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#### Abstract

This statistical review, the third in a biennial series mandated by Public Law 96-516, provides a comprehensive overview of the participation of women and minorities and the physically handicapped in science and engineering (S/E). To provide perspective, both long term (1976-1984) and short term (1982-1984) trends are presented. The repori consists of three chapters. The first chapter examines the representation and utilization of women in S/E, considering employment levels and trends, field, experience, career patterns, and labor market conditions. The second chapter presents similar information for Blacks, Asians, Native Americans, Hispanics, and the physically handicapped in $S / E$. The third chapter examines the acquisition of scientific and engineering skills of women and minorities and highlights differences with men and the majo:ity in achievement, test performance, academic coursework, and degree production. An appendix includes 55 statistical tables. One finding noted is that the empioyment of women scientists and engineers increased by 157 percent between 1976 and 1984, compared with about 63 percent for men. No recommendations on programs or policies are offered, since, in conformance with the legislation, the report serves as an information source for policymakers and others interested in this area. (JN)
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## Foreword

Women and members of minority groups have historically had low rates of participation in science and engineering. This fact is a cause for concern. The importance of scientific and engineering activities to the Unied States makes it essential that the best talent be attracted from every available pool.

An accurate assessment of the current situation and recent trends with respect to the participation of women and minorities in science and engineering is necessary for rational and erfective policy formulation. This volume is the third biennial report in this series. It is designed to provide a factual basis for informed discussion and constructive policy and program development.

I hope that the data presented will help provide information needed by the Congress and by others who are concerned with the vitality of the U.S. science and technology enterprise and the furtherance of equal opportunities for women and minorities in science and engineering.

Erich Bloch
Director
National Science Foundation
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# Executive Summary 

This report is the third in a biennial series required by the Science and Technology Equal Opportunities Act (Public Law $96-516)$. It presents information on the participation of women, racial/ethnic minorities, and physically handicapped persons in science and engineering. Since, in conformance with the legislatien, the purpose of this report is to sene as an information resource, it offers no recommendations on programs or policies. Rather, it presents information that may be used to address issues of interest to policymikers and others concerned with the full use of the Nation's human resources in science and engineering. The focus of this report is the status of women and minorities in science and engineering. To provide perspective, both long term (1:76-84) and short term (1982-84) trends are presented.
Women and most minority groups remain underrepresented in science and engineering ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ ) employment and training. but they have made substantial gains since the early to mid-seventies. This general underrepresentation of women and minorities reflects the fact that, historically, their participation in precollege science and mathematics courses and in undergraduate and graduate $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{E}$ education is below that of men and the majority. Those women and minorities who earn degrees in science and engineering fields gereraliy have higher rates of unemployment and earn lower salaries than do their male and majority counterparts. Differences in labor market experiences between women and men, however, are generally greater than those between minorities and the majority. These and other differences noted in the text may reflect differences in sociodemographic characteristics, differences in career preferences, or a ccmbination of such factors. Differences between women and men and between minorities and the majority may also reflect inequitable treatment.
Two major themes emerge from the deta and analyses in this report. For women, concern is shifting from access to science and engineering education to equal treatment in the labor market $^{\text {. Evidence for this shift includes the increasing participation of }}$ wonten in mathematics and science coursework at the precollege level, and the increasing share of degrees they earn in $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ fields. For minorities, the fundamental concern continues to be the overall quality of their precollege experiences. They participate less frequently in academic programs and advanced mathematics and science courses-necessary precursors to careers in science and engineering.
Once women have earned clegrees in science and engineering, they are more likely than are their male colleagues to be unemployed and, if employed, less likely to work in S/E jobs. In addition, salaries for women scientists and engineers average about 71 percent of those for their male colleagues.
Most minorities are less likely than whites to be in an academic curriculum while in high school, and less likely to take advanced mathematics courses such as Calculus. These and other dif-
ferences are reflected in scores on the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT). Whites and Asian-Americans scored consistently higher than blacks, Hispanics, and native Americans on the SAT over the 1976-84 period, with the largest differentials on the mathematics component of the test.

The major findings of this report on women, racial minorities, Hispanics, and physically handicapped persons are summarized below.

## WOMEN

## Employment

- Employment of women scientists and engineers increased by 157 percent between 1976 and 1984, compared with about 63 percent for men. As a result, in 1984, women accounted for 13 percent of the S/E work force, up from 9 percent in 197§ and 12 percent in 1982. However, this level was still considerably lower than women's representation among aggregate groups: they represented about 45 percent of all employed persons, and almost one-half of those in professional and related occupations.
- Representation of women varies substantiail' by field. In 1984, for example, 1 in every 4 scientists, but fewer than 1 in every 20 engineers, was a woman. Within the sciences, the representation of women ranged from 11 percent of environmental and physical scientists to more than 40 percent of psychologists. While there have been notable recent increases in the proportion of degrees granted to women in engineering and some science fields, the actual numbers awarded have been small. As such, these increases are unlikely to change the proportions of women in the S/E labor force in the near future.
- Reflecting their more rapid increase in employment, threefifths of the women, compared to roughly one-quarter of the men, had less than 10 years of professional work experience in 1984. Years of experience can impact on a number of career-related activities such as holding management positions or, if in academia, tenure status and rank.
- Women are less likely than men to cite management or administration as their primary activity ( 18 percent vs. 30 percent). Within educational instituticns, women are less likely than men to hold tenure or be in tenure-track positions.
- Annual salaries for women averaged about 71 percent of those for men in 1984, down from 75 percent in 1982. The widoning gap between female and male sálaries reflects, in part, ts th the relatively large influx of women into the S/E work forie in recent years and the relatively large number of
women in the life sciences and psychology, fields where salaries are relatively low regardless of gender. Salaries for women, however, were below those for men across all fields and all levels of experience.
- About 77 percent of the employed women scientists and engineers were working in S/E jobs in 1984; the comparable figure for men was about 88 percent. Rates of both women and men were lower than those for 1982 ( 80 percent and 89 percent, respectively). The rates vary substantially by field, and much of the difference between women and men results from the concentration of women in science fields and men in engineering.
- The unemployment rate for women scientists and engineers was more than twice that for men in 1984 ( 3.4 percent vs. 1.3 percent). After controlling for field, the rate for women remains more than twice that for men.
- Available data show greater underemployment of women than of men among scientists and engineers. If those who are working involuntarily in either part-time jobs or in nonscience and engineering jobs are considered as a prop $\mathrm{c}_{\text {- }}$ tion of total employment, about 8 percent of the women compared with 2 percent of the nien are underemployed.


## MINORITY WOMEN

- Of the approximately 512,000 employed women scientists and engineers in 1384, 5 percent were Asian, almost 5 percent were black, and less than 1 percent were native American. Minorities are more highly represented among women scientists and engineers than among rien. For example, in 1984, only 2 percent of male scientists and engineers were black.
- Asian women are more highly represented among scientists and engineers than in the general work force. While they account for 5 percent of the women scientists and engineers, they represent only abcut 2 percent of all women in the U.S. work force. Black women, in contrast, account for 11 percent of all employed women, but only about 5 parcent of the scientists and engineers.
- Labor force participation rates for women scientists and engineers show little variation by race. Salaries for Asian women are also above those for either white or black women. The higher salaries for Asian women reflect, in part, their greater number of years of professional experience.
- About 3 percent of all women scientists and engineers in 1984 were Hispanic, as were 5 percent of all employed women in the United States. Hispanics are more highly represented among women than among men scientists and engineers. Roughly 2 percent of male scientists and engineers in 1984 were Hispanic.


## Education and Training

- During precollege preparation, females and males are equally likely to be enrolled in academic programs in high school, but males take substantially more courses in math-
emaiiics and science. This difference is reflected in part in scores on standardized tests of mathematics and science achievement. While females have slightly higher scores than males at younger ages ( 9 year olds), males score significantly higher among 17 year olds.
- Scores for females on the mathematics component of the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) are below those for males (449 vs. 495 in 1984). Over the last decade, changes in scores, on this component have exhibited similar trends between males and females. Afte; falling steadily during the seventies, scores leveled off and began to rise during the eighties.
- Regardless of gender, the academic preparation of students who choose to major in science or engineering fields is stronger than that of students who choose non-science or engineering fields. Among those who intend to major in science or engineering, females are more likely than males to report a higher grade point average for high school work. For example, among probable engineering majors, roughly 60 percent of the fema, s and 40 percent of the males reportnd a high school grade point average in the " $A$ " range.
- Graduate Record Examination (GRE) scores of women and men are about the same on the verbal component, but men score substantially higher on the quantitative component and slightly higher on the analytical component.
- Women continued to earn an increasing proportion of S/E bachelor's degrees. They received about 38 percent of the degrees awarded in 1983, up from 26 percent in 1970. By S/E field, the share of degrees awarded to women in 1983 ranged from 53 percent in the social sciences to 13 percent in engineering.
- Between 1970 and 1984, the number of women $(4,600)$ earning S/E doctorates increased by 181 percent. For men, the number $(13,500)$ declined 19 percent. As a result of these changes, women received 25 percent of the S/E doctorates granted in 1984, up from 9 percent in 1970. There were substantial differences. In 1984, women earned 41 percent $(2,400)$ of the doctorates in social science but only 5 percent (150) of those granted in engineering.


## RACIAL MINORITIES

## Employment

- Since the mid-seventies, employment of both black and Asian scientists and engineers has risen more rapidly than that of whites. More recently (1982-84), employment of blacks, Asiarıs, and native Americans increased more rapidly than did employment of white scientists and engineers.
- In 1984, blacks accounted for about 2 percent of all employed scientists and engineers, but 10 percent of total U.S. employment and more than 6 percent of all professional and related worker employment. Asians, on the other hand, represented almost 5 percent of the employed scientists and engineers but less than 2 percent of the overall U.S. labor force.
- The representation of native Americans among scientists and engineers is roughly equivalent to their proportion in the overall U.S. work force. Data on native Americans, however, should be viewed with caution since they are based on an Individual's perception of his or her native American heritage; such perceptions may change over time.
- Racial minorities are concentrated in different $S / E$ fields than are their white colleagues. Two-fifths of the blacks were engineers, as were more than three-fifths of the Asians and more than one-half of the whites. Among scientists, blacks are more likely to be social scientists and psychologists; Asians are least likely to be in these fields.
- Unemployment rates for blacks (2.7 percent), Asians (2 4 percent), ard native Americans (3.4 percent) were higher than those for whites ( 1.5 percent).
- The proportion of employed scientists and engineers who were underemployed ranged from 1.8 percent of the Asıans, to 2.5 percent of the whites, and to 6.6 percent of the blacks
- Blacks are as likely as, and native Americans are more likely than, white scientists and engineers to report management or administration as their primary work activity (roughly 30-40 percent). Among Asians, about 20 percent were involved in managemerit or administration.
- Salaries of black scientists and engineers averaged $\$ 32,500$ per year, roughly $\$ 5,000$ (about 13 percent) less than whites, Asians, and native Americans. The gap between salaries for blacks and whites remains after controlling for field differences.


## Education and Training

- Whites and Asian-Americans scored consistently higher than did blacks and native Americans on the Scholastic Aptitude Test during the 1976-84 period. The largest differentials were on the test's mathematics compone.it. In 1984, blacks scored 114 points lower thar: whites ( 373 vs. 487), while scores for native Americans were 60 points lower (427) than whites. These gaps have both narrowed since 1976. Asian-Americans scored consistently higher than did whites on the mathematics component; in 1984, their average score was 519-32 points higher than the score for whites.
- Blacks earned 6 percent of the S.E bachelor's degrees and about 2 percent of the S,E doctorates The share of S.E bachelor's degrees awarded to blacks ranged from 3 percent in engineering to alout 8 percent in the sccial sciences and psychology. Blacks, however, accounted for 10 percent of overall undergraduate enrollments and 5 percent of graduate enrollments. Native Americans earned about 0.4 percent of the S/E bachelor's degrees and accounted for 0.7 percent of the total undergraduate enrollments. Since 1979, there has been little change in the proportions of blacks and native Americans earning science and engireerıng degrees at all levels.


## HISPANICS

## Employment

- In 1984, Hispanics represented 5 percent of all employed persons, almost 3 percent of all professional and related workers, and about 2 percent of all scientists and engineers.
- Among Hispanic scientists and engineers, about 55 percent were engineers rather than scientists, similar to the overall engineer-scientist split. Among scientists, Hispanics were somewhat more likely than all scientists to be social scientists.
- Hispanics report average salaries about 12 percent below those reported for all scientists and engineers ( $\$ 33,100 \mathrm{vs}$. $\$ 37,400$ ). By field, the largest differential was reported for psychologists and social scientists.
- Hispanics are as likely as all scientists ana engineers to be in the labor foree, but more likely to be unemployed and underemployed. Hispanics are also less likely to hold jobs in science or engineering.


## Education and Training

- A smaller proportion of Hispanics than of all high school seniors are in academic programs, and those who are take fewer mathematics and science courses. This difference is reflected in the fact that Hispanic college-bound seniors scored below all college-bound seniors on the mathematics component of the SAT. In 1984, Mexican American scores (376) were 50 points lower and Puerto Rican scores (366) were 60 points lower than scores for all college-bound seniors.
- Hispanics earned about 3.2 percent of S/E bachelor's degrees awarded in 1983, and 1.9 percent of S/E degrees granted at the doctorate level. Since 1979, Hispanics have made proportional gains at all degree levels.


## PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

- About 92,000 scientists and engineers (2.2 percent) reported a physical handicap in 1984. Of these, 23 percent reported an ambulatory handicap, 22 perient a visual handicap, and about 17 percent an auditory handicap. The remaining 38 percent did not specify the nature of their handicap.
- Scientists and engineers reporting a handicap are much less likely to be in the labor force. About 17 percent of the handicapped, but only 4 percent of all scientists and engineers, were not in the labor force.
- The field distribution of the 75,000 employed scientists and engineers reporting a handicap showed some differences from that of all scieritists and engineers. Those with a handicap were more likely to be scientists than engineers.
- Physically handicapped scientists and engineers report an unemployment rate roughly similar to that reported for the total ( 2.0 percent vs. 1.6 percent), and are about as likely to hold jobs in science or engineering fields.


## Introduction

This report provides a comprehensive overview of the participation of women, minorities (including Hispanics), and the physically handicapped in science and engineering employment and training. This Congressionally mandated report (Pubitic Law 96-516) is the third in a biennial series on women and minorities in science and engineering. The legislation underlying this report reflects Congressional concern that inadequate levels of participation by these groups in science and engineering may result in underutilization of scarce huinan resources.
The report has been designed as a reference document and allows the reader to easily locate information on particular subgroups or on speufic aspects of partucipation or utiluation. Readers preferring a mure cuncise uvervien of the findings are encourdged to read the Executive Summary.
Issues relating to empluyment fue us on (1) the representation of nomen and minorities in S.E employment, (2) differences in empluyment characteristics between sexes and duross minority groups, independent of uverall empluyment levels. and (3) :neasures that indicate underutiliadtion of thase with S.E skills.
Representation in the labor market may be assessed by comparing the proportion of empluyed scientists and engineers whe are women and members of minority groups with the proportion of these groups in some relevant population, such ds uverall U.S. empluy ment or all professiund and related workers. The level of representation, honever, reveais nuthing about the experiences of women and misorities unce they are in the labor market.
Labor market experiences are examined in terms of field of employ ment and career patterns. Infurmation on field of employment is valuable for at least two
reasons. (1) it indicates whether women and minorities are underrepresented in some fields vis-a-vis man and the majority, (2) it reveals field differences by sex and racial ethnic group. Since employment opportunities vary by field, field differences may be significant in determining differences in work characteristics, including employment in S.E jobs, unemployment, and salariescharacteristics that are frequently used ds indicators of labor market experiences. Measures such as proportions in management positions and, for those employed in academia, tenure status and rank may be indicators of career development.

Insights irto potential underutilization may be gleaned from a variety of labor market indicators. Labor force participation and unemployment rates are standard indicators and are useful in assessing whether market conditions for women and minorities differ from those for men and cie majority.
Labor force participation rates measure the fraction of the SIE population in the labor force-that is, working or seeking employment. Low rates suggest that a significant fraction of those with S/E training and skills are not using their skills in science or engineering or any other jobs.

Unemployment rates measure the pruportion of those in the labor force who are not employed but who are seeking employment. Higher rates for women and minorities may signify that these groups face labor market problems different from those of men and the majority in the S.E work force. Unemployment rates, however, are incomplete indicators of market conditions for scientists and engineers. They do not indicate the degree to which those with the necessary education and training are successful in finding jobs in science and engineering.

In addition to the standard labor market indicators, the Nationa! Science Foundation has developed three measures unique to scientists and engineers: the S.E employment rate, the S/E underemployment rate, and the S/E underutilization rate.
The S/E employment rate has been developed to better assess the market conditions for scientists and engineers performing S'E work. This rate measures the degree to which employed scientists and engineers have jobs in science and engineering fields.
The S/E underemployment rate indicates the extent to which scientists and engineers use their training and skills. It provides an overall statistical measure of both involuntary part-time and involuntary non-S/E employment. When fulltime jobs are not available, individuals may accept part-time jobs. When jobs in science and engineering are not available. some scientists and engineers accept jobs in other areas. Thus, some parttime employment (e.g., working parttime but seeking full-time employment) may indicate underemployment, as would working in a non-S:E job when S/E work is preferred.
Tine S/E underutilization rate combines numbers of both the unemployed and the underemployed, and presents them as a percent of the labor force. This race is only a partia! measure of potential uncerutilization since it does not account for those who may have greater S/E skills than jobs require.
Observed differences in labor market experiences between women and men and between minorities and the majority may highlight potential areas of concern. Although disparities may indicate inequitable treatment, by themselves they would not be sufficient to justify an inference of inequity. Differences may reflect (1) differences in field and work experience; (2) differences in workers'
decisions about the nature of their work involvement, (3) differences in employer personnel practices in areas such as hiring, training. and promotion, and (4) a combination of such factors which include, or are byproducts of, inequitable treatment.

Issues relating to training focus on the acquisition of those skills that are a necessary precursor to a career in science or engineering Not every individual acquiring the necessary skills, however, will choose a career in science or engineering This report presents information on precollege mathematics and science education, cuurscu ork, and perfurmance on tests such as the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), where a "low" score may limit a student's entry into a science or engineering field to the undergraduate level Information also is presented on the quality of potential S E graduate students and patterns of degree production and graduate support.

Much of the information presented in this repurt is derited from sample surveys and is therefore subject to sampling limitations and to incomplete or indccurate responses. Because of the relatively small number of wumen and minurities
in the sample surveys, data for these groups are not as statistically reliable as those for inen and whites. However, any comparisons between women and men and between minorities and the majority that are made in this report generally are statistically significant at the 0.05 level, that is, the reported difference is due to chance only 5 or fewer times in 100. Presenting data first for women rather than for minorities reflects only the dvailability of more statistically zeliable datá for women.
In developing the surveys underly ing the employment and labor market data on scientists and engineers, the National Science Foundation emphasized increasing sample sizes for women and minorities. The first set of preliminaly estimates based on the increased samples for women and minorities was develuped for 1982 and presented in the previous report. Because the data previously presented for 1982 were preliminary, readers are cautioned against comparing information in this report with that in previous reports in the series. Where possible, historical comparisons (1:76-84) are presented in this tulume and the statistics underlying the
comparisons are contained in the appendix tables to the report. Generally, 1976 is the earliest yeal in which reliablc and consistent data on a variety of topics are available for women and minorities in science and engineering.

Information pertaining to the statistical reliablility of much of the report's data may be found in the Technical Notes. Some differences do exist in concepts, data collection techniques, and reporting procedures among statistics presented. Primary data scurces listed in the references, Technical Notes, and statistical tables provide full information on these technical aspects and on the limitations of the statistics,

This report is organized into three chapters. The first chapter examines the representation and utilization of women in science and engineering. The second chapter presents similar information for minorities, including physically handicapped persons. The third chapter examines the acquisition of scientific and engineering skills of women and minoritics and highlights differences with men and the majority in achievement test performance, academic coursework, and degree production,

# Women in Science and Engineering 

## OVERVIEW

Women, compared to their representation in the U.S work force, are underrepresented in science and engineering employment. The 512.000 women scientists and engineers employed in 1984 represented about 13 percent of all scientists and engineers, up from 9 percent in 1976. Women, however. constitute a!most cne-half of overall U.S employment.

The underrepresentation of women is not uniform between scientists and engineers. Women account for almost 25 percent of scientists, but only 3 percent of engineers The underrepresentation of women among employed scientists and engineers persists despite significant gains since the mid-seventies. Between 1976 and 1984. employment of wompln rose 157 percent, while the increase for men was 63 percent.

Although there has been dramatic growth in the employment of women scientists and engineers, they are still more likely than men to be both unemployed and underemployed. The unemployment rate for women scientist, and engineers in 1984 ( 3.4 percent) was substantially higher than that for men (1.3 percent). Women were also about four times as likely as men tu repurt that they were underempiused ( 8 percent vs. 2 percent). that is, working part-time when full-time work is preferred, or working in a non-SE job involuntarily.

Because of the more rapid increase in the employment of wumen, they are generally younger than their male colleagues and have fewer years of professional experience. In 1984, 60 percent uf the women and 27 percent of the men repurted fewer than 10 years of experience. Years of experience may affect a number of labor market variables. For example, women are less likely than men to hold mandgement pusitions and those in academia are less likely to hold tenure or be in tenure-track positions. Women alsu report salaries below those
for men, with the smallest difference among those with less than 10 years of experience.
Minorities consitute a small share of women scientists and engineers. In 1984, about 5 percent were Asian, almost 5 percent were black, and less than 1 percent were native American. Only Asian women are more highly represented among scientists and engineers than they are in tie general work force. Minorities, however, are nore highly represented among women than among men scientists and engineers. In 1984, Hispanics represented about 3 percent of the women scientists and engineers. As with racial minorities, Hispanics are more nighly represerted among women than among men scientists and engineers.

## Employment Levels and Trends

Despite significant employment gains since the mid-seventies, women remain underrepresented in science and engineering. In 1984, women represented 13 percent of all employed scientists and enginters, but about 44 percent of all employed persons and almust une iadf (49 percent) of those in professiond occupations.' Between 15.96-when women constituted almost 9 percent of the scientists and engineers-and 1984. employment of women scientists dud engineers grew by 157 percent compared to a 63 percent increase for men. Employment increases for both numen and men scientists and engineers greatly surpassed employment grunth in the generd work force. The number of numen in all occupations incredsed by 29 percent between 1976 and 1984 . compared with about 11 percent for men. ${ }^{2}$

Over the mure recent past (1982-84). employment of women scientists and engineers contmued to increase mure than that of men. Betweer 1982 and 1984, the number of women scientists and engineers increased at an annual
rate of roughly 15 percent compared to about 10 percent for men. During the 1976-82 period, employment of women rose at an annual rate of almost 12 percent versus 5 percent for men.
Employment of doctoral scientists and engineers has been increasing more rapidly among women than men. Between 1973 and 1983, the number of em.ployed women doctoral scientists and engineers showed a gain of 188 percent; for men, the increase was 58 percent. In 1983, women represented about 13 percent of all doctoral scientists and engineers, up from 8 percent in 1973.

About 11 percent of employed women scientists hold doctorates, for men the comparable figure is 19 percent. Differences by gender in the propensity to attain doctorates vary by field, with the largest differences found among mathematical and enviroamental scier. t sts. Compared to the sciences, relatively few men or women hold doctorates in engineering (figure 1-1).

## Field

Wumen's representation in science and enginering raries considerably by field.' In 198:. women conistituted 25 percent of all employed scientists, but unl: 3 percent of enginecrs Among scientists, the representation of women ranged from 42 percent of all psychologists tu about 11 percent of environmen. tal and physical scientists (figure 1-2).

Since the mid-serenties, the fiold distribution of empluyed women scientists and engineers has changed. reflecting differing grow th patterns across fields of stience and engineering. The most notable changes were observ ed for computer specialists. engineers, and social scientists. Between 1976 and 1984. employment of women computer specialists increased by about 450 percent. in 1984. 22 percent of women scientists and engineers were r.mputer specidists, up frum 10 percent in 1976. The number of wumen in enpiretring grew by almost

Figure 1.1. Proportion of employed scientiste arid engineers ب!th doctorates by field and sex: 1884


SOURCES• Based on appendix tatles 1 \& 4.

250 percen ${ }^{*}$, and the proportions of women who are engineers rose from 11 percent to almost 15 percent Relatively slow growth was noted amorg women social scientists During the 1976-84 period, employment of women social scientists increased by 63 percent. much less than the overall growth for women in all S E fields As a result of this relatively slow growth, the proportion who were social scientists declined from 28 percent to 18 percent.

Figure 1-3 shows the field distribution of employed female and male scisatists and engineers. An "index of dissimilarity." which is a summary measure of overall differences between two distributions, may be used to quantify field differences between women and men. ${ }^{4}$ In 1984, the index of dissimilarity between male and female scientists and engineers was 49 . This statistic means that 49 percent of the women would have to change fields or occupations to
have a distribution identical to that for me.. If e gineers are eliminated from the $a^{\circ}{ }^{\sim 1}$; sis, the difference narrows and the index falls from 49 to 25 . Overall, the dissimilarity index has remained relatively constant since 1976.
While employment of doctoral women increased, substantial variability occurred among all $S_{i} E$ fields. The fields with the greatest relative growth of women doctorates were engineering, where employment of women increased from 100 in 1973 to $1,100 \mathrm{in}$ 1983, and computer specialties, where employment increased from 100 to 1,300 during the same period. Despite rapid growth in these fields, only about 2 to 3 percent of the women holding doctorates were computer specialists or engineers in 1983. More than 80 percent of the increase in the employment of women doctoral scientists and engineers took place in three major fields. life sciences, social sciences, and psy-
chology. The field distribution of womer. with doctorates, however, did not change greatly over the 1973-83 period. Women were somewhat more likely to be social scientists or computer specialists and less likely to be mathematical or physical scientists in 1983 tha. 1 a decade earlier.
Field distribution differences between the sexes for doctoral scientists (excluding engineers) were larger than the differences for scientists at all educational levels. The index of dissimilarity for doctoral scientists was 29 , compared with 25 for those at all degree levels.

## Experience

The likelihood of holding management assignments or academic tenure status and rank may reflect many labor market related factors, including years of professional experience. Women scientists and engineers are generally younger than their male counterparts and thus have fewer years of professional experience. In 1984, about 60 percent of employed women scientists and enigineers reported less than 10 years of professional experience and about 40 percent reported less than 5 years. For men, the comparable figures were 27 percent and 14 percent, respectively.
Years of professional experience for both men and women at all degree levels vary across science and engineering fields. These variations reflect not only differential growth rates by field, but also the movement of women into fields historically dominated by men. For example, 23 percent of the male engineers reported fewer than 10 years of experience, among women engineers, the comparable figure was about 72 percent (figure 1-4).

Women at the doctoral level also report fewer years of professional experience than men. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ In 1983, about 43 percent of the women but only 24 percent of the men had less than 10 years of professional experience. In addition, more than twice as many women as men, proportionally, had less than five years of professional experience ( 18 percent vs. 9 percent).

## Career Patterns

Direct indicators of career development for scientists and engineers are not

Figure 1-2. Women as a percent of totai science and engineering employment: 1984


Figure 1-3. Employed scientisis and enginears by field and sex: 1984


SOURCE: Based on apperdix table 1
avalldble. Huwever, information on specific career-related activities, such as the number and proportion of women primarily in management activities, is
dvailable. For those in academid, tenure staius and faculty rank may be used ds indicators of career progression.

Men are more likely than women to
report management as their primary activity. In 1984, 30 percent of the men and 18 percent of the women reported management as their major activity. Between 1976 and 1982, ine proportion of both women and men scientists and engineers in management declined, but by 1984 had recovered to 1976 levels.

Involvement in management in 1984 varied by field and between scientists and engineers, with men more likely than women to be in management across most major fields. Among scientists, 19 percent of the women and 28 percent of the men were managers or administrators. For engineers, the comparable figures were 15 percent for women and 32 percent for men.

Women scientists and engineers are less likely than their male colleagues to work in industry, and more likely to work in educational institutions. This sectoral distribution affects both work activities, such as the propensity to be in management, and salary levels. In 1984, about 45 percent of women worked in industry and 26 percent were employed by educational institutions; fo. men, the comparable figures were 65 percent and 12 percent. Since 1976, the number of women employed in industry increased more than four times as rapidly as that for men ( 259 percent vs. 63 percent, respectively). There is relatively little variation by field in the proportion of women and men employed by industry. Among engineers, for example, 78 percent of the women and 75 percent of the men were in industry. For scientists, the proportions were 45 percent for women and 48 percent for men.

In academia, a smaller proportion of docioral women scientists and engineers hold tenure or are in tenure-track positions (figure 1-5). However, the number of women holding tenure is increasing more rapidly than the number of men. Between 1981 and 1983, the number of women with tenure grew by 21 percent, while the increase for men was 5 percent. In addition, women are less likely than men to hold professorial rank (i.e., professor, associate, or assis$\operatorname{tant}$ professor). In 1983, 89 percent of the women held professorial rank; for men, the comparable figure was 97 percent. Among those with rank, men were more than twice as likely as .nen to be full professors ( 50 percent vs. 21 percent).

Figure 1.4. Proportion of scientists and engineers with less than ten years of professional experience by field and sex: 1984


SOURCES. Based on appendix tables 9 \& 10.

Figure 1.5. Doctoral scientists and engineers in four year colleges and universities by tenure status and sex: 1983


However the number of women who are full professors increased more than twice as rapidly as that of men between

1981 and 1983 ( 20 percent vs. 9 perce $)$. Differences between women and me . in tenure status and rank are not
explained by field differences. Differences by gender in rank and tenure status persist across ail major S/E fields (appendix tables $21,22,24$, and 25 ).

## Labor Market Indicators

Labor market indicators," such as labor force participation and unemployment rates, are useful in assessing relative market conditions (i.e., employment relative to available supply) for scientists and engineers. Disparities in labor market variables between women and men scientists and engineers may reflect differences in labor market behavior, in demographic characteristics, in behavior of employers, or combinations of these factors.
Women and men scientists and enginuers are equally likely to be in the labor force; that is, working or seeking employment. In 1984, about 94 percent of the women and 96 percent of the men scientists and engineers were in the labor force. Since the mid-seventies, the labor force participation rate of women has increased from 90 percent while that of men has been constant. Labor force participation rates for both men and women scientists and engineers vary in a fairly narrow range by field (appendix table 26). Rates for scientists and engineers are higher than those for the general population and the coliegeeducated population. Overall, about 54 percent of all women and 72 percent of all college-educated women were in the labor force in 1984.' For men, the comparable figures were 76 percent and 89 percent. respectively. ${ }^{8}$

Among doctoral scientists and engineers, women are less likely than men to be employed or seeking employment. In 1983, the labor force participation rate for doctoral women was 92 percent, slightly below the 95 percent rate for men (appendix table 27). Although rates do vary by field, the rates for women in all science fields were lower than those for men; in engineering, the rates were essentially the same.

Labor force participation rates for recent female $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ graduates (excluding full-time graduate students) are generally lower than the rates for recent male graduates. Among recent graduates at both the bachelor's and master's degree levels, the labor force participation rates
for women ( 94 percent) were below those for men ( 98 percent) when measured in 1984.
Although there is relatively little difference in labor force participation rates between women and men scientists and engineers, women and men cite different reasons for not being in the labor force. Men are much more likely than women to report they are retired (74 percent vs 15 percent); women are mucis more likely to cite family responsibilities ( 31 percent vs less tian ! percent) By way of comparison, about 64 percent of all women not in the labor force reported family responsibilities as the major reason for not seeking work."

The effect of children on the labor force participation rate of women scientists and engineers is much less than among all women in the U'nited States. ${ }^{10}$ The labor force participation rate for women in the US, with children 6 to 17 years of age was 63 percent. For those with children under 6 years of age, the rate was 48 percent." In 1984, female scientists and engineers with children 6-17 years of age reported a rate of 89 percent, while the rate for those with children under 6 years of age was alinost 92 percent.

Although women scientists and engineers are about as likely as men to be in the labor force. they are more likely than men to be unemployed. The unemployment rate for women scientists and engineers in 1984 was 3.4 percent, substantially above the 13 percent rate for men. Unemployment 1 ates for both sexes have declined since the mid-seventies, but the gap between female-male unemployment rates has persisted. For example, the unempioyment rate for women was 5.4 percent in 1976; for men, it was 3.2 percent. The unemployment rate for women scientists and engineers in 1984 was below that for all women in the U.S. ( 7.6 percent), but above that for women in professional occupations ( 2.8 percent) ${ }^{12}$ and for all women coilege graduates ( 2.7 percent). ${ }^{13}$

Unemployment rates for scientists and engineers vary considerably by field, with the rates for women above those for men across all fields except computer specialties, where they are essentially equal (figure 1-6). Women scientists reported an unemployment rate of 3.5 percent, more than twice that re-
ported for men. With the exception of computer specialists, the smallest rate differential between women and men was found among mathematical scientists while the greatest differences were recorded among environmental scientists. Women engineers had an unemployment rate of 2.9 percent, compared to 1.2 percent for men.
The fact that women and men are concentrated in different fields has little influence on the overall unemployment rate for women scientists and engineers. The rate for women remains more than twice that for men, after controlling for field.
Women also experience more difficulty than men in finding entry-level jobs. For recent ( 1982 and 1983) S, E graduates at the bachelor's level, 6.8 percent of the women and 4.8 percent of the men were unemployed, with the rates for women above those for men across most major science fields. Among recent

S/E master's degree graduates, rates for women and men were roughly similar ( 3.7 percent vs. 3.4 percent).
Limited research suggests that unemployment rates for female scientists and engineers may be higher than those for their male counterparts because women are more likely to constrain their job search. Such constraining factors include geographic location, family responsibilities, and desire fer part-tıme employment. ${ }^{14}$
Unemployment rates for both female and male scientists and engineers with doctorates are below the rates for those at all degree levels. In 1983, women doctorates reported an unemployment rate ( 2.5 percent) substantially above that for men ( 0.7 percent). Although these rates have declined since the early seventies, the rate differential between doctoral men and women persists. In 1973, the unemployment rate for men was 0.9 percent; for women, it was 3.8 percent. In

Figure 1.6. Unemployment rates for scientists and engineers by field and sex: 1984


SOURCE: Appendix table 26.

1983, unemployment rates for women generally were higher than for men within fields of science, although in computer specialties, virtually no unemployment existed for either sex. Field, age, race, and family chardcteristics (i.e., marital status and presence of children) account for only a sinall proportion of the differences in unemployment rates. When these variables are standardized through multiple regression analysis, about 90 percent cf the difference in unemployment rates between women and men remains unexplained. ${ }^{1 ;}$

The S/E employment rate measures the extent to which employed scientists or engineers have a job in science or engineering. Depending on the specific reasons for non-SiE employment, a low S/Eemployment rate could be an indicator of underutilization. Factors relating to non-S.E employment include lack of availatle $S E$ jobs, higher pay for nonS/E employment, location, or preference
for $a \operatorname{job}$ outside of science or engineering.

Women scientists and engineers are less likely than men to hold jobs in science or engineering (figure 1-7). In 1984, the S/E employment rate for women was 77 percent; for men, it was 88 percent. Rates for both women and men were lower than those in 1982 ( 80 percent and 89 percent, respectively). The rates vary substantially by field, and much of the differerice bet ween women and men results from the concentration of women in science and men in engineering. Engineers of either sex are more likely than scientists to hold S/E jobs, and the rates for men and women engineers were 93 percent and 94 percent, respectively, in 1984. Among scientists, the rate for women was lower than the rate for men (74 percent vs. 80 percent).

Women and men doctoral scientists and engineers nave roughly similar S/E employment rates. In 1983, the rate for women was 87 percent; for men, it was

Figure 1.7. SIE employment rates by field and sex: 1984


[^2]89 percent. Little variation existed between women and men on a field specific basis. The S/E employment rate for women enginsers, however, was higher than the rate for their male colleagues. Since 1973, the S/E employment rate at the doctoral level has declined for both women and men ( 92 percent and 94 percent, respectively).

The S/E employment rate for women who were recent $S / E$ graduates was below that for men at both the bachelor's and master's levels. Among 1982 and 1983 bachelor's degree recipients, the rate for women in 1984 was about 50 percent; for men, it Nac 70 percent. On a field-specific ba curred in the rats -ss variation octween women and men; generally, owever, rates for women were lower than those for men. Among engineering and computer science graduates, rates for women and men were high ( 80 purcent to 90 percent) and roughly similar (apper dix table 26). The difference in overall $S / E$ employment rates between men and women reflects the fact that relatively more men than women earn degrees in engineering. At the master's level, rates increase for both women and men, but the rate for women remains below that for men ( 71 percent vs. 85 percent).

Although unemployment rates of women scientists and engineers are relatively low as compared with rates for women in the general population, those who are employed may be underemployed. Working in a non-S/E job or working part-time may indicate underemployment, depending on the reasons for such employment. To help measure the extent of potential underemployment, the S/E undaremployment rate has been developed. This rate shows those who are involuntarily working in non-S/E jobs or involuntarily working part-time as a percent of total employment.

Not only are female scientists and engineers more likely than male scientists and engineers to be unemployed, they are also more likely to be underemployed. The $S / E$ underemployment rate for women in 1994 was about 8 percent, compared with almost 2 percent for men (figure 1-8). Part of this difference can be traced to the general concentration of women in science fields where underemployment is greater than

Figure 1.8. Underemployment for scientists and engineers by field and sex: 1984


SOURCE Appendix lable 26
in engineering. Among engineers, underemployment for men and women ranged from 1 to 2 percent. Among scientists, however, women were three times as likely as men to be underemployed ( 9 percent vs. 3 percent). Underemployment rates fur women were higher than those for men within all science fields with the exception of computer specialties where the rates were essentially equal (about 2 percent). Among doctoral scientists and engineers, underemployment rates are relatively low for both women ( 2.5 percent) and men ( 1.2 percent) (appendix table 27).

To derive a more comprehensive indicator of potential underutilization, figures for those who are unemployed and those who are underemployed may be combined and expressed as a percent of the labor force. It is only a partial measure, however, since it does not take into account the number of scientists
and engineers who may have jobs requiring skills below those that the job holders actually possess. The underutilization rate for women scientists and engineers in 1984 was 11 percent; for men, it was 3 percent. The rates for women were above those for men across all major fields with the exception of computer specialties, where they were about equal ( 3 percent). Female doctoral scientists and engineers are also more likely than men to report that they are ' .derutilized. In 1983, the underutilization rate for doctoral women was 6 percent, about three times the approxumately 2 percent rate for their male colleagues. Underutilization rates for women were above those for men within all major fields.
Female scientists and engineers, on the average, earn lower salaries than their male colleagues. These differences may reflect variations in field, education, experience, labor market behavior,
employer, or some combination of these factors. ${ }^{16}$

In 1984, the average salary for women scientists and engineers was $\$ 27,600$; for men, it was $\$ 38,700$. Across all fields, women's salaries averaged about 71 percent of men's. By major field, women's salaries ranged from 68 percent of men's salaries among life and social scientists to roughly 84 percent among computer specialists and mathematical scientists (figure 1-9). Differences in field distributions between women and men do not account for the differences in overall salaries. Controlling for field, salaries for women still average about 71 pe: `ont of men's salaries.

The female-male salary differentiai has not improved over time. In 1982, women earned 75 percent of men's salaries, down from about 80 percent 10 years earlier. By 1984, women earned 71 percent of men's salaries. The widening gap between female and male salaries may be accounted for by differences in experience levels, due, in part, to the relatively large influx of women into the S/E work force in recent years. In addition, rapid employment increases for women between 1982 and 1984 were noted among life scientists and psychologists, fields where salaries are relatively low for both sexes. Salary differences between female and male scientists and engineers, however, are smaller than among all college graduates. Earnings of female college graduates averaged roughly two-thirds of those of males in $1984 .{ }^{17}$

Women scientists and engineers, on average, earn less than men across all levels of experience. The smallest salary differences in 1984 were for those scientists and engineers with 5-9 years of experience. In this group, women earned 88 percent cf male salaries. By field, salary differentials for this group ranged from 81 percent among psychologists to parity among mathematical and environmental scientists.

Women also earn less than men at the doctoral level. Average salaries paid to women doctoral scientists and engineers in 1983 were 78 percent of those paid to men. For $1 l l$ fields combined, women doctorates earned $\$ 32,000$; the comparable figure for men was $\$ 40,800$. Salaries for women doctoral scientists

Fisurre 1-9. Women's salaries as a percent of men's salaries: 1984


SOURCES. Based on appendix tables 29 \& 30.
increased slightly more rapidly than those for men between 1981 and 1983 ( 17 percent vs. 15 percent).
The pattern of lower women's salaries for doctoral scientists and engineers appears across all fields of science and engineering, and across work activity, sector of employment, and years of professional experience. About one-half of the differential in female-male salaries remains unexplained after standardizing for field, race, sector of employment, and years of professional experience. ${ }^{18}$
Among recent graduates with degrees in science and engineering, women also earn less than men. When recent (1982 and 1983) degree recipients were surveyed in 1984, women at the bachelor's level earned, on average, 67 percent of the salaries paid to men with wide variation across $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ fields. At the master's level, salary differences between women and men are less pronounced than at the bachelor's level. Among recent master's degree recipients in 1984, women earned about 75 percent of the salaries pard tu men.

## MINORITY WOMEN

The following discussion focuses on black, Asian, and native American women. Information on Hispanic women is presented after the discussion on racial minority women.

## Employment Levels and Trends

Minorities account for a relatively small share of employed women scientists and engineers. Of the approximately 512,000 employed women scientists and engineers in 1984, 5 percent $(27,000)$ were Asian, and 4.5 percent $(23,000)$ were black. The 1,500 native American women represented less than 1 percent of employed women scientists and engineers. ${ }^{19}$ White women constituted about 88 percent of the total, while the remainder (about 2 percent) were of mixed racial backgrounds or did not report their race. Blacks are more highly represented among women than among men scientists and engineers. In 1984, $\exists 2$ percent of male scientists and enginters were white, 2 percent were
black, almost 5 percent were Asian, and less than 1 percent were native American.

Between 1982 and 1984, employment increases for women scientists and engineers differed by race. Employment of Asian women rose by 43 percent, while the number of black and native American women scientists and engineers remained essentially the same. By way of comparison, employment of white women rose by 33 percent.

Another way of viewing the status of minority women is shown in table 1-1. For some groups, the proportion of minority women was higher than the proportion of minority men. While women represent about 13 percent of total S/E employment across all racial groups, black women represent 25 percent of all employed black scientists and engineers.

Asian women are more highly represented in the S/E work force than in the general work force. In 1984, Asians represented 5 percent of employed women scientists and engineers, but only about 2 percent of all women in the U.S. work force were Asian. ${ }^{20}$ Black women, in contrast, represented 4.5 percent of female scientists and engineers, but 11 percent of all employed women in the U.S. ${ }^{21}$

At the doctoral level, relatively few of the employed women scientists and engineers were members of racial minority groups. In 1983, about 3 percent $(1,400)$ were black and 7 percent $(3,400)$ were Asian; the number of native Americans was too low to estimate. Among male scientists and engineers with doctorates, about 1 percent were black, 8 percent were Asian, and 0.1 percent were native American. Thus, black females constitute a larger share of all black doctoral scientists and engineers than do other minority women of their respective racial groups.

## Field

The field distributions for women scientists and engineers varies by race (table 1-2). Regardless of race, however, women are more likely than men to be scientists than engineers (appendix table 3). In 1984, about 14 percent of both white and black women were engineers, as were 23 percent of the Asian women.

Table 1.1. Employed scientists and engineers by race and sex: 1984

| Race | Total | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| White | $100 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Black | $100 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Asian | $100 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Native American | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total' | - | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| White | - | $92 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Black | - | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Asian | - | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Native American | - | $1 \%$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

'Detall will not add to totar because no report and other are included in the total
'Less than 05 percent
SOURCE. Based on appendix table 3

## Experience

Generally, Asian women scientists and engineers report more years of professional experience than do white women. In 1984, 53 percent of the Asian women, and about 61 percent of white women, had fewer than 10 years of professional experience in 1984.

## Career Patterns

In 1984, the proportions of women who reported management or administration as their primary work activity varied in a narrow range by racial group.

Roughly 20 percent of all groups reported this activity as their primary work.
Tenure status and academic rank may also be used as surrogate measures of career development. Among doctoral women in educational institutions, blacks are in tenure-track positions slightly more often than are whites and Asians. In 1983, about 65 percent of the black doctoral women were in tenuretrack positions, compared to approximately 62 percent of the white women and only 45 percent of the Asian women. Although black women were more often in tenure-track positions, about the same proportion of black and white women reported holding tenure (slightly less than two-fifths). Among doctoral women, variations in the proportion holding professorial rank range from 86 percent (Asian women) to 89 percent (black women).

## Labor Market Indicators

An analysis was made of $S / E$ employment, unemployment, underemployment, and underutilization data for women by racial/ethnic group (appendix table 26 ). The rates varied, but the observed differences were not statistically significant at the 0.05 level; these rates therefore are not presented.
Labor force participation rates fo: woraen scientists and engineers show little variation by race. In 1984, black women at all degree levels reported a

Table 1-2. S/E field distribution of women by race: 1984

| Field | Total | White | Black | Asian | Native <br> American |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All scientists and engineers | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Scientists | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Physical scientists | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ |
| Mathematical scientists | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ |
| Computer specialists | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Environmental scientists | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ |
| Life scientists | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Psychologists | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Social scientists | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Engineers | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]labor force participation rate of 97 percent, while the rates for white and Asian women were roughly 94 percent.

White and black women scientists and engineers reported average salaries of about $\$ 27,000$ per year in 1984. Asian women, however, reported average salaries of $\$ 31,000$. Higher salaries for Asian women do not result from the fact that they are more likely than other women to be engineers; rather, higher salaries for Asian women reflect in part their greater number of years of professional experience. Among scientists, salaries for Asian women averdge $\$ 29,000$ per year compared to $\$ 26,000-\$ 27,000$ for other wemen scientists (appendix table 30 ).
Regardless of race, salaries for women were below those for men. Salaries for black and Asian women, however, average about 78 percent of those for men in these same racial groups while those for white women average about 71 percent of white male salaries. At the doctoral level, salaries for white and black women were higher than those for Asian women ( $\$ 32,000$ for whites and blacks and about $\$ 31.000$ for Asians).

## Hispanic Women

The approximately 15,000 Hispanic women scientists and engineers represented 3 percent of all women scientists and engineers employed in 1984. Among all employed women in the U.S. in 1984, about 5 percent were Hispanic. ${ }^{22}$ Between 1982 and 1984, employment of Hispanic women scientists and engineers increased by roughly 3,700 or 32 percent, the same rate of growth registered by all women scientists and engineers.

Hispanics are more highly repiesented among women scientists and engineers than are their male counterparts. While 3 percent of the women scientists and engineers were Hispanic, about 2.1 percent of the men were Hispanic. The field distribution of Hispanic women is similar to that of all women scientists and engineers (figure 1-10).

Hispanic women scientists and engineers have fewer years of professional experience than all women. In 1984, 71 percent of the Hispanic women, but only 61 percent of all women scientists and engineers, reported fewer than 10

Figure 1-10. Field distribution of all women and Hispanic women: 1984
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SOURCE. Based on appendix table 3
years of professional experience. Almost three-fifths ( 59 percent) of the Hispanic women reported less than five years of professional work; among all women scientists an ${ }^{\prime}$ engineers, 40 percent reported fewer than five years of professional experience.

Among doctoral women in educational institutions. Hispanics are less likely than other women to hold tenure or be in tenure-track positions. In 1983. 55 percent of the Hispanic women, compared to 60 percent of all women, held tenure or were in tenure-track pusitions. Hispanics are also less likely than all women to hold professorial rank. About 84 percent of the Hispanic $\mathbf{n}$ umen held professoria! rank in 1983 compared to 89 percent for all women.

Hispanic and all women scientists and engineers reported similar labor force patticipation rates in 1984 ( 94 to 95 percent). Hispanic women, on average, reported annual salaries well below those for all women scientists and engineers. In 1984. Hispanic women had average salaries of $\$: 1,400$ per year compared to $\$ 27,600$ for all women scientists and engineers. Salaries for Hisparic women averaged only about 61 percem of those for Hispanic men,
while for all women the average was 71 percent. Among those with doctorates, Hispanic women earned almost $\$ 1,000$ less per year than the average for all women ( $\$ 31,100$ vs. $\$ 32,000$ ).
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# Minorities in Science and Engineering 

## OVERVIEW

Blacks and Hispanics are underrepresented in science and engineering employment, while Asians are not underrepresented (figure 2-1). The representation of native Americans among scientists and engineers is roughly equal to their representation in the tctal U.S. labor force. The approximately 90,500 employed black scientists and engineers in 1984 represented about 2 percent of all scientists and engineer. Blacks, however, account for 10 percent of total U.S. employment and 6 percent of all employed professional and related workers. Hispanics represented about 2 percent $(86,600)$ of the employed scientists and engineers in 1984, while 5 percent of all employed persons and 2.5 percent of those in professional and related occupations were Hispanic. Asians represented alinost 5 percent ( 186,500 ) of all scientists and engineers. but less than 2 percent of the U.S. labor
force. The 20,430 native American scientists and er. ${ }_{6}$ ineers represented somewhat less than 1 percent of total scientific and engineering employment, roughly similar to their representation in the overall U.S. labor force. Approximately 2 percent $(75,000)$ of all employed scientists and engineers reported a physical handicap in 1984.
Since the mid-seventies, employment of black scientists and engineers rose by almost 140 percent, while employment of whites increased by 70 percent, and employment of Asians grew by 75 percent. Over the more recent past (1982-84), employment among black, Asian, and native American scientists and engineers continued to grow more rapidly than did employmert of white scientists and engineers. Growth in Hispanic employment was roughly equal to that for all scientists and enginetrs.
Fieid distributions in science and engineering differ among racial'thnic

Figure 2-1. Racial/ethnic minorities as a percent of employed scientists and engineers: 1984
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Table 2.1. Selected characteristics of scientists and engineers Ly racial/ethnic group: 1984

| Characteristic | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployment rate | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.1\% |
| S/E employment rate | 86.8\% | 81.3\% | 90.8\% | 78.3\% | 80.3\% |
| bie underemployment rate | 2.5\% | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 4.2\% |
| Annual salary | \$37,500 | \$32,500 | \$38,200 | \$40,500 | \$33,100 |

'Includes members of all racial groups
SOURCES Appendix tables 26 \& 28
less likely to work in S E jobs (table 2-1). For example, unemployment among black and Asian scientists and engineers in 1984 averaged around 2.5 percent; for w hites, the unemployment rate was 1.5 percent. About 2.5 percent of the whites reported they were underemployed, as did 6.6 perrent of the blacks, but only 18 percent of the Asians. The proportions of employed scientists and engineers working in S.E fields ranged from 91 percent of the Asians to 81 percent of the blacks and 78 percent of the native $P$ mericans.

## BLACKS IN: SCIENCE AND ENGINCERING

## Employment Levels and Trends

Blacks are underrepresented in the science and engineering work force. In 1984, they a ounted for only 2.3 percent $(90,500$, of the employed scientists and engineers. In the general work force, they represented 10 percent of total U.S. employment and almost 6 percent of those employed in the professional and related work force.' Although still underrepresented, blacks have made proportional gains; in 1976. black scientists and engineers constituted 1.6 percent of total employment.

Between 1976 and 1984, overall employment of black scientists and engineers rose about twice as fast as employment of their white counterparts: 138 percent versus 70 percent. The growth rates for blacks and whites were more similar over the recent past. In the twoyear period from 1982 to 1984, employment of blacks increased by 27 percent as compared to 22 percent for whites.

Blachs also are underrepresented in the doctoral science and engineering work force. In 1983, about 4,900 , or 1.3 percent, doctoral scientists and engineers were black. However, in 1973, less than 1 percent $(2,000)$ of the doctoral work force was black. This rise in proportion represents an overall growth rate of 142 percent for black scientists and engineers with Ph.D.s over the decade. In contrast, employment of white doctoral scientists and engineers rose 62 during the same period.

## Field

The representation of blacks varies considerably by science and engineering field. While almost 5 percent of the mathematical and social scientists were
black in 1984, less than 1 percent of the environmental scientists were black. Among doctoral scientists and engineers in 1983, the field with the highest proportion of blacks ( 2.5 percent) was social science; the lowest share ( 0.2 percent) was in environmental science.
The index of dissimilarity ${ }^{2}$ is used to summarize general field differences amorg racial groups. The index between whites and blacks was 20 in 1984; that is, about 20 percent of the blacks would have to change fields to have a distribution identical to that of whites.
Blacks were more likely than whites to be scientists than engineers. In 1984, 59 percent of the employed blacks were scientists compared to 45 percent of the whites. Among science fields, blacks were most likely to be social scientists or computer specialists while whites were most of ten computer specialists (figure 2-2). Between 1976 and 1984, the most rapid employment increases occurred among black computer specialists (up 656 percent) and social scientists (up 382 percent). In comparison, employment of whites in these fields rose 255 percent and 54 percent, respectively.
At the doctoral level, a higher percentage of the blacks ( 92 percent) than whites ( 85 percent) were also in the sciences in 1483 . Over one-half ( 53 percent) of the blacks compared tc about two-fifths ( 42 percent) of the whites were either life or social scientists. The

Figure 2.2. Field distributions of empioyed white and black scientists and engineers: 1984


SOURCE Based on appendix table 2
index of dissimilarity between black and white doctoral scientists and engineers in 1983 was 11.

## Experience

Black scientists ind engineers have fewer years of professional experience than do whites. In 1984. almost 40 percent of the blacks had fewer thar 10 years of work experience compa.ed to 31 percent of the whites. Hrwever, a higher proportion of the blacks than whites reported having between 10 to 14 years of experience- 20 percent vs. 15 percent.

## Career Patterns

White scientists and engineers were more often employed in inductry than were blacks. In 1984, about 63 percent of the whites and 55 percent of the blacks were working in this sector. This overall differential also prevails for major fields Amorg engineers, for example, 76 percent of the whites compared to 70 percent of the blacks were employed by the industrial sector.

Although there were differences among sectors, the proportions of blacks and whites reporting management or administration as their primary work activity were about the same across all sectors In 1984, roughly 30 percent of both racial groups were engaged in some aspect of management. By field. however, differences arose. For example, among engineers. 32 percent of the whites compared to 24 percent of the blacks reported this activity.

Black scientists and engineers enter the academic sector at a higher rate than whites Among those in this sector, however, whites are more likely than blacks to be tenured or hold full professorships.

Among doctoral scientists and ergineers who are employed by four-year colleges o: universitics, almost twothirds of the whites compared to one half of the blacks held tenured positions in 1983 However, a larger fraction of the blacks than whites were in tenure-track positions-23 percent versus 15 jercent By academic rank, over 46 percent of the whites and only 30 percent of the blacks were full professors. About 36 precent of the blacks held associate professorshipe compared to 30 percent of the whites.

