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## ABSTRACT

WORDY $V$ solves the finite difference analogs to the Lagrangian equations of motion in one spatial dimension (planar, cylindrical, or spherical). Simulations of explosive detonation, energy deposition, plate impact, and dynamic fracture are possible, using a variety of existing material models. In addition, WONDY has proven to be a powerful tool in the evaluation of new constitutive models. A preprocessor is available to allocate storage arrays commensurate with problem size, and automatic rezoning may be employed to improve resolution. This document provides a description of the equations solved, available material models, operating instructions, and sample problems.
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## 1. INYRODUCHION

wOMDY $V$ is a revised computer code in the series of one-dimensional finite difference wave propagation codes originally called wavz 1-4, and more recently named wowny5-8. The present code includes all of the capabilities of WONDY IV8, as well as refinements in material modeling. A preprocessor is now an option, providing the user with the means to match the code's common storage to the problem size, which will usually result in reduced computer storage (and cost) requirements.

In general, this code integrates the one-dimensional Iagrangian differential equations of motion by use of finite difference analogs. The geometry employed can be rectilinear, cylindrical or spherical. The first of these three is the familiar case of uniaxial strain, appropriate for a plate of infinite lateral extent, and commonly used to simalate gas gun plate impact experiments. The latter two geometry options allow for the analysis of divergent wave and material motion. The method of artifical viscosity is employed to solve problems involving the propagation of pressure discontinuities, or shock waves.

Equations of state and constitutive relations for a number of classes of materials are provided as options in the code. Solids are assumed to obey the Mie-Gruneisen equation of state and can be treated as either hydrodynamic or elastic-plastic. For the latter, plastic flow occurs on the basis of the von Mises yield criterion where the yield strength can be infinite, constant, or variable. The variable yield strength can be a function of strain, energy, or plastic work. The variation of yield strength with plastic work is derived from an isotropic strain hardening assumption. An

[^0]alternate constitutiva relation treats rate dependent stress relaxation and anisotropic strain hardening. These models also allow the material to vaporize if the problem so requires. Equations of state for ideal gasses, detonating high explosives and initially porous or distended solids are also provided. To supplement these models the code contains an explicit treatment for dynamic fracture which allows both separation and rejoining to occur.

Additional equations of state and material response models are continually being developed for $N O N D Y$, and the code's flexibility allows for their inclusion with a minimum of effort on the part of the user. In particular, the number of variables carried in each zone may be altered by an input parameter, so that models formulated with internal state variables are easily added to the code. Areas in which wowny has been employed as a research tool include dynamic fracture, piezoelectricity, ferroelectricity, viscoelasticity, explosive reactions, granular and porous materials and bubbly liquids. Short descriptions of these models are found in Appendix B .

Initial and boundary conditions may be specified to meet user requirements. For example, time dependent pressure loads may be applied, and energy deposition, explosive detonations, or plate impact conditions may be prescribed. The code allows for the introduction of gaps or voids between individual plates, and for offsets from the origin to establish the appropriate curvature for non-planar geometries. The user may request a dynamic rezone technique that continually adjusts the Lagrangian mesh to provide both improved resolution in shock fronts and reduced computational time.

The input and output routines in wondy $V$ are quite flexible. Numbered input records are employed so that their order is imaterial. In addition,
many variables are dssigned standard default values if they are not included in the data. The principal output routine is the standard edit which lists all the min variables at preselected times. The variables incluaed in the edit may be selected by the user as part of the input instructions. Three additional output routines are provided: the first generates a file auitable for subsequent plotting; the second creates a restart file from which the calculation may be continued if desired; and the third can easily be adapted to specific user needs.

It should be noted here that WONDY has been programmed without recourse to any specific physical units (with the exception of the Bis explosive model, Section 3.2.3). Hence, any self-consistent set of units may be employed, or alternately one may non-dimensionalize a given calculation with respect to a length, time, or other parameter characteristic of the problem (Appendix C). Some sets of units which have been utilized with WONDY are given in the accompanying table.

This manual is separated into sections that allow the user easy reference both for general purposes and to clarify input instructions. The basic formulation of the code is discussed in section 2, with the conservation laws and general material model form set forth. The method of differencing these equations, and the computational scheme, including artifical viscosity and stability arguments, complete the section. The routinely available material models are outlined in Section 3, including the options for treating fracture. The remaining sections are devoted to input and boundary conditions (4), input and output specifications, (including diagnostics) (5), rezoning techniques (6), and storage arrangements of the variables (7). Six appendices are included in which are discussed code structure and common variables (A), auxilliary material models (B), non-dimensionalization (C),
sample problem (D), wowD inpat instructions (z), and plotting inpat instructions (F).
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## 2. BASIC FORHOLATION

The conservation laws of momentum, mass, and energy, complemented by a material law, lead to a formulation that can be differenced and numerically solved. The description of material behavior in the time durations characteristic of wave motion are of interest here.

### 2.1 Conservation of Momentum, Kass, and Energy

The one-dimensional differential equation expressing conservation of momentum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho a=-\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+(\alpha-1) \frac{\phi}{x} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the Lagrangian spatial coordinate, $\rho$ the density, a the acceleration, $\sigma$ the Cauchy stress in the $x$ direction, and $q$ the viscous stress, both taken positive in compression. The quantity $\phi$ is the difference between the stresses in the longitudinal and transverse directions ( $\alpha=1$ for rectangular, 2 for cylindrical, 3 for spherical one-dimensional coordinates). The material particles will be followed in their motion, and thus the acceleration is given simply by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the velocity defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mass conservation is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}=\frac{d v}{d v} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where av is an element of vonume at time $t=0$, when the density is $\rho_{0}$ and dv is the current volume of the same element at time t.

The one-dimensional equation for conservation of energy equates the rate of increase of internal energy per unit mass to the rate at which work is being done by the stresses and the rate at which heat is being added,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial \mathscr{E}}{\partial t}=(p+q) \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+p_{\mathcal{I}}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}+(\alpha-1) \frac{h}{x}+p Q \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{E}$ is the internal energy per unit mass, $Q$ is the heat added (say by chemical reaction or radiation) per unit mass and unit time, $h$ is the heat flux due to heat conduction, and $P_{d}$ is the rate of deviator stress work. Note that the work done by the viscous stress $q$ has been included.

Heat addition $Q$ may be assigned as required, while $h$ will depend on the temperature gradient. Since the energy equation is included in the constitutive subroutine, $Q$ and $h$ do not appear anywhere else in the code.

### 2.2 Pressure and Sound Speed

An equation of state, or constitutive law, is required to complete the formulation, and is usually taken in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{f}(0, \mathscr{E}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the pressure, $\rho$ the density, and $\mathscr{E}$ the energy per unit mass. The nature of the subsequent differencing is such that a convenient form for (2.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=f_{1}(\rho)+f_{2}(\rho) \mathscr{E} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although the sound speed is not used explicitly in the calculation of any of the state variables it is necesaary to determine the artificial viscosity and the time stap. The sound speed can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \equiv \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_{s}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( )/s indicates that the differentiation is carried out at constant entropy. Differentiating (2.7) at constant entropy yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_{s}=\frac{d f_{1}}{d \rho}+\mathscr{E} \frac{d f_{2}}{d \rho}+f_{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{E}}{\partial \rho}\right)_{s} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting the thermodynamic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{E}}{\partial p}\right)_{s}=\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{E}}{\partial \mathscr{V}}\right)_{s}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial p}\right)_{s}=(-p)\left(-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\right)=\frac{p}{\rho^{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the specific volume, the sound speed can be easily obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\frac{d f_{1}}{d \rho}+\mathscr{E} \frac{d f_{2}}{d \rho}+\frac{p f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the equation of state (2.7) can also be expressed in terms of the specific volume via (2.11), (2.12) can be rewritten as a function of specific volume

$$
\begin{align*}
c^{2} & =-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \mathscr{V}}\right)_{s}  \tag{2.13}\\
& =-\mathscr{V}^{2}\left(\frac{d f_{1}}{d \mathscr{V}}+\mathscr{E} \frac{d f_{2}}{d \mathscr{V}}-p f_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial V}\right)^{2}=-p$ has been used.
The results given in this section are common to all of the equation of state subroutines in section 3.

### 2.3 Stress and Strain

In one dimensional motion, there is a coincidence of coordinate axes with principle stress and strain orientations. In this absence of shear straing, it is convenient to work in terms of the strain rate or stretching. In the direction of motion, i.e., the $x$ direction, the stretching is defined as

$$
\alpha_{x} \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}
$$

In rectangular coordinates there is no motion in the $y$ and $z$ coordinate directions so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad a_{y}=0, \quad a_{z}=0, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In cylindrical coordinates there is no motion in the 2 direction, so that $d_{\mathbf{z}}=0$. However, motion in the $x$ direction will induce a circumferential strain, so that ( $x$ is the radial direction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad d_{y}=\frac{u}{x}, \quad d_{z}=0, \quad \text { for } \alpha=2 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In apherical coordinates there is a hoop strain induced in mutually perpendicular circumferential directions when there is motion in the $x$ direction, so that ( $x$ is again the radial direction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad d_{y}=\frac{u}{x}, \quad a_{z}=\frac{n}{x}, \quad \text { for } \alpha=3 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volumetric strain rate or dilatation is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{d}_{z} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus it must be related to the rate at which the density is changing by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stretching deviators are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{x}^{d}=d_{x}-\frac{1}{3} d=d_{x}+\frac{1}{3 \rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for ${\underset{Y}{y}}_{d}^{d} d_{z}^{d}$. They are a measure of the rate of distrtion independent of the volume change. From (2.17) and (2.19) it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{x}^{d}+d_{y}^{d}+d_{z}^{d}=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the shear strains are zero in one dimensional motion, shear stresses are zero. The stress components in the coordinate directions are $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{Z}}$. The pressure is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-p)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}+\sigma_{z}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

the minus sign appearing in agreement with the convention that stresses are considered positive in tension, while pressure is considered positive in compression. Stress deviators are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{d}=\sigma_{x}-(-p)=\sigma_{x}+p \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\sigma_{Y}^{d}, \sigma_{z}^{d}$. From (2.21) and (2.22) it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{\mathbf{d}}+\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{d}}+\sigma_{z}^{\mathbf{d}}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate at which mechanical work is being done by the stresses, f.e., the stress power, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\sigma_{x} d_{x}+\sigma_{y} d_{y}+\sigma_{z} d_{z} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.19), (2.21), (2.22), and (2.20) the stress power may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}}+\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{d}} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{s}=\frac{p}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

representing the rate at which work is being done by the pressure against a volume change, and $P_{d}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=\sigma_{x}^{d} d_{x}^{d}+\sigma_{y}^{d} d_{y}^{d}+\sigma_{z}^{d} d_{z}^{d} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

representing the rate at which work is being done by the deviator stresses against distortion. Using (2.20) and (2.23) the components in the $y$ direction can be eliminated, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=2 \sigma_{x}^{d} d_{x}^{d}+\sigma_{x}^{d} d_{z}^{d}+\sigma_{z}^{d} d_{x}^{d}+2 \sigma_{z}^{d} d_{z}^{d} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momentum equation (2.1) requires the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \equiv \sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, using the definition (2.22), can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
申=\sigma_{x}^{d}-\sigma_{\dot{y}}^{\mathrm{d}} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (2.23), this can be put into the more convenient form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=2 \sigma_{x}^{\mathrm{d}}+\sigma_{z}^{\mathrm{d}} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the quantity $\sigma$ in the momentum equation, from (2.22), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=-\sigma_{x}=p-\sigma_{x}^{d} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is taken positive in compression for convenience. Considerable simplification arises when $\alpha=1$ or 3. The symmetry inherent in rectangular and spherical one dimensinal motion implies that $\sigma_{y}=\sigma_{z}$. Thus (2.20) and (2.23) can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{y}^{d}=d_{z}^{d}=-\frac{1}{2} d_{x}^{d}  \tag{2.33}\\
& \sigma_{y}^{d}=\sigma_{z}^{d}=-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{x}^{d} \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, for $\alpha=1$ or $3(2.28)$ and (2.31) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=\frac{3}{2} \sigma_{x}^{d} d_{x}^{d} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\frac{3}{2} \sigma_{x}^{d} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the finite difference method all quantities are sampled at discrete material particles and at discrete times. The particles are labeled in order with an index $j$, and times are labeled in order with an index $n$. Thus the value of an arbitrary quantity $Y$ at the $j^{\text {th }}$ particle and $n^{\text {th }}$ time is denoted $\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathrm{n}}$. The differential equations are set into finite difference form by consistent use of simple, centered, second-order analogs,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}\right)_{j+1 / 2}^{n}=\frac{\Psi_{j+1}^{n}-\Psi_{j}^{n}}{x_{j+1}^{n}-x_{j}^{n}}  \tag{2.37}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}\right)_{j}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{\Psi_{j}^{n+1}-\Psi_{j}^{n}}{t^{n+1}-t^{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

and linear interpolation expressions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{j+1 / 2}^{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Psi_{j+1}^{n}+Y_{j}^{n}\right) \\
& \Psi_{j}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Psi_{j}^{n+1}+\Psi_{j}^{n}\right) \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

The difference equations used, corresponding to (2.1) through (2.4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{j}^{n}= & 2\left\{\left.\frac{\left(\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+q_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\right)-\left(\sigma_{j+1 / 2}^{n}+q_{j+1 / 2}^{n}\right)}{\rho_{j+1 / 2}^{n}\left(x_{j+1}^{n}-x_{j}^{n}\right)+\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{n}-x_{j-1}^{n}\right)} \right\rvert\,+\right. \\
& +2(\alpha-1)\left\{\frac{\left(\phi_{j+1 / 2}^{n}+\phi_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\right)}{\rho_{j+1 / 2}^{n}\left(x_{j+1}^{n}+x_{j}^{n}\right)+\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{n}+x_{j-1}^{n}\right)}\right\} \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

for the acceleration, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}=u_{j}^{n-1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}+\Delta t^{n-1 / 2}\right) a_{j}^{n} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the velocity, where $\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}=t^{n+1}-t^{n}$. The position is thas

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}^{n+1}=x_{j}^{n}+\Delta t^{n+1 / 2} u_{j}^{n+1 / 2} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conservation of mass yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\frac{n_{j-1 / 2}}{\left(x_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(x_{j-1}^{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a zone constant initialized at $t=0$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j-1 / 2}=\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{0}\left\{\left(x_{j}^{0}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(x_{j-1}^{0}\right)^{\alpha}\right\} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is the actual mass only for rectangular ( $\alpha=1$ ) geometry*.
These equations are subject to excessive roundoff when $\alpha=2$ and 3 . The zone constant m can be written in the equivalent forn,

$$
m_{j-1 / 2}=\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{0}\left(x_{j}^{0}-x_{j-1}^{0}\right) \xi_{j-1 / 2}^{0}
$$

*The actual mass $M_{j-1 / 2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\alpha=1) M_{j-1 / 2}=m_{j-1 / 2}, & \begin{array}{l}
\text { mass/unit cross sectional area, in one-zone } \\
\text { slab, }
\end{array} \\
(\alpha=2) M_{j-1 / 2}=\pi m_{j-1 / 2} \quad, \begin{array}{l}
\text { mass/unit axial length, in one-zone cylindri- } \\
\\
\text { cal shell, }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

and $(\alpha=3) M_{j-1 / 2}=\frac{4 \pi}{3} m_{j-1 / 2}$, mass in one-zone spherial shell.
This distinction may be important for user-programmed output routines that use mass-related quantities such as momentum.

$$
\xi_{j-1 / 2}^{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1 & \text { for } \alpha=1 \\
x_{j}^{0}+x_{j-1}^{0}, & \text { for } \alpha=2 \\
\left(x_{j}^{0}\right)^{2}+x_{j}^{0} x_{j-1}^{0}+\left(x_{j-1}^{0}\right)^{2} & \text { for } \alpha=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

The mass equation may be written in the alternate forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
m-1 / 2 & =\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\left\{\left(x_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(x_{j-1}^{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right\} \\
& =\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\left\{\left(x_{j}^{n}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(x_{j-1}^{n}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging and subtracting leads to

$$
\frac{1}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}}=\frac{1}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}}+\frac{1}{m_{j-1 / 2}}\left\{\left(x_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(x_{j-1}^{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(x_{j}^{n}\right)^{\alpha}+\left(x_{j-1}^{n}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}
$$

and therefore the mass equation (2.42) appears in the code as

$$
\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\left\{\frac{1}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}}+\frac{\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}}{m_{j-1 / 2}}\left[\xi_{j}^{n+1 / 2} u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}-\xi_{j-1}^{n+1 / 2} u_{j-1}^{n+1 / 2}\right]\right\}^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\xi_{j}^{n+1 / 2}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1 & \text { for } \alpha=1 \\
x_{j}^{n+1}+x_{j}^{n}, & \text { for } \alpha=2 \\
\left(x_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+x_{j}^{n+1} x_{j}^{n}+\left(x_{j}^{n}\right)^{2}, & \text { for } \alpha=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

Two quantities useful in later calculations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta p}{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv \frac{2\left(\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}-\rho_{1-1 / 2}^{n}\right)}{\left(\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\right) \equiv \frac{2\left(\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}-n_{5}^{n}-1 / 2\right)}{\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}\left(\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\right)} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the cude these quantitites are called DELPHO and RHODOF respectively.
Note that $a, u$, and $x$ are centered at $j$, while all other quantities are centered at j- $\frac{1}{2}$ in space. This suggests the following interpretation:


If lines are drawn on the material at the initial instant to define a material coordinate mesh, which distorts with the material as the motion proceeds, the positions, velocities, and accelerations of these lines defining the zone boundaries are found at discrete times. The same material particles are always contained in a given zone. Stresses, densitities, etc., are found which may be regarded as averages over each zone between successive zone boundaries.

A11 quantities are centered at $n$ in time, except the velocity $u$, which is centered at $n+\frac{1}{2}$. This presents no difficulty except at the initial instant. Velocities are usually either zero or constant prior to $t=0$. so that $u_{j}^{-1 / 2}=u_{j}^{0}$. and starting the computation is not a problem.

In order to facilitate storage, zone quantities, e.g., $\sigma_{j-1 / 2}, q_{j-1 / 2}$, $\rho_{j-1 / 2}$, etc., are indexed $j$. The velocity $u^{n+1 / 2}$ is stored at $n+1$.

The energy equation (2.5), using the difference analogs (2.37) becomes, in the absence of heat conduction,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}= & \mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\left(p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+p_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\right.
\end{array}+2 q_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta \rho}{2 p^{2}}\right), ~\left(\Delta \mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{a}}+\Delta Q_{j-1 / 2} .\right.
$$

where $\Delta Q_{j-1 / 2}$ is the heat addition during the time increment $\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}$. $\Delta Q_{j-1 / 2}$ is ordinarily initialized to zero when there are no energy sources. To treat external energy sources a routine should be included which initializes $\Delta Q_{j-1 / 2}$ appropriately (see Section 4.1). The term $\frac{\Delta \rho}{2 \rho^{2}}$ has been defined in (2.44), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta 8 d=\frac{2 \Delta t^{n+1 / 2} p_{d}}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter term is non-zero only when material strength is included as in Section 3.1.

The constitutive law (2.7) is now recalled, and centered at $n+1$ in time and $j-\frac{1}{2}$ in space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}={\underset{1}{j-1 / 2}}_{n+1}^{l^{j-1}}=\frac{f^{n+1}}{2^{-1 / 2}} \mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be seen that equations (2.46) and (2.48) are two simultaneous equations for the two unknowns $p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$. Equations (2.46) and (2.48) may be soived explicitly yielding
$\left.\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\frac{\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\left(f_{1}^{n+1}{ }_{1}-1 / 2\right.}{}+p_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+2 q_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta p}{2 \rho^{2}}\right)+\Delta \mathscr{E}^{d}+\Delta Q$
where $q$ is the viscosity, $\left(\frac{\Delta \rho}{2 \rho^{2}}\right)$ is given by (2.44), $\Delta \delta^{d}$ is given by (2.47) and $\Delta Q$ is the energy added during the current time increment $\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}$. once $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$ is obtained the hydrodynamic pressure can be calculated using equation (2.48). The total stress can then be obtained by adding to this result any deviatoric stress component which may be present. Note that by restricting the basic equation of state to the form (2.7), the use of iteration to solve for the pressure and energy has been avoided. In mondy, equations (2.48) and (2.49) are evaluated in the "equation of state" routines discussed in Section 3.

### 2.5 Artifical Viscosity

For many materials, the stiffness, and therefore the wave velocity, increases with compression, causing a propagating wave front to steepen into a shock wave, or discontinuity. The pressence of such a front violates the continuity assumptions inherent in the differential equations. Within the finite difference analogs, the techniques to effectively deal with these discontinuities occur at two levels: (1) track the shock front explicitly, or (2) smooth the shock over several zones via artificial viscosity. The former method is used in characteristic codes such as CONCHAS ${ }^{9}$, in shockfitting codes such as SKJwolo, and in the SWAPll code.

The alternative is to include viscosity, which spreads the shock fronts and prevents formation of discontinuities. Thus shock waves are recognized as very steep but finite gradients in the solution. It is clear that a shock
wave must occupy several zone widths in order to satisfy the requirement that differences in quantities across a zone remain small.

Natural viscosity can be used. However, for most materials natural viscosity is so small that shocks would be extremely narrow and very difficult to resolve numerically. To insure that a shock occupies several zones, it would then be necessary to use such small zones, that for the usual physical problems, an extremely large number of zones would be required.

For this reascn an artifically large viscosity is introduced. Care is necessary so that the viscous term does not affect the solution anywhere except near shocks. At shocks the solution is intentionally distorted to insure that gradients are much lower than in nature, so that a reasonable number of zones can be used in a given problem. In effect, use of artificial viscosity broadens or smears shock waves. Thus, for normal materials, the tendency for pressure waves to steepen is balanced by the spreading caused by the artificial viscosity.

