ray diffraction characterisation was carried out at beamline P08, at the PETRA III synchrotron in Hamburg (Germany), and at beamline I07, at the Diamond Light Source in Didcot (United Kingdom). The out-of-plane symmetric reflection 111 and the asymmetric reflections 331, 422 (cubic) and 1015 (hexagonal) were measured in coplanar geometry at beamline P08. Here, a set of Be focusing lenses (two lenses with 0.2 mm radius), 33 m upstream from the sample, was used to define a beam size of 200 μm (vertical) × 300 μm (horizontal) at an energy of 10 keV. A two-dimensional detector Pilatus 300 K was used to collect full 3D reciprocal space maps of the signals under investigation. The in-plane 202 and 224 reflections (about 30° apart from each other) were measured in non-coplanar grazing incidence X-ray geometry at beamline I07. Here an incident angle of 0.2° was chosen close to the critical angle of total external reflection. According to the penetration depth profile of X-rays for the material under investigation, this choice ensures a depth sensitivity of only a few nanometres below the surface, and reduces significantly the diffracted contribution from the growth substrate. Also for those measurements, an X-ray beam with energy of 9 keV was similarly focused to hundreds of µm size. We optimised these parameters to be able to separate the different contributions of the core and shell in the diffraction patterns. However, the final beam size in the vertical direction corresponded to the large footprint of the X-ray beam impinging on the substrate surface, a footprint which was several mm long. Therefore, due to the given experimental conditions, the in-plane reflections show a different resolution in reciprocal space, which is mainly influenced by the illuminated sample area. Furthermore, a small thickness fluctuation of the nanowire affects much more strongly the in-plane $20\overline{2}$ than the in-plane $22\overline{4}$ reflections. 3D reciprocal space maps were collected using a 100 K Pilatus detector. For all samples, a constant He flux was blown around the sample within a Kapton® dome to limit possible radiation damage.

The three components of the wave vector transfer are defined as: $Q_{[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}]}$ out-ofplane component parallel to the surface normal; $Q_{[1\bar{1}\bar{0}]}$ and $Q_{[11\bar{2}]}$ perpendicular inplane components. For all Bragg signals originating from the nanowires, the corresponding signal from the Si substrate was collected and it constitutes the reference of our measurements. As a last qualitative evaluation, the polytype sensitive reflections $\bar{3}\bar{3}\bar{1}$, $\bar{4}\bar{2}\bar{2}$ and $\bar{1}015$, sensitive to zinc blende, twinned zinc blende and wurtzite, respectively, reveal twinning together with an insignificant presence of the wurtzite polytype.

2D reciprocal space maps were calculated by integrating the 3D maps along $Q_{[112]}$ for the out-of-plane $\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$ reflection or along $Q_{[111]}$ for the in-plane $20\bar{2}$ and $22\bar{4}$ ones. A further integration within a range of 0.03 1/Å perpendicular to the aforementioned directions provided 1D plots of integrated intensity vs $Q_{[111]}$ for the out-of-plane reflection or integrated intensity vs $Q_{[112]}$ for the in-plane ones. The extracted line profiles reveal multiple diffracted contributions from nanowires and parasitic layer. In the symmetric out-of-plane reflection, nanowire core and shell appear convoluted in a common signal, while the inplane data reveal both signals from the nanowire core and shell in a multiple peak configuration. All curves have been fitted with the help of multiple Lorentzian functions, with the intent to separate the contribution from core, shell and parasitic layer to the diffracted signal. The extracted out-of-plane and in-plane values were used to calculate the corresponding average lattice parameters shown in Fig. 3b.