## Labor Market Indicators

Black scientists and engineers experience different labor market conditions from white scientists and engineers. Blacks are slightly more likely than whites to be in the labor force. Within the labor force, they are more often unemploved or underemployed and less often employed in S/E jobs.
The labor force participation rate for black scientists and engineers was 98 percent in 1984; for whites, it was 96 percent. This participation rate for black scientists and eugineers was much higher than the rate for blacks in the overall population ( 62 percent)' or blacks with four or more years of college ( 85 percent). ${ }^{+}$The labor force participation rate for black scientists and engineers has remained stable since 1976.

Unemployment rates for black scientists and engineers averaged about 2.7 percent in 1984, much higher than the 1.5 percent rate for white scientists and engineers. In comparison, blacks in the overall population experienced an unemployment rate of 16 percent, ${ }^{5}$ and black college graduates registered a 6.8 percent rate in 1984." The unemployment rate for black scientists and engıneers has fallen steadily since 1976 when it was 5.9 percent and 1982, when the rate was 4.7 percent. The unemployment rate for blach doctoral scientists and engineers was only 1.9 percent in 1983.

Unemployment rates differ by field among blachs. Those in the physical (5.6 percent) and social ( 3.8 percent) sciences experienced the highest unemploy ment rates $w$ hile blacks in the life sciences experienced a rate of only 1 percent in 1984. Among whites, tince rates ranged from 3.6 percent in somal science to 0.5 percent in computer specialties (appendix table 26).
Blacks are employed in non-S.E jubs more often than are whites. In 1984, the $S^{\prime}$ E employment rate for blacks was 81 percent compared to 87 percent for whites. This rate is lower for blacks across the physical, life, and social stiences, and psychology with the largest differcace exhitited in the social sciences ( 56 percent vs. 63 percent). In engineering, the rates for blacks and whites were equal at 93 percent. Largels resulting from above-average growth in fields where employment in S/E jobs is
traditionally lower, the SIE employment rate has declined substantially for blacks and somewhat for whites since 1976 when their rates were 92 percent and 91 percent, respectively. The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ employment rate among doctoral scientists and engineers was also lower for blacks than for whites-80. rcent versus 89 percent in 1983.

Black scientists and engineers experienced higher rates of underemployment than did white scientists and engineers: 6.6 percent compared to 2.5 percent in 1984. This higher rate primarily resulted from the high underemployment rates of blacks in science fields ( 9.3 percent vs. 4.3 percent for whites). Among science fields, black psychologists and social scientists registered the highest rates at 18 percent and 14 percent, respectively.
The underutilization rate for black scientists and engineers also exceeded that for whites: 9.1 percent and 3.9 percent, respectively, in 1984. At the doctoral level, the rate for blacks was twice that for whites. 5.1 percent versus 2.5 percent.
Black scientists and engineers earned annual salaries that were, on average, 87 percent ( $\$ 5,000$ less) of those of whites. In 1984, salaries were $\$ 32,500$ and $\$ 37,500$, respectively. Annual salaries for blacks were lower than those for whites across all science and engineering fields. The largest differential occurred in the field of environmental science, w luse salaries for blacks ( $\$ 31,600$ ) were about 81 percent of those for whites. In contrast, salaries for black computer specialists averaged 91 percent of those for whites. At the doctoral level, the overall differential in annual salary was smaller. Black doctoral scientists and engineers earned salaries averaging about $\$ 37,000$ pe: year in 1983about 92 percent (or $\$ 3,100$ less) of those for white scientists and engineers with doctoral degrees.
Salary differentials among recent science and engineering graduates are more pronounced than those reported for the overall S/E work force. In 1984, blacks who graduated with a science or engineering bachelor's degree in 1982 or 1983 reported salaies that wer about 70 percent of those earned by their white counterparts. Among engineering graduates, salaries for blacks and whites were essentially equal.

## ASIANS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

The employment characteristics of Asian scientists and engineers differ substantially from those of other racial minority groups. For example, Asians represent a higher fraction of the science and engineering work force than they do of the general U.S. population. Asian scientists and engineers are also more likely than members of other racial minorities to be non-U.S. citizens. In 1984, almost 29 percent of the Asian scientists and engineers did not hold U.S. citizenship. Among doctoral scientists and engineers, over one-third of the Asians were not U.S. citizens. In the overall U.S. population, about 40 percent of the Asians were not U.S. citizens.

## Employmient Levels and Trends

In 1984. almost 5 percent of all employed scientists and engineers (186.500) were Asian. In comparison, Asians represented less than 2 percent of the U.S. work force and 2.6 percent of those in professional occupations.' Since 1976, employment of Asian scientists and engineers has risen at a somewhat faster rate than that of whites-75 percent versus 70 percent. The rate has accelerated between 1982 and 1984 , with Asian employment increasing almost twice as fast as that of whites-39 percent versus 22 percent.

The representation of Asians among doctoral scientists and engineers is higher than their representation among all scientists and engineers. In 1983, more than 8 percent $(29,700)$ of employed doctoral scientists and engineers were Asian. Between 1973 and 1983 , employment of Asians rose at a faster rate than that of either whites or blacks. While employment for Asians rose almost 190 percent over the decade, employment of whites and blacks grew 62 percent and 142 percent, respectively.

## Field

Asians are far more apt to be in engineering than in the sciences. About 63 percent of the Asians, compared to 55 percent of the whites, were engineers in 1984. Almost one-half of the Asian engineers were in either electrical or civil engineering Among science fields, Asi-
ans were most likely to be in computer specialties nd least likely to be in psychology (figure 2-3). The index of dissimilarity between Asians and whites was 15 in 1984: i.e., 15 percent of the Asian scientists and engineers would have to change fieids to have a distribution similar to that of whites.

Between 1976 and 1984, employment of Asian engineers considerably outpaced that of Asian scientists-102 percent versus 43 percent. This pattern, however, was reversed between 1982 and 1984. Employment of scientists, driven partially by increases among computer specialists, rose 45 percent and employment of engineers was up 36 percent.

The field distribution of Asian doctoral scientists and engineers also differed from that of whites. Almost 65 percent of the Asians compared to 85 percent of the whites were employed in a science field in 1983. Across science fields, almost two-thirds of the Asians were either life or physical scientists. The index of dissimilarity between Asians and whites measured 15 in 1983. Since 1973, employment of Asians in engineering has risen more rapidly than their employment in science. Over the decade, these respective growth rates were 252 percent and 162 percent.

## Experience

The number of years of professional work experience does not differ greatly
between Asian and white scientists and engineers. Slightly more than 30 percent of both have less than 10 years of experience, while a higher fraction of the Asians (23 percent) than whites ( 15 percent) reported between 10 and 14 years of work experience in 1934.

Among doctoral scientists and engineers, Asianns are likely to $h$ 've fewer years of professional experience than whites. In 1983, almost 36 percent of the Asian doctoral scientists and engineers had fewer than 10 years of professional experience; for whites, this percentage was 26 percent.

## Career Patterns

The sectoral distributions of Asian and white scientists and engineers are similar. In 1984, reughly three-fifths of both groups were employed by industry. In addition, Asians ( 15 percent) and whites (13 percent) were about as likely to be employed by educational institutions.

Although they are employed in roughly equal proportions by sector, Asians and whites are not engaged in the same activities in these sectors. In 1984, about 20 percent of the Asians reported their primary work activity as management or administration. This proportion for whites was almost 29 percent.

The tenure status and academic rank of Asian scientists and engineers also differs from that of whites. Among doctoral scientists and engineers in four-

Figure 2.3. Field distributions of employed white and Asian scientists and engineers: 1984


[^5]year colleges and universities, Asians are less likely to be tenured than whites. In 1983, about 55 percent of the Asians held tenure, while 17 percent were not in tenure-track positions. Comparatively, 63 percent of the whites were tenured and only 15 percent were in non-tenure track jobs. Differences between Asians and whites were not large by academic rank. About 43 percent oí the Asians and 44 percent of the whites held full professorships. At the associate level, the percentages were 32 percent for Asian, and 30 percent for white, doctoral scientists and engineers

## Labor Market Indicators

Labor market conditions for Asian scientists and engineers generally are favorable. Asians are as likely as whites to be in the labo: force and to hoid S.E jobs. Asians are more likely than whites to be unemployed but less likely to be underemployed.
Anıong scientists and engineers, Asians had a labor force participution rate of 97 percent in 1984, similar to that for whites ( 96 percent). The participation rate for Asians in the U.S. population was 67 percent ${ }^{8}$ The participation rate for Asian scientists and engineers has fallon slightly frem 99 percent in 1976.

The unemployment rate for Asian scientists and engineers is higher than that for whites The respertive rates were 2.4 percent and 15 percent This higher unemployment rate results from above average rates among Asian mathematical and life scientists. Comparatively, the unemplovment rate for Asians in the general population was about 5 percent." The unemployment rate among Asian scientists and engineers has fluctuated substantially in the past eight years. In 1976, the Asian unemployment rate was only 1.5 percent but by 1982, it had doubled to 3.4 percent The unemployment rate among doctoral scientists and engineers who were Asian was 11 percent in 1983.

A higher proportion of Asian than of white scientists and engineers work in S/E jobs. In 1984, almost 91 percent of the Asians and 87 percent of the whites were in S/E jobs. By field, S/E employment rates ranged from 62 percent of the Asian social scientists to 97 percent of the Asian environmenial scientists. The

S'E employment rate for Asians virtually remained unchanged between 1976 and 1984. The S/E employment rate for Asian doctoral scientists and engineers was 91 percent in 1983.

Only 1.8 percent of the Asian scientists and engineers were underemployed in 1984. The rate for whites was 2.5 percent. The SIE underemployment rate varies by field. For example, Asian scientists exhibited a sute of 3.2 percent while the rate for Asian engineers was only 1 percent. At the doctoral level, the SiE underemployment rate for Asians was 1.1 percent compared to 1.5 tucent for whites in 1983.
S/E underutilization rates were similar for Asian and white scientists and engineers. In 1984, 4.1 percent of the Asians and 3.9 percent of the whites were underutilized. Field variation for Asians ranged from about 1 percent (social sciences) to 11 percent (mathematical sciences).

Average annual salaries for Asian scientists and engineers were above those for whites across most felds. In 1984, salaries for Asians were $\$ 38,200$ compared to $\$ 37,500$ for whites. The salary differential favored Asians by 1 to 8 percentage points in all fields except the physical sciences and ergineering. Only Asian physical scientists received average salaries appreciably lower $(\$ 1,100)$ than white physical scientists, salaries for Asian and white engineers essentially were equal. At the doctoral level, salaries for Asian and white scientists and engineers were virtually iden-tical-\$39,500 .nd \$39,800, respectively, in 1983.

## Native americans in science AND ENGINEERING

The employment characteristics and exp: iences of native American scientists and engineers are more similar to those of white than to those of other racial groups. Data for native Americans, however, should be viewed with some caution for two reasons. First, estimates for both scientists and engineers and for the overall U.S. labor force are based on self-reported data. An individual's perception of his or her native American heritage may change over time. Second, sample sizes for native Americans are very small, thus, statis-
tical reliability is lower for native American data than for data on some other groups. ${ }^{10}$

## Employment Levels and Trends

The representation of native Americans in science and engineering employment is similar to their representation in the U.S. labor force. In 1984, the 20,400 employed native Americans constituted 0.5 percent of he scie:ce and engineering work force, similar to their representation in professional and related fields and in the overall U.S. work force." Between 1982 (the earliest year in which data are available) and 1984, employment of native American scienti.ts and engineers rose more rapidly then the employment of whites: $: 1$ percent versus 22 percent.
There were very few narive Americans in the doctoral science and engineering work force. In 1983, only 418, or 0.1 percent, of the employed docioral scientists and engineers were native American, up from 141 (C. 1 percent) in 1973.

## Field

Native Americans are about as likely as whites to be scientists or engineers. In 1984, about 42 percent of the native Americans were scientists; among whites, scientists comprised 45 percent of the total. The fieid distribution of i. ! !ive Americans, however, differs somewhat from that of whites (figure 2-4). For example, across scientific fields, native Americans were most highly concentrated in the life sciences and psychology, while whites were most likely to be in the life sciences or computer specialties. Since 1982, the most rapid growth rates for native Americans have been in the mathematical and physical sciences.
At the doctoral level, native Americans are most often in psyrhology or the life and social sciences. In 1983, these fields accounted for almost 70 percent of the native Americans.

## Experience

Native American scientists and engineers report more years of professional experience than do white scientists and engineers. About 25 percent of the
native Americans compared to 31 percent of the whites reported having less than 10 years of experience in 1984. On the other hand, about 15 percent of the native Americans reported between 25 and 29 years of experience compared to 10 percent of the whites.

## Labor Market Indicatoss

Among scientists and engineers, rative Americans had slightly higher labor force participation rates but also higher unemployment rates than whites. In 1984, about 98 percent of the

Figure 2.4. Field distributions of employed white and native American scientists and engineers: 1984


SOURCE: Based on appendix table 2

## Career Patterns

The industrial sector employed a slightly smaller share of native American than white scientists and engineers. In 1984, about 60 percent of the native Americans and o3 percent of the whites were employed by this sector. Native Americans were also less likely than whites to be academically em-ployed- 8 percent versus 13 percent.

The prımary work activities of native Americans and whites differ. Among native American scientists and engineers, 37 percent reported management or administration as their primary work activity in 1984, compared to 29 percent for whites.

Among doctoral scientists and engineers employed in four-year colleges and universities, native Americans are much more likely to be tenured than whites. 82 percent versus 63 percent in 1983. Also in 1983, about 41 percent of the native Americans held full professorships compared to 46 percent of the whites.
native Americans participated in the labor force, up from 96 percent in 1982. In comparison, white scientists and engineers registered a rate of 96 percent in 1984. Among those in the labor force, 34 percent of the native Americans but only 1.5 percent of the whites were unemployed.

Rates related solely to science and engineering also differ between native Americans and whites. The S.E employment rate for native Americans was 78 percent compared to a rate of 87 percent for whites in 1984. However, differences become more evident when disaggregated by field. Among scientists, for example, native Americans had a rate of 64 percent and whites had a rate of almost 79 percent. In 1982, the S'E employment rate was 82 percent for native Americans and 88 percent for whites. The SE underemployment and S.E underutilization rates for native Americans were higher than those for whites. In 1984. unjeremployment among native Americans occurred at a rate of 2.9 percent.
versus 2.5 percent for whites. Similarly, the $S / E$ underutilization rate of 6.2 percent for native Americans was somewhat higher than the 3.9 percent rate for whites.

Although the above rates suggest that the labor market was not as favorable, relatively, for native Âmerican scientists and engineers, their average salaries were above those for whites. The average salary for native American scientists and engineers was $\$ 40,500$ in 1984 compared to $\$ 37,500$ for whites.

## HISPANICS I.N SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Hispanics are a diverse ethnic group. Distinguishing among Mexican Americans, Puerto Ricans, and other Hispanics is desirable because socioeconomic backgrounds and reasons for underrepresentation may differ among these groups. Because of data limitations, however, most of the discussion treats Hispanics as an aggregate. About 11 percent of the Hispanic scientists and engineers in 1984 were not U.S. citizens; for all scientists and engineers, the com.parable figure was about 3 percent. Among all Hispanics in the United States, about 20 percent were not U.S. citizens.

## Employment Level's and Trends

Hispanics are underrepresented in science and engineering. The almost 87,000 employed Hispanic scientists and engineers in 1984 represented about 2.2 percent of all employed scientists and engineers, the same proportion as in 1982. Almost 6 percent of all employed persons and 2.5 percent of those $i^{n}$ professional and related occupations were Hispanic in 1984. ${ }^{12}$

Almost 28 percent $(24,100)$ of the employed Hispanic scientists and engineers were Mexican American and 18 percent $(15,500)$ were Puerto Rican. The remaining 54 percent $(47,000)$ were "Other Hispanics" or did not report their specific Hispanic origins. ${ }^{13}$ In the total U.S. work force, about 46 percent of the Hispanics were Mexican American and only 7 percent were Puerto Rican. ${ }^{14}$

Hispanics also are underrepresented among doctoral scientists and engi-
neers. In 1983, the 5,400 Hispanir Ph.D.s represented about 1.5 percent of all doctoral scientists and engineers, up from 1,600 ( 0.7 percent) in 1973. Among Hispanic doctoral scientists and engineers, approximately 20 percent were not U.S. citizens in 1983, and an additional 20 percent were foreign born but held U.S. citizenship.

## Field

Only minor differences exist between the field distribution of Hispanic and all scientists and engineers the index of dissimilarity was 8 . In 1984, about 55 percent of both the Hispanics and the total were engineers (figure 2-5). Hispanic scientists are somewhat more likely to be social scientists. At the doctoral level, the field distribution of Hispanics is similar to that for all doctoral scientists and engineers (appendix table 5).

## Experience

Hispanics report significantly fewer years of professional experience than do all scientists and engineers. About 43 percent of the Hispanics reported fewer than 10 years of professional experience in 1984; among all scientists and engineers, the comparable figure was 31 percent. At the doctoral level, a higher proportion of Hispanics than all doctoral scientists and engineers, had fewer than 10 years of professional experience: 32 percent versus 26 percent in 1983.

## Career Patterns

Few differences existed in the sectoral distributions of Hispanic and all sciertists and engineers. In 1984, 59 percent of the Hispanics and 63 percent of all scientists and engineers whie in industry (appendix table 14). Hispanic scientists and engineers are slightly less likely than all scientists and engineers to report management or administration as their primary work activity ( 26 percent vs. 29 percent).

Within educational institutions, few differences occur between Hispanic and non-Hispanic doctoral scientists and engineers with respect to tenure status and professorial rank. In 1983, approximately 57 percent of the Hispanics and

62 percent of all scientists and engineers held tenure. About 95 percent of both Hispanic and all doctoral scientists and engineers in educational institutions held professorial rank in 1983. Hispanics, however, were less likely to hold full professorships than non-Hispanics ( 33 percent versus 46 percent).

## Labor Market Indicators

Hispanics are as likely as all scientists and engineers to be in the labor force (that is, working or seeking employment), and more likely to be unemployed. Hispanics are less likely than all scientists and engineers to hold jobs in science and engineering, and report higher levels of underemployment and underutilization.
In 1984, the labor force participation rate for Hispanic scientists and engineers was 96 percent, the same as that forall scientists and engineers. The participation of Hispanic scientists and engineers in the labor force is well above the 64 percent rate for the overall Hispanic population, ${ }^{15}$ as well as the 83 percent rate for Hispanic college graduates. ${ }^{13}$ Since 1982 (the earliest year in which data are available), the labor force participation rate for Hispanics has not changed.
In 1984, the unemployment rate for Hispanic scientists and engineers was higher than that for all scientists and engineers ( 2.1 percent vs. 1.6 percent).

The rate for Hispanics, however, has dropped since 1982 when it stood at 2.8 percent. At the doctoral level, unemployment rates for Hispanics also were similar to those for all doctoral scientists and engineers.
About 80 percent of the employed Hispanic scientists and engineers held jobs in science and engineering in 1984, down from 83 percent in 1982. In comparison, 87 percent of all scientists and engineers were engaged in S/E jobs in 1984. S/E employment rates for Hispanics varied between science and engineering and across fields of science. The rate for Hispanic scientists ( 68 percent) was well below the rate for all scientists (79 percent), primarily because relatively large numbers of Hispanic psychologists, social scientists, and computer specialists were working in nonS/E jobs. At the doctoral level, Hispanics reported an S/E employment rate of 87 percent, slightly below the rate reported for all doctoral scientists and engineers (89 percent).
Hispanic scientists and engineers, on average, experience a higher degree of underemployment than all scientists and engineers. In 1984, the underemployment rate for Hispanics was 4.2 percent, compared with 2.6 percent for all scientists and engineers. The relatively high rate for Hispanics reflects underemployment among scientists (8.1 percent) rather than engineers (1.1 percent). Among Hispanic scientists, rela-

Figure 2.5. Field distributions of employed scientists and engineers by Hispanic status: 1984
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tively large numbers of psychologists and life and social scientists reported they were underemployed. Atnong doctoral scientists and engineers, underemployment rates were slightly lower for Hispanic than for all scientists and engineers (1.1 percent vs. 1.5 percent).

Hispanics exp $\quad$ nce a greater degree of underutilizathus wasi do all scientists and enginesrs. In 1984, the underutilization rate for Hispanics was 6.3 percent compared with 4.1 percent for all scientists and engineers. For those holding doctorates, the underutilization rate reported by Hispanics was lower than that for all docioral scientists and engineers ( 2.0 percent vs. 2.5 percent).

Since Hispanics have fewer years of professional experience, it is not surprising that they report salaries that generally are below those earned by all scientists and engineers. Hispanic scientists and engineers reported an annual salary of \$33,100 in 1984, lower than the $\$ 37.400$ salary reported by all scie'tists and engineers. Salaries for Hispanics averaged 89 percent of those for all scientists and engineers, with substantial variation by field. Hispanic engineers earned 92 percent of the salaries earned by all engineers; for scientists, the comparable figure was 82 percent. By science field, the differential ranged from 94 percent among environmental and life scientists to 73 percent among social scientists. Salaries of Hispanics were below those for all scientists and engeneers across all experience ler els. Hispanic doctoral scientists and engineers earned approximately 96 percent of the salaries for all Ph.D. scientists and engineers ( $\$ 38,200$ vs. $\$ 39,700$ ) in 1983.

## PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

In 1984, about 92,000 scientists and engmeers, 2 percent, reported a physical handicap. ${ }^{17}$ Of these, about 23 percent reported an ambulatory handicap, 22 percent reported a visual handicap, and about 17 percent cited an auditory handicap. The remainder (about 38 percent) did not specify the nature of their handicap.

Approximately 75,000 scientists and engineers with physical handicaps were employed in 1984 . Their field distribution showed some differences from that
of all scientists and engineers (figure 2-5). Those with a handicap were aborit as likely to be scientists as engineers, and among scientists, more likely to be computer specialists or psychologists.
Those reporting a handicap are much more likely than all scientists and engineers to be out of the labor force; that is, not working and not seeking employment. Their labor force participation rate was 83 percent, compared to 96 percent for all scientists and engineers. About 30 percent of the physically
handicapped cited illness as the reason for not being in the labor force. Among all scientists and engineers, only 3 percent cited illness as their major reason for bein, outside the labor force.

Handicapped scientists and engineers reported an unemployment rate higher than that reported for the total ( 2.0 percent vs. 1.6 percent). Those employed are about as likely as all scientists and engineers to hold jobs in S/E fields-about 87 percent.

Figure 2-6. Fleld distributions of all employed sclentists and engineers and those with physical handicaps: 1984


ALL SCIENTISTE AND ENGINEERS
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SOURCES' Based on appendlx tables 187.
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## Education and Training

## INTRODUCTION

One major cause of the underrepresentation of women and minorities in science and engineering is the different patterns of participation they exhibit compared to men and the majority at ail educational levels. This chapter examines these differences at three levels: precollege, undergraduate, and graduace.

At the precollege level, there are many critical junctures where decisions regarding type of curriculum or type of coursework may enhance or impede potential careers in science or engineering. One of these critical junctures is at the junior high school level; i.e., grades 7 and 8. Students at this level begin to make decisions which ultimately affect the educational and vocational paths they will follow. For example, they choose which type of curriculum and thus, which type of cearsework, to pursue in high school. High school students who are enrolled in an academic curriculum tend to take more courses in science and mathematics than do other students. Students with more exposu:e to science and mathematics coursework generally have higher scores on achievement tests designed to measure quantitative ability. One of these tests, the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), is a significant factor for college admissions. A relatively lower score may inhibit a student from deciding to major in a science or engineering field at the undergraduate level. Evidence exists that women and minorities are not making the same decisions and therefore not participating in science and mathematics education at the same rate as are men and the majority at the precollege level.

At the und rg graduate and graduate levels, women and minorities are not participating in science and engineering fields to the same extent as are men and the majority. Patterns of degree production and postdocioral appointments may be used tc illustrate these differences In addition, women and mi-
norities who do participate do not appear to have the same educational experiences and opportunities as do men and the majority. Trends in type of graduate support and scores on the Graduate Record Examination (GRE) illuminate these disparities.

Scores on standardized tests measuring mathematics and science achievement are used in this chapter as indicators of different participation patterns. They are not used as indicators of inherent ability as they may also reflect a variety of social, demographic, and economic factors. ${ }^{1}$ For example, evidence exists that links student performance on standardized tests with family income; a disproportionate number of minority families are at lower economic levels.
The demographic mix of the population is changing resulting in a rate of influx for minorities at all educational levels much higher than that for whites. ${ }^{2}$ As such, the lower participation of minorities in science and engineering training becomes a more important issue.
In this chapter, information is presented first for women and then for racial and ethnic minorities. Among racial and ethnic minorities, data are presented for men and women wherever possible. Information on Hispanics is presented separately for Mexican Americans, Puerto Ricans, and Latin Americans, if available. Since data on the educational experiences in science and mathematics for physically handicapped persons are only available for a very limited number of variables, they are excluded from analysis in this chapter. Overviews of the major findings are presented at the beginning of each major section.

## WOMEN

## Overview

The performance of both males and females on tests measuring science and
mathematics achievement are similar at younger ages; by the mid-teens, however, males score higher than do females on these tests. This gap primarily results from differences in the types of coursework pursued at the secondary level. Even though males and females are equally inclined to be in academic programs, males enroll in more advanced science and mathematics courses than do females.
At the end of secondary school, females are not as likely as males to consider further training in quantitatively based fields. For example, among col-lege-bound seniors (those students who take the Scholastic Aptitude Test), females were not as prone to take the achievement tests offered in science and mathematics or specify a science or engineering field as their intended undergraduate major. Nonetheless, among freshmen who enter science and engineering programs, frmales are as academically able as ma!es. A substantial fraction of both sexes reports a high school grade point average in the " A " range.
Although women do not pursue science and engineering training to the same extent as do men, they have made significant strides. While the rate of growth in the number of women earning degrees in science and engineering has risen rapidly at all degree levels, the number of men earning these degrees has declined at the bachelor's and doctorate levels and risen slightly at the master's level. The increasing number of women earning science and engineering degrees partially reflects the substantial number who have earned these degrees in "non-traditional" fields; e.g., engineering and the physical sciences.

Although S/E degree production has risen, women do not have the same educational experiences as do men at the graduate level. For example, women who receive doctorates in science and engineering were less likely to receive university support and much more like-
ly to be self-supported than their male counterparts.

## Precollege Preparation

## Curriculum and Coursework

Slightly less than two-fifths of both male and female high school seniors were in academic programs. ${ }^{3}$ Students in academic programs tend to take more mathematics and science courses and achieve higher SAT scores than those in other programs. As such, they are better equipped to enter and succeed in S/E programs at the undergraduate level.
Male and female college-bound seniors (those who take the SAT) are more highly concentrated in academic programs than are all high school seniors. ${ }^{4}$ About four-fifths of college-bound males and females were in academic programs in 1984.
Males tend to take more mathematics courses than do females. ${ }^{5}$ Almost 47 percent of the males had enrolled in four ormore mathematics courses, compared to only about 36 percent of the females. Nonetheless, the average grade point average in mathematics for males was somewhat lower than that for females ( 2.18 vs. 2.35 , respectively, on a 4 -point scale). This lower average may reflect the types of courses taken. For example, while about the same proportions of males and females took Algebra I, Algebra II, and Geometry, males were more likely to have taken Trigonometry and Calculus (figure 3-1).
In the sciences, the number of courses taken by males and females is more similar than that reported in mathematics. About 25 percent of the males and 18 percent of the females had been in four or more science courses. Again the average grade point average for females (2.47) was higher than that for males (2.29). Types of courses selected differs substantially (figure 3-2). About the same proportions of males and females took Chemistry, but males were much more likely than females to have taken Physics and females were somewhat more likely than males to have taken both Biology and Advanced Biology.
Among college-bound seniors, differentials in coursetaking behavior narrow. The average number of years of mathematics taken by males was 3.8 in 1984 compared to 3.5 for females.

Figure 3-1. Mathematics coursetaking by sex'


Represents Individuals in 1982 who were sophomores in 1980 (High School and Beyond Survey, First Follow-up).
SOURCE. Appendix table 35.

Across sciences, males were more likely to have enrolled in physical science courses while females tended to be in biological science courses (appendix table 36).
Coursetaking differentials may be further explored by examining the number of high school mathematics and science courses completed by first-time college freshmen planning to major in a science and engineering field. ${ }^{6}$ In 1983, males whose probable major was science or engineering were somewhat more likely than females to have taken four or more years of mathematics ( 84 percent vs. 70 percent, respectively). With two exceptions, this differential persisted when further stratified by field. Females planning to major in either mathematics or engineering were as likely as males to have finished four or more years of mathematics in high school. Among those students choosing non-science and engineering fields, about 63 percent of the males and 53 percent of the females had taken mathematics for at least four years.

Freshmen males took substantially more years of physical science lasses in high school than did freshmen females. ${ }^{1}$ mong first-time freshmen, about 40 percent of the males who chose science
and engineering fields had taken at least three years of physical science compared to about 26 percent for females. This differential persisted across all science and engineering fields. For example, among males and females who were majoring in a physical science field, about the same proportion had taken at least three years of high school physical science courses- 37 percent versus 38 percent. However, 23 percent of the males compared to only 14 percent of the females had completed at least four years of high school coursework in this subject.

## Mathematics and Science Achievement

The National Assessment of Educational Progress is designed to assess the achievement levels of precollege students in a number of cognitive areas, including mathematics and science. The objective is to establish how specific groups of American students respond to exercises in different academic areas rather than to measure the performance level of individual students. The assessments are administered periodically to 9,13 , and 17 year olds.

Mathematics.' The overall results of the most recent NAEP mathematics as-

Figure 3-2. Science coursetaking by sex'

'Represents Individuals in 1982 who were sophomores in 1980 (High School and Bej ond Survey, First Follow-up)
SOURCE Appendix table 35
sessment are mixed. ${ }^{8}$ At the 9 year old level, females outperformed males by about one percentage point with the largest differential occurring on the knowledge component. At age 13, males and females received about the same overall scores, although males scored higher on the applications component and females outperformed males on the skills portion. Among 17 year olds, overall scores showed a nore than two point advantage for males. Since 1978, scores have risen significantly ${ }^{9}$ for females at ages 9 and 13 and for males at age 13 (appendix table 38).

Science. ${ }^{10}$ R 2 sults of the 1982 science assessment show that for 9 year olds, scores for males are slightly higher than those for females rejardless of component. ${ }^{11}$ This differential tends to widen at 13 and 17 year old levels. For example, at age 9 , the largest score difference was 2.6 points on the attitude component. At age 13, the greatest differential, 5.2 points, also occurred on the attitude portion. By age 17, a difference of 5.8 points was recorded on the content component. Scores have fluctuated at all age levels since 1977 (appendix table 39). Noteworthy changes include statistically significant declines among 17
year old males on the inquiry and content components, a significant score decrease among female 17 year olds on the inquiry component, and a significant increase on the attitude portion for 17 year old females.

## Characteristics of College-Bound Seniors

The College Board offers a series of national tests to college-bound high school seniors. These tests are not only important in college admissions decisions but may also provide further insights into the different participation patterns in science and engineering of women and minorities compared to those of men and the majority. The exams discussed in this section include (1) the SAT, (2) the SAT Achievement Test series, and (3) the Advanced Placement (AP) examinations.

Scholastic Aptitude Test. ${ }^{12}$ SAT scores for males remain higher than those for females on both the verbal and mathematics components (table 3-1). Over the last decade, changes in scores on the components of the SAT have exhibited similar patterns between males and females. After falling steadily dur-
ing the seventies, scores on both components leveled off or rose slightly during the eighties.
Between 1974 and 1984, verbal scores fell more for females (down 22 points) than for males (down 14 points). In 1984, males (433) scored 13 points higher than did females (420) on this component. Since 1981, verbal scores have leveled off for females and risen 3 points for males.

On the mathematics componert, scores for females fell slightly more than those for males, down 10 points versus 6 points, respectively, since 1974. However, the average score for males is substantially higher than that for females. In 1984, the score of 495 for males was 46 points higher than the score for females (449). Stemming a decline, scores for females have risen 6 points compared to a 3 point gain for males since 1981.

The percentile ranking in verbal scores indicate no differences in the proportions of males and females who score in the highest range (table 3-1). In 1984, 3 percent of both the males and females scored 650 or above. Unlike the verbal component, there are major differences in the percentile rankings between males and females on the mathematics component. In 1984, 12 percent of the males scored over 650 on the mathematics portion compared to 4 percent of the females. Since 1981, this proportion has ris $n$ slightly for males while it has remained unchanged for females.

Achievensent Test Scores. ${ }^{13}$ Males scored consistently higher than did females on each of the achievement tests in science and mathematics in 1984. The lowest score differential occurred on the Mathematics Level II test while the largest was on the Physics exam (figure 3-3). This general pattern has not changed since 1981.

Males who took one or more of the science and matnematics achievement tests also had higher SAT math aptitude test scores than comparable females. However, the SAT math scores for both males and females who took these achievement tests were higher than average. For example, the lowest SAT math score for both males and females occurred among those who took the Mathematics Level I test-583 and 539, respeciively. These scores are 80 to 90

Tabie 3-1. Schoiastic Aptitude Tast (SAT) scores by sex

| Year | Score |  |  | Percent Scoring Over 650 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Difference | Male | Female |
| VERBAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 447 | 442 | 5 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 1981 | 430 | 418 | 12 | 3 | 3 |
| 1984 | 433 | 420 | 13 | 3 | 3 |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 501 | 459 | 42 | $6^{\prime}$ | 11 |
| 1981 | 492 | 443 | 49 | 10 | 4 |
| 1984 | 495 | 449 | 46 | 12 | 4 |

'Data represents 700-800 point range. Not avalfable for 650-800 range
NOTE' Score range is 200 to 800
SOURCES Appendix tabie 40 and Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, NATIONAL COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, annual series
points hagher than the average SAT math scores for all males and females in 1984.
In 1984, roughly one-half of both SAT test-takers and achievement test-takers were female. ${ }^{14}$ The proportion falls slightly, to 45 percent, among achievement test-takers who took one or more of the science and mathematics exams. ${ }^{15}$ The proportion fiuctuates across type of science or mathematics exam-54 percent of the college-bound seniors taking the achievment lest in Biology were
female while only 19 percent of those taking the exam in Physics were female.

Advanced Placement Exam. ${ }^{16}$ The mean grade for males was higher than that for females on each of the eight science and mathematics exams. The highest average score for males, 3.5 ( $3=$ qualified), was on the Mechanical Physics exam while their lowest score, 3.0, was on the Geneial Physics test. Among females, the score range was 3.2 on the Math/Calculus BC test ${ }^{17}$ to 2.4 ( $2=$ pos-

Figure 3-3. Achievement test scores by sex: 1984

sibly qualified) on the Computer Science exam. The largest male-female differential ( 0.8 ) occurred on the Computer Science test (the mean score for males was 3.2 ) while the smallest differential ( 0.2 ) was on the Math/Calculus AB test ( 3.2 for males).
About the same number of males and females (approximately 90,000 ) took one or more placement exams in 1984. ${ }^{18}$ However, a significantly higher proportion of males than females took one or more of the exams in science and mathe-matics-43 percent and 26 percent, respectively. ${ }^{19}$
Intended Undergrãduate Major. Among college-tound seniors, females are $n u c h$ less likely than males to specify a science or engineering field as their probable undergraduate major. ${ }^{20}$ In 1984, 30 percent of the females compared to 50 percent of the males chose a science or engineering field (table 3-2). Primarily because of the increase in the proportion of both females and males choosing computer science, the proportions choosing an $S / E$ field have risen since 1981 from 27 percent and 47 percent, respectively.

Among science and engineering fields, more than two-fifths of the males specified engineering as their probable major and another one-quarter chose computer science. This distribution differeà for females. Over two-fifths chose to major in social science or psychology while an additional one-quarter specified computer science; only about oneeighth of the females chose engineering as their probable field of study at the undergraduate level.

SAT mathematics scores for males and females intending to major in a physical or biological science or engineering field were above the average for all college-bound seniors. Male scores, however, were consistently higher than female scores with the exception of prospective engineering majors where females scored higher than males, 558 vs. 549, in 1984.

## College Freshmen

The precollege experiences of students may be further examined by exploring the characteristics of college freshmen. ${ }^{21}$ These data indicate that students who intend to major in science or

Table 3-2. intended Undergraduate Major of coliege-bound seniors by sax

|  | 1981 |  | 1.84 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Field | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| TOTAL | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Science and Engineering | $47 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Science, total | $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Blological science | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Agriculture | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| C | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Matheuter science | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Physical science | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Psychology | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Social science | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Engineering | $22 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Non'science and engineering | $54 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: Detall may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE Appendix table 44 t
engineering fields at the undergraduate level are more academically prepared than students in non-science and engineering programs. Nonetheless, differences by gender continue to be evident for those students who have entered college and are majoring or intend to major in science or engineering programs.

Regardless of sex, freshmen students who chose to major in science or engineering fields are more academically prepared than are students choosing non-S/E ${ }_{14}$ elds. ${ }^{22}$ For example, 34 percent of the males and 41 percent of the females who chose science and engineering as their probable major reported a high school grade point average in the " $A$ " range in 1983. Among non-S/E majors, these proportions were 17 percent for males and 28 percent for females. These proportions have virtually remained unchanged since 1974.

There is wide variation in self-reported high school grade point averages among prospective science and engineering majors. A higher proportion of females than males, however, report an " $A$ " average regardless of field. Among major fields of science, the percentage reporting an " $A$ " average for females ranged from 29 percent for social science majors to 58 percent for mathematics majors. For males, the range was 23 percent (computer science) to 44 percent (physical science and mathematics). For probable engineering ma-
jors, 59 percent of the females and 38 percent of the males reported a high school grade point average in the "A" range (figure 3-4).

Males and females whose probable major is science or engineering differ in terms of their degree aspirations. Among freshmen S/E majors in 1983, the largest fraction of both males and females indicated that their highest degree planned was at the master's level38 percent (males) and 35 percent (females). A higher proportion of males (27 percent) than females ( 23 percent) expected the baccalaureate to be their highest degree. Females, however, were more likely than males to choose the doctorate (19 percent and 17 percent, respectively).

## Undergraduate Preparation

The Educational Testing Service offers a series of exams to potential graduate students. The Graduate Record Examination is taken by students who plan further study in the arts and sciences. Ostensibly used by graduate and professional schools io supplement undergraduate records, it may also be used to examine the undergraduate preparation

Figure 3-4. P.ircentage of col'ege freshmen who earned an " $A$ " average in high school by probable major and sex: 1983

of women and mincrities compared to that of men and the majority.

Graduate Record Examination. ${ }^{23}$ Males and females who rnajored in a ? -ience or engineering field at the undergraduate level earned higher scores than all male and female test-takers in 1984 (table 3-3). ${ }^{24}$ A much larger proportion of the men than women had majored in a science or engineering field at the undergraduate level-68 percent versus 45 percent. ${ }^{25}$

Among test-takers who majored in science and engineering at the undergraduate level in 1984, females scored slightly higher than did males on the verbal component, males scored substantially better on the quantitative portion, and slightly better on the analytical section. These differences generally persisted regardless of field although wide variation occurred (appendix table 47). For example, among engineering majors, women scored higher than men on both the verbal ( 507 vs. 463) and analytical ( 605 vs. 554) components while men scored higher than women ( 669 vs . 659) on the quantitative section.

Since 1979, scores for both men and women who majored in science and engineering have declined on the verbal component and increased on both the quantitative and analytical components (table 3-3). The largest change has occurred on the quantitative portion. Scores for men rose 27 points while those for women were up 20 points. This change for men reflects increases in the quantitative scores for those majoring in
the social, behavioral, and biologiral sciences. For women, the increase is attributable to very substantial gains among those who majored in engineering (up 56 points) and the biological sciences (up 28 points).

## Earned Degrees

Women continue to be underrepresented among graduates earning degrees in science and engineering. Although women represented about one-half of both total enrollment in higher education institutions ${ }^{26}$ and all degrees awarded, they accounted for 43 percent of all science and 12 percent of all engineering degrees (including advanceu' degrees) awarded in 1983. Nonetheless, there has been progress at all educational levels since 1970.

Bachelcr's Degrees. In 1983, almost 116,000 science and engineering bachelor's degrees were awarded to women, representing almost 38 percent of all S/E baccalaureates granted. In 1970, women earned 26 percent of the S/E bachelor's degrees. This proportional rise re presents an overall growth rate of 68 percent for women over the 13 -year period compared to a 2 percent decline for men.

Among science and engineering fields, women represented over cne-half ( 53 percent) of the degrees awarded in social science but only 13 percent of those granted in engineering. Despite their low representation, the number of women earn.ng engineering degrees has

Table 3.3. Graduate Record Examination (GRE) scores by sex and undergraduate major

| Score | 1979 |  | 1984 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| All Test-Takers |  |  |  |  |
| Verbal | 487 | 489 | 488 | 487 |
| Quantitative | 555 | 478 | 580 | 494 |
| Analytical | 508 | 499 | 533 | 515 |
| Science and Engineering majors |  |  |  |  |
| Verbal | 495 | 500 | 483 | 497 |
| Quantltative | 575 | 502 | 602 | 5 ?2 |
| Analytical | 515 | 515 | 545 | 535 |

NOTE: Scere range ls 200 to 800 .
SOUACE: Appendix tablo 47.
increased significantly from 338 in 1970 to 9,719 in 1983. In addition to engineering, the number of women earning degrees in the physical sciences (up 118 percent) and the life sciences (up 124 percent) have increased sharply.
Advanced Degrees. The pattern of change at the bachelor's degree level is mirrored at both the master's and doctorate degree levels. In 1983, women earned more than 17,000 (29 percent) master's degrees in science and engineering. Over the 1970-83 period, the number of master's degrees awarded to women increased 99 percent.
The largest relative increases occurred in engine, ring and the social sciences. The number of engineering degrees awarded to women increased almest 1,000 percent between 1970 and 1983, increasing their share of master's degrees in this field to almost 10 percent $(1,900)$. During the same period, the number of men earuing master's degrees in engineering rose from 15,400 to 17,800 . In the social sciences, women accounted for 50 percent of the degrees awarded in 1983 and registered an overall growth rate of 126 percent since 1970.

The number of S/E doctorates a varded to women in 1984 was 4,568 , or about 25 percent of the total. Between 1970 and 1984, the increase in the number of women earning S/E doctorates was 181 percent. In contrast, the number of men who earned S/E doctorates fell 19 percent. For women, above average growth r tes were exhibited in engineering and the social sciences. In 1984, women earned 41 percent of the doctorates awarded in the social sciences, but 5 percent of those granted in engineering.

## Graduate Degree Attainment Rates

Additional evidence of the significant gains made by worsen at all educational levels may be inferred by examining graduate degree attainment rates; i.e., the propensity of men and women to complete graduate degrees. Graduate degree attainment rates are dofined as S/E master's degrees expressed aз a percent of S/E bachelor's degrees awarded two years earlier and S/E doctorate degrees expressed as a percent of S/E bach-
elor's degrees awarded seven years earlier.

At the S/E master's level, the graduate degree attainment rate for wornen is lower than that for men, although the difference has narrowed slightly since 1972. In 1983, the rate for women was 15.8 percent, up from 13.9 percent in 1972. For men, it was 22.4 percent, virtually unchanged from 1972. Underlying this change in the attainment rate for women is above average growth, especially at the bachelor's level, in the number of women earning degrees in the physical and life sciences.
At the S/E doctorate level, the completion rates for both men and women have declined with that of men falling much more sharply. In 1984, about 4.7 percent of the women earned an S/E doctorate seven years after the baccalaureate com-p-red to a rate of 7.1 percent for men. In 1972, the respective rates were 5.8 percent and 13.1 percent.

## Graduate Support Status

For those who received a doctorate in a science or engineering field in 1984, both men and women reported universities as their primary source of support more often than other sources (figure 3-5). A substantially larger share of men than women, however, reported this source of support-54 percent versus 42 percent. ${ }^{27}$ Sources of support for graduate education may illuminate potential areas of disparity between men and women; that is, the amount and type of support received may act to stimulate or inhibit further study in an S/E field.

Although a substantial number of both men and women receive university support, differences exist in the actual type of support. Among the women receiving university assistance, a slightly higher proportion held research ( 46 percent) rather than teaching ( 40 percent) assistantships. In comparison, mer were much more likely to hold research ( 58 percent) rather than teaching ( 33 percent) assistantships.

On a field-specific basis, differences in the type of assistantship reported narrow (appendix table 53). For example, of those receiving degrees in the physical sciences, men ( 66 percent) were only slightly more likely than women ( 62 percent) to hold research assistantships. In comparison, one-half of both men

and women receiving social science and psychology degrees held teaching assistantships. In 1984, women who had received university support were twice as likely as men to have earned their S/E doctorates in either psychology or the social sciences ( 40 percent vs. 19 percent). Thus, overall differences in type of support primarily reflects differences in field distributions.

## Postdoctoral Appointments

An indication of the increasing number of women earning doctorates in science and engineering is the rising number of women holding postdoctoral appointments in science and engineering. In 1983, almost 3,100 women held these appointments, up from less than 900 in 1973. This increase raised the proportion of women holding such appointments from 15 percent to almost 28 percent of the total appointments in 1983.

In 1983, women accounted for about 29 percent of the postdoctoral appointments in science but the 27 women who held postdoctorates in engineering represented only 8 percent of all the engineering postdoctorates. Among science fields, women were most highly represented in psychology and the life sciences (table 3-4).

The field distribution among those holding postdoctoral appointments differs considerably betwean men and women (table 3-4). Almost 73 percent of the women compared to 59 percent of the men were in the life sciences. An additional 21 percent of the men held postdoctorates in the physical sciences while only 9 percent of the women held such appointments. Finally, women were more likely than men to hold appointments in the social sciences and psychology: 15 percent versus 11 percent.
A study by the National Academy of Sciences ${ }^{28}$ reported that men and women take postdoctoral appointments primarily to gain research experience. Other reasons cited include (1) the opportunity to work with a particular scientist or research group; (2) the chance to transfer to different fields; and (3) the inability to secure employment. Very few men and women reported the final reason as their major incentive for taking these appointments.

## RACIAL MINORITIES

## Overview

Curriculum choice and placement influences both the number and type of mathematics and science courses taken

Table 3-4. Sclence and engineering postdoctoral appointments by sex: 1983

| Fleld | Men | Women | Women as a <br> $\%$ of total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All science and engineering | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sclence | $96 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Physical science | $21 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Mathematical science | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Computer specialties | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Environmental science | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Llfe science | $59 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Psychology | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Social science | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Engineering | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

NOTE: Detall will not add to totals be eause of rounding.
SOURCE: Based on appendix table 55.
in high school. On average, whites are more likely than blacks and other racial groups to report an academic track. Types of mathematics and science coursework differ significantly across racial groups. For example, Asians are more likely than other groups to take advanced mathematics courses such as Calculus.

Different coursetaking patterns are reflected in scores on standardized tests, especially in mathematics. Blacks and native Americans score lower than do whites on both the verbal and mathematics component of the SAT. AsianAmericans score lower than do whites on the verbal component, but higher on the mathematics section. Because quantitative skills are requisite to subsequent S/E training, it is not surprising that a much higher proportion of Asian $\cdot f$ merican college-bound seniors than either white, black, or native American ser: iors choose science and engineering as their probable field of study at the undergraduate level.
Blacks, Asians, and native Americans earn a small fraction of the degrees awarded in science and engineering. The fractions are disproportionately low for blacks and native Americans when compared with more comprehensive statistics, such as undergraduate and graduate enrollments. The representation of Asians among those who earn $S_{\prime} / E$ degrees is higher than their representation in overall enrollment patterns.

Among those earning doctoral degrees in S/E fields, blacks and native

Americans are less likely than whites or Asians to receive financial support from a university. Of those receiving university support, blacks are more likely than other groups to hold teaching rather than research assistantships.

## Precollege Preparation

## Curriculum and Coursework

Whites are more likely than blacks to be in an academic curriculum. Among high school seniors, two-fifths of the whites compared to about one-third of the blacks were in academic program.s.
Among college-bound seniors, whices were substantially more likely than blacks and native Americans and s,mewhat more likely than Asians to report an academic track. About four-fifths of the whites, three-quarters of the Asians, and only about two-thirds of the blacks and native Americans were in academic programs. Curriculum differences are small when futher stratified by sex (appendix table 33).
Blacks and Asians took more years of mathematics in high school than did either whites or native Amerıcans. Twothirds of the Asians, almost one-half of the blacks, and approximately two-fifths of both the whites and native Americans had enrolled in four or more math courses in high school. The grade point average in math, however, was much lower for blacks (1.98) than it was for either Asians (2.6), whites (2.34), or native Americans (2.19).

Although blacks and Asians took more years of mathematics coursework than did whites and native Americans, the types of mathematics courses taken differed significantly by racial group. For exan rie, Asians were much more likely than all other groups to have taken advanced mathematics courses. This difference in coursetaking is highlighted in Calculus. Almost 20 percent of the Asians had attempted a Calculus course, compared to 8 percent of the whites and 4 percent of both the blacks and native Americans. Blacks and native Americans also were not as likely as whites and, especially, Asians to have taken Algebra I, Algebra II, Geometry, or Trigonometry (table 3-5).
Types of science courses taken differ by race. Asians enroll in more courses than other groups. More than 35 percent of the Asians had taken four or mure science courses while 23 percent of the whites and about 19 percent of the blacks and native Americans had done so. The pattern in grade point average in science is similar to that in mathematics: Asians (2.69) report the highest grade point average while blacks (2.08) show the lowest.

Participation in advanced science courses is greater for Asians than for other groups ( $t$.ule 3-5). For example, almost three-fifths of the Asians had attempted a course in Chemistry while only two-fifths of the whites, less than ore-third of the blacks, and about onequarter of the native Americans took coursework in this subject. General physical sciences are the only courses where Asian participation was less than that of other groups, more than twothirds of the other groups had taken this coursework while only about one-half of the Asians had done so.
Among college-bound seniors, coursetaking differentials narrow. In mathematics, the average number of years ranged from 3.4 for blacks to 3.9 for Asian-Americans in 1984. Differences in the average number of years in the physical sciences ${ }^{29}$ range from 1.7 (blacks) to 2.1 (Asians) while almost no difference exists in the average number of years in the biological sciences. Regardless of race, males generally take more years of mathematics and the physical sciences, but about the same number of years of the biological sci-

Table 3.5. Mathematics and science coursetaking by race'

| Cra.rsework | White | Black | Asian | Native <br> American |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |
| Algebra I | $71 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Geometiy | $60 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| A:gebra II | $38 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Trigonometry | $26 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Calculus | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| SCiSNCE |  |  |  |  |
| Physical science | $67 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Biology | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Adv. Biology | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Chemistry | $39 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Chemistry II | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Physics | $20 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Physics II | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

'Represents individuals in 1982 who were sophomores in high sehool in 1900 (High School and Beyond. First Follow-up). SOURCE Appencix table 35
ences as do females (appendix table 36).
Further examination of the science and mathematics coursetaking experiences of college freshmen who major in S/E fields reveals a similar pattern. In mathematics, a significantly smaller percentage of blacks ( 61 percent) and native Americans ( 58 percent) had completed four or more years of mathematics coursework in high school than either whites ( 80 percent) or Asians ( 84 percent) in 1983. Differentials, Nowever, narrow considerably for courses in physical and biological science. In the physical sciences, between 56 percent and 68 percent of all the racial groups had taken one to two years of coursework in this subject. In the biological sciences, at least 80 percent to 90 percent had taken one to two years of coursework.

## Mathematics and Science Achievement

The results of the latest National Assessment of Educational Progress mathematics assessment show that blacks continue to score well below their white counterparts. ${ }^{30}$ At age 9 , the difference was 14 percentage points; at age 13 , the gap was 15 points; and by age 17 , the difference had increased to 18 points. Due to gains made by blacks at all age levels, the differentials have narrowed since 1978 when they were 15,18 , and 20 points. respectively The most signifi-
cant increases were made by black 13 year olds. They registered statistically significant ${ }^{31}$ increases on all components, with the largest gain being eight points on the knowledge portion of the assessment; the comparable change for whites was 3.9 percentage points (appendix table 38).

On the latest National Assessment of Educational Progress science assessment, the available data are disaggregated by sex between whites and blacks to permit additional analysis. ${ }^{32}$ White males and females generally score higher than black males and females at all age levels (appendix table 39). The only exceptions are the performance of black males and females at ages 13 and 17 on the attitude component. On this component, blacks scored between 1 and 10 percentage points higher than did whites in 1982. Between 1977 and 1982, changes in the scores for blacks were not statistically significant at any age level regardless of component. Scores for whites, however, declined significantly in some cases. For example, there was a significant fall in the scores on the attitude section at age 13.

## Characteristics of College-Bound Seniors

Scholastic Aptitude Test. Blacks and native Americans sccred lower than whites on both the verbal and mathe-
matics components of the SAT in 1984 (figure 3-6). However, since 1976, scores for whites have fallen, while those for blacks and native Americans have increasfd or remained stable, thus narrowing the score gap. Asian-Americans scored lower than did whites on the verbal component but higher on the mathematics section. Since 1976, AsianAmerican scores have fallen on the verbal section and remained virtually unchanged on the mathematics component.

About one million college-bound senioss took the SAT in 1984. Blacks $(80,700)$ accounted for 9.1 percent of these seniors while Asian-Americans $(40,000)$ and native Americans $(4,600)$ represented 4.5 percent and 0.5 percent, repectively. ${ }^{33}$

On the verbal component, blacks scored the lowest among the racial groups: 342 or 103 points lower than whites (445) in 1984. This differential has fallen from 119 points in 1976 and 110 points in 1981. Verbal scores for Asian-Americans and native Americans were 398 and 390 , respectively, in 1984.

On the mathematics component, blacks (373) scored 114 points lower than whites (487) while native Americans scored (427) 60 points lower. Since 1976, these differences d $\epsilon$ clined from 139 and 73 points, respectively. AsianAmericans scored 32 points (519) higher than did whites in 1984; this difference has increased from 25 points in 1976.

On the verbal component, percentile rankings show that while 3 percent of the whites scored 650 or niore, .03 percent of the blacks, and only 1 percent of the native Americans did so in 1984. Among Asian-Americans, 3 percent scored in the 650 to 800 range. Percentile rankings on the mathematics somponent show that 1 percent of the blacks, 4 percent of the native Americans, 10 percent of the whites, and 19 percent of the Asian-Americans sccred over 650. Among all college-bound seniors who scored 650 or better on this component, almost 8.4 percent were Asian-American; Asian-Americans accounted for 4.5 percent of all collegebound seniors in 1984.