The exact choice of form for the artificial viscosity is somewhat arbitrary. WONDY uses a quadratic viscosity ${ }^{12}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\rho b_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}$ is a constant with dimensions of length. Since $\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)$ represents the volumetric strain rate, $q$ is essentially a bulk viscosity.

The quadratic form is chosen so that the viscosity is very small except when rates become large, at which time the viscosity becomes very large. The quadratic form is therefore most effective in controlling gradients at shocks while introducing minimal disturbances elsewhere.

A linear viscosityl 13 is also used in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=b_{2} c\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right) \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the sound speed and $b_{2}$ is a constant with dimensions of length. The linear viscosity is effective in controlling small spuricus oscillations in which gradients are insufficient to make the quadratic viscosity effective. Great care is necessary in the use of linear viscosity, as there is a much greater chance of distorting the solution in areas away from shocks.

The constants $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ determine the shock wiath ${ }^{12}$. Since it is desirable that the shock encompass a given number of zones, independent of the choice of zone size, $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are non-dimensionalized by use of the zone size,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=B_{1} \Delta x \quad, \quad b_{2}=B_{2} \Delta x \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In finite difference form, the artificial viscosity thus becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{\rho^{n+1}+\rho^{n}}{2}\left\{B_{2}\left(\frac{x_{j}^{n+1}-x_{j-1}^{n+1}+x_{j}^{n}-x_{j-1}^{n}}{2}\right){ }_{c_{j-1 / 2}}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)\right. \\
\left.+B_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{x_{j}^{n+1}-x_{j-1}^{n+1}+x_{j}^{n}-x_{j-1}^{n}}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)\left|\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right|\right\}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)$ is given by (2.45). Since rarefactions do not normally steepen into shocks, the viscosity is set to zero when $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}<014$. It should be noted that in some instances ${ }^{15}$, it has been found necessary to retain the artifinial viscosity contribution during expansion in order to obtain accuptable solutions.

### 2.6 Stability

The computation is advanced each cycle by a time increment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}=t^{n+1}-t^{n} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

This characteristic time is dependent upon the zone size and the local sound speed, and is the key to the stability of the explicit numerical method. If the time increment becomes too large, instabilities result that may appear as oscillations which grow very rapidly with time. The criterion for stability of the difference equations used here is 16

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t<\frac{\Delta x}{B_{2} c+2 B_{1}\left|\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}\right| \Delta x+\sqrt{\left(B_{2} c+2 B_{1}\left|\frac{\rho}{\rho}\right| \Delta x\right)^{2}+c^{2}}} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta x=x_{j}^{n+1}-x_{j-1}^{n+1}$, and $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are the artificial viscosity coefficients defined in Section 2.5. Note that if a foam (Section 3.6) is being used, $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ must be replaced with $B_{1}+B_{3}$ and $B_{2}+B_{4}$ respectively.

The criterion (2.55) is applied to each zone, the minimum value over all zones being used to advance the calculation. The criterion (2.55) is actually computed at the conclusion of each zone computation, the minimum value first being used in (2.40) and (2.41) on the next cycle. Thus (2.55) is written

where $\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho}$ is given by (2.45). The timestep calculated by (2.55) is based upon a linearized stability analysis, and presumed to be applicable to the more general case. To ensure stability of the :alculation, a factor $K_{t l}$ appears
in (2.56). ( $0<x_{t 1} \leqslant 1$ ), to allow the timestep to be artificially reduced. If $K_{\text {tl }}$ is left unspecified, the code defaults it to 0.95 . The appearance of unstable oscillations in a calculation suggests the possibility that (2.55) may not adequately represent a stable timestep, and that $K_{t 1}$ should be reduced to recover a stable calculation.

In order to limit the rate of increase of $\Delta t$, the value actually used on the next cycle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t^{n+3 / 2}=\min \left(\Delta t_{j-1 / 2}^{n+3 / 2}, r_{t 2} \Delta t^{n+1 / 2}\right) \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $X_{t 2}$ is an input variable and is normally chosen to be a little greater than 1.0. If this feature is not desired, $K_{t 2}$ may be made $a$ very large number, say 100. If it is left unspecified in the input the code defaults it to 1.05. In any case, the product of $X_{t 1}$ and $X_{t 2}$ should be kept less than 1.0.

Occasionaly, in order to maintain a physically acceptable solution, it is necessary to start a calculation with a smaller $\Delta t$ than normally required for computational stability. Such a case arises, for instance, if there is a large pressure or velocity discontinuity in the initial conditions, and the normally stable timestep could lead to zone inversions on the first cycle. The desired initial time increment may be read in as input in DELT(4). Then $K_{t 2}$ may be used to control the rate at which $\Delta t$ increases until it is controllea entirely by stability. If this feature is not desired, DELT(4) may be left blank. The program then automatically assigns a value of $10^{5}$. When energy sources are included, the energy added each cycle as $\Delta Q$ in (2.46) must be small. Thus the time step is further restricted to be less than one hundredth the deposition time TDEP while the energy is being added.

### 2.7 Order of Computation

The calculation proceeds as follows: at $t=0$, all quantities are defined for all zones by the initial data (via the initializing routines described later). The computation is performed successively at each zone starting with the left-hand boundary. At the $j^{\text {th }}$ zone the momentum equation (2.39) is used to compute the acceleration at the $j^{\text {th }}$ zone boundary. Velocity at a time $\frac{1}{2} \Delta t^{n+1 / 2}$ after the initial instant at the $j^{\text {th }}$ zone boundary follows from (2.40). Position at time $\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}$ after the initial instant at the $j^{\text {th }}$ zone boundary follows from (2.41).


The new position of the $(j-1)_{\text {st }}$ zone has already been found at this stage of the calculation. The mass equation (2.42) can therefore be used to determine the density in the zone between j-1 and j. For elasticplastic materials the velocities at $j-1$ and $j$ can be used to determine strain rates at $j-\frac{1}{2}$. The energy equation (2.46), equation of state (2.48), and deviatoric stress relationships are then used to determine the energy and stresses at $j-\frac{1}{2}$. These calculations are accomplished in the equation of state subroutines (Section 3).

At this point the various tests for rezoning the Lagrangian mesh can be made (see Chapter 6). If the zones are too small the variables associated with zones j-1 and j-2 are appropriately combined, or if the zones are too large two zones are created from zone $j-1$.

The computation at the $f^{\text {th }}$ zone is now complete, including the rezoning which may or may not be performed on previous zones, and the next zone in sequence can be treated in the same way. When all the zonea have been treated, the solution for $\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}$ after the intial time has been constructed. The procedure can be repeated for the next time increment. Further repetition allows construction of the solution for the ontire time of interest.

## 3. zgUATIOMS OF BTATE AND CONSTITUTIVE REARTIOMS

There are several options for equations of state and constitutive relations in the code. In the present version six different models can be accomodated. Alternate equations of state are most commonly included by replacing an unused model. Ezch model is programmed in a separate mbroutine and an input parameter for each material layer determines the appropriate subroutine required. These models treat 1) general solids (including yaporization), 2) detonating high explosives, 3) perfect gases, 4) ratedependent and strain hardening solids, and 5) foams or initially distended solids. Other models are available, some of which are described in Appendix B. The function of the "equation of state" routines is to use the newly calculated density, in conjunction with the values of the state variables (e.g. pressure, energy, etc.) from the previous timestep, to advance the state variables to the new time.
3.1 STAT1 - General Solids - 1

### 3.1.1 Hydrodynamic Fluids

The constitutive model generally used for solids has a basic equation of state of the Mie-Grüneisen form, which can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{H}}=\mathbf{r} \rho\left(\mathscr{E}-\mathscr{E}_{H}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{H}(\rho)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{H}(\rho)$ are the pressure and energy along some reference path and are functions of density only, and where $\mathrm{P}(\rho)$ is the Gruneisen ratio and is also a function of density only. The reference pressure $p_{H}(\rho)$ and energy $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{g}}(0)$ are generally taken from experimental data along the Hugoniot. Two forms are common for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{H}}$. In the first,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{H}=\frac{\rho_{o} c_{o n}^{2}}{(1-s \eta)^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is the initial density at zero pressure and ambient temperature, $c_{0}$ and $s$ are material constants, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv 1-\frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form follows from the observation that for many materials, the shock velocity $u$ may be expressed as a linear function of particle velocity $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=c_{0}+s u \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ and $s$ are given constants. Using the $r$ lationships

$$
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{u} \rho_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{U}
$$

and

$$
n=u / u
$$

along with (3.4) leads to the form (3.2). Note that, assuming $s>1$, the form of the denominator in (3.2) yields a limiting compression given by

$$
n<n_{\lim }=\frac{1}{s}
$$

or equivalently, from (3.3),

$$
\rho<\frac{s \rho_{0}}{s-1}
$$

It should also be noted that at $n=-\frac{1}{s}$, there is a tensile minimum, and thereafter in tension, negative sound speeds are calculated. (since the
form (3.4) applies only to compressive states, caution is advised when tensions are expected to form.) Alternately, $p_{H}$ is giver as a power series expansion in $\eta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H}=k_{0} n\left(1+k_{1} n+k_{2} n^{2}+k_{3} n^{3}+\cdots\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $k$ 's are non-dimensional constants. In order to match $\frac{d_{1 H}}{d n}$ at $\eta=0$, it is necessary to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\rho_{0} c_{0}^{2}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ corresponds to the bulk sound speed and $K_{0}$ is the adiabatic bulk modulus at zero pressure and room temperature.

The energy $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is related to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{H}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{p_{\mathrm{B}} \eta}{2 \rho_{0}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition the internal energy $\mathscr{E}$ is equal to zero at $p=0$ and $\rho=\rho_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ The Grüneisen ratio is usually expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=r_{0}\left(1+h_{1} n+h_{2} n^{2}+\ldots\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $h^{\prime}$ 's are non-dimensional constants. Thus, rearranging (3.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p_{H}\left\{1-\frac{\Gamma}{2}\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}-1\right)\right\}+\mathrm{r} \mathrm{\rho} \mathscr{E} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the $f^{\prime \prime}$ s in the basic equation of state (2.7)

$$
p=f_{1}(\rho)+f_{2}(\rho) \mathscr{E}
$$

become

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=p_{\mathrm{L}}\left(1-\frac{\Gamma \mu}{2}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}_{2}=\Gamma_{\rho} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}-1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p_{H}$ is given by either (3.2) or (3.5) and r fs given by (3.8).
Note that in (3.8) if the only non-zero term is $h_{1}=-1.0$, Ip becomes a constant, i.e.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=r_{0} \frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a commonly assumed form for the Grineisen parameter.
The sound speed (2.12) can be computed from (3.10) and (3.11), where the required derivatives of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d f_{1}}{d p}=\frac{d p_{H}}{d n} \frac{d n}{d p}\left(1-\frac{r_{H}}{2}\right)-p_{H}\left(\frac{r}{2} \frac{d \mu}{d p}+\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d r}{d n} \frac{d n}{d p}\right) \\
& =\frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho^{2}}\left\{\frac{d P_{H}}{d n}\left(1-\frac{r_{\mu}}{2}\right)-\frac{p_{H}}{2}\left(\Gamma(\mu+1)^{2}+\frac{d r}{d n} \mu\right)\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f_{2}}{d p}=r+\frac{d \Gamma}{d n} \quad(1-n) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Grineisen ratio (3.8) can be differentiated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{d n}=5_{o}\left(h_{1}+2 h_{2} n+3 h_{3} n^{2}+\ldots .\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the derivative of $p_{1}$ determined using (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p_{B}}{d n}=\frac{\rho_{0} O_{0}^{2}(1+\operatorname{sn})}{(1-\pi n)^{3}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or (3.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p_{B}}{d n}=k_{0}\left(1+2 k_{1} \eta+3 k_{2} n^{2}+\ldots .\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under certain circumstances, especially when the material is heated by external energy sources, the material may vaporize. To take this behavior into account a vapor or modified expansion equation of state must be utilized. Note that the vapor equation of state is used for distencuad materials ( $\rho<\rho_{0}$ ), independent of the internal energy of the material. It is taken in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p\left\{H+\left(\Gamma_{0}-H\right) \sqrt{\mu+I}\right\}\left\{\mathscr{E}-E_{B}[1-\exp (\operatorname{sn}(1-n))]\right\} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Grïneisen ratio is always assumed constant. This form is chosen for the following reasons. When $\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \ll 1$, i.e., for very expanded materials, the equation essentially reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{H}_{\rho}\left(\mathscr{E}-\mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{g}}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the material constant $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ represents the sublimation energy of the material. Equation (3.20) is therefore equivalent to the perfect gas law,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=(\gamma-1) \rho\left(\mathscr{E}-\mathscr{E}_{\mathscr{S}}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $H=\gamma-1$, where $\gamma$ is the ratio of specific heats of the perfect gas, and the sublimation energy is subtracted from the internal energy.

When $p=\rho_{0}$ the equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}=\Gamma_{0} \rho_{0} \mathbb{E} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is therefore contiruous with the Mie-Grüneisen equation (3.1) at this density. Differentiating the vapor equation (3.19) and setting $\rho=p_{0}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}=r_{0} \mathscr{E}+\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{0}-H\right) \delta+r_{0} \rho_{0} \frac{\partial \mathscr{E}}{\partial \rho}+N \Gamma_{0} \mathscr{E}_{B} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the Mie-Grineisen equation in the form (3.9) and setting $\rho=\rho_{0}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}=c_{0}^{2}+\rho_{0} \frac{d \Gamma}{d \rho} \mathscr{E}+r_{0} \mathscr{E}+r_{o} \rho_{0} \frac{\partial \mathscr{E}}{\partial \rho} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p_{H}$ given by either (3.2) or (3.5) providing that (3.6) is satisfied. In order that the slopes match, (3.23) and (3.24) are equated, yielding the condition

$$
N=\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\Gamma_{O} \mathscr{E}_{s}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 h_{1}-1+\frac{H}{\Gamma_{O}}\right) \frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{B}}
$$

where the derivative of (3.8) has been used. The second term is much smaller than the first when $\mathscr{E} \ll \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, and N is usually chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{c_{0}^{2}}{\Gamma_{0} \mathscr{E}_{S}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the two equations (3.9) and (3.19) are equal in value and approximately continuous in slope at $\rho=\rho_{o}$ and for low energies.

Equation (3.19) can be put into the form of (2.7),

$$
p=f_{1}(\rho)+f_{2}(\rho) \&
$$

by expressing the f's as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{f}_{1}=A(\exp B-1) \rho \mathscr{E}_{s}  \tag{3.26}\\
& \mathbf{f}_{2}=\Omega \rho \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The sound speed will be given by (2.12). The required derivatives are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f_{1}}{d p}=\left(A+p \frac{d A}{d \rho}\right)(\exp B-1) \mathscr{E}_{B}+p A \exp B \frac{d B}{d \rho} \mathscr{E}_{S} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f_{2}}{d \rho}=A+\rho \frac{d A}{d p} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{H+\left(\Gamma_{0}-H\right) \sqrt{H+I}\right\} \\
& \frac{d A}{d \rho}=\frac{\Gamma_{0}-H}{2 \rho_{0} \sqrt{\mu+I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and


The vapor equation (3.19) represents materials reasonably well for high energies ( $\mathscr{E}>\mathscr{E}_{g}$ ) and low densities but can yield fairly large errors for
energies in the range $\mathscr{E}_{s}>\boldsymbol{E}>\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ whore $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the melt energy. To help correct thif problem any stress calculated via (3.19) is limited by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}>\sigma_{\text {min }} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\min }=\sigma_{\min }^{0}\left(1-\frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{\text {m }}}\right)<0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathscr{E}_{m}$ and $\sigma_{\text {min }}^{0}$ are input constants with the former generally representing the melt energy and the latter (a negative input quantity) representing a maximum tensile stress that the material can sustain at low energies. Mote that the latter quantity should be substantially larger in magnitude than any fracture stress associated with the material or fracture may be unintentionally supressed. Note also that this provides only an approximation of vaporization, not an explicit treatment of phase changes.

Since $H=\gamma-1$ is a constant associated only with the equation (3.19), it is used as an indicator to bypass the vapor equation of state. If I is input to the code as $\mathrm{R} \leqslant 0$, the routine selects the Mie-Gruneisen expression (3.9) as it extrapolates to negative strains ( $\rho<\rho_{0}$ ). This latter choice may be the most appropriate one for problems involving very low energy densities.

### 3.1.2 Elastic-Plastic Solids

The prior description is complete for materials with no strength, i.e., when materials behave hydrodynamically. For lower stress levels or where stress deviators cannot be assumed negligible, the elastic-plastic portion of this material model must be considered.

For such a material, which can support shear stress, the constitutive relation is of the general form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{X}=f\left(d_{x}, d_{y}, d_{z}, \mathscr{E}\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with similar equations for $\sigma_{y}$ and $\sigma_{z}$. However, (3.33) may be decomposed into separate equations for pressure and the deviator : 3 through the use of (2.19) and (2.22). Thus for the deviator stresses

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{d}=f\left(d_{x, 0, E}^{d}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similar equations for $\sigma_{y}^{d}$ and $\sigma_{z}^{d}$, where the pressure is obtained as described above. The deviator relations are specifically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x}^{d}}{\partial t}=2 G a_{X}^{d} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(\rho, \mathscr{E})$ is the shear modulus and is take: as a functic $s=$ the thermosdynamic state. If the material exhibits plasticity, the deviator stresses have an upper limit determined by the yield condition. The vol Rises yield condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}=\left(\sigma_{x}^{d}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{y}^{d}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{z}^{d}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{2}{3} Y^{2} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y(\rho, \mathscr{E})$ is a material constant labelled the flow stress. It is more convenient to eliminate the $y$ component by the use of (2.23), obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}=2\left\{\left(\sigma_{x}^{d}\right)^{2}+\sigma_{x}^{d} \sigma_{z}^{d}+\left(\sigma_{z}^{d}\right)^{2}\right\} \leqslant \frac{2}{3} Y^{2} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha=1$ or 3 , the symmetry condition (2.34) reduces (3.37) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{Y}^{2} \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, putting these relations into finite difference form, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is given by (2.19) and (2.14) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{x}^{d n+1 / 2}=\frac{2\left(n_{j}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{n+1 / 2}{u_{j-1}}\right)}{\left(x_{j}^{n+1}+x_{j}^{n}\right)-\left(x_{j-1}^{n+1}+x_{j-1}^{n}\right)}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)$ is given by (2.45). Then $\sigma_{x}^{d}$ is given as if the material were entirely elastic, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{d}=\sigma_{x}^{d n} n_{j-1 / 2}+2 \Delta t^{n+1 / 2}{ }_{G_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2} d_{x} d_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value is limited by the yield conditon ${ }^{17}$. For $\alpha=1$ or 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\sigma_{x}^{d}\right)^{2} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $f_{y} \leqslant \frac{2}{3}\left(Y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}$, then $\sigma_{x}^{d} \frac{n+1}{j-1 / 2}=\sigma_{x}^{d}$. However, if
$f_{y}>\frac{2}{3}\left(y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{d n+1}{ }_{j-1 / 2}^{n}=\left(\operatorname{sgn} \sigma_{x}^{d}\right) \frac{2}{3} \frac{n+1}{Y_{j-1 / 2}} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The deviator stress work is, from (2.35) and (2.47),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\mathscr{E}}=\frac{3}{2} \Delta t^{n+1 / 2} d_{x}^{d n+1 / 2} \frac{\sigma_{x j-1 / 2}^{d n+1}+\sigma_{x} d_{j-1 / 2}}{d_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (2.36)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\phi_{j-1 / 2}}{n+1}=\frac{3}{2} \sigma_{\sigma_{x}}^{d_{j-1 / 2}} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, when $\alpha=2$, it is first necessary to conquate $d_{z}^{d}$ given by (2.15) and (2.19) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d n+1 / 2}{d_{2}} j-1 / 2=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right) \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)$ is again given by (2.45). Then $\sigma_{z}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is given as if the material were entirely elastic, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z}^{d}=\sigma_{z}{ }_{j-1 / 2}+2 \Delta t^{n+1 / 2}{ }_{G_{j}-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2} d_{j} d_{j-1 / 2} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The yield condition is therefore, from (3.37),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}=2\left\{\left(\sigma_{x}^{d}\right)^{2}+\sigma_{x}^{d} \sigma_{z}^{d}+\left(\sigma_{z}^{d}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if $f_{y} \leqslant \frac{2}{3}\left(y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}, \sigma_{x}^{d n+1}{ }_{j-1 / 2}=\sigma_{x^{\prime}}^{d}$ and $\sigma_{z}^{d n+1} j-1 / 2=\sigma_{z}^{d} \quad$ Hovever,
if $f_{y}>\frac{2}{3}\left(y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sigma_{x}^{a}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} & =\sqrt{\frac{\frac{2}{3}\left(y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}}{f_{y}}} \sigma_{x}^{a}  \tag{3.48}\\
\left(\sigma_{z}^{a}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} & =\sqrt{\frac{\frac{2}{3}\left(y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}}{f_{y}}} \sigma_{z}^{a} \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

and the deviator stress work is, from (2.28) and (2.47),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta E^{d}=\frac{\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}} \quad\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d_{x} n+1 \\
\sigma_{x} & \left.d_{n-1 / 2}+\sigma_{x-1 / 2}\right)\left(2 d_{x} n+1 / 2\right. \\
j-1 / 2
\end{array} d_{d_{z}}{ }_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right. \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (2.31),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n+1}{\ell_{j-1 / 2}}=2 \sigma_{x}^{d n+1}{ }_{j-1 / 2}^{d i n+1}+\frac{\sigma_{2}}{j-1 / 2} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The enexgy $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$ and pressure $p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$ are then found from (2.49) and (2.48) as before. Then from (2.32)

The sound speed appropriate to the elastic-plastic case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=\frac{3(1-v)}{(1+v)} c^{2} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{2}$ on the right is given by (2.12) and $v$ is Poisson's Ratio, usually taken to be constant.