We have selected the $22\bar{4}$ in-plane reflection to compare calculated and experimental 1D diffraction curves. A simple kinematical approximation was used for the calculations, mainly paying attention to the effect of strain (as calculated by continuum elasticity theory) and the behaviour of the core and the shell peak positions as a function of the shell thickness. The intensity of the scattered signal can be evaluated using the following kinematical approach

$$I(\mathbf{Q}) = \left| \sum_{j} f_{j}(\mathbf{Q}) e^{-i\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{u}_{j})} \right|^{2}$$
(6)

The sum is carried out over all nodes (all atoms in all unit cells of the nanowire) of the simulated strain profile, r_j is the initial unstrained positional vector of the j-th node and u_j is the corresponding displacement vector. For a nanowire of infinite length, the calculation for the in-plane $20\bar{2}$ and $22\bar{4}$ reflections collapses into the following one-dimensional equation:

$$I(Q_{\rm hkl}) = \left| \sum_{j} f_{j}(Q_{\rm hkl}) e^{-iQ_{\rm hkl}(r_{j} - u_{j})} \right|^{2} \text{ with } hkl = 20\bar{2}, \ 22\bar{4}$$
(7)

Figure 3a shows that both the experimental data and the theoretically calculated curve exhibit the same trend. With increasing the shell thickness, the $In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As$ peak gets more intense and shifts toward the $In_{0.20}Ga_{0.80}As$ strain-free position, whereas the GaAs peak moves away from the GaAs strain-free position and becomes weaker, until it almost disappears.

Theoretical calculations. The distribution of strain in core/shell nanowires (with infinite length) was calculated with the finite-element continuum elasticity model featured in the commercial software "nextnano". A description of the model is given in ref. ¹⁸. The results were used as a reference for the analysis of the XRD data.

For the calculation of the effect of strain on the bandgap of GaAs, we used the band-edge deformation potential theory as explained in refs. ^{49,51}. The effect of hydrostatic strain on the bandgap energy of GaAs was calculated with equations similar to Eq. (2). The additional change of the bandgap ($\Delta E_{\rm v}$) due to strain anisotropy, which lifts the degeneracy of the valence band at the Γ -point of the Brillouin zone, was calculated according to ref. ⁴⁹:

$$\Delta E_{\rm v(hh)} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta E_{111} \quad , {\rm for \ heavy \ holes} \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta E_{\rm v(lh)} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_0 + \frac{1}{4}\delta E_{111} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta_0^2 + \Delta_0\delta E_{111} + \frac{9}{4}\left(\delta E_{111}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}, \text{ for light holes}$$
(9)

and

$$\delta E_{111} = 2\sqrt{3}d\frac{\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{xx}}{3},\tag{10}$$

where $\Delta_0 = 0.34$ eV is the spin-orbit splitting at the top of the valence band of bulk GaAs and d = -4.5 eV is the deformation potential of GaAs.

Electronic structure calculations have been performed using density-functional theory (DFT), as implemented in the ABINIT code⁵⁷. We used the local density approximation (LDA) and Hartwigsen-Goedecker-Hutter (HGH) pseudopotentials⁵⁸, and the results were consistent with a previous report on GaAs⁵⁹. The results are obtained using an energy cut-off of 20 Ha and a force convergence threshold of 10^{-6} Ha/Bohr. The irreducible Brillouin zone was sampled using a set of $8 \times 8 \times 8$ k points. The effect of spin-orbit coupling (SOC) was taken into account in the electronic structure calculations. The GW calculations are performed using self-consistent quasiparticle (QP) method on both energies and wave functions. Our QP calculations include self-consistent screened exchange approximation to the self-energy⁶⁰. The computational details for obtaining the imaginary part of the self-energy are the same as in DFT calculations.

Data availability

All data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 19 October 2018 Accepted: 20 May 2019 Published online: 26 June 2019

References

- Vurgaftman, I., Meyer, J. R. & Ram-Mohan, L. R. Band parameters for III-V compound semiconductors and their alloys. *J. Appl. Phys.* 89, 5815–5875 (2001).
- Green, M. A. et al. Solar cell efficiency tables (version 52). Prog. Photovolt. 26, 427–436 (2018).