Regardless of race, males scored higher than females on both components of the $5 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{~T}$. However, the differential varies

by race and across components. On the verbal portion in 1984, the largest gap (20 points) occurred between natıe American males and females while there was only a 5 -point difference between Asian-American males and females. On the math portion of the exam, a 27 -point difference occurred between black males and females while among whites, Asian-Americ: ns , and native Americans, the differences ranged from 44 and 47 points.
Achievement Test Scores. AsianAmericans scored higher than either blacks or native Americans on all five of the science and mathematics achievemont tests in 1984 (table 3-6). In addition, their scores were higher than those of whites on both the Mathematics tests and the Chemistry test and about the same on the Biclogy and Physics exams

SAT mathematics test scores also were consistently higher for Asian-Ameritans who had taken achievement tests in science or mathematics. For example, the SAT math score for Asia i-Amer-

NOTE. Score range is 200 to 800
SOURCE: Appendix table 42.
icans who had taken the achievement test in Mathematics Level I was 578; comparable figures fo: whites, blacks, and native Americans were 567, 482, and 522 , respectively.
Among those who take achievement tests, a higher proportion of AsianAmericans than other groups take one or more of the science and mathematics tests. In 1984, more than 54 percent of the Asians, compared to about 48 percent of the whites, blacks, and native Americans took one or more of the tests offered in these subjects.

Advanced Placement Exam. In goneral, Asian-Americans scored higher on the science and mathematics AP exams than whites, native Americans, and blacks, respectively (appendix table 43). By science and mathematics test, the highest score for Asian-Americans was a 3.6 on the Math/Calculus BC test while the lowest was 3.0 on the Computer Science Test. For whites, the score range was 3.4 (Mechanical Physics) to 2.9 (General Physics). Native American scores fell between 3.4 on the Math/Calculus test to 2.3 on the Chemistry exam. Blacks scored the highest (2.5) on the Electrical and Magnetic Physics test and lowest (2.3) on the Chemistry test.

The number of candidates taking AP exams from racial minority groups is small. In 1984, about 4,500 blacks ( 2.5 percent of the total), 11,400 AsianAmericans ( 6.5 percent), and only 440 native Americans ( 0.2 percent) took one o: more of these exams. ${ }^{34}$ Among those who took one or more AP exams, about one-fifth of the native Americans, onethird of both the whites and blacks, and more than one-half of the Asian-Amer-

Table 3-6. Achievement test scores by race: 1984

| Subject | White | Black | Asian- <br> American | Native <br> American |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Level I | 546 | 401 | 566 | 507 |
| Mathematics Level II | 661 | 577 | 674 | 614 |
| Chemistry | 575 | 505 | 586 | 524 |
| Biology | 553 | 481 | 556 | 521 |
| Physics | 600 | 511 | 599 | 574 |

icans took one or more of the exams offered in science and mathematics in 1984.

Intended Undergraduate Major. Primarily because of the large fraction who choose engineering, a much higher proportion of Asian-American collegebound seniors than either white, black, or native American seniors select science and engineering as their probable field of study at the undergraduate level (figure 3-7). In 1984, about 47 percent of the Asian-Americans indicated they would major in science or engineering. Among the other racial groups, blacks (41 percent) were more likely than either whites ( 39 percent) or native Americans ( 40 percent) to specify an S/E field.

The proportion of students who intend to major in science and engineering has risen for all racial groups since 1981. Driving this increase is the rising proportion intending to major in computer science. In 1984, more than 9 percent of the whites (up 4 percentage points), 16 percent of the blacks (up 7 percentage points), 13 percent of the Asian-Americans (up 3 points), and 11 percent of the native Americans (up 5 points) chose this field as their intended undergraduate major.

SAT mathematics scores for collegebound seniors who intend to major in either the physical or biological sciences, mathematics, or engineering generally are higher than the overall averages regardless of racial group. With the exception of whites, however, scores fo: those who intend to major in a social or computer science field are at or below the average for all college-bound seniors. For example, among prospective computer science majors in 1984, SAT math scores were 360 for blacks (vs. 373 overall), 518 for Asian-Americans (vs. 519), and 423 for native Americans (vs. 427).

## College Freshmen

College freshmen who choose to major in science and engineering achieved higher grade point averages in high school than did those choosing other fields. This differential varied by racial group (figure 3-8). Among those freshmen choosing science and engineering fields in 1983. Asians were much more likely than whites, blacks, or native

Figure 3.7. Intended undergraduate major by race: 1984


NOTE Out of a possibie 29 choices for college major, seven are in science and one is in angineering. SOURCE: Appendix table 442

Americans to have earned an " $A$ " average in secondary school.

The proportion of college freshmen who earned " $A$ " averages in high school varied by field of science and engineering and racial group. Among whites, for example. a larger fraction ( 53 percent) of those who planned to major in mathematics than those who planned majors
in other S/E fields had earned an " $A$ " average. For blacks, Asians, and native Americans, the highest proportions of " A 's" were earned by physical science majors- 32 percent, 68 percent, and 50 percent, respectively.
The degree aspirations of freshmen planning to pursue a science or engineering curriculum also differ by racial

Figure 3.8. Percentage of college freshmen who earned an " $A$ " average in high schuol by probable major and race: 1983


SDURCE: Appendix table 45.
groups. More than one-quarter of the 1983 Asian freshmen compared to less than one-fifth of the freshmen in the other racial groups planned to study for a doctoral degree. The largest fraction of each group, however, planned to earn a master's degree-whites ( 37 percent). blacks ( 35 percent), Asians ( 33 percent), and native Americans ( 20 percent).

## Undergraduate Preparation

Graduate Record Examination. Those who majored in science and engineering fields at the undergraduate level scored higher than all GRE test-takers combined regardless of racial group (figure 3-9). Among those who majored in science and engineering, whites srared higher than blacks, Asians, or native Americans on the verbal and analytical components; Asians generally scored higher than other racial groups on the quantitative section of the aptitude exam. This pattern has persisted since 1979.

Black and Asian test-takers who majored in science and engineering repre. sented a larger fraction of all test-takers in science and engineering than of all test-takers combined. ${ }^{35}$ In 1984, blacks represented 6 percent $(4,800)$ while Asians accounted for 2.7 percent $(2,200)$ of the test-takers who had ma;ored in science and engineering fields. Less than 1 percent ( 500 ) of the test-takers from these fields were native American.
Across all racial groups, those who majored in the physical sciences or in engineering scored higiest on all components, while those who majored in the social sciences scored consistently lower. Among science and engineering fields, blacks scored consistently lower on all components than did whites, Asians, or native Americans (appendix table 47).
The greatest variation in scores occurred on the quantitative component. In 1984, Asians who majored in science and engineering recorded a quantitative score of 625, with those who majored in engineering (679) earning the highest score. In contrast, blacks who majored in science and engineering registered a score of 394 while those who majored in engineering score, ${ }^{\prime} 63$.
Beiween 1972 and 1984, scores on all GRE c. mponents roje for those who ma-
jored in science and engineering regardless of racial group. The langest increases occurred on the quantitative and analytical sections. On the quantitative component, Asian scores (up 33 points) increased more than scores for whites (up 19 points), blacks ( 19 points), or native Americans ( 24 points). On the analytical component, the increase ranged from 41 points for blacks to 25 points among whites.

## Earned Degrees

Blacks, Asians, and native Americans earn a small fraction of the degrees in science and engineering. This fraction is
disproportionately low for blacks and native Americans when compared with morı comprehensive statistics. In 1983, blacks earned 5.5 percent $(16,799)$ of the S.'E bachelor's degrees, 3.8 percent $(1,823)$ of the S/E master's degrees, and only 2.2 percent (305) of the doctorates in science and engineering. Blacks, however, accounted for 10 percent of overall undergraduate enrollment and 5 percent of all graduate enrollments. ${ }^{36}$ Native Americans earned $1,065 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{E}$ baccalaureates ( 0.4 percent of the total). 157 S/E master's degrees ( 0.3 percent), and $28 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{E}$ doctoral degrees ( 0.2 percent) in 1983. In comparison, native Americans accounted for 0.7 percent of all under-

Figure 3-9. Graduate Record Examination (GRE) scores by race: 1984

those who majured in sie


NOTE: Score range is 200 to 800 SOURCE: Appendix table 47.
graduate enrollments and 0.4 percent of the graduate enrollments. Since 1979, there has been little change in the proportions of blacks and native Americans earning science and engineering degrees at all levels.

Asian representation is higher among those who receive science and engineering degrees than among overall enrollments. In 1983, Asians earned 3.3 percent $(10,150)$ of the $S / E$ bachelor's degrees, 6.1 percent $(2,901)$ of the S/E master's degrees, and about 5.7 percent (771) of the S/E doclorates. In contrast, they represented 2 percent of both undergraduate and graduate enrollments. Since 1979, the proportion of S/E degrees earned by Asians has increased at the bachelor's and master's degree levels and fallen at the doctoral level.

Bachelor's Degrees. Field distributions of baccalaureates differ substantially by race. Blacks ( 89 percent) and native Americans ( 84 percent), for example, are more likely to earn their degrees in science fields than are whites (79 percent) or Acians ( 67 percent). Within the science fields, more than four-iffths of the blacks earn degrees in one of three fields: psychology or the life and social sciences. Native Americans also earn most of their degrees in these three fields. More than one-third of the whites who major in science earn their degrees in the social sciences while another one-quarter earn life science degrees. Asians are more evenly distributed across the science fields; the largest fraction (about 28 percent) earn degrees in life science. This fraction is somewhat less than the 33 percent who earn engineering degrees.

Advanced Degrees. Asians continue to be far more likely than other racial groups to major in engineering at the master's degree and doctorate levels, while blacks are more highly concentrated in the social sciences and psychology. At the master's level, almost 51 percent of the Asians earned engineering degrees and 54 percent of the blacks earned social science or psychology degrees. Among whites, about 25 percent earned degrees in engineering and another 35 percent earned social science or psychology degrees. The degree distribution of native Americans showed almost one-half earning mastes's de-
grees in either the life sciences or psychology.
At the doctorate level, the fic 1 d of psychology accounted for the largest fraction of the blacks ( 37 percent) and the native Americans ( 32 percent). Whites most often earned their degrees in the life sciences ( 30 percent). Again, engineering accounted for a larger fraction ( 32 percent) of Asians earning $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ doctorate degrees than science fields.

## Graduate Support Status

Among those who received doctorates in science and engineering in 1984, all racial groups cited universities most frequently as the primary source of support but to differing degrees (appendix table 54). The level and type of support received for graduate education may reflect disparities among racial groups. More than one-half of the whites and Asians reported receiving university support, compared to less than twofifths and one-quarter, respectively, of the blacks and native Americans. ${ }^{3}$ Other frequently ciced sources of support were "Federal" and "self." Native Americans ( 52 percent) were much more likely to cite self-support than either whites ( 29 percent), blacks ( 29 percent), or Asians ( 23 percent).
Of those receiving university support, with the exception of blacks, most reported holding research assistantships rather than teaching assistantships (table 3-7). While almost 59 percent of the Asians, 55 percent of the whites, and about 42 percent of the native Americans held research assistantships in 1984, only 28 percent of the blacks held
these positions. This lower propensity among blacks partially may reflect differing field distributions. For example, blacks were more highly concentrated in the fields of social science and psychology where teaching assistantships are more often awarded. Mcre than three-fifths of the blacks earned their degrees in these fields, compared with almost one-half of the native Americans, two-fifths of the whites, and about onesixth of the Asians.

## Postdoctoral Appointments

Very few minorities hold postdoctoral appointments in science and engineering although their nembers have increased: between 1973 and 1983, the number of blacks holding S/E postdoctorates increased from 28 to 215. During the same time period, Asians holding postdoctoral appoinuments almost doubled from 658 to 1,175 . Eleven native Americans held postdoctoral appointments in 1983, up from none in 1973. In 1983, blacks, Asians, and native Americans accounted for 2 percent, 11 percent, and 0.1 percent, respectively, of the total number of appointments.
Field distributions differ among racial groups. With the exception of Asians, almost all postdoctoral holders were in the sciences in 1983 . For whites, almost 65 percent were in the life sciences and another 17 percent were in the physical sciences. Blacks were concentrated most highly in the social (32 percent) and physical ( 32 percent) sciences. Nearly 57 percent of the Asians were in the life sciences and about 17 percent were in engineering. Although

Table 3.7. Proportion of doctorate reciplents recelving graduate support from unlversitles by type of suppori and race: 1884

| Type of support | White | Black | Astan | Native <br> Amcican |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universitles, total <br> Fellowship | $51 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Teaching <br> Assistantship | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Research <br> Assistantship | $28 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

[^6]very few native Americans hold postdoctoral appointments, most are in the life sciences.

## HISPANICS

## Overview

Hispanics score lower than all groups combined on tests of science and mathematics achievement with the widest differential occurring at the secondary school level. Contributing factors to this lower performance are that Hispanics are not enrolling in academic prog.ams or taking as many science and mathematics courses in high school as are all students.
Hispanics score lower than all col-lege-bound seniors on the SAT. However, while the average scores for all students have fallen in the last decade, those for Hispanics have either risen slightly or remained stable. About the same proportion of Hispanics as of all college-bound seniors specifies a science or engineering field as their probable undergraduate major. Hispanics, however, are not as likel $j^{\prime}$ as all students to take either achievement tests or AP exams in science and mathematics.
Hispanics are underrepresented among those granted degrees in science and engineering; this underrepresentation is more pronounced at advanced degree levels. Nonetheless, among those who do earn S/E degrees, Hispanics are more apt to earn degrees in the social sciences and psychology.

## Precollege Preparation

Curriculum and Coursework
Hispanics were not as likely as all high school seniors to be in an academic curriculum. Slightly more than onequarter of the Hispanic high school seniors compared to two-fifths of all seniors were on an academic track. ${ }^{38}$ Among college-bound seniors, Hispanics also were less likely than the tutal to be on an academic track. In 1984, almost 78 percent of all college-bound seniors, but only 68 percent of the Mexican Americans and 64 percent of the Puerto Ricans reported being in an academic curriculum. When disaggregated by sex, Mexican American and Puerto Rican females were somewhat less likely than
their male counterparts to report an academic program.

Hispanics were not as likely to have taken as many mathematics courses as did other high school students. About 36 percent of the Hispanics compared to 41 percent of all students had taken four or more mathematics courses in high school. The average grade point average in these courses also was lower for Hispanics: 2.04 versus 2.27 . Hispanics do not take the same types of mathematics courses as all high school students (figure 3-10). For example, while 54 percent of the total had taken Geometry, only 40 percent of the Hispanics had done so.

Differences also exist in the number of science courses taken in high school, Slightly more than 21 percent of the total compared to 15 percent of the Hispanics had enrolled in four or more science courses. The differential in grade point average was larger in science than mathematics: 2.38 for all students and 2.07 for Hispanics. Types of science courses taken also differ between all students and Hispanics (figure 3-11). For example, over one-third of all students compared to about one-quarter of the Hispanic students had taken Chemistry.
Differences in coursetaking behavior are evident among college-bound sen-
iors. In 1984, the average number of years of mathematics was 3.7 for all col-lege-bound seniors compared to 3.4 years for both Mexican Americans and Puerto Ricans. The average number of years of physical science courses also was higher for all college-bound seniors (1.9) than for Mexican American (1.5) or Puerto Rican (1.7) college-bound seniors. Little difference exists in the number of years of biological science coursework, averaging around 1.4 years for all three cohorts. When examined by sex, Mexican American and Puerto Rican males take more mathematics and physical science courses and about the saine amount of biological science coursework compared to their female counterparts.
Hispanic college freshmen are less likely to have taken as many years of mathematics in high school as all freshmen. They do, however, take about as many years of science coursework. For Hispanic freshmen in 1983, about 69 percent had taken four or more years of mathematics in high school compared to 78 percent of all freshmen. In the sciences, a larger fraction of the Hispanics ( 67 percent) than the total ( 61 percent) had taken one or two years of coursework in the physical sciences; in

Figure 3-10. Mathematics coursetaking by ethnic status'

'Represents individuals in 1982 who were sophomores in $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ (High School and Beyond Survey, First Follow.up).
SOURCE. Appondix table 35.

Figure 3-11. Science coursetaking by ethnic status ${ }^{1}$

'Represents individua.s in 1982 who were sophomores in 1980 (High School and Beyond Survey, First Follow-up)
SOURCE: ADpendix table 35
the biological sciences, Hispanics (86 percent) were only slightly less likely than all fre shmen ( 89 percent) to have taken one to two years of coursework.

## Mathemutics and Science Achievement

Hispanics conti nue to score below the national average on the mathematics assessment at all three age levels. However, the differential has narrowed at the 13 and 17 year old levels. The most recent NAEP assessment reports that Hispanic 9 and 13 year olds scored 9 percentage points lower than the national average while the gap was 11 points at the 17 year old level. In 1978, the gap was 9 points at age 9,15 points at age 13 , and 12 points at age 17 .

The most statistically significant changes occurred at the 13 year old level (appendix table 38). For example, more than a 7-percentage point increase occurred among Hispanic 13 year olds on the skills component; overall, there was a 4-point increase.

Hispanics also scored lower than the national average on the National Assessment of Educational Progress science assessment at all age levels. Score differentials widen with age: at age 9 , Hispanics score about 8.5 percentage
points below the national average, while at age 17 , the gap is almost 11 points. Regardless of age level, Hispanics scored much lower than the national average on components of the assessment that measured understanding and applications of scientific processes.

## Characteristics of College-Bound Seniors

Scholastic Aptitude Test. Hispanics scored lower than all college-bound seniors on both the verbal and mathematics components of the SAT between 1976 and 1984. Scores for Mexican Americans were higher than those for Puerto Ricans on both portions of the exam (figure 3-12).

In 1984, Hispanics accounted for 3 percent of all college-bound seniors. Two-thirds of these Hispanics were Mexican American $(18,200)$ while the remainder $(8,500)$ were Fuerto Rican. ${ }^{39}$

In 1984, the average verbal score for all college-bound seniors was 426; Mexican Amorican and Puerto Rican scores were 376 and 366 , respectively. Since 1976, scores for Mexican Americans have risen by 5 points; those for Puerto Ricans rose 2 points. In contrast, overall verbal scores fell by 5 points. Scores for

Hispanic males were higher than those for comparable females. In 1984, Mexican American males scored 385 on this component, 16 points higher than females, while scores for Puerto Rican males ( 380 ) were 26 points higher.

On the mathematics portion in 1984, the overall average score for collegebound seniors was 471, compared to 420 for Mexican Americans and 400 for Puerto Ricans. Over the eight-year period beginning in 1976, scores for Mexican Americans rose by 10 points, while those for all college-bound seniors and Puerto Rican seniors remained virtually the same. When disaggregated by sex, differentials are greater than on the verbal section. Scores for Mexican American (444) and Puerto Rican (426) males were 45 and 47 points, respectively, higher than those for females.

Few Hispanics scored in the highest range on either the verbal and mathematics component of the exam. On the verbal section, about 1 percent of both the Mexican Americans and Puerto Ricans, coinpared to 3 percent of all col-lege-bound seniors, scored more than 650 in 1984. On the mathematics component, about 9 percent of all collegebound seniors but only 3 percent of both the Mexican Americans and Puerto Ricans scored more than 650 points.

Achievement Test Scores. Scores on the science or mathematics achievement exams were lower for Hispanics than for all college-bound seniors (table 3-8). Puerto Ricans, however, scored higher than Mexican Americans on these tests. In addition, Puerto Ricans who took an achievement test in science or mathematics had SAT mathematics scores either the same as or greater than scores for Mexican Americans (appendix table 42). Among those who took the Mathematics Level I exam in 1984, for example, SAT mathematics aptitude scores were 522 for Puerto Ricans compared to 494 for Mexican Americans.

In 1984, almost 41 percent of the Mexican Americans and 44 percent of the Puerto Ricans who took an achievement test, took one or more in science or mathematics. The comparable figure for all achievement test-takers was about 48 percent.

Advanced Placement Exam. Scores for Hispanics on AP exams are generally

Figure 3-12. Scholastic Aptifude Test (SAT) scores by ethnic group


NOTE• Score range is 200 to 800
SOURCE: Appendix Table 40
lower than those for all test-takers (appendix table 43). For example, for all AP test-takers, scores on the Biology exam were 3.25 compared to 2.46 for Mexican Americans and 2.87 for Puerto Ricans.

Very few Hispanics take AP exams. In 1984, about 1,900 Mexican Americans and only 700 Puerto Ricans took one or more of these tests. Among those who
took the exams, a smaller proportion of the Hispanics than all test-takers took one or more of the tests in science or mathematics. While more than onethird of all AP test-takers took a science or mathematics exam, less than one-fifth of the Mexican Americans and about one-quarter of the Puerto Ricans did so in 1984.

Table 3.8. Achlevement test scores by ethnic group: 1984

| Subject | Ali college <br> bound senlors | Mexican <br> Americans | Puerto <br> Ricans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Level I | 542 | 486 | 510 |
| Mathematics Level II | 659 | 603 | 621 |
| Chemistry | 573 | 524 | 543 |
| Blology | 550 | 491 | 511 |
| Physics | 597 | 546 | 543 |

[^7]Intended Undergraduate Major. In 1984, a slightly higher percentage of the Mexican American college-bound seniors ( 42 percent) than all college-bound seniors ( 39 percent) and Puerto Rican seniors ( 38 percent) intended to major in a science or engineering field at the undergraduate level. Among potential science and engineering students, field distributions differ by ethnic group. Among Mexican Americans, the largest proportion-one-third-specified engineering as their prospective major while among Puerto Ricans, about the same fraction chose computer science.
Hispanics who chose to major in either biological science, physical science, mathematics, or engineering had higher average mathematics scores than those for all Hispanics in 1984. Scores for those intending to major in social science, psychology, or computer science, however, tended to be lower than or the same as the overall average. Prospective psychology majors reported the lowest scores. Mexican Americans and Puerto Ricans choosing psychology as their major field of study had SAT math scores of 395 and 376 , respectively (average scores for all Hispanics were 420 and 400).

## College Freshmen

Hispanic freshmen whose probable field of study is in science and engineering are more likely than those who choose non-S/E fields to achieve high school grade point averages in the " $A$ " range. In 1983, over 39 percent earned an " $A$ " average, compared to 23 percent of the Hispanic freshmen who chose non-S/E fields. Among all freshmen who chose S/E, this proportion was slightly more than 36 percent.
Among Hispanics whose potential major was a science or engineering field, a higher proportion of freshmen who chose engineering ( 50 percent) rather than scientific ( 33 percent) fields earned averages in the " $A$ " range. Hispanics choosing computer science ( 25 percent) were the least likely to have an " $A$ " average in high school.
The degree aspirations of Hispanic freshmen in science and engineering rose slightly between 1974 and 1983. In 1974, more than 31 percent planned to work toward a master's degree; in 1983, this proportion was 34 percent. Like-
wise, the fraction chcosing the bachelor's level increased from 17 percent to 19 percent. Among all freshmen, these proportions were 30 percent at the master's degree and 25 percent at the bachelor's level in 1983.

## Undergraduate Preparation

Graduate Record Examination. Hispanics who majored in science and engineering scored lower than all testtakers on each of the three components in 1984 (figure 3-13). Wide variation existed, however, among the ethnic groups with Latin Americans scoring consistently higher than either Mexican Americans or Puerto Ricans. The greatest variation was on the verbal component. For example, the score range among those who majored in the physical sciences was 394 (Puerto Ricans) to 509 (Latin Americans) in 1984; the verbal score for Mexican Americans majoring in physical science was 495.

About 3 percent $(4,700)$ of the GRE aptitude test-takers were Hispanic in 1984, similar to the percentage of col-lege-bound seniors taking the SAT. About two-fifths of these Hispanics were Mexican American $(1,800)$ while the remaining three-fifths were either Puerto Rican ( 1,500 ) or Latin American $(1,300))^{40}$ Hispanics accounted for about 4 percent of the test-takers who majored in an S/E field at the undergraduate level. Among Hispanic test-takers, a higher proportion of the Puerto Ricans ( 67 percent) than the Latin Americans (61 percent) or Mexican Americans (56 percent) had majored in science or engineering. For all test-takers, about 56 percent had majored in a science or engineering field.

## Earned Degrees

Hispanics account for à lower proportion of the individuals earning bachelor's degrees in science and engineering fields than of those enrolled in undergraduate programs although their proportions at all degree levels have increased since 1979. In 1983, about 9,700 S/E bachelor's degrees-representing 3.2 percent of the total-were awarded to Hispanics; however, they accounted for more than 4 percent of total undergraduate enrollment. ${ }^{41}$ Among scieuce

Figure 3-13. Graduate Record Examination (GRE) scores by ethnic group: 1984

and engineering fields, more than 30 percent of the Hispanics earned degrees in the social sciences, followed by engineering and the life sciences at 20 percent each.
Hispanics represented a smaller fraction of $S / E$ advanced degrees granted than of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ bachelor's degrees. In 1983, about 2.6 percent $(1,248)$ of the $\mathrm{S} / E$ master's degrees were granted to Hispanics. Most of these degrees were granted in either social science, psychology, or engineering. At the S/E doctorate level, Hispanics only accounted for 1.9 percent (262) of the degrees earned; the largest number (93) of these degrees were granted in psychology.

## Graduate Support Status

Of those who earned doctorates in science and engineering in 1984, Hispanics did not report universities as their primary source of support as often as all new degree holders ( 45 percent vs. 51 percent). ${ }^{42}$ Of those receiving university support, Hispanics were less likely than the total to hold research assistantships. Other sources of support cited by Hispanics were Federal (19 percent) and self ( 28 percent) (appendix table 54).

## Postdoctoral Appointments

The number of Hispanics holding
postdoctoral appointments has increased about threefold since 1973. Between 1973 and 1983, the number of Hispanics holding postdoctoral appointments in science and engineering grew from 69 in 1973, to 137 in 1981, and to 270 in 1983. In 1983, Hispanics accounted for 2.5 percent of the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ postdoctorates. More than one-half of the Hispanics held appointments in the life sciences; another one-fifth held engineering postdoctorates.
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# Technical Notes 

## CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

The National Science Foundation publishes estimates on the number, work activity, type of employer, and other economic and demographic characteristics of persons who meet its particular definition of a scientist or engineer. Broadly speaking, a person is considered a scientist or engineer if at least two of the following criteria are met:

1. Highest degree in science (including social science) or engineering;
2. Employed in a science or engineering occupation and/or
3. Professional identification as a scientist or engineer based on total education and work experience.

## Composite Estimates

The composite estimates are developed as a part of the National Science Foundation's Scientific and Technical Personnel Data System (STPDS) and represent the national total of scientists and engineers. The system draws from three data sources, each designed to measure the characteristics of a particular subpopulation:

- The Experienced Sample of Scientists and Engineers is the biennial fol-low-up survey to the 1982 Postcensal Survey of Scientists and Engineers. The Postcensal Sample was drawn from those individuals who were in the labor force or labor rese.ve at the time of the 1980 decennial census. Both the 1982 Postcensal survey and the 1984 Experienced Sample survey were conducted for the National Science Foundation by the Bureau of Census.
- The Survey of Recent Science and Engineering Graduates is de_igned to measure the magnitude and characteristics of those who earned degrees in science and engineering after the 1980 decennial census was completed. The Institute of Survey Research, Temple University, has conducted two surveys for the National Science Foundation. (1) the graduating classes of 1980 and 1981
were surveyed in 1982; and (2) the graduating classes of 1980, 1982, and 1983 were surveyed in 1984.
- The Roster of Doctoral Scientists and Engineers consists of all known scientists and engineers granted doctorates in the United States since 1930. The roster serves as a panel from which a sample of doctoral scientists and engineers is drawn and surveyed. The most recent survey, conducted in 1983, covered those doctorates who received their degrees between 1940 and 1982. The Survey of Doctoral Recipients has been conducted or a biennial basis for the National Science Foundation by the Office of Scientific and Engineering Personnel, National Academy of Sciences, since 1973.


## Occupation/Field of Science and Engineering

Data on field of science and engineering are derived from responses to questions on various surveys. Fields are classified as follows:

- Physical sciences-chemistry, physics, astronomy, and other physical sciences, including metallurgy
- Mathematical sciences-mathematics and statistics
- Environmental sciences-earth, atmospheric, and oceanographic sciences, including geophysics, seismology, and meterology
- Life sciences-biological, agricultural, and medical sciences (excluding those primerily engaged in patient care)
- Social sciences-economics, including agricultural economics, sociology, anthropology, and ali other social sciences
- Psychology
- Computer specialties
- Engineering

Data on field of employment are derived from responses to questions that request, based on employment specialties lists included with the questionnaire, the name of the specialty most
closely related to the respondent's principal employment. Those who selected an employment specialty not in science or engineering are assigned to a field of science and engineering based on the field of their degree and, for those with less than a doctorate, their professional self-identification.

## Primary Work Activity

Data presented on work activities of scientists and engineers represent their primary work activities. The data are derived from responses to a series of questions on the survey instruments that ask individuals: (1) to specify their primary work activity; and (2) to provide a percentage distribution of their work time among 10 to 15 activities. Work activities are classified as follows:

- Management or administration of research and development
- Management or administration of other than research and development
- Teaching and training
- Basic ${ }^{r}$ search
- Appiied research
- Development
- Report and technical writing, editing, and information retrieval
- Clinical diagnosis, psychotherapy
- Design of equipment, processes, models
- Quality control, testing, evaluation, or inspection
- Operations - production, maintenance, construction, installation, exploration
- Distribution-sales, traffic, purchasing, customer and public relations
- Statistical work-survey work, forecasting, statistical analysis
- Consulting
- Computer ápplications
- Other activities


## Sector of Employment

Laformatior in type of employer also is derived from survey responses. Re-
spondents are asked to choose the category that best describes the type of organization of their principal employment from the following list:

- Self-employed
- Business or industry
- Junior college, tro-year college, technical institute
- Medical school
- Four-year college or university, other than medical school
- Elementary or secondary school system
- Hospital or clinic
- Nonprofit organization, other than hospital, clinic, or educational institution
- U.S. military service, active duty, or Commissioned Corps
- U.S. Government, civilian employee
- State government
- Local or other government
- Other


## Other Variables

Information on other economic and demographic variables. such as sex, race, and ethnic group, are based on individual responses to survey questions. For information on the various survey instrur.ants used in the repori, sec the section entitled "Desta "jurces" below'

## Statistical Measures

Labor Force Participation Ra.e-The labor force is defined as those employe: and those seening employment. The lator force participation rate (LFPR) is the ratio of those employed ( E ) and those unemployed ( U ) iu the population ( P )

$$
\mathrm{LFPR}=\frac{\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{P}}
$$

Unemployment Rate-The unemployment rate ( $\mathrm{UE} / \mathrm{R}$ ) shows the ratio of those who are unemployed but seeking employment (U) to the total labor force (LF $=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{U}$ ).

$$
U E / R=\frac{U}{E+U}
$$

S/E Employment Rate-The S/E employment rate ( $\mathrm{ES} / \mathrm{E}$ ) measures the ratio of those holding jobs in science or engineering (S/E) to the total employment
(E) of scientists and engineers, including those holding non-science and engineering jobs.

$$
\mathrm{ES} / \mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{E}}
$$

S/E Underemployment Rate-The S/E underemployment rate (UDE) shows the ratio of those who are working part-time but seeking full-time jobs (PTS), or who are working in a non-S/E job when an S/E job would be preferred (NS/E) to total employment ( E ).

$$
\mathrm{UDE}=\frac{\mathrm{nTS}+\mathrm{NS} / \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{E}}
$$

SE Underutilization Rate-The S/E underutilization rate (UDU) shows the proportion of those in the total labor force ( $L F=E+U$ ) who are either unemployed but seeking employment (U), workin3 part-time but seeking full-time jobs (PTS), or working involuntarily in a non-S/E job (NS/E).

$$
\mathrm{UDU}=\frac{\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{PTS}+\mathrm{NS} / \mathrm{E}}{E+\mathrm{U}}
$$

## Reliability of Science and Eigineering Estimates

Estimates of scientists and engineers are derived from semple surveys and thus are subject to bot:a sampling and ronsampling errors. The sample used fer a particular survey is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that couid hate been selected using the same sumple design. Even if the samir question....ire and instructions were usea, the estimate from each of the samples would differ. The deviation of a sample estimate from the average of alı possible samples is defined as sampling error. The standard error of a survey estimate attempts to provide a measure of this variation and thus is a measure of the precision with which in estimate from the sample approximates the avarage results of all possible samples.

Selected tables of standard errers for the various surveys are contained 0.1 the tables listed below.

## Survey

Table
1984 Composite estimate of scientists and engineers 1983 Doctoral scientists and engineers

The sampling errors shown were generated on the basis of approximations and must, therefore, be considered estimates rather than precise measurements. The standard error may be used to construct a confidence interval about a given estimate. Thus, when the reported standard error is added to and subtracted from an estimate, the resulting range of values reflects an interval within which about 68 percent of all sample estimates, surveyed under the same conditions, will fall. Intervals reflecting a higher confidence !evel may be constructed by increasing the number of standard errors for a given estimate. Thus, $\pm 1.6$ standard errers define a 90 percent confidence interval; $\pm 2$ standard errors, a 95 percent confidence interval. The standard errois for the 1984 composite data are estimated using the Method of Random Groups.

Nonsamplir 3 errors may be attributed to many sources: inability to obtain information about all cases; definitional difficulties; differences in the interpretation of questions; inability or unwillingness to provide correct information on the part of the respondents; mistakes in recording or codiing the information; and other errors in collection, respense, processing, coverage, and imputation. Nonsampling errors are not unique to sample surveys since they occur in complete canvasses as well. No systematic attempt has been made to identify or approximate the magnitude of the nonsampling errors associated with the est imates of scientists a..d engineers presented in this report.

## Data Sources

For information on survey methods, coverage, concepts, definitions, and reliability of data used in this report, please contact the Demographic Studies Group, Division of Science Resources Studies, Room L-611, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550.
A brief description of each survey and copies of the survey instruments may be iound in A Guide to NSF Science Resources Data. A copy is available from the Editorial and Inquirie: Unit, Division of Science Resources Studies, Room L-611, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550.

Table 1. Standard arrors for estimates of total scientists and engineers: 1984

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical sclentists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental scientists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | F.iysical scientist | Mathematician | Statis. tician |  | Earth scientist | Ocean. ographer | Atmospherlc scientist |
| 100 | 470 | 250 | 190 | 290 | 70 | 760 | 290 | 50 | 90 |
| 200 | 480 | 260 | 210 | 300 | 100 | 760 | 290 | 90 | 110 |
| 500 | 500 | 300 | 250 | 330 | 160 | 780 | 320 | 190 | 180 |
| 750 | 520 | 320 | 270 | 360 | 200 | 790 | 330 | 240 | 220 |
| 1,000 | 540 | 350 | 310 | 390 | 260 | 800 | 350 | 310 | 280 |
| 2,500 | 640 | 520 | 500 | 560 | 520 | 870 | 460 | 540 | 520 |
| 5,000 | 800 | 780 | 770 | 830 | 810 | 1,000 | 630 | 1,200 | 750 |
| 10,000 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 920 |  | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 2,200 | 1,800 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 1,900 | 1,500 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 2,700 | 3,000 |  | 3,300 |  | 3,000 | 1,900 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 3,100 | 3,900 |  | 3,800 |  | 3,900 | 2,200 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 3,100 | 4,100 |  | 4,000 |  | 4,100 | 2,500 |  |  |
| 100.000 | 3,300 | 6,000 |  | 4,900 |  | 4,700 | 3,100 |  |  |
| 125,000 | 3,400 |  |  | 7,500 |  | 5,400 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 3,600 |  |  |  |  | 6,100 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 | 4,200 |  |  |  |  | 6,600 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 | 5,200 |  |  |  |  | 7,100 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,600 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,400 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,700 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,200 |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 12,200 |  |  |  |

Table 1. (cont.)

| S.ze of estimate | Life scie. - 1 ists |  |  | Psychologi, is | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biotogist | Agricultural scientist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Soclologist/ Anthro. pologist |  | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 710 | 400 | 90 | 630 | 550 | 370 | 640 | 400 | 290 |
| 200 | 710 | 410 | 120 | 640 | 560 | 380 | 650 | 410 | 300 |
| 500 | 750 | 4.10 | 190 | 650 | 580 | 420 | 680 | 430 | 320 |
| 700 | 740 | 460 | 230 | 670 | 600 | 440 | 700 | 440 | 340 |
| 1,000 | 760 | 490 | 300 | 680 | 620 | 480 | 730 | 460 | 360 |
| 2,500 | 830 | 340 | 590 | 770 | 740 | 660 | 890 | 560 | 480 |
| 5,000 | 960 | 870 | 980 | 920 | 930 | 960 | 1,100 | 720 | 670 |
| 10,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,800 | 2,200 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 2,700 | 2,400 | 1,800 | 1,700 |
| 50,000 | 2,600 | 3,100 | 8,900 | 3,100 | 2,900 | 4,000 | 2,900 | 2,006 | 2,300 |
| 75,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 |  | 3,900 | 3,400 | 5,000 | 2,900 | 3,000 | 2,700 |
| 80,000 | 3,100 | 4,300 |  | 4,100 | 3,400 | 5,200 | 2,900 | 3,100 | 2,800 |
| 100,000 | 3,200 | 5,700 |  | 4,600 | 3,800 | 6,500 | 3,000 | 3,100 | 3,700 |
| 125,000 | 3,300 | 9,100 |  | 5,200 | 4,50C | 9,500 | 4,200 | 3,100 | €,000 |
| 150,000 | 3,400 | 15,000 |  | 5,702 | 6,000 |  | 7,100 | 3,000 | 10,200 |
| 175,000 | 3,500 |  |  | 6,200 |  |  | 12,500 | 3,100 |  |
| 200,000 | 3,800 |  |  | 6,700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 | 4,300 |  |  | 7,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 | 5,100 |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  | 8,900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Civil } \\ \text { engineer } \end{array}$ | Electrical/ Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engIneer | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other engineer |
| 100 | 500 | 790 | 660 | 310 | 80 | 70 | 190 | 360 | 710 |
| 200 | 510 | 800 | 670 | 320 | 100 | 90 | 200 | 360 | 720 |
| 500 | 520 | 800 | 680 | 350 | 150 | 140 | 240 | 390 | 730 |
| 700 | 530 | 810 | 690 | 370 | 190 | 170 | 260 | 410 | 40 |
| 1,000 | 550 | 820 | 700 | 400 | 2.0 | 220 | 300 | 430 | 750 |
| 2,500 | 620 | 870 | 760 | 540 | 480 | 440 | 470 | 550 | 810 |
| 5,000 | 740 | 940 | 860 | 750 | 770 | 730 | 710 | 750 | 910 |
| 10,000 | 970 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 25,000 | 1,600 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 2,000 | 1,600 | 1,500 | 2,000 | 1,600 |
| 50,000 | 2,500 | 2,100 | 2,500 | 2.930 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 2,400 |
| 75,000 | 3,200 | 2,700 | 3,200 | 5,100 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 2,400 3,100 |
| 80,000 | 3,300 | 2,800 | 3,400 | 6,200 |  |  |  | 3,600 | 3,200 |
| 100,000 125000 | 3,700 4,000 | 3,200 | 3,900 |  |  |  |  | 4,000 | 3,600 |
| 125,000 150,000 | 4,000 4,300 | 3,600 3,900 | 4,500 5,100 |  |  |  |  | 4,500 | 4,100 |
| 175,000 | 4,500 | 4,200 | 5,100 5,500 |  |  |  |  | 5,500 | 4,500 |
| 200,000 | 4,600 | 4,500 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  | 7,200 | 4,800 5,000 |
| 225,000 | 4,800 | 4,700 | 6,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 5,300 |
| 250,000 | 5,000 | 4,900 | 6,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,300 5,500 |
| 275,000 | 5,300 | 5,100 | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,500 5,600 |
| 300,000 | 5,600 | 5,300 | 7,200 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,600 5,800 |
| 400,000 | 8,800 | 6.300 | 7,900 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,800 6,900 |
| 500,000 |  | 7,800 | 8,400 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,900 \\ & 9,200 \end{aligned}$ |

SOURCE: Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Table 2. Standard errors for estimates of male and female scientists and engineers: 1984
A. MALES

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental sclentists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Physical scientis | Mathematician | Statis. tician |  | Earth scientist | Ocean. ographer | Atmosfheric scientist |
| 100 | 520 | 200 | 190 | 160 | 110 | 640 | 240 | 60 |  |
| 200 | 530 | 210 | 210 | 180 | 140 | 640 | 250 | 100 | 80 |
| 500 | 550 | 250 | 250 | 230 | 210 | 660 | 280 | 200 | 170 |
| 700 | 560 | 280 | 280 | 260 | 250 | 670 | 290 | 250 | 230 |
| 1,000 | 590 | 320 | 320 | 310 | 310 | 690 | 320 | 310 | 310 |
| 2,500 | 700 | 500 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 780 | 450 | 590 | 550 |
| 5,000 | 870 | 790 | 820 | 860 | 710 | 930 | 640 | 3,300 | 550 |
| 10,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,000 | 1,200 | 980 | 3,300 | 630 1,100 |
| 25,000 | 2,000 | 2,300 | 1,800 | 2,200 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 1,600 |  | 1,100 |
| 50,000 | 2,800 | 3,000 |  | 2,300 |  | 3,200 | 1,600 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 3,200 | 3,800 |  | 3,400 |  | 4,200 | 2,600 |  |  |
| 80,000 100000 | 3,200 | 4,200 |  | 4,000 |  | 4,300 | 2,900 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 3,400 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |
| 125,000 | 3,700 |  |  |  |  | 5,700 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 4,300 |  |  |  |  | 6,200 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 | 5,600 |  |  |  |  | 6,800 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,200 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,700 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,200 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,700 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,300 |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biologist | Agricultural scientist | Medical scientist |  | Economis: | Sociologist/ Anthro. pologist | Other Social sclentist | Chemical engineer | Aeronauticall Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 400 | 450 | 0 | 480 | 160 | 210 | 520 | 360 | 280 |
| 200 | 410 | 460 | 30 | 490 | 180 | 220 | 540 | 370 | 290 |
| 500 | 430 | 490 | 120 | 520 | 220 | 270 | 570 | 390 | 310 |
| 700 | 450 | 510 | 180 | 540 | 250 | 310 | 600 | 400 | 330 |
| 1,000 | 470 | 540 | 260 | 570 | 290 | 360 | 640 | 430 | 360 |
| 2,500 | 580 | 680 | 630 | 700 | 490 | 590 | 820 | 530 | 480 |
| 5,000 | 760 | 900 | 1,100 | 920 | 800 | 960 | 1,100 | 710 | 670 |
| 10,000 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 2,200 | 2,000 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,800 | 2,400 | 1,800 | 1,700 |
| 50,000 | 2,600 | 3,300 |  | 3,400 | 2,600 | 3,600 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,300 |
| 75,000 | 2,900 | 4,600 |  | 4,100 | 2,500 | 4,700 | 3,300 | 3,000 | 2,700 |
| 80,000 | 2,900 | 4,900 |  | 4,200 | 2,500 |  | 3,700 | 3,100 | 2,800 |
| 100,000 | 2,800 | 7,000 |  | 4,700 | 3,400 |  | 6,100 | 3,100 | 3,700 |
| 125,000 | 2,600 | 11,600 |  | 5,500 | 6,700 |  |  | 3,100 | 6,200 |
| 150,000 | 2,500 |  |  | 6,800 |  |  |  | 3,200 | 10,900 |
| 175,000 | 2,600 |  |  | 9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Civll } \\ \text { engineer } \end{array}$ | Electricall Flectronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engineer | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other engineer |
| 100 | 480 | 730 | 560 | 300 | 80 | 70 | 160 | 330 | 560 |
| 200 | 490 | 740 | 570 | 310 | 100 | 90 | 180 | 340 | 570 |
| 500 | 500 | 750 | 580 | 340 | 150 | 140 | 220 | 360 | 580 |
| 700 | 510 | 750 | 590 | 370 | 190 | 180 | 250 | 380 | 590 |
| 1,000 | 530 | 760 | 600 | 400 | 250 | 230 | 300 | 410 | 610 |
| 2,500 | 610 | 810 | 670 | 550 | 480 | 450 | 490 | 540 | 680 |
| 5,000 | 730 | 890 | 770 | 760 | 780 | 740 | 760 | 740 | 790 |
| 10,000 | 980 | 1,100 | 990 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,600 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,700 | 1,600 | 2,100 | 1,600 |
| 50,000 | 2,600 | 2,200 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  |  | 3,100 | 2,500 |
| 75,000 | 3,200 | 2,700 | 3,300 | 6,000 |  |  |  | 3,700 | 3,300 |
| 80,000 | 3,300 | 2,800 | 3,500 | 7,300 |  |  |  | 3,800 | 3,400 |
| 100,000 | 3,700 | 3,200 | 4,000 |  |  |  |  | 4,200 | 3,800 |
| 125,000 | 4,100 | 3,600 | 4,600 |  |  |  |  | 4,800 | 4,300 |
| 150,0c0 | 4,300 | 3,900 | 5,100 |  |  |  |  | 5,900 | 4,600 |
| 175,000 | 4,500 | 4,200 | 5,600 |  |  |  |  | 7,800 | 4,900 |
| 200,000 | 4,700 | 4,400 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |
| 225,000 | 4,500 | 4,600 | 6,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,200 |
| 250,000 | 5, 510 | 4,800 | 6,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,400 |
| 275.000 | 5,40' | 5,000 | 6,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,500 |
| 300,000 | 5,900 | 5,100 | 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,700 |
| 400,000 |  | 6,000 | 7,900 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,900 |
| 500,000 |  | 7,800 | 9,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,300 |

- fEMALES

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical sclentists |  | Computer speciallsts | Environmental sclentists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Other Physical scientist | Mathe. matician | Statis. tician |  | Earth scientist | Ocean. ographer | Atmospheric sclentist |
| 100 | 160 | 110 | 60 | 239 | 40 | 560 | 120 | 50 | 60 |
| 200 | 170 | 130 | 70 | 250 | 60 | 560 | 130 | 90 | 100 |
| 500 | 190 | 170 | 120 | 290 | 130 | 580 | 160 | 190 | 190 |
| 700 | 200 | 190 | 150 | 330 | 170 | 590 | 170 | 250 | 250 |
| 1,000 | 230 | 230 | 190 | 370 | 230 | 510 | 200 | 310 | 330 |
| 2,500 | 340 | 420 | 390 | 590 | 450 | 700 | 330 | 580 | 570 |
| 5,000 | 510 | 700 | 690 | 920 | 630 | 840 | 520 | 3,200 | 650 |
| 10,000 | 840 | 1,200 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 950 | 1,100 | 850 |  | 1,100 |
| 25,000 | 1.600 | 2,200 | 1,700 | 2,300 |  | 1,900 | 1,500 |  | 1,100 |
| 50,000 | 2,400 | 2,900 |  | 2,400 |  | 3,100 | 1,800 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 2,800 | 3,800 |  | 3.400 |  | 4,100 | 2,500 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 2,800 | 4,100 |  | 4,000 |  | 4,200 | 2,800 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 3,000 |  |  |  |  | 4,900 |  |  |  |
| 125, \% 30 | 3,300 |  |  |  |  | 5,600 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 4,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,200 |  |  |  |
| 175.000 | 5,200 |  |  |  |  | 6,700 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.100 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,600 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,100 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | $8,600$ |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,300 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400,000 \\ & 500,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biologist | Agricultural scientist | Medical sc antis! |  | Economist | Sociologist/ Anthropologlst |  | Chemical engIneer | Aeronauticall Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 400 | 100 | 120 | 430 | 290 | 280 | 400 | 110 | 60 |
| 200 | 410 | 110 | 150 | 440 | 310 | 300 | 410 | 120 | 70 |
| 500 | 430 | 140 | 250 | 470 | 350 | 350 | 450 | 140 | 90 |
| 700 | 450 | 160 | 300 | 490 | 380 | 380 | 480 | 150 | 110 |
| 1,000 | 470 | 190 | 390 | 510 | 420 | 430 | 520 | 180 | 130 |
| 2,500 | 580 | 330 | 760 | 650 | 620 | 670 | 700 | 280 | 260 |
| 5,000 | 760 | 550 | 1,200 | 860 | 940 | 1,000 | 970 | 460 | 450 |
| 10,000 | 1,100 | 960 | 1,500 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 780 | 790 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 2,100 | 2,300 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 1,600 | 1,500 |
| 50,000 | 2,700 | 3,000 |  | 3,400 | 2,800 | 3,700 | 2,600 | 2,400 | 2,000 |
| 75,000 | 2,900 | 4,200 |  | 4,100 | 2,600 | 4,700 | 3,200 | 2,800 | 2,500 |
| 80,000 | 2,900 | 4,600 |  | 4,200 | 2,700 |  | 3,500 | 2,800 | 2,600 |
| 100,000 | 2,800 | 6,700 |  | 4,600 | 3,500 |  | 6,000 | 2,900 | 3,500 |
| 125,000 | 2,607 | 11,200 |  | 5,500 | 6,800 |  |  | 2,900 | 6,000 |
| 150,000 175,000 | 2,500 2,600 |  |  | 6,800 |  |  |  | 2,900 | 10,600 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 175,000 \\ & 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | 2,600 |  |  | 9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Civil } \\ & \text { engineer } \end{aligned}$ | Electricall Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | MIning engineer | Nuclear enginear | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other enginger |
| 100 | 130 | 230 | 290 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 330 |
| 200 | 140 | 230 | 290 | 50 | 40 | 50 | 70 | 90 | 340 |
| 500 | 150 | 240 | 300 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 350 |
| 700 | 160 | 250 | 310 | 100 | 140 | 130 | 140 | 140 | 360 |
| 1.000 | 180 | 260 | 330 | 140 | 190 | 180 | 190 | 170 | 380 |
| 2,500 | 260 | 310 | 390 | 280 | 430 | 41. | 380 | 290 | 450 |
| 5,000 | 380 | 390 | 500 | 500 | 720 | 690 | 650 | 500 | 560 |
| 10,000 | 630 | 550 | 710 | 850 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 890 | 790 |
| 25,000 | 1,300 | 1,000 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 2,100 | 1,600 | 1,500 | 1,800 | 1,400 |
| 50,000 | 2,200 | 1,700 | 2,200 | 2,200 |  | 1,60 | 1,500 | 2,900 | 1,400 2,300 |
| 75,000 | 2,900 | 2,200 | 3,000 | 5,100 |  |  |  | 3,400 | 3,300 |
| 80,000 | 3,000 | 2,300 | 3,200 | 7,000 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 3,200 |
| 100,000 125,000 | 3,400 3,700 | 2,700 3,100 | 3,700 4,300 |  |  |  |  | 3,900 | 3,600 |
| 150,000 | 4,700 | 3,100 3,400 | 4,300 4,900 |  |  |  |  | 4,500 | 4,100 |
| 175,000 | 4,200 | 3,700 | 4,900 5,300 |  |  |  |  | 5,700 7,600 | 4,400 |
| 200,000 | 4,300 | 3,900 | 5,700 |  |  |  |  | 7,600 | 4,700 4,900 |
| 225,000 | 4,500 | 4,100 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,900 5,000 |
| 250,000 | 4,800 | 4,300 | 6,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 5,100 |
| 275,000 | 5,100 | 4,500 | 6,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 5,300 |
| 300,000 | 5,600 | 4,600 | 6,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,400 |
| 400,000 |  | 5,500 | 7,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,700 |
| 500,000 |  | 7,300 | 8,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |

SOURCE: Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Table 3. Standard errors for estimates of scientists and engineers by racial/ethnic group: 1984
A. WHITE, NON.HISPAN! -

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental scientists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Physica! scientist | Mathematician | Statis. tician |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Earth } \\ \text { scientist } \end{array}$ | Ocean. ographer | Atmospheric scientist |
| 100 | 430 | 120 | 170 | 80 | 100 | 520 | 250 | 60 |  |
| 200 | 440 | 140 | 190 | 100 | 120 | 520 | 260 | 90 | 120 |
| 500 | 460 | 180 | 230 | 150 | 180 | 540 | 280 | 180 | 180 |
| 700 | 480 | 210 | 260 | 180 | 220 | 550 | 300 | 180 240 | 180 230 |
| 1,000 | 500 | 250 | 310 | 230 | 270 | 570 | 330 | 310 | 280 |
| 2,500 | 620 | 460 | 510 | 470 | 520 | 650 | 440 | 550 | 280 |
| 5,000 | 810 | 770 | 800 | 830 | 850 | 810 | 630 | 760 | 770 |
| 10,000 25,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,200 | 1,400 | 1,200 | 1,100 | 950 | 760 | 1,100 |
| 25,000 50,000 | 2,000 2,700 | 2,400 3,100 | 1,800 | 2,600 | 1,700 | 1,900 | 1,600 |  | 1,100 |
| 50,000 75,000 | 2,700 2,900 | 3,100 |  | 3,100 |  | 3,100 | 2,000 |  |  |
| 75,000 80,000 | 2,900 | 4,500 5,000 |  | 4,100 |  | 4,100 | 2,400 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 2,900 |  |  | 4,600 |  | 4,300 | 2,500 |  |  |
| 125,000 | 2,900 |  |  |  |  | 4,900 5,600 | 3,600 |  |  |
| 150,000 | 3,200 |  |  |  |  | 6,10C |  |  |  |
| 175,000 | 4,600 |  |  |  |  | 6,600 |  |  |  |
| 200000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,000 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,201) |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,600 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,900 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,200 |  |  |  |
| $400,000$ $500,000$ |  |  |  |  |  | 10,300 |  |  |  |