It now remains to specify the functions $G(p, \mathscr{E})$ and $Y(\rho, \mathscr{E})$ which appear on preceding pages. The most common assumption is that the shear modulus $G$ is related to the bulk modulus $K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{3(1-2 v)}{2(1+v)} K \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is Posson's Ratio taken to be a constant. The bulk modulus is related to $c^{2}(2.12)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\rho c^{2} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in finite difference form, in terms of (3.53) and (3.55),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}=\left\{\frac{(1-2 v)}{2(1-v)}\right\} \rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\binom{n}{c_{j-1 / 2}}^{2} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ is the sound speed calculated by (3.53) on the previous cycle, and thus (3.56) is not precisely centered in time.

An approximation that is used for $G$ is to assume $G$ is a function of $\rho$ only, written as a power series in $\eta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=G_{0}\left(1+g_{1} n_{1}+g_{2} n_{1}^{2}+. .\right) \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}=1-\frac{2 \rho_{0}}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}=\frac{3(1-2 v)}{2(1+v)} K_{0} \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The yield or flow stress $Y$ can be calculated in six different ways, selected by an input constant NoY:

If NOY $=0$ the material is assumed to behave hydrodynamically and the equations of state (3.9) and (3.19), if desired; are selected to calculate the stress directly; no deviatoric stress components are used. This is equivalent to taking $Y=0$.

If NOY $=1, Y$ is taken to be constant $y_{0}$. This case corresponds to an elastic perfectly plastic material.

Setting NOY $=2$ yields a perfectly elastic material. This is equivalent to an infinite yield strength or $y=\infty$.
w $\mathrm{Y}=3$ selects the following expression for Y :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=Y_{0}\left(1+Y_{1} \eta\right)\left(1-\mathscr{E} / Y_{2}\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{0}, Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are input constants. The parameter $Y_{2}$ is generally taken to be the melt or sublimation energy, and this term yields a decrease in flow stress with increasing energy. The term in the constant Yi yields an increase in the yield strength with compression and a corresponding decrease with expansion. The value for $Y$ is set to zero whenever either of the two terms becomes negative. Note that (3.60) is not intended to represent strain hardening.

FOr NOY $=4$ and 5* the yield stress is obtained from an isotropic strain hardening model. (See Section 3.4 for additional comments on strain hardening.) By assuming that the volumetric strain is entirely elastic, the amount of plastic work per unit initial volume done in the current time step, $\Delta_{p}^{d_{\mathcal{E}} n+1 / 2}$ is given by 17

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{D_{j}}^{d_{j-1 / 2} n+1 / 2}=\frac{\rho_{o f y}}{G_{j-1 / 2}\binom{n+1 / 2}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}+\rho_{j-1 / 2}}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\left(y^{n+1}\right)^{2}}{3 / y}}-\frac{2\left(Y^{n+1}\right)^{2}}{3 / y}\right) \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total plastic work per unit initial volume done on the material in the zone is then

[^2]Erom the imotropic hardening assumption, the yield surface grows in the same manner for any stress combinations causing plastic flow. Therefore, by expressing the way the yield etress depands on the plastic nork per unit initial volume for a simple tensile test, the yield stress for any plastic loading condition can be obtained by substituting the corresponding plastic work into this expression.

FOr $\mathrm{HOY}=4$ the uniaxial-stress engineering stress-strain curve is assumed to be bi-linear. Therefore, this case corresponds to 1inear isotropic strain hardening. Here, the yieis stress for the simple tensile test is obtained by substituting the plastic work (3.62) in

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\sqrt{\frac{2 Y 1 Y_{2}}{y_{1}-Y_{2}} p_{E_{j-1 / 2}}^{n}+Y_{0}^{2}} \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $Y^{\prime} s$ are input constants and $Y_{O}$ is the initial yield stress $Y$. is Young's modulus and $Y_{2}$ is the tangent modulus. Thus, any loading resulting in the plastic work (3.62) gives, with the bi-linear stress-strain assumption, the yield stress (3.63).

NOY $=5$ is identical to NOY $=4$ except that the stress-strain curve is described by a power law hardening model instead of the bi-linear relation. For this case, the stress-gtrain curve is given by

$$
Y=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{0}+B\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\alpha}\right], Y>Y_{0}  \tag{3.64}\\
\varepsilon \mathbb{E}, Y \leqslant Y_{O}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Y_{0}$ is the initial yield point, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the strain in one-dimensional stress at $Y=Y_{O} \alpha$ and $\beta$ are the hardening coefficients and $E$ is Young's modulus. All of these are input constants with $E$ given by $Y_{3}, \alpha$ given by
$Y_{1}$, and $\beta$ given by $Y_{2}$. The value of the yield stress for any londing resulting in the plastic work (3.62) is obtained from an iterative solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}}=\mathrm{Y}\left[\frac{Y-Y_{0}}{\beta}+\varepsilon_{0}^{\alpha}\right]^{1 / \alpha}-\frac{Y^{2}+Y_{0}^{2}}{2 E} \\
& -\frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha+1}\left\{\left[\frac{y-y_{0}}{\beta}+\varepsilon_{0}^{\alpha}\right]^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\alpha+1}\right\} \text {. } \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

where the yield stress $y$ is at time $n+1$ and position $j-1 / 2$. In this model the value of $Y_{o}$ is adjusted, if required, so that it is equal to $\varepsilon_{0}{ }^{\text {E }}$

Both of these hardening models employ Young's modulus, input as either YI or Y3. Note that this parameter should be calculated from the standard elastic relation,

$$
E=3 K_{0}(1-2 v)
$$

where $v$ is Poisson's ratio and $K_{0}$ is given by (3.6).

### 3.2 STAT2 - High Explosives

High explosives are treated by a "programmed burn" method, where no pressures or motion appear in the undetonated explosive. Rather, the detonation wave is artifically forced to propagate at the Chapman-Jouguet velocity from the detonation point, $x_{D}$. This technique essentially treats explosives as a source of prescribed energy release. An alternate method is considered in Appendix $B$.

For a given $x_{p}$, the position of the point of initiation, the time at which the detonation wave will reach a particular zone is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{t}_{j-1 / 2}^{b}=\frac{\left|\frac{1}{2} x_{j}^{0}+x_{j-1}^{0}-x_{D}\right|}{D}+D I \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the Chapman-Jouguet detonation velocity and Dr is the delay time for the initiation of detonation. Note that this allows the initiation of a detonation wave for a given high explosive layer to occur at times after the start of a problem.

The equation of state of the high explosive detonation products, using the general form (2.7), is written,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n+1}{p_{j-1 / 2}}=F\left(f_{1}+\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} f_{2}\right) \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a burn fraction given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=0, \text { if } t^{n+1}<t_{j-1 / 2}^{b} \\
& F=\frac{D\left(t^{n+1}-t_{j-1 / 2}^{b}\right)}{B_{5}\left(x_{j}^{n+1}-x_{j-1}^{n+1}\right)} \text { if } t^{n+1}>t_{j-1 / 2}^{b} \tag{3.68}
\end{align*}
$$

with the restriction $F \leqslant 1$. The constant $B_{5}$ is a factor, generally 2.5, which spreads the detonation front over several zones.

Solving (3.67) and the energy equation (2.49) for the internal energy leads to

$$
\left.\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\frac{\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\left(E f_{1}+p_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+2 q_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}\right.}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta \rho}{2 \rho^{2}}\right)
$$

the presure being found from (3.67). Note that the external energy gource term $\Delta Q_{j-1,2}$ is not included in this routine.

Since explosive gasses cannot support a shear stress, it is unnecessary to distinguish between the pressure and the total stress. Thus the pressure $p$ is stored directiy as the stress $\sigma$. The burn time $t^{b}$, which is conpated at the beginning of the problem, is stored in the location normally reserved for the pressure.

Function $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ appropriate to the explosion proxuct gasses mast be supplied. Three forms are currently available, making computations possible with almost any explosive: perfect gas, Jwil8, and BExi9.

### 3.2.1 Ideal Gas Model

For a perfect gas, the equation of state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=(\gamma-1) \rho 8 \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the ratio of specific heats. Writing this in the foris (2.7), the functions $\mathbf{f}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{2}$ for perfect gas explosion products are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{f}_{1}=0 \\
& \mathbf{f}_{2}=(r-1) \rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \tag{3.71}
\end{align*}
$$

Also

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d f_{1}}{d \varphi}=0  \tag{3.72}\\
\frac{d f_{2}}{d \varphi}=(\gamma-1)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

so that if these are inserted into (2,12), the expression for sound speed in a perfect gas is obtained, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\frac{\gamma p}{p} \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the equations for mass, momentum, and energy conservation across a shock, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho(D-u)=\rho_{0}\left(D-u_{0}\right) \\
& p+\rho(D-u)^{2}=p_{0}+\rho_{0}\left(D-u_{0}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.74}\\
& \mathscr{E}-\mathscr{E}_{0}-Q=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+p_{0}\right)\left(V_{0}-\mathscr{V}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q$ is the chemical energy added in the detonation, are combined with the Chapman-Jouguet condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{u} \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with the expression for sound speed (3.73) and the equation of state (3.70), then the pressure, density, and energy at the chapman-Jouget point immediately behind the detonation wave moving into undisturbed solia explosive ( $p_{0}=u_{0}=0$ ) are,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{c j}=\frac{1}{\gamma+1} \rho_{o} D^{2} \\
& \rho_{c j}=\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma} \rho_{o}  \tag{3.76}\\
& \mathscr{E}_{C j}=\frac{\gamma}{\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right)(\gamma+1)} D^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The chemical energy added in the detonation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{D^{2}}{2\left(Y^{2}-1\right)} \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

To use this subroutine the density is initialized to $p_{0}$ and all other state variables (i.e., p, $\sigma$ ) are set to zero. The program initializes the energy to the value given by (3.77).

### 3.2.2 JWL Model

The perfect gas model for detonating explosives in the previous section may not represent the explosive behavior well at large expansions. The Jones-Wilkins-Lee ${ }^{18}$, or JWL, equation of state provides a better fit to explosive products behavior, and takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=A\left(1-\frac{\omega \rho}{R_{1} \rho_{0}}\right) e^{-R_{1} \rho_{0} / \rho}+B\left(1-\frac{\omega \rho}{R_{2} \rho_{0}}\right) e^{-R_{2} \rho_{0} / \rho}+\frac{\omega \rho^{2}}{\rho_{0}} \mathscr{E} \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that in (3.67)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(\rho)=A\left(1-\frac{\omega \rho}{R_{1} \rho_{0}}\right) e^{-R_{1} \rho_{0} / \rho}+B\left(1-\frac{\omega \rho}{R_{2} \rho_{0}}\right) e^{-R_{2} \rho \rho / \rho} \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(\rho)=\omega \rho^{2} / \rho_{0}
$$

Appropriate derivatives of equations (3.79) are required to compute the sound speed (2.12). The initial specific energy for the explosive mast be entered as an input parameter.
3.2.3 BKW Model

An alternative to the JWL form which also provides a good fit to explosive products data is the Becker-Kistiakowsky-Wilson ${ }^{19}$, or BKw model.

The functions $f_{1}(p)$ and $f_{2}(p)$ for the $B X W$ model take the form

$$
f_{1}(p)=p_{r}(\eta)-\frac{1}{\beta V} \otimes_{r}(\eta)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(p)=\frac{1}{\beta \mathscr{V}},(W=1 / p) \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln P_{r}(\mathscr{Y}) & =A+B \ln \mathscr{V}+C \ln ^{2} \mathscr{V}+D \ln ^{3} \mathscr{Y}+E \ln { }^{4} \mathscr{V} \\
\ln \left(\mathscr{E}_{r}(\mathscr{W})+\mathscr{E}_{O}\right) & =x+L \ell n P_{r}+M \ln ^{2} P_{r}+N \ln ^{3} P_{r}+0 \ln ^{4} P_{r} \tag{3.81}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
-1 / B=R+2 S \ln V+3 T \ln 2 V+4 U \ln 3 V
$$

In this formulation, $P_{r}(\mathbb{Y})$ is an isentrope through the $C-J$ point, so the sound speed may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=-\mathscr{V}^{2} \frac{d P_{r}}{d \mathscr{V}} \tag{3.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=-\mathscr{V} p_{r}(\mathscr{V})\left[B+2 c \ln \mathscr{V}+3 D \ln ^{2} \mathscr{V}+4 E \ln ^{3} \mathscr{Y}\right] \quad . \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The specified units for the BKW model are $P$ in Mbar, $\mathscr{V}$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{gm}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ in Mbar $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{gm}$; in the code, the pressures will appear as dynes/cm ${ }^{2}$.

### 3.3 STAT3 - Ideal Gas Law

When $F=1$ in Eq. (3.67), the equations of Section 3.2.1 are appropriate for a gas. irrespective of whether the gases are detonation products or not.

Shus, equations (3.69) and (3.67) with $F=1$ can be used. Hanctions for fic $f_{2}, \frac{\partial E_{1}}{\partial \rho}$ and $\frac{\partial E_{2}}{\partial \rho}$ appropriate to the particular real gas being used mast be supplied. If the gas is a perfect gas, then equations (3.71) and (3.72) are used, and subroutine SxAT3 is mupplied using this option.

In this subroutine the pressure is stored directly as the stress; the - variable pressure is not used. The pressure, density, and internal energy must be initialized to appropriate values. Note that the pressure (stored as stress) must never be initialized to zeros neither should the energy. The initial values of pressure, density, and energy mast exactly satisfy the equation of state. (For a perfect gas $p, \rho$ and $\mathcal{E}$ mast exactly satisfy (3.70), and must be inserted as initial conditions.) In addition this routine does not allow for external energy sources, although such a modification could easily be made.

### 3.4 STATA - General Solids - 2

There is much experimental evidence 20,21 that many materials do not behave according to an elastic perfectly plastic model. Even varying the yield strength or allowing for isotropic strain hardening (c.f. SHATl, Section 3.1) appears to be inadequate for some materials. Strain rate dependence is evident in the stress relaxation observed in some metals and crystals, and a Bauschinger effect, which can be treated with anisotropic hardening, has been seen in a number of ductile alloys.

The subroutine STAT4* included here was written to treat these two additional phenomena, while at the same time keeping most of the options

[^3]available in SMATl (Section 3.1). A more complete description of the present routine is given in Reference 20 , along with several exanpies.

### 3.4.1 Strain Hardening Solids

Strain hardening is incorporated in a manner similar to that described at the end of Section 3.1, i.e., the yield strength $Y$ is a monotomically incresing function of the plastic work (3.63). Since it is assumed that the equilibrium yield strength is rate independent, any experimental technique (e.g., a static uniaxial-stress test) that provides a relation between the plastic work and the yield strength will suffice to determine the material parameters necessary to use the model.

Two isotropic strain hardening models are included in this routine. The first assumes a bilinear uniaxial-stress engineering stress-strain curve, the same as described in Section 3.1. Thus the yield strength is given by (3.63) using the plastic work (3.62). These equations are repeated here for convenience. The plastic work ${\underset{\mathbf{p}}{ }}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is
where
and $f_{y}$ is the yield function given by (3.38) and $G$ is the shear modulus. The yield stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\sqrt{\frac{2 E E^{*}}{E-E^{*}} d_{\mathscr{C}_{j-1 / 2}+Y_{0}^{2}}^{2}} \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where F is Young's modulus and s * is the tangent modulus. The second isotropic hardening model employs a power law uniaxial-stress streas-atrain curve of the form

$$
Y= \begin{cases}E \varepsilon & Y<Y_{0}  \tag{3.86}\\ Y_{0}+\beta\left(\varepsilon-\frac{Y}{E}\right)^{\alpha}, & Y>Y_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the strain in uniaxial stress, $E$ is Young's modulus, and the material constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the hardening coefficients*. Note that this equation is different from (3.64) in Section 3.1. This expression yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}}=\left(\frac{Y-Y_{0}}{\beta}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \quad\left(\frac{Y+\alpha Y_{0}}{\alpha+1}\right) \tag{3.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, although it is not explicit in $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, can be solved by iteration, using the value of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}}$ obtained from (3.84), via Newton's method, to obtain the required yield stress.

These two isotropic hardening models have been derived from stressstrain curves of the form sketched below.

*Note that for $Y<Y_{O}, \frac{d Y}{d \varepsilon}=\frac{E \alpha \beta}{E\left(\varepsilon-\frac{Y}{E}\right)^{1 \sim}+\alpha F}$, and thus $\alpha$ a 1.0 ie required

To treat anisotropic etrain hardening and thus provide an explicit treatment for the mauchinger effect, the stress deviator $\sigma_{x}^{d}$ is ascumed to be made up of N elements,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{d}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \sigma_{x i}^{d} \tag{3.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

wth weighting factors $a_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}=1 \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, since cylindrical geometry is explicitiy excluded from this routine, consideration need not be given to other components of the stress deviator. Each of the elemental deviators $\sigma_{x i}^{d}$ is treated in a manner identical with that described in Section 3.1. Thus each deviator element, in analogy with (3.35), is governed by the elastic stress relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x i}^{d}}{\partial t}=2 G d_{x}^{a}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \ldots N \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=G(\rho)$ is the shear modulus and $d_{k}^{d}$ is the stretching deviator from (2.19). In analogy with (3.40) these expressions are differenced as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{x i}^{d n+1}=\sigma_{x i}^{d n}+2 G^{n+1 / 2} d_{d_{x}}^{d n+1 / 2} \Delta t^{n+1 / 2} \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, N,
\end{aligned}
$$

where for clarity, the additional subscript $j-1 / 2$ has been dropped. The stretching deviator $d_{x}^{a} n+1 / 2$ is obtained directly from (3.39). In analogy
with (3.38) the elemental deviators are in addition subject to 1 N yield conditions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2}\left(\sigma_{x i}^{a}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{2}{3} y_{i}^{2} \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus to obtain the total stress deviator $\sigma_{x^{\prime}}^{d}(3.91)$, subjected to (3.92), is used to calculate each of the elements. The individual elements are then sumaned according to (3.88). Note that to use this model, storage mast be provided for each of the deviator elements at each zone, so that the integration in (3.91) can be accomplished.

For a typical loading and unloading cycle this anisotropic hardening model gives rise to the behavior sketched below. Note that the stress deviator, as plotted in the figure, is added to the pressure, as obtained from either (3.9) or (3.19), to obtain the total stress [equation (3.52), Section 3.1].


The input parameters required by this model, $a_{i}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_{i}$, can be obtained from a uniaxial-stress stress-strain curve. The curve is broken into straight line segments, and the points thus generated are tabulated sequentially as
$\sigma_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{1, i} i=1,2, \ldots, \ldots,(N$ is limited to 7 or less in the present routine). The modulus for each line segment is calculated via

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\frac{\sigma_{i}-\sigma_{i-1}}{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{i-1}} \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{1}=\sigma_{1} / \varepsilon_{1}$ is Young's modulus and is considered constant. The elemental Yield stresses $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=E_{1} \varepsilon_{i} \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the weighting factors $a_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\frac{E_{i}-E_{i+1}}{E_{1}} \tag{3.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n+1}=0$.
It is reasonable to suppose that material strength decreases with increasing temperature or internal energy. To qualitatively take this effect into account a function $k(\mathscr{f})$ is provided. It takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\mathscr{E})=1-\left(k_{0}+2\right)\left(\frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{m}}\right)+\left(k_{0}+1\right)\left(\frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{m}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (generally the melt energy) are material constants. This results in

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k(\mathscr{E})=1, \frac{\partial k(\mathscr{E})}{\partial \mathscr{E}}=-\frac{\left(k_{0}+2\right)}{\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{m}}} & , \text { at } \mathscr{E}=0 \\
k(\mathscr{E})=0, & \frac{\partial k(\mathscr{E})}{\partial \mathscr{E}}=\frac{k_{0}}{\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{m}}},
\end{array}
$$

Generally, $k_{0}$ falls in the range $-2 \leqslant k_{0} \leqslant-1$. In the anisotropic hardening model the yield atremes $\mathbf{Y}_{1}$ in (3.92) are multiplied by $k(8)$ whenever the vapor equation of state (3.19) is employed for a given material.

An additional yield model, analogous to (3.60) in section 3.1, is available in this routine. In this case the yield stress $I$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=Y_{0}\left(1+Y_{1} \eta\right) k(8) \geqslant 0 \tag{3.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is thus a direct function of the strain $\eta$ and the internal energy 6 .
In the code, all of the preceeding results in the calculation of an equilibrium stress deviator by one of seven different models. The desired model is indicated by the input parameter soy. The possible choices are summarized below.

NOY $=0$ : hydrodynamic; $\mathbf{Y}=0$
NOY $=1: \quad$ constant yield stress; $Y=Y_{0}$; NVAR mast be at least 13

NOY $=2$ : $\quad$ infinite yield stress; $Y=\infty$; subroutine sTArl should be used for this option

NOY $=3$ : variable yield stress (3.97): NVAR must be at least 13

NOY = 4: bilinear isotropic strain hardening (3.85); NVAR must be at least 14

NOY $=5$ : power law isotropic strain hardening (3.86); NVAR mast be at least 14

NOY < 0: anisotropic strain hardening (3.88) - (3.92) with |NOY| Components (maximum of 7); NVAR must be at least $13+\mid$ NOY|

### 3.4.2 Strain Rate Dependent Solids

Strain rate dependent stress relaxation can be treated by considering only the deviatoric part of the stress. In the subsequent discussion the
previously calculated equilibriun stress deviator $\sigma_{x}^{d}$ will be denoted by $\sigma_{e}^{d}$ where the $x$ has been dropped since only one component is being considered.