- Eng, P. C., Song, S. & Ping, B. State-of-the-art photodetectors for optoelectronic integration at telecommunication wavelength. *Nanophotonics* 4, 277–302 (2015).
- Ning, C. Z., Dou, L. T. & Yang, P. D. Bandgap engineering in semiconductor alloy nanomaterials with widely tunable compositions. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2, 17070 (2017).
- Glas, F., Gors, C. & Henoc, P. Diffuse-scattering, size effect and alloy disorder in ternary and quaternary-III-V compounds. *Philos. Mag. B* 62, 373–394 (1990).
- Dimoulas, A., Derekis, A., Kyriakidis, G. & Christou, A. Alloy disorder effects in III-V ternaries studied by modulation spectroscopy. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 50, 353–358 (1991).
- Krogstrup, P. et al. Single-nanowire solar cells beyond the Shockley-Queisser limit. Nat. Photon. 7, 306–310 (2013).
- Saxena, D. et al. Optically pumped room-temperature GaAs nanowire lasers. Nat. Photon. 7, 963–968 (2013).
- Ionescu, A. M. & Riel, H. Tunnel field-effect transistors as energy-efficient electronic switches. *Nature* 479, 329–337 (2011).
- 10. Versteegh, M. A. M. et al. Observation of strongly entangled photon pairs from a nanowire quantum dot. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5298 (2014).
- Tomioka, K., Yoshimura, M. & Fukui, T. A III-V nanowire channel on silicon for high-performance vertical transistors. *Nature* 488, 189–193 (2012).
- Dimakis, E. et al. Coaxial multishell (In,Ga)As/GaAs nanowires for nearinfrared emission on Si substrates. *Nano Lett.* 14, 2604–2609 (2014).
- Balaghi, L. et al. Droplet-confined alternate pulsed epitaxy of GaAs nanowires on Si substrates down to CMOS-compatible temperatures. *Nano Lett.* 16, 4032–4039 (2016).
- Borg, M. et al. Vertical III-V nanowire device integration on Si(100). Nano Lett. 14, 1914–1920 (2014).
- Munshi, A. M. et al. Vertically aligned GaAs nanowires on graphite and fewlayer graphene: generic model and epitaxial growth. *Nano Lett.* 12, 4570–4576 (2012).
- Hong, Y. J. et al. Van der Waals epitaxial double heterostructure: InAs/singlelayer graphene/InAs. Adv. Mater. 25, 6847–6853 (2013).
- Glas, F. Strain in nanowires and nanowire heterostructures. Semiconduct. Semimet. 93, 79–123 (2015).
- Gronqvist, J. et al. Strain in semiconductor core-shell nanowires. J. Appl Phys. 106, 053508 (2009).
- Conesa-Boj, S. et al. Boosting hole mobility in coherently strained [110]oriented Ge-Si core-shell nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 17, 2259–2264 (2017).
- Hetzl, M. et al. Strain-induced bandgap engineering in selectively grown GaN-(Al,Ga)N core-shell nanowire heterostructures. *Nano Lett.* 16, 7098–7106 (2016).
- Lewis, R. B. et al. Nanowires bending over backward from strain partitioning in asymmetric core-shell heterostructures. *Nano Lett.* 18, 2343–2350 (2018).
- Treu, J. et al. Enhanced luminescence properties of InAs-InAsP core-shell nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 13, 6070–6077 (2013).
- Wen, F. & Tutuc, E. Enhanced electron mobility in nonplanar tensile strained Si epitaxially grown on SixGe1-x nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 18, 94–100 (2018).
- Simmonds, P. J. et al. Tuning quantum dot luminescence below the bulk bandgap using tensile strain. ACS Nano 7, 5017–5023 (2013).
- Royo, M., De Luca, M., Rurali, R. & Zardo, I. A review on III-V coremultishell nanowires: growth, properties, and applications. *J. Phys. D Appl Phys.* 50, 143001 (2017).
- Montazeri, M. et al. Direct measure of strain and electronic structure in GaAs/ GaP core-shell nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 10, 880–886 (2010).
- Hocevar, M. et al. Residual strain and piezoelectric effects in passivated GaAs/ AlGaAs core-shell nanowires. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 102, 191103 (2013).
- Skold, N. et al. Growth and optical properties of strained GaAs-GaxIn1-xP core-shell nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 5, 1943–1947 (2005).
- Lewis, R. B. et al. Anomalous strain relaxation in core-shell nanowire heterostructures via simultaneous coherent and incoherent growth. *Nano Lett.* 17, 136–142 (2017).
- Wallentin, J., Jacobsson, D., Osterhoff, M., Borgström, M. T. & Salditt, T. Bending and twisting lattice tilt in strained core-shell nanowires revealed by nanofocused X-ray diffraction. *Nano Lett.* 17, 4143–4150 (2017).
- Gagliano, L., Albani, M., Verheijen, M. A., Bakkers, E. P. A. M. & Miglio, L. Twofold origin of strain-induced bending in core-shell nanowires: the GaP/ InGaP case. *Nanotechnology* 29, 315703 (2018).
- Dayeh, S. A. et al. Direct measurement of coherency limits for strain relaxation in heteroepitaxial core/shell nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 13, 1869–1876 (2013).
- Loitsch, B. et al. Tunable quantum confinement in ultrathin, optically active semiconductor nanowires via reverse-reaction growth. *Adv. Mater.* 27, 2195–2202 (2015).
- 34. Zardo, I. et al. Pressure tuning of the optical properties of GaAs nanowires. ACS Nano 6, 3284–3291 (2012).