Table 3. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biologist | Agricultural scientist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Sociologist/ Anthropologist | Other <br> Social scientist | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical/ Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 600 | 330 | 100 | 470 | 280 | 280 | 250 | 250 | 240 |
| 200 | 600 | 340 | 120 | 480 | 300 | 300 | 270 | 260 | 250 |
| 500 | 620 | 370 | 190 | 500 | 330 | 340 | 320 | 290 | 270 |
| 700 | 640 | 390 | 240 | 520 | 360 | 370 | 350 | 310 | 290 |
| 1,000 | 660 | 430 | 310 | 540 | 390 | 410 | 400 | 330 | 310 |
| 2,500 | 750 | 590 | 610 | 650 | 570 | 630 | 620 | 460 | 440 |
| 5,000 | 910 | 840 | 1,000 | 830 | 850 | 960 | 970 | 660 | 630 |
| 10,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,000 | 970 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 2,300 | 1,900 | 2,100 | 2,400 | 2,800 | 2,700 | 1,900 | 1,700 |
| 50,000 | 2,700 | 3,100 | 11,000 | 3,300 | 3,000 | 4,000 | 3,100 | 2,600 | 2,200 |
| 75,000 | 3,100 | 4,000 |  | 4,100 | 3,200 | 5,300 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,600 |
| 80,000 | 3,100 | 4,200 |  | 4,200 | 3,300 | 5,800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,700 |
| 100,000 | 3,200 | 5,900 |  | 4,700 | 3,800 | 8,500 | 3,700 | 2,800 | 3,600 |
| 125,000 | 3,300 | 10,000 |  | 5,200 | 5,900 |  | 6,900 | 2,700 | 6,000 |
| 150,000 | 3,300 | 17,200 |  | 5,600 | 10,200 |  | 14,100 | 3,000 |  |
| 175,000 | 3,600 |  |  | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 | 4,200 |  |  | 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 | 5,300 |  |  | 8,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 | 7,000 |  |  | 9,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  | 11,700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil engineer | Electrical/ Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engineer | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other engineer |
| 100 | 440 | 610 | 600 | 280 | 0 | 80 | 150 | 240 | 630 |
| 200 | 450 | 610 | 600 | 290 | 30 | 100 | 160 | 250 | 640 |
| 500 | 460 | 620 | 610 | 320 | 100 | 150 | 210 | 280 | 650 |
| 700 | 470 | 630 | 620 | 340 | 150 | 180 | 240 | 300 | 660 |
| 1,000 | 490 | 640 | 630 | 380 | 220 | 230 | 290 | 330 | 670 |
| 2,500 | 570 | 700 | 700 | 530 | 520 | 460 | 500 | 470 | 740 |
| 5,000 | 690 | 800 | 810 | 770 | 840 | 740 | 770 | 700 | 850 |
| 10,000 | 940 | 990 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 25,000 | 1,600 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,700 | 1,600 | 2,100 | 1,700 |
| 50,000 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 2,500 | 2,600 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 2,500 |
| 75,000 | 3,100 | 2,900 | 3,400 | 6,700 |  |  |  | 3,400 | 3,200 |
| 80,000 | 3,200 | 3,000 | 3,500 | 8,200 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 3,400 |
| 100,000 | 3,500 | 3,400 | 4,100 |  |  |  |  | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| 125,000 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 4,700 |  |  |  |  | 4,500 | 4,300 |
| 150,000 | 4,000 | 4,200 | 5,300 |  |  |  |  | 6,100 | 4,600 |
| 175,000 | 4,200 | 4,400 | 5,800 |  |  |  |  | 9,000 | 4,900 |
| 200,000 | 4,300 | 4,600 | 6,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |
| 225,000 | 4,500 | 4,800 | 6,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,200 |
| 250,000 | 4,800 | 5,000 | 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,400 |
| 275,000 | 5,200 | 5,200 | 7,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,600 |
| 300,000 | 5,800 | 5,400 | 7,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,800 |
| 400,000 |  | 6,600 | 8,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,200 |
| 500,000 |  | 9,400 | 9,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Size of estimate | Physicai scientists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental sclentists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Other Physical srientist | Mathematician | Statistician |  | Earth scientist | Oceanographer | Atmospheric scientist |
| 100 | 210 | 220 | 50 | 250 | 40 | 630 | 120 | 30 | 20 |
| 200 | 210 | 240 | 60 | 260 | 60 | 640 | 130 | 60 | 50 |
| 500 | 240 | 280 | 110 | 310 | 120 | 660 | 150 | 160 | 110 |
| 700 | 250 | 310 | 140 | 350 | 160 | 670 | 170 | 210 | 150 |
| 1,000 | 250 | 350 | 180 | 400 | 220 | 690 | 190 | 290 | 210 |
| 2,500 | 400 | 560 | 380 | 630 | 470 | 780 | 310 | 520 | 450 |
| 5,000 | 580 | 880 | 670 | 1,000 | 790 | 920 | 490 | 740 | 700 |
| 10,000 | 920 | 1,400 | 1,100 | 1,600 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 810 |  | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,700 | 2,500 | 1,700 | 2,700 | 1,700 | 2,000 | 1,400 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 2,500 | 3,200 |  | 3,300 |  | 3,200 | 1,900 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 2,700 | 4,600 |  | 4,300 |  | 4,200 | 2,200 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 2,700 | 5,100 |  | 4,700 |  | 4,400 | 2,400 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 2,700 |  |  | 8,000 |  | 5,000 | 3,500 |  |  |
| 125,000 | 2,700 |  |  |  |  | 5,700 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 3,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,200 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 | 3,800 |  |  |  |  | 6,700 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,100 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,400 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,700 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,400 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,400 |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biologist | Agricultural scientist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Sociologist/ Anthropologist |  | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical/ Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 350 | 200 | 60 | 360 | 390 | 260 | 410 | 270 | 170 |
| 200 | 360 | 210 | 80 | 370 | 410 | 280 | 420 | 270 | 180 |
| 500 | 380 | 240 | 160 | 390 | 440 | 320 | 470 | 300 | 210 |
| 700 | 390 | 260 | 200 | 419 | 470 | 350 | 500 | 320 | 230 |
| 1,000 | 410 | 300 | 270 | 430 | 500 | 400 | 550 | 340 | 250 |
| 2,500 | 500 | 460 | 580 | 540 | 880 | 610 | 780 | 470 | 380 |
| , 000 | 660 | 710 | 970 | 720 | 960 | 940 | 1,100 | 670 | 570 |
| 10,000 | 950 | 1,200 | 1,400 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,700 | 1,000 | 910 |
| 25,000 | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,900 | 2,000 | 2,500 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 1,900 | 1,600 |
| 50,000 | 2,400 | 3,000 | 11,000 | 3,200 | 3,100 | 3,900 | 3,300 | 2,700 | 2,100 |
| 75,000 | 2,800 | 3,800 |  | 4,000 | 3,300 | 5,300 | 3,100 | 2,800 | 2,500 |
| 80,006 | 2,900 | 4,100 |  | 4,100 | 3,400 | 5,700 | 3,100 | 2,800 | 2,600 |
| 100,000 | 3,000 | 5,800 |  | 4,600 | 3,900 | 8,400 | 3,800 | 2,800 | 3,500 |
| 125,000 | 3,000 | 9,800 |  | 5,000 | 6,000 |  | 7,100 | 2,700 | 5,900 |
| 150,000 | 3,100 | 17,100 |  | 5,500 | 10,300 |  | 14,300 | 3,000 |  |
| 175,000 | 3,300 |  |  | 6,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 | 3,900 |  |  | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 | 5,000 |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 | 6,700 |  |  | 9,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  | 11,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil engineer | Electrical/ Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engineer | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other engineer |
| 100 | 310 | 470 | 370 | 110 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 200 | 360 |
| 200 | 320 | 470 | 370 | 120 | 110 | 60 | 80 | 210 | 360 |
| 500 | 340 | 480 | 380 | 150 | 190 | 110 | 130 | 240 | 380 |
| 700 | 350 | 490 | 390 | 180 | 230 | 140 | 160 | 260 | 390 |
| 1,000 | 360 | 500 | 410 | 210 | 300 | 190 | 210 | 290 | 400 |
| 2,500 | 440 | 560 | 470 | 370 | 600 | 410 | 410 | 440 | 470 |
| 5,000 | 570 | 660 | 580 | 600 | 920 | 700 | 690 | 670 | 580 |
| 10,000 | 810 | 840 | 790 | 960 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 800 |
| 25,000 | 1,500 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,500 | 2,200 | 1,700 | 1,500 | 2,100 | 1,400 |
| 50,000 | 2,300 | 2,100 | 2,300 | 2,400 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 2,300 |
| 75,000 | 3,000 | 2,800 | 3,100 | 6,500 |  |  |  | 3,400 | 3,000 |
| 80,000 | 3,100 | 2,900 | 3,300 | 8,000 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 3,100 |
| 100,000 | 3,400 | 3,300 | 3,900 |  |  |  |  | 3,800 | 3,500 |
| 125,000 | 3,700 | 3,700 | 4,500 |  |  |  |  | 4,500 | 4,000 |
| 150,000 | 3,900 | 4,000 | 5,100 |  |  |  |  | 6,100 | 4,300 |
| 175,000 | 4,000 | 4,300 | 5,600 |  |  |  |  | 9,000 | 4,600 |
| 200,000 | 4,200 | 4,500 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,800 |
| 225,000 | 4,400 | 4,700 | 6,400 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| 250,000 | 4,600 | 4,900 | 6,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |
| 275,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 7,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,300 |
| 300,000 | 5,600 | 5,200 | 7,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,500 |
| 400,000 |  | 6,400 | 8,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,901 |
| 500,000 |  | 9,200 | 9,400 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,50 |

SOURCE: Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Table 4. Standard errors for estimates of male scientists and engineers by racial/ethnic group: 1984
A. WHITE, NON•HISPANIC MALES

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental scientists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Other Physical scientist | Mathematician | Statistician |  | Earth scientist | Ocean. ographer | Atmospheric scientist |
| 100 | 380 | 180 | 130 | 140 | 110 | 480 | 210 | 50 | 70 |
| 200 | 390 | 200 | 150 | 160 | 130 | 490 | 220 | 80 | 100 |
| 500 | 420 | 240 | 200 | 210 | 190 | 510 | 250 | 170 | 190 |
| 700 | 440 | 270 | 230 | 250 | 230 | 530 | 260 | 220 | 240 |
| 1,000 | 470 | 310 | 280 | 310 | 280 | 550 | 290 | 300 | 300 |
| 2,500 | 600 | 520 | 520 | 570 | 510 | 660 | 430 | 580 | 540 |
| 5,000 | 810 | 830 | 850 | 960 | 770 | 830 | 640 | 560 | 680 |
| 10,000 | 1,200 | 1.400 | 1,300 | 1,500 | i,200 | 1,200 | 990 |  | 1,100 |
| 25,000 | 2,100 | 2,400 | 1,900 | 2,200 |  | 2,100 | 1,600 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 2,800 | 3,100 |  | 2,300 |  | 3,400 | 2,000 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 3,000 | 4,400 |  | 6,000 |  | 4,300 | 2,800 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 3,000 | 4,900 |  | 7,700 |  | 4,500 | 3,200 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 3,000 |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |  |  |  |
| 125,000 | 3,300 |  |  |  |  | 5,700 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 4,300 |  |  |  |  | 6,100 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,500 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,000 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,400 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,800 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,800 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. (cont.)

| Siz 3 of estimata | Lifg scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bloiogist | Agricultural sclentist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Sociologist/ Anthro. pologist |  | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical/ Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 350 | 290 | 40 | 450 | 160 | 230 | 310 | 210 | 220 |
| 200 | 360 | 300 | 80 | 460 | 180 | 250 | 330 | 220 | 230 |
| 500 | 390 | 330 | 170 | 490 | 230 | 300 | 380 | 250 | 260 |
| 700 | 400 | 360 | 230 | 510 | 260 | 340 | 420 | 270 | 280 |
| 1,000 | 430 | 390 | 310 | 540 | 310 | 400 | 470 | 290 | 300 |
| 2,500 | 550 | 560 | 670 | 690 | 560 | 660 | 720 | 420 | 430 |
| 5,000 | 760 | R20 | 1,100 | 930 | 930 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 630 | 630 |
| 10,000 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,500 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,000 | 970 |
| 25,000 | 2,000 | 2,300 | 2,100 | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,800 | 2,500 | 1,900 | 1,700 |
| 50,000 | 2,700 | 3,300 |  | 3,500 | 2,400 | 4,200 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,200 |
| 75,000 | 2,900 | 4,700 |  | 4,100 | 2,100 |  | 4,300 | 2,800 | 2,500 |
| 80,000 | 2,800 | 5,200 |  | 4,200 | 2,300 |  | 5,100 | 2,800 | 2,700 |
| 100,000 | 2,700 | 7,800 |  | 4,800 | 4,300 |  | 11,000 | 2,700 | 3,600 |
| 125,000 | 2,500 | 14,000 |  | 6,100 |  |  |  | 2,700 | 6,200 |
| 150,000 | 2,700 |  |  | 8,500 |  |  |  | 3,200 | 6,20 |
| 175,000 | 3,400 |  |  | 12,400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Civil } \\ \text { engineer } \end{array}$ | Electrical/ Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engineer | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other engineer |
| 100 | 410 | 570 | 520 | 200 | 0 | 70 | 120 | 240 | 480 |
| 200 | 420 | 580 | 520 | 210 | 30 | 90 | 140 | 250 | 480 |
| 500 | 440 | 590 | 540 | 250 | 110 | 140 | 190 | 280 | 500 |
| 700 | 450 | 600 | 550 | 280 | 150 | 180 | 220 | 300 | 510 |
| 1,000 | 460 | 610 | 560 | 320 | 220 | 230 | 280 | 330 | 520 |
| 2,500 | 550 | 670 | 630 | 490 | 520 | 460 | 500 | 480 | 600 |
| 5,000 | 680 | 770 | 750 | 750 | 830 | 750 | 800 | 720 | 730 |
| 10,000 | 930 | 960 | 970 | 1,200 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 980 |
| 25,000 | 1,600 | 1,500 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 2,300 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 2,200 | 1,700 |
| 50,000 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 2,600 | 2,800 |  |  |  | 3,100 | 2,600 |
| 75,000 | 3,200 | 2,900 | 3,400 | 8,000 |  |  |  | 3,600 | 3,400 |
| 80,000 | 3,300 | 3,000 | 3,600 | 9,900 |  |  |  | 3,700 | 3,500 |
| 100,000 | 3,600 | 3,400 | 4,200 |  |  |  |  | 4,0CJ | 4,000 |
| 125,000 | 3,900 | 3,800 | 4,800 |  |  |  |  | 4,900 | 4,400 |
| 150,000 | 4,000 | 4,100 | 5,300 |  |  |  |  | 6,600 | 4,700 |
| 175,000 | 4,200 | 4,400 | 5,800 |  |  |  |  | 9,800 | 5,000 |
| 200,000 | 4,400 | 4,500 | 6,200 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |
| 225,000 | 4,600 | 4,700 | 6,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,200 |
| 250,000 | 4,900 | 4,800 | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,400 |
| 275,000 | 5,500 | 5,000 | 7,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,590 |
| 300,000 | 6,200 | 5,200 | 7,400 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,700 |
| 400,000 |  | 6,400 | 8,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,500 |
| 500,000 |  | 9,600 | 10,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. MINORITY MALES

| Size of estimate | Physical sclentists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental sclertists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Physical scientist | Mathematician | Statistician |  | Earth sclentlst | Oceanographer | Atmospheric scientist |
| 100 | 240 | 180 | 60 | 150 | 50 | 450 | 110 | 20 | 20 |
| 200 | 240 | 200 | 80 | 170 | 80 | 450 | 120 | 50 | 50 |
| 500 | 270 | 240 | 130 | 230 | 140 | 470 | 150 | 140 | 130 |
| 700 | 290 | 270 | 160 | 270 | 180 | 490 | 170 | 200 | 180 |
| 1,000 | 320 | 310 | 210 | 320 | 230 | 510 | 200 | 280 | 240 |
| 2,500 | 450 | 520 | 440 | 590 | 460 | 620 | 340 | 560 | 480 |
| 5,000 | 660 | 830 | 770 | 970 | 710 | 790 | 550 | 540 | 620 |
| 10,000 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 1,200 | 1,600 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 900 |  | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | <,400 | 1,800 | 2,200 |  | 2,100 | 1,500 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 2,700 | 3,100 |  | 2,300 |  | 3,300 | 1,900 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 2,800 | 4,400 |  | 6,000 |  | 4,300 | 2,700 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 2,900 | 4,900 |  | 7,700 |  | 4,500 | 3,100 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 2,900 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |
| 125,000 | 3,200 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 4,100 |  |  |  |  | 6,100 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,500 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,900 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,400 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,800 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,800 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biologist | ACricultural sclentist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Sociologist/ Anthropologist |  | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical/ Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 250 | 220 | 0 | 260 | 200 | 170 | 270 | 250 | 170 |
| 200 | 260 | 230 | 20 | 270 | 210 | 190 | 280 | 260 | 180 |
| 500 | 280 | 270 | 110 | 310 | 270 | 240 | 340 | 290 | 210 |
| 700 | 300 | 290 | 170 | 330 | 300 | 280 | 370 | 310 | 230 |
| 1,000 | 320 | 320 | 250 | 360 | 350 | 340 | 420 | 330 | 250 |
| 2,500 | 450 | 490 | 620 | 510 | 600 | 600 | 670 | 460 | 380 |
| 5,000 | 650 | 750 | 1,000 | 750 | 970 | 990 | 1,000 | 670 | 580 |
| 10,000 | 1,000 | 1,200 | 1,400 | 1,200 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,100 | 920 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 2,200 | 2,100 | 2,200 | 2,700 | 2,500 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,600 |
| 50,000 | 2,600 | 3,200 |  | 3,300 | 2,400 | 4,100 | 2,601 | 2,700 | 2,100 |
| 75,000 | 2,700 | 4,700 |  | 3,900 | 2,200 |  | 4,200 | 2,900 | 2,500 |
| 80,000 | 2,700 | 5,100 |  | 4,100 | 2,300 |  | 5,100 | 2,800 | 2,600 |
| 100,000 | 2,600 | 7,800 |  | 4,600 | 4,300 |  | 10,900 | 2,800 | 3,600 |
| 125,000 | 2,400 | 13,900 |  | 5,900 |  |  |  | 2,800 | 6,200 |
| 150,000 | 2,600 |  |  | 8,300 |  |  |  | 3,200 |  |
| 175,000 | 3,300 |  |  | 12,200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Civil } \\ \text { engineer } \end{array}$ | E sctrical/ Electronics englneer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineei | Mining engineer | Nuciear engineer | Petroleum engineer | industrial engineer | Other engineur |
| 100 | 310 | 440 | 350 | 130 | 80 | 40 | 70 | 190 | 320 |
| 200 | 320 | 450 | 360 | 140 | 110 | 60 | 80 | 210 | 320 |
| 500 | 340 | 460 | 370 | 180 | 190 | 120 | 140 | 240 | 340 |
| 700 | 350 | 470 | 380 | 210 | 230 | 150 | 170 | 260 | 350 |
| 1,000 | 360 | 480 | 390 | 250 | 300 | 200 | 220 | 290 | 360 |
| 2,500 | 450 | 540 | 460 | 430 | 600 | 430 | 450 | 440 | 440 |
| 5,000 | 580 | 640 | 580 | 690 | 910 | 720 | 750 | 670 | 570 |
| 10,000 | 830 | 840 | 800 | 1. 100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 820 |
| 25,000 | 1,500 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,700 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,500 |
| 50,000 | 2,400 | 2,100 | 2,400 | 2,700 |  |  |  | 3,100 | 2,500 |
| 75,000 | 3,100 | 2,800 | 3,300 | 7,900 |  |  |  | 3,600 | 3,200 |
| 80,000 | 3,200 | 2,900 | 3,400 | 9,800 |  |  |  | 3,600 | 3,300 |
| 100,000 | 3,500 | 3,300 | 4,000 |  |  |  |  | 4,000 | 3,800 |
| 125,000 | 3,800 | 3,700 | 4,600 |  |  |  |  | 4,800 | 4,200 |
| 150,000 | 3,900 | 4,000 | 5,200 |  |  |  |  | 6,600 | 4,600 |
| 175,000 | 4,100 | 4,200 | 5,600 |  |  |  |  | 9,700 | 4,800 |
| 200,000 | 4,300 | 4,400 | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| 225,000 | 4,500 | 4,600 | 6,400 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |
| 250,000 | 4,800 | 4,700 | 6,700 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,200 |
| 275,000 | 5,400 | 4,900 | 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,400 |
| 300,000 | 6,100 | 5,000 | 7,200 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,500 |
| 400,000 |  | 6,300 | 8,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,300 |
| 500,000 |  | 9,500 | 10,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Table 5. Standard errors for estimates of female sclentists and englneers by raclal/ethnic group: 1984
A. WHITE, NON-HISPANIC FEMALES

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental scientists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physicist/ Astronomer | Physica scientist | Mathematician | Statistician |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Earth } \\ \text { scientist } \end{array}$ | Ocean. ographer | Atmospheric scientist |
| 100 | 180 | 60 | 70 | 110 | 60 | 410 | 110 | 50 | 60 |
| 200 | 190 | 80 | 90 | 130 | 80 | 420 | 120 | 80 | 90 |
| 500 | 210 | 120 | 140 | 190 | 140 | 440 | 150 | 150 | 180 |
| 700 | 230 | 150 | 17. | 230 | 180 | 460 | 170 | 220 | 230 |
| 1,000 | 260 | 190 | 220 | 280 | 240 | 480 | 200 | 300 | 290 |
| 2,500 | 350 | 400 | 450 | 550 | 460 | 580 | 340 | 580 | 530 |
| 5,000 | 600 | 710 | 780 | 930 | 720 | 760 | 550 | 560 | 670 |
| 10,000 | 980 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 900 |  | 1,100 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 2,300 | 1,800 | 2,200 |  | 2,000 | 1,500 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 2,800 | 3,000 |  | 2,300 |  | 3,300 | 1,900 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 2,800 | 4,300 |  | 6,000 |  | 4,300 | 2,700 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 2,800 | 4,800 |  | 7,600 |  | 4,400 | 3,100 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 2,800 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |
| .125,000 | 3,100 |  |  |  |  | 5,600 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 4,100 |  |  |  |  | 6,100 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,500 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,900 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,400 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,700 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,700 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. (cont.)

| Slze of estimate | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scilentists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blologist | Agricultural scientist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Soclologist/ Anthropulogist | Other Social sclentist | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical/ Art-onautical engineer |
| 100 | 330 | 100 | 110 | 350 | 170 | 190 | 240 | 70 | 50 |
| 200 | 340 | 110 | 140 | 360 | 180 | 210 | 260 | 80 | 60 |
| 500 | 370 | 140 | 230 | 390 | 240 | 260 | 310 | 100 | 90 |
| 700 | 390 | 170 | 290 | 410 | 270 | 300 | 340 | 120 | 110 |
| 1,000 | 410 | 200 | 380 | 440 | 320 | 360 | 400 | 150 | 130 |
| 2,500 | 540 | 370 | 740 | 590 | 570 | 620 | 640 | 280 | 260 |
| 5,000 | 740 | 630 | 1,200 | 840 | 940 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 490 | 460 |
| 10,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 1,300 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 1,600 | 870 | 810 |
| 25,000 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 2,200 | 2,300 | 2,500 | 2,700 | 2,500 | 1,800 | 1,500 |
| 50,000 | 2,700 | 3,100 |  | 3,400 | 2,400 | 4,200 | 2,600 | 2,500 | 2,000 |
| 75,000 | 2,800 | 4,500 |  | 4,000 | 2,200 |  | 4,200 | 2,700 | 2,400 |
| 80,000 | 2,800 | 5,000 |  | 4,100 | 2,300 |  | 5,000 | 2,700 | 2,500 |
| 100,000 | 2,700 | 7,600 |  | 4,700 | 4,300 |  | 10,900 | 2,600 | 3,400 |
| 125,000 | 2,500 | 13,800 |  | 6,000 |  |  |  | 2,600 | 6,000 |
| 150,000 | 2,700 |  |  | 8,400 |  |  |  | 3,000 |  |
| 175,000 | 3,400 |  |  | 12,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil engineer | Electrical/ Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engineer | Petroleum engineer | Industriai engineer | Other engineer |
| 16 | 130 | 210 | 250 | 60 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 70 | 290 |
| 20, | 140 | 210 | 250 | 70 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 80 | 290 |
| 500 | 160 | 220 | 270 | 110 | 120 | 110 | 130 | 120 | 310 |
| 700 | 170 | 230 | 280 | 140 | i70 | 140 | 170 | 140 | 320 |
| 1,000 | 180 | 240 | 290 | 170 | 240 | 190 | 220 | 170 | 340 |
| 2,500 | 270 | 310 | 360 | 350 | 540 | 420 | 450 | 320 | 410 |
| 5,000 | 400 | 410 | 470 | 610 | 850 | 710 | 750 | 550 | 540 |
| 10,000 | 660 | 600 | 700 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 990 | 790 |
| 25,000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1,600 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 2,000 | 1,500 |
| 50,000 | 2,200 | 1,900 | 2,300 | 2.600 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 2,400 |
| 75,000 | 2,900 | 2,500 | 3,200 | 7,800 |  |  |  | 3,400 | 3,200 |
| 80,000 | 3,000 | 2,700 | 3,300 | 9,800 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 3,300 |
| 100,000 | 3,300 | 3,000 | 3,900 |  |  |  |  | 3,900 | 3,800 |
| 125,000 | 3,600 | 3,400 | 4,500 |  |  |  |  | 4,700 | 4,200 |
| 150,000 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 5,100 |  |  |  |  | 6,500 | 4,500 |
| 175,000 | 3,900 | 4,000 | 5,500 |  |  |  |  | 9,600 | 4,800 |
| 200,000 | 4,100 | 4,200 | 5,900 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,900 |
| 225,000 | 4,300 | 4,300 | 6,300 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100 |
| 250,000 | 4,700 | 4,500 | 6,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,200 |
| 275,000 | 5,200 | 4,600 | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,300 |
| 300,000 | 6,000 | 4,800 | 7,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,500 |
| 400,000 |  | 6,100 | 8,200 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,300 |
| 500,000 |  | 9,200 | 10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. MINORITY FEMALES

| Size of estimate | Physical scientists |  |  | Mathematical scientists |  | Computer specialists | Environmental scientists |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemist | Physiclat/ Astronomer | Physical scientist | Mathe. matician | Statistician |  | Earth scientist | Oceanographer | Atmospheric scientist |
| 100 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 130 | 10 | 380 | 20 | 20 | 10 |
| 200 | 40 | 80 | 10 | 150 | 30 | 380 | 30 | 50 | 40 |
| 500 | 70 | 120 | 70 | 200 | 90 | 400 | 60 | 140 | 120 |
| 700 | 80 | 150 | 100 | 240 | 130 | 420 | 80 | 200 | 170 |
| 1,000 | 11 J | 190 | 150 | 300 | 180 | 440 | 110 | 270 | 240 |
| 2,500 | 240 | 400 | 380 | 560 | 410 | 550 | 240 | 550 | 470 |
| 5,000 | 450 | 710 | 710 | 950 | 670 | 720 | 450 | 530 | 610 |
| 10,000 | 840 | 1,300 | 1,200 | 1,500 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 810 |  | 1,000 |
| 25,000 | 1,700 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 2,200 |  | 2,000 | 1,400 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 2,500 | 3,000 |  | 2,300 |  | 3,200 | 1,800 |  |  |
| 75,000 | 2,600 | 4,300 |  | 6,000 |  | 4,200 | 2,600 |  |  |
| 80,000 | 2,600 | 4,800 |  | 7,700 |  | 4,400 | 3,000 |  |  |
| 100,000 | 2,700 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |
| 125.000 | 3,000 |  |  |  |  | 5,600 |  |  |  |
| 150,000 | 3,900 |  |  |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |  |
| 175,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,400 |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,800 |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,300 |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,900 |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,700 |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,700 |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. (cont.)

|  | Life scientists |  |  | Psychologists | Social scientists |  |  | Engineers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of estımate | Biologist | Agricultural scientist | Medical scientist |  | Economist | Sociologist/ Anthropologist | Other <br> Social scientist | Chemical engineer | Aeronautical Astronautical engineer |
| 100 | 230 | 30 | 60 | 170 | 200 | 130 | 190 | 110 | 10 |
| 200 | 240 | 40 | 90 | 180 | 220 | 150 | 210 | 120 | 10 |
| 500 | 270 | 80 | 180 | 210 | 270 | 200 | 260 | 140 | 40 |
| 700 | 280 | 100 | 240 | 230 | 310 | 240 | 300 | 160 | 60 |
| 1,000 | 310 | 130 | 320 | 260 | 360 | 300 | 350 | 190 | 80 |
| 2,500 | 430 | 300 | 690 | 410 | 610 | 560 | 600 | 320 | 210 |
| 5,000 | 630 | 560 | 1,000 | 650 | 980 | 950 | 970 | 530 | 410 |
| 10,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 1,100 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 910 | 760 |
| 25,000 | 1,900 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,600 | 2,700 | 2,400 | 1,800 | 1,500 |
| 50,000 | 2,600 | 3,100 |  | 3,200 | 2,400 | 4,100 | 2,600 | 2,500 | 2,000 |
| 75,000 | 2,700 | 4,500 |  | 3,800 | 2,200 |  | 4,200 | 2,100 | 2,300 |
| 80,000 | 2,700 | 4,900 |  | 4,000 | 2,400 |  | 5,000 | 2,700 | 2,500 |
| 100,000 | 2,600 | 7,600 |  | 4,600 | 4,300 |  | 10,800 | 2,600 | 3,400 |
| 125,000 | 2,400 | 13,700 |  | 5,800 |  |  |  | 2,600 | 6,000 |
| 150,000 | 2,600 |  |  | 8,200 |  |  |  | 3,000 |  |
| 175,000 | 3,300 |  |  | 12,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. (cont.)

| Size of estimate | Engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civil engineer | Electrical/ Electronics engineer | Mechanical engineer | Materials engineer | Mining engineer | Nuclear engineer | Petroleum engineer | Industrial engineer | Other engineer |
| 100 | 40 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 130 |
| 200 | 40 | 80 | 90 | 0 | 130 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 130 |
| 500 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 40 | 200 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 150 |
| 700 | 70 | 100 | 110 | 70 | 250 | 120 | 120 | 90 | 160 |
| 1,000 | 90 | 120 | 120 | 110 | 320 | 170 | 170 | 120 | 180 |
| 2,500 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 280 | 620 | 390 | 400 | 270 | 250 |
| 5,000 | 300 | 280 | 310 | 550 | 930 | 690 | 690 | 510 | 380 |
| 10,000 | 560 | 470 | 530 | 950 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 940 | 630 |
| 25,000 | 1,200 | 1,000 | 1,200 | 1,500 | 2,400 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 2,000 | 1,300 |
| 50,000 | 2,100 | 1,800 | 2,100 | 2,600 |  |  |  | 2,900 | 2,300 |
| 75,000 | 2.800 | 2,400 | 3,000 | 7,800 |  |  |  | 3,400 | 3,000 |
| 80,000 | 2,900 | 2,500 | 3,100 | 9,700 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 3,200 |
| 100,000 | 3,200 | 2,900 | 3,700 |  |  |  |  | 3,800 | 3,600 |
| 125,000 | 3,500 | 3,300 | 4,400 |  |  |  |  | 4,700 | 4,100 |
| 150,000 | 3,700 | 3,600 | 4,900 |  |  |  |  | 6,400 | 4,400 |
| 175,000 | 3,800 | 3,900 | 5,400 |  |  |  |  | 9,600 | 4,600 |
| 200,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 5,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,800 |
| 225,000 | 4,200 | 4,200 | ¢,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,900 |
| 250,000 | 4,600 | 4,3C0 | 6,400 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| 275,000 | 5,100 | 4,500 | 6,700 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,200 |
| 300,000 | 5,900 | 4,700 | 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,400 |
| 400,000 |  | 5,900 | 8,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,100 |
| 500,000 |  | 9,100 | 9,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Table 6. Generalized stan-ard errors of statistical rates for male and female scientists and engineers by racial/ethnic group, size of rate, and size of base: 1984
A. WHITE, NON-HISPANIC MALES

| Size of <br> base | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | $0.0 i 3$ | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.047 | 0.052 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.017 |
| 200 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.047 | 0.652 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.017 |
| 500 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.047 | 0.052 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.017 |
| 700 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.047 | 0.052 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.017 |
| 1,000 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.047 | 0.052 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.017 |
| 2,500 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.029 | 0.046 | 0.052 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.020 | 0.017 | 0.016 |
| 5,000 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.029 | 0.046 | 0.052 | 0.038 | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.017 | 0.016 |
| 10,000 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.019 | 0.028 | 0.045 | 0.051 | 0.037 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.615 |
| 25,000 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.043 | 0.048 | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.017 | 0.014 | 0.013 |
| 50,000 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.022 | 0.040 | 0.045 | 0.031 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| 75,000 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.020 | 0.037 | 0.043 | 0.029 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.007 |
| 80,000 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.037 | 0.042 | 0.028 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.007 |
| 100,000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.018 | 0.035 | 0.041 | 0.027 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| 125,000 |  | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0.034 | 0.039 | 0.025 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| 150,000 |  | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.016 | 0.033 | 0.039 | 0.025 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| 175,000 |  | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.038 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| 200,000 |  | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.032 | 0.038 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 |

B. MINORITY MALES

| Size of <br> base | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.032 | 0.051 | 0.094 | 0.121 | 0.093 | 0.052 | 0.034 | 0.023 | 0.059 |
| 200 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0032 | 0.051 | 0.094 | 0.121 | 0.093 | 0.052 | 0.034 | 0.023 | 0.019 |
| 500 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.031 | 0.051 | 0.094 | 0.120 | 0.093 | 0.052 | 0.034 | 0.022 | 0.018 |
| 700 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.031 | 0.050 | 0.094 | 0.120 | 0.092 | 0.051 | 0.034 | 0.022 | 0.018 |
| 1,000 | 0.014 | 0.018 | 0.031 | 0.050 | 0.093 | 0.120 | 0.092 | 0.051 | 0.033 | 0.022 | 0.018 |
| 2,500 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 0.029 | 0.048 | 0.091 | 0.117 | 0.090 | 0.049 | 0.031 | 0.019 | 0.015 |
| 5,000 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.045 | 0.088 | 0.114 | 0.087 | 0.046 | 0.028 | 0.016 | 0.012 |
| 10,000 | 0.094 | 0.008 | 0.021 | 0.040 | 0.083 | 0.110 | 0.082 | 0.041 | 0.023 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| 25.000 |  | 0.002 | 0.015 | 0.034 | 0.077 | 0.104 | 0.076 | 0.035 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.002 |
| 50,000 |  | 0.002 | 0.015 | 0.034 | 0.077 | 0.104 | 0.076 | 0.035 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.002 |
| 75,000 |  |  |  | 0.011 | 0.055 | 0.081 | 0.053 | 0.012 |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.070 | 0.043 | 0.001 |  |  |  |

Table 6. (cont.)
C. WHITE, NON.HISPANIC FEMALES

| Size of <br> base | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.032 | 0.047 | 0.078 | 0.095 | 0.075 | 0.048 | 0.036 | 0.029 | 0.027 |
| 200 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.032 | 0.046 | 0.078 | 0.095 | 0.074 | 0.047 | 0.036 | 0.029 | 0.027 |
| 500 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.031 | 0.046 | 0.077 | 0.094 | 0.074 | 0.047 | 0.036 | 0.029 | 0.026 |
| 700 | 0.018 | 0.021 | 0.031 | 0.045 | 0.077 | 0.094 | 0.074 | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.028 | 0.026 |
| 1,000 | 0.017 | 0.021 | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.076 | 0.094 | 0.073 | 0.046 | 0.035 | $0 . c 28$ | 0.025 |
| 2,500 | 0.015 | 0.018 | 0.028 | 0.042 | 0.074 | 0.091 | 0.070 | 0.043 | 0.032 | 0.025 | 0.023 |
| 5,000 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.024 | 0.038 | 0.070 | 0.087 | 0.066 | 0.039 | 0.028 | 0.021 | 0.018 |
| 10,000 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.017 | 0.031 | 0.063 | 0.080 | 0.059 | 0.032 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.011 |
| 25,000 |  |  | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.050 | 0.067 | 0.046 | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| 50,000 |  |  | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.047 | 0.064 | 0.043 | 0.016 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 75,000 |  |  | 0.005 | 0.020 | 0.052 | 0.069 | 0.049 | 0.021 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| 80,000 |  |  | 0.007 | 0.021 | 0.053 | 0.070 | 0.049 | 0.022 | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.001 |
| 100,000 |  |  | 0.003 | 0.017 | 0.049 | 0.066 | 0.045 | 0.018 | 0.007 |  |  |
| 125,000 |  |  |  |  | 0.019 | 0.036 | 0.016 |  |  |  |  |

D. MINORITY FEMALES

| Size of <br> base | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.25 | Size of rate <br> 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.098 | 0.118 | 0.088 | 0.056 | 0.045 | 0.037 | 0.035 |
| 200 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.098 | 0.118 | 0.088 | 0.056 | 0.045 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| 500 | 0.012 | 0.018 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.118 | 0.088 | 0.057 | 0.045 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| 700 | 0.012 | 0.018 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.118 | 0.088 | 0.057 | 0.045 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| 1,000 | 0.012 | 0.018 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.118 | 0.088 | 0.057 | 0.045 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| 2,500 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.030 | 0.051 | 0.096 | 0.115 | 0.085 | 0.054 | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.033 |
| 5,000 |  | 0005 | 0.019 | 0.041 | 0.086 | 0.105 | 0.075 | 0.044 | 0.032 | 0.025 | 0.022 |
| 10,000 |  |  |  | 0.018 | 0.063 | 0.082 | 0.052 | 0.020 | 0.009 | 0.002 |  |
| 25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Table 7. Standard arrors for estimates of doctoral scientists and engineers: 1983

| Standard errors cf totals |  | Standard errors of percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slze of estimate | Estimated sampling error | Base of percent | Estimated percent |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1/99 | $2 / 98$ | 5/95 | 10/90 | 25/75 | 50 |
| 100 | 35 | 500 | 1.53 | 2.15 | 3.35 | 4.62 | 6.66 | 7.69 |
| 200 | 50 | 1,000 | 1.08 | 1.52 | 2.37 | 3.26 | 4.71 | 5.44 |
| 500 | 75 | 2,000 | 0.77 | 1.08 | 1.68 | 2.31 | 3.33 | 3.85 |
| 1,000 | 110 | 5,000 | 0.48 | 0.68 | 1.06 | 1.46 | 2.11 | 2.43 |
| 2,000 | 150 | 10,000 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 075 | 1.03 | 1.49 | 1.72 |
| 5,000 | 240 | 15,000 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 061 | 0.84 | 1.22 | 1.40 |
| 10,000 | 340 | 20,000 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 2.53 | 0.73 | 1.05 | 1.22 |
| 15,000 | 410 | 30,000 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.86 | 0.99 |
| 20,000 | 470 | 40,000 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.74 | 0.86 |
| 30,000 | 570 | 50,000 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0.77 |
| 40,000 | 650 | 75,000 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.63 |
| 50,000 | 720 | 100,000 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.54 |
| 75,000 | 840 | :50,000 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.44 |
| 100,000 | 930 | 200,000 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.38 |
| 150,000 | 1,030 | 250,000 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.34 |
| 200,000 | 1,040 | 275,000 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.33 |
| 250,000 | 980 | 300,000 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.31 |
| 300,000 | 820 | 325,000 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.30 |
| Employed Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard errors of totals |  | Standard errors of percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size of | Estimated sampling | Base of |  |  | Estim | percent |  |  |
| estimate | error | percent | 1/99 | $2 / 98$ | 5/95 | 10/90 | 25/75 | 50 |
| 100 | 20 | 500 | 0.96 | 1.35 | 2.10 | 2.89 | 4.17 | 4.82 |
| 200 | 30 | 1,000 | 0.68 | 0.95 | 1.49 | 2.05 | 2.95 | 3.41 |
| 500 | 50 | 2,000 | 0.48 | 0.67 | 1.05 | 1.45 | 2.09 | 2.41 |
| 1,000 | 65 | 5,000 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.66 | 0.91 | 1.32 | 1.52 |
| 2,000 | 95 | 10,000 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.93 | 1.08 |
| 5,000 | 140 | 15,000 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.76 | 0.88 |
| 10,000 | 190 | 20,000 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.66 | 0.76 |
| 15,000 | 220 | 25,000 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.68 |
| 20,000 | 230 | 30,000 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.54 | 0.62 |
| 30,000 | 230 | 35,000 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.58 |
| 40,000 | 180 | 40,000 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.54 |
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Appendix table 1. Employed scientists and engineers by field and sex: 1976, 1982, \& 1984

| Field | 1976 |  |  | 1982 |  |  | 1984 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Nomen | Total | Men | Womer |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total scientists } \\ & \text { and engineers }\end{aligned} \quad 2,331,200 \quad 2,131,600 \quad 199,700 \quad 3,253,000 \quad 2,864,000 \quad 388,900 \quad 3,995,500 \quad 3,482,900 \quad 542,600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 959,500 | 781,300 | 178,200 | 1,405,700 | 1,075,100 | 330,600 | 1,781,400 | 1,343,300 | 438,100 |
| Physical scientists Chemists | 188,900 | 172,700 | 16,200 | 227,400 | 205,100 | 22,300 | 254,100 | 225,800 |  |
| Chemists | 132,800 44,300 | 119,100 42,600 | 13,700 1,700 | 154,100 47,600 | 136,400 45,200 | 17,700 | 168,600 | 225,800 | 28,300 |
| Other physical scientists | 11,800 | 10,900 | 1800 | 47,600 25,600 | 45,200 23,500 | 2,500 2,100 | 61,200 24,300 | 58,200 21,200 | 3,000 3,100 |
| Mathematical scientists Mathematicians Statisticians | $\begin{array}{r} 48,600 \\ 43,400 \\ 5,200 \end{array}$ | 37,100 33,700 3,400 | 11,500 9,700 1,800 | 79,400 62,500 16,900 | 54,000 44,600 | 25,300 17,900 | 100,400 83,900 | 78,500 65,900 12,500 | 21,900 17,900 |
|  | 5,200 | 3,400 | 1,800 | 16,900 | 9,400 | 7,500 | 16,500 | 12,500 | 4,000 |
| Computer specialists | 119,000 | 38,400 | 20,600 | 299,000 | 220,300 | 78,700 | 436,800 | 322,700 | 114,100 |
| Environmentil scientists Earth scientists | 54,800 46,500 | 50,900 | 3,900 | 87,200 | 74,800 | 12,400 |  |  |  |
| Earth scientists Oceanographers | 46,500 4,400 | 42,900 4,400 | 3,600 | 73,600 | 62,500 | 12,400 11,100 | 98,100 82,300 | 87,800 73,500 | 10,300 8,800 |
| Atmospheric scientists | 4,400 3,800 | 4,400 3,600 | (1) 300 | 3,400 10,300 | 2,900 9,400 | 400 900 | 8,300 12,600 | 2,700 | 8,800 |
| Life scientists |  |  |  |  |  | 68,600 | 12,600 | 11,600 270,700 | 1,000 |
| Biological scientists | 139,400 | 179,600 | 33,900 24,100 | 337,100 233,800 | 268,500 184,200 | 68,600 49,600 | 353,300 236,600 | 270,700 176,100 | $82,60{ }^{8}$ |
| Agricultural scientists Medical scientists | 40,700 | 39,100 | 1,600 | 73,800 | 61,800 | 12,000 | 236,600 88,700 | 176,100 72,400 | 60,400 |
| Medical scientists | 33,300 | 25,100 | 8,200 | 29,500 | 22,500 | 7,000 | 27,900 | 22,200 | 16,800 |
| Psychologists | 112,500 | 76,900 | 35,600 | 138,400 | 83,000 | 55,400 | 200,500 | 121,100 | 88,400 |
| Social scientists | 222,300 | 165,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economists Sociologists/ | 62,500 | 54,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 56,600 \\ 8,000 \end{array}$ | $103,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 169,300 \\ 84,600 \end{array}$ | 67,900 18,400 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 125,200 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236,800 \\ & 106,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92,400 \\ 18,600 \end{array}$ |
| anthropologists | 33,900 | 22,500 | 11,400 | 57,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other social scientists | 125,900 | 88,700 | 37,200 | 77,200 | $\begin{aligned} & 32,700 \\ & 52,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,200 \\ & 25,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77,700 \\ 125,0078 \end{array}$ | $45,700$ | $32,000$ |

Appendix table 1. - continued

| Field | 1976 |  |  | 1982 |  |  | 1984 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Engineers | 1,371,700 | 1,350,300 | 21,400 | 1,847,200 | 1,788,900 | 58,300 | 2,214,1no | 2,139,600 | 74,500 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 56,800 | 56,400 | 400 | 80,800 | 78,700 | 2,100 | 97,200 | 94,900 | 2,200 |
| Chemical | 77,500 | 75,000 | 2,500 | 107,700 | 101,600 | 6,100 | 140,100 | 131,300 | 8,800 |
| Civil | 188,200 | 182,200 | 5,400 | 258,200 | 252,200 | 6,100 | 312,700 | 303,400 | 9,300 |
| Electrical/electronics | 283,000 | 284,400 | 1,600 | 437,700 | 1,28,600 | 9,100 | 500,700 | 488,500 | 12,200 |
| Industrial | NA | NA | NA | 113,100 | 108,600 | 4,500 | 131,700 | 126,400 | 5,300 |
| Materials | NA | NA | NA | 39,200 | 37,500 | 1,700 | 51,300 | 49,100 | 2,200 |
| Mechanical | 276,200 | 273,900 | 2,300 | 357,900 | 350,700 | 7,100 | 445,600 | 434,600 | 10,900 |
| Mining | na | NA | NA | 14,200 | 13,700 | 500 | 16,500 | 15,900 | 600 |
| Nuclear | NA | NA | NA | 18,200 | 17,900 | 400 | 22,100 | 21,300 | 800 |
| Petroleum | NA | NA | NA | 27,700 | 26,300 | 1,400 | 33,300 | 31,300 | 2,000 |
| Other engineers | 490,000 | 480,900 | 9,100 | 392,500 | 373,200 | 19,300 | 463,000 | 442,900 | 20,100 |

(1) Too few cases to estimate.

NA: Not available
Note: Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 2. Employed scientists and engineers by field and racial/ethnic group: 1976, 1982, 1984

| Field | 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total scientists } \\ \text { and engineers }\end{array} \quad 2,331,200 \quad 2,141,900 \mathrm{Na}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 959,500 | 870,900 | 21,400 | 48,500 | NA | NA |
| Physical scientists <br> Chemists <br> Physicists/astronomers <br> Qُier physical scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 188,900 \\ 132,800 \\ 44,300 \\ 11,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172,400 \\ 121,200 \\ 40,500 \\ 10,700 \end{array}$ | 3,200 2,800 300 100 | 4,700 6,800 600 200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | NA <br> NA <br> NA <br> NA |
| Mathematical scientists Mathematicians Staitisticians | $\begin{array}{r} 48,600 \\ 43,400 \\ 5,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,200 \\ 39,700 \\ 4,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,600 \\ 2,300 \\ 200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,600 \\ 1,200 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | NA NÁ NA |
| Computer specialists | 119,000 | 110,700 | 1,600 | 4,000 | NA | NA |
| Environmental scientists <br> Eaith scientists Oceanographers Atmospheric scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 54,800 \\ 46,500 \\ 4,400 \\ 3,800 \end{array}$ | 48,300 42,400 2,600 3,400 | 2,000 200 1,800 (3) | 3,200 2,700 100 400 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ | NA NA NA NA |
| Life scientists Biological scientists Agricultural scientists Medical scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 213,500 \\ 139,400 \\ 40,700 \\ 33,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200,700 \\ 131,000 \\ 38,800 \\ 30,900 \end{array}$ | 4,900 3,000 500 1,400 | 5,300 3,700 900 700 | NA NA NA NA | NA NA NA NA |
| Psychologists | 112,500 | 105,100 | 3,800 | 1,000 | NA | NA |
| ```Social scientists Economists Sociologists/ anthropologists Other social scientists``` | $\begin{array}{r} 222,300 \\ 62,500 \\ 33,900 \\ 125,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,400 \\ 54,500 \\ 30,200 \\ 104,700 \end{array}$ | 3,300 800 500 2,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,800 \\ 6,700 \\ 1,100 \\ 18,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N A \\ & N A \\ & N A \\ & N A \\ & N_{i}^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \text { NA } \end{aligned}$ |

Appendix table 2. - continued


Appendix table 2. - continued

| Field | 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientistsand engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 1,405,700 | 1,294,200 | 40,000 | 48,000 | 6.500 | 28,100 |
| Physical scientists Chemists Physicistsfastronomers Other physical scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 227,400 \\ 154,100 \\ 47,500 \\ 25,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 212,700 \\ 143,100 \\ 45,200 \\ 24,400 \end{array}$ | 3,500 2,900 400 200 | 8,200 6,400 1,200 600 | 600 500 100 (3) | $\begin{array}{r} 3,600 \\ 2,200 \\ 900 \\ 500 \end{array}$ |
| Mathematical scientists Mathematicians Statisticians | $\begin{array}{r} 79,400 \\ 62,500 \end{array}$ $16,900$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72,300 \\ & 57,100 \\ & 15,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,600 \\ & 2,600 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | 2,700 2,100 600 | 100 100 (3) | 1,400 100 500 |
| Computer specialists | 299,000 | 272,300 | 8,900 | 13,100 | 1,100 | 4,600 |
| Environmental scientists Earth scientists Oceanogr aphers Atmospheric scientists | 87,200 73,600 3,400 10,300 | 80,900 68,500 2,900 9,400 | 600 500 (3) (3) | 3,600 2,900 100 600 | 900 700 200 (3) | 1,400 1,200 $(3)$ 100 |
| Life scientists Biological scientists Agricultural scientists Medical scientists | 337,100 233,800 73,800 29,500 | 316,900 219,200 70,000 27,700 | 8,000 6,400 1,300 400 | 7,800 5,200 1,600 1,000 | 1,300 730 600 100 | 6,700 4,300 1,500 900 |
| Psychologists | 138,400 | 130,400 | 4,500 | :,200 | 1,000 | 2,300 |
| Social scientists Economists Sociologists/ | $\begin{aligned} & 237,200 \\ & 103,100 \end{aligned}$ | 208,700 91,200 | 10,900 2,400 | 11,300 7,200 | 1,500 900 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,000 \\ & 2,300 \end{aligned}$ |
| anthropologists other social scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 57,000 \\ 77,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,300 \\ & 68,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,200 \\ & 4,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,700 \\ & 2,400 \end{aligned}$ | 400 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,000 \\ & 2,800 \end{aligned}$ |

Appendix table 2. - continued

| Field | 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native Anerican | Hispanic (2) |
| Engineers | 1,847,200 | 1,697,700 | 31,500 | 86,600 | 9,100 | 41,900 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 80,800 | 76,000 | 1,200 | 2,600 | 200 | 1,600 |
| Chemical | 107,700 | 97,700 | 1,000 | 7,300 | 200 | 3,000 |
| Civil | 258,200 | 231,190 | 3,700 | 17,700 | 1,000 | 8,000 |
| Electrical/electronics | 437,700 | 397,200 | 9,700 | 23,800 | 2,300 | 9,000 |
| Irdustrial | 113,100 | 106,900 | 2,200 | 2,700 | 400 | 2,700 |
| Materials | 39,200 | 36,100 | 400 | 2,400 | 200 | 300 |
| Mechanical | 357,900 | 332,800 | 3,800 | 15,600 | 1,900 | 7,000 |
| Mining | 14,200 | 13,500 | (3) | 200 | 400 | 100 |
| Nuclear | 18,200 | 16,700 | 100 | 1,100 | (3) | 200 |
| Petroleum | 27,700 | 25,900 | 300 | 500 | 500 | 900 |
| Other engineers | 392,500 | 363,800 | 9,100 | 12,800 | 2,000 | 9,200 |
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Appendix table 2. - continued

| Field | 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientistsand engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 1,781,400 | 1,623,800 | 53,400 | 69,100 | 8,600 | 38,803 |
| Physical scientists Chemists Physicists/astronomers Other physical scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 254,100 \\ 168,600 \\ 61,200 \\ 24,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230,700 \\ 151,500 \\ 56,400 \\ 22,800 \end{array}$ | 6,100 5,300 600 200 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,500 \\ 8,500 \\ 2,800 \\ 1,100 \end{array}$ | 1,100 900 200 $(3)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,300 \\ 3,200 \\ 800 \\ 300 \end{array}$ |
| Mathematical scientists Mathematicians Statisticians | $\begin{array}{r} 100,400 \\ 83,900 \\ 16,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88,900 \\ & 74,100 \\ & 14,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 4,300 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | 4,700 3,800 90 | 400 200 200 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700 \\ 2,400 \\ 400 \end{array}$ |
| Computer specialists | 436,800 | 392,600 | 12,100 | 24,600 | 1,800 | 8,200 |
| Environmental scientists <br> Earth scientists Oceanographers Atmospheric scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 98,100 \\ 82,300 \\ 3,200 \\ 12,600 \end{array}$ | 94,200 79,200 3,000 12,000 | 600 400 (3) 160 | 1,800 1,300 100 400 | 300 200 (3) (3) | 1,800 1,500 100 300 |
| Life scientists Biological scientists Agricultural scientists Medical scientists | 353,300 236,600 88,700 27,900 | 329,300 218,900 84,200 26,300 | 6,700 5,600 800 300 | 10,400 7,600 1,700 1,100 | 2,100 900 1,100 100 | 7,300 5,600 1,300 400 |
| Psychologists | 209,500 | 196,000 | 7,300 | 2,000 | 1,800 | 4.200 |
| Social scientists Economists Sociologists/ | $\begin{aligned} & 329,200 \\ & 125,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 292,100 \\ & 113,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,000 \\ 4+00 \end{array}$ | 13,100 5,600 | 1,200 700 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,200 \\ 2,500 \end{array}$ |
| anthr opologists Other social scientists | 77,700 125,900 | 67,000 112,100 | 4,700 6,800 | 3,600 3,900 | 200 200 | 4,300 3,400 |

Appendix tatle 2. - continued

| Field | 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Engineers | 2,214,100 | 2,017,400 | 37,100 | 117,500 | 11,700 | 47,800 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 97,209 | 90,200 | 1,200 | 4,900 | 200 | 1,300 |
| Chemical | 140,100 | 125,100 | 1,500 | 10,300 | 700 | 2,900 |
| Civil | 312,700 | 275,000 | 4,800 | 23,800 | 1,700 | 8,100 |
| Electrical/electro: ics | 500,700 | 447,700 | 11,400 | 31,190 | 3,900 | 11,300 |
| Industrial | 131,700 | 123,700 | 3,000 | 2,800 | 600 | 3,400 |
| Materials | 51,300 | 46,600 | 800 | 3,100 | 200 | 100 |
| Mechanical | 445,600 | 412,100 | 4,800 | 21,300 | 2,500 | 9,200 |
| Mining | 16,500 | 15,800 | 100 | 300 | 400 | 100 |
| Nuclear | 22,100 | 20.500 | 100 | 1,300 | (3) | 100 |
| Petroleum | 33,300 | 31,100 | 300 | 700 | 500 | 1,000 |
| Other engineers | 463,000 | 429,500 | 9,100 | 18,000 | 1,000 | 10,400 |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