To treat this problem it is assumed that the final stress deviator od can be obtained via a relaxation from some instantaneous value to the equilibrium value $\sigma_{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathrm{d}}$. This yields a constitutive relation, analogous to (3.35) for the rate independent case, of the form 20

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma^{d}}{\partial t}=2 G\left[d_{x}^{d}-g\left(\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{e}^{d}\right)\right] \tag{3.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, $G$ is the shear modulus and $\mathcal{d}_{x}^{d}$ is the stretching deviator from (2.19). The function $g\left(\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{e}^{d}\right)$ is generally called the relaxation function and will be discussed later.

The expression (3.98) could be differenced directly and inserted into the routine. However, to maintain accuracy consistent with the rest of the code, a second order accurate analog would have to be used. To avoid this difficulty a scheme involving the subcycling of (3.98) within a given zonecycle calculation is employed. It can be shown 20 that using a first order dirforence analog for (3.98) results in an approximate error in $\sigma^{d}$ given by

$$
e=G \Delta t\left(g^{n}-g^{f}\right) \frac{d_{x}^{d}-g^{n}}{d_{x}^{d}}
$$

where $d_{x}^{d}$ and $G$ are considered constant over the entire time step. Thus to limit the error e $\leqslant \delta \sigma^{\mathrm{d}}$, the normal time step $\Delta t^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}$ must be divided into $M$ subcycles with time step $\Delta t^{m}=\Delta t^{n+1 / 2 / M}$ each, where $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{G \Delta t^{n+1 / 2}\left(g^{n}-g^{f}\right)\left(d_{x}^{d}-g^{n}\right)}{\delta \sigma^{d n} d_{x}^{d}} \tag{3.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then rounded to the next higher integer. The needed relaxation functions are obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{n}=g\left(\sigma^{d n}-\sigma_{e}^{d n}\right) \tag{3.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{f}=g\left(\sigma^{d n}+\Delta \sigma_{i}-\sigma_{e}^{d n+1}\right) \tag{3.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \sigma_{i}=2 G \Delta t^{n+1 / 2} d_{x}^{d} \tag{3.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the finite difference scheme proceeds as follows. After the new equilibrium deviator $\sigma_{e}^{d n+1}$ is calculated with one of the methods given in the earlier part of this section, $\Delta \sigma_{i}$ is computed with (3.102). The two relaxation functions $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{E}}$ can then be determined from (3.100) and (3.101). Then (3.99) is used to compute $M$. If $M$ is one or less no subcycling is required and the final stress deviator $\sigma^{d} n+1$ is given directly by the first order difference analog to (3.98),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{d n+1}=\sigma^{d n}+\Delta \sigma_{i}-\Delta \sigma_{r} \tag{3.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \sigma_{x}=2 G \Delta t^{n+1 / 2} g\left(\sigma^{d n}-\sigma_{e}^{d n}\right) \tag{3.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, if $K$ is greater than one, it is necessary to subcycle the computation $M$ times, with the time step $\Delta t^{m}=\Delta t^{n+1 / 2 / M}$, using equations (3.102), (3.100), (3.104), and (3.103) with $n$ replaced by $m$. Note that since the equilibrium deviator $\sigma_{e}^{d}$ depends on the time step, it will also have to be appropriately subcycled. Note also that since $d_{x}^{d}$ and $G$ are assumed constant
over the entire sone-cycle, they do not have to be recongated for the aubeycling.

From (3.104) it can be seen that the relasation function $g$ mast have units of time-1. The present routine contains the sinple linenr form for gr

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{R}^{d}}{c E_{r}} \tag{3.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ty is the material relasation time (input to the code as M1), and, as before, $G$ is the shear modulus. Since the expression for $g$ appears at only one place in the routine, more complicated functions of the overetress $\left(\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{e}^{d}\right)$ can easily be incorporated. The input constants ml through A4 are provided for thas purpose. It is also possible to introduce other relaxation functions dependent separately on the stress deviator od, the equilibxium stress deviator $\sigma_{e}^{d}$ or even the total plastic strain,

$$
\varepsilon_{p}=\sum_{n} \Delta t^{n+1 / 2} g^{n}
$$

Due to the complications introduced by the subcycling, these latter types of changes are best attenpted only be someone familiar with the progran. Examples of the dexivation and implementation of more complex relaxation functions can be found elsewhere. 20.21

As with the equilibriun yield stress $Y$, it is probably reasonable to assume that the overstress $\left(\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{e}^{d}\right)$, or equivalently, the real viscosity, should be reduced with increasing temperature or internal energy. Accordingly, whenever the vapor equation of state (3.19) is used for a given material layer: (3.103) for the stress deviator is modified to read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{d n+1}=\sigma_{e}^{d n+1}+k(\mathscr{E})\left[\sigma^{d n}+\Delta \sigma_{i}-\Delta \sigma_{x}-\sigma_{e}^{d n+1}\right] \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(6) \geqslant 0$ and is given by (3.96). This expremsion reduces to (3.103) when the internal energy density $\mathscr{E}=0$, and results in a zero overatress $\left(\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{e}^{d}\right)$ when $\mathscr{E} \boldsymbol{E}_{m}$. Note that the equilibrium deviator $\sigma_{e}^{d}$ my well also be a function of internal energy through (3.97) or the modified vexsion of (3.92). It should be emphasized that the use of $k(8)$ in the forz (3.96) is in no way meant to infer that real materials behave in this manners the form is included simply to introduce a qualitative temperature dependence into the constitutive relation.

To illustrate how this rate dependent stress relaxation model behaves, a typical loading and unloading cycle is qualitatively sketched below in the total stress-strain plane. Here the equilibrium behavior is characterized by an elastic-perfectly plastic model (Noy=1).


In this subroutine, several of the options available in smal (Section 3.1) have been eliminated. In this context the Hugoniot can be represented only by

$$
p_{H}=\frac{\rho_{o} c_{o n}^{2}}{(1-s n)^{2}}
$$

the Gruneisen parameter is restricted to

$$
\Gamma=r_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad \Gamma=\frac{\Gamma_{o} \rho_{0}}{\rho}
$$

and the shear modulus is always calculated internally as

$$
G=\frac{3(1-2 v)}{2(1+v)} x
$$

where $K=\rho c^{2}$ and is the bulk modulus. The basic equation of state is given by either (3.9) or (3.9) and (3.19). For zone-cycles on which the vapor equation (3.19) is used, the tensile stress is limited by

$$
\sigma \geqslant \sigma_{\text {min }}=\sigma_{\text {min }}^{0} k(\mathscr{E})<0
$$

where $k(\mathbb{f})$ is given by (3.96). The latter is analogous to equations (3.31) and (3.32) in Section 3.1.

### 3.5 STAT6 - Distended Solids

Foams or initially distended solid materials are treated according to the $p-\alpha$ model of Herrmann $22,23,24$. This model is hydrodynamic, where the needed reference states are described by the Hugoniot of the nondistended solid material.

A new parameter, $\alpha$, describing the distention of the foam as a function of pressure is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\mathscr{V}}{\mathscr{V}_{\mathbf{s}}}=\frac{\rho_{\mathbf{s}}}{\rho} \tag{3.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\mathscr{V}$ are the density and specific volume of the distended material. and $\rho_{s}$ and $\%_{s}$ are the same properties of the corresponding solid material at the same pressure and energy density. Thus since the equation of state of the non-distended solid material can be written (for hydrodynamic behavior) as

$$
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathscr{E}\right)
$$

the equation of state of the distended material becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=f\left(\frac{Y}{Q} ; E\right) \tag{3.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the same function in both expressions. reiting (3.108) in the form (2.7) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=f_{1}\left(\frac{Y}{\alpha}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+f_{2}\left(\frac{Y}{Q}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \cdot \mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \tag{3.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distention parameter $\alpha$ is assumed to be a function of pressure and energy density.

$$
\frac{n+1}{\alpha_{j-1 / 2}}=g\left(\begin{array}{c}
n+1  \tag{3.110}\\
p_{j-1 / 2}
\end{array} \cdot \mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)
$$

The energy equation (2.46) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=D \frac{n+1}{p_{j-1 / 2}}+B \tag{3.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D and $B$ are constants for a given zone at a given time cycle. These constants are $D=\Delta \rho / 2 \rho^{2}$ computed previously via (2.44) and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{c}
n \\
p_{j-1 / 2}
\end{array}+2 q_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}\right) D+\Delta Q_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}+\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}
$$

The desired solution is obtained by solving the three simultaneous equations (3.109), (3.110), and (3.111) for $p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}, \alpha_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$, and $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$. This is most easily accomplished by inserting (3.111) into (3.109) obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}=\frac{f_{1}\left(\frac{\psi}{\alpha}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+f_{2}\left(\frac{\psi}{\alpha}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}}{1-f_{2}\left(\frac{W}{\alpha}\right)_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \cdot D} \tag{3.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.111) into (3.110) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n+1}{\alpha_{j-1 / 2}}=g_{1}\binom{n+1}{p_{j-1 / 2}} \tag{3.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.112) and (3.113) are two implicit expressions for $p$ and $a$ that can be solved by iteration. 22 Equation (3.111) can then be employed directly to obtain the new energy.

The distention relation (3.110) is described differently in three different regions. Above some compaction pressure $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbb{8})$, all the voids are assumed to be closed, i.e. the material is solid and $\alpha=1$. Below some elastic limit pressure $p_{e}(\mathscr{E})$ on loading, and for all unloading and reloading to the previous peak pressure, changes in $\alpha$ are assumed to be due to elastic deformation of the foam structure. In this region an elastic distention relation, $\alpha=g_{e}(p, \mathscr{E})$, is used. For loading between $P_{e}(\mathscr{E})$ and $p_{g}(\delta)$ the voids are assumed to collapse irreversibly and a crushing distention relation, $\alpha=g_{p}(p, \mathscr{E})$, is employed. Certain continuity and smoothness conditions are imposed on the three $p-a$ relations at the boundaries of these regions. The qualitative behavior is diagrammed below.


The elastic distention relation used in the program given here is chosen so that the sound apeed varies smoothly between $c_{e}$, the ambient value in the virgin foam at the intial dimention $\alpha_{0}$, and the buik acomd speed of the reference solid material at ambient conditions, $C_{0}$. This reaults in the expression 23

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d \alpha}{d p}\right)_{e}=\frac{\alpha}{K_{0}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{h^{2}(\alpha)}\right) \tag{3.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\alpha)=a \alpha+(1-a) \tag{3.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here a is a constant, evaluated rom $c_{e}$ and $c_{0}$ by

$$
a=\frac{c_{e}-c_{0}}{c_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}-1\right)}
$$

Also, $K_{0}$ in (3.114) is the ambient bulk moanlus of the reference solid and is given by (3.6). Equation (3.114) is set into finite difference form directly by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{n+1}=\alpha^{n}\left\{1+\frac{p^{n+1}-p^{n}}{K_{0}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{n}}{h^{2}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)}\right)\right\} \tag{3.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this expression is forward differenced. Also note that in this description, the energy density does not enter the elastic' distention relation explicitly. However the boundary of the elastic region $p_{e}$ is taken to be energy dependent, as will be seen.

The crushing distention relation, i.e. for pressures between Pe and $p_{g}$, is the simplest form which provides the correct intercepts at the elastic and solid boundaries and also provides continuity in the first derivative at the solid boundary. 22,24 The expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=1+\left(\alpha_{e}-1\right)\left\{\frac{p_{s}(\delta)-p}{p_{s}(\delta)-p_{e}(\delta)}\right\}^{2} \tag{3.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{e}$ is the distention ration at per but can be very closely approximated with $\alpha_{0}$. More complex functions are easily coded if required.* On the basis that the compaction pressure $p_{s}$ and the elastic limit $p_{e}$ should be decreasing functions of energy, and hence of temperature, $p_{e}(6)$ and $p_{s}(\$)$ are formulated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{e}=k(\mathscr{E}) \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{eO}} \\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{s}}=k(\mathscr{E}) \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{sO}} \tag{3.118}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $k(8)$ decreases monotonically from 1 at $=0$ to at some reference energy $\mathscr{E}_{0}$ where the material strength is assumed to become negilgible. A simple quadratic is provided,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\mathscr{E})=1-\left(k_{0}+2\right)\left(\frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{0}}\right)+\left(k_{0}+1\right)\left(\frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{0}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{O}}$ is an input constant related to the rate of change of material strength with energy at $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{E}_{0}$. Generally $k_{0}$ should lie in the range $-2 \leqslant k_{0} \leqslant-1$. This energy dependence can be defeated by setting $k(\mathscr{E})=$ const. $=$ 1. This is accomplished in the routine by inputing $\mathscr{E}_{0}=0$.

[^4]Only the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ remain to be specified. Remerbering from (3.109) that these functions are expressed in terms of the volve of tha reference solid $\mathscr{V}_{6}=W / \alpha$, it is appropriate to ume the same description as is employed in section 3.1. Accordingly, whenever $V_{s} \leqslant Y_{\text {eo }}$ Is the ambient specific volume of the reference solid, $F_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are given by ( 3.10 ) and ( 3.11 ) reapectively. They can be rewritten in texin of $\mathbb{V}_{5}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{f}_{1}=p_{B}\left(1-\frac{T}{2 W_{8}}\left(\mathscr{V}_{80}-\mathscr{Y}_{8}\right)\right) \\
& f_{2}=\frac{T}{V_{8}} \tag{3.120}
\end{align*}
$$

where pi is expressed, as before, as either (3.2) or (3.5) with p replaced by $P_{s}$, and $F$ given by $(3.8)$. Then $\mathscr{T}_{s}>\mathscr{V}_{\text {so }}$ the vapor equation of state (3.19) can be used in an analogous manner, if desired.: Choosing this option results in the limitation of any calculated tensions in a manner identical with that described in conjunction with equations (3.31) and (3.32). If the form (3.19) is not chosen, (3.120) is extrapolated into this region ${ }^{\text { }}$. Note that the material constants relating to the equation of state of the reference solid are input to the code in a manner identical with those for normal solids.

The sound speed is obtained from (2.13). Since $\alpha$, given by (3.110) is an implicit function of volume, care is required in carrying out the differentiation of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. After some manipulation the result is

[^5]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=-\mathscr{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial V}\right)_{z}=-\frac{\mathscr{V}^{2}\left(\frac{d f_{1}}{d V_{B}}+e \frac{d f_{2}}{d V_{S}}-p f_{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{d f_{1}}{d Y_{B}}+\delta \frac{d f_{2}}{d V_{B}}-p f_{2}\right) \frac{Y}{\alpha} \frac{d \eta}{d p}+\alpha} \tag{3.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the appropriate value for $\frac{d x}{d p}$ is obtained from (3.114).
The numerical iteration technique, as well as the logic required to determine which region the material is in, are described elsewhere 22-24. Since the model is hydrodynamic; only one streas variable needs to be, carried by the program. Thus the pressure is stored directly as $\sigma$, and $\alpha$ is stored in the location normally reserved for pressure.

One additional point should be mentioned in regard to this constitutive model. Due to the large total volume change which occurs when a porous material is subjected to relatively modest pressures, it is necessary to include extra axtificial viscosity to maintain calculational stability. The form usti: is the same as that described in section 2.5. The coefficients cinc. ing this viscosity, $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$, are analogous to $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, and are input as constants for this material model. In the absence of a reasonable theoretical treatment of artificial viscosity in foams, values of $B_{3}$ and $E_{y}$ about an order of magnitude greater than the normal viscosity coefficients gee to be appropriate. Since this large compression occurs only during the crushing of the foam, the extra viscosity is added only when a > 1 and the material is actually compressing. Note that when this viscosity is used the time step for gtability must be guitably modified (Section 2.6).

The formation of temsile stresses, dae to interacting relifef vaves 19 planar geometries, or divergent waves in cylinderical or apherical gemetelten, often leade to fracture of the material. Ihis material fracture is teneted in rowid by three options, two of which result in the appearance of explicit gape, either internally, or between layers. Alternatives, in the form of continuu dmage failure models have been succeasfully inplemanted in monoy, and are noted in Appendix B. In the current vereion of nomor, each material layer and the interface between each layer utilize independent sets of failure criteria.

The first avaijable criterion (FCRIT = 1) involves suppreseing fracture and allowing the material to stretch at some energy dependent maximum tensile stress in a manner identical with that described in section 3.1 in conjunction with the vapor equation of state. Thus the stress is linited by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \geqslant \sigma_{M I N} \tag{3.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{MIN}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{MIN}}^{0}\left(1-\mathscr{E} / \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{MLH}}\right)<0 \tag{3.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sigma_{\text {MIN }}^{0}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{\text {MBIT }}$ are input quantities. Here $\sigma$ is negative in tension thus $\sigma_{\text {MIN }}^{0}$ should be input to the code as a negative quantity. since this option actually adjusts the stress existing in an interior zone, applying this criterion to a material interface has no physical significance other than to suppress fracture at this point. So that the energy balance can be maintained, this adjustment in stress, if required, is performed in the appropriate equation of state subroutine. (All the other fracture ariteria
and calculations pertaining to them are located in subrontine sloop.) Hote that this option is used for all zones in the plate for which it is called, where the stress limitation described in section 3.1 is enployed only for zones utilizing the vapor equation of state.

The second fracture criterion (FCRIT $=2$ ) allows two zones to saparate whenever the interpolated stress at their common boundary is less than the input quantity $\sigma_{\text {FRACF. }}$. This parameter should be negative and represents the spall atrength of the material or the strength of the bond at a material interface.

The third available option* (FCRIT = 3) allows fractures when a timeintegrated quantity exceeds some critical level. 25 thenever the tension, interpolated to a zone boundary, exceeds a negative input value $\sigma_{0}$ (possiby the tensile elastic limit for the material in question), the integral quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\sigma-\sigma_{0}\right)^{\lambda} d t \quad \text { for } \sigma<\sigma_{0} \leqslant 0 \tag{3.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

is calculated via

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}^{n}=R_{j}^{n-1}+\left(-1\left(\frac{\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\sigma_{j+1 / 2}^{n}}{2}-\sigma_{0}\right)\right)^{\lambda} \Delta t^{n-1 / 2} \tag{3.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\frac{\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\sigma_{j+1 / 2}^{n}}{2}<\sigma_{0} \leqslant 0
$$

[^6]If the inequality in (3.125) is not met,

$$
x_{y}^{n}=y_{y}^{n-1}
$$

Whenever ${ }^{n}$ for any zone boundary for which this option is being used exceeds Frax, two free surfaces are formed at this position, thus creating a fracture or spall plane. This option requires the input quantitites $R_{\text {rax }}, \sigma_{0}$, and $\lambda$.

When employing either of the latter two criteria, and a fracture occurs, the calculation proceeds as if there were two free surfaces at this point. If subsequently these suriaces collide, then the material is considered to rejoin, and the ordinary equations appropriate for an inteerior zone are used. Subsequent fractures at a zone boundary that has thus fractured and rejoined are treated according to the second criterion above, irrespective of the option used in creating the initial fracture. In this case however, the fracture stress is a different input quantity, SIGSEP, a single value for the entire problem. SIGSEP is generally set to a small negative value to prevent separation on small spurious oscillations about zero stress which occasionally occur in the solution. Note that if there are voids or gaps (see Section 4.1) in the initial problem configuration, they are treated as if a fracture had occurred at some time prior to the start of the calculation.

The logic for tiis spall and join routine is accomplished through the use of two arrays of logical indicators, QFRACI and PFRACT. At the beginning of a calculation both of these arrays are intialized to FALSE. When either of the above fracture criteria are met, the values of gFRACr and PFRACI for that zone boundary are set to TRUE. This signals to the code that two free surfaces occur at that zone boundary. It is now necessary to
store extra values for the position $x$ and velocity $u$. The values of $x$ and u for the left side of the fracture are stored in the locations nomally reserved for that zone, and the values of $x$ and $u$ for the right side of the fracture are stored in the separate array minse according to the scheme given in the accompanying sketch. In addition, the mtorage locations $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}$ (see Chapter 7) of the zones currently separated are stored in the array ITAELE.


During subsequent cycles at a zone boundary where a fracture has occurred, a test is made to see of the value of $x$ at the left side exceeds the value of $x$ at the right side of the fracture. If it does, the fractured surfaces have come together during that cycle. The values of $x$ and $u$ for the rejoined zone boundary are then calculated from the previous left and right hand values via

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{z_{L} u_{L}+z_{R} u_{R}}{z_{L}+z_{R}} \tag{3.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}^{n+1}=\frac{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n} x_{L}+\rho_{j+1 / 2}^{n} x_{R}}{\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}+\rho_{j+1 / 2}^{n}} \tag{3.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time QrRacr is set back to FhLSE and PrRacr remains TROL. A value of FALSE for QFRACP signals that the zone is henceforth to be treated as an ordinary interior zone, while PFRnCT $=$ TRUE indicates that subsequent tests for fracture are to be made with the second criterion with the fracture stress replaced by SIGSEP.

If it is desired to supress these fracture calculations, the records specifying the data for the fracture criteria can be left ont of the input instructions. The code then defaults the appropriate input parameters to FCRIT $=2 . \quad$ and $\sigma_{\text {PRACT }}=-1.0 \times 10^{100}$.

Whenever a fracture occurs or fractured surfaces collide, appropriate messages are printed on the standard output medium giving the cycle, time, and zone number. A maximum of 50 current-fractures are allowed. If this number is exceeded, an appropriate error message is printed on the standard output medium and execution is terminated.
4. IHITIRL NID EOULDARY COMDIFICMS

The analyais of vave propagation is an initial value problem, and reguires that the variables in the problen be defined at time zero, and that appropriate boundary conditions be inposed.