ARTICLE

- Signorello, G., Karg, S., Bjork, M. T., Gotsmann, B. & Riel, H. Tuning the light emission from GaAs nanowires over 290 meV with uniaxial strain. *Nano Lett.* 13, 917–924 (2013).
- Tauchnitz, T. et al. Decoupling the two roles of Ga droplets in the selfcatalyzed growth of GaAs nanowires on SiOx/Si(111) substrates. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 17, 5276–5282 (2017).
- Biasiol, G., Gustafsson, A., Leifer, K. & Kapon, E. Mechanisms of self-ordering in nonplanar epitaxy of semiconductor nanostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 205306 (2002).
- Day, R. W., Mankin, M. N. & Lieber, C. M. Plateau-Rayleigh crystal growth of nanowire heterostructures: strain-modified surface chemistry and morphological control in one, two, and three dimensions. *Nano Lett.* 16, 2830–2836 (2016).
- Hetzl, M. et al. Surface passivation and self-regulated shell growth in selective area-grown GaN-(Al,Ga)N core-shell nanowires. *Nanoscale* 9, 7179–7188 (2017).
- Wickboldt, P., Anastassakis, E., Sauer, R. & Cardona, M. Raman phonon piezospectroscopy in GaAs - infrared measurements. *Phys. Rev. B* 35, 1362–1368 (1987).
- Zardo, I. et al. Raman spectroscopy of wurtzite and zinc-blende GaAs nanowires: Polarization dependence, selection rules, and strain effects. *Phy Rev. B* 80, 245324 (2009).
- Groenen, J. et al. Optical-phonon behavior in Ga1-xInxAs: the role of microscopic strains and ionic plasmon coupling. *Phys. Rev. B* 58, 10452–10462 (1998).
- Islam, M. R., Verma, P., Yamada, M., Tatsumi, M. & Kinoshita, K. Micro-Raman characterization of starting material for traveling liquidus zone growth method. *Jpn J. Appl Phys.* 41, 991–995 (2002).
- Arora, A. K., Rajalakshmi, M., Ravindran, T. R. & Sivasubramanian, V. Raman spectroscopy of optical phonon confinement in nanostructured materials. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* 38, 604–617 (2007).
- Colvard, C. et al. Folded acoustic and quantized optic phonons in (GaAl)As superlattices. *Phys. Rev. B* 31, 2080–2091 (1985).
- Zou, J., Cockayne, D. J. H. & Usher, B. F. Misfit dislocations and critical thickness in InGaAs/GaAs heterostructure systems. *J. Appl. Phys.* 73, 619–626 (1993).
- Salehzadeh, O., Kavanagh, K. L. & Watkins, S. P. Geometric limits of coherent III-V core/shell nanowires. J. Appl. Phys. 114, 054301 (2013).
- Christensen, N. E. Electronic-structure of GaAs under strain. *Phys. Rev. B* 30, 5753–5765 (1984).
- Van De Walle, C. G. Band lineups and deformation potentials in the modelsolid theory. *Phys. Rev. B* 39, 1871–1883 (1989).
- Wei, S. H. & Zunger, A. Predicted band-gap pressure coefficients of all diamond and zinc-blende semiconductors: chemical trends. *Phys. Rev. B* 60, 5404–5411 (1999).
- Pollak, F. H. & Cardona, M. Piezo-electroreflectance in Ge GaAs and Si. *Phys. Rev.* 172, 816–837 (1968).
- Pollak, F. H., Cardona, M. & Shaklee, K. L. Piezoelectroreflectance in GaAs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 16, 942–944 (1966).
- Sitek, A. et al. Excitons in core-shell nanowires with polygonal cross sections. Nano Lett. 18, 2581–2589 (2018).
- Wang, S. Z. et al. The role of nitrogen-nitrogen pairs in the deviation of the GaAsN lattice parameter from Vegard's law. J. Appl. Phys. 96, 2010–2014 (2004).
- Detz, H. et al. Growth rate dependence of boron incorporation into BxGa1xAs layers. J. Cryst. Growth 477, 77–81 (2017).
- Dimakis, E., Ramsteiner, M., Tahraoui, A., Riechert, H. & Geelhaar, L. Shelldoping of GaAs nanowires with Si for n-type conductivity. *Nano Res.* 5, 796–804 (2012).
- 57. Gonze, X. et al. First-principles computation of material properties: the ABINIT software project. *Comp. Mater. Sci.* **25**, 478–492 (2002).
- Hartwigsen, C., Goedecker, S. & Hutter, J. Relativistic separable dual-space Gaussian pseudopotentials from H to Rn. *Phys. Rev. B* 58, 3641–3662 (1998).