NA: Not available
SOURCE: :'ational S:ience Foundation

Appendix table 3. Employed scientists and engineers by field, sex, and racial/ethnic group: 1982 \& 1984

| Field and sex | 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientisis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and engineers | 3,253,000 | 2,991,900 | 71,500 | 134,600 | 15,600 | 70,000 |
| Men Women | $2,864,000$ 388,900 | $2,652,200$ 339,800 | 48,500 23,000 | 115,700 18,900 | 13,700 | 60,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 1,075,100 | 1,001,400 | 22,200 | 33,600 | 4,900 | 20,400 |
| Women | 330,600 | 292,900 | 17,800 | 14,400 | 1.500 | 7,700 |
| Physical sciwitists Men Women |  | 212,700 | 3,500 |  | 600 |  |
|  | $205,100$ | $193,000$ | 2,700 | 6,600 | 600 | 3,600 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,600 | (3) | 3, 500 |
| $\underset{\text { Mathematical scientists }}{\text { Men }}$ | 79,400 | 72,300 | 3,600 | 2,700 |  |  |
|  | 54,000 25,300 | 50,600 | 900 | 2,100 | 100 | 800 |
| Women | 25,300 | 21,800 | 2,600 | 70 | (3) | 600 |
| Computer specialists Men | 299,000 | 272,300 | 8,900 | 13,100 | 1,100 | 4,600 |
|  | 220,300 | 204,400 | 3,900 | 8,300 | 800 800 | 3,700 |
| Women |  | 67,900 | 5,000 | 4,700 | 300 | 900 |
| Environmental scientists Men Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $74,800$ | $68,800$ | 500 | 3,500 | 800 | 1,200 |
|  |  | 12,100 | 100 | +100 | (3) | +200 |
| Life scientists Men Women | 337.100 | 316.900 | 8,000 | 7,800 | 1,300 |  |
|  | 268,500 | 253,400 | 6,700 | 5,500 | 1,900 | 4,700 |
|  | 68,600 | 63,600 | 1,300 | 2,300 | 400 | 2,000 |
| Psychologists <br> Men |  |  | 4,500 |  | 1,000 |  |
|  | $83,000$ | 78,800 | 2,200 | r 500 | 1, 700 | 1,000 |
| Women | 55,400 | 51,600 | 2,300 | 700 | 300 | 2,300 |
| Social scientistsMen | 237,200 | 208,700 | 10,900 | 11,300 | 1,500 | 8,000 |
|  | 169,300 | 152,500 | 5,200 | 7,100 | 1900 900 | 5,800 |
| Women | 67,900 | 56,100 | 5,700 | 4,200 | 600 | 2,200 |
| Engineers Men Women |  |  |  |  | 9,100 |  |
|  | 1,788,900 | 1,658,000 | 26,200 | 82,100 | 8,800 | 49,100 |
|  | 58,300 | 46,900 | 5,200 | 4,500 | 300 | 1,800 |

Appendix table 3. - continued

| ```Field``` | 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientists |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and engineers | $3,995,500$ $3,482,900$ | $3,641,200$ $3,189,000$ | 90,500 67,600 | 186,500 159,500 | 20,400 18,500 | 86,600 |
| Women | 512,600 | 452,200 | 22,900 | 27.900 | 1,500 | 15,200 |
| Scientists | 1,781,400 | 1,623,800 | 53,400 | 69,100 | 8,600 | 38,80n |
| Men | 1,343,300 | 1,235,000 | 33,500 | 48,100 | 7,400 | 26,200 |
| Women | 438,100 | 388,800 | 19,800 | 20,900 | 1,300 | 12,700 |
| Physical scientistsMenWomen | 254,100 | 230,700 | 6,100 | 12,500 | 1,100 | 4,300 |
|  | 225,800 | 206,700 | 4,900 | 9,700 | 1,100 | 3,500 |
|  | 28,300 | 24,000 | 1,200 | 2,800 | (3) | 800 |
| Mathematical scientists Men | 100,400 | 88,900 | 4.700 | 4,700 | 400 | 2,700 |
|  | 78,500 | 69,600 | 3,000 | 4,200 | 400 | 2,000 |
| Women | 21,900 | 19,300 | 1,700 | 600 | (3) | 700 |
| Computer specialists Men | 436,800 | 392,600 | 12,100 | 24,600 | 1,800 | 8,200 |
|  | 322,700 | 292,900 | 6,600 | 17,400 | 1,600 | 5,100 |
| Women | 114,100 | -99,600 | 5,600 | 7,200 | 100 | 3,100 |
| Environmental scientistsMen |  | 94,200 | 600 | 1,800 | 300 |  |
|  | 87,800 | 84,300 | 500 | 1,700 | 200 | 1,600 |
| Women | 10,300 | 9,900 | 100 | 100 | (3) | 200 |
| Life scientists Men | 353,300 | 329,300 | 6,700 | 10,400 | 2,100 | 7,300 |
|  | 270,700 | 255,600 | 4,500 | 6,200 | 1,600 | 4,600 |
| Women | 82,500 | 73,700 | 2,100 | 4,200 | 500 | 2,700 |
| Psychologists Mers |  |  |  |  |  | 4,200 |
|  | 121,100 | 114,400 | 3,000 | 800 | 1,500 | 2,000 |
| Women | 88,400 | 81,600 | 4,300 | 1,200 | 300 | 2,200 |
| Social scientists | 329,200 | 292,100 | 15,900 | 13,100 | 1,200 | 10,200 |
|  | 236,800 | 211,500 | 11,000 | 8,300 | 1,000 | 7,300 |
| Women | 92,400 | 80,600 | 4,800 | 4,800 | 200 | 2,900 |
| Engineers Men Women | 2,214,100 | 2,017,400 | 37,100 | 117,500 | 11,700 | 47,800 |
|  | 2,139,600 | 1,953,900 | 34,100 | 111,400 | 11,500 | 45,200 |
|  | 74,500 | 63,500 | 3,100 | 6,100 | 200 | 2,600 |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 4. Employed doctoral scientists and engineers by field and sex: 1973, 1981, and 1983

| Field | 1973 |  |  | 1981 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Wismen |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Total scientists } \\ \text { and enginetrs }\end{array} \quad 220,300 \quad 203,400 \quad 16,990 \quad 344,000 \quad 303,000 \quad 41,000 \quad 369,300 \quad 320,500 \quad 48,800$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 184,600 | 157,800 | 16,800 | 286,900 | 246,700 | 40,200 | 307,800 | có0,000 | 47,800 |
| Physical scientists Chemists <br> Physicists/astronomers | $\begin{aligned} & 48,500 \\ & 30,800 \\ & 17,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46,600 \\ & 29,300 \\ & 17,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,900 \\ 1,500 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63,100 \\ & 41,900 \\ & 21,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,300 \\ & 38,800 \\ & 20,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,800 \\ 3,200 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64,000 \\ & 4,300 \\ & 22,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,800 \\ & 37,800 \\ & 22,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,200 \\ 3,500 \\ 700 \end{array}$ |
| Mathematical scientists Mathematicians Statisticians | $\begin{array}{r} 12,100 \\ 10,700 \\ 1,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,400 \\ 10,000 \\ 1,600 \end{array}$ | 800 700 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,600 \\ 13,000 \\ 2,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,300 \\ 12,000 \\ 2,300 \end{array}$ | 1,300 1,000 300 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,400 \\ 13,600 \\ 2,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,000 \\ 12,500 \\ 2,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,400 \\ 1,100 \\ 300 \end{array}$ |
| Computer specialists | 2,700 | 2,600 | 100 | 9,100 | 8,400 | 700 | 12,200 | 10,900 | 1,300 |
| Environmental scientists Earth scientists Oceanographers Atmospheric scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 10,300 \\ 8,600 \\ 1,100 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,100 \\ 8,300 \\ 1,100 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | 300 200 (1) (1) | 15,900 12,000 1.00 2,100 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,100 \\ 11,400 \\ 1,600 \\ 2,000 \end{array}$ | 900 600 200 100 | 16,500 12,500 1,700 2,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,600 \\ 11,900 \\ 1,600 \\ 2,100 \end{array}$ | 900 600 200 100 |
| Life scientists <br> Biological scientists <br> Agricultural scientists <br> Medical scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 56,700 \\ 36,800 \\ 9,200 \\ 10,760 \end{array}$ | 50.600 31,900 9,100 9,600 | 6,100 4,900 100 1,100 | $\begin{aligned} & 84,900 \\ & 49,600 \\ & 13,500 \\ & 21,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71,600 \\ & 40,600 \\ & 13,100 \\ & 17,800 \end{aligned}$ | 13,300 9,000 400 3,900 | $\begin{aligned} & 92,800 \\ & 55,200 \\ & 14,500 \\ & 23,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76,600 \\ & 44,600 \\ & 13,900 \\ & 18,100 \end{aligned}$ | 16,200 10,600 700 4,900 |
| Psychologists | 24,800 | 20,000 | 4,800 | 42,800 | 31,100 | 11,700 | 46,600 | 33,000 | 13,700 |
| Social scientists Economists Sociologistsf | 29,400 9,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,500 \\ 9,200 \end{array}$ | 2,900 500 | 55,500 16,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 47,000 \\ & 14,800 \end{aligned}$ | 8,600 1,200 | 59,300 17,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 49,300 \\ & 15,500 \end{aligned}$ | 10,100 1,400 |
| anthropologists Other social scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 6,500 \\ 13,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,300 \\ 12,000 \end{array}$ | 1,200 1,200 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,000 \\ & 28,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,100 \\ 24,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,900 \\ & 4,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,100 \\ & 30,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,600 \\ 25,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,500 \\ & 5,100 \end{aligned}$ |

Appendix table 4. - continued

| Field | 1973 |  |  | 1981 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Engineers | 35,800 | $35: 600$ | 100 | 57,000 | 56,300 | 800 | 61,500 | 60,500 | 1,100 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 1,700 | 1,700 | (1) | 2,500 | 2,500 | (1) | 3,700 | 3,600 | 100 |
| Chemical | 4,500 | 4,500 | (1) | 7,100 | 7,100 | 100 | 7,000 | 6,900 | 100 |
| Civil | 3,100 | 3,100 | (1) | 6,100 | 6,000 | 100 | 5,300 | 5,200 | 100 |
| Electrical/electronics | 7,100 | 7,000 | (1) | 10,600 | 10,500 | 100 | 12,700 | 12,500 | 200 |
| Materiais | 4,500 | 4,400 | (1) | 6,100 | 6,100 | 100 | 7,400 | 7,300 | 200 |
| Mechanical | 3,300 | 3,300 | (1) | 5,400 | 5,300 | (i) | 5,700 | 5,600 | 100 |
| Nuclear | 1,300 | 1,300 | (1) | 2,100 | 2,000 | (1) | 2,300 | 2,300 | (1) |
| Other engineers | 10,500 | 10,500 | 100 | 17,100 | 16,900 | 300 | 17,400 | 17,100 | 400 |

(1) Too few cases to estimate.

NOTE: Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: National Science :oundation
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Appendix table 5. Employed doctoral scientists and engineers by field and racial/ethnic group: 1973, 1981, and 1983

| Field | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native Americall | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientists and engineers | 220,300 | 202,200 | 2,000 | 10,300 | 100 | 1,600 |
| Scientists | 184,600 | 170,400 | 1,900 | 7,300 | 100 | 1,400 |
| Physical scientists | 48,500 | 44,200 | 500 | 2,400 | (3) | 302 |
| Chemists | 30,800 | 28,200 | 400 | 1,400 | (3) | 200 |
| Physicists/astronomers | 17,800 | 16,100 | 100 | 900 | (3) | 100 |
| Mathematical scientists | 12,100 | 11,100 | 100 | 600 | (3) | 100 |
| Mathematicians | 10,700 | 9,700 | 100 | 500 | (3) | 100 |
| Statisticians | 1,500 | 1,400 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 2,700 | 2,500 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Environmental scientists | 10,300 | 9,700 | (3) | 300 | (3) | (3) |
| Earth scientists | 8,600 | 8,100 | (3) | 300 | (3) | (3) |
| Oceanogr aphers. | 1,100 | 1,100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Aimospheric scientists | 600 | 600 | (3) | (3) | (5) | (3) |
| Life scientists |  |  | 600 | 2,600 | 100 | 600 |
| Biological scientists | 36,800 | 33,800 | 500 | 1,700 | (3) | 400 |
| Agricultural scientists | 19,200 | -.800 | (3) | 300 | (3) | 100 |
| Medical scientists | 10,700 | 9,800 | 100 | 600 | (3) | 100 |
| Psychologists | 24,800 | 23,500 | 300 | 200 | (3) | 200 |
| Social scientists | 29,400 | 27.000 | 400 | 1,100 | (3) | 200 |
| Econamists | 9,700 | 8,800 | 100 | 500 | (3) | 100 |
| lther social scientists | $\begin{array}{r} 6,500 \\ 13,200 \end{array}$ | 6,100 12,200 | 100 | 200 500 | (3) | (3) 100 |


| Field | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native <br> American | Hispanic (2) |
| Engineers | 35,800 | 31,800 | 100 | 3,000 | (3) | 200 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 1,700 | 1.300 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Chemical | 4,500 | 4,000 | (3) | 400 | (3) | (3) |
| Civil | 3,100 | 2,500 | (3) | 500 | (3) | (3) |
| Electrical/electronics | 7,100 | 6,300 | (3) | 500 | (3) | 100 |
| Materials | 4,500 | 4.100 | (3) | 200 | (3) | (3) |
| Mechanical | 3,300 | 2,800 | (3) | 400 | (3) | (3) |
| Nuclear | 1,300 | 1,200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Other engineers | 10,500 | 9,400 | (3) | 800 | (3) | (3) |


| Field | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native Americarı | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientists and engineers | 344,000 | 308,600 | 4,200 | 27,300 | 400 | 4,800 |
| Scientists | 286.900 | 261,400 | 4,000 | 18,300 | 400 | 4,000 |
| Physical scientists Chemists Physicists/astronomers | $\begin{aligned} & 63,100 \\ & 41,900 \\ & 21,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56,100 \\ & 37,300 \\ & 18,900 \end{aligned}$ | 600 +00 200 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,800 \\ & 3,900 \\ & 1,900 \end{aligned}$ | (3) (3) (3) | 900 630 300 |
| Mathematical scientists Mathematicians Statisticians | 15,600 13,000 2,500 | 13,900 11,700 2,200 | 200 200 (3) | 1,200 900 300 | (3) (3) (3) | 200 200 (3) |
| Computer specialists | 9,100 | 8,000 | (3) | 900 | (3) | 100 |
| Environmental scientists | 15,900 12,000 | 15,000 11,300 | (3) (3) | 700 500 | (3) | 200 100 |
| Oceanographers | 1,800 | 1,700 | (3) | 100 | (3) | 100 |
| Atmospheric scientists | 2,100 | 2,000 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Life scientists | 84,900 | 76,900 | 1,000 | 6,300 | 100 | 1,200 |
| Biological scientists | 49,600 | 44,700 | 600 | 4,000 | (3) | 700 |
| Agricuitural scientists | 13,500 | 12,700 | 100 | 1700 | (3) | 200 |
| Medical scientists | 21,800 | 19,600 | 300 | 1,600 | (3) | 300 |
| Psychologists | 42,800 | 40,900 | 800 | 600 | 100 | 600 |
| Social scientists | 55,500 | 50,500 | 1,300 | 2,900 | 100 | 800 |
| Economists | 16,000 | 14,400 | 200 | 1,200 | 100 | 300 |
| Sociologists anthropologists Other social scientists | $\begin{aligned} & 11,000 \\ & 28,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,200 \\ & 25,900 \end{aligned}$ | 300 800 | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 1,400 \end{array}$ | (3) | 200 300 |
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## Appendix table 5. - continued

| Field | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | istal (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Engineers | 57,000 | 47,200 | 300 | 9,000 | (3) | 800 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 2,500 | 2,200 | (3) | 300 | (3) | (3) |
| Chemical | 7,100 | 5,600 | (3) | 1,600 | (3) | (3) |
| Civil | 6,100 | 4,800 | (3) | 1,200 | (3) | 100 |
| Electrical/electronics | 10,600 | 8,900 | (3) | 1,609 | (3) | 100 |
| Materials | 6,100 | 5,100 | (3) | 800 | (3) | <00 |
| Mechanical | 5,400 | 4,300 | (3) | 1,000 | (3) | (3) |
| Nuclear | 2,100 | 1,600 | (3) | 400 | (3) | (3) |
| Other engineers | 17,100 | 14,600 | :00 | 2,200 | (3) | 300 |
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| Field | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientists <br> $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { and engineers } & 369,300 & 328,500 & 4,900 & 29,700 & 400\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 307,800 | 278,700 | 4,500 | 19,300 | 400 | 4,400 |
| Physical scientists Chemists | 64,000 41,300 | 56,500 36,300 | 700 400 | 5,700 3,900 | 100 (3) | 900 700 |
| Physicists/astronomers | 22,700 | 20,200 | 2.00 | 1,800 | (3) | 200 |
| Mathematical scientists | 16,400 | 14,500 | 200 | 1,400 | (3) | 200 |
| Mathematicians | 13,600 | 12,200 | 200 | 1.000 | (3) | 200 |
| Statisticians |  | ?,300 | (3) | 400 | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 12,200 | 11,000 | (3) | 900 | (3) | 200 |
| Envirunmental scientists | 16,500 | 15,500 | (3) | 800 | (3) | 200 |
| Earth scientists | 12,500 | 11,700 | (3) | 600 | (3) | 200 |
| Oceanographers | 1,700 | 1,700 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Atmospheric scientists | 2,200 | 2,100 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Life scientists |  | 83,400 | 1,100 | 6,800 | 100 | 1,300 |
| Biological scientists | 55,200 | 49,500 | 600 | 4,200 | (3) | 700 |
| Agricultural scientists | 14,500 | 13,400 | 100 | . 800 | (3) | 300 |
| Medical scientists | 23,100 | 20,500 | 400 | 1.700 | (3) | 300 |
| Psychologists | 46,600 | 44,200 | 1,000 | 600 | 100 | 700 |
| Social scientists | 59,300 | 53,600 | 1,500 | 3,100 | 130 | 1,000 |
| Economists Sociologists/ | 17,000 | 15,000 | 300 | 1,300 | 100 | 300 |
| anthropologists | 12,100 | 11,100 | 400 | 400 | (3) | 200 |
| Other social scientists | 30,300 | 27,500 | 800 | 1,400 | (3) | 400 |

Appendix table 5. - continued

| Field | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Engineers | 61,500 | 49,700 | 400 | 10,500 | (3) | 900 |
| Aeronautical/ astronautical | 3,700 | 3,100 | (3) | 500 | (3) | (3) |
| Chemical | 7,000 | 5,400 | (3) | 1,500 | (3) | 100 |
| Civil | 5,300 | 4,200 | (3) | 1,100 | (3) | 100 |
| Electrical/electronics | 12,700 | 10,300 | 100 | 2,100 | (3) | 200 |
| Materials | 7,400 | 6,100 | (3) | 1,200 | (3) | 200 |
| Mechanical | 5,700 | 4,400 | 100 | 1,200 | (3) | 100 |
| Nuclear | 2,300 | 1,900 | (3) | 400 | (3) | (3) |
| Other engineers | 17400 | 14,400 | 200 | 2,600 | (3) | 300 |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 6. Employed doctoral scientists and engineers by field, sex, and racial/etlinic group: 1981 and 1983

| Field and sex | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| Total scientists <br> and ellgineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 303,000 \\ 41,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 271,900 \\ 36,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,200 \\ & 1,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,400 \\ 2,900 \end{array}$ | (300 | 4,300 600 |
| Scientists | 286,900 | 261,400 | 4,000 | 18,300 | 400 | 4,000 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 246,700 \\ 40,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 225,300 \\ 36,100 \end{array}$ | 2,900 1,100 | 18,500 2,800 | 300 (3) | 3,500 500 |
| Physical scientists | 63,100 | 56,100 | 600 | 5,800 | (3) | 900 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 59,300 \\ 3,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,100 \\ 3,000 \end{array}$ | 500 (3) | 5,100 600 | (3) | 800 100 |
| Mathematical scientists | 15,600 | 13,900 | 200 | 1,200 | (3) | 200 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 14,300 \\ 1,300 \end{array}$ | 12,800 1,100 | 200 $(3)$ | 1,000 200 | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 9,100 | 8,000 | (3) | 900 | (3) | 100 |
| Men Women | 8,400 700 | 7,590 600 | (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | (3) | 100 $(3)$ |
| Environmental scientists | 15,900 | 15,000 | (3) | 700 | (3) | 200 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 15,100 \\ 900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,200 \\ 800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | (3) | (3) |
| Life scientists | 84,900 | 76,900 | 1,000 | 6,300 | 100 | 1,200 |
| Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 71,600 \\ & 13,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65,300 \\ & 11,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000 \\ & 1,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | 1,100 100 |
| Psychologists | 12,800 | 40,900 | 800 | 600 | 100 | 600 |
| ERİ |  | 93 |  |  |  |  |

```
Appendix table 6. - continued
```

Field

and sex $\quad$| Total (1) |
| :---: |

| Psychologists |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men Women | $\begin{aligned} & 31,100 \\ & 11,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000 \\ & 11,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Social scientists | 55,500 | 50,500 | 1,300 | 2,900 | 100 | 800 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 47,000 \\ 8.600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,600 \\ 7,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,6 C 0 \\ 300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Engineers | 57,000 | 47,200 | 300 | 9,000 | (3) | 800 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 56,300 \\ 800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,600 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,900 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ |

## Appendix table s. - continued

| Field <br> and ser. | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native <br> American | Hispanic <br> (2) |

Total scientists
and engineers
Men
Women
Scientists
Men
Women

Physical scientists
Men
Women
Mathema ical scienti
Men
Women
Computer specialists
Men
Women
Environmental scientists

Men
Women
Life scientists
Men
Women
Psychologists
Men
Women

| 369,300 | 328,500 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 320,500 | 285,100 |
| 48,800 | 43,300 |
| 307,800 | 278,700 |
| 260,000 | 236,200 |
| 47,800 | 42,600 |
| 64,000 | 56,500 |
| 59,800 | 53,100 |
| 4,200 | 3,400 |
| 16,400 | 14,500 |
| 15,000 | 13,400 |
| 1,400 | 1,200 |
| 12,200 | 11,000 |
| 10,900 | 9,900 |
| 1,300 | 1,100 |
| 16,590 | 15,500 |
| 15,630 | 14,600 |
| 900 | 800 |
| 92,800 | 83,400 |
| 76,600 | 69,200 |
| 16,200 | 14,100 |
| 46,600 | 44,200 |
| 33,000 | 31,500 |
| 13,700 | 12,700 |


| 4,900 | 29,700 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3,600 | 26,300 |
| 1,400 | 3,400 |
| 4,500 | 19,300 |
| 3,200 | 16,100 |
| 1,300 | 3,200 |
| 700 | 5,700 |
| 600 | 5,000 |
| 100 | 700 |
| 200 | 1,400 |
| 200 | 1,200 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | 900 |
| $(3)$ | 800 |
| $(3)$ | 100 |
| $(3)$ | 800 |
| $(3)$ | 700 |
| $(3)$ | 100 |
| 1,100 | 6,800 |
| 700 | 5,300 |
| 400 | 1,500 |
| 1,000 | 600 |
| 500 | 400 |
| 500 | 300 |


| 400 | 5,400 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 400 | 4,700 |
| $(3)$ | 700 |
| 400 | 4,400 |
| 300 | 3,800 |
| $(3)$ | 700 |
| 100 | 900 |
| 100 | 800 |
| $(3)$ | 100 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | $(3)$ |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | $(3)$ |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| $(3)$ | $(3)$ |
| 100 | 1,300 |
| 100 | 1,100 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |
| 100 | 700 |
| 100 | 500 |
| $(3)$ | 200 |

## Appendix table 6. - continued


(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 7. Selected characteristics of physically handicapped scientists and engineers: 1984
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Appendix table 7. - continued

| Field | Labor force status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total population | Labor Force | Total Employed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Employed in } \\ & \text { S/E } \end{aligned}$ | Unemployed |
| Total scientists and engineers | 91,600 | 76,300 | 74,800 | 64,200 | 1,500 |
| Scientists | 40,200 | 37,100 | 36,500 | 28,400 | 600 |
| Physical scientists | 6,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 4,500 | (1) |
| Mathematical scientists | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,400 | 2,000 | (1) |
| Computer specialists | 10,000 | 9,900 | 9,800 | 7,300 | (1) |
| Environmental scientists | 2,900 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 2,000 | 100 |
| Life scientists | 5,700 | 4,800 | 4,700 | 4,000 | 100 |
| Psychologists | 7,500 | 7,300 | 6,900 | 5,0110 | 400 |
| Social scientists | 5,300 | 4,800 | 4,800 | 3,700 | (1) |
| Engineers | 51,300 | 39,200 | 38,300 | 35,800 | 900 |
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Appendix table 7. - continued

| Field | Reason Outside Labor Force |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Outside Labor Force | Retired | Illness | Other |
| Total scientists and engineers | 15,300 | 10,400 | 4,500 | 400 |
| Scientists | 3,100 | 2,300 | 500 | 300 |
| Physical scientists | 900 | 800 | 100 | (1) |
| Mathematical scientists | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Computer specialists | 100 | (1) | (1) | 100 |
| Environmental scientists | 500 | 400 | 100 | (1) |
| Life scientists | 900 | 800 | 100 | 100 |
| Psychologists | 200 | (1) | 200 | (1) |
| Social scientists | 500 | 300 | (1) | 100 |
| Engineers | 12,200 | 8,100 | 4,000 | 100 |

(1) Too few cases to estimate.

NOTE: Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 8. Employed scientists and engineers by field, racial/ ethnic group, and years of professional experience: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less 1 than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3,641,200 | 102,400 | 514,500 | 495,600 | 562,300 | 471,400 | 423,700 | 354,600 | 359,700 | 278,400 |
| Black | 90,500 | 4,600 | 15,700 | 15,600 | 18,500 | 11,100 | 10,500 | 7,600 | 4,200 | 1,800 |
| Asi an | 186,500 | 4,200 | 23,200 | 31,500 | 42,200 | 31,400 | 24,600 | 14,600 | 8,000 | 3,600 |
| Native American | 20,400 | 700 400 | 1,800 | 2,500 | 2,400 | 3,300 | 2,400 | 3,000 | 2,100 | 1,200 |
| Hispanic '2) | 86,600 | 4,100 | 19,200 | 13,800 | 13,000 | 12,400 | 8,300 | 6,600 | 4,600 | 3,000 |
| Scientists | 1,781,400 | 83,900 | 335,200 | 286,700 | 311,360 | 236,000 | 179,800 | 129,600 | 111,600 | 74,000 |
| White | 1,623,890 | 75,300 | 301,400 | 260,800 | 277,700 | 216,500 | 164,600 | 117,800 | 105,800 | 71,900 |
| Block | 53,400 | 3,300 | 11,600 | 8,600 | 10,100 | 5,600 | 5,800 | 5,300 | 1,900 | 600 |
| Asian | 69,100 | 2,400 | 11,600 | 12,500 | 19,000 | 9,200 | 6,300 | 5,000 | 2,000 | 700 |
| Native American | 8,600 | 400 | 12900 | 1,000 | 500 -900 | 1,700 | $1,400$ | 500 | 1,400 | 700 |
| Hispanic | 38,800 | 2,700 | 12,300 | 6,000 | 5,900 | 5,400 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 600 | 400 |
| Physical scientists | 254,100 | 5,600 | 28,400 | 32,400 | 35,100 | 34,600 | 36,800 | 26,200 | 27,000 | 22,100 |
| White | 230,700 | 5,000 | 26,000 | 28,600 | 30,500 | 31,000 | 33,700 | 23,500 | 23,500 | 21,300 |
| Black | 6,100 | 300 | 600 | 1,400 | 7 700 | 700 | 400 | 1800 | 700 | 100 |
| Asian | 12,500 | 200 | 800 | 2,200 | 2,500 | 2,600 | 1,400 | 1,500 | 600 | 500 |
| Native American | 1,100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 700 | 200 | (3) | 200 |
| Hispanic | 4,300 | 200 | 500 | 400 | 700 | 600 | 500 | 703 | (3) | 300 |
| Mathematical scientists | 100,400 | 2,000 | 11,400 | 13,000 | 18,400 | 17,200 | 15,700 | 11,600 | 5,700 | 4,800 |
| White | 88,900 | 1,700 | 10.800 | -2,100 | 16,2:9 | 15,200 | 13,200 | 8,900 | 5,400 | 4,700 |
| Black | 4,700 | 100 | 300 | 200 | 1,000 | - 200 | 1,700 | 1,100 | (3) | 100 |
| Asian | 4,700 | 100 | 100 | 600 | 700 | 1,200 | 600 | 1,400 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | . 400 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Hispanic | 2,700 | (3) | 600 | 100 | 700 | 800 | 400 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 436,800 | 7,000 | 68,300 | 93,500 | 96,100 | 71,400 | 41,400 | 21,600 | 9,700 | 3,700 |
| White | 392,600 | 5,900 | 77,500 | 83,200 | 83,900 | 66,300 | 38,600 | 20,100 | 9,600 | 3,500 |
| Black | 12,100 | 400 | 2,600 | 3,500 | 2,800 | 1,400 | . 700 | 400 | 100 | 200 |
| Asian Ameri | 24,600 | 600 | 5,700 | 5,100 | 8,900 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 600 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 1,800 | (3) | 100 | 200 | 200 | . 900 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 8,200 | 200 | 2,600 | 1,800 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 500 | 100 | 100 | (3) |

Appendix table 8. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less 1 than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Environmental scientists | 98,100 | 4,200 | 17,200 | 18,400 | 14,400 | 7,700 | 8.300 | 9,300 | 10,300 | 6,500 |
| White | 94,200 | 4,100 | 16,700 | 17,300 | 13,500 | 7,400 | 8,000 | 8,900 | 10,200 | 6,400 |
| Black | . 600 | 100 | 100 | 200 | 13, 100 | , 100 | 8, 100 | 100 | 10, (3) | 6, (3) |
| Asian | 1,800 | (3) | 100 | 300 | 600 | 200 | 200 | 300 | (3) | (3) |
| Native American |  | (3) | (3) | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 |
| Hispanic |  |  | 300 | 600 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| Life : :ientists | 353,300 | 23,800 | 73,000 | 52,600 | 53,400 | 40,800 | 29,900 | 28,900 | 26,800 | 16,800 |
| White | 329,300 | 22,300 | 67,400 | 49,700 | 48,200 | 37,400 | 27,800 | 28,200 | 25,000 | 16,400 |
| Black | 6,700 | 400 | 1,300 | 400 | 1,900 | 1,500 | 27, 400 | 200 | 25, 300 | (3) |
| Asian | 10,400 | 500 | 1,700 | 1,500 | 2,800 | 1,700 | 1,100 | 400 | 300 | 100 |
| Native American | 2,100 | 100 | 100 | 300 | (3) | 200 | 100 | (3) | 1,200 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 7,300 | 600 | 2,700 | 800 | 900 | 800 | 100 | 1,000 | 300 | (3) |
| Psychologists | 209,500 | 14,600 | 33,500 | 33,800 | 38,800 | 28,200 | 23,400 | 13,200 | 13,300 | 5,900 |
| White | 196,000 | 13,200 | 29,900 | 32,100 | 36,800 | 27,100 | 21,700 | 11,800 | 13,900 | 5,500 |
| Black | 7,300 | 700 100 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 1,200 | 27.400 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 13, 100 | 5, 200 |
| Asian | 2,000 | 100 | 400 | 300 | 500 | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 1,800 | 200 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 400 | (3) | (3) | 209 |
| Hispanic | 4,200 | 500 | 2,200 | 500 | 600 | 100 | 200 | 200 | (3) | (3) |
| Social scientists | 329,200 | 26,700 | 83,300 | 43,000 | 55,200 | 36,100 | 24,400 | 18,900 | 18,800 | 14,100 |
| White | 292,100 | 23,100 | 73,000 | 37,700 | 48,500 | 32,200 | 21,700 | 16,400 | 17,200 | 14,000 |
| Biack | 15.900 | 1,300 | 5,200 | 1,900 | 2,500 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,700 | 800 | (3) |
| Asian | 13,100 | 900 | 2,700 | 2,600 | 3,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 700 | 700 | (3) |
| Native Amer ican | 1,200 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 400 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 10,200 | 1,100 | 3,400 | 1,800 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 700 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Engineers | 2,214,100 | 31,100 | 236,100 | 267,600 | 322,800 | 287,700 | 286,900 | 253,400 | 265,700 | 211,300 |
| White | 2,017,400 | 27,100 | 213,100 | 234,800 | 284,600 | 254,900 | 259,100 | 236,800 | 253,900 |  |
| Black | 37,100 | 1,300 | 4,100 | 7,000 | 8,300 | 5,500 | 4,800 | 236,300 | 2,200 | 1,200 |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {Native American }}$ |  | 1,800 | 11,600 | 19,000 | 23,300 | 22,100 | 18,300 | 9,600 | 6,100 | 2,900 |
| Native American Hispanic | 11,700 47,800 | 1,200 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,800 | 1,600 | 1,000 | 2,500 | 700 | 600 |
| Hispanic | 47,800 | 1,400 | 6,900 | 7,800 | 7,100 | 7,000 | 5,800 | 4,200 | 4,000 | 2,600 |

(1) Detail will not add to total $-=$ loyed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) totai employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too ferl cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 9. Employed men scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and years of professional experience: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less 1 than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers $(1)$$\quad 3,482,900 \quad 76,000 \quad 408,900 \quad 444,100 \quad 548,500 \quad 476,900441,100368,500 \quad 365,600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3,189,000 | 68,700 | 369,200 | 398,900 | 488,900 | 430,100 | 401,100 | 341,800 | 349,400 | 271,300 |
| Black | 67,600 | 2,200 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 13,800 | 9,300 | 9,700 | 6,200 | 4,000 | 1,700 |
| Asian | 159,500 | 2,700 | 17,100 | 24,900 | 35,600 | 28,100 | 23,000 | 14,300 | 7,300 | 3,500 |
| Native American | 18,900 71,400 | 600 2.600 | 1,300 11,800 | 2,200 11,900 | 2,100 11,200 | 3,300 10,900 | 2,400 7,900 | 3,000 6,200 | 1,700 4,500 | 1,200 2,900 |
| Hispanic (2) | 71,400 | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 1,343,300 | 47,900 | 203,100 | 196,800 | 234,200 | 192,500 | 156,700 | 117,300 | 101,900 | 67,800 |
| White | 1,235,000 | 44,200 | 183,100 | 181,300 | 210,600 | 177,700 | 143,600 | 107,200 | 97,400 | 65,800 |
| Black | 33,500 | 1,200 | 6,900 | 4,000 | 6,000 | 4,100 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 1,700 | 500 |
| Asian | 48,100 | 900 | 6,800 | 7600 | 14,000 | 6,400 | 5,600 | 4,800 | 1,300 | 700 |
| Native American | 7,400 | , 400 | 6,500 | 4.800 | 4 300 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 200 | 1,100 600 | 700 400 |
| Hispanic | 26,200 | 1,300 | 6,000 | 4,800 | 4,500 | 4,100 | 2,100 | 2,000 | 600 | 400 |
| Physical scientists | 225,800 | 4,100 | 20,700 | 27,300 | 30,900 | 31,300 | 34,800 | 24,900 | 25,900 | 21,400 |
| White | 206,700 | 3,700 | 19,100 | 24,200 | 27,400 | 28,400 | 32,100 | 22,400 | 24,500 | 20,600 |
| Black | 4,900 | 200 | 500 | 1,200 | 500 1.700 | 600 2000 | 500 1.100 | 700 1,400 | 700 600 | 100 500 |
| Asi an | 9,700 | 100 | 500 | 1,800 | 1,700 | 2,000 | 1,100 | 1,400 | 600 | 500 |
| Native American | 1,100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 700 | 200 | (3) | 200 |
| Hispanic | 3,500 | 100 | 300 | 400 | 600 | 600 | 300 | 700 | (3) | 300 |
| Mathematical scientists | 78,500 | 1,300 | 7,100 | 8,000 | 13,300 | 15,600 | 13,100 | 10,900 | 5,400 | 3,500 |
| White | 69,600 | 1,100 | 6,800 | 7,500 | 11,900 | 13,800 | 10,900 | 8,800 | 5,100 | 3,400 |
| Black | 3,000 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 300 | . 100 | 1,500 | , 600 | (3) | 100 |
| Asian | 4,200 | 100 | 100 | 400 | 600 | 1,100 | 500 | 1,400 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 400 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) 600 | (3) 700 | 100 400 | 100 (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 2,000 | (3) | 200 | 100 | 600 | 700 | 400 | (3) | (3) | (2 |
| Computer specialists | 322,700 | 4,400 | 56,500 | 61,000 | 70,300 | 58,200 | 36,100 | 19,900 | 9,500 | 3,500 |
| White | 292,900 | 3,800 | 49,800 | 55,400 | 61,200 | 54,800 | 33,700 | 18,500 | 9,400 | 3,300 |
| Black | 6,600 | 100 | 1,600 | 1,300 | 1,800 | . 600 | . 600 | 400 | 100 | 200 |
| Asian | 17,400 | 400 | 3,700 | 3,100 | 6,900 | 1,200 | 1,400 | 500 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 1,600 | (3) | (3) | , 100 | 200 | 900 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 5,100 | 100 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 900 | 900 | 500 | (3) | 100 | (3) |
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Appendix table 9. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Environmental scientists | 87,800 | 3,100 | 12,900 | 16,100 | 12,900 | 7,400 | 8,000 | 9,200 | 10,200 | 6,400 |
| White | 84,300 | 3,000 | 12,500 | 15,100 | 12,100 | 7,100 | 7,700 | 8,800 | 10,200 | 6,300 |
| Black | 500 | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 1,700 | (3) | 100 | 200 | 600 | 200 | 200 | 300 | (3) | (3) |
| Native American | , 200 | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 |
| Hispanic | 1,600 | (3) | 200 | 690 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| Life scientists | 270,700 | 14,500 | 41,500 | 38,700 | 43,500 | 33,400 | 26,700 | 26,900 | 24,000 | 15,500 |
| White | 255,600 | 13,700 | 38,900 | 36,600 | 40,500 | 30,700 | 25,100 | 26,200 | 22,600 | 15,100 |
| Black | 4,500 | 200 | 700 | 300 | 1,10n | 1,300 | 25, 400 | 26. 200 | 22,600 200 | (3) |
| Asian | 6,200 | 100 | 600 | 900 | $1$ | 1,200 | 1,000 | 300 | 200 | 100 |
| Native American Hispanic | 1,600 4,600 | (3) | 100 900 | 300 | - | 200 700 | 1100 | (3) | 800 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 4,600 | 400 | 900 | 600 | 30 | 700 | 100 | 700 | 300 | (3) |
| Psychologists | 121, 100 | 5,300 | 14,700 | 18,100 | 21,700 | 18,500 | 17,100 | 8,200 | 10,200 | 4,600 |
| White | 114,400 | 4,600 | 12,900 | 17,400 | 21,300 | 17,900 | 15,900 | 7,400 | 10,100 | 4,200 |
| Black | 3,000 | 300 | 700 | 300 | 21, 100 | 200 | 800 | 500 | (3) | +100 |
| Asian | . 800 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 200 | 100 | (3) | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 1.500 | 200 | 200 | 200 | (3) | 200 | 400 | (3) | (3) | 200 |
| Hispanic | 2,000 | 300 | 1,100 | 300 | 100 | (3) | (3) | 200 | (3) | (3) |
| Social scientists | 236,800 | 15,400 | 49,700 | 27,600 | 41,700 | 28,030 | 20,900 | 17,400 | 16,600 | 13,000 |
| White | 211,500 | 14,300 | 43,200 | 25,000 | 36,100 | 25,100 | 18,300 | 15,100 | 15,700 | 12,800 |
| Black | 11,000 | 300 | 3,200 | 800 | 2,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,500 | - 700 | (3) |
| Asian | 8,300 | 100 | 1,800 | 1,200 | 2,400 | 600 | 1,300 | 700 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 1.000 | 200 | 100 2000 | (3) | 100 | 400 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 7,300 | 400 | 2,000 | 1,700 | 1,400 | 1,000 | 700 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Engineers | 2,139,600 | 28,100 | 205,800 | 247,300 | 314,309 | 284,400 | 284,400 | 251,200 | 263,700 | 210,200 |
| White | 1,953,900 | 24,400 | 186,100 | 217,600 | 278,400 | 252,400 | 257,500 | 234,700 | 251,900 | 205,500 |
| Black | 34,100 | 1,000 | 3,100 | 6,000 | 7,900 | 5,200 | 4,700 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 1,200 |
| Asian | 111,400 | 1,800 | 10,300 | 17,300 | 21,700 | 21,700 | 17,500 | 9,500 | 6,100 | 2,803 |
| Native American | 11,500 | . 200 | -900 | 1,400 | 1,800 | 1,600 | 1,000 | 2,500 | , 700 | 2,80 600 |
| Hispanic | 45,200 | 1,300 | 5,800 | 7,100 | 6,700 | 6,800 | 5,800 | 4,200 | 4,000 | 2,600 |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exciusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundatior,

> Appendix table 10 . Employed women scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and years of professional
> experience: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less 1 than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 452,200 | 33,800 | 145,300 | 96,700 | 73,400 | 41,200 | 22,600 | 12,800 | 10,300 | 7,100 |
| Black | 22,900 | 2,400 | 5,700 | 5,600 | 4,600 | 1,800 | 1800 | 1,300 | 200 700 | 100 100 |
| Asian | 27,000 | 1,600 | 6,100 | 6,600 | 6,600 | 3,200 | 1,500 | 300 | 700 | 100 |
| Native American Hispanic (2) | 1,500 15,200 | 100 1,500 | 500 7,400 | 6,900 1,900 | 1,800 1,800 | 1,400 | (3) 500 | (3) 500 | 300 100 | (3) |
| Scientists | 438,100 | 35,900 | 132,000 | 90,000 | 77,000 | 43,500 | 23,100 | 12,200 | 9,700 | 6,200 |
| White | 388,800 | 31,100 | 118,300 | 79,500 | 67,100 | 38,700 | 21,000 | 10,700 | 8,400 | 6,100 |
| Black | 19,800 | 2,200 | 4,700 | 4.700 | 4,200 | 1,500 | 800 | 1,300 | 200 | 100 |
| Asian | 20,900 | 1,500 | 4,700 | 4,900 | 5,000 | 2,800 | 700 | 200 | 700 | (3) |
| Native American | 1,300 12,700 | 1.100 | 400 6.300 | 300 1.100 | 200 1,400 | (3) 1,300 | (3) | (3) 500 | 300 100 | (3) |
| Hispanic | 12,700 | 1,400 | 6,300 | 1,100 | 1,400 | 1,300 | 400 | 500 | 100 | (3) |
| Physical scientists | 28,300 | 1,500 | 7,700 | 5,100 | 4,200 | 3,300 | 2,000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 700 |
| White | 24,000 | 1,300 | 7,000 | 4,300 | 3,100 | 2,600 | 1,600 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 700 |
| Black | 1,200 | 100 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian Anerican | 2,800 | 100 | 400 | 500 | 800 | 600 | 300 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Native American | (3) 800 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 800 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Mathematical scientists | 21,900 | 700 | 4,300 | 5,100 | 5,200 | 1,600 | 2,600 | 700 | 300 | 1,400 |
| White | 19,300 | 700 | 4,100 | 4,700 | 4,300 | 1,400 | 2,300 | 100 | 300 | 1,400 |
| Black | 1,700 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 700 | 100 | 200 | 500 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 600 | (3) | (3) | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 700 | (3) | 400 | (3) | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 114,100 | 2,600 | 31,800 | 32,500 | 25,800 | 13,100 | 5,300 | 1,700 | 200 | 200 |
| White | 99,600 | 2,100 | 27,700 | 27,900 | 22,700 | 11,500 | 4,900 | 1,600 | 200 | 200 |
| Black | 5,600 | 300 | 1,100 | 2,300 | 1,000 | 800 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 7,200 | 100 | 2,000 | 1,900 | 2,000 | 800 | 200 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Native American | ${ }^{1} 100$ | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 3,100 | 100 | 1,200 | 400 | 600 | 600 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |
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Appendix table 10. - continuei

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Environmental scientists | 10,300 | 1,100 | 4,300 | 2,300 | 1,400 | 300 | 300 | 100 | (3) | 100 |
| White | 9,900 | 1,100 | 4,200 | 2,200 | 1,400 | 300 | 300 |  |  |  |
| Black | 100 | (3) | (3) | 2, 3 ) | 1, (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 (3) |
| Asian Native Americen | 100 | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Native Americin | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 200 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Life scientists | 82,600 | 9,400 | 31,500 | 13,900 | 9,900 | 7,400 | 3,300 | 2,000 | 2,900 | 1,300 |
| White | 73,700 | 8,500 | 28,500 | 13,100 | 7,800 | 6,700 | 2,700 | 2,000 | 2,300 | 1,300 |
| Black | 2,100 | 200 | . 700 | 200 | 800 | 600 | (3) | (3) | 2,100 | (3) |
| Asian Native American | 4,200 | 400 | 1,100 | 600 | 1,200 | 600 | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 500 2,700 | 100 300 | (3) 1,700 | (3) 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 300 | (3) |
|  |  |  | 1,700 | 20 | (3) | 100 | (3) | 300 | (3) | (3) |
| Psychologists | 88,400 | 9,300 | 18,800 | 15,700 | 17,000 | 9,700 | 6,300 | 5,000 | 3,000 | 1,400 |
| White | 81,600 | 8,600 | 17,100 | 14,700 | 15,500 | 9,200 | 5,800 | $4 \times 400$ | 3,000 |  |
| Black | 4,300 | 400 | 800 | 7400 | 1,100 | 200 | 5,800 | $4 \times 400$ 600 | 3, (3) | 1.300 100 |
| Asian $\begin{aligned} & \text { Native American }\end{aligned}$ | 1.200 | 100 | 400 | 300 | , 200 | 200 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | 300 2,200 | (3) 100 | 200 1.100 | (3) | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 2,200 | 100 | 1,100 | 200 | 500 | (3) | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Social scientists | 92,400 | 11,300 | 33,600 | 15,400 | 13,500 | 8,000 | 3,500 | 1,400 | 2,200 | 1,200 |
| White | 80,600 | 8,800 | 29,800 | 12,700 | 12,500 | 7,100 |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 4,000 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 1,200 | 12,500 | 7.15 | 3,40) | 1,300 100 | 1.500 (3) | 1,200 |
| Asian and | 4,800 | 800 | 2, 900 | 1,400 | 600 | 600 | (3) | (3) | (300 | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | 200 2.900 | (3) | , 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 2,900 | 800 | 1,400 | 200 | 100 | 400 | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) |
| Engineers | 74,500 | 3,000 | 30,300 | 20,200 | 8,500 | 3,300 | 2,500 | 2,200 | 2,000 | 1,100 |
| White | 63,500 | 2,700 | 27,000 | 17,200 | 6,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 3,100 | 200 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 6,500 | 2, 300 | 1,600 | 2,100 | 1,900 (3) | 1,000 |
| Asian | 6,100 | (3) | 1,400 | 1,700 | 1,600 | 400 | (3) 800 | 100 100 | (3) | (3) 100 |
| Native American | 200 | (3) | 1100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 2,600 | 100 | 1,100 | 800 | 400 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethriic categories are not mutually exclusive and
(2) b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 11. Employed doctoral scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and years of professional
experi ence: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or less | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers$\quad 369,300 \quad 4,700 \quad 31,500 \quad 60,800 \quad 64,400 \quad 50,200 \quad 36,600 \quad 20,900 \quad 21,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 328,500 | 4,000 | 26,900 | 53,100 | 57,600 | 45,200 | 33,200 | 25,300 | 20,500 | 14,900 |
| Black | 4,900 | (3) | , 500 | . 800 | 5900 | 400 | 400 | . 400 | 200 | 200 |
| Asian | 29,700 | 600 | 3,700 | 6,300 | 5,300 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 1,100 | 800 | 300 |
| Native American | 400 5,400 | (3) | (3) 500 | 100 1.100 | 100 1.200 | 100 600 | (3) 500 | (3) 300 | (3) 300 | (3) 100 |
| Scientists | 307,800 | 4,000 | 27,100 | 52,800 | 54,400 | 40,400 | 29,200 | 22,100 | 17,400 | 12,500 |
| White | 278,700 | 3,600 | 24,200 | 47,700 | 49,700 | 36,800 | 26,900 | 20,700 | 16,600 | 12,100 |
| Black | 4,500 | (3) | - 500 | 3 800 | 8800 | . 600 | . 400 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 19,300 | 300 | 2.200 | 3,900 | 3,500 | 2,800 | 1,700 | 900 | 600 | 200 |
| Native American | 400 | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 4,400 | 100 | 400 | 800 | 1,000 | 400 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Physical scientists | 64,000 | 800 | 5,200 | 9,100 | 10,100 | 9,800 | 7,000 | 5,500 | 4,000 | 3,400 |
| White | 56,500 | 700 | 4,400 | 7,600 | 8,800 | 8,700 | 6,300 | 5,200 | 3,900 | 3,300 |
| Black | 56,700 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 5,700 | (3) | 700 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 600 | 200 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 900 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 200 | 200 | 100 | (3) | 100 | (3) |
| Mathematical scientists | 16,400 | 100 | 1,100 | 2,300 | 2,700 | 2,500 | 1,800 | 1,100 | 800 | 700 |
| White | 14,500 | 100 | 900 | 2,000 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 1,600 | 1,000 | 800 | 600 |
| Black | , 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| isian | 1,400 | (3) | 200 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |

Afoendix table 11. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or less | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 2.0-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Computer specialists | 12,200 | 200 | 1,000 | 2,600 | 2,800 | 1,500 | 900 | 500 | 500 | 200 |
| White | 1i,000 | 200 | 900 | 2,300 | 2,600 | 1,400 | 800 | 500 | 400 | 200 |
| Black | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (3) } \\ 100 & (3) \\ \text { (3) }\end{array}$ |  |
| Asian | 900(3) | (3) | 100(3) | 300 | 200 | 100 | (3) | (3) |  |  |
| Native American |  |  |  | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 200 | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Environmental scientists | 16,500 | 300 | 1,400 | 2,600 | 3,000 | 2,400 | 1,600 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 900 |
| White | 15,500 | 300 | 1,300 | 2,400 | 2,800 | 2,300 | 1.500 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 900 |
| Black | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian Aner | 800 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | (3)100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3) | (3) |  |
| Life scientists | 92,800 | 1,800 | 10,400 | 16,400 | 16,500 | 10,800 | 8,800 | 6,300 | 5,300 | 3,400 |
| White | 83,400 | 1,500 | 9,400 | 14,900 | 14,700 | 9,700 | 8,200 | 5,800 | 5,000 | 3,400 |
| Black | 1,100 | (3) | 100 | 200 | , 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $1 . j 0$ | (3) |
| Asian | 6,800 | 200$(3)$ | 800 | 1,200 |  | 900 | 500 | 300 | 200 |  |
| Native Ameriran | , 100 |  | (3) | (3) <br> 200 | (3)300 | (3)100 | (3)200 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 1,300 | 100 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychologists | 46,600 | 500 | 4,600 | 10,400 | 8,400 | 4,700 | 3,800 | 3,100 | 2,600 | 1,200 |
| White | $\begin{array}{r} 44,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 600 \\ 100 \\ 700 \end{array}$ | 400 <br> (3) <br> (3) <br> (3) <br> (3) | 4,400100100130100 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,900 \\ 300 \\ 200 \\ (3) \\ 200 \end{array}$ | 8,100200100$(3)$200 | 4,500400100$(3)$(3) | $\begin{array}{r} 3,700 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ (3) \\ (3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000 \\ (3) \\ (3) \\ (3) \\ (3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,600 \\ (3) \\ (3) \\ (3) \\ 300 \end{array}$ | 1,200100$(3)$$(3)$$(3)$ |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native American |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix table 11. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or less | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Social scientists | 59,300 | 500 | 3,400 | 9,400 | 11,000 | 8,700 | 5,300 | 4,600 | 3,000 | 2,600 |
| White | 53,600 | 400 | 2,900 | 8,600 | 10,300 | 7,800 | 4,900 | 4,200 | 2,900 | 2,500 |
| Black | 1,500 | (3) | 100 | 200 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 3,100 | (3) | 300 | 500 | 300 | 600 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Native American | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic |  | (3) | 100 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Engineers | 61,500 | 700 | 4,300 | 8,000 | 10,000 | 9,800 | 7,400 | 4,800 | 4,100 | 2,900 |
| White | 49,700 | 400 | 2,700 | 5,400 | 8,000 | 8,400 | 6,300 | 4,600 | 3,900 | 2,800 |
| Black | 400 | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 10,500 | 300 | 1,600 | 2,400 | 1,900 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | (3) 900 | (3) | (3) | 300 | 200 | 200 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 12 . Employed doctoral nen scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic gruup, and years of
professional experience: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or less | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Total scientists } \\ \text { and engineers }\end{array} \quad 320,500 \quad 3,500 \quad 23,800 \quad 48,500 \quad 55,700 \quad 45,400 \quad 33,600 \quad 25,200 \quad 20,400$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 285,100 | 3,000 | 20,100 | 42,000 | 49,800 | 40,900 | 30,500 | 23,800 | 19,400 | 13,900 |
| Black | 3,600 | (3) | 300 | 5,500 | 700 | , 400 | 300 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 26,300 | 500 | 3,200 | 5,300 | 4,800 | 3,800 | 2,700 | 1,000 | 700 | 300 |
| Native American | 4. 400 | (3) | (3) | , 100 | . 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic (2) | 4,700 | 100 | 400 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 600 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Scientists | 260,000 | 2,900 | 19,700 | 40,800 | 45,900 | 35,700 | 26,200 | 20,400 | 16,200 | 11,500 |
| White | 236,200 | 2,700 | 17,500 | 36,900 | 41,900 | 32,600 | 24,200 | 19,200 | 15,600 | 11.100 |
| Black | 3,200 | '3) | 300 | 300 | 31600 | 200 | 1300 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian An | 16,100 | 200 | 1,600 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 2,500 | 1,600 | 800 | 500 | 200 |
| Native American | 300 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 3,800 | 100 | 300 | 600 | 900 | 400 | 400 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Physical scientists | 59,800 | 600 | 4,400 | 8,200 | 9,500 | 9,400 | 6,700 | 5,300 | 3,900 | 3,200 |
| White |  | 600 | 3,700 | 6,900 | 8,300 | 8,300 | 6,100 | 5,100 | 3.700 | 3,200 |
| Black | . 600 | (3) | - 100 | 1. 100 | . 100 | 8, 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 5,000 | (3) | 500 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 900 | 500 | 200 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 100 800 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 800 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 200 | $\because 00$ | 100 | (3) | 100 | (3) |
| Mathematical scientists | 15,000 | 100 | 1,000 | 1,900 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 1,700 | 1,000 | 800 | 600 |
| White | 13,400 | 100 | 800 | 1,700 | 2,200 | 2,300 | 1,500 | 900 | 800 | 600 |
| Black | , 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 1,200 | (3) | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispalic | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
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Appendix table 12. - continued


Appendix table 12. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or less | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Social scientists | 49,300 | 300 | 2,200 | 7,000 | 8,900 | 7,500 | 4,800 | 4,200 | 2,800 | 2,400 |
| White | 44,400 | 300 | 1,800 | 6,400 | 8,400 | 6,700 | 4,400 | 3,800 | 2,800 | 2,400 |
| Black | 1,100 | (3) | 100 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 2,700 | (3) | 200 | 400 | 300 | 600 | 300 | 200 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 800 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Engineers | 60,500 | 600 | 4,100 | 7,700 | 9,800 | 9,700 | 7,400 | 4,800 | 4,100 | 2,900 |
| White | 48,900 | 300 | 2,500 | 5,200 | 7,800 | 8,400 | 6,300 | 4,600 | 3,900 | 2,800 |
| Black | 400 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 10,200 | 300 | 1,600 | 2,300 | 1,800 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 900 | (3) | (3) | 300 | 200 | 200 | (3) | 100 | (3) | (3) |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