### 4.1 Initial Variable Definition

Initial conditions are specified by assigning values to all principal quantities for all zones. This is accomplished in subroutine Gaimar. The variables of interest here are listed in the following table.

| Report <br> Symbol | Fortran <br> Sysabol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | C | Sound speed |
| $\mathscr{E}$ | E | Energy per unit mass |
| m | M | Mass |
| p | $\underline{\square}$ | Pressure |
| q | 0 | Artificial Viscosity |
| $\rho$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | Density |
| $\sigma$ | S | Nornal Stress |
| $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | Velocity |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | X | Position |
| $\phi$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | Difference Between Principal stresses $\left(\sigma_{X}-\sigma_{Y}\right)$ |

The artificial viscosity q is always initialized to zero for all zones. The sound speed $c$, is initialized to the value input as the second equation
of state constant for each material layer and corresponds to the ambient sound speed of the uncompressed material.

The quantitities and $x$ are derived from other input data. The initial positions of the zone boundaries $x_{j}$ are calculated from the initial zoning described in section 4.2. Then, based on the geometry, is computed via (2.43). This latter quantity is used in the mass equation (2.42) and is changed only by the Rezone routine.

The remaining variables, $\mathscr{E}, p, p, \sigma, u$, and $\phi$, are input as initial conditions and are usually assumed to be constant for each material layer, although the user may introduce more complex initial states if lie desires (see Section 5.1). The values of all of these quantities, with the exception of the velocity, must be fully compatible with the equation of state model being employed. Thus to start a calculation with a material initially compressed to some pressure $p$, values of $\mathscr{E}_{,} \rho, \sigma$, and $\phi$ appropriate for this compression from the ambient uncompressed state must be used. Note that in this case the initial density $\rho$ will be different from the reference density $\rho_{O}$, specified as the first equation of state constant. The latter quantity is generally the reference density of the material in the uncompressed ambient condition. The ability to specify initial conditions in this manner allows the user to program equations of state which utilize nonzero reference conditions (e.g., The Ideal Gas Law, Section 3.3).

The initialization of velocity is a little more complicated since the velocity refers to the zone boundaries. The velocities of all boundaries within a given material layer are initialized to the input quantity UZERO, while the boundary between this layer and the next is set to ozeroI. The latter quantity is used to minimize starting transients when a calculation is initiated with a velocity discontinuity (e.g., flying plate or plate
impact problem). As an example consider plate $A$ to inpact plate $B$, initially at rest, with a velocity $u_{A}$. The initial velocities for plates $n$ and B should be set to $u_{A}$ and zero respectively. The initial velocity of the interface between the two materials $u_{i}$ (the problem is assumed to start at the instant of impact) should be calculated from the shock impedances $z$ of the two materials via

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=\frac{z_{A} u_{A}+z_{B} u_{B}}{z_{A}+z_{B}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for this exampie $u_{B}$ is zero and $Z$ can be approximated by the acoustic impedance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \approx \rho_{0} c_{0} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For symetric impact (materials $A$ and $B$ identical), $u_{i}$ is equal to the average of the two velocities, in this case $u_{A} / 2$.

Some difficulties may arise in problems where the difference in initial velocities of adjacent layers is very large. Since the time step is determined by the stability criterion (Section 2.6), on the first cycle the time increment essentially reduces to

$$
\Delta t=\frac{\Delta x}{c_{0}}
$$

Thus when the impact velocity approaches the sound speed $c_{0}$, it may happen that the interface moves beyond one of its neighboring zone boundaries, leading to a negative density in that zone. To correct this situation it is necessary to choose an initial time increment small enough so that the zones on either side of the interface do no change volume by more than a few percent during this time step. This value for $\Delta t$ is entered in the
input as DEM (4) (see section 2.6). A similar problem is likely to occur when large pressure discontinuities are introduced as initial conditions. Again reducing the initial time step usually correcte the difficulty.

### 4.2 Initial Zoning

The initial apatial mesh is defined independently for each material layer or plate by four input parameters each. They are thinas, the plate thickness; NOMESH, the number of zones in the plate; Dwrinx, the size of the first zone in the plate; and Demraxl, the size of the last zone in the plate. These input parameters are used to determine the coefficients of a cubic equation which specifies the mesh for the entire plate. Thus the position $x_{i}$ of the right-hand boundary of the $i^{\text {th }}$ zone in the plate is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i}=x_{L}+ & P_{1} i+p_{2} i^{2}+P_{3} i^{3}  \tag{4.3}\\
& (i=1,2, \ldots, \ldots \text { NOMESH })
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{3}=\frac{\text { NOMESH(DELMAX }+ \text { DELTAX } 1)-2 \cdot \text { THKNS }}{\text { HONESH(NOHESH }-1)(\text { NONESH }-2)} \\
& P_{2}=\frac{\text { DELTAXI }- \text { DSLIAX }}{2(\text { NOMESH }-1)}-2.5 \cdot \text { NOMESH } \cdot P_{3} \\
& P_{1}=\text { DELTAX }-P_{2}-P_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the position of the left-hand boundary of the plate. The sizes of the individual zones, say the $k$ th zone in the plate, are therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x_{k}=x_{k}-x_{k-1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand boundary $x_{I}$ for the first plate is set to the input parameter XZERO. In slab geometry, $\alpha=1$, the choice of this position does not affect the results and should normally be set to zero to minimize roundoff error: However, in the cylindrical and spherical geometries, $\alpha=2$ and 3. XZERO determines the radius of the left-hand boundary. If this boundary is to be convex, then XZERO must be negative, while if the boundary is to be concave, XZERO mast be positive. To take full advantage of the activity test, the former situation should be employed for problems in which the motion originates at the outside of the configuration, while the concave geometry should be used for problems in which the motion starts interior to the cylinder or sphere. For subsequent plates aftex the first, $x_{L}$ is set equal to the position of the right-hand boundary of the previous plate, that is $x_{\text {NOMESH }}$ for the previous plate.


It is also possible to introduce a gap or void between successive material layers. This situation is treated as if a fracture had occurred at some time prior to the start of the calculation. The size of the gap, XGAP, is input for each material layer and refers to the interface to the right of that lager. Thus the value of XGAP for the last material has no significance. If XGAP is read as a non-zero quantity, the indicators and variables necessary to signal a fracture condition (QFRACP, ITABLE, and TABLE) are appropriately initialized in subroutine GENERAT, and $x_{I}$ for
the next plate is increased by the size of the gap, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{L}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{L}}+\mathbf{X G A P} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise Initialization proceeds in a normal fashion.
Note that in this scheme the left-hand boundary of the problew is assigned an index $j=1$. Thus the index of the right-hand boundary of any plate is one greater than the sum of the numbers of zones through that plate. For example, a problem consisting of two plates with 100 and 200 zones respectively will have the right-hand boundary of the first plate at $\mathbf{j}=101$ and the right-hand boundary of the second plate at $\mathbf{j}=301$. For given values of plate thickness, initial zone size and last zone size, the relative zone size distribution within the plate is dependent upon the specified value of NOMESH. The parameters $\delta x_{1}$ and $\delta x_{2}$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \mathrm{x}_{1}=\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{DELTAX}, \text { DELTAXI) } \\
& \delta \mathrm{x}_{2}=\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{DELTAX}, \text { DELTAXI) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then choosing NOMESH such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { NOMESH }<\frac{3 \cdot \text { THKNS }}{\delta x_{1}+2 \cdot \delta x_{2}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

produces a zone size distribution with larger mid-plate zones, i.e., resolution is coarser in the interior of the plate than at the boundaries. Setting NOMESH such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { NOMESH }>\frac{3 \cdot \text { THKNS }}{2 \cdot \delta x_{1}+\delta x_{2}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields interior zones which are smaller than those near the boundaries and consequently the interior resolution is finer. For values of nownsh between these limits, i.e.,

$$
\frac{3 \cdot \text { THRNS }}{\delta x_{1}+2 \cdot \delta x_{2}}<\text { NOMESH }<\frac{3 \cdot \text { THENS }}{2 \bullet \delta x_{1}+\delta x_{2}}
$$

the zone sizes in the plate are monotone increasing or decreasing as DELTAX < DELIAXI or DELIAX > DELIAAXI respectively. It should be noted that whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { NOMESH }>\frac{3 \cdot \text { MHRNS }}{\delta x_{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

zones of negative size will occur. The code tests for this condition, and if (4.8) is satisfied, NOMESH is adjusted to

$$
\text { NOMESH }=\frac{3 \cdot \text { THKNS }}{\delta x_{2}}
$$

Several general "rules of thumb" regarding zoning should be mentioned at this point. First, no material layer should have fewer than about 10 zones, i.e.,

NOMESH $\geqslant 10$.

Second, the zone sizes $\Delta x_{j}$ should be small enough so that any non-constant initial condition (e.g., deposited energy density) varies only by small amounts from zone to zone. To put this in a quantitative form, if $\Psi$ is any non-constant initial condition variable for a given plate, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
.9 \leqslant\left|\frac{\Psi_{j}}{\Psi_{j+1}}\right| \leqslant 1.1 . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this last rule along with (4.9) to the zone sizes $\Delta x_{j}$. yields approximately


A final rule regarding the sizes of the zones on either side of a material interface can be stated

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\Delta x}{C}\right|_{A}=\left.\frac{\Delta x}{C}\right|_{B} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ refer to the two materials and the values of $c$ are the sound speeds for the two materials. This is equivalent to saying that the transit times for the two zones on either side of the interface should be approximately equal. Many other criteria for matching of zone sizes across interfaces have been suggested, but it has been shown $\mathbf{2 6}$ that violating (4.12) introduces permanent density errors into the solution.

Many problems will arise for which one or more of the rules (4.9) through (4.12) cannot be satisfied. This will not necessarily invalidate the calculational results. However to maintain accuracy, it is suggested that these rules be followed as closely as possible.

### 4.3 Boundary Conditions

Boundary conditions of four different types are provided at both the left and right boundaries of the problem. They are 1) a fixed boundary or reflection plane, 2) a fixed minimum position at the left-hand boundary or a fixed maximum position at the right-hand boundary, 3) a free surface,
and 4) an arbitrary boundary condition programmed in subroutine BOUSDR , which is generallly provided by the user. The indicators LHBr and RHBE are used to choose the desired boundary conditions from the above options for the left and right hand boundaries respectively. Note that the boundary condition affects only the calculation of the acceleration, velocity, and position at the boundary in equations (2.39), (2.40), and (2.41).

For a type 1 or fixed boundary, computation of the acceleration and velocity are omitted and the position is left unchanged.

The type 2 boundary condition is a special case of a fixed boundary. It can be used to describe such probelms as the collapse on the center of a hollow sphere or a cylindrical tube, or the impact of material such as explosive detonation products on a rigid containing wall which is initially spaced some distance from the material. The calculation proceeds as follows. Each boundary is calculated as if it were a free surface, and then tested to see if it has gone beyond its "fixed" position. Thus, on the left, if $x_{j}^{n+1}<X_{\text {MIN }}$, it is set to $X_{M I N}$, and on the right, if $x_{\text {LMAX }}^{n+1}>X_{\text {MAX }}$, it is set to $\mathrm{X}_{\text {MAX }}$. At the same time that the positions are fixed the appropriate velocities are set to zero. For a type 2 boundary $X_{M I N}$ and $X_{\text {max }}$ are input to the program as LBCON and RBCON respectively.

To treat a type 3 boundary or a free surface, use is made of the virtual zones outside the boundary. The values of $\sigma, \phi$, and $\rho$ are initialized to zero in these zones and their values are not changed during the problem. The application of equations (2.39), (2.40), and (2.41) at the boundaries then leads to the correct acceleration, velocity and position for the free surface.

A type 4 boundary condition results in a call to subroutine $\operatorname{BoUNDRY}$ which is used to insert appropriate parameters in the virtual zones
outside the boundaries. By auitably programaing this routine arbitrary boundary conditions can be employed with wondy. As an example of this type of boundary condtion, a BOONDRY subroutine is included which applies a time varying load on eithar boundary of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1} \exp (-k t) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$, and $k$ are constants. If $\sigma_{0}=0$, an exponentially decaying load is applied, and if $\sigma_{1}=0$, a step function load is applied. Note that the time constant $k$ should be input to the code as a positive quantity. The consters necessary to use this option are input via the array adDArA (see Section 5.1). The values of $\sigma_{0,} \sigma_{1}$, and $k$ for the left hand boundary are the $8^{\text {th }}, 9$ th, and $1^{\text {th }}$ quantities in ADDATA respectively, while the 11th, $12^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}$ quantities are the same parameters for the right hand boundary. The input constants LBCON and RBCON are also available for use with user programmed versions of BOUNDRY.

When an applied stress boundary condition is employed, the work done at the boundary should be added to the total energy when performing energy checks (see Section 5.3). This work is simply the applied force times the distance moved by the boundary, i.e., in finite difference form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{A}{2}\left(\sigma^{n+1}+\sigma^{n}\right)\left(x^{n+1}-x^{n}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the appropriate spatial index for the virtual zones is used for both the stress $\sigma$ and the position $x$. Here $A$ is the area over which the stress is applied.

$$
A=2 \pi(\alpha-1)\left(\frac{x^{n+1}+x^{n}}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} .
$$

for cylindrical and spherical geometries, and $A=1$ for rectangular geometry.

The subroutine GENERAT initializes the ten principal storage arrays associated with the problem as described in the section 4.1. However it is often desired to run a problem with more than these ten basic variables. This is accomplished (see Section 7.1) by inputting the number of variables, NVAR, as a number greater than ten. If these extra variables require a mero initial value no problem arises since the entire srors array is set to zero at the beginning of WONDY, before any other initialization takes place. on the other hand, extra variables requiring non-zero initial values need special treatment. The subroutine MORSTOR is provided for this purpose. There are many possible uses to which these extra quantities can be pat, so in general, this subroutine should be supplied by the individual user.

One specific use for this subroutine involves initiating a calculation with the addition of energy at a constant rate for a given time duration. More complex subroutines which determine the energy deposition due to various specific kinds of external energy sources may be programmed as required.

The version of mORSTOR included here accepts as input a series of up to 100 pairs of numbers where the first of a given pair is an Eulerian coordinate position on the initial zone structure, and the second is the total energy per unit mass associated with this point. The absolute magnitude of the energies are immaterial since the routine normalizes them to a given total absorbed energy fluence. Thus this routine can be used to input to WONDY any arbitrary energy density profile desired.

The normalization is accomplished by taking an integral, across the Lagrangian grid, of the curve defined by the arbitrary input profile. This results in a quantity with dimensions of energy per unit area. The
input values for energy density are then scaled so that this integral equals an input quantity, mor.* (If mNOR is set to zero, the normalization is bypassed and the energy densities are used exactly as input.) The total deposited energy densities for each zone are then linearly interpolated or extrapolated from these normalized values and stored as the array DATB (1) which is in turn overlaid with the main STORE array (see Section 6.2). Since difficulties might arise if energy appears in gaps that might exist between individual plates, care must be taken to insure that the position of the points representing the energy density profile are actually located within the material in the problem. In addition, at least two points should be provided for each material layer, even though the layer may have no energy deposited in it.

The energy is deposited at a constant rate for a total time TDEP; after this time no further energy is added. The actual energy addition is accomplished in the equation of state subroutine (note that only SrApl, STAT4, and STAT6 contain this option). A series of statements at the beginning of the subroutine calculate, at the beginning of a cycle, the fraction of the total energy, DEP, deposited in that cycle via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{DEP}=\frac{\Delta t^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}}{T \mathrm{DEP}} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the energy added to a particular zone in that cycle, $\Delta \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{j}-1 / 2}$, is the product of DEP and DATB(1) for that zone. The value is then added to the

[^7]appropriate total energies so that the energy checks can be correctly performed (see Section 5.3). If the way in which the energy is to be added is chanced (e.g. non-constant rate) these statements in the equation of state subroutine must be appropriately altered.

To best approximate the generally continuous energy deposition phenomena, it is important to keep the amount of energy added on any given time cycle small. Since the time step for stability may be too large for this purpose, $\Delta t$ is constrained to be no larger than one hundredth of the deposition time TDEP, while deposition is occurring. Also, to preserve accuracy, it is suggested that the rezone time (see Section 6.1) always be set greater than TDEP.

### 4.5 Activity Test

In many problems the motion initiates at or near the left-hand boundary. For a significant portion of the calculation, a large number of zones may be inactive. In order to save computer time, an activity test is incorporated. A quantity LACT is provided in the input. The computation is performed normally from $j=1$ to $j=$ LACT. If the value of $\sigma$ in the last zone to be computed, i.e., $j=$ LACT, is less than a quantity SIGACT wich is also an input variable, the computation is interrupted and advanced to the next time cycle. However, if $\sigma$ is greater than SIGACT, then LACP is advanced by one and the computation is advanced normally.

Thus, zones are activated as needed as a pulse propagates from left to right. The value of LACT should be specified in the input to be greater than any zone number at which motion is expected in the first few cycles. To give an example of its use, consider a plate impact problem in which the first layer has a positive velocity, while the second layer has a zero
velocity. Then LACP is given an integer value greater than the interface zone number by, may 5. As the shock initiated at the interface moves to the right into the second layer, zones are progressively activated just ahead of the shock.

Note that zones are activated from left to right. Under no circumstances must IACF be less than jmax unless it is absolutely certain that no disturbances originate in the non-active region. The value of signcr should be a little greater than possible roundoff or spurious oscillations. Since the rezoning scheme employed in hONDY IV can very effectively reduce the number of zones being used in inactive regions of the problem, it is important to use the activity test mainly when the REzong routine is bypassed. Accordingly, if LACF is left blank in the input, the code defaults it to Jmax.

In the actual proceas of operating the WOMDY code, varions
available for both the input of information to defin the peoki= and materials, and to obtain computed remulte. In addition, a merien of oheoke are made on the comprated state of the problem to give the narr the etpens of some important integrated quantities, such as total emarsy, momeme. etc.

### 5.1 Inpout (Restarts)

WONDY $V$ contains a semi-free form for input in the sanse that since the individual input records are numbered, their order in the input file is immaterial. In addition, a great number of input quantities are defanited to "standard" values if they are left blank. Specific input instructions are given in detail in Appendix E. Most input quantities for which the meaning and use are not obvious have been discussed in earlier sections of this report. (For example, all the equation of state parameters are described in Chapter 3.) However there are a few points which do require additional comment.

One method for starting a calculation involves using the RESTART feature. The second output option described in Section 5.2 writes a binary tape on logical unit 20 from which a calculation can be reinitiated. To perform a normal restart the previously prepared dump tape 20 should be equipped to unit 25. With the exception of the variables JTAPE and NSTART the input remains the same as with the original run. A non-zerc value for NSTART indicates that the current calculation is a restart mun. The value of WSTART is the arcie number at which the restari is to trate place an JMare is the nube of material lagess $\because$ be rea from tee linay thit

Posaible values for NSTART and JHAPE are obtained from the dump message printed by the original run for any cycle on which a binary dump is performed.

Under certain conditions it is possible to change the problea slightly when restarting, To give an example of how this may be done, consider a plate impact problem in which the first material layer has a positive initial velocity while the second plate has a zero velocity. The problem is run to a stage where the shock wave originating at the impact interface has not yet reached the right-hand boundary of the second material. nt this stage a number of zones adjacent to this right-hand boundary have not yet undergone any motion and are uncompressed. If a dump is performed at this stage and the problem is restarted, it is possible to add more or change material layers beyond the original right-hand boundary of the second materiai by suitably altering the input data. This feature is particularly useful when a parametric study is involved in which, say, a third material layer is to be added and the effects of the thickness or composition of this layer are to be investigated. It is unnecessary to rerun the unchanged first part of the problem. Very great care is necessary to insure that changes are made only in or beyond undisturbed material layers. Under no circumstances may changes be made in plates that have already undergone motion or compression.

It is also possible to use the restart feature to introduce complex initial conditions not provided for in this version of the code. A binary tape may be prepared by a suitable program, written for the purpose, to initialize the variables contained in the STORE array and in the other arrays on the dump tape. The necessary sequence and format for the variables
can be determined by examining the output statements contained in subroutine DUMP. Great care mast be exercised to insure that the data contained on the tape are fully compatible with the input data as well as with the equations of state that are being used. If these values are not completely compatible, totally false results will likely result.

One additional input quantity, the array ADDATA, has been provided to communicate with the user-programmed portions of the code such as the output routines or the BOUNDRY subroutine. Up to 100 words can be placed in this normally vacant array. The position of quantities to be read into ADDAYA via the input is also an input quantity, thus variables can easily be placed in any location within this array.
5.2 Output

WONDY $V$ contains four basic output routines, each of which has its own general purpose. Their use is based on real problem time and controlled independently by a sexies of times ( $S_{i}$ in the input) and time increments ( $\Delta_{1}$ in the input). A given output option is first called at time $S_{1}$, with subsequent calls at intervals of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}$ until time $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Time intervals of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are used between times $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ and so forth. Setting $\Delta_{1}=0$ calls the appropriate output every cycle between times $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$. Leaving out all the output time parameters results in a given output routine being bypassed for the entire run. Setting ol to some value greater than the problem run time has the same effect.

The first output option, the standard edit, lists ten variables for all active zones at the appropriate times and a summary of the current momentum and energy sums and errors. The edit contains the zone number $L$,

[^8]$x^{n}$
$M_{1 / 2}-1 / 2$. The latter six may be replaced by other variablea if the user so apecifies in the input strean, Records 21 and 22. The coding for this edit is located in the main program. For this output option the data is written on file TAPF21 rather than being printed directly. In normal use this file is equivalenced to the standard output medium, but if large amounts of output are required this equivalencing can be omitted and the data can thus be stored directly on magnetic tape.