- Petrova, N. V. & Yakovkin, I. N. DFT calculations of phonons in GaAs with zinc blende and wurtzite structures. *Phys. Status Solidi B* 250, 2141–2144 (2013).
- Bruneval, F., Vast, N. & Reining, L. Effect of self-consistency on quasiparticles in solids. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 045102 (2006).

Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude for the granted beam time and financial support at the Diamond light source (beamline 107; allocated beam time: S115923), as well as for the granted beam time at the German Synchrotron DESY, PETRA III (beamline P08; allocated beam time: I-20160337). In particular, G.B. and R.G. thank the beamline staff for their support during the experiments. We also thank J. Wagner for the technical maintenance of the molecular beam epitaxy laboratory, L. Bischoff and A. Kunz for the preparation of TEM specimens, G. Hlawacek for Helium Ion Microscopy, M. Krause and U. Lucchesi for assistance with Raman spectroscopy, and S. Prucnal for assistance with PL spectroscopy. M.G. and A.K. thank Silvan Kretschmer for helping in DFT calculations. Finally, support by the Structural Characterization Facilities Rossendorf at Ion Beam Center, computational support from the HZDR Computing Cluster, and funding of TEM Talos by the German Federal Ministry of Education of Research (BMBF), Grant No. 03SF0451 in the framework of HEMCP, are gratefully acknowledged.

Author contributions

L.B. performed the SEM, the Raman scattering and the PL measurements, as well as the strain calculations. E.D. and L.B. designed the core/shell structures and analysed the Raman and the PL measurements. H.S. and M.H. contributed to PL analyses. R.H. performed the TEM and EDXS measurements and analyses. G.B. and R.G. performed the XRD measurements and analyses. J.G. and E.D. contributed to the XRD analyses. J.G. performed the XRD simulations. M.G.A. and A.V.K. performed the first-principles calculations. E.D. directed and coordinated the research, performed the growth experiments, and wrote the manuscript with the contribution of L.B. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10654-7.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Peer review information: Nature Communications thanks Katsuhiro Tomioka and other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019