> Appendix table 13. Employed doctoral women scientists and engineer's
> by field, racial/ethnic group, and years of
> professional experience: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 cr iess | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-49 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers $\quad 48,800 \quad 1,200 \quad 7,700 \quad 12,400 \quad 8,700 \quad 4,800 \quad 3,000 \quad 1,700 \quad 1,100 \quad 1,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 43,300 | 1,000 | 6,800 | 11,000 | 7,900 | 4,300 | 2,800 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Black | 1,400 | (3) | 200 | 300 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 3,400 | 100 | 600 | 1,000 | 500 | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic (2) | 700 | (3) | 100 | 200 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Scientists | 47,800 | 1,200 | 7,400 | 12,000 | 8,500 | 4,700 | 3,000 | 1.700 | 1,100 | 1,000 |
| White | 42,600 | 1,000 | 6,600 | 10,800 | 7,700 | 4,200 | 2,800 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Black | 1,300 | (3) | 200 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 3,200 | 100 | 600 | 900 | 500 | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 700 | (3) | 100 | 200 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Physical scientists | 4,200 | 100 | 800 | 900 | 600 | 400 | 300 | 100 | 100 | 200 |
| White | 3,400 | 100 | 600 | 700 | 500 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Black | 104 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 700 | (3) | 100 | 20\% | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Mathematical scientists | 1,430 | (3) | 200 | 300 | 300 | 11 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| White | 1,200 | (3) | 100 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) |
| Black | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {Native American }}$ | 200 (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |

Appendix table 13. - continued


Appendix table 13. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Years of professional experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or less | 2-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Social scientists | 10,100 | 200 | 1,200 | 2,500 | 2,100 | 1,200 | 500 | 300 | 200 | 200 |
| White | 9,200 | 100 | 1,100 | 2,200 | 1,900 | 1,100 | 500 | 300 | 200 | 200 |
| Black | 400 | (3) | 100 | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |  |
| Asian | 400(3) | (3) | 100 | 100(3) | 100(3) | (3) |  |  |  | (3) |
| Native American |  |  | (3) |  |  |  | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 200 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Engineers | 1,100 | (3) | 200 | 300 | 200 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| White | 800 | (3) | 200 | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 100 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Black | (3) | (3) | (3) |  | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 200 | (3) | (3) | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |  |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | $\begin{aligned} & (3) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | $(3)$$(3)$ | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | (3) | (3) | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic satagories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 14. Employed scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and selected sector of employment: 1984

| Field and <br> racial/ethnic group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Employed (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Sector of Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Industry | Educational <br> institutions | Federal Government |
| Toさ̀al scientists and engineers (2) | 3,995,500 | 2,512,500 | 537,000 | 307,100 |
| White | 3,641,200 | 2,299,700 | 486,500 | 276,700 |
| Black | 90,500 | 49,600 | 14,400 | 11,900 |
| Asian an | 186,500 | 116,900 | 28,100 | 13,000 |
| Native American Hispanic (3) | 20,400 86,600 | 12,200 51,000 | 1,700 9,900 | 1,300 6,000 |
| Scientists | 1,781,400 | 840,300 | 454,900 | 139,900 |
| White | 1,623,800 | 765,700 | 416,400 | 127,100 |
| Black | 53,400 | 23,600 | 12,500 | 6,600 |
| Asian Native American | 69,100 8,600 | 34,000 3,800 | 19,700 1,600 | 4,100 |
| Native American Hispanic | 8,600 38,800 | 3,800 17,900 | 1,600 8,700 | 2,000 |
| Physical scientists | 254,100 | 138,700 | 61,200 | 24,500 |
| White | 230,700 | 125,700 | 56,400 | 22,400 |
| Black | 6,100 | 3,300 | 1,200 | 800 |
| Asian American | 12,500 | 7,100 | 2,900 | 1,100 |
| Native American Hisparic | 1,100 4,300 | 7,700 1,700 | 1,200 | (4) 400 |
| Mathematical scientists | 100,400 | 39,000 | 46,300 | 8:600 |
| White | 88,900 | 35,600 | 40,200 | 7,500 |
| Black | 4,700 | 1,500 | 2,400 | 700 |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {ative }}$ American | 4,700 | 1,100 | 3,000 | 200 |
| Native American Hispanic | 2,700 | 100 800 | 100 1,500 | 100 100 |
| Computer specialists | 436,800 | 329,800 | 30,200 | 29,200 |
| White | 392,600 | 297,700 | 26,100 | 26,100 |
| Black | 12,100 | 8,000 | 1,100 | 1,800 |
| Asian | 24,600 | 18,000 | 2,600 | 1,000 |
| Native American Hispanic | 1,800 8,200 | 1,500 6,200 | (4) 600 | 100 |

Appendix table 14. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Employed (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Sector of Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Industry | Educational <br> institutions | Federal Government |
| Environmental scientists | 98,100 | 47,800 | 15,700 | 14,900 |
| White | 94,200 | 46,300 | 14,800 | 14,000 |
| Black | 600 | 100 | 100 | 300 |
| Asian | 1,800 | 600 | 600 | 400 |
| Native American | 1.300 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 1,800 | 900 | 400 | 300 |
| Life scientists | 353,300 | 107,800 | 131,100 | 38,700 |
| White | 329,300 | 101,300 | 121,800 | 35,600 |
| Black | 6,700 | 1,500 | 2,500 | 1,300 |
| Asian | 10,400 | 3,300 | 4,400 | 900 |
| Native American | 2,100 | 200 | 1,000 | 200 |
| Hispanic | 7,300 | 2,700 | 2,100 | 600 |
| Psychologists | 209,500 | 47,300 | 75,600 | 5,000 |
| White | 196,000 | 43,200 | 72,200 | 4,400 |
| Black | 7,300 | 2,100 | 2,000 | 300 |
| Asian | 2,000 | 500 | 600 | 100 |
| Native American | 1,800 | 400 | 200 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 4,200 | 1,900 | 800 | 100 |
| Social scientists | 329,200 | 129,800 | 94,700 | 19,000 |
| White | 292,100 | 115,800 | 84,800 | 17,100 |
| Black | 15,900 | 7,000 | 3,200 | 1,400 |
| Asian | 13,100 | 3,400 | 5,700 | 400 |
| Native American | 1,200 | 3700 | 200 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 10,200 | 3,600 | 2,300 | 300 |
| Engineers | 2,214,100 | 1,672,200 | 82, 200 | 167,100 |
| White | 2,017,400 | 1,534,100 | 70,200 | 149,600 |
| Black | 37,100 | 26,000 | 1,800 | 5,300 |
| Asian | 117,500 | 82,900 | 8,500 | 9,000 |
| Native American | 11,700 47,800 | 8,400 33,100 | 1. 100 | 4.800 |
| Hispanic | 47,800 | 33,100 | 1,200 | 4,000 |

(1) Includes state/local/other governments, military, nonprofit organizations, hospitals/clínics, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
cludes members of ali racial groups.
ERICo few cases to estimate.
SUUKLE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 15. Employed men scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and selected sector of employment: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Sector of Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Industry | Educational institutions | Federal Government |
| Total scienifsts and engineers (2) | 3,482,900 | 2,256,000 | 420,600 | 275,600 |
| White | 3,109,000 | 2,076,800 | 381,500 | 249,400 |
| Black | 67,600 | 36,700 | 11,000 | 9,400 |
| Asian | 159,500 | 101,700 | 22,400 | 11,500 |
| Native American | 18,900 | 11,600 | 1,000 | 1,300 |
| Hispanic (3) | 71,400 | 43,400 | 6,700 | 5,000 |
| Scientists | 1,343,300 | 642,000 | 342,500 | 113,600 |
| White | 1,235,C00 | 592,400 | 314,500 | 104,200 |
| Black | 33,500 | 13,100 | 9,400 | 4,400 |
| Asian | 48,100 | 23,500 | 14,500 | 3,000 |
| Native American | 7,400 | 3,500 | 1,000 | 1500 |
| Hispanic | 26,200 | 12,100 | 5,500 | 1,500 |
| Physical scientists | 225,800 | 123,200 | 54,300 | 22,600 |
| White | 206,700 | 112,900 | 50,200 | 20,800 |
| Black | 4,900 | 2,500 | 1,200 | 600 |
| Asian | 9,700 | 5,200 | 2,400 | 1,000 |
| Native American | 1,100 | 1700 | 200 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 3,500 | 1,300 | 900 | 300 |
| Mathematical scientists | 78,500 | 29,200 | 37,700 | 6,500 |
| White | 69,600 | 27,300 | 32,200 | 5,600 |
| Black | 3,000 | 300 | 2,100 | 500 |
| Asian | 4,200 | 900 | 2,700 | 200 |
| Native American | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 2,000 | 600 | 1,000 | 100 |
| Computer specialists | 322,700 | 246,900 | 20,400 | 20,700 |
| White | 292,900 | 225,200 | 17,100 | 18,900 |
| Black | 6,600 | 4,600 | 600 | 1,100 |
| Asian | 17,400 | 12,300 | 2,400 | 400 |
| Native American Hispanic | 1,600 | 1,400 | (4) | 100 |
| Hispanic | 5,100 | 4,000 | (4) | 100 |
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Appendix table 15. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Sector of Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Industry | Educational institutions | Federal Government |
| Environmental scientists | 87,800 | 43,200 | 13,700 | 13,200 |
| White | 84,300 | 41,800 | 12,900 | 12,400 |
| Black | 500 | 100 | 100 | 300 |
| Asian | 1,700 | 600 | 500 | 400 |
| Native American | 200 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | :,600 | 800 | 400 | 300 |
| Life scientists | 270,700 | 83,400 | 97,700 | 32,100 |
| White | 255,600 | 79,700 | 92,000 | 29,500 |
| Black | 4,500 | 1,200 | 1,600 | 1,000 |
| Asian | 6,200 | 1,900 | 2,700 | , 600 |
| Native American | 1,600 | 1200 | , 600 | 200 |
| Hispanic | 4,600 | 1,800 | 1,200 | 600 |
| Psychologists | 121,100 | 26,200 | 48,000 | 3,700 |
| White | 114,400 | 24,400 | 46,500 | 3,400 |
| Black | 3,000 | 600 | 1,000 | 100 |
| Asian | 800 | 200 | 200 | (4) |
| Native American | 1,500 | 400 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,000 | 1,100 | 200 | (4) |
| Social scientists | 236,800 | 89,500 | 70,800 | 14,800 |
| White | 211,500 | 81,100 | 63,600 | 13,600 |
| Black | 11,000 | 3,800 | 2,900 | 700 |
| Asian | 8,300 | 2,500 | 3,600 | 300 |
| Native American | 1,000 | 600 | (4) | 100 |
| Hispanic | 7,300 | 2,400 | 1,700 | 200 |
| Engineers | 2,139,600 | 1,614,000 | 78,000 | 162,000 |
| White | 1,953,900 | 1,484,400 | 67,000 | 145,300 |
| Black | 34,100 | 23,600 | 1,600 | 5,000 |
| Asian | 111,400 | 78,200 | 7,900 | 8,500 |
| Native American Hispanic | 11,500 45,200 | 8,100 31,300 | 100 1,200 | 800 3,600 |

(1) Includes state/local/other governments, military, nonprofit organizations, hospitals/clinics, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total oriployed because
a) racial and othnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(3) Includes mombers of all raciel groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

Appendix table 16. Employed women scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and selected sector of employment: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Sector of Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Industry | Educational institutions | Federal Government |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers (2) <br> 512,600 256,400 <br> 116,500 <br> 31,500 |  |  |  |  |
| White | 452,200 | 222,900 | 105,100 | 27,200 |
| Black | 22,900 | 12,900 | 3,400 | 2,500 |
| Asian | 27,000 | 15,200 | 5,800 | 1,500 |
| Native American | 1,500 | 7 600 | 700 | (4) |
| Hispanic (3) | 15,200 | 7,600 | 3,300 | 1,000 |
| Scientists | 438,100 | 198,300 | 112,300 | 26,300 |
| White | 388,800 | 173,300 | 101,900 | 22,900 |
| Black | 19,800 | 10,500 | 3,100 | 2,200 |
| Asian | 20,900 | 10,500 | 5,200 | 1,100 |
| Native Amer Hispan | 1,300 12.700 | 300 5.800 | 3,700 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 12,700 | 5,800 | 3,200 | 600 |
| Physical scientists | 28,300 | 15,500 | 6,900 | 1,900 |
| White | 24,000 | 12,800 | 6,200 | 1,600 |
| Black | 1,200 | , 700 | 100 | 200 |
| Asian | 2,800 | 1,900 | 500 | 100 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 800 | 400 | 200 | 100 |
| Mathematical scientists | 21,900 | 9,800 | 8,700 | 2,100 |
| White | 19,300 | 8,300 | 8,000 | 1,900 |
| Black | 1,700 | 1,200 | 300 | , 200 |
| Asian | 600 | 200 | 200 | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 700 | 200 | 500 | (4) |
| Computer specialists | 114,100 | 82,900 | 9,800 | 8,500 |
| White | 99,600 | 72,500 | 9,000 | 7,200 |
| Black | 5,600 | 3,400 | 500 | 600 |
| Asian | 7,200 | 5,800 | 200 | 600 |
| Native American | , 100 | , 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 3,100 | 2,200 | 500 | 200 |

Appendix table 16. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Sector of Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Industry | Educational institutions | Federal Government |
| Environmental scientists | 10,300 | 4,700 | 2,000 | 1,700 |
| White | 9,900 | 4,500 | 1,900 | 1,700 |
| Black | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 100 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 200 | 100 |  | (4) |
| Life scientists | 82,600 | 24,400 | 33,400 | 6,700 |
| White | 73,700 | 21,600 | 29,800 | 6,000 |
| Black | 2,100 | 400 | . 900 | 300 |
| Asian | 4,200 | 1,400 | 1,800 | 300 |
| Native American | . 500 | . 100 | 300 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,700 | 1,000 | 909 | (4) |
| Psychologists | 88,400 | 21,000 | 27,600 | 1,200 |
| White | 81,600 | 18,900 | 25,700 | 1,000 |
| Black | 4,300 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 200 |
| Asian | 1,200 | 300 | 400 | (4) |
| Native American | , 300 | (4) | 200 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,200 | 800 | 600 | 100 |
| Social scientists | 92,400 | 39,900 | 24,000 | 4,200 |
| White | 80,600 | 34,700 | 21,200 | 3,400 |
| Black | 4,800 | 3,200 | 300 | 700 |
| Asian | 4,800 | 900 | 2,100 | 100 |
| Native American | 200 | 100 | 100 600 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,900 | 1,200 | 600 | 100 |
| Engineers | 74,500 | 58,200 | 4,100 | 5,200 |
| White |  |  | 3,200 |  |
| black | 3,100 | 2,400 | 200 | 300 |
| Asian | 6,100 | 4,700 | 600 | 400 |
| Native American | 200 | 200 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,600 | 1,900 | (4) | 400 |

(1) Includes state/local/other governments, military, nonprofit organizations, hospitals/clinics, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total employed because a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report. ncludes members of all racial groups.
ERICoo few cases to estimate.
JUUKLE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 17. Employed scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and selected primary work activity: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Employed (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Research \& Development | Management of R\&D | General management | Teaching | Production/ inspection | Reporting, statistical, \& computing work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3,641,200 | 1,013,100 | 328,500 | 738,900 | 272,700 | 491,600 | 337,200 |
| Black | 90,500 | 20,500 | 7,000 | 18,700 | 9,100 | 13,000 | 11,500 |
| Asian American | 186,500 | 73,800 | 14,400 | 22,600 | 13,700 | 23,900 | 20,000 |
| Native American | 20,400 | 4,200 | 2,000 | 5,600 | . 800 | 2,600 | 1,100 |
| Hispanic (3) | 86,600 | 23,100 | 7,400 | 14,700 | 6,600 | 13,200 | 9,000 |
| Scientists | 1,781,400 | 401,100 | 136,700 | 323,900 | 250,800 | 138,100 | 281,200 |
| White | $\begin{array}{r} 1,623,800 \\ 53,400 \\ 69,100 \\ 8,600 \\ 38,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 366,600 \\ 7,700 \\ 19,900 \\ 1,100 \\ 6,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,400 \\ 3,700 \\ 5,500 \\ 800 \\ 3,700 \end{array}$ | 295,900 | 230,200 | 123,200 | 252,400 |
| Black |  |  |  | 13,400 | 7,600 | 4,800 | 252,400 8,900 |
| Asian |  |  |  | 8,700 | 9,900 | 5,900 | 14,700 |
| Native American |  |  |  | 2,600 | . 700 | +800 | +,600 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  | 6,000 | 5.900 | 3,200 | 6,800 |
| Physical scientists | 254,100 | 99,900 | 37,700 | 29,700 | 37,400 | 31,500 | 6,100 |
| White | $\begin{array}{r} 230,700 \\ 6,100 \\ 12,500 \\ 1,100 \\ 4,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,500 \\ 1,700 \\ 6,600 \\ 400 \\ 1,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,400 \\ 300 \\ 1,300 \\ 400 \\ 200 \end{array}$ | 27,700 | 35,900 | 25,900 | 5,600 |
| Black |  |  |  | 1,400 | - 300 | 1,900 | 200 |
| Asian |  |  |  | 500 | 900 | 2,700 | 200 |
| Native American Hispanic |  |  |  | (4) | 200 | 2,100 | (4) |
| Hispanic |  |  |  | 1,000 | 600 | 400 | 300 |
| Mathematical scientists | 100,400 | 14,900 | 14,300 | 14,900 | 38,200 | 2,900 | 11,600 |
| White <br> Black <br> Asian <br> Native American Hispanic | $\begin{array}{r} 88,900 \\ 4,700 \\ 4,700 \\ 400 \\ 2,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,800 \\ 400 \\ 500 \\ (4) \\ 400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,200 \\ 1,200 \\ 100 \\ 200 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,100 \\ 200 \\ 400 \\ (4) \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,500 \\ 2,300 \\ 2,700 \\ 100 \\ 1,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,600 \\ 100 \\ (4) \\ 100 \\ (4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,200 \\ 400 \\ 900 \\ (4) \\ 200 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer specialists | 436,800 | 81,800 | 27,500 | 51,100 | 14,400 | 13,000 | 213,200 |
| White <br> Black <br> Asian <br> Native American <br> Hispanic | $\begin{array}{r} 392,600 \\ 12,100 \\ 24,600 \\ 1,800 \\ 8,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,300 \\ 2,100 \\ 5,800 \\ 1,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,600 \\ 1,400 \\ 1,400 \\ 100 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,800 \\ 800 \\ 2,500 \\ 900 \\ 700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,800 \\ 200 \\ 300 \\ (4) \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,800 \\ 100 \\ 1,800 \\ (4) \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190,100 \\ 6,600 \\ 12,000 \\ 600 \\ 4,400 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix table 17. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Research \& Development | Management of R\&D | General management | Teaching | Production/ inspection | Reporting, statistical, \& computing work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental scientists | 98,100 | 35,100 | 5,500 | 11,800 | 7,300 | 23,000 | 6,700 |
| White | 94,200 | 33,500 | 5,400 | 11,100 | 7,000 | 22,200 | 6,300 |
| Black | . 600 | 200 | (4) | 100 | (4) | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 1,800 | 900 | (4) | 100 | 100 | 300 | 200 |
| Native American | 300 | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 1,800 | 400 | 100 | 100 | 300 | 500 | 100 |
| Life sc:entists | 353,300 | 113,400 | 25,300 | 66,700 | 54,400 | 41,900 | 10,000 |
| White | 329,300 | 103,800 | 22,600 | 62,500 | 51,800 | 40,100 | 9,500 |
| Black | 6,700 | 2,100 | 22.600 | 2,100 | . 900 | 200 | 300 |
| Asian | 10,400 | 4,500 | 2,100 | 500 | 1,200 | 700 | 200 |
| Native American | 2,100 | 500 | 100 | 1,200 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 7,300 | 2,500 | 700 | 800 | 900 | 900 | 200 |
| Psychologists | 209,500 | 14,300 | 8,800 | 45,100 | 35,300 | 9,800 | 4,000 |
| White | 196,000 | 14,100 | 8,600 | 42,800 | 33,100 | 7,500 | 3,500 |
| Black | 7,300 | 400 | (4) | 1,600 | 1,300 | 1,200 | 400 |
| Asian | 2,000 | 200 | 100 | 400 | 300 | $\left(C_{1}\right)$ | 100 |
| Native American | 1,800 | (4) | (4) | (4) | 200 | 300 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 4,200 | (4) | 200 | 900 | 400 | 800 | 290 |
| Social scientists | 329,200 | 41,100 | 17,600 | 104,500 | 63,900 | 16,100 | 29,700 |
| White | 292,100 | 38,500 | 15,600 | 90,800 | 56,100 | 14,100 | 27,300 |
| Black | 15,900 | . 600 | 400 | 7,100 | 2,600 | 1,200 | , 900 |
| Asian | 13,100 | 1,400 | 400 | 4,300 | 4,400 | 400 | 1,200 |
| Native American | 1,200 | 100 | 100 | 400 | , 200 | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 10,200 | 400 | 1,600 | 2,400 | 1,700 | 400 | 1,400 |
| Engineers | 2,214,100 | 728,500 | 219,300 | 470,100 | 188,400 | 402,500 | 93,900 |
| White | 2,017,400 | 646,500 | 204,100 | 443,000 | 42,500 | 368,300 | 34,800 |
| Black | 37,100 | 12,800 | 3,400 | 5,300 | 1,500 | 8,200 | 2,600 |
| Asian | 117,500 | 53,900 | 8,900 | 13,900 | 3,800 | 18,100 | 5,300 |
| Native American | 11,700 | 3,200 | 1,200 | 3,000 | (4) | 1,900 | 400 |
| Hispanic | 47,800 | 16,700 | 3,700 | 8,800 | 700 | 10,000 | 2,200 |

(1) Includes consulting, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.
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Appendix table 18. Employed men scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and selected primary work activity: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Employed (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Research \& Developmerit | Management of R\&D | $\begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { management } \end{gathered}$ | Teaching | Production/ inspection | Reporting, statistical, \& computing work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total scientists and engineers (2) | 3,482,900 | 1,011,000 | 332,200 | 723,300 | 236,600 | 494,500 | 278,100 |
| White | 3,189,000 | 910.300 | 309,500 | 675,700 | 215,400 | 452,000 | 251,900 |
| Black | 67,600 | 16,900 | 4,700 | 15,800 | 7,600 | 10,000 | 6.200 |
| Asian | 159,500 | 64,200 | 12,300 | 19,200 | 11,100 | 21,400 | 15,100 |
| Native American | 18,900 | 4,000 | 2,000 | 5,100 | + 500 | 2,600 | 1,000 |
| Hispanic (3) | 71,400 | 19,500 | 6,700 | 13,00.3 | 4,000 | 11,900 | 5,900 |
| Scientists | 1,343,300 | 312,000 | 116,000 | 261,000 | 191,600 | 108,300 | 191,300 |
| White | 1,235,000 | 288,200 | 107,700 | 239,600 | 175,800 | 98,260 | 173,200 |
| Black | 33,500 | 5,200 | 1,400 | 11,100 | 6,200 | 2,500 | 3,900 |
| Asian | 48,100 | 13,300 | 4.100 | 5,600 | 7,600 | 4,200 | 10,400 |
| Native American Hispanic | 7,400 | 1,000 | 300 | 2,100 | + 500 | 700 | 5, 500 |
| Hispanic | 26,200 | 4,000 | 3,100 | 4,600 | 3,400 | 2,500 | 4,000 |
| Physical scientists | 225,800 | 38,400 | 36,600 | 28,400 | 33,000 | 25,200 | 4,600 |
| White | 206,700 | 80,100 | 34,300 | 26,700 | 31,800 | 20,900 | 4,200 |
| Black | 4,900 | 1,200 | , 300 | -1,300 | 31, 200 | 1,500 | 4, 100 |
| Asian | 9,700 | 5,200 | 1,300 | 400 | 700 | 1,700 | 100 |
| Native American | 1,100 | . 400 | 400 | (4) | 200 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 3,500 | 1,300 | 200 | 900 | 400 | 400 | 200 |
| Mathematical scientists | 78,500 | 12,300 | 10,700 | 12,100 | 30,200 | 2,500 | 8,300 |
| White <br> Black <br> Asian <br> Native American <br> Hispanic | 69,600 | 11,400 | 9,700 | 11,400 | 25,100 | 2,300 | 7,400 |
|  | 3,000 | 300 | 100 | 200 | 2,100 | 2100 | +200 |
|  | 4,200 | 400 | 100 | 400 | 2,500 | (4) | 700 |
|  | 2,400 | (4) | 200 | (4) | 2, 100 | 100 | (4) |
|  | 2,000 | 300 | 600 | 100 | 1,000 | (4) | 100 |
| Computer sferialists | 322,7, | 61.700 | 23.600 | 42,000 | 9,500 | 10,130 | 147,400 |
| White <br> Blaci: <br> Asiar. <br> Wative American Hispanic | 292,900 | 56,300 | 21,800 | 38,000 | 9,000 | 8,500 | 132,900 |
|  | 6,600 | 1.900 | 21.600 | 800 | 100 | (4) | 2,600 |
|  | 17,400 | 3,200 | 1.100 | 2,200 | 300 | 1,300 | 8,500 |
|  | 1,600 | (4) | 100 | 900 | (4) | (4) | 8, 50 |
|  | 5,100 | 500 | 400 | 500 | (4) | 100 | 2,900 |

Appendix table 18. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Research \& Development | Management of R\&D | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { General } \\ \text { Imanagement }\end{gathered}\right.$ | Teaching | Production/ inspection | Reporting, statistical, \& computing work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental scientists | 87,800 | 30,100 | 5,1u0 | 11,100 | 6,400 | 21,200 | 5,700 |
| White | 84,300 | 28,800 | 5,000 | 10,500 | 6,200 | 20,500 | 5,500 |
| Black | . 500 | 200 | (4) | 100 | (4) | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 1,700 | 800 | (4) | 100 | 100 | 300 | 200 |
| Native American | 200 | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 1,600 | 300 | 100 | 100 | 300 | 400 | 100 |
| Life scientists | 270,700 | 80,300 | 21,900 | 57,200 | 42,000 | 32,000 | 7,500 |
| White | 255,600 | 74,500 | 20,000 | 54,100 | 40,300 | 30,700 | 7,100 |
| Black | 4,500 | 1,100 | . 200 | 1,800 | 700 | 200 | 300 |
| Asian | 6,200 | 2,800 | 1,500 | 300 | 700 | 500 | 100 |
| Native American | 1,600 | . 400 | 100 | 800 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 4,600 | 1,300 | 600 | 600 | 300 | 700 | 100 |
| Psychologists | 121,100 | 8,800 | 6,200 | 28,500 | 23,600 | 5,900 | 2,000 |
| White | 114,400 | 8,400 | 6,000 | 27,400 | 22,400 | 4,700 | 1,900 |
| Black | 3,000 | 200 | (4) | 800 | 700 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 800 | (4) | 100 | 200 | 200 | (4) | (4) |
| Nlative American | 1,500 | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | 300 700 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,000 | (4) | (4) | 400 | 200 | 700 | (4) |
| Social scientists | 236,800 | 30,400 | 11,900 | 81,700 | 46,900 | 11,500 | 15,800 |
| White | 211,500 | 28,700 | 10,900 | 71,600 | 40,900 | 10,500 | 14,300 |
| Black | 11,000 | 400 | 100 | 6,000 | 2,400 | 500 | 600 |
| Asian | 8,300 | 800 | 100 | 2,200 | 3,100 | 300 | 700 |
| Native American | 1,000 | (4) | 100 | 400 | 1100 | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 7,300 | 300 | 1,200 | 2,000 | 1,200 | 200 | 500 |
| Engineers | 2,139,600 | 699,000 | 216,200 | 462,300 | 45,000 | 386,200 | 86,8C0 |
| White | 1,953,900 | 622,100 | 201,800 | 436,100 | 39,700 | 353,800 | 78,700 |
| Black | 134,100 | 11,700 | 3,300 | 4,800 | 1,300 | 17,500 | 2,300 |
| Asian | 111,400 | 50,903 | 8,200 | 13,600 | 3,500 | 17,200 | 4,800 |
| Native American | 11,500 | 3,000 | 1,200 | 3,000 | (4) | 1,900 | , 400 |
| Hispanic | 45,200 | 15,500 | 3,700 | 8,400 | 600 | 9,400 | 1,900 |

(1) Includes consulting, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
4) Too few cases to estimate.

Appendix table 19. Employed women scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and selected primary
work activity: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed <br> (1) | Research \& Development | Management of R\&D | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { management } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Teaching | Production/ inspection | Reporting, statistical, \& computing work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total scientists <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 452,200 | 102,700 | 18,900 | 63,100 | 57,200 | 39,600 | 85,300 |
| Black | 22,900 | 3,600 | 2,400 | 2,800 | 1,500 | 2,900 | 5,300 |
| Asian | 27,000 | 9,600 | 2,100 | 3,400 | 2,600 | 2,500 | 4,900 |
| Native American Hispanic (z) | 1,500 15,200 | 300 3,500 | (4) 700 | , 400 1.700 | 300 2,500 | 2,100 1,300 | 100 3,100 |
| Scientists | 438,100 | 89,000 | 20,700 | 62,800 | 59,200 | 29,700 | 89,800 |
| White | 388,800 | 78,400 | 16,700 | 56,300 | 54,400 | 25,000 | 79,200 |
| Black | 19,800 | 2,500 | 2,200 | 2,300 | 1,400 | 2,300 | 4,900 |
| Asian | 20,900 | 6,700 | 1,300 | 3,100 | 2,200 | 1,700 | 4,300 |
| Native American Hispanic | 1,300 12.700 | 2. 100 | (4) | 430 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| Hispanic |  | 2,400 |  | 1,400 | 2,500 | 700 | 2,800 |
| Physical scientists | 28,300 | 11,500 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 4,400 | 6,400 | 1,500 |
| White | 24,000 | 9,500 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 4,200 | 4,990 | 1,300 |
| Black | 1,200 | 500 | (4) | 100 | (4) | 300 | 100 |
| Asian | 2,800 | 1,400 | (4) | 100 | 100 | 1,000 | 100 |
| Native American Hispanic |  | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | $(4)$ |
| Hispanic | 800 | 400 | 100 | (4) | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Mathematical scientists | 21.900 | 2,600 | 3,600 | 2,800 | 7,900 | 300 | 3,200 |
| White | 19,300 | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,600 | 7,400 | 300 | 2,800 |
| Black | 1,700 | 100 | 1,100 | (4) | 300 | (4) | 2,800 |
| Asionn | 600 | 100 | (4) | 100 | 200 | (4) | 200 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 700 | 100 | (4) | (4) | 400 | (4) | 100 |
| Computer specialists | 114,100 | 20,100 | 3,900 | 9,100 | 4,900 | 2,900 | 65,800 |
| White | 99,600 | 17.100 | 2,800 | 8,800 | 4,700 | 2,300 | 57,100 |
| Biack | 5,600 | -600 | 800 | (4) | 100 | 2, 100 | 4,000 |
| Asian | 7,200 | 2,600 | 300 | 300 | (4) | 400 | 3,400 |
| Native Amorican Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | 100 |
| Hispanic | 3,100 | 600 | (4) | 200 | 500 | (4) | 1.500 |

Appendix table 19. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total <br> Employed (1) | Research \& Development | Management of R\&D | General management | Teaching | Production/ inspection | Reporting, statistical, \& computing work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental scientists | 10,300 | 5,000 | 400 | 700 | 900 | 1,800 | 900 |
| White | 9,900 | 4,800 | 400 | 700 | 800 | 1,700 | 900 |
| Black | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 200 | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Life scientists | 82,600 | 33,100 | 3,400 | 9,500 | 12,400 | 9,900 | 2,500 |
| White | 73,700 | 29,300 | 2,600 | 8,400 | 11,500 | 9,300 | 2,400 |
| Black | 2,100 | 1,100 | 200 | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 4,200 | 1,700 | 700 | 200 | 500 | 200 | 100 |
| Native American | 500 | (4) | (4) | 400 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,700 | 1,100 | 100 | 200 | 600 | 200 | (4) |
| Psychologists | 88,400 | 6,100 | 2,600 | 16,700 | 11,700 | 3,900 | 2,000 |
|  |  | 5,600 | 2,600 | 15,400 | 10,700 | 2,800 | 1,600 |
| Black | 81,300 | 5,600 | (4) | , 700 | . 600 | 1,100 | 300 |
| Asian | 1,200 | 200 | (4) | 300 | 100 | (4) | 100 |
| Native American | 300 | (4) | (4) | (4) | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,200 | (4) | 200 | 500 | 200 | 100 | 200 |
| Social scientisis | 92,400 | 10,700 | 5,600 | 22,800 | 17,000 | 4,700 | 13,800 |
| White | 80,600 | 9,800 | 4,700 | 19,200 | 15,100 | 3,600 | 13,000 |
| Black | 4,800 | 200 | 200 | 1,200 | , 200 | 700 | 300 |
| Asian | 4,800 | 500 | 300 | 2,200 | 1,300 | (4) | 500 |
| Native American | 200 | 100 | (4) | (4) | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,900 | 100 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 200 | 900 |
| Engineers | 74,500 | 29,500 | 3,100 | 7,800 | 3,400 | 16,200 | 7,100 |
| White | 63,500 | 24,400 | 2,200 | 6,800 | 2,300 | 14,600 | 6,100 |
| Black | 3,100 | 1,100 | 100 | 500 | 100 | 700 | 300 |
| Asian | 6,100 | 3,000 | 700 | 300 | 400 | 800 | 500 |
| Native American | 200 | 200 | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 2,600 | 1,100 | (4) | 300 | (4) | 600 | 300 |

(1) Includes consulting, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total employed because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

## Appendix table 20. Doctoral scientists and engineers in four-year colleges and universities by field, racial/ethnic group, and tenure status: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers (2) <br> $187,600 \quad 116,200$ |  |  |  |  |
| White | 168,900 | 106,000 | 25,100 | 16,600 |
| Black | 3,100 | 1,600 | 25,700 | 16,600 |
| Asian Native American | 12,400 300 | 6,800 | 2,000 | 1,600 |
| Native American Hispanic (3) | 300 2,600 | 200 1,500 | (4) | (4) |
| Scientists | 167,300 | 103,200 | 24,900 | 17,700 |
| White | 151,600 | 94,400 | 22,600 |  |
| Black | 2,900 | 1,500 | 22,600 600 | 15,900 200 |
| Asian Native American | 10,000 300 | 5,600 | 1,500 | 1,400 |
| Native <br> American | 300 2,300 | 1,200 | (4) 400 | (4) 200 |
| Physical scientists | 26,500 | 16,200 | 2,300 | 3,200 |
| White | 23,600 | 14,700 | 2,000 | 2,800 |
| Black | 23, 400 | , 200 | 2,000 100 | C) 84 |
| Asian Ame American | 2,000 | 900 | 200 | 300 |
| Native American Hispanic | 100 400 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 400 | 300 | (4) | (4) |
| Mathematical scientists | 12,800 | 9,400 | 1,900 | 500 |
| White <br> Black <br> Asian <br> Native American <br> Hispanic | 11,500 | 8,500 |  |  |
|  | -100 | 8, 100 | 1, | (4) |
|  | 1,000 | 700 | 200 | (4) |
|  | $(4)$ 200 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
|  | 200 | 100 | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 20. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | \|Tenure-track: <br> Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Computer specialists | 3,900 | 1,800 | 700 | 600 |
| White | 3,600 | 1,600 | 600 | 600 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 300 | 200 | 100 | (4) |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) 100 | $14)$ 100 | (4) $(4)$ | (4) |
| Environmental scientists | 6,500 | 3,600 | 1,000 | 900 |
| White | 6,200 | 3,500 | 900 | 800 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 300 | 100 | (4) | 100 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Life scientists | 57,300 | 32,100 | 9,100 | 7,200 |
| White | 51,500 | 29,300 | 8,300 | 6,800 |
| Black | . 700 | 2 400 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 4,200 | 2,000 | 600 | 900 |
| Native American | 100 800 | (4) 400 | (4) 100 | 140 100 |
| Hispanic | 800 | 400 | 100 | 10 |
| Psychologists | 19,400 | 11.900 | 3,200 | 2,000 |
| White | 18,300 | 11,400 | 2,900 | 1,900 |
| Black | , 500 | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Asi an | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 200 | 400 | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 20. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | \|Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Social scientists | 41,000 | 28,100 | 6,700 | 2,600 |
| White | 37,000 | 25,400 | 6,100 |  |
| Black Asian | 1,100 | 25,400 | 6, $\begin{array}{r}100 \\ 300\end{array}$ | 2,500 100 |
| Native American | 2,100 100 | 1,600 | 300 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 100 600 | 100 300 | (4) 200 | (4) |
| Engineers | 20,200 | 13,000 | 3,2,0 | 1,000 |
| White | 17,300 |  |  |  |
| Black Asian | 17,300 | 11,600 | 2,500 100 | 800 $(4)$ |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {Native Antican }}$ | 2.400 | 1,200 | 600 | (4) 200 |
| Hispanic | (4) 300 | (4) | (4) | $(4)$ |
|  | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 |

(1) Includes tenure status unknown and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

## Appendix table 21. Doctoral men scientists and ongineers in four-year colleges and universities by field, racial/ethnic group, and tenure status: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure~track: Tenured | Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Total scientists and engineers $\qquad$ 160,600 <br> 105,600 <br> 22,400 <br> 13,400 |  |  |  |  |
| White | 144,900 | 96,500 | 19,900 | 11,900 |
| Black | 2,400 | 1,300 | . 500 | . 100 |
| Asian | 10,600 | 6,300 | 1,800 | 1,100 |
| Native American | 300 2200 | 1200 | (4) 400 | (4) |
| Hispanic (3) | 2,200 | 1,300 | 400 | 200 |
| Scientists | 140,600 | 92,700 | 19,300 | 12,400 |
| White | 127,800 | 85,000 | 17.500 | 11,200 |
| Black | 2,200 | 1,200 | 400 | 100 |
| Asian | 8,200 | 5,100 | 1.200 | 900 4 |
| Native American | 300 1.900 | 200 1.200 | (4) 300 | (4) 100 |
| Hispanic | 1,900 | 1,200 | 300 | 100 |
| Physical scientists | 24,600 | 15,500 | 2,000 | 2,700 |
| White | 22,000 | 14,100 | 1,800 | 2,400 |
| Black | 400 | 200 | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 1,700 | 900 | 200 | 200 |
| Native American | 100 300 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 300 | 200 | (4) | (4) |
| Mathematical scientists | 11,700 | 8,900 | 1,600 | 405 |
| White | 10.600 | 8,100 | 1,400 | 400 |
| Bl $\ddagger$ ck | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 800 | 600 | 200 | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hiskinic | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 21. - continued

| Field and raciaこ/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | \| Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Computer specialists | 3,600 | 1,800 | 600 | 500 |
| White | 3,300 | 1,600 |  |  |
| Black Asian | $(4)$ 300 | (4) | 500 $(4)$ | 500 $(4)$ |
| Native American | 300 $(4)$ | 200 | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | $14)$ 100 | $(4)$ 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Environmental scientists | 6,100 | 3,500 | 900 | 800 |
| White | 5,800 | 3,400 | 900 | 700 |
| Black Asian | (4) 200 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | 100 (4) | (4) | 100 |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Life scientists | 46,309 | 28,600 | 7,200 | 5,000 |
| White | 41,900 | 26,200 | 6,600 | 4,300 |
| Blac. | 3. 500 | 26, 300 | 6,600 100 | 4, (4) |
| Native American | 3,200 | 1,700 | 500 | 500 |
| Hispanic | (4) 700 | (4) 400 | (4) | (4) |
| Psychologists | 14,100 | 9,600 | 2,000 |  |
| White | 13,500 | 9,300 |  |  |
| Black | , 300 | 9, 200 | 1,800 100 | 1,200 |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {Native American }}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 $(4)$ | (4) |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) | (4) | 14 $(4)$ | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 21. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure staさus |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Social scientists | 34,200 | 24,800 | 5,000 | 1,800 |
| White | 30,800 | 22,300 | 4,500 | 1,700 |
| Black | 900 | . 500 | 200 | 100 |
| Asian | 1,800 | - .500 | 200 | 100 |
| Native American | 100 500 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| hispanic | 500 | 300 | 100 | (4) |
| Engineers | 19,900 | 12,900 | 3,100 | 4,000 |
| White | 17,100 | 11,500 | 2,400 | 700 |
| Black | 200 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 2,400 | 1,200 | 600 | 200 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 300 | 200 | 100 | 100 |

(1) Includes tenure status unknown and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total because
a) racial and ethnic categaries are not mutually exclusive and
b) total includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

## Appendix table 22. Joctoral women scierstists and engineers in four-year colleges and universities by field, racial/ethnic group, and tenure status: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | \| Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Total scientists and engineers (2) | 27,000 | 10,600 | 5,700 | 5,300 |
| White | 24,000 | 9,500 | 5,200 | 4,700 |
| Black | 800 1.800 | , 300 | - 200 | 4,700 |
| Asian | 1,800 | 500 | 300 | 500 |
| Native American | (4) | $(4)$ | $(4)$ | (4) |
| Hispanic (3) | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Scientists | 26,700 | 10,500 | 5,600 | 5,300 |
| White | 23,700 | 9,500 | 5,100 | 4,700 |
| Black | 880 | 300 | 200 | +100 |
| Asian American | 1,800 | 500 | 300 | 500 |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) 400 | (4) 100 | (4) 100 | (4) 100 |
| Physical scientists | 1,900 | 700 | 300 | 500 |
| White | 1,600 | 600 | 300 | 400 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 200 | (4) | (4) | 100 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Mathematical scientists | 1,100 | 600 | 300 | 100 |
| White | 900 | 500 | 300 | 100 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 22. - continued

| Field and <br> racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | Tenure-track: Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Computer specialists | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| White | 300 |  |  | 100 $(4)$ |
| Black <br> Asian | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian <br> Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Environmental scientists | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| White | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Life scientists | 11,000 | 3,500 | 1,900 | 3,000 |
| White | 9,600 | 3,100 | 1,700 | 2,600 |
| Black | 300 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Asian Native Anmerican | 1,000 | (4) | 100 $(4)$ | (4) |
| Native Anmerican Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Psychologists | 5,300 | 2,300 | 1,200 | 800 |
| White | 4,800 | 2,10n | 1,100 | 700 |
| Black | 200 | 100 | 100 $(4)$ | (4) |
| Asian Native American | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Nispanic Hiser | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |

161

Appendix table 22. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Tenure status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tenure-track: Tenured | Tenure-track: <br> Not tenured | Non-tenure track |
| Social scientists | 6,800 | 3,300 | 1,700 | 800 |
| White | 6,200 | 3,100 | 1,600 | 700 |
| Black | 200 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 200 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Engineers | 300 | 100 | 130 | (4) |
| White | 200 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |

(1) Includes tenure status unknown and no report.
(2) Detail kill not add to total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estiniate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 23. Doctoral scientists and engineers in four-year colleges and universities by field, racial/ethnic group, and academic rank: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| ```Total scientis*cs and engineers (2)``` | 187,600 | 77,300 | 48,200 | 32,600 |
| White | 168,900 | 70,800 | 43,300 | 29,000 |
| Black | 3,100 | , 900 | 1,000 | 700 |
| As:an | 12,400 | 4,300 | 3,100 | 2,300 |
| Native American | 300 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic (3) | 2,600 | 700 | 900 | 500 |
| Scientists | 167,300 | 67,500 | 43,400 | 29,800 |
| White | 151,600 | 62,103 | 39,100 | 27,000 |
| Black | 2,900 | 300 | 800 | . 700 |
| Asian American | 10,000 | 3,500 | 2,700 | 1,700 |
| Native American | 300 2,300 | 100 700 | 100 700 | (4) 400 |
| Physical scientists | 26,500 | 12,500 | 5,000 | 2,600 |
| White | 23.600 | 11,300 | 4,500 | 2,400 |
| Black | 400 | 200 | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 2,000 | 800 | 200 | 200 |
| Native American | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 400 | 200 | 100 | (4) |
| Mathematical scientists | 12,800 | 6,100 | 3,700 | 2,300 |
| White | 11,500 | 5,600 | 3,200 | 2,000 |
| Black | 100 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 1,000 | 300 | 400 | 200 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 200 | 100 | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 23. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full <br> professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| Computer specialists | 3,900 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 800 |
| White | 3,600 | 900 | 1,000 | 700 |
| Black Asian | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | 300 (4) | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 14 100 | (4) | (4) 100 | (4) |
| Envirenmental scientists | 6,500 | 2,600 | 1,400 | 1,200 |
| White | 6,200 | 2,530 | 1,300 |  |
| Black Asian | (4) 300 | 2, 34 | 1,300 148 | 1,100 $(4)$ |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {Native American }}$ | (4) | 100 $(4)$ | 100 $(4)$ | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Life scientists | 57,300 | 21,300 | 14,300 | 10,700 |
| White | 51,500 | 19,400 | 12,900 | 9,700 |
| Black | - 700 | 19,200 | 12,900 200 | 9,700 200 |
| Native American | 4,200 | 1.300 | 1.000 | 700 |
| Hispanic | 100 800 | (4) 200 | $(4)$ 200 | (4) 100 |
| Psychologists | 19,400 | 7,500 | 5,500 | 4,000 |
| White | 18,300 |  |  |  |
| Black <br> Asian | , 500 | 7, (4) | 5,200 200 | 3,700 200 |
| Asian <br> Native American | 300 | 100 | (4) | 100 |
| Hispanic | (4) 200 | (4) | (4) | $(4)$ |

Appendix table 23. - continued

| Fi, id and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full <br> professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| Social scientists | 41,000 | 16,600 | 12,400 | 8,200 |
| White | 37,000 | 15,200 | 11,000 | 7,300 |
| Black | 1,100 | 400 | 300 | 300 |
| Asi an | 2,100 | 700 | 900 | 400 |
| Native American | 100 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Hispanic | 600 | 100 | 200 | 100 |
| Engineers | 20,200 | 9,800 | 4,900 | 2,700 |
| White | 17,300 | 8,800 | 4,300 | 2,000 |
| Black | 200 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 2,400 | 800 | 400 | 600 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 300 | 100 | 200 | 100 |

(1) Iricludes instructor, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to totas because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 24. Doctoral men scientists and engineers in four-year colleges and universities by field, racial/ethnic group, and academic rank: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year collezes \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |


| Total scientists and engineers (2) | 160,600 | 72,700 | 41,200 | 24,700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 144,900 | 66,600 | 37,100 | 21,900 |
| Black | 2,400 | 66,700 | 37,800 | 21,900 500 |
| Asian | 10,600 | 4,100 | 2,700 | 1,800 |
| Native American | 300 2. | 1 100 | 2,700 100 | 1,800 $(4)$ |
| Hispanic (3) | 2,200 | 700 | 800 | 400 |
| Scientists | 140,600 | 62,900 | 36,500 | 22,100 |
| White | 127,800 | 57,900 | 32,900 |  |
| Black | 2,200 | 57,700 | 32,900 600 | 20, 500 |
| Asian | 8,200 | 3,300 | 2,300 | 1,200 |
| Native American | 300 | 100 | 100 | ( 4 ) |
| Hispanic | 1,900 | 600 | 600 | 300 |
| Physical scientists | 24,600 | 12,100 | 4,600 | 2,200 |
| White | 22,000 | 10,900 | 4,200 | 2,000 |
| Black | 1 400 | , 100 | 4, 100 | 2, 4 ) |
| Asian | 1,700 | 800 | 200 | 100 |
| Native American Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 300 | 200 | 100 | (4) |
| Mathematical scientists | 11,700 | 5,800 | 3,400 | 1,900 |
| White | 10,600 | 5,400 | 2,900 | 1,700 |
| Black | 100 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Asian | 800 | 300 | 300 | 200 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 24. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| Computer specialists | 3,600 | 1.000 | 1,000 | 700 |
| White | 3,300 | 800 | 900 | 700 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Environmental sitientists | 6,100 | 2,600 | 1,400 | 1,100 |
| White | 5,800 | 2,500 | 1,200 | 1,000 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 200 | 100 | 100 | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Life scientists | 46,300 | 19,700 | 11,700 | 7,800 |
| White | 41,900 | 18,000 | 1C,600 | 7,200 |
| Black | , 500 | , 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 3,200 | 1,200 | 800 | 500 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 700 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
| Psychologists | 14,100 | 6,500 | 4,100 | 2,300 |
| White | 13,500 | 6,400 | 3,900 | 2,100 |
| Black | 300 | (4) | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 100 | 100 | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | 100 | (4) |

Appendix table 24. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| Social scientists | 34,200 | 15,300 | 10,300 | 6,000 |
| White | 30,800 | 13,900 | 9,100 |  |
| Black | - 900 | 13,900 | 9,300 | 5,300 200 |
| Asian | 1,800 | 700 | 800 | 300 |
| Native American Hispanic | 100 500 | 100 100 | 100 200 | (4) 100 |
| Engineers | 19,900 | 9,800 | 4,800 | 2,600 |
| White | 17,100 | 8,800 | 4,200 |  |
| Black | 2. 200 | (4) | , 100 | 1, 84 |
| Asian <br> Native American | 2,400 | 800 | 400 | 600 |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) 300 | (4) 100 | (4) 200 | $(4)$ 100 |

(1) Includes instructor, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total includes other and no report.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

SOURCE: National Science F'gundation

Appendix table 25. Doctoral women scientists and engineers in four-year colleges and universities by field, racial/ethnic group, and academic rank: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| ```Total scientists and engineers (2)``` | 27,000 | 4,600 | 7,000 | 7,900 |
| White | 24,000 | 4,200 | 6,300 | 7,100 |
| Black | 800 | 100 | 200 | 300 |
| Asian | 1,800 | 200 | 400 | 500 |
| Native American Hispanic (3) | (4) 400 | (4) 100 | (4) 100 | (4) 100 |
| Scientists | 26,700 | 4,600 | 6,900 | 7,800 |
| White | 23,700 | 4,200 | 6,200 | 7,000 |
| Black | 800 | 100 | 200 | 300 |
| Asian | 1,800 | 200 | 400 | 400 |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Physical scientists | 1,900 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| White | 1,600 | 400 | 300 | 400 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 200 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Mathematical scientists | 1,100 | 200 | 300 | 400 |
| White | 900 | 200 | 300 | 300 |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 100 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Native Am. ican | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |

Appendix table 25. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| Computer specialists | 300 | (4) | 100 | 100 |
| White | 300 | (4) | 100 |  |
| Black | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native Americar | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American Hispanis | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Environmental scientists | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| White | 300 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Black Asian | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Life scientists | 11,000 | 1,600 | 2,600 | 2,800 |
| White | 9,600 | 1,400 | 2,300 | 2,600 |
| Black <br> Asian | 300 | 100 | 2, 100 | 2,600 100 |
| Native American | 1.000 (4) | 100 | 200 | 200 |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) (4) | (4) |
| Psychologists | 5,300 | 900 | 1,400 | 1,700 |
| White | 4,800 | 900 | 1,300 | 1,600 |
| Black Asian | 200 | (4) | 100 | 1,600 100 |
| Asian $\begin{aligned} & \text { Native American }\end{aligned}$ | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American Hispanic | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Hispanic | 100 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
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Appendix table 25. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total, four-year colleges \& universities (1) | Academic rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor |
| Social sciontists | 6,800 | 1,300 | 2,100 | 2,200 |
| White | 6,200 | 1,300 | 1,900 | 2,000 |
| Black | 200 | (4) | 100 | 100 |
| Asian | 200 | (4) | 100 $(4)$ | 100 4 4 |
| Native American Hispanic | 140 | (4) | 100 | (4) |
| Engineers | 300 | (4) | 100 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| White Black | 200 4 4 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Asian | 100 $(4)$ | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Native American | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) |

(1) Includes instructor, other, and no report.
(2) Detail will not add to total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total includes otiver and no rep $r$ rt.
(3) Includes members of all racial groups.
(4) Too few cases to estimate.

SUURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 26. Selected employment characteristics of scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group
and sex: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Labor force participation rate |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  | S/E employment rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers <br> 3.: 86.7 <br> 88.2 <br> 77.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 95.5 | 95.7 | 94.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 86.8 | 88.2 | 77.0 |
| Black | 98.2 | 98.7 | 96.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 31.3 | 84.7 | 77.0 |
| Asian anerican | 96.6 | 97.1 | 93.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 90.8 | 92.1 | 83.1 |
| Native American | 97.7 | 97.8 | 96.1 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 78.3 | 78.8 | 71.4 |
| Hispanic | 96.0 | 96.3 | 94.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 80.3 | 81.9 | 72.6 |
| Scientists | 96.0 | 96.6 | 94.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 78.8 | 80.3 | 74.1 |
| White | 96.0 | 96.6 | 94.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 78.9 | 80.4 | 74.3 |
| Black | 97.7 | 98.4 | 96.7 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 73.1 | 75.6 | 69.0 |
| Asian $\begin{aligned} & \text { Native American }\end{aligned}$ | 95.7 | 97.0 | 92.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 83.2 | 85.2 | 78.6 |
| Hispanic | 93.6 | 97.1 92.8 | 100.0 94.0 | 3.4 2.0 | (3) 1.6 | 19.3 2.7 | 63.5 68.0 | 63.1 67.8 | 65.9 68.3 |
| Physical scientists | 94.6 | 94.9 | 92.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 92.1 | 92.1 | 91.8 |
| White | 94.6 | 94.8 | 92.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 92.4 | 92.3 | 92.6 |
| Black | 98.0 | 98.8 | 94.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 79.0 | 78.0 | 83.6 |
| Asian American | 92.5 | 94.9 | 84.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 92.5 | 93.3 | 89.7 |
| Native American Hispanic | 84.6 | 84.1 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic | 91.1 | 92.4 | 85.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 91.5 | 90.1 | 98.2 |
| Mathematical scientists | 95.4 | 96.2 | 92.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 86.7 | 86.9 | 86.0 |
| White | 95.3 | 96.2 | 92.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 85.8 | 86.0 | 85.4 |
| Black | 98.2 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 2.6 | (3) | 6.7 | 92.1 | 89.5 | 96.8 |
| Asian American | 95.4 | 95.9 | 91.9 | 9.5 | 10.6 | (3) | 95.2 | 95.8 | 91.1 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | 150 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 91.6 | 100.0 | 19.6 |
| Hispanic | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 95.7 | 96.9 | 92.1 |
| Computer specialists | 98.8 | 99.4 | 97.3 | . 6 | . 5 | . 8 | 77.9 | 78.0 | 77.8 |
| White | 99.0 | 99.6 | 97.3 | . 5 | . 4 | . 8 | 77.7 | 77.8 | 77.3 |
| Black | 92.4 | 400.0 | 98.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 81.3 | 78.9 | 84.2 |
| Asian <br> Native American | 18.4 106.0 | 94.2 | 96.4 100.0 | (3) ${ }^{9}$ | 1.0 | ${ }^{5}$ | 84.6 | 86.9 | 79.2 |
| Native American Hispanic | 100.0 91.3 | 100.0 89.9 | 100.0 93.8 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 23.9 | $i 7.6$ | 100.0 |
|  |  | 8 . | 93.8 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 66.2 | 63.6 | 70.6 |

Appendix table 26. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Labor force participation rate |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  | S/E employment rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Environmental scientists | 95.9 | 96.3 | 92.6 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 7.1 | 91.6 | 92.0 | 88.1 |
| White | 95.9 | 96.3 | 92.4 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 91.4 | 91.8 | 87.8 |
| Black | 85.9 | 82.8 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 131 | 7.9 | 98. | 98.3 | 100.0 |
| Asian | 98.9 | 98.8 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 97.4 100.0 | 98.3 100.0 | 81.6 100.0 |
| Native American | 94.2 | 93.0 | 100.0 100.3 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100.0 96.5 | 100.1 | 91.0 |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life scientists | 94.1 | 95.2 | 90.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 83.2 | 83.5 | 82.5 |
| White | 94.1 | 95.2 | 90.6 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 83.1 | 83.3 | 82.7 |
| Bl $\ddagger$ ck | 95.0 | 97.2 | 90.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 6 | 81.6 | 78.8 | 87.4 |
| Asian American | 92.7 | 173.2 | 91.9 100.0 | 3.6 | $3{ }^{3} \mathbf{0}$ | (3) | 89.8 61.9 | 94.4 76.1 | 83.0 11.7 |
| Native American tispanic | 100.0 92.3 | 100.0 92.3 | 100.0 92.4 | (3) 1.5 | (3) 1.8 | (3) .8 | 61.9 78.2 | 76.1 77.1 | 11.7 80.1 |
| Psyctiologists | 96.3 | 97.0 | 95.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 72.5 | 76.7 | 66.8 |
| White | 96.3 | 97.2 | 95.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 72.9 | 76.9 | 67.4 |
| Black | 98.0 | 96.6 | 99.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 69.8 | 86.3 | 58.2 |
| Asian | 94.6 | 89.4 | 98.2 | 1.1 | (3) | (3) | 72.0 | 87.2 | 62.3 100.0 |
| Native American | 100.0 94.5 | 100.0 89.2 | 100.0 100.0 | (3) 2.0 | (3) 3.2 | (3) | 78.3 32.1 | 73.1 30.6 | 10.0 33.4 |
| Social scientists | 95.6 | 96.4 | 93.7 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 62.5 | 63.7 | 59.4 |
| White | 95.4 | 96.2 | 93.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 63.2 | 64.5 | 60.0 |
| Black | 97.7 | 99.1 | 95.0 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 8.4 | 56.0 | 63.6 | 38.8 |
| Asian | 96.4 | 99.1 | 92.2 | . 7 | 1.1 | (3) | 61.8 | 57.0 | 70.1 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.7 | (3) | 59.3 | 49.2 | 38.1 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic | 93.3 | 93.6 | 92.7 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 54.3 | 49.6 | 66.1 |
| Engineers | 95.3 | 95.3 | 94.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 93.1 | 93.1 | 93.9 |
| White | 95.1 | 95.1 | 94.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 93.1 | 93.0 | 93.9 |
| Black | 98.8 | 99.0 | 97.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 93.0 95.3 | 93.8 | 84.1 98.4 |
| Asian | 97.1 | 97.2 | 94.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | +2.1 | 95.3 89.1 | 95.1 88.9 | 98.4 100.0 |
| Native American | 97.8 | 98.5 | 78.2 | 3.3 3 | 3.1 2.3 | 10.3 2.6 | 89.1 90.2 | 88.9 90.0 | 103.8 |
| Hispanic | 98.3 | 98.4 | 97.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 90.2 | 90.0 | 93.8 |

Appendix table 26. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Underemployment rate |  |  | Underutilization rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers <br> 2.6 <br> 1.8 <br> 7.8 <br> 4.1 <br> 3.1 <br> 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 2.5 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Black | 6.6 | 3.6 | 15.7 | 9.1 | 3.0 5.4 | 10.5 19.6 |
| Asian | 1.8 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 19.6 5.1 |
| Native American Hispanic | 2.9 | 1.5 | 20.0 | 6.2 | 3.4 | 34.4 |
| Hispanic | 4.2 | 2.5 | 12.3 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 14.6 |
| Scientists | 4.5 | 3.1 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 12.0 |
| White | 4.3 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 11.5 |
| Black | 9.3 | 4.8 | 16.9 | 12.0 | 6.8 | 20.5 |
| Native American | 3.2 6.3 | 2.7 | 23.4 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.8 |
| Hispanic | 6.3 8.1 | 3.2 5.0 | 23.8 14.3 | 9.5 9.9 | 3.2 | 38.6 |
| Physical scientists | 2.1 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 6.7 |
| White | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 6.3 |
| Black As!an | 3.4 | 1.8 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 15.7 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | 3.2 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 4.4 |
| Hispanic | 2.6 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 5.7 | (3) 5.5 | (3) 6.9 |
| Mathematical scientists | 2.9 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 8.8 |
| White | 2.8 | 1.9 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 8.3 |
| Black Asian | 3.5 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 6.0 | $5 . r$ | 7.7 |
| Native American | 2.3 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 11.2 | 11. | 6.0 |
| Hispanic | 8.4 2.0 | (3) | 30.4 7.9 | 8.4 2.0 | (3) | 80.4 |
| Computer specialists | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| White | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Black | 5.5 | 3.6 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 9.4 |
| Asian $\begin{aligned} & \text { Native American }\end{aligned}$ | 2.9 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 5.9 |
| Native American Mispanic | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| . | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 |

Appendix table 26. - continued

| Field and racial/othnic group | Underemployment rate |  |  | Underutilization rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Hen | Women |
| Environmental scientists | 3.9 | 3.2 | 10.1 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 16.5 |
| White | 3.9 | 3.1 | 10.5 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 17.0 |
| Black | 1.4 | 1.7 | (3) | 3.9 | 2.8 | $7{ }^{7}{ }^{9}$ |
| Asian | 3.4 | 3.6 | (3) | 3.4 | 3.6 | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | (3) | (3) 1.1 | (3) 10.1 | (3) 6.2 | (3) 5.7 | 10.1 |
| Life scientists | 4.9 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 13.0 |
| White | 4.8 | 3.7 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 12.8 |
| Black | 5.5 | 3.7 | 9.4 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 19.9 |
| Asian ${ }^{\text {Aative American }}$ | (3) 3 | (3) | (3) ${ }^{3}$ | $8^{8}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 5.5 | 13 (3) |
| Hispanic | 9.9 | 6.3 | 15.8 | 11.2 | 8.0 | 16.6 |
| Psychologists | 7.5 | 4.4 | 11.8 | 9.8 | 6.3 | 14.6 |
| White | 6.8 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 13.6 |
| Black | 17.7 | 4.4 | 27.1 | 20.1 3 | 7.3 | 13.6 3.6 |
| Asian $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aative American }\end{aligned}$ | 19.8 | 14.5 | 42.2 | 19.8 | 14.5 | 42.2 |
| Hispanic | 21.9 | 20.3 | 23.3 | 23.5 | 22.8 | 24.1 |
| Social scientists | 7.7 | 4.6 | 15.8 | 11.0 | 7.0 | 20.8 |
| White | 7.5 | 4.6 | 15.3 | 10.8 | 7.1 | 20.3 |
| Black | 14.2 | 7.6 | 29.2 | 17.5 | 9.2 | 35.2 |
| Asian Amican |  | 2.79 | 57) | 1.3 30.6 | 2.0 | 82.9 |
| Native American Hispanic | 12.5 8.7 | 2.7 4.1 | 57.8 20.3 | 30.6 11.9 | 2.7 | 82.9 27.4 |
| Engineers | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.7 |
| White | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.4 |
| Black | 2.8 | 2.3 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 14.6 |
| Asian ${ }_{\text {Native American }}$ | 1.0 .4 | 1.0 .4 | (3) | 3.4 3.7 | 3.4 | 10.3 |
| Hispanic | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 4.7 |

(1) Detail will not average to the total because a) racial and ethnic categories
are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

NOTE: See Technical Notes for definition of rates.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 27. Selected employment characteristics of doctoral scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and sex: 1983

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Labor force participation rate |  |  | Unemp loyment rate |  |  | S/E employment rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers (1) <br> $94.4 \quad 94.8 \quad 91.8 \quad 1.0 \quad 0.7$ <br> 2.5 <br> 88.6 <br> 88.9 <br> 87.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 94.1 | 94.6 | 91.5 | 1.0 | . 7 | 2.5 |  |  |  |
| Black | 95.7 | 95.1 | 97.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 2.3 | 88.8 | 89.0 81.6 | 87.6 |
| Native American | 97.3 | 97.7 | 94.4 | 1.1 | . 9 | 3.1 | 91.0 | 91.5 | 88.8 |
| Hispanic (2) | 96.2 | 95.9 96.8 | 96.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | (3) | 95.7 | 96.2 | 91.8 |
| Scientists | 93.9 | 94.4 | 91.7 | 1.1 | . 8 | 2.5 | 88.1 | 87.6 88.3 | 85.9 86.9 |
| White | 93.7 | 94.1 | 91.4 | 1.0 | . 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 95.4 | 94.7 97.9 | 97.2 | 1.0 <br> 1.1 | .8 2.0 | 2.5 2.3 | 88.4 78.9 | 88.6 80.3 | 87.4 75.6 |
| Native American | 97.3 95.5 | 97.9 95.6 | 94.3 95.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 89.3 | 89.7 | 87.3 |
| Hispanic | 95.8 | 96.4 | 92.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 .9 | (3) 4.5 | 98.2 89.6 | 99.1 90.3 | 90.2 85.5 |
| Physical scientists | 93.1 | 93.4 | 89.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 88.1 | 88.1 | 86.7 |
| White | 92.6 | 92.8 | 88.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 |  |  |  |
| Black | 94.7 | 94.5 | 96.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 | (3) | 88.2 | 88.2 81.9 | 87.5 |
| Native American | 98.4 98.5 | 99.1 | 93.6 | 1.6 | $1 \cdot 3$ | 3.9 | 90.2 | 91.1 | 83.4 |
| Hispanic | 97. | 97.7 | 89.6 | (3) | (3) | (3) 5.8 | 100.0 86.3 | 100.0 | (3) |
| Mathematical scientists | 95.0 | 95.3 | 91.1 | . 6 | . 5 | . 5 | 87.2 | 87.3 | 85.9 |
| White | 94.6 | 95.0 | 90.6 | . 6 | . 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Black Asian | 98.4 | 100.0 | 90.3 | $\because$ | 1.3 | (3) | 87.9 | 87.9 90.0 | 88.0 |
| Native American | 98.1 | 98.9 | 93.1 | (3) | (4) | 1.6 | $8:$ | 83.4 | 75.4 |
| Hispanic | 85.3 | 42.9 84.2 | 93.1 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100 | 100.0 | (3) |
|  |  |  |  | (3) | (3) | (3) | 97. | 98.2 | 88.9 |

Appendix table 27. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Labor force participation rate |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  | S/E employment rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Computer specialists | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.3 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 98.6 | 93.5 | 99.4 |
| White | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.8 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 98.8 | 98.7 | 99.4 |
| Elack | 87.8 | 80.6 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 95.3 | 92.0 | 100.0 |
| Asian | 99.0 | 99.9 | 93.9 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 97.5 | 97.1 | 99.3 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 103.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Hispanic | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Environmental scientists | 96.7 | 96.7 | 95.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.1 |
| White | 96.6 | 96.6 | 95.5 | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | 3.1 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.1 |
| Black | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 78.8 | 75.0 | 100.0 |
| Asjan | 99.7 | 100.0 | 96.7 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 95.8 | 96.1 | 93.1 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) |
| Hispanic | 95.2 | 96.3 | 84.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | (3) | 98.0 | 98.9 | 87.5 |
| Life scientists | 92.7 | 93.2 | 90.3 | 1.3 | . 9 | 3.0 | 92.6 | 92.7 | 91.9 |
| White | 92.5 | 93.10 | 89.9 | 1.2 | . 9 | 3.1 | 92.8 | 92.9 | 91.9 |
| Black | 94.6 | 92.8 | 97.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 86.9 | 91.6 | 78.8 |
| Astan | 95.9 | 96.7 | 93.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 94.6 | 93.9 | 97.1 |
| Native American | 91.0 | 91.5 | 88.9 | 7.7 | 9.3 | (3) | 96.4 | 95.6 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic | 92.8 | 93.5 | 89.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 92.5 | 93.1 | 92.0 |
| Psychologists | 94.9 | 95.7 | 93.1 | 1.1 | . 9 | 1.6 | 89.4 | 89.6 | 88.9 |
| White | 94.8 | 95.6 | 92.9 | 1.1 | . 9 | 1.4 | 90.0 | 90.1 | 89.8 |
| Black | 97.2 | 96.7 | 97.7 | 1.1 | (3) | 1.5 | 79.6 | 83.8 | 74.6 |
| Asian | 99.5 | 100.0 | 99.0 | $2 \cdot 3$ | (3) | 5.1 | 82.2 | 87.0 | 75.9 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 97.3 | 100.0 | 89.5 |
| Hispanic | 96.6 | 98.6 | 92.2 | 2.5 | . 4 | 7.5 | 87.6 | 89.4 | 82.8 |

Appendix table 27. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Labor force participation rate |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  | S/E employment rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Social scientists | 94.1 | 94.5 | 92.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 76.4 | 76.8 | 74.2 |
| White | 93.9 | 94.3 | 92.0 | 1.0 | . 5 | 3.5 | 76.7 | 77.1 | 74.8 |
| BI ack | 95.0 | 94.5 | 96.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 68.7 | 63.9 | 67.9 |
| Asian | 96.4 | 96.4 | 96.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 76.6 | 78.1 | 66.4 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 98.5 | 100.0 | 66.7 |
| Hispanic | 99.6 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 84.0 | 85.6 | 75.9 |
| Engineers | 96.9 | 96.9 | 96.1 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.3 | 91.3 | 91.2 | 95.8 |
| White | 96.7 | 96.8 | 96.3 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.5 | 91.2 | 91.1 | 95.9 |
| Black | 98.8 | 98.7 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 92.4 | 92.5 | 90.9 |
| Asian | 97.4 | 97.5 | 95.8 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 94.2 | 94.2 | 96.4 |
| Native American | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 71.8 | 64.5 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic | 98.3 | 98.5 | 90.5 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 77.0 | 76.6 | 100.0 |

Appendix table 27. - continced

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Underemployment rate |  |  | Underıtilization rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Total scientists and engineers | 1.5 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 6.3 |
| White | 1.5 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 6.2 |
| Black | 3.3 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 7.0 |
| Asian Anerican | 1.1 | (3) | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 6.7 |
| Native American Hispanic | 1.5 | (3) | 4.1 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 1.5 | 4.1 7.4 |
| Scientists | ¢ . 7 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 6.4 |
| White | 1.7 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 6.3 |
| Black | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 7.1 |
| Asian American | 1.7 .5 | (3) | 3.8 4.9 | 3.2 2.3 | 2.4 2.6 | 7.0 |
| Native American Hispanic | 1.2 | (3) | 3.2 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 7.6 |
| Physical scientists | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 5.1 |
| White | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| Black | 5.4 | 5.8 | (3) | 8.4 | 9.1 | (3) |
| Asian American | ( 1.7 | (3) | (3) ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{3}(3)$ | 3.0 | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | (?) | (3) | (3) 3.1 | 1.0 | (3) 4 | 8.7 |
| Mathematical scientists | 1.0 | . 9 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
|  | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| Black | (3) | (3) | (3) | 1.1 | 1.3 | (3) |
| Asi ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | (3) | (3) | 3.2 | 1.0 | (3) ${ }^{4}$ | 4.7 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | ${ }_{11}{ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 5.6 | 4.7 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 11.1 |
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Appendix table 27. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Underemployment rate |  |  | Underutilizatio'l rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Computer specialists | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| White | 1.0 | (3) | 1.9 | 1.0 | . 9 | 1.9 |
| Black | 16.3 | (3) | 38.9 | 16.3 | (3) | 38.9 |
| Asian | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic |  |  | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Environmental scientists | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 6.1 |
| White | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 6.6 |
| Black | 6.1 | 7.1 | (3) | 6.1 | 7.1 | (3) |
| Asian | 1.0 | 1.1 | (3) | 1.0 | 1.1 | (3) |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 2.5 | 1.6 | 12.5 | 35 | 2.7 | 12.5 |
| Life scientists | 1.1 | . 9 | 2.2 | 24 | 1.7 | 5.2 |
| White | 1.0 | . 8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 5.1 |
| Black | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Asian | 1.0 | . 5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 5.4 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | 7.7 | 7.3 | (3) |
| Hispanic | . 6 | . 5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 3.4 |
| Psychologists | 2.4 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 5.9 |
| White | 2.3 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 2.4 |  |
| Black | 3.1 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 5.8 |
| Asian American | 1.4 | (3) | 3.2 | 3.7 | (3) | 8. 8 |
| Native American .lispanic | 2.7 2.3 | (3) | 10.5 | 2.7 | (3) | 10.5 |
| .inspanic | 2.3 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 11.2 |
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Appendix table 27. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Underemployment rate |  |  | Underutilization rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women |
| Social scientists | 3.0 | 2.1 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 10.6 |
| White | 2.9 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 10.3 |
| Black | 3.9 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 11.4 |
| Asi an | 4.7 | 3.2 | 15.2 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 19.0 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (こ) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 7.1 |
| Engineers | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| White | . 9 | (3) | (3) | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Black | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | . 0 | (3) | 1.2 | . 6 | . 5 | 2.0 |
| Native American | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | . 5 | . 5 | (3) | . 5 | . 5 | (3) |

(1) Detail will not average to the total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

NOTE: See Technical Notes for definition of rates.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation

Appendix table 28. Average annual salaries of scientists and engineers
by field, racial/ethnic group, and years of
professional experience: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experien.e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 37,500 | 20,300 | 23,360 | 32,300 | 36,900 | 41,600 | 43,400 | 44,500 | 44,900 | 44,000 |
| Black | 32,500 | 15,700 | 21,100 | 30,700 | 34,500 | 36,900 | 35,500 | 43,700 | 40,800 | 36,900 |
| Asian | 38,200 | 24,300 | 26,500 | 3i, 900 | 38,900 | 41,500 | 42,900 | 43,500 | 43,100 | 42,200 |
| Native American | 40, 00 | 22,500 | 16,800 | 33,000 | 40.200 | 49,200 | 42,900 | 44,700 | 44,100 | 42,500 |
| Hispanic (2) | 33,100 | 17.800 | 22,500 | 311,700 | 35,000 | 38,600 | 39,900 | 41,500 | 43,200 | 42,700 |
| Scientists | 34,500 | 17,100 | 20,800 | 30,700 | 35,400 | 40,700 | 41,700 | 43,600 | 45,800 | 45,300 |
| White | 34,600 | 17,300 | 20,700 | 30,900 | 35,300 | 40,800 | 42,000 | 43,600 | 46,100 | 45,300 |
| Bl ack | 30,500 | 14,200 | 18,800 | 28,500 | 33,400 | 37,300 | 32,700 | 44,700 | 39,600 | 38,600 |
| Asian | 36,000 | 19,400 | 26,700 | 30,900 | 37.600 | 40,300 | 42,400 | 43,9」0 | 41,000 | 52,500 |
| Native American | 41,900 | 17,800 | 21,500 | 34,500 | 35,600 | 48,100 | 49,900 | 41,700 | 44, 100 | 44,700 |
| Hispanic | 28,400 | 13,500 | 20:000 | 28,100 | 31,300 | 34,800 | 37,600 | 39,900 | 44,600 | 45,500 |
| Physical scientists | 38,900 | 15,800 | 21,100 | 32,300 | 36,800 | 41,900 | 43,500 | 46,700 | 48,500 | 46,400 |
| White | 39,200 | 14,800 | 21,300 | 32,700 | 36,800 | 42,900 | 43,700 | 46,700 | 48,700 | 45,800 |
| Black | 33,800 | 23,800 | 19,600 | 27,400 | 31.300 | 34,900 | 40.900 | 43,100 | 45,200 | 43,300 |
| Asian | 38,100 | 22,000 | 25,300 | 31,000 | 35,400 | 34,400 | 39,800 | 50,500 | 46,600 | 54,500 |
| Native American | 54,900 | 18 (3) | (3) | 23,000 | (3) 30 | (3) | 50,300 | (3) | (3) | 70,000 |
| Hispanic | 31,400 | 18,500 | 18,500 | 15,000 | 30,300 | 25,600 | 42,000 | 53,600 | (3) | $50,000$ |
| Mathematical scientists | 40,500 | 16,700 | 25,400 | 32,300 | 39,200 | 46,500 | 43,000 | 45,600 | 45,600 | 49,500 |
|  | $40,600$ | 15,300 | 25,800 | 32,500 | 39,100 | 46,300 | 44,100 | 46,100 | 45,600 | 50,000 |
| Black | 36,100 | 18,900 | 20,500 | 29,600 | 45.200 | 38,600 | 31.200 | 44,700 | 33,100 | 29,300 |
| Asian Native American | 42,600 43,700 | 45,000 | 23,400 16,500 | 30,400 | 30,200 | 49.700 | 52,300 | 42,700 | 41,200 | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | $\begin{aligned} & 43,700 \\ & 32,900 \end{aligned}$ | (3) | 16,500 27,000 | $(3)$ 27.900 | 20,700 30,200 | (3) 41.700 | 53,500 27,600 | 45,200 47,600 | 45,000 | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 35,700 | 24,200 | 24,900 | 33,400 | 37,500 | ¢1,500 | 43,200 | 43,500 | 44,000 | 41,300 |
| White | 35,700 | 24,400 | 24,800 | 33,500 | 37,100 | 41,400 | 43,200 | 43,700 | 43,800 | 41,400 |
| Black | 32,600 | 15,900 | 22,500 | 30,700 | 36,700 | $49,300$ | 45,000 | 39,700 | 46,500 | 39,600 |
| Asian <br> Native American | 36,600 | $24,400$ | 26,000 | 33,200 | 42,800 | 41,900 | 42,600 | 44,900 | 57,500 | 39, 3 (3) |
| Native American | $46,900$ | (3) | $26,700$ | $32,400$ | 38,200 | 53,700 | 45,000 | 40,000 | $(3)$ | (3) |
| Hispanic | 31,100 | 20,300 | 24,500 | 33,400 | 29,600 | 25,600 | 42,200 | 46,000 | 32,000 | (3) |
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Appendix table 28 . - continued

| Field and racial/othnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less ${ }_{1}$ than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Environmental scientists | \$39,100 | \$16,000 | \$24,200 | \$36,600 | \$39,600 | \$43,900 | \$45,700 | \$48,200 | \$51,300 | \$50,900 |
| White | 39,100 | 16,100 | 24,400 | 36,400 | 39,800 | 44,000 | 45,700 | 48,300 | 51,300 | 50,700 |
| Black | 31,600 | 16,400 | 19,100 | 37,600 | 29,600 | 30,000 | (3) | 36,400 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 40,600 | 11,000 | 22,700 | 37,400 | 37,700 | 41,800 | 47,200 | 47,700 | 44,000 | 64,500 |
| Native American Hispanic | 49,100 36,600 | 24, 300 | (3) 20,200 | 44,700 40,300 | 30, 100 | (3) 34,500 | (3) 44,500 | 49,100 | (3) 46,500 | 60,000 45,800 |
| Life scientists | 31,100 | 15,600 | 16,900 | 24,700 | 32,100 | 37,100 | 39,600 | 41,000 | 43,600 | 44,300 |
| White | 31,100 | 15,700 | 16,700 | 24,500 | 32,200 | 36,900 | 40,100 | 41,100 | 43,700 |  |
| Black | 28,100 | 12,300 | 15,300 | 22,000 | 29,900 | 34,700 | 33,100 | 38,700 | 35,300 | 36,000 |
| Asian | 33,600 | 11,700 | <8,500 | 28,800 | 30,900 | 43,500 | 36,90C | 40,200 | 3:700 | 40,100 |
| Native American | 37,600 | 10,000 | 20,300 | 31,200 | 22,500 | 28,400 | 46,800 | 40,800 | 43,900 | 35,800 |
| Hispanic | 29,200 | 11,900 | 16.400 | 22,900 | 37,100 | 38,400 | 36,600 | 34,000 | 54,500 | 29,000 |
| Psychologists | 31,700 | 14,000 | 18,000 | 28,000 | 33,900 | 37,500 | 37,500 | 39,900 | 4.5,100 | 38,600 |
| White | 31,900 | 14,100 | 17,500 | 28,100 | 34,300 | 37,600 | 38,500 | 39,600 | 45,300 | 39,400 |
| Black | 27,100 | 14,900 | 19,100 | 22,400 | 24,900 | 30,600 | 22,000 | 45,800 | 40,000 | 39,300 |
| Asian | 32,100 | (3) | 19,100 | 15,600 | 35,100 | 39,200 | 40,500 | 32,100 | 32,400 | 51,200 |
| Native American | 33,600 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 40,000 | 36,000 | 46,000 | (3) | (3) | 46,000 | 25,000 |
| Hispanic |  | 8,100 | 26,200 | 34,200 | 21,900 | 28,400 | 49,300 | 25,000 | 31,300 | (3) |
| Social scientis;ts | 31:500 | 18,300 | 19,000 | 29,700 | 32,900 | 41,000 | 40,100 | 42,900 | 45,200 | 45,500 |
| White | 31,700 | 19,000 | 18,900 | 30,100 | 32,600 | 41,500 | 40,100 | 42,900 | 46,100 | 45,600 |
| Black | 28,200 | 11,700 | 17,200 | 28,300 | 33,700 | 39,300 | 34,300 | 46,700 | 36,300 | 36,000 |
| Asian | 32,400 | 16,000 | 28,500 | 28,600 | 34,200 | 34,900 | 45,000 | 32,800 | 37,200 | (3) |
| Native American | 35,300 | (3) | 22,000 | 15,300 | 39,000 | 40,000 | (3) | 39,100 | (3) | 45,000 |
| Hispanic | 23,100 | 15,000 | 14,000 | 21,800 | 31,700 | 32,000 | 36,200 | 38,300 | 28,500 | (3) |
| Engineers | 39,600 | 27,100 | 26,500 | 34,100 | 38,300 | 42,200 | 44,100 | 44,800 | 44,400 | 43,500 |
| White | 39,700 | 27,300 | 26,500 | 33,900 | 38,300 | 42,300 | 44,300 | 45,000 | 44,400 | 43,600 |
| Black | 35,200 | 20,500 | 27,000 | 33,600 | 35,800 | 36,500 | 39,400 | 41,300 | 42,000 | 35,900 |
| Asian | 39,400 | 29,300 | 26,300 | 37,400 | 39,900 | 42,000 | 43,100 | 43,300 | 43,700 | 38,700 |
| Native American | 39,600 | 29,000 | 15,100 | 31,400 | 41,600 | 50,400 | 35,800 | 45,000 | 44,100 | 39,400 |
| Hispanic | 36,600 | 25,000 | 26,200 | 32,600 | 37,700 | 41,600 | 41,000 | 42,400 | 43,000 | 42,300 |

(1) Detail will not average to the total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

OTE: Salaries computed for individuals employed full-time.
OURCE: National Science Foundation 189

Appendix table 29. Average annual salaries of men scientists and engineers by field, racial/ethnic group, and years of professional experience: 1984

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less ${ }_{1}$ than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 38,800 | 22,800 | 24,400 | 33,000 | 37,500 | 42,300 | 43,800 | 44,800 | 45,300 | 44,100 |
| Black | 34,300 | 18,500 | 22,200 | 31,400 | 36,300 | 37,000 | 35,500 | 45,200 | 40,900 | 37,400 |
| Asian | 39,300 | 27,100 | 27,100 | 36,300 | 39,700 | 42,200 | 43,000 | 43,600 | 44,200 | 42,300 |
| Native American | 41,400 | 24,400 | 16,200 | 33,800 | 40,900 | 49,200 | 42,900 | 44,700 | 46,300 | 42,500 |
| Hispanic (2) | 35,200 | 20,400 | 24,500 | 31,200 | 35,400 | 40,800 | 39,900 | 42,800 | 43,600 | 43,000 |
| Scientists | 36,700 | 19,600 | 21,900 | 31,700 | 36,500 | 42,000 | 42,400 | 44,300 | 47,300 | 45,700 |
| White | 36,800 | 19,700 | 21,800 | 31,800 | 36,300 | 42,100 | 42,800 | 44,300 | 47,400 | 45,600 |
| Black | 33,000 | 16,900 | 19,900 | 28,600 | 36,700 | 37,300 | 32,200 | 47,200 | 39,800 | 40,200 |
| Asian | 38,800 | 21,900 | 28,700 | 33,400 | 39,200 | 43,000 | 43,300 | 44,100 | 45,500 | 52,500 |
| Native American | 43,800 | 20,000 | 22,900 | 36,400 | 36,300 | 48,100 | 49,900 | 41,700 | 48,600 | 44,700 |
| Hispanic | 3i,800 | 15,100 | 22,100 | 29,000 | 32,200 | 38,900 | 37,200 | 43,800 | 47,200 | 43,400 |
| Physical scientists | 40,100 | 15,800 | 21,300 | 32,700 | 37,400 | 43,100 | 43,800 | 47,000 | 49,100 | 46,700 |
| White | 40,300 | 15,100 | 21,600 | 33,100 | 37,100 | 44,000 | 44,000 | 46,900 | 49,200 | 46,100 |
| Black | 35,200 | 26,300 | 20,300 | 27,800 | 33,900 | 35,500 | 41,200 | 43,700 | 45,800 | 43,300 |
| Asian | 40,500 | 11,500 | 25,400 | 31,400 | 38,700 | 35,400 | 4:,700 | 51,600 | 48,100 | 54,500 |
| Native American | 54,900 | (3) | (3) | 23,000 | (3) | (3) | 50,300 | (3) | (3) | 70,000 |
| Hispanic | 31,600 | 16,800 | 16,900 | 14,000 | 31,000 | 26,900 | 40,400 | 53,600 | (3) | 50,000 |
| Mathematical scientists | 41,700 | 19,800 | 28,000 | 32,000 | 37,800 | 47,500 | 44,000 | 45,800 | 46,200 | 47,700 |
| White | 41,900 | 16,100 | 28,300 | 32,000 | 38,000 | 47,200 | 45,400 | 46,200 | 46,300 | 48,400 |
| Bl ack | 34,200 | 22,000 | 21,600 | 36,000 | 36,800 | 39,700 | 30,800 | 46,300 | 33,100 | 29,300 |
| Asian | 43,700 | 45,000 | 23,900 | 32,300 | 30,000 | 51,300 | 53,100 | 42,800 | 41,200 | (3) |
| Native American | 46,900 | (3) | 16.3) | 36 (3) | 20,000 | (3) | 53,500 | 45,200 | 45,000 | (3) |
| Hispanic | 35,100 | (3) | 16,300 | 36,000 | 30,200 | 44,500 | 27,400 | 47,60u | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists | 37,300 | 25,500 | 25,200 | 34,300 | 39,000 | 42,500 | 43,600 | 43,700 | 44,200 | 41,300 |
| White | 37,300 | 25,500 | 25,100 | 34,500 | 38,600 | 42,400 | 43,600 | 44,000 | 44,000 | 41,400 |
| Black | 34,100 | 17,500 | 22,300 | 34,100 | 36,900 | 42,700 | 45,900 | 39,700 | 46,500 | 39,600 |
| Asian | 38,000 | 24.900 | 27,700 | 33,500 | 43,200 | 41,900 | 42,100 | 44,600 | 57,500 | (3) |
| Native American | 48,300 | (3) | 28,000 | 32,500 | 38,200 | 53,700 | 45,000 | 40,000 | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 35,600 | 20,000 | 26,800 | 33,800 | 29,800 | 51,200 | 42,200 | (3) | 32,000 | (3) |

Appendix table 29. - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less 1 than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Environmental scientists | \$40,100 | \$16,900 | \$24,700 | \$36,700 | \$40,400 | \$43,900 | \$45,700 | \$48,200 | \$51,300 | \$51,400 |
| White | 40,100 | 17,200 | 24,700 | 36,400 | 40,700 | 44,000 | 45,600 | 48,300 | 51,400 | 51,100 |
| Black | 30,500 | 11,700 | 18,600 | 36,000 | 28,200 | 30,000 | (3) | 36,400 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 41,200 | 11,000 | 22,900 | 39,600 | 37,700 | 41,800 | 47,200 | 47,700 | 44,000 | 64,500 |
| Native fmerican | 57,500 | (3) | 24 (3) | 55,830 | (3) | 34 (3) | 44 (3) | 49 (3) | 46 (3) | 60,000 |
| Hispanic | 38,700 | 12,800 | 24,300 | 40,300 | 30,100 | 34,500 | 44,500 | 49,100 | 46,500 | 45,800 |
| Life scientists | 33,200 | 17,800 | 18,000 | 25,200 | 33,100 | 38,000 | 40,200 | 41,600 | 45,300 | 44,400 |
| White | 33,200 | 17,800 | 17,600 | 25,100 | 32,900 | 37,800 | 40, 500 | 41.700 | 45,400 | 44,400 |
| Black | 31,700 | 14,800 | 15,800 | 24,600 | 36,700 | 34,600 | 32,900 | 38,700 | 36,600 | 36,000 |
| Asian | 38,600 | 14,300 | 45,200 | 29,800 | 35,900 | 46,200 | 38,800 | 40,200 | 37,900 | 40,100 |
| Native American | 39,700 | (3) | 20,300 | 31,200 | 28,800 | 28,400 | 46,800 | 40,800 | 49,700 | 35,800 |
| Hispanic | 32,900 | 17,000 | 15,300 | 23,600 | 37,400 | 38,700 | 37,200 | 38,500 | 54,500 | (3) |
| Psychologists | 35,400 | 15,400 | 21,000 | 30,400 | 35,000 | 39,400 | 39,300 | 43,000 | 48,400 | 39,500 |
| White |  | 15,500 | 20,000 | 30,200 | 35,100 | 39,400 | 40,500 | 42,000 | 48,600 | 40,200 |
| Black | 31,300 | 16,900 | 22,500 | 26,900 | 33,200 | 29,500 | 18,600 | 62,800 | (3) | 60,000 |
| Asian | 38,900 | (3) | (3) | 19,000 | 36,100 | 38,700 | 44,000 | 32,100 | 34, 100 | 51,200 |
| Native American | 34,100 | 20,000 | 33.60 | 40,000 | 27, 3 ( | 46,000 | (3) | (3) | 46,000 31,300 | 25,000 |
| Hispanic | 29,500 | 9,200 | 33,600 | 44,800 | 27,600 | 32,700 | (3) | 25,000 | 31,300 | (3) |
| Social scientists | 34,400 | 22,200 | 20,100 | 31,800 | 34,600 | 42,700 | 41,100 | 43,500 | 47,400 | 46,600 |
| White | 34,600 | 22,600 | 20,200 | 32.300 | 34,400 | 43,000 | 41,300 | 43,700 | 48,100 | 46,700 |
| Black | 31,900 | 10,000 | 18,900 | 20,900 | 38,400 | 39,900 | 33,900 | 46,900 | 35,600 | 36,000 |
| Asian | 35,600 | 12,500 | 24,500 | 36,900 | 33,400 | 47,700 | 45,000 | 32,800 | 55,200 | (3) |
| Native American | 36,300 | (3) | 22,000 | 33,100 | 36,010 | 40,000 | 36 (3) | 39,100 | (3) | 45,000 |
| Hispanic | 26,200 | 19,1: | 15,400 | 21,800 | 32,100 | 31,600 | 36,500 | 60,800 | 34,000 | (3) |
| Enginears | 39,800 | 27,700 | 26,500 | 34,200 | 38,400 | 42,300 | 44,100 | 44,900 | 44,500 | 43,500 |
| White | 40,000 | 27,800 | 26,600 | 34,000 | 38,300 | 42,400 | 44,300 | 45,000 | 44,500 | 43,700 |
| Black | 35,500 | 20,900 | 26,500 | 33,300 | 36,000 | 36,700 | 39,400 | 41,200 | 42,000 | 35,900 |
| Asian | 39,600 | 29,300 | 26,200 | 37,600 | 40,000 | 42,000 | 42,900 | 43,300 | 43,900 | 38,800 |
| Native American | 40,000 | 29,000 | 14,500 | 31,300 | 41,600 | 50,400 | 35,800 | 45,000 | 44,100 | 39,400 |
| Hispanic | 37,100 | 25,200 | 26,800 | 32,600 | 37,600 | 42,000 | 41,000 | 42,400 | 43,000 | 42,300 |

(1) Detail will not average to the total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too faw cases to estimate.

OTE: Salaries computed for individuals employed full-time.
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| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Emplayed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 27,500 | 13,600 | 20,500 | 29,200 | 32,200 | 34,100 | 35,800 | 36,600 | 33,700 | 42,000 |
| Black | 26,800 | 13,300 | 19,200 | 29,400 | 29,800 | 36,200 | 35,900 | 33,500 | 37,500 | 30,900 |
| Asian | 30,600 | 17,800 | 24,800 | 29,000 | 33,700 | 35,500 | 40,900 | 39,300 | 33,200 | 36,300 |
| Native American | 29,400 | 10,000 | 19,200 | 29,000 | 34,800 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 36,400 | (3) |
| Hispanic (2) | 21,400 | 12,600 | 19,000 | 27,600 | 30,000 | 16,300 | 39,200 | 25,700 | 26,000 | 29,000 |
| Scientists | 26,900 | 13,000 | 19,100 | 28,400 | 31,500 | 34,100 | 35,500 | 36,100 | 33,000 | 41,900 |
| White | 26,900 | 12,900 | 18,900 | 28,500 | 31,600 | 33,900 | 35,800 |  |  |  |
| Black | 26,200 | 12,600 | 17,200 | 28,300 | 29,600 | 37,100 | 35,900 | 32,900 | 37,500 | 30,900 |
| Asian Native American | 28,800 30,400 | 17,600 10,000 | 24,000 19,100 | 27,100 26,700 | 31,400 34,800 | 34,300 (3) | 33,800 | 39,500 | 34,300 36,400 | (3) (3) |
| Hispanic | 19,500 | 12,000 | 17,1900 | 26,700 24,000 | 34,800 23,200 | 15,800 (3) | 40, 300 | 25,700 | 36,400 26,000 | 29,000 |
| Physical scientists | 29,400 | 15,500 | 20,400 | 29,900 | 32,300 | 30,500 | 36,200 | 39,000 | 38,500 | 40,200 |
| daite | 29,700 | 14,000 | 20,200 | 30,300 | 34,100 | 30,200 | 37,000 | 40,200 | 39,200 | 40,200 |
| Black Asian | 27,000 28,800 | 16,400 | 17,900 | 25,100 | 25,900 | 32,900 | 38,500 | 37,600 | 32,000 | 40, (3) |
| Native American | 28,800 | 27,500 | 25,200 | 29,700 (3) | 26,900 | 31,300 | 31,500 | 29,000 | 26,000 | (3) |
| Hispanic | 30,400 | 33,400 | 25,500 | 20,200 | 27,500 | 15,100 | 44,000 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Mathemarical scientists | 34,800 | 14,500 | 21,100 | 32,800 | 43,800 | 34,700 | 34,300 | 39,400 | 35,200 | 53,300 |
| White | 34,600 | 14,900 | 21,200 | 33,400 | 43,300 | 34,500 | 34,100 | 42,100 | 35,200 |  |
| Black | 40,890 | 7,000 | 19,500 | 24,900 | 48,600 | 36,800 | 38,100 | 36,700 | 35, 3 ) | 53, |
| Asian $\begin{aligned} & \text { Native American }\end{aligned}$ | 31,700 17,600 | (3) | 20,000 | 28,000 | 31,400 | 35,300 | 35,000 | 37,500 | (3) | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | 17,600 25,300 | (3) $(3)$ | 16,500 27,800 | (3) <br> 1700 | 22,300 | (3) | 29, 3 ) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Computer specialists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer specialists | 30,900 | 21,800 | 24,300 | 31,500 | 33,000 | 36,700 | 40,700 | 40,600 | 34,900 | 41,300 |
| White | 30,800 | 22,200 | 24,400 | 31,700 | 32,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 31,000 | 15,600 | 22,900 | 28,700 | 36,500 | 40,400 | 41,700 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asiall ${ }^{\text {Native American }}$ | 32,400 29,900 | 22,500 $(3)$ | 23,300 25,400 | 32,600 32,200 | 39,500 | 41,900 | 47,600 | 46,000 | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 21,900 | 20,500 | 25,400 | 32,200 32,100 | 25,000 | 12,(3) | (3) | (3) 46,000 | (3) | (3) |

Appendix table 30 . - continued

| Field and racial/ethnic group | Total Employed (1) | Professional Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less, than | 1-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35 and over |
| Environmental scientists | \$29,700 | \$13,000 | \$22,900 | \$36,600 | \$32,000 | \$42,900 | \$47,100 | \$49,600 | \$44,200 | \$27,700 |
| White | 30,000 | 12,700 | 23,300 | 37,000 |  | 42,900 | 47,100 | 49,600 | 44,200 | 27,700 |
| Black | 36,200 | 31,000 | 20,900 | 41.500 | $34,000$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 27,30 | (3) $(3)$ | 22,100 | 28,900 28,000 | (3) | $(3)$ $(3)$ | (3) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (3) } \\ (3)\end{array}\right.$ | (3) | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | 28,000 | 30,700 | 15,500 | 28,000 $(3)$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Life scientists | 22,700 | 11,200 | 15,500 | 22,600 | 26,600 | 32,900 | 34,300 | 33,700 | 31,200 | 43,600 |
| White | 22,500 | 11,200 | 15,400 | 22,400 | 27,800 | 32,300 | 36,500 | 33,700 | 29,400 | 43,600 |
| Black | 20,000 | 11,000 | 14,700 | 17,600 | 20,000 | 35,500 | 35,000 | (3) | 32,100 | (3) |
| Asian Amovican | 25,400 | 10,000 | 17,800 | 27,200 | 24,300 | 38,260 | 25,000 | 40,000 | 36,900 | (3) |
| Native American Hispanic | 32, 2000 | 10,000 8,900 | 17,400 | 21, 200 | 20,800 25,000 | 35,500 | 35,000 | 25, 300 | 36,400 | 29,000 |
| Psychologists | 25,400 | 13,000 | 15,800 | 24,500 | 31,900 | 32,900 | 29,900 | 34,700 | 33,900 | 35,000 |
| White | 25,500 | 13,100 | 15,700 | 24,900 | 32,600 | 32,901 | 29,700 | 35,300 | 33,900 | 35,600 |
| Black | 24,000 | 12,400 | 17,100 | 19,600 | 23,500 | 31,700 | 30,800 | 30,100 | 40,000 | 30,900 |
| Asian | 26,700 | (3) | 19,100 | 15,400 | 34,100 | 39,600 | 38,690 | (3) | 26,000 | (3) |
| Native American | 31,300 | 5 (3) | 15,000 | (12) | 36,000 | (19) | 49, (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 15,100 | 5,500 | 14,800 | 12,600 | 19,700 | 19,700 | 49,300 | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Social scientists | 23,300 | 11,400 | 17,200 | 26,000 | 27,600 | 32,900 | 34,800 | 34,400 | 31,100 | 33,800 |
| White | 23,300 | 10,300 | 16,900 | 25,200 | 27,200 | 34,300 | 34,700 | 33,700 | 29,100 | 33,800 |
| Black | 20,700 | 12,200 | 14,900 | 32,900 | 19,700 | 25,000 | 45,000 | 43,600 | 48,000 | (3) |
| Asian | 27,200 | 16,500 | 35,000 | 21,900 | 37,400 | 21,700 | (3) | (3) | 34,900 | (3) |
| Native Amerisan | 28,400 | (3) | (3) | 12,000 | 40,907 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 14,500 | 12,100 | 12,500 | 21,700 | 24,200 | 41,000 | 30,400 | 1,500 | 26,000 | (3) |
| Engineers | 31,400 | 21,400 | 26,500 | 32,700 | 37,400 | 36,500 | 39,300 | 38,800 | 38,700 | 41,100 |
| White | 31,000 | 21,400 | 26,400 | 32,300 | 37,400 | 36,400 | 36,000 | 3.,600 | 39,200 | 41,400 |
| Black | 30,900 | 19,100 | 28,900 | 36,500 | 31,400 | 29,800 | (3) | 44,500 | (3) | (3) |
| Asian | 36,600 | 28,000 | 27,300 | 35,400 | 38,700 | 44,000 | 47,200 | 38,900 | 7,500 | 36,300 |
| Native American | 25,400 | (3) | 19,400 | 32,500 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Hispanic | 28,400 | 21,000 | 23,400 | 32,700 | 39,100 | 19,500 | 23,900 | (3) | (3) | (3) |

(1) Detail will not average to the total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

Appendix table 31. Average annual salaries of doctoral scientists and engineers by field and sex/racial/etionic group: 1983


Appendix table 31. - continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Field } \\ & \text { and sex } \end{aligned}$ | Total Employed (1) | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social scientists | \$37,400 | \$37,500 | \$35,700 | \$36,500 | \$35,000 | \$38,800 |
| Men Women | 38,400 32,300 | $\begin{aligned} & 36,500 \\ & 32,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,900 \\ & 32,500 \end{aligned}$ | 37,400 29,700 | $\begin{aligned} & 35,300 \\ & 27,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39,500 \\ & 34,200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Engineers | 46,300 | 46,900 | 43,200 | 44,000 | 45,100 | 41,000 |
| Men Women | $\begin{array}{r} 46,500 \\ 38,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47,100 \\ & 37,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43,300 \\ & 42,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,000 \\ & 40,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,000 \\ & 49,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,100 \\ & 39,300 \end{aligned}$ |

(1) Detail will not average to the total because
a) racial and ethnic categories are not mutually exclusive and
b) total employed includes other and no report.
(2) Includes members of all racial groups.
(3) Too few cases to estimate.

NOTE: Salaries computed for individuals employed full-time.
SOURCE: National Science Foundation

## Appendix table 32. High school seniors by sex/racial/ethnic

 group and curriculum: 1980| Sex/racial/ ethnic group | Total | Academic | General | Vocational |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 100\% | 39\% | 37\% | 24\% |
| Male | 100\% | 39\% | 38\% | 23\% |
| Female | 100\% | 38\% | 36\% | 26\% |
| White | 100\% | 40\% | 37\% | 23\% |
| Black | 100\% | $33 \%$ | 35\% | 31\% |
| Hispanic | 100\% | 27\% | 42\% | $31 \%$ |
| SOURCE: Nationa BEYOND: (Washing | ter for TIONAL D.C., | on Statist NAL STUDY 3 and un | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC.HOOL AI } \\ & 1980 \text { 'S, } \\ & \text { data. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
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Appendix table 33. College-boind seniors by sex, racial/ethnic group, and curriculum: 1981 \& 1984

| Curriculum and Sex | Total | White | Black | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ \text { Asian } \end{array}$ | Native American | Mexican American | Puerto Rican |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic | 76.4\% | 78.9\% | 61.8\% | 72.8\% | 68.0\% | 65.8\% | 64.6\% |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 77.9 \% \\ & 75.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.1 \% \\ & 77.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.8 \% \\ & 61.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.1 \% \\ & 71.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \% \\ & 66.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.2 \% \\ & 62.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.3 \% \\ & 60.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| General | 15.5\% | 14.2\% | 20.6\% | 20.9\% | 20.3\% | 24.4\% | 16.8\% |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \% \\ & 15.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.3 \% \\ & 14.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.0 \% \\ & 19.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.9 \% \\ & 21.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.9 \% \\ & 20.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.33 \% \\ & 25.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.9 \% \\ & 16.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Career | 7.5\% | 6.4\% | 16.4\% | 5.5\% | 10.8\% | 9.0\% | 17.3\% |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1 \% \\ & 8.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \% \\ & 7.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \% \\ & 18.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \% \\ & 6.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \% \\ 12.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.4 \% \\ 10.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.4 \% \\ & 21.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 77.5\% | 80.3\% | 63.5\% | $74.7 \%$ | 66.6\% | 68.0\% | $63.7 \%$ |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 78.6 \% \\ & 76.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.1 \% \\ & 79.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.0 \% \\ & 63.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.1 \% \\ & 74.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.2 \% \\ & 65.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.0 \% \\ & 65.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.5 \% \\ & 60.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| General | 14.3\% | 12.9\% | 19.3\% | 19.2\% | 20.6\% | 22.2\% | 17.6\% |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \% \\ & 14.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \% \\ & 12.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \% \\ & 18.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.9 \% \\ & 19.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \% \\ & 20.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.4 \% \\ & 23.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \% \\ & 17.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Career | 7.5\% | 6. $4 \%$ | 15.9\% | 5.0\% | 11.6\% | 9.1\% | 17.2\% |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \% \\ & 8.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \% \\ & 7.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7 \% \\ & 17.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \% \\ & 5.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \% \\ 13.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \% \\ 10.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5 \% \\ & 20.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |

SOURCE: Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFIIES, COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, annual series, 1981-84, (New York: College Entrance Examination Board).
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Appendix table 34. Number of mathemat: $s$ s and science courses attempted by 1980 high school sophomores who graduated in 1982 by sex/racial/ethnic group and high school grade point average

| Sex/racial/ ethnic group | 1 year or less | 2 yrs | 3 yrs | 4 yrs | 5 years or more | Grade Point Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.3\% | 22.3\% | 28.0\% | 28.6\% | 12.8\% | 2.27 |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \% \\ & 9.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.2 \% \\ & 24.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 25.6\% | 32.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \% \\ & 10.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.18 2.35 |
| White Black Asian Native American | 9.1\% $5.5 \%$ $4.3 \%$ $6.5 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ $18.9 \%$ $8.7 \%$ $33.1 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ $28.5 \%$ $20.6 \%$ $22.3 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ $30.6 \%$ $42.7 \%$ $28.8 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ $16.5 \%$ $23.7 \%$ $9.4 \%$ | 2.34 1.98 2.60 2.19 |
| Hispanic | 8.5\% | 25.2\% | 30.5\% | 23.6\% | 12.1\% | 2.64 |
| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.8\% | 33.7\% | 24.4\% | 14.8\% | 6.3\% | 2.38 |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \% \\ & 22.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9 \% \\ & 36.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \% \\ & 23.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \% \\ & 12.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 7.2\% | 2.29 2.47 |
| White Black Asian Native American | $\begin{aligned} & 20.2 \% \\ & 20.6 \% \\ & 13.1 \% \\ & 28.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $32.4 \%$ $35.5 \%$ $23.7 \%$ $30.2 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ $24.7 \%$ $28.1 \%$ $23.0 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ $12.2 \%$ $23.3 \%$ $15.1 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ $7.0 \%$ $11.8 \%$ $3.6 \%$ | 2.47 2.78 2.69 2.13 |
| Hispanic | 23.3\% | 38.2\% | 23.5\% | 10.6\% | 4.5\% | 2.07 |

SOURCE: National Center for Education statistics, HIGH SCHOOL AND BEYOND TABULATION: MATHEMATICS COURدETAKING BY 1980 HIGH SCHOOL SOPHOMORES WHO GRADUATED IN 1982 and HIGH SCHOOL AND BEYOND TABULATION: SCIENCE COURSETAKING BY 1980 HIGH SCHOOL SOPHOMORES WHO GRADUATED IN 1982, (Washington, D.C., April 1984).