Normally the first of these standard edits will be given at the appropriate $S_{1}$; however, if it is desired to check the initial conditions or zoning, the input parameter NOL can be set to a non-zero value. .This will initiate a standard edit before any calculations have taken place. Note that setting $S_{1}$ to zero yields a cycle one edit rather than a cycle zero edit. This "zero cycle" or initial edit is given automatically on a restart calculation. The edit of the last cycle before termination of the problem will be given if the parameter NoL is set to a non-zero value. This value of NUL causes WONDY to terminate NUL seconds before allotted computer time is reached to allow for subsequent operations, such as plotting, etc. Just prior to the final edit, a message containing the average rate of computation is printed (zone cycles/hour).

The second output option is the binary dump and is located in subroutine DUMP. This option is employed whenever a restart calculation is desired. This could result from long calculations requiring examination at intermediate stages or parameter variation studies such as described above. For cycles meeting the appropriate time criteria the dump is written on file TAPE20. In addition, a message is printed on the stanazra output giving the time, cycle number and number of material iagers witten on the file. The lattex two quertities will be needed to perform the restert.

The remining two outpat options are contained in subroutines oung anc oupL: since it is difficult to anticipate the various types of mpecial output which may be required, these routines are generally aupplied by the Individual users. Note that the array ADDArA can be used to commicate with these routines.

For cycles meeting the appropriate time criteria each roatine is called at the end of the cycle and thus has access to all monal variables stored in the main suons array. These routines can be used to prepare tapes for subsequent calculation or plotting, or to calculate derived quantities such as momenta or non-normal stresses not carried in the smors array. For example, the three principal stresses

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{x} & =\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \\
\sigma_{y} & =\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\oint_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \\
\text { and } \quad \sigma_{2} & =3 p_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}-2 \sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}-\phi_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

can easily be calculated and printed with either of the two routines (here all stresses are taken positive in compression).

The version of OUN included here will output the principal zonal variables for the zones on either side of the material interiaces specified by ADDATA(1) through ADDATA(7). Note that the left hand boundary is considered to be interface number 1. The subroutine OUTPL listed here prepares a file named TAPE23 suitable for subsequent plotting. The default variables written include all positions $x_{j}$, velocities $u_{j}$, densities $0_{j-1 / 2, ~ s t r e a s e s ~}^{j}$ $\sigma_{j-1 / 2}$, and internal energies $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}$, as well as the current fracture
status. Thus this file contains adequate data for virtually any desired plot. Should the user want to plot other variables, provision has been made in the input instructions to select other variables.

### 5.3 Error Checks

A number of features are included which permit checking for errors or to speed up the computation, and in some cases, to halt the calculation if errors become serious. These are described below.

Energy and Momentum Checks -- The mass $M$ in a zone can be related to m (2.43) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j-1 / 2}=k m_{j-1 / 2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{\prime}=1 \quad, \text { for } \alpha=1 \\
& k^{\prime}=\pi \quad, \text { for } \alpha=2 \\
& k^{\prime}=\frac{4}{3} \pi, \text { for } \alpha=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $m$ is not the mass in a zone except in the rectangular case $\alpha=1$. The momentum* in a zone may be written in finite difference form, within the factor k', as

[^9]\[

\mathscr{F}=\mu \bar{u} / A= $$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{mu} & , \quad \alpha=1 \\ m k \cdot \bar{u} / 2 \pi \bar{x}=\frac{m}{2 \bar{x}} \bar{u}, & \alpha=2 \\ m k \cdot \bar{u} / 4 \pi x^{2}=\frac{m}{3 x^{2}} \bar{u}, & \alpha=3\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $\bar{X}=\left(x_{\ell}+x_{r}\right) / 2, \bar{u}=\left(u_{\ell}+u_{r}\right) / 2$, and the subscripts $\ell$ and $r$ refer to the left and right boundaries of the zone being considered.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{H_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}}{n}=\frac{1}{2} m_{j-1 / 2}\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}+u_{j-1}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kinetic energy in a zone is given within the factor $k^{\prime}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{j}{n-1 / 2}}_{n+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{6} m_{j-1 / 2}\left\{\left(u_{j}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{2}+u_{j}^{n+1 / 2} u_{j-1}^{n+1 / 2}+\left(n_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the internal energy in a zone is given, within the factor $k^{\prime}$. as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n+1 / 2}{E_{j-1 / 2}}=\frac{1}{2} m_{j-1 / 2}\left(\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}+\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These quantities (5.3), (5.4), and (5.5) are computed at each zome and cycle and could be called out via one of the special output routines, if desired. Various sums of these quantities over specified numbers of zones are also occasionally of interest.

It is possible to check whether momentum and ener conserved during the calculation. In particular, for the momentur,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2} \sum_{j=2}^{j_{\max }} \frac{n+1 / 2}{\mathrm{H}_{j}-1 / 2}=\text { constant } \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This sum is computed initially from the input data (HFOT). It is subsequently computed on each cycle (HT). A test is made to see if momentum is conserved by testing if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\text { ET }- \text { HTOT }}{\text { HTOT }}\right| \geqslant \operatorname{HMAX} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where GMAX is the allowable relative momentum error and is specified as an input variable. If this relative error is exceeded, the computation is terminated, an error message is printed, and standard printed output is
initiated. If no value is inserted for max, read as zero, a value of $10^{100}$ is used to defeat this test.

If the initial conditions are such that the initial momentul fror is zero, the calculation of the relative momentum error is bypassed and it is set to zero. Consequently this test is effectively bypassed for this type of problem. Note also that if a problem involves boundary conditions other than free surfaces, the appropriate momentum transfer and refiection is not taken into account, thus the default option for max should be used for this class of problem.

The energy balance is more difficult since energy may be added by energy sources [ $\Delta Q_{j}-1 / 2$ in (2.46)] as well as by work done on boundaries by an applied load (in subroutine BOUNDRY). The sum of kinetic and internal energy over all zones is

This sum is computed initially from the input data (EIOT). It is subsequently computed on each cycle (EIT). In addition, if energy sources exist, these must be computed and stored in one of the additional storage arrays available in STORE. The energy added by these energy sources in each cycle must be sumned over all the zones and the result stored in SUMQE. This calculation can be done in the equation of state subroutine. If a load is applied to either the left-hand or right-hand boundary, the work done in each cycle must be computed and stored in wer wr for the left-hand and right-hand boundaries respectively. This calculation can be done in the BCUNDRY subroutine (see Section 4.3). Thus the zeference
snergy ErOI must be updated each cycle by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ENOL = HIOT + k' } \operatorname{sNMQS~+~KL~+~KR~\cdot ~} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A checi is then made to determine if the energy is conserved by testIng if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathrm{EH}-\mathrm{EHOL}}{\mathrm{EHOT}}\right|>\operatorname{EHAX} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where EMAX is the allowable relative energy error and is specified as an input variable. If this relative error is exceeded, the computation is terminated, an error message is printed and a standard printed output is initiated. In addition, if the relative energy error exceeds 10 per cent of EMAX, a cautionary message is printed. If no value is inserted for EMAX, the code defaults it to 1.0 or 100 percent. Thus to defeat this check a large value, say 10100, must be actually input to the program.

The total energy, kinetic energy, internal energy, and momentum summed over all zones are printed in the standard printed output, as are the relative energy error and relative momentum error.

Occasionally when complex energy sources or boundary loads are used for experimental runs, it is convenient to omit calculation of suMge, WL, and WR. However, for normal caleulations the energy and momentum checks are very valuable in halting the computation if an error occurs and should generally be used.

Overflow Test -- Fhen instabilities occur, oscillations usually grow exponentially with time until overflow occurs in the computer. If the problem is terminated due to overflow, an abnormal exit occurs and no diagnostics are possible. For this reason an overflow test is incorporated.

If the stress $\sigma$ in any zone exceeds a maximan viac ompr, an imput variable, the compatation is terminated and a standard printed output is initiated together with an error message

## 6. REZOAIMG

The rezoning features of wosDy both inprove the resolution and generally shorten the conputer. time used for a given problen by inserting finer zoning in regions where compression or release waves exist and removing unnecessarily fine zoning in regions where there is no discernible shock or release activity. Chapter 7 contains a description of the storage arrangement necessitated by the use of rezoning.

### 6.1 Rezone Criteria

The rezone criteria locate those active regions of a problean where finer zoning is needed for better resolution and conversely the inactive regions where a coarser zoning can be used to minimize the number of calculations while preserving adequate resolution. These rezone criteria are applied in subroutine MOHION for every zone-cycle calculation.

Active regions are in general characterized by relatively large zone to zone stress variations. This auggests a rezone criterion that locatis accive regions by searching for high stress variations and then subdivides zones to reduce the variation to within some specified limit. Thus an active, high stress variation region would be defined with mang small zones to keep the zone to zone stress variations within these lizits. Conversely, inactive, low stress variation regions will require fewer zones to hold the zone to zone stress variations within bounds. The "ideal" mesh for a given problem then would be that which resolves the problew with the smallest number of zones whose stress variations are within these liwits. 27

This concept is implemented in hondy $v$ for an arbitrary zone number $j$ as follows (note that actual tests on zone $j$ take place after zone j+1 has been processed). Based upon $\sigma_{j}$. the stress in zone $j$, the maximum
allowable stress variation, $\sigma_{1 i m}$, is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\text {lim }}=\operatorname{Hax}\left(\operatorname{RSCRIT}, R Z C O\left|\sigma_{j}-R Z C l\right|\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where RSCRIT, RZCD, and KZCI are input variables. One set of these variables is given for each plate in the problem. Equation (6.1) defines the stress resolution $\sigma_{1 i m}$ as a fraction, RZCO, of the local stress $\sigma_{j}$, with an absolute fixed lower limt, RSCRIT. This criterion is designed to yield a limiting stress which will result ia nearly the same relative resolution as the local stress increases, and yet will prevent the resolution from becoming infinitesimally fine as $\sigma_{j}$ approaches zero.

Consistently good results have been obtained with values of RSCRIT in the neighborhood of $10^{-4} \times \rho_{0} c_{0}^{2}$, although greater computer time savings, at the expense of resolution, can be realized by using larger values for RSCRIT. In complicated problems, a short trial run will be found valuable in determining a suitable value for RSCRIT. The variable RZCA in equation (6.1) provides a means of calculating $\sigma_{1 i m}$ as a fraction of the local stress relative to some stress level other than zero. This offset is particularly useful in treating materials whose ambient stress is non-zero, such as perfect gases.

Once the maximum stress variation, $\sigma_{1 i m}$, has been determined by (6.1). the actual stress variations $\left|\sigma_{j}-\sigma_{j-1}\right|$ and $\left|\sigma_{j}-\sigma_{j+1}\right|$ are calculated and compared with $\sigma_{1 i m} \cdot$ If either of these actual variations is greater than $\sigma_{\text {lim, }}$ zone $j$ is to be divided. If, on the other hand, both of these variations are less than $0.66 \sigma_{\text {lim, }}$ then zone $j$ is flagged as being "combinable." The inclusion of the factor 0.66 prevents combining to create a new zone whose stress variations are large enough to cause redivision on the next
time cycle. When two adjacent zones are "combinable," they are combined (subject to further restrictions detailed in section 6.3).

In some applications where stress gradients are changing rapidly due to energy addition, e.g. problem involving high explosives or energy deposition, equation (6.1) may not divide zones quickly enough to achieve the desired resolution. In such instances the probles can be avoided by setting up a fine intial zoning and delaying rezoning in the particular plates involved until the energy addition is completed. An adsitional input parameter, RZIMIS, specifies the earliest time at which rezoning can take place in a given plate. Since in general the time during which energy adaition takes place is small relative to the total problem time, this delayed rezoning has no appreciable effect on the compatational efficiency. Setting RZMIME to a value greater than the total problem time can be used to completely avoid rezoning in a given plate.

The basic stress variation criterion is complemented in wowdy $\nabla$ by an implicit rule that no zone can be more than twice as large as either of its adjacent zones. Thus whenever a zone is more than twice as large as either neighbor, it is divided, even though its stress variations may be well within the limit; $\sigma_{1 i m}$ of equationfo.1). The effect of this is that whenever a zone becomes divided because of its stress variations, as when a disturbance moves into that zone, this " $2: 1$ rule" causes severisl zones in front of the disturbance to be divided, insuring that the disturbance will always be moving into regions winich have already been preatsided. Conversely two zones cannot be combined if the resultant zone would be more than twice as large as either adjacent neighbor. This prevents zones from combining too quickly behind a disturbance.

The $\sigma_{1 i}$ and $2: 1$ rules of the previous section determine thome zones that are to be divided. If there were no further restrictions imponed upon the divide process, then in the region of a shock the rezone scheme wonld keep dividing and redividing in an attennt to resolve the diacontinuity. A simple means of limiting the divide process involves specifying a lorer limit on the size of zones which can be divided. The plate input variable, DKNIN, provides this necessary 1imit.*

When it has been detexmined that a zone is to be divided, prograv control is passed to subroutine REzONE, where the actual zone division is performed, and the physical properties of the newly created zones are determined.

A zone $j$ is divided by inserting a mesh point which divides $j$ into

$$
\left.\right|^{j-1}
$$

two equally massed zones, $r$ and \&. The densities $\rho_{\ell}$ and $\rho_{x}$ of the new zones are set equal to $\rho_{j}$. The position $x_{r}$ of zone $r$ is aet equal to $x_{j}$ 。 Clearly the positon $x_{\ell}$ of the new mesh point must be such that it divides zone $j$ into two equal volumes, i.e.,

[^10]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{l}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{j}+\frac{\alpha}{x_{j-1}}\right)\right]^{1 / \alpha} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\alpha$ is the geometry coefficient.
The expressions for the momentum and kinetic energy of zone jare given as (see Section 5.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j}=\frac{m_{j}}{2}\left(u_{j}+u_{j-1}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=\frac{m}{6}\left(u_{j}^{2}+u_{j} u_{j-1}+u_{j-1}^{2}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It is easily shown that by setting
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{r}=u_{j}  \tag{6.5}\\
u_{l}=2\left(u_{j}+u_{j-1}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

one obtains

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\boldsymbol{r}}+\mathrm{H}_{\ell}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{j}}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}}+\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{l}}=\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{j}}
$$

that is, for these measures, both momentum and kinetic energy are conserved in the divide process.

The remaining zonal variables, sound speed, viscosity, internal energy density, stress-like quantities, and any additional variables are set to their values in zone $j$ for both zones $\ell$ and $r$.

### 6.3 Combining Zones

When two adjacent zones, $j$ and $j-1$, are found to satisfy the $\sigma_{1 i n}$ criterion for combinability (Section 6.1), they are considered for combining, subject to several additional restrictions imposed by "physical acceptability" and the need to prevent the introduction of any appreciable distortion into the solution. "Physical acceptability" prohibits the combining of zones lying in different materials, i.e. a material interface lies between them as well as zones with a fracture or void between them Because a fracture which has rejoined remains, in general, a weak point in the material, zones with a rejoined fracture between them are not combined in order to preserve this property.

In problems in which a material melts or vaporizes the absence of significant stress gradients can allow the combining of zones in different phases and thus obscure many real physical effects. A convenient means of preventing this involves limiting the combining of zones to zones of very nearly the same densities. In wondy $v$ the combining of zones $j$ and j-1 can take place only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{j}-\rho_{j-1}\right| \leqslant \operatorname{RCCONB} \cdot \rho_{o} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where RCCOMB is a plate input parameter preferably 0.1 or less. This density limitation on combine also preserves the resolution of other phenomena which manifest themselves in density gradients rather than stress gradients, e.g. the contact discontinuities produced by shock collisions.

Finally the zones $j$ and $j-1$ will not be combined if the size of the resultant zone would exceed DXMAX, another plate input variable. This limits the maximal size zone that can occur in a given plate, and conversely
insures that the plate will always have at least some mirimal number of zones in it.

Phen two adjacent zones have satisfied the tests above, program control is transferred to subroutine REZONE, where the actual combination is performed, and the physical properties of the new zone are determined.

Zones $j$ and $j-1$ are combined to create a new zone $c$ by removing the mesh point, $j-1,1 y i n g$ between them, where $x_{c}=x_{j}$ and $x_{\ell}=x_{j-2}$. The

mass, $m_{c}$, of the newly created zone is set equal to $m_{j}+m_{j-1}$, and the density, $\rho_{c}$, becomes

$$
\rho_{c}= \begin{cases}\frac{m_{c}}{\left(x_{j}-x_{j-2}\right)}, & \text { for } \alpha=1,  \tag{6.7}\\ \frac{m_{c}}{\left(x_{j}-x_{j-2}\right)\left(x_{j}+x_{j-2}\right)}, & \text { for } \alpha=2, \\ \frac{m_{c}}{\left(x_{j}-x_{j-2}\right)\left(x_{j}^{2}+x_{j} x_{j-2}+x_{j-2}^{2}\right)}, & \text { for } \alpha=3,\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the geometry coefficient. If $v_{j}, v_{j-1}$, and $v_{c}$ are the volumes of zones $j, j-1$, and c respectively, given by $m / \rho$ with appropriate subscripts, then $\rho_{c}$ can be alternately expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c}=\frac{m_{c}}{v_{c}}=\frac{m_{j}+m_{j-1}}{v_{j}+v_{j-1}}=\frac{\rho_{j} v_{j}+\rho_{j-1} v_{j-1}}{v_{j}+v_{j-i}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Internal energy is conserved by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{0}=\frac{m_{j} \mathscr{E}_{j}+m_{j-1} \mathscr{E}_{j-1}}{m_{c}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to exactly conserve momentum and kinetic energy small adjust-
 and $u_{c}$ are determined from solutions of the simultaneous equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
M O=M R \cdot u_{C}+M L \cdot u_{\ell} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { KEO }=M R \cdot u_{c}^{2} & +M L \cdot u_{\ell}^{2}+M B \cdot u_{c} \cdot u_{\ell} \\
& +\operatorname{KERI} \cdot u_{c}+K E L I \cdot u_{\ell} \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M O=m_{j+1} u_{j}+m_{j} u_{j}+m_{j} u_{j-1}+m_{j-1} u_{j-1} \\
& \\
& +m_{j-1} u_{j-2}+m_{j-2} u_{j-2} \\
& M R=m_{j+1}+m_{j}+m_{j-1} \\
& M L=m_{j}+m_{j-1}+m_{j-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M B=m_{C}=m_{j}+m_{j-1} \\
& \text { KERI }=m_{j+1} u_{j+1} \\
& \text { KELI }=m_{j-2} u_{j-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { KEO }= & M_{j+1}\left(u_{j}^{2}+u_{j+1} u_{j}\right)+m_{j}\left(u_{j}^{2}+u_{j} u_{j-1}+u_{j-1}^{2}\right) \\
& +m_{j-1}\left(u_{j-1}^{2}+u_{j-1} u_{j-2}+u_{j-2}^{2}\right)+M_{j-2}\left(u_{j-2}^{2}+u_{j-2} u_{j-3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (6.10) and (6.11) express conservation of momentum and kinetic energy respectively. The equations (6.10) and (6.11) will give two sets of solutions, $\left(u_{c}, u_{\ell}\right)$ and $\left(u_{c}, u_{\ell}\right)$, both real or both complex. If the solutions are complex then only the real part is used, whish conserves momentum exactly and minimizes the kinetic energy error. If both solutions are real, then the solution "closest" to the original $u_{j}$ and $u_{j-2}$ is chosen. i.e., if

$$
\left(u_{c}-u_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{\ell}-u_{j-2}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(u_{c}-u_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{\ell}-u_{j-2}\right)^{2}
$$

then $\left(u_{c}, u_{\ell}\right)$ is chosen, otherwise $\left(u_{c}, u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$ is chosen.
By combining equation (3.9) with (2.7), the equation of state can be made to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=f_{1}(\rho)+\Gamma \rho \mathscr{E} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the combine criteria restrict $\rho_{j}$ and $\rho_{j-1}$ to being nearly equal. it can be assumed that, between $\rho_{j}$ and $\rho_{j-1}, f_{l}(\rho)$ is locally linear and $\Gamma$ is constant. Letting $\psi$ represent any linear function of $\rho$, from the properties of linearity and equation (6.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{c} \equiv \Psi\left(\rho_{c}\right) & =\frac{\rho_{j} v_{j}+\rho_{j-1} \nabla_{j-1}}{\nabla_{j}+v_{j-1}} \\
& =\frac{v_{j} \Psi\left(\rho_{j}\right)+\nabla_{j-1} \Psi\left(\rho_{j-1}\right)}{v_{j}+v_{j-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{c}=\frac{v_{j} \Psi_{j}+v_{j-1} \Psi_{j-1}}{v_{j}+v_{j-1}} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily shown, using equations (6.8) and (6.9), that $\rho \mathscr{E}$ satisfies the relation (6.13), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c} \mathscr{E}_{C}=\frac{v_{j} \rho_{j} \mathscr{E}_{j}+v_{j-1} \rho_{j-1} \mathscr{E}_{j-1}}{v_{j}+v_{j-1}} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying equations (6.13) and (6.14) to equation (6.12), with the assumptions of $f_{1}(\rho)$ "locally" linear and $\Gamma$ "locally" constant, yields for the pressure of the combined zone,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}=\frac{v_{j} p_{j}+v_{j-1} p_{j-1}}{v_{j}+v_{j-1}} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is $p$ as given by (6.12) can be interpolated using (6.13).
Because the assumption of "local" linearity with respect to $\rho$ is reasonable in the inactive regions where combining occurs, equation (6.13) is used to interpolate all remaining zonal variables including all stress components, sound speed, artificial viscosity and any additional variables being used when NVAR $>10$ (see Section 7.2).

### 7.1 The Main STORE Array

WONDY V carries all zonal variables in one large singly subacripted array named STORE. This method has several advantages over more conventional techniques. First, all the needed information can, before any rezoning takes place, be "close-packed" within the array. This allows a fairly simple method for changing the computer core arrangenent of the code, i.e., changing the dimensioned size of the sTore array (along with the parallel arrays PFRACT, QPRACT, NEXT and DUM) permits the adjustment of the code to fit virtually any available core size. of course, the maximum number of zones that can be used in the code depends on the size of this array. In fact the total number of zones Iror must be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\text {TOT }}<\frac{\mathrm{DIM}}{\mathrm{NVAR}}-2 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

rounded to the next lower integer. Here DIM is the dimensioned size of the STORE array and $\operatorname{sy} A R$ is the number of variables to be used. In addition, with the scheme outlined below, most of the calculations can be carried out using unsubscripted variables, resulting in a considerable saving in computer time.

Before any rezoning takes place the sTORE array consists of blocks of variables NVAR quantities long, the first block containing all the variables associated with zone 1 , the second block containing all the variables for zone 2, and so forth. The ordex of the variables within these blocks is given by the appropriate "parameter index," i, as indicated in the accompanying table. Thus $i$ ranges from 1 to NVAR where for most probiems

| Parameter Index | Report Symbol | Fortran Symbol | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $c_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | C | sound speear |
| 2 | $\mathscr{C}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | E | internal energy |
| 3 | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}-1 / 2}$ | M | mass |
| 4 | $p_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | pressure |
| 5 | $q_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | 2 | artificial viscosity |
| 6 | $\rho_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | R | density |
| 7 | $\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | normal stress |
| 8 | $u_{j}^{n-1 / 2}$ | U | particle velocity |
| 9 | $\mathrm{x}_{j}^{\mathbf{n}}$ | X | position |
| 10 | $\phi_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | difference in principal stresses |
| 11 | $\mathscr{E}_{\text {dep }} \mathrm{j}-1 / 2$ | DATB (1) | total energy deposited in zone $j$ (Section 4.4) |
| 12 | $\mathrm{K}_{j}^{\mathrm{n}}$ | DATB (2) | integral damage (Section 3.6) |
| 13 | ${\underset{p}{\mathbb{E}_{j-1 / 2}}}^{n}$ | DATB (3) | piastic work in STATI (Section 3.1.2) |
| 13-20 | --- | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DATB}(3) \\ - \\ \mathrm{DATB}(10) \end{gathered}$ | various parameters associated with STAT4 (Section 3.4) |

NVAR will be 10; cases for which NVAR is greater than 10 are explained in Section 7.3. Therefore using the difference scheme given in section 2.4, and before any rezoning takes place, the first variable in the STORE array is the sound speed for the virtual zone outside the left-hand boundary. Similarly an applied stress on the left-hand boundary would be placed in STORE(7): the position of the left boundary is STORE(9); and assuming NVAR $=10$, the sound speed of the first real mass element or second zone is stored as STORE(11).

In order to maintain this sequential storage, it would be necessary to completely rearrange the STORE array every time a zone boundary is added or removed with a rezoning operation. To avoid this time consuming process, an array named NEXT is employed, which is parallel to the STORE array. The values in NEXT indicate block numbers in the STORE array where the NVAR quantities for a given zone can be found. Specifically, the value of NEXT for a given zone $j$ is the block number within STORE for the variables associated with zone $j+1 . *$ Thus when a zone boundary is eliminated by the REZONE routine the values in NEXT are altered so that on the next pass through the problem mesh the appropriate block in STORE is bypassed. Similarly, when a zone boundary is added, the variables for the newly created zone are placed in the first empty block in the STORE array and NEETT is adjusted accordingly. More specific details on how this is accomplished as well as the interrelationship between the STORE and NEXT arrays can be found in Reference 27.

With this preliminary discussion some specific examples can now be given. A general variable $\Psi[i]$, with parameter index $i$, for $j^{\text {th }}$ zone

[^11]and $n^{\text {th }}$ time step, can be found in the sTORe array at
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi[i]_{j}^{n}=\operatorname{STORE}\left(J_{j}+i\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathbf{j}}=\left(J N_{\mathbf{j}}-1\right) \cdot \text { NVAR } \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and JN is obtained from the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J N_{j}=N E X T \quad\left(J N_{j-1}\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TN}_{1} \equiv 1 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that here and in the following, $J$ always refers to a specific location within the STORE array, where $J \mathbb{N}$ refers to a block number within STORE and is obtained from the NEXT array. As an explicit example, if the stress for the $j^{\text {th }}$ zone is desired, (7.2) is written as

$$
\sigma_{j-1 / 2}^{n}=\operatorname{STORE}\left(J_{j}+7\right)
$$

with $J_{j}$ obtained from (7.3) through (7.5).
Since rezoning adds and removes zone boundaries, a given zone number $j$ does not refer to the same mass element throughout the course of a calculation. Thus to retrieve a specific variable for a specific mass element the appropriate numerical value for $j$ must be recomputed for each cycle the variable is needed. The most straightforward way to accomplish this is to use the array NOMESH which contains the updated number of zones in each plate in order. Note that if rezoning is completely bypassed, this process is unnecessary.

To clarify the use of equations (7.2) through (7.5) a few specific FORTRAN examples will now be given. Consider again the problen of retrieving the general variable $\Psi[i]{ }_{j}^{n}$ for a given zone $j$ on the tine cyclen. $n$ generic seguent of a FORTRAN routine which will accomplish this is:
[Calculate j, say from NOMESH (PLATE)]
$\mathrm{JN}=1$

DO $10 \mathrm{~L}=1, \mathrm{LACI}$

IF (L - j) $10,20,10$

10 JN = NEXT (JN)

GO TO 30
$20 J=(J N-1) \cdot N V A R$
$\Psi[i]_{j}^{n}=\operatorname{STORE}(J+i)$
[Output or further process $\left.\Psi[i]_{j}^{n}\right]$
30 CONTINUE

Here LACT is the current number of the last active zore. The statement after $10, G O$ TO 30 , is reached only if the desired zone, $j$, has not yet become active. As beEore, $i$ is the parameter index of the desired variable. A more common situation involves the output of one or more variables for all active zones in the problem mesh. The following program segment will accomplish this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J N=1 \\
& \text { DO } 10 \mathrm{~L}=1, L A C P \\
& J=(J N-1) * N V A R
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y[i]_{L}^{n}=\operatorname{STORE}(J+i) \\
& \text { [Retrieve other } \Psi[i] \text {, place } \Psi[i]_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \text { in new array with subscript L, or } \\
& \text { further process } \Psi[i]_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathbf{n}_{1}} \\
& 10 \text { JN = NEXT (JN) }
\end{aligned}
$$

As was mentioned earlier, most calculations using these stored variables employ unsubscripted counterparts according to the following scheme. For the zone-cycle calculation at time $n+1$ and spatial position $j$. the general variable $\Psi$ has the following forms:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\Psi B & =\Psi_{j-1}^{n}  \tag{7.6}\\
\Psi & =\Psi \Psi_{j}^{n} \\
\Psi A=\Psi_{j+1}^{n} \\
\Psi B N=
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

Note that $A$ and $B$ refer to "ahead" and "hehind" in space, where $N$ refers to "new" in time. The logic for performing these transfers is similar to the examples given above and is located in subroutin JLOOP. At the beginning of the zone-cycle calculation for time $n+1$ and zone $j$ the following transfers are made:

```
JNA = NEXT (JN)
JA = (JNA - 1) * NVAR
```



When these transfers are made, $J, J N, \Psi, \Psi A$, and $\Psi N$ are available from the previous zone-cycle at $n+1$ and $j-1 . \quad$ at the end of the zone-cycle calculation, the new variables for $n+1$ and $j$ have been computed and placed in $\Psi N$. They are then transferred to the sTORE array and the parameters $J$ and IN are updated with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { STORE }(J+i)=\Psi N, i=1, \text { NVAR } \\
& J N=J N A \\
& J=J A
\end{aligned}
$$

Here again, $i$ is the parameter index of $\Psi$. Thus for each of the NVFR variables only two references to the STORE array are made for eacin zonecycle; all other computations and transfers are made with their unsubscripted counterparts.

### 7.2 The Preprocessor

The current version of woNDY $v$ contains storage allocations for 20 materials/plates and NVAR * No. Zones $=19851$, which nearly fills the small core memory of a CDC 7600. If smaller storage limits are desired for economy purposes or required for computers with smaller memory, a preprocessor may be accessed to operate on the main storage arrays to the user's specifications. The preprocessor reads input data that specify the total number
of zonee in the problem, the number of variables desired, and the number of of plates/materials to be used. The preprocessor writes update instructions that are read during the subsequent attachment of the wONDY $V$ code. The altered woNDY $v$ code is then compiled and run with the normal input information (that must, of course, be compatible with the original preprocessor size request).

It should be noted that the use of the preprocessor does not influence the overall operational efficiency of the code, but simply removes excess storage from the code. Use of the rezone capability may require that allowance should be made for an increased number of zones due to zone division.

### 7.3 Additional Variables

As was suggested in the previous section, WONDY $v$ carries an adjustable number of variables, where the input quantity NVAR is that number. NVAR must be at least 10 for any calculation, but if the requirement exists it can be made as large as 110. The extra variables are stored sequentially with the 10 basic variables in the STORE array and are transferred within a given zone-cycle calculation via the array $\operatorname{DATB}(k)$ where $k=i-10$, and $i$ is the appropriate parameter index. If, for example, one extra variable is to be used, NVAR is set to 11. This extra variable for zone 1 (lefthand virtual zone) is then stored in STORE (11), and before rezoning takes place, its value for zone 2 (the first real mass element) is placed in STORE (22), and so forth. Within a zone-cycle it is carried as dAPB(1). Retrieval of the extra variables is achieved exactly as described in the previous section by using the appropriate parameter index.

Since the entire sTORE array is initialized to zero, no problem arises with regard to the initial values of these extra variables unless non-zero
values are desired. If the latter is the case, a special subroutine ropsyon may have to be written for the purpose. However, if the extra variables are used only by the equations of state, non-zero values can be set in the initialization sections of the appropriate state routines.

In the present version of the code a number of these extra variables have been assigned uses. The firgt (NVAR $\geqslant 11$ ) is used for the total deposited energy density when initiating a problem with an external energy source as described in Section 4.4. The second (NVAR $\geqslant 12$ ) is the time integral damage, equation (3.124), needed when employing the cumalative damage fracture criterion of Section 3.6. The third extra variable (NVAR $\geqslant 13$ ) is the plastic work, equation (3.62), used in the work hardening elastic plastic material model of STATl as described in Section 3.1.2. The remaining extra variatles currently programmed are employed by sTAPA (see Section 3.4 .1 ). Here parameter index $i=13$ refers to the equilibrium stress deviator $\sigma_{e}^{d}$. If the isotropic hardening model is used $i=14$ refers to the plastic work (3.84). When the anisotropic hardening model is employed $14 \leqslant i \leqslant 20$ refer to the elemental stress deviators $\sigma_{x i}^{d}$ described by equations (3.91) and (3.92). These uses for extra variables have been included in the table of Section 7.1.

Note that NVAR must be equal to or greater than the indicated value only if the appropriate option is being employed. In addition, other uses for a given extra variable can be programmed as long as the corresponding uses presented here are not duplicated.
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                    APPENDIX A
    CODE STRUCTURE AND GLOSSARY OF VARIABEES
                        APPEARING IN COMMON
    The FONDY V code contains the following subroutines and entry points
(indented):
```



| SHAT1 <br> STIN1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| STAT2 |  |
| STIN2 |  |
| STAT3 |  |
| STIN3 | Computes equations of state <br> -- up to 5 may be dummies <br> Entry point for initialization |
| STAT4 |  |
| STIN4 |  |
| STAT5 |  |
| STIN5 |  |
| STAT6 |  |
| STEN6 |  |
| OUIN | Handles special output -- may be dumeny |
| OUTPL | Handles special output (plotting) <br> -- may be dummy |
| DUMP | Prepares restart tape |
| DUMPF | Writes restart tape |
| RESTART | Reads restart tape |

The following logical tape units are referenced in the code and should be defined if their functions are desired.

| TAPE10 | Available for user programmed routines |
| :--- | :--- |
| TAPE11 | Available for user programmed routines |
| TAPE20 | Binary output for restart |


| TAPE21 | BCD output when this unit not equivalenced to <br> standard output medium |
| :--- | :--- |
| TAPE22 | Available for user programmed routines |
| TAPE23 | Binary tape written by oorpl |
| TAPE25 | Binary input tape for restart |
| TAPE60 | Equivalenced to input |

The common blocks contained in wONDY are

These common blocks may be accessed for use in a new subroutine by the statement
*CALL, SHORTEN
in the subroutine.

The following table provides a list of variables contained in the common blocks in WONDY.

| FORTRAN NAGE | COMMON BLOCX |  | DESCRIPIICA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADDATA(100) | CON |  | dumeny array for additional input data |
| ALPHA2 | IND | $2(\alpha-1)$ | geometry constant |
| ANGLE | CON |  | not used |
| B1 | CON | $B_{1}$ | quadratic viscosity coefficient |
| B2 | CON | $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ | linear viscosity coefficient |
| C | VAR | $c_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | sound speed |
| CAPE | VAR | $\begin{array}{r} n+1 / 2 \\ E_{j}-1 / 2 \end{array}$ | internal energy |
| CAPH | VAR | $\begin{array}{r} n+1 / 2 \\ H_{j}-1 / 2 \end{array}$ | monentum |
| CAPK | VAR | $\begin{array}{r} n+1 / 2 \\ K_{j-1 / 2} \end{array}$ | kinetic energy |
| $\operatorname{CES}(42,20)$ | CON |  | equation of state constants for plates |
| CHG(6.20) | CON |  | not used |
| CN | VAR | $\begin{aligned} & n+1 \\ & C_{j-1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ | sound speed |
| DATB(100) | VAR |  | storage for additional variables |
| DE | O8\% |  | not used |
| DELRHO | VAR | $\Delta \rho / 2 \rho^{2}$ |  |


| FORTRAN NAME | COMAON BLOCK | DESCRIPITOA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DELT(5) | VAR | $\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}, \Delta t^{n-1 / 2}, \Delta t_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1 / 2}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta t^{n+3 / 2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta t^{n+1 / 2}+\Delta t^{n-1 / 2}\right)$ |
| DELTAX ( 20 ) | CON | initial size of first zone in plate |
| DELTAX1 (20) | CON | initial size of last zone in plate |
|  |  | $n+1 \quad n+1$ |
| DELXJ | VAR | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \quad-\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{j}-1}$ |
| DELXJI | VAR | $n+1 \quad n+1$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{j}-2}$ |
| DELXJ2 | VAR | $\begin{array}{r} n+1 \\ x_{j-2} \end{array}-\begin{array}{r} n+1 \\ x_{j-3} \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |
| DEP | VAR | $n+1 / 2$ |
|  |  | $\Delta t \quad / T D E P$ fraction of energy added |
| DUM (9025) |  | temporary storage area |
|  |  | for variables to be dumped to restart tape plot tape |
| DXMAX | CON | largest allowable zone size |
| DXMIN | CON | smallest zone size |
| DXMM( 20) | CON | smallest zone size by plate |
| DXMX ( 20) | CON | largest zone size by plate |


| FORTRAN NAME | COMMON BLOCK |  | DESCRIPTIOA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | VAR | $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | internal energy density |
| EN | VAR | $\mathscr{E}_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$ | internal energy density |
| ETOT | VAR |  | total initial energy plus added energy |
| EXIT | IND |  | exit indicator |
| EZERO(20) | CON |  | initial energy density in each plate |
| FCONST( 20 ) | CON |  | constant for fracture criteria for plate |
| FCONSTI(20) | CON |  | constant for fracture criteria for interface |
| FCRIT(20) | CON |  | fracture criterion for plate |
| FCRITI(20) | CON |  | fracture criterion for interface |
| HTOT | VAR |  | total initial momentum |
| IALPHA | IND | $\alpha$-2 | geometry constant |
| IDUMP | IND |  | buffer out indicator |


| FORIRAN NAME | COMMON BLOCK | DESCRIPYIOA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPLI | IND |  |
| IPL2 | IND |  |
| IPL3 | IND | cutput plot variables |
| IPL4 | IND |  |
| IPL5 | IND |  |
| ITABLE(50) | VAR | storage for zone numbers at fractures |
| J | IND | STORE Index for zone j |
| JA | IND | STORE Index for zone j+1 |
| JAN | IND | position in NEXT corresponding to zone $\mathbf{j + 1}$ |
| JBBN | IND | position in NEXT corresponding to zone $\mathbf{j}$-2 |
| JBN | IND | position in NEXT corresponding to zone $j-1$ |
| JN | IND | position in NEXT corresponding to zone $j$ |
| J3BN | IND | position in NEXT corresponding to zone j-3 |
| J4BN | IND | position in NEXT corresponding to zone j-4 |
| JONE | IND | indicates first zone |
| JTAPE | IND | number of plates to be read from restart tape |


| FORTRAN NAME | COAMON BLOCX |  | DESCRTPTIO: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KM( 3 ) | CON |  | symetry constants: 1; 1 ; $4 \pi / 3$ |
| KT1 | CON |  | time constant in stability criterion |
| K1Z | IND |  | not used |
| L | IND |  | zone number |
| LACT | IND |  | number of active zones |
| LBCN | CON |  | minimum position of left boundary |
| LDT | IND |  | zone controlling timestep |
| LDUMP | IND |  | not currently used |
| LHBT | IND |  | left hand boundary type |
| LMAX | IND |  | maximum number of zones |
| LOL | IND |  | zone at left of plate |
| LOR | IND |  | zone at right of plate |
| LPHA | IND | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | symmetry coefficient |
| M | VAR | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{j}-1 / 2}$ | zone mass constant |
| MCTR | IND |  | not used |
| MORE | IND |  | fiag for MORSTOR |


| FORIRAN NAME | COMPSON BLOCK |  | DESCRIPYTON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | IND |  | cycle number |
| NAMEI(20) | CON |  | not used |
| NAME2 (20) | CON |  | not used |
| NE | IND |  | not used |
| NEWPLAT | IND |  | indicator for first zone in plate |
| NEXT(1805) |  |  | array of zone sequence linkages |
| NEXTEM | IND |  | first unused position in NEXT |
| NOMESH(20) | CON |  | number of zones in plate |
| NONE | IND |  | indicator for first cycle |
| NOP | IND |  | number of plates |
| NSTART | IND |  | cycle to restart from dump tape |
| NTWO | IND |  | indicator for restart cycle |
| NVAR | IND |  | number of variables |
| P | VAR | $\stackrel{n}{p_{j-1 / 2}}$ | pressure |
| PA | VAR | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n} \\ \mathrm{pj}+1 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | pressure |
| PFRACT (1805) |  |  | indicator for rejoined fracture zone |


| FORTRAR NAME | COMMON BLOCK |  | DESCRTPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLATE | IND |  | plate index |
| PN | VAR | $\begin{gathered} n+1 \\ p_{j-1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | pressure |
| PNUM(20) | CON |  | not used |
| PRINTE | IND |  | indicator for normal editing |
| PRINTI | IND |  | indicator for calling OUTL |
| PRINTN | IND |  | indicator for calling OUTN |
| PZERO( 20) | CON |  | initial pressure in plate |
| $Q$ | VAR | $\stackrel{n}{q_{j-1 / 2}}$ | viscosity |
| QA | VAR | $\stackrel{n}{q_{j+1 / 2}}$ | viscosity |
| QFRACT(1805) |  |  | indicator for <br> fractured zone |
| QN | VAR | $\begin{gathered} n+1 \\ q_{j}-1 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | viscosity |
| R | VAR | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \rho_{j-1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | density |
| RA | VAR | $\stackrel{n}{\rho_{\mathbf{j}+1 / 2}}$ | density |
| RBCN | CON |  | maximum position of right boundary |


| FORTRAN NAME | COMMON BLOCK | - | DESCRIPMIOA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RCCOMB | CON |  | density gradient combine criteria |
| RCMB(20) | CON |  | RCCOMB by plate |
| RHBT | IND |  | right hand boundary type |
| RHODOT | VAR | $\dot{\rho} / \rho$ |  |
| RN | VAR | $\begin{gathered} n+1 \\ \rho_{j-1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | density |
| RSC( 20 ) | CON |  | RSCRIT by plate |
| RSCRIT | CON |  | constant stress resolution |
| RSCO(20) | CON |  | RzCO by plate |
| RSCl (20) | CON |  | RZCl by plate |
| RZCO | CON |  | fractional stress resolution |
| RZCl | CON |  | reference stress level |
| RZERO( 20 ) | CON |  | initial density of plate |
| RZTIME | CON |  | beginning rezone time |
| RZTM ( 20 ) | CON |  | RZTIME by plate |
| R1 | VAR |  | indicator of combinability of zones j-1 and j-2 |


| FORIRAN NAME | CONHON BLOCK |  | DESCRIPPICN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R2 | VAR |  | indicator of combinaability/divisibility of zone j-1 |
| S | VAR | $\stackrel{n}{j-1 / 2}_{n}$ | stress |
| SA | VAR | $\stackrel{n}{\sigma_{j+1 / 2}}$ | stress |
| SBN | VAR | $\begin{gathered} n+1 \\ \sigma_{j-3 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | stress |
| SIGACT | CON |  | active stress |
| SIGMAF(20) | CON |  | constant for fracture criteria for plate |
| SIGMAIP(20) | CON |  | constant for fracture criteria for interface |
| SIGMAO(20) | CON |  | constant for fracture criteria for plate |
| SIGMAOI(20) | CON |  | constant for fracture criteria for interface |
| SIGMAX | CON |  | maximum stress |
| SIGSEP | CON |  | separation stress |
| SN | VAR | $\begin{gathered} n+1 \\ \sigma_{j-1 / 2} \end{gathered}$ | stress |
| STATE ( 20) | CON |  | equation of state indicator |
| STORE (19870) |  |  | main storage array |
| SUMH | VAR |  | sum of momentum |


| FORTRAN WANE | COMMON BLOCK |  | DESCRIPITIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUMIE | VAR |  | sum of internal energy |
| SUMEE | VAR |  | sum of kinetic energy |
| SUMQE | VAR |  | sum of added energy |
| SZERO(20) | CON |  | initial stress in plate |
| $T$ | VAR |  | time |
| TABLE ( 2,50) | VAR |  | storage for $u_{\text {, }} \times$ at fracture |
| TDEP | CON |  | deposition time |
| THKNS(20) | CON |  | initial plate thickness |
| TITLE(10) | CON |  | problem title |
| U | VAR | $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{n - 1 / 2}}$ | velocity |
| UA | VAR | $\underset{u_{j+1}}{n-1 / 2}$ | velocity |
| UB | VAR | $\begin{aligned} & n-1 / 2 \\ & u_{j-1} \end{aligned}$ | velocity |
| UBBN | VAR | $\mathbf{u}_{j-2}^{n+1 / 2}$ | velocity |
| UBN | VAR | $\underset{u_{j-1}}{n+1 / 2}$ | velocity |
| UN | VAR | $\begin{aligned} & n+1 / 2 \\ & u_{j} \end{aligned}$ | velocity |
| UZERO(20) | CON |  | initial velocity of plate |


| FORTRAN NAME | COMMON ELOCR |  | DESCRIPIIOA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UZEROI (20) | CON |  | initial velocity of interface |
| WL | VAR |  | work at the left boundary |
| WR | VAR |  | work at the right boundary |
| W4020 | IND |  | indicator for printed output |
| X | VAR | $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{\mathbf{n}}$ | position |
| XA | VAR | $x_{j+1}^{n}$ | position |
| $\mathbf{X B}$ | VAR | $x_{j-1}^{n}$ | position |
| XBN | VAR | $x_{j-1}^{n+1}$ | position |
| XGAP(20) | CON |  | size of gap between plates |
| XN | VAK | $x_{j}^{n+1}$ | position |
| XRATIO(20) | CON |  | ratio of initial zone sizes |
| XZERO | CON | - | initial position of left boundary |
| z | VAR | $z_{j-1 / 2}^{n}$ | difference in principal stresses, $\phi$ |
| ZA | VAR | $z_{j+1 / 2}^{n}$ | difference in principal stresses. $\phi$ |
| ZN | VAR | $z_{j-1 / 2}^{n+1}$ | difference in principal stresses. $\phi$ |
| ZZERO( 20 ) | CON |  | initial $\phi$ in plate |

## APPENDIX B

## RESEARCH MATERIAE STUDIES

Although the numerical techniques discussed in this report are important in terms of accuracy and stability, an area that remains open to extensive research is the material characterization. Materials under shock loading behave in complex ways, and the code contains some common characterizations that are believed to be satisfactory for general classes of materials as described in Section 3. There are many materials that are not covered by these models, and formulations exist to cover limited classes of materials. Many of these models are formulated with internal state variables, involving micromechanical concepts, and are conveniently incorporated into the equation-of-state routines of the wavecode. They are not a part of the permanent wavecode structure principally because of their specialized nature.

The purpose of this appendix is to briefly describe several models which have been developed and successfully used in WONDY. WONDY often serves as a proving code for the validity of a model, and is frequently an important step to subsequent incorporation into multi-dimensional codes. The list here is meant only to be a sampling, and by no means represents all of the models that have been developed.

Recalling that the equation of state routine is entered with new (current cycle) values of the density $\left(\rho^{n+1}\right)$, current strain rates, and the old (previous cycle) values of the density ( $\rho^{n}$ ), stresses, energy. and state variables unique to the model, the routine must return new values of the stresses, energy, and state variables. The equations in many of these models may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=f\left(\rho, \xi_{i}, \ldots\right) \tag{B-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\xi}_{k}=g_{k}\left(\rho, \xi_{k}, \sigma_{i j} \ldots\right) \tag{B-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\sigma}_{i j}$ is the stress rate, and $\dot{\xi}_{k}$ the rate equations for the internal state variables. The complex coupling in these equations usually renders direct differencing ineffective to advance them in time. A method found to be quite successful is to retain the rate form, define the density variation with time over the timestep to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{\rho^{n+1}-\rho^{n}}{t^{n+1}-t^{n}}\left(t-t^{n}\right)+\rho^{n} \tag{B-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then use an ordinary differential equation system solver such as $O D^{B 1}$ to integrate equations $(B-1,2)$ from $t^{n}$ to $t^{n+1}$. Extra internal state variables are conveniently stored in the DATB array.

## Fracture Models

The dynamic fracture of ductile metals and many rocks is often not characterized by a unique "fracture stress." Instead, the concept of continuous "damage" accrual seems to be a more precise way to describe the onset of failure. To model such a process, rate equations for the damage are driven by some stress or strain measure, and the material moduli are written as variables that decrease with increasing damage.

Ductile aluminum has been modeled with the damage related to void nucleation and growth in the metal, as well as complementary rate equations for dislocation multiplication and visoplastic slip. B2,B3 oil shale is representative of many rocks that exhibit strain-rate dependent fracture
strengths, and its fracture and fragmentation properties have been described by damage rate equations. ${ }^{34}$

Loss of strength occurs in a continuous sense until the material cannot support further tension, but may disperse impinging compressive waves much as would a porous material.

## Piezoelectric/Ferroelectric Materials

Piezoelectric and ferroelectric materials are ciasses of solids that exhibit electromechanical coupling. $\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{B6,B7}$ Under local mechanical loading, an electric signal is generated which could cause a global response (depending on the circuit), hence distinguishing these materials from simpler materials in which information travels only at the solid wave speed. This electrical coupling requires that a circuit equation be added to the code, and that subsequent to the normal equation of state calculation, an overall implicit iteration is required. The ferroelectric materials are characterized by complex coupling of several rate equations, notably for dipole dynamics and domain switching ${ }^{B 7}$, while the simpler piezoelectric materials respond in a time-independent mode. Under low amplitude loading conditions, the ferroelectrics behave like piezoelectric solids.

## Viscoelasticity

The dynamic (mechanical) behavior of a non-linear viscoelastic material may be characterized by a generalized Maxwell model,

$$
\dot{\sigma}-F(\sigma, n) \dot{n}+G(\sigma, \eta)=0
$$

where $\sigma$ is the stress and $\eta$ is the bulk strain. $F(\sigma, n)$ is the instantaneous modulus, and $G(\sigma, n)$ is the relaxation function, providing viscous damping to the model ${ }^{\text {B8, }}$ B9. Depending upon the characteristic relaxation time of the
material and the code timestep, a subcycling technique provides the means to obtain the stress state.

A specific application of this model is to regular composites ${ }^{\mathbf{B 9}}$, where the functions $F$ and $G$ are determined from specified combinations of the constituent properties.

A modification of this model currently resides in STAT5 of WONDY.

## Explosives

The current models for explosives in the code (Section 3.2) treat the chemical energy release as a predetermined process -- "programmed-burn". Although this approximation is sufficient for many applications, it does not address cases where stress waves may initiate the explosive, or the detonation is boundary dependent. These latter issues require that not only the product gases be defined, but that an equation of state for the unreacted explosive also be available. Laws governing the mixture of these two states may then be written, where a state variable that accounts for the extent of reaction, $x$, is defined ${ }^{B 10, B 11, B 12 \text {. A rate equation governing the change in }}$ $x$, and driven by the temperature ( $T$ ) (for which a rate equation is also required), and/or the pressure ( p ), and current extent of the reaction may take the form

$$
\bar{x}=f(T, p, x, \ldots)
$$

In such a model, the propagation of shocks into the explosive is allowed, and eventually leads to reaction, or detonation failure. Although two-dimensionai boundary effects are particularly interesting explosive problems, the ability to more accurately simulate the transient wave phenomena in explosives is an important capability.

## Mixture Theories (Granular and Porous Materials; Bubbly Liguids)

The porous material model described in section 3.5 is a limiting case of a more general class of materials that can be modelled with mixture theories ${ }^{\text {Bl3,B14. These theories have been applied to, for example, porous }}$ and granular materials, mixtures such as alumina/epoxy, and bubbly liquids. The granular aspect has been incorporated into a model for explosives with hot spot formationBl2, where rate equations for changes in phase are required, and marked influence of grain size is seen on the reaction properties.

The wave motion through a bubbly liquid has been studied using a twophase model. The micromechanics of bubble growth and collapse, both mechanically and thermally induced, involves vaporization and condensation of the fluid, local heat transfer, local pressure imbalances, and inertia of the bubble growth.
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## APPENDIX C <br> NON-DIMENSIONALIZATION

Since there are no dimensional constants in wONDY (except for the BKW explosives model), any consistent set of units can be used for running problems. However it is also possible to run problems in non-dimensional form. This occasionally has advantages for parametric studies, although since most materials are non-linear and possess at least three non-dimensional properties ( $\Gamma_{o}, s$, and $v$ ), the only scaling that is practical is geometric scaling.

To perform non-dimensional calculations no changes are required in the coding, and any version of WONDY can be rendered non-dimensional in exactly the same way, providing that no dimensional constants have been inserted.* The only requirement is that the input parameters be specified properly.

To begin, one of the two independent variables, position or time, must be chosen as a reference quantity. This will be either a characteristic length, $x_{r}$, or a characteristic time, $t_{r}$. The former might be the thickness of one or more material layers, where the latter could be chosen as a characteristic pulse width or relaxation time.

To complete the non-dimensionalization two of the dependent variables must be chosen as reference quantities. These may be any two of velocity, density, stress, and energy. Note that since WONDY carries the energy as energy per unit mass, the pair, velocity and energy, is not a possible choice. The reference velocity, $u_{r}$, could be chosen as a material sound speed or input velocity; the density, $\rho_{r}$, would normally be a material

[^12]density; the reference stress, $\sigma_{r}$, may be one of the material moduli or an input stress; and the reference energy, $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$, could be chnsen as a material sublimation or melt energy or possibly a deposited energy density. There are, of course, many other choices which can be made for these reference quantitites, in fact, the unit value may well be chosen for any reference quantity.

Once an anpropriate set of three reference quantities has been chosen, all dimensional code input parameters can be converted to their nondimensional forms by utilizing the relations given in the accompanying table. To obtain the proper non-dimensional output, which is non-dimensionalized with the same relations used for the input, it is essential that all code input variables be non-dimensionalized with respect to the same set of reference quantities.

To illustrate the procedure, the input parameters necessary to nondimensionalize two sample problems will be given here. Both problems involve the same single material. It can be characterized with the following set of material properties and will utilize the normal equation of state for solids, STATI.