Appendix table 35. Types of mathematics and science courses at tempted by 1980 nigh school sophomores who graduated in 1982 by sex/racial/ethnic group

| Sex/racial/ ethnic group | $\underset{\mathrm{I}}{\text { Algebra }}$ | Geometry | MATHEMA Algebra II | TICS <br> Trigonometry | Analysis | Calculus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 67.7\% | 54.2\% | 34.3\% | 22.9\% | 8.9\% | 6.9\% |  |
| Male Female | 66.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 53.9 \% \\ & 54.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $335.2 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \% \\ & 20.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \% \\ & 7.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \% \\ & 5.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| White | 71.2\% | 60.4\% | 38.1\% | 26.3\% | 11.1\% | 8.3\% |  |
| Black | $63.7 \%$ | 46.3\% | 29.2\% | 16.2\% | 4. ${ }^{3} \%$ | 3.6\% |  |
| Asian | 65.6\% | 68.4\% | 38.7\% | 42.7\% | $17.0 \%$ | 19.4\% |  |
| Native American | 56.8\% | 33.8\% | 21.6\% | 13.7\% | 1.4\% | 3.6\% |  |
| Hispanic | 60.4\% | 39.7\% | 26.3\% | 14.9\% | 4.1\% | 3.6\% |  |
|  | Physical <br> Science | Biology | SCIENCE <br> Advanced Biology | Chemistry | Chemistry <br> I I | Physics | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physics } \\ \text { II } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 67.8\% | 78.8\% | 18.0\% | \% 35.5\% | 4.4\% | 16.9\% | 1.7\% |
| Male | 70.5\% | 77.0\% | 16.4\% | \% 36.4\% | 5.2\% | 22.1\% | 2.6\% |
| Female | $65.1 \%$ | 80.7\% | 19.6\% | \% 34.5\% | 3.6\% | 11.6\% | 0.9\% |
| White | 67.1\% | 79.2\% | 19.5\% | \% 39.3\% | 5.1\% | 19.8\% | $2.0 \%$ |
| Black | 71.1\% | 79.7\% | 15.5\% | \% $\quad 29.8 \%$ | 2.9\% | 11.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Asian | 52.2\% | 78.7\% | 24.5\% | \% $\quad 58.1 \%$ | 2.9\% | 35.6\% | 7.1\% |
| Native American | 66.9\% | 70.5\% | $13.7 \%$ | \% 23.7\% | 2.9\% | 9.4\% | 0.0 |
| Hispanic | 69.6\% | 77.9\% | 14.5\% | \% 25.6\% | 2.6\% | 9.3\% | 0.8\% |

SOURCE: National Center for Education Statistics, HIGH SCHOOL AND BEYOND
TABULATION: MATHEMATICS COURSETAKING BY 1980 HIGH SCHOOL
SOPHOMORES WHO GRADUATED IN 1982 and HIGH SCHOOL AND BEYOND
TABULATION: SCIENCE COURSETAKING BY 1980 HIGH SCHOOL SOPHOMORES
WHO GRADUATED IN 1982, (Washington, D.C., April 1984).
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Appendix table 36. Average number of years of high school mathematics and science coursework taken by college-bound seniors by sex and racial/ethnic group, and type of course: 1981 \& 1984

| Type of course and sex | Total | White | Black | $\begin{array}{r} 1981 \\ \text { Asian } \end{array}$ | Native American | Mexican American | Puerto Rican |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 3.52 | 3.55 | 3.26 | 3.74 | 3.31 | 3.25 | 3.22 |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68 \\ & 3.38 \end{aligned}$ | 3.72 3.41 | 3.37 3.20 | 3.86 3.61 | 3.46 3.16 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.43 \\ & 3.08 \end{aligned}$ | 3.42 3.06 |
| Physical science | 1.79 | 1.81 | 1.57 | 1.99 | 1.67 | 1.46 | 1.60 |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 2.01 \\ & 1.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.04 \\ & 1.61 \end{aligned}$ | 1.72 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24 \\ & 1.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85 \\ & 1.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64 \\ & 1.29 \end{aligned}$ | 1.83 1.42 |
| Biological science | 1.40 | 1.39 | 1.44 | 1.50 | 1.46 | 1.31 | 1.39 |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39 \\ & 1.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.37 \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46 \\ & 1.43 \end{aligned}$ | 1.51 | 1.46 | 1.31 1.32 | 1.35 1.43 |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 3.65 | 3.69 | 3.40 | 3.86 | 3.42 | 3.44 | 3.35 |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 3.78 \\ & 3.54 \end{aligned}$ | 3.81 3.57 | 3.47 3.35 | 3.86 3.78 | 3.52 3.33 | 3.57 3.32 | 3.49 3.24 |
| Physical science | 1.86 | 1.89 | 1.63 | 2.09 | 1.70 | 1.50 | 1.66 |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \\ & 1.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.08 \\ & 1.71 \end{aligned}$ | 1.75 1.58 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \\ & 1.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & 1.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.67 \\ & 1.35 \end{aligned}$ | 1.83 1.52 |
| Biological science | 1.40 | 1.39 | 1.43 | 1.48 | 1.43 | 1.34 | 1.41 |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \\ & 1.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.37 \\ & 1.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43 \\ & 1.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.47 \\ & 1.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.41 \\ & 1.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \\ & 1.34 \end{aligned}$ | 1.38 1.43 |
| SOURCE: Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFILES, COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, anmal series, 1981-84, (New York: College Entrance Examinition Board). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ERİ |  |  | 205 |  |  |  |  |

> Appendix table 37 . Number of years of mathematics and science courseulork taken by college freshmen whose probable major is science and engineering by racial othnic oroup, and tvoe of course: 1983

| Racial/ethnic group and sex | 0 years | $\begin{gathered} \text { MATHEM } \\ \substack{\text { or } 2 \\ \text { years }} \end{gathered}$ | MATICS <br> 3 years | 4 years or more | 0 years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PHYSICAL } \\ & 1 \text { or } 2 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | L SCIENCE <br> 3 years | 4 years or more | 0 years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIOLOGICAL } \\ & 1 \text { or } 2 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | L SCIENCE <br> 3 years | 4 years or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 16.2\% | 78.2\% | 4.2\% | 61.2\% | 24.4\% | 10.2\% | 3.6\% | 88.5\% | 5.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Male Female | 0.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \\ & 8.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \% \\ & 21.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.5 \% \\ & 70.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 56.8 \% \\ & 68.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \% \\ & 19.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.7 \% \\ 6.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \% \\ & 3.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.9 \% \\ & 88.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \% \\ & 6.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \% \\ & 2.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| White | c.0\% | 4.6\% | 15.2\% | 80.2\% | 3.6\% | 60.7\% | 25.5\% | 10.2\% | 3.4\% | 89.2\% | 5.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $3.0 \%$ $7.2 \%$ | 12.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 84.8 \% \\ & 72.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 56.1 \% \\ & 68.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.0 \% \\ & 19.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.6 \% \\ 6.4 \% \end{array}$ | $3.9 \%$ $2.6 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.5 \% \\ & 88.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \% \\ & 5.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.2\% |
| Black | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 25.4\% | 60.8\% | 9.2\% | 67.3\% | 14.5\% | 8.9\% | 4.9\% | 85 9\% | 6.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \% \\ & 16.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.0.0\% | $69.0 \%$ $54.0 \%$ | 16.7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 65.7 \% \\ & 68.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \% \\ & 13.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $11.7 \%$ $6.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ $5.2 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.2 \% \\ & 85.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 5.7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \% \\ & 2.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Asian | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 12.9\% | 83.9\% | 2.7\% | 55.9\% | 26.9\% | 14.5\% | 4.6\% | 84.9\% | 6.6\% | 3.9\% |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.5\% | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \% \\ & 12.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.2 \% \\ & 83.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 50.5 \% \\ & 64.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.3 \% \\ & 24.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \% \\ 8.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | 5.8\% 2.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & 82.7 \% \\ & 88.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \% \\ & 5.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \% \\ & 3.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Native American | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 24.2\% | 57.5\% | 5.1\% | 68.3\% | 21.6\% | 4.1\% | 10.9\% | 80.3\% | 5.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Male Female | 0.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 12.2 \% \\ & 25.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0 \% \\ & 28.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.7 \% \\ & 46.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \% \\ & 8.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.8 \% \\ & 66.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \% \\ & 24.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \% \\ & 0.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.0 \% \\ 8.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.8 \% \\ & 80.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \% \\ & 8.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $4.0 \%$ $1.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 21.3\% | 68.9\% | 9.8\% | $66.7 \%$ | 16.1\% | 7.4\% | 5.3\% | 85.7\% | 4.8\% | 4.1\% |
| Male <br> Female | 0.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} 6.1 \% \\ 14.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \% \\ & 28.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.8 \% \\ & 57.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $13.9 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.6 \% \\ & 66.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \% \\ & 14.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \% \\ & 5.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \% \\ & 5.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.7 \% \\ & 83.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \% \\ & 5.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 5.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |

NOTE: The population is defined as first-time, full-time college freshmen in four-year colleges and universities.

SOURCE: The Higher Education Research Institute, DATA TRENDS AMONG AMERICAN COLLEGE FRESHMEN, (Los Ângeles: University of
California at Los Angeles, 1984), unpublished tabulations.

| Sex/racial/ ethnic group and age | Overal $\begin{aligned} & \text { Score } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 11 Change 1978-82 | ```Knowl Score 1982``` | dge Change 1978-82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Skill: } \\ & \text { Score } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | Change $1978-82$ | Underst Score $198 \overline{2}$ | anding Change 1978-82 | Applica Score 1982 | ions Change 1978-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { year olds } \\ & 13 \text { year olds } \\ & 17 \text { year olds } \end{aligned}$ | 56.4 60.5 60.2 | $\begin{array}{r} +1.0 \\ +3.9 * \\ -0.2 \end{array}$ | 68.3 73.8 74.9 | +1.4 $+4.5 *$ +0.2 | 50.6 <br> 57.6 <br> 60.0 | $\begin{array}{r} +0.8 \\ +4.0 * \\ +0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.2 \\ & 60.5 \\ & 6 i .5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.4 \\ +3.9 * \\ -0.3 \end{array}$ | 39.6 45.6 <br> 42.4 | $\begin{array}{r} +0.5 \\ +2.2 * \\ -1.1 \end{array}$ |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 year olds <br> 13 year olds <br> 17 year olds | 55.8 60.4 61.6 | +0.5 $+4.0 x$ -0.4 | 67.4 73.8 75.9 | +1.0 $+4.4 *$ 0.0 | 50.2 57.0 61.1 | $\begin{array}{r} +0.5 \\ +4.2 * \\ +0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.0 \\ & 60.8 \\ & 63.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.3 \\ +4.2 * \\ -i .0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.0 \\ & 46.1 \\ & 44.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +0.4 \\ +2.2 * \\ -1.3 \end{array}$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { year olds } \\ & 13 \text { year olds } \\ & 17 \text { year olds } \end{aligned}$ | 56.9 60.6 58.9 | $+1.4 *$ $+3.7 *$ +0.1 | 69.3 73.8 73.9 | $+1.9 *$ $+4.5 *$ +0.4 | 51.1 58.2 58.9 | +1.2 $+3.8 *$ +0.4 |  | $\begin{array}{r} +0.4 \\ +3.7 * \\ +0.2 \end{array}$ | 39.2 45. <br> 40.2 | $\begin{array}{r} +0.6 \\ +2.3 * \\ -1.1 \end{array}$ |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 year olds 13 year olds 17 year olds | 58.8 63.1 63.1 | +0.7 $+3.2 *$ -0.2 | 70.8 76.1 77.3 | +1.2 $+3.9 *$ 0.0 | 53.1 60.4 63.0 | +0.6 $+3.4 *$ +0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.4 \\ & 63.6 \\ & 64.7 \end{aligned}$ | -0.8 $+3.6 *$ -0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.4 \\ & 47.9 \\ & 45.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +0.6 \\ +1.6 * \\ -1.0 \end{array}$ |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 year olds <br> 13 year olds <br> 17 year olds | 45.2 48.2 45.0 | +2.1 $+6.5 *$ +1.3 | 57.8 63.8 62.6 | $+3.5 *$ $+8.0 \%$ 3.0 | 38.7 44.0 44.2 | $\begin{array}{r} +1.6 \\ +6.7 * \\ +1.8 \end{array}$ | 31.4 46.4 44.8 | $\begin{aligned} & +0.9 \\ & 5.9 * \\ & -0.2 \end{aligned}$ | 27.0 34.8 26.0 | $\begin{array}{r} -n .6 \\ +4.4 * \\ -0.2 \end{array}$ |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 year olds <br> 17 year olds <br> 17 year olds | 47.7 51.9 49.4 | +1.1 $+6.5 *$ +0.9 | 58.7 65.3 66.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 6.33: \\ & +2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.8 \\ & 49.2 \\ & 48.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +2.5 \\ +7.2 * \\ +0.5 \end{array}$ | 32.4 49.7 49.7 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2 \\ +5.9 * \\ +0.8 \end{array}$ | 30.5 38.8 31.4 | $\begin{array}{r} +0.6 \\ +6.0 * \\ +0.4 \end{array}$ |

*Significant at the 0.05 level
SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress, THE THIRD NATIONAL
National Assessment of Educational Progress, THE THIRD NATIONAL
MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT RESULTS, TRENDS, AND ISSUES, (Report No.
13-MA-01), April 1983, PP. $34,37,38$, and 51.

Appendix table 39. Changes in mean performance on the science assessment by sex/racial group: 1977-1982


* Change is significant at the 0.05 level
(1) Not adminstered at 9 year old level.
(2) For 13 and 17 year olds, "attitude" refers to "attitudes toward science classes."

SOURCE: Science Assessment and Research Project, University of Minnesota, IMAGES OF SCIENCE, (Minneapolis, MN: Minnesota Research and Evaluation Center), June 1983, PP. 101-119.

| Year | Total | Male | Female | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Mexican American | Puerto <br> Rican |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VERBAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1974$ | 444 | 447 | 442 |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| $1975$ | 434 | 437 | 431 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1976 | 431 | 433 | 430 | 451 | 332 | NA 414 | 388 | N71 | NA 364 |
| 1977 | 429 | 431 | 427 | 448 | 330 | 405 | 390 | 370 | 364 355 |
| 1978 | 429 | 433 | 425 | 446 | 332 | 401 | 387 | 370 | 355 349 |
| 1979 | 427 | 431 | 423 | 444 | 330 | 396 | 386 | 370 | 345 |
| 1980 | 424 | 428 | 420 | 442 | 330 | 396 | 390 | 372 | 350 |
| 1981 | 424 | 430 | 418 | 442 | 332 | 397 | 391 | 373 | 353 |
| 1982 | 426 | 431 | 421 | 444 | 341 | 398 | 388 | 377 | 360 |
| 1983 | 425 | 430 | 420 | 443 | 339 | 395 | 388 | 375 | 365 |
| 1984 | 426 | 433 | 420 | 445 | 342 | 398 | 390 | 376 | 366 |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 480 | 501 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 472 | 495 | 449 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1976 | 472 | 497 | 446 | 493 | 354 | 518 | NA 420 | NA 410 | NA |
| 1977 | 470 | 497 | 445 | 489 | 357 | 514 | 421 | 408 | 397 |
| 1978 1979 | 468 | 494 | 444 | 485 | 354 | 510 | 419 | 402 | 388 |
| 1979 | 467 | 493 | 443 | 483 | 358 | 511 | 421 | 410 | 388 |
| 1980 | 466 | 491 | 443 | 482 | 360 | 509 | 426 | 413 | 394 |
| 1981 1982 | 466 | 492 | 443 | 483 | 362 | 513 | 425 | 415 | 394 398 |
| 1982 | 467 | 493 | 443 | 483 | 366 | 513 | 424 | 416 | 403 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1983 \\ & 1084 \end{aligned}$ | 468 471 | 493 | 445 | 484 | 369 36 | 514 | 425 | $417$ | 397 |
| 1984 | 471 | 495 |  | 487 | 373 | 519 | 427 | 420 | $40^{\circ}$ |

NA: Not available
NOTE: Scores range from 200 to 800.
SOURCES: Admission- Testing Program of the College Board, NATIOMAL
COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, annual series; Lawrsnce Bielmilier,
"Board Says Minority-Group Scores Helped Push Up Averages
on SAT, " CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATYON, vol. XXV, no. 8,
20 October 1982, PP. $1 \& 10$; and Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFILES, COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS. E'וnual series, 1981-84.

## Appendix table 41. Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) scores for males and femaies by racial/ethnic group: 1981-1984

| Sex and <br> year | White | Blac., Asian | Native <br> American | Mexican <br> American | Puerto <br> Rican |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

YERBAL

| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 447 | 341 | 402 | 399 | 385 | 377 |
| 1982 | 448 | 348 | 402 | 396 | 386 | 378 |
| 1983 | 448 | 346 | 396 | 397 | 385 | 379 |
| 1984 | 452 | 349 | 401 | 401 | 385 | 380 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 437 | 327 | 391 | 383 | 364 | 348 |
| 1982 | 440 | 335 | 395 | 380 | 367 | 359 |
| 1983 | 439 | 335 | 394 | 381 | 367 | 355 |
| 1984 | 439 | 336 | 396 | 381 | 369 | 354 |
| MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 508 | 381 | 538 | 449 | 439 | 428 |
| 1982 | 510 | 385 | 538 | 450 | 441 | 424 |
| 1983 | 510 | 388 | 537 | 451 | 443 | 427 |
| 1984 | 511 | 389 | 54. | 452 | 444 | 426 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 459 | 350 | 487 | 402 | 392 | 371 |
| 1982 | 459 | 354 | 488 | 400 | 394 | 377 |
| 1983 | 460 | 356 | 490 | 402 | 393 | 374 |
| 1984 | 464 | 362 | 497 | 406 | 399 | 379 |

NOTE: Scores range from 200 to 800.
SOURCE: Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFILES, COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, annual series, 1981-84, (iiew York: College Entrance Examination Board).

> Appendix table 42. Scores for college-bound seniors on achievement tests in mathematics and science by sex/racial ethnic group: 1984
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Achievement and } \\ \text { SAT-M tests }\end{array} & \text { Total } & \text { Male } & \text { Female } & \text { White } & \text { Black } & \text { Asian } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Native } \\ \text { American }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Mexican } \\ \text { American }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Puerto } \\ \text { Rican }\end{array}\right]$
(1) Score on the mathemati:s portion of the aptitude test.

NOTE: Scores range from 200 to 800.
SOURCE: Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFILES, COLLEGE-BOUND SEMIORS, 1984, (New York: College Entrance Examination Poard, 1984).

Appendix table 43. Scores for college-bound seniors on advanced placement tests in mathematics and science by sex/racial/ethnic group: 1984

| Sex/racial/ ethnic group | Biology | Chemistry | Computer Science | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { Calculus } \\ A B \end{gathered}$ | Math Calculus BC | Physics B | Physics C Mechanical | Physics C <br>  <br> Magnetic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3.25 | 3.02 | 3.08 | 3.13 | 3.38 | 2.93 | 3.44 | 3.36 |
| Male | 3.36 | 3.12 | 3.19 | 3.21 | 3.46 | 3.04 | 3.52 | 3.42 |
| Female | 3.12 | 2.76 | 2.43 | 3.00 | 3.20 | 2.50 | 2.98 | 2.94 |
| White | 3.24 | 3.01 | 3.12 | 3.12 | 3.36 | 2.93 | 3.41 | 3.31 |
| Black | 2.47 | 1.93 | 2.22 | 2.39 | 2.45 | 2.21 | 2.68 | 2.90 |
| Asian | 3.53 | 3.22 | 2.99 | 3.39 | 3.55 | 3.03 | 3.54 | 3.40 |
| Native American | 2.92 | 2.30 | 2.78 | 2.74 | 3.44 | 2.50 | 3.20 | 3.00 |
| Mexican American | 2.46 | 2.44 | 2.48 | 2.93 | 3.12 | 2.32 | 3.40 | 3.57 |
| Puerto Rican | 2.87 | 2.18 | 2.64 | 2.52 | 2.75 | 2.70 | 2.44 | 4.00 |
| Other Hispanic | 2.89 | 2.26 | 2.34 | 2.83 | 3.21 | 1.90 | 2.21 | 2.21 |

NOTE: Scores range from 1 to 5: $1=$ no recommendation for college
credit; $2=$ possibly qualified; $3=$ qualified; $4=$ well
qualified; and $5=$ extremely well qualified.
SOURCE: Advanced Placement Program, The College Board, 1984 ADVANCED PLACEMENT PROGRAM, NATIONAL SUMMARY REPORTS, (New York: College Entrance Examination Board, 1984).

| Area of study | Total | Male | Female | Whits | Black | Asian | Native American | Mexican American | Puerto Rican |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Science \& engineering | 36.1\% | 46.5\% | 26.8\% | 35.7\% | 35.8\% | 43.7\% | 36.4\% | 38.3\% | 34.9\% |
| Biological science | 3.3\% | 3.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture. | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1. | 2.1\% | 3.8\% | 3.3\% | $2.6 \%$ $1.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ $0.6 \%$ |
| Computer science | 5.6\% | 2.5\% | 4.8\% | 1 1\% | 0.4\% $9.0 \%$ | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% $6.8 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | . $2 \%$ | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% |
| Physical science | 2.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% |
| Engineering | $11.8 \%$ | 21.5\% | 3. $2 \%$ | 11.4\% | $10.9 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | 12.0\% | $13.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Psychology <br> Social science | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 5.2\% | $3.4 \%$ $7.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ $3.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ $4.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ $9.4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ $8.9 \%$ |
| Non-S/E (1) | 63.9\% | 53.5\% | 73.2\% | 64.3\% | 64.2\% | 56.3\% | 63.6\% | $61.7 \%$ | 65.1\% |
| Business Education | 18.5\% | 17.6\% | 19.4\% | 18.3\% |  |  |  |  | 20.9\% |
|  | 5.7\% | 2.6\% | 8.6\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 2.1\% | 6.5\% | $5.4 \%$ | 4.9\% |
|  | 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Science \& engineering | 39.4\% | 50.4\% | 29.8\% | 38.6\% | $41.0 \%$ | 47.4\% | 40.4\% | 41.7\% | 38.4\% |
| Biological science | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | $3.1 \%$ | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 4.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% |  |
| Agriculture Computer science | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Computer science Mathematics | 9.7\% | 12.1\% | 7.7\% | 8.7\% | $16.2 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 11.1\% | 13.6\% |
| Mathematics Physical science | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% |
| Physical science Engineering | 12.7\% | 21.5\% | 1.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Psychology | 12.0\% | 21.4\% | $3.6 \%$ $5.3 \%$ | 11.5\% | 10.9\% | 20.7\% | 11.9\% | 13.4: | 8.9\% |
| Social science | 7.3\% | 7.3\% | 7.4\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 1.9\% | 3.7\% | 3.6 $8.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ $8.1 \%$ |
| Non-S/E (1) | 60.6\% | 49.6\% | 70.2\% | 61.4\% | 59.0\% | 52.6\% | 59.6\% | 58.3\% | 61.6\% |
| Business | 19.1\% | 17.6\% | 20.5\% | 19.3\% | 20.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 4.6\% | 2.1\% | 6.8\% | 4.9\% | 3.4\% | 1.6\% | 4.9\% | $4.9 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ $3.9 \%$ |

(1) Detail will not add to total because other non-S/E' not included.

SOURCE: Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFILES, COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, annual series, 1981-84, (New York: College Entrance Examination Board)

| Area of <br> study | Total Male | Female | White | Black | NativeMexican <br> Amerto | Puerto <br> Rican |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1981

| Total | 466 | 492 | 443 | 483 | 362 | 513 | 425 | 415 | 398 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science \& engineering | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Biological science | 507 | 516 | 496 | 513 | 384 | 556 | 461 | 426 | 428 |
| Agriculture | 435 | 438 | 431 | 441 | 318 | 434 | 388 | 377 | 410 |
| Computer science | 496 | 520 | 464 | 519 | 355 | 528 | 423 | 423 | 379 |
| Mathematics | 584 | 602 | 562 | 591 | 407 | 597 | 495 | 499 | 527 |
| Physical science | 565 | 577 | 537 | 571 | 418 | 622 | 508 | 498 | 455 |
| Engineering | 541 | 540 | 549 | 555 | 416 | 568 | 500 | 480 | 464 |
| Psychology | 444 | 476 | 435 | 459 | 345 | 492 | 398 | 380 | 366 |
| Social science | 473 | 501 | 450 | 491 | 344 | 511 | 425 | 394 | 376 |
| Non-S/E | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | - | --- | -- | --- |
| Business Education | 442 415 | 468 412 | 422 415 | 458 424 | 331 310 | 468 | 398 376 | 388 356 | 354 352 |

1984

| Total | 471 | 495 | 449 | 487 | 373 | 519 | 427 | 420 | 400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science \& engineering | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Biological science | 517 | 525 | 509 | 525 | 397 | 565 | 451 | 429 | 441 |
| Agriculture | 426 | 425 | 427 | 430 | 326 | 430 | 418 | 374 | 375 |
| Computer science | 481 | 510 | 446 | 510 | 360 | 518 | 423 | 425 | 384 |
| Mathematics | 584 | 602 | 571 | 594 | 428 | 591 | 538 | 518 | 505 |
| Physical science | 571 | 585 | 542 | 576 | 418 | 616 | 526 | 471 | 498 |
| Engineering | 550 | 549 | 558 | 564 | 431 | 575 | 492 | 483 | 471 |
| Psychology | 449 473 | 472 494 | 444 458 | 458 489 | 352 354 | 482 523 | 427 | 395 401 | 376 387 |
| Social science | 473 | 494 | 458 | 489 | 354 | 523 | 410 | 401 | 387 |
| Non-S/E | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Business Education | 445 417 | 467 413 | 426 419 | $\begin{aligned} & 456 \\ & 429 \end{aligned}$ | 343 314 | 472 427 | 392 371 | 389 366 | 368 346 |

IRCE: Admissions Testing Program of the College Board, PROFILES, COLLEGE-BOUND SENIORS, annual series, 1981-84, (New York: College Entrance Examination Board).

Appendix table 45. Percentage of college freshmen who earned an "A" average in high school by sex/racial/ethnic group and probable major field of study: 1983

| Probable major field of study | Total | Maje | Female | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All college freshmen | 27.6\% | 23.9\% | 31.1\% | 29.4\% | 10.1\% | 46.0\% | 23.1\% | 28.4\% |
| Science and engineering | 36.4\% | 33.6\% | 40.7\% | 38.1\% | 16.5\% | 54.2\% | 29.3\% | 39.1\% |
| Science | 33.4\% | 29.5\% | 37.2\% | 35.5\% | 13.5\% | 52.7\% | 23.3\% | 33.0\% |
| Physical science | 48.3\% | 44.4\% | 56.4\% | 48.5\% | 31.9\% | 67.6\% | 50.0\% | 46.7\% |
| Mathematics | $51.1 \%$ | 44.4\% | 57.9\% | 52.7\% | 26.8\% | 66.4\% | 27.9\% | 26.9\% |
| Computer science | 29.2\% | 22.8\% | 37.6\% | 33.4\% | 10.5\% | 37.2\% | 12.8\% | 24.7\% |
| Environmental science | 28.8\% | 26.1\% | 35.9\% | 28.9\% | 6.7\% | 62.5\% | 11.8\% | 46.2\% |
| Biological science | 38.5\% | 32.5\% | 45.0\% | 39.7\% | 17.5\% | 57.8\% | 25.5\% | 36.9\% |
| Social science | 27.0\% | 24.3\% | 28.5\% | 28.6\% | 11.5\% | 45.4\% | 20.9\% | 31.8\% |
| Engineering | 41.6\% | 38.0\% | 59.1\% | 42.6\% | 23.9\% | 56.1\% | 41.5\% | 50.3\% |
| Non-science and engineering | 23.5\% | 17.1\% | 28.1\% | 25.3\% | 7.2\% | 37.8\% | 20.7\% | 22.7\% |
| Business | 19.6\% | 12.9\% | 26.4\% | 21.5\% | 5.5\% | 27.7\% | 16.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Education | 19.3\% | 10.1\% | 21.9\% | 21.0\% | 2.9\% | 23.1\% | 18.2\% | 13.9\% |

NOTE: The population is defined as first-time, full-time, college freshmen in four-year colleges and universities.

SOURCE: The Higher Education Research Institute, DATA TRENDS AMONG AMERICAN COLLEGE FRESHMEN, (Los Angeles: Univers :y of California at Los Angeles, 1984), unpublished tabulations.

Appendix table 46. Degree aspirations of college freshmen whose probable major is science and engineering by sex/racial/ethnic group: 1983

| Sex/racial/ <br> ethnic grouf | Total | Less than <br> Bachelor's <br> degr'.e | Bachelor's <br> degree | Master's <br> degree | Doctorate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $100.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| Female | $100.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| White | $100.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| Black | $100.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| Asian | $100.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Native American | $100.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $100.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: The population is defined as first-tim full-time college freshmen in four-year colleges and uni sities.
SOURCE: The Higher Education Research Institute, DATA TRENDS AMONG AMERICAN COLLEGE FRESHMEN, (Los Angeles: University of California at Los Angeles, 1984), unpublished tabulations.

225

| Undergraduate major and year | Total | Men | Women | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Mexican American | Puerto <br> Rican | Latin American |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VERBAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1979 | 488 | 487 | 489 | 511 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science and | 488 | 488 | 487 | 515 | 377 | 489 | 476 | 427 | 389 388 | 465 470 |
| Science and engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 19 | 495 | 495 | 500 | 523 | 372 | 486 | 472 | 434 | 395 |  |
| 1984 Physical science | 493 | 490 | 497 | 528 | 386 | 495 | 488 | 450 | 392 | 479 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 510 | 508 | 513 | 540 | 412 | 495 534 | 482 501 | 509 495 | 418 394 | 509 509 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1984$ | 494 | 510 497 | 498 | 537 | 364 | 476 | 494 | 420 | 375 | 468 |
| Engineering        <br> 979 494 497 488 545 373 473 473 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 1984 | 468 468 | 465 | 497 | 527 | 403 | 459 | 478 | 434 | 390 | 476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| l984 Behavioral science | 509 | 506 | 512 | 531 | 400 | 514 | 492 | 461 | 378 | 489 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 506 | 509 | 503 | 528 | 386 390 | 503 515 | 483 495 | 446 448 | 399 408 | 481 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 453 | 456 | 450 | 487 | 350 | 455 | 448 | 409 | 363 | 431 |
| QUANTITATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 514 | 555 | 478 | 525 | 358 | 566 |  |  |  |  |
| Sci 1984 | 534 | 580 | 494 | 540 | 374 | 601 | 473 | 422 439 | 418 429 | 468 485 |
| Science and engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1979$ | 544 | 575 | 502 | 557 | 375 | 592 |  |  |  |  |
| 1084 Physical | 568 | 602 | 522 | 576 | 394 | 625 | 500 | 485 480 | 437 454 | 497 514 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{1979}{\text { Mathematical science }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 671 | 600 | 565 | 523 | 580 |
| 1979 1984 | 665 660 | 682 | 636 | 682 | 486 | 660 | 671 | 595 | 550 | 626 |
| 1984 | 660 | 672 | 637 | 676 | 477 | 669 | 580 | 580 | 531 | 619 |

Appendix table 47. - continued

| Undergraduate major and year | Total | Men | Women | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Mexican American | Puerto Rican | Latin American |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 654 | 661 | 603 | 675 | 521 | 675 | 570 | 595 | 583 | 624 |
| 1984 | 667 | 669 | 659 | 683 | 563 | 679 | 678 | 634 | 590 | 620 |
| Biological science 5077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 555 570 | 585 | 556 | 569 582 | 420 | 617 | 534 | 448 505 | 448 | 546 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 509 | 536 | 488 | 521 | 368 | 551 | 464 | 438 | 399 | 468 |
| Social science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 474 476 | 501 510 | 446 448 | 496 496 | 337 334 | 494 512 | 443 415 | 413 406 | 378 389 | 429 409 |

ANALYTICAL

| All majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1979 | 503 | 508 | 499 | 529 | 352 | 510 | 457 | 412 | 385 | 460 |
| 1984 | 523 | 533 | 515 | 549 | 392 | 537 | 484 | 440 | 409 | 481 |
| Science and engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 517 | 515 | 515 | 547 | 365 | 524 | 471 | 436 | 397 | 483 |
| 1984 | 541 | 545 | 535 | 572 | 406 | 551 | 507 | 473 | 421 | 497 |
| Physical science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 557 | 555 | 564 | 581 | 406 | 546 | 523 | 516 | 433 | 524 |
| 1984 | 570 | 568 | 576 | 598 | 444 | 590 | 560 | 521 | 443 | 518 |
| Mathematical science 5675654501540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 592 | 594 | 589 | 638 | 427 | 572 | 550 | 512 | 453 | 521 |
| Engineering 526505 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 19.4 | 526 560 | 525 | 534 605 | 587 624 | 437 504 | 533 559 | 505 626 | 487 547 | 439 494 | 520 533 |
| Biological science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 ( | 521 | 518 | 526 | 553 | 359 | 537 | 456 | 4ご1 | 401 | 484 |
| 1984 | 555 | 550 | 560 | 580 | 419 | 561 | 527 | 483 | 410 | 525 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 523 | 525 | 521 | 546 | 399 | 526 | 491 | 457 | 403 | 483 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccl}\text { Social science } \\ 1979 & 471 & 473\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 1984 | 471 | 473 488 | 469 | 506 | 333 | 464 486 | 455 | 404 | 362 | 448 |
| 1984 |  | 488 | 481 | 519 | 368 | 486 | 44 | 427 | 390 | 435 |

NOTE: Score ranges from 200 to 800.
SOURCES: Cheryl L. Wild, A SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTED FROM GRADUATE
RECORD EXAMINATION TEST-TAKERS DURING 1978-79, DATA SUMMARY
REPORT 4 and Henry Roy Smith III, A SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTED
REPORT *4 and Henry Roy Smith III, A SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECT
FROM GRADUATE RECORD EXAMINATION TEST-TAKERS DURING 1983-84,
FROM GRADUATE RECORD EXAMINATION TEST-TAKERS DURING 1983-84,
DATA SUMMARY REPORT 9 , (Princeton, N.J.: Educational Testing

Appendix table 48. Science and engineering bachelor's degree recipients
by field and sex: 1970-83


Appendix table 48 . - continued

| Year | Total science and engineering | Physical science (1) | Engineering | Mathematical science (2) | Life science | Social <br> science ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 68,878 | 2,969 | 338 | 10,516 | 11,875 | 43,180 |
| 1971 | 72,996 | 3,014 | 365 | 9,818 | 11,803 | 47,996 |
| 1972 | 77,671 | 3,148 | 501 | 9,784 | 12,694 | 51,544 |
| 1973 | 83,839 | 3,121 | 580 | 9,985 | 14,570 | 55,583 |
| 1974 | 91,793 | 3,536 | 706 | 9,719 | 17,836 | 59,996 |
| 1975 | 93,342 | 3.838 | 860 | 8,656 | 20,811 | 59,177 |
| 1976 | 95,597 | 4,139 | 1,443 | 7,678 | 23,789 | 58,548 |
| 1977 | 97,453 | 4,551 | 2,086 | 7,488 | 25,609 | 57,719 |
| 1978 | 100,060 | ¢,987 | 3,497 | 7,110 | 26,954 | 57,512 |
| 1979 | 102,292 | 5,287 | 4,919 | 7,421 | 27,548 | 57,117 |
| 1980 | 105,974 | 5,651 | 6,014 | 8,247 | 27.596 | 58,466 |
| 1981 | 108,442 | 5,980 | 7,117 | 9,734 | 27,476 |  |
| 1982 | 113,161 | 6,339 | 8,337 | 12,173 | 26,926 | 59, 386 |
| 1983 | 115,611 | 6,461 | 9,719 | 14,489 | 26,560 | 58,382 |

(1) Includes environmental sciences.
(2) Includes computer science.
(3) Includes psychology.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, EARNED DEGREES
(annual series) and National Science Foundation.

Appendix table 49. Science and engineerily master's degree recipients by field and sex: 1970-83
Total
science and

engineering $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Physical <br>
Science (1)

$\quad$ Engineering 

Mathematical science (2) $\quad$| Life |
| :---: |
| science |$\quad$ social

\end{tabular}

Total

| 1970 | 49,318 | 5,948 | 15,597 | 7,107 | 8,590 | 12,076 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 50,624 | 6,386 | 16,347 | 6,789 | 8,320 | 12,782 |
| 1972 | 53,567 | 6,307 | 16,802 | 7,186 | 8,914 | 14,358 |
| 1973 | 54,234 | 6,274 | 16,758 | 7,146 | 9,080 | 14,976 |
| 1974 | 54,175 | 6,087 | 15,393 | 7,116 | 9,605 | 15,974 |
| 1975 | 53,852 | 5,830 | 15,434 | 6,637 | 9,618 | 16,333 |
| 1976 | 54,747 | 5,485 | 16,170 | 6,466 | 9,823 | 16,803 |
| 1977 | 56,731 | 5,345 | 16,889 | 6,496 | 10,707 | 17,294 |
| 1978 | 56,237 | 5,576 | 17,015 | 6,421 | 10,711 | 16,514 |
| 1979 | 54,456 | 5,464 | 16,193 | 6,101 | 10,719 | 15,979 |
| 1980 | 54,391 | 5,233 | 16,846 | 6,515 | 10,278 | 15,519 |
| 1981 | 54,811 | 5,300 | 17,373 | 6,787 | 9,731 | 15,620 |
| 1982 | 57,025 | 5,526 | 18,594 | 7,66i | 9,824 | 15,415 |
| 1983 | 58,868 | 5,288 | 19,721 | 8,160 | 9,720 | 15,979 |

## Men

| 1970 | 40,741 | 5,101 | 15,425 | 5,298 | 6,374 | 8,543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 41,966 | 5,533 | 16,160 | 5,101 | 6,130 | 9,042 |
| 1972 | 44,010 | 5,419 | 16,521 | 5,409 | 6,587 | 10,074 |
| 1973 | 44,474 | 5,427 | 16,470 | 5,416 | 6,843 | 10,318 |
| 1974 | 43,630 | 5,200 | 15,031 | 5,323 | 7,195 | 10,881 |
| 1975 | 42,847 | 4,982 | 15,038 | 4,871 | 7,207 | 10,749 |
| 1976 | 42,675 | 4,660 | 15,581 | 4,776 | 7,204 | 10,454 |
| 1977 | 43,577 | 4,458 | 16,156 | 4,730 | 7,696 | 10,537 |
| 1978 | 42,547 | 4,630 | 16,144 | 4,704 | 7,485 | 9,584 |
| 1979 | 40,416 | 4,472 | 15,203 | 4,469 | 7,259 | 9,013 |
| 1980 | 40,008 | 4,258 | 15,656 | 4,715 | 6,95? | 8,427 |
| 1981 | 39,797 | 4,213 | 15,967 | 4,939 | 6,451 | 8,227 |
| 1982 | 41,049 | 4,325 | 16,910 | 5,446 | 6,315 | 8,053 |
| 1983 | 41,787 | 4,151 | 17,845 | 5,672 | 6,111 | 8,008 |
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Appendix tabie 49. - continued

| Year | Total science and engineering | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Physical } \\ & \text { science (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Engineering | Mathematical science (2) | Life science | ```Social science (3)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 8,577 | 847 | 172 | 1,809 | 2,216 | 3,533 |
| 1971 | 8,658 | 853 | 187 | 1,688 | 2,190 | 3,740 |
| 1972 | 9,557 | 888 | 281 | 1,777 | 2,327 | 4,284 |
| 1973 | 9,760 | 847 | 288 | 1,730 | 2,237 | 4,658 |
| 1974 | 10,545 | 887 | 362 | 1,793 | 2,410 | 5,093 |
| 1975 | 11,005 | 848 | 396 | 1,766 | 2,411 | 5,584 |
| 1976 | 12,072 | 825 | 589 | 1,690 | 2,619 | 6,359 |
| 1977 | 13,154 | 887 | 733 | 1,766 | 3,011 | 6,757 |
| 1978 | 13,690 | 946 | 871 | 1,717 | 3,226 | 6,930 |
| 1979 | 14,040 | 992 | 990 | 1,632 | 3,460 | 6,966 |
| 1980 | 14,383 | . 975 | 1,190 | 1,800 | 3,326 | 7,092 |
| 1981 | 15,014 | 1, 087 | 1,406 | 1,848 | 3,280 | 7,393 |
| 1982 | 15,976 | 1,201 | 1,684 | 2,220 | 3,509 | 7,362 |
| 1983 | 17,081 | 1,137 | 1,876 | 2,488 | 3,609 | 7,971 |

(1) Includes environmental sciences.
(2) Includes compute: science.
(3) Includes psychology.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics, EARNED DEGREES
(annual series) and National Science Foundation

Appendix table 50. Science and engineering doctorate recipients by field and sex: 1970-84

Total

|  | Total <br> science and engineering | Physical science (1) |  | Mathematical science (2) | Life | Social science (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  | Engineering |  |  |  |

Total

| 1970 | 17,743 | 4,403 | 3,434 | 1,225 | 4,165 | 4,516 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 18,949 | 4,501 | 3,498 | 1,2.38 | 4,557 | 5,155 |
| 1972 | 19,008 | 4,257 | 3,503 | 1,281 | 4,454 | 5,513 |
| 1973 | 19,001 | 4,078 | 3,354 | 1,233 | 4,503 | 5,823 |
| 1974 | 18,313 | 3,765 | 3,147 | 1,211 | 4,304 | 5,886 |
| 1975 | 18,358 | 3,710 | 3,002 | 1,147 | 4,402 | 6,097 |
| 1976 | 17,864 | 3,506 | 2,834 | 1,003 | 4,361 | 6,160 |
| 1977 | 17,417 | 3,415 | 2,643 | 964 | 4,266 | 6,129 |
| 1978 | 17,048 | 3,234 | 2,423 | 959 | 4,369 | 6,063 |
| 1979 | 17,245 | 3,320 | 2,490 | 979 | 4,501 | 5,955 |
| 1980 | 17,199 | 3,149 | 2,479 | 962 | 4,715 | 5,894 |
| 1981 | 17,633 | 3,210 | 2,528 | 960 | 4,786 | 6,149 |
| 1982 | 17,626 | 3,351 | 2,646 | 940 | 4,841 | 5,848 |
| 1983 | 17,932 | 3,439 | 2,781 | 987 | 4,751 | 5,974 |
| 1984 | 18,069 | 3,459 | 2,915 | 994 | 4,869 | 5,832 |
|  |  |  | M |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 16,717 | 4,160 | 3,419 | 1,148 | 3,627 | 3,763 |
| 1971 | 17,008 | 4,256 | 3,483 | 1,142 | 3,897 | 4,230 |
| 1972 | 16,905 | 3,986 | 3,481 | 1,185 | 3,781 | 4,472 |
| 1973 | 16,551 | 3,816 | 3,318 | 1,113 | 3,714 | 4,590 |
| 1974 | 15,706 | 3,496 | 3,114 | 1,096 | 3,524 | 4,476 |
| 1975 | 15,522 | 3,416 | 2,950 | 1,038 | 3,553 | 5,565 |
| 1976 | 14,883 | 3,199 | 2,780 | 890 | 3,508 | 4,506 |
| 1977 | 14,310 | 3,112 | 2,569 | 837 | 3,423 | 4,369 |
| 1978 | 13,735 | 2,926 | こ,370 | 828 | 3,411 | 4,200 |
| 1979 | 13,662 | 2,970 | 2,428 | 833 | 3,470 | 3,961 |
| 1980 | 13,398 | 2,763 | 2,389 | 846 | 3,565 | 3,835 |
| 1981 | 13,610 | 2,845 | 2,429 | 822 | 3,565 | 3,949 |
| 1982 | 13,483 | 2,891 | 2,522 | 824 | 3,550 | 3,696 |
| 1983 | 13,464 | 2,971 | 2,657 | 838 | 3,387 | 3,611 |
| 1984 | 13,501 | 2,954 | 2,763 | 843 | 3,523 | 3,418 |

Appendix table 50. - continued

| Year | Total science and engineering | Physical science (1) | Engineering | Mathematical science (2) | Life science | ```Social science (3)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,626 | 243 | 15 | 77 |  |  |
| $1971$ | $1,941$ | 245 | 15 | 96 | 660 | 925 |
| $1972$ | 2,103 | 271 | 22 | 96 | 673 | 1,041 |
| $1973$ | 2,450 | 262 | 46 | 120 | 789 | 1,233 |
| $1974$ | 2,607 | 269 | 33 | 115 | 780 | 1,410 |
| $1975$ | 2,836 | 294 | 52 | 109 | 849 | 1,532 |
| $1976$ | 2,981 | 307 | 54 | 113 | 853 | 1,654 |
| $1977$ | 3,107 | 303 | 74 | 127 | 843 | 1,760 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,313 \\ & 3,583 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & 350 \end{aligned}$ | 53 | 131 | . 958 | 1,863 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | $3,583$ | $350$ | 62 9 | 146 | 1,031 | 1,994 |
| $1980$ | $3,801$ | $386$ | 90 99 | 116 | 1,150 | 2,059 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & 1087 \end{aligned}$ | $4,023$ | $365$ | $99$ | 138 | 1,221 | 2,200 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,143 \\ & 4,468 \end{aligned}$ | $460$ | $124$ | 116 | $1,291$ | 2,152 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1983 \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,468 \\ & 4,568 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 468 \\ & 505 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | 149 151 | 1, 364 | 2,363 |

(1) Includes environmental sciences.
(2) Includes computer science.
(3) Includes psychology.

SOURCES: National Academy of Sciences and National Science Foundation.

Appendix table 51. Graduate degree attainment rates in science and engineering by sex

| Bachelor's Year | degrees Number | Master's Year | dogrees Number | Rate | Bachelor's Year | degrees Number | Year | ate Number | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 264,122 | 1972 | 53,567 | 20.3\% | 1965 | 164,936 | 1972 | 19,008 | 11.5\% |
| 1971 | 271,176 | 1973 | 54,234 | 20.0\% | 1966 | 173,471 | 1973 | 19,001 | $11.0 \%$ |
| 1972 | 281,228 | 1974 | 54,175 | 19.3\% | 1967 | 187,849 | 1974 | 18,313 | 9.7\% |
| 1973 | 295,391 | 1975 | 53,852 | 18.2\% | 1968 | 212,174 | 1975 | 18,358 | 8.7\% |
| 1974 | 305,062 | 1976 | 54,747 | 17.9\% | 1969 | 244,519 | 1976 | 17,864 | 7.3\% |
| 1975 | 294,920 | 1977 | 56,731 | 19.2\% | 1970 | 264,122 | 1977 | 17,417 | 6.6\% |
| 1976 | 292,174 | 1978 | 56,237 | 19.2\% | 1971 | 271,176 | 1978 | 17,048 | 6.3\% |
| 1977 | 288,543 | 1979 | 54,456 | 18.9\% | 1972 | 281,228 | 1979 | 17,245 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 1978 | 288,167 | 1980 | 54,391 | 18.9\% | 1973 | 295,391 | 1980 | 17,199 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 1979 | 288,625 | 1981 | 54,811 | $19.0 \%$ | 1974 | 305,062 | 1981 | 17,633 | 5.8\% |
| 1980 | 291,983 | 1982 | 57,025 | 19.5\% | 1975 | 294,920 | 1982 | 17,626 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 1981 | 294,867 | 1983 | 58,868 | 20.0\% | $1976$ | 292,174 | 1983 | 17,932 | 6. $6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1977$ | 288,543 | 1984 | 18,069 | 6.3\% |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 |  | 1972 |  |  | 1965 | 128,723 | 1972 |  |  |
| 1971 | $198,180$ | 1973 | $44,474$ | 22.4\% | 1966 | 133,589 | 1973 | 16,551 | $12.4 \%$ |
| 1972 | 203,557 | 1974 | 43,630 | $21.4 \%$ | 1967 | 143,847 | 1974 | 15,706 | 10.9\% |
| 1973 | 211,552 | 1975 | 42,847 | 20.3\% | 1968 | 158,711 | 1975 | 15,522 | 9.8\% |
| 1974 | 213,269 | 1976 | 42,675 | 20.0\% | 1969 | 181,323 | 1976 | 14,883 | 8.2\% |
| 1975 | 201,578 | 1977 | 43.577 | $21.6 \%$ | 1970 | 195,244 | 1977 | 14,310 | 7.3\% |
| 1976 | 156,577 | 1978 | 42,547 | 21.6\% | 1971 | 198,180 | 1978 | 13,735 | 6.9\% |
| 1977 | 191,090 | 1979 | 40,416 | $21.2 \%$ | 1972 | 203,557 | 1979 | 13,662 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 1978 | 188,197 | 1980 | 40,008 | 21.3\% | 1973 | 211,552 | 1980 | 13,398 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 1979 | 186,333 | 1981 | 39,797 | 21.4\% | 1974 | 2i3,269 | 1981 | 13,610 | 6 6\% |
| 1980 | 186,009 | 1982 | 41,049 | $22.1 \%$ | $\bigcirc 975$ | 201,578 | 1982 | 13,483 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 1981 | 186,425 | 1983 | 41,787 | 22.4\% | $1976$ | $196,577$ | $1983$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,464 \end{array}$ | $6.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1977$ | $191,090$ | $1984$ | $13,501$ | $7.1 \%$ |

Appendix table 51. - continued


SOURCES: National Centsr for Education Statistics, National Academy of Scienc-s, and Natiunal Science Foundation.

Appendix table 52. Science ard engineering degree recipients by field, racial/ethnic group, and degree level: 1979\& 1983

| Field | Bachelor's (1) | $\begin{gathered} 1979 \\ \text { Master (i)s } \end{gathered}$ | Doctorates | Bachelor's (1) | $\begin{gathered} 1983 \\ \text { Master's (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Doctorates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total science and engineering | 322,195 | 50,201 | 13,304 | 304,082 | 47,367 | 13,565 |
| Science | 264,192 | 38,784 | 11,796 | 240,824 | 35,011 | 12,131 |
| Physical science (3) Mathematical science Computer science <br> Life sciences <br> Psychology <br> Social sciences | $\begin{array}{r} 22,659 \\ 11,534 \\ 8,392 \\ 71,442 \\ 42,561 \\ 107,604 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,713 \\ 2,571 \\ 2,528 \\ 9,697 \\ 7,852 \\ 11,423 \end{array}$ | 2,560 572 166 3,612 2,760 2,126 | $\begin{aligned} & 21,889 \\ & 11,470 \\ & 22,152 \\ & 57,152 \\ & 38,540 \\ & 89,621 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,238 \\ & 2,103 \\ & 3,965 \\ & 8,268 \\ & 7,618 \\ & 8,819 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,603 \\ 439 \\ 198 \\ 3,917 \\ 3,023 \\ 1,951 \end{array}$ |
| Engineering | 58,003 | 11,417 | 1,508 | 63,258 | 12,356 | 1,434 |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total science and engineering | 284,852 | 45,185 | 11,882 | 266,414 | 41,238 | 12,199 |
| Science | 23:., 201 | 35,103 | 10,727 | 210,451 | 31,052 | 11,071 |
| Physical science (3) Mathematical science Computer science <br> life sciences <br> Psychology <br> Social sciances | $\begin{aligned} & 20,958 \\ & 10,229 \\ & 7,404 \\ & 64,445 \\ & 36,648 \\ & 92,517 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,373 \\ 2,352 \\ 2,273 \\ 8,909 \\ 7,078 \\ 10,118 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2, ? 89 \\ 505 \\ 153 \\ 3,333 \\ 2,550 \\ 1,897 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,746 \\ & 10,031 \\ & 19,027 \\ & 50,668 \\ & 33,106 \\ & 77,873 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,843 \\ & 1,845 \\ & 3,366 \\ & 7,531 \\ & 6,758 \\ & 7,709 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,370 \\ 395 \\ 174 \\ 3,608 \\ 2,765 \\ 1,759 \end{array}$ |
| Engineering | 52,561 | 10,082 | i,155 | 55,963 | 10,186 | 1,128 |

Appendix table 52. - continued

| Field | Bachelor's (1) | $\begin{gathered} 1979 \\ \text { Master's (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Doctorates | Bachelor's (1) | $\begin{gathered} 1983 \\ \text { Master's (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Doctorates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total science and engineering | 18,743 | 1,988 | 309 | 16,799 | 1,823 | 305 |
| Science | 16,968 | 1,742 | 289 | 14,913 | 1,483 | 276 |
| Physical science (3) <br> Mathematical science <br> Computer science <br> Life sciences <br> Psychology <br> Social sciences | $\begin{array}{r} 704 \\ 652 \\ 507 \\ 2,837 \\ 3,218 \\ 9,050 \end{array}$ | 86 71 65 296 476 748 | 40 11 1 44 115 78 | 832 629 1,274 2,437 2,995 6,746 | 100 68 118 220 469 508 | 26 3 3 58 112 74 |
| Engineering | 1,775 | 246 | 20 | 1,886 | 343 | 29 |
| ASIAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total science and engineering | 7,080 | 1,895 | 865 | 10,150 | 2,901 | 771 |
| Scierce | 5,222 | 1,045 | 559 | 6,844 | 1,432 | 524 |
| Physical science (3) <br> Mathematical science <br> Computer science <br> Life sciences <br> Psychology <br> Social sciences | 439 324 263 1,788 781 1,627 | 160 104 149 309 87 236 | 189 46 9 188 36 91 | 719 530 1,125 1,925 819 1,726 | 206 136 429 258 888 315 | 162 34 20 197 44 67 |
| Engineering | 1,858 | 850 | 306 | 3,306 | 1,469 | 247 |


| Field | Bachelor's (1) | $\begin{gathered} 1979 \\ \text { Master's (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Doctorates | Bachelor's (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 1983 \\ \text { Master's (1) } \end{array}$ | Doctorates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATIVE AMERICAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total science and engineering | 1,187 | 163 | 28 | 1,065 | 157 | 28 |
| Science | 1,023 | 139 | 25 | 899 | 121 | 27 |
| Physical science (3) <br> Mathematical science <br> Computer science <br> Life sciences <br> Psychology <br> Social sciences | 63 41 11 233 177 498 | 29 8 16 21 20 45 | 3 0 1 3 10 8 | 66 27 72 211 150 373 | 7 6 5 34 41 28 | 8 0 1 5 9 4 |
| Engineering | 164 | 24 | 3 | 166 | 36 | 1 |
| HISPANIC (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total science and engineering | 10,333 | 970 | 220 | 9,654 | 1,248 | 262 |
| Science | 8,778 | 755 | 196 | 7,717 | 923 | 233 |
| Physical science (3) <br> Mathematical science <br> Computer science <br> Life sciences <br> Psychology <br> Social sciences | $\begin{array}{r} 495 \\ 288 \\ 207 \\ 2,139 \\ 1,737 \\ 3,912 \end{array}$ | 65 36 25 162 191 276 | 39 10 2 44 49 52 | 526 253 654 1,911 1,470 2,903 | 82 48 47 225 262 259 | 37 7 0 49 93 47 |
| Engineering | 1,555 | 215 | 24 | 1,937 | 325 | 29 |

(1) Numbers of bachelor's and master's degrees have not been adjusted
to the taxonomies used by the National Science Foundation and will
therefore differ from earned degree data in other NSF publications.
(2) Excludes ronresident aliens and mother."
(3) Includes environmental sciences.
(4) Exclusive of all racial groups.

SOURCES: National Center for Education Statistics and National Academy of Sciences.

Appendix table 53. Major sources of graduate support of 1984 science and engineering doctorate recipients by field and sex


(1) Detail will not add to total known sources because total includes National (non-U.S. Federal), industry, loans, and other.

SOURCE: National Research Council, unpublished data.

Appendix tatle 54. Major sources of graduate support of 1984 science and engineering doctorste recipients by racial/ ethnic group

| Sources of support | White | Black | Asian | Native American | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total known sources | 10,345 | 229 | 318 | 27 | 218 |
| Federal Fellowships \& Traineeships | 1,460 | 41 | 48 | 5 | 41 |
| University | 5,244 | 85 | 178 | 7 | 98 |
| Fellowhsips | 522 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 19 |
| Teaching <br> Assistantships | 1,829 | 36 | 46 | 2 | 38 |
| ```Research Assistantships``` | 2,893 | 24 | 105 | 3 | 41 |
| Self | 2,969 | 66 | 74 | 14 | 60 |
| Other (1) | 672 | 37 | 18 | 1 | 19 |

(1) Includes National (non-U.S. Federal), industry, loans, and other.

SOURCE: National Research Council, unpublished data.

# Appendix table 55. Postdoctorates in science and engineering by field 

 and sex/racial/ethnic group: 1973, 1981, \& 1983| Field | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | ilen | Wor.en | White | Black | Asian | Native <br> American | Hispanic (1) |
| Total scientists <br> and engineers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientists | 5,446 | 4,570 | 876 | 4,714 | 28 | 619 | 0 | 69 |
| Physical scientists | 1,867 | 1,725 | 142 | 1,572 | 5 | 252 | 0 |  |
| Mathematical scieniists | 1,79 | - 75 | 4 | , 73 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 2 |
| Computer specialists | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental scientists | 181 | 171 | 10 | 155 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 9 |
| Life scientists | 2,799 | 2,197 | 602 | 2,449 | 23 | 304 | 0 | 50 |
| Psychologists | 259 | 2, 169 | 90 | 2, 224 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 6 |
| Social scientists |  | 211 | 28 | 219 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Engineers | 230 | 230 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| Field | Total | Men | Women | 1981 |  | Asian | Native <br> American | Hispanic (1) |
|  |  |  |  | White | Black |  |  |  |
| Total scientists and engineers | 10,451 | 7,694 | 2,757 | 8,615 | 120 | 1,631 | 22 | 137 |
| Scientists | 10,230 | 7,485 | 2,745 | 8,457 | 120 | 1,568 | 22 | 137 |
| Physical scientists | 2,432 | 2,093 | 339 | 1,739 | 8 | 659 | 0 |  |
| Mathematical scientists | 2, 127 | 2, 121 | 3 | 1,724 | 3 | 65 | 0 | 12 |
| Computer specialists. | 15 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Environmental scientists | 196 | 167 | 29 | 174 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| Life scientists | 6,615 | 4,629 | 1,986 | 5,651 | 82 | 859 | 14 | 102 |
| Psychologists. | 458 | 278 | 180 | 5, 404 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Social scientists | 387 | 183 | 204 | 350 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 7 |
| Engineers | 221 | 209 | 12 | 158 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix table 55. - continued

(1) Includes members of all racial groups.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation
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[^3]:    Less than 05 percent
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    SOURCE: Based on appendlx table 2.

[^5]:    SOURCE• Based on appendix table 2.

[^6]:    SOURCE: Bases on appendix table 54
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