```
Density, \(\rho_{0}=2.7 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\)
Sound speed, \(c_{o}=5.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}\)
\(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{p}}\) slope, \(\mathrm{s}=1.5\)
Gruneisen parameter, \(\Gamma_{0}=2.0\)
Poisson's ratio, v \(=.333\)
Yield strength, \(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{O}}=3.0 \mathrm{~kb}\)
Sublimation energy, \(f_{s}=10^{11}\) ergs/gm
```

```
Vapor \(\gamma-1, \mathrm{H}=.25\)
Spall strength, \(\sigma_{\text {SPALL }}=20 \mathrm{~kb}\)
```

Note that the quantities $s, \Gamma_{o}, V$, and $H$ are already non-dimensional. This material represents a generic form of aluminum.

## Sample Problem No. 1

A 0.2 cm flyer plate impacts a target flate of the same material, 0.8 cm thick, at a velocity of $1.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$. The problem is to be run for $2.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{sec}$.

The problem is non-dimensionalized by choosing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{r}=\text { Flyer plate thickness }(0.2 \mathrm{~cm}) \\
& \rho_{r}=\text { Material density }\left(2.7 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right) \\
& u_{r}=\text { Material bulk sound speed }\left(5.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The main problem input parameters therefore become:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Flyer plate thickness }=1.0 & 0_{0}^{*}=1.0 \\
\text { Target plate thickness }=4.0 & c_{0}^{*}=1.0 \\
\text { Flyer plate velocity }=0.2 & Y_{0}^{*}=4.44 \times 10^{-3} \\
\text { Maximum problem time }=5.0 & \mathscr{E}_{S^{*}}^{*}=0.4 \\
\text { Spall strength, } \sigma_{\text {SPALL }}^{*}=2.96 \times 10^{-2}
\end{array}
$$

A single material layer 1.0 cm thick is subjected to a uniform energy deposition of $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ ergs/gm applied over $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ sec. Maximum problem time is again $2.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{sec}$.

Non-dimensionalize with respect to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{r}=\text { Plate thickness }(1.0 \mathrm{~cm}) \\
& \mathscr{E}_{r}=\text { Material sublimation energy }\left(1.0 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{ergs} / \mathrm{gm}\right) \\
& \sigma_{r}=\text { Material bulk modulus }\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}=\rho_{0} c_{0}^{2}=675 \mathrm{~kb}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The main problem input parameters are then

| Plate thickness $=1.0$ | $\rho_{0}^{*}=0.4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Deposited energy density $=.15$ | $c_{0}^{*}=1.58$ |
| Deposition time, TDEP* $=3.162 \times 10^{-2}$ | $\mid \Psi_{0}^{*}=4.44 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Maximum probiem time $=0.6324$ | $\mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{S}}^{*}=1.0$ |
| Spall strength, $\sigma_{\text {SPALL }}^{*}=2.96 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |

It should be emphasized that success in running non-dimensional calcnlations is directly dependent on the proper choice of non-dimensional input parameters. In other words all incidental code parameters such as zone sizes, edit times, and activity stress, etc., must be put in the appropriate non-dimensional form.

| Nondimen- <br> sionalize <br> with <br> respect <br> to | Dependent Variables |  |  |  | Independent Variables |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma *$ | $p^{*}$ | u* | $6^{*}$ | And, in addition, non-dimensionalize with respect to |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Length $\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{r}}$ |  | Time $t_{r}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ | t* | ** | t* |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { velocity } u_{r} \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Density } \rho_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\sigma}{\rho_{r} u_{r}^{2}}$ | $\frac{\rho}{\rho_{r}}$ | $\frac{u}{u_{r}}$ | $\frac{E_{1}}{u_{r}^{2}}$ | $\frac{x}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{t u_{r}}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{x}{u_{r} t_{r}}$ | $\frac{t}{t_{r}}$ |
| Stress $\sigma_{r}$ and Density $\rho_{r}$ | $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{r}}$ | $\frac{\rho}{\rho_{r}}$ | $\sqrt[u]{\frac{\rho_{r}}{\sigma_{r}}}$ | $\frac{\mathscr{E}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}_{r}}{\sigma_{r}}$ | $\frac{x}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{t}{x_{r}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{r}}{\rho_{r}}}$ | $\frac{x}{t_{r}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{r}}{\sigma_{r}}}$ | $\frac{t}{t_{r}}$ |
| Stress $\sigma_{x}$ and velocity $u_{r}$ | $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{r}}$ | $\frac{\rho u_{r}^{2}}{\sigma_{r}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathbf{u}_{r}}$ | $\frac{\mathscr{E}}{u_{r}^{2}}$ | $\frac{x}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{t u_{r}}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{x}{u_{r} t_{r}}$ | $\frac{t}{t_{r}}$ |
| Energy ${ }^{\prime} n$ and Density $\rho_{r}$ | $\frac{\sigma}{\rho_{r} r^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \times}$ | $\frac{p^{\prime}}{\rho_{r}}$ | $\frac{u}{\sqrt{\theta_{r}^{\prime}}}$ | $\frac{E_{0}}{\mathscr{E}_{x}}$ | $\frac{x}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{t \sqrt{E_{r}}}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{x}{t_{r} \sqrt{E_{r}}}$ | $\frac{t}{t_{r}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy } \mathscr{C}_{r} \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Stress } \sigma_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{r}}$ | $\frac{\rho \delta_{r}}{\sigma_{r}}$ | $\frac{u}{\sqrt{E_{r}}}$ | $\frac{\mathscr{E}^{\prime}}{\mathscr{E}_{x}}$ | $\frac{x}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{t \sqrt{8_{x}}}{x_{r}}$ | $\frac{x}{t_{r} \sqrt{E_{r}}}$ | $\frac{t}{t_{r}}$ |

*Quantities which have been non-dimensionalized.

## APPENDIX D

## SAMPLE PROBLEMS

This appendix contains three problems, providing a sampling of the options available in WONDY: spherical divergence of a wave from an explosive source into a thick shell, energy deposition into a plate, and plate impact with subsequent spall. The record set required for each problem is reproduced, foilowed by selected output for that problem. The number of zones in each problem has purposely been kept small to allow printed output to be included. All problems are done with mks units (length - m, time - s, density $-\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, stress $-\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{~Pa})$ ).

The printed output from each sample problem has been edited down to selected times to be representative of what the user can expect. The plots are excised from a larger figure, and may not contain all of the information the user will see upon executing this problem. As with the printed output, the plots are meant only to provide a representation of the more extensive output available to the user.

1. Thick spherical brass shell containing a charge of PBX-9404 explosive that is detonated at its center.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{0}=1840 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{O}}=8800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{D}=8800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{Y}=2.658
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\rho_{0}=8450 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
$$

$$
c_{0}=3726 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

$$
s=1.434
$$

$$
\Gamma_{0}=2.04
$$

$$
y=0.32
$$

$$
Y_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{GPa}
$$

Input file for Sample Problem 1, with plotting instructions:


SAMPLE FROJHLEM 1 - PBX9404 IN GRASS SHELL



| Plate c' | HT INT AI X : | $\therefore$ : 00005-02 | R1 INT AT | 61 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CAKD NO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STAIF } \\ 10000 E+00 \end{array}$ | NOME Eillts <br> 4. OOUOE HOI | THICKNESS 1. OOOOE-02 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DELTAX } \\ \text { 2. } 5000 \mathrm{E} \cdot-04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEL TAX1 } \\ \text { 2. 5000E:-04 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { XKAT10 } \\ 0 \text { OOOOE +OO } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { KGAP } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CAKD NO } \\ \text { II } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RZTIME } \\ 1 \text { OOOOE }+30 \end{array}$ | DXMIN <br> 1. 0000E +00 | DXMAX <br> O. OOODE + 00 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RCCOMB } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{~F}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RSCRIT } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{K2CO}$ $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RZC } \\ \text { 0. OOOOE }+00 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CARD } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | E2ERO <br> O. OOOOE +00 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { P2ERO } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | RZERT <br> a. 4500Er03 | SZERD $0.0000 E+00$ | UZERD <br> O. 0000E: +00 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UZEROI } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | 22ERO <br> 0. OOODE + OO |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARD NU } \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FCRIT } \\ \text { 2. } 0000 \mathrm{~F}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { E1 GMAF } \\ .1 .0000+100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FCONST } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | sIgMAD <br> O. OOOOE +00 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARD } \text { NO. } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | FCRITI <br> 2. OOOOE. 400 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SIGMAIF } \\ -1.0000+100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CONSTI } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIGMAOI } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| HYDRO-VAPOR-ELASTIC-PLAS1 IC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARD ND 15 | E. 4500E +03 | CES( 2) <br> 3. 7260E+03 | CES ( 3) $0.0000 \mathrm{H}+00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES( 4) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES( S) } \\ \text { 0. OODOE }+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES( 6) } \\ \text { 3. } 2000 \mathrm{E}-01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES( 7) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARD ND. } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES( E) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CES( 9) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES (10) } \\ \text { 1. } 4340 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(21) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(12) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | CES(13) <br> 0. 0000E + 00 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES (14) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CARD ND } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{ces}(15) \\ 10000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{CES}(16) \\ \text { 2. } 0400 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CESi17) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{t}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(18) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | CES(19) <br> 0. OOOOE +00 | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{CES}(20) \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(21) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ |
| CARD ND. 18 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(22) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | CES(23) <br> 0. OOOOE + 00 | CES(24) <br> 0. 0000E+00 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CE8(25) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(26) } \\ 0.00001=+\infty 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CEB(27) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | CES(28) <br> 0. OOOOE +00 |
| CARD NO 19 | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{CES}(29) \\ \text { 1. OOOOE+00 } \end{array}$ | CEE(30) <br> 2. $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(3I) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{CEE}(32) \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{~F}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CEB(33) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES (34) } \\ 0.0000=+00 \end{array}$ | CES(35) <br> 0. O000E +00 |

SAMPLE PGHLALI $t$－puxamon in bleass grlell

| C．YCl |  | 39 |  | IIME＝ |  | OO5\％15－ |  | siter＝ | 2 | 918\％7E－08 |  | L．DT | 10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Pasition |  | Vrimelty |  | thensiry | strues | PuEssiult |  | PHI |  | ENEREY | ART VIGC |  | TND 5Pl | Mass |
|  | 0 | 0000t．00 | 0 | OU00l 90 | 0 | OUNOE＋00 | 0．0000ctoo | 0．60000E＋ 10 |  | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | OOOOE＋ 00 | －ODOOE +00 | 0 |  | （）OOOOE +00 |
| 2 |  | G25IE－04 | － 5 | 7697E＋00 |  | 29RIE＋03 | －7763E＋09 | 2．94095－0日 |  | －0000E +00 | 4 | 1794E＋06 | 1．1159E＋07 | 4 | 3014E203 | 2 3UODE－07 |
| 3 | 1 | 104EE－03 | B | 6515s：＋00 |  | 3600E＋03 | 9 OABLE +09 | 6．32EJE OE |  | － $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1 | 0103E＋06 | －ODOOE＋00 | 4. | $2040 L+03$ | $1.61005-06$ |
| 4 | 1 | G512E－033 | － 4 | 1CBIEE＋00 |  | 3912E＋03 | 9 O2A゙旡 107 | 1．4205k－07 |  | 5．O000E＋00 |  | 7117E＋06 | 7．2669E406 | 4 | 1519E＋05 | 4 3700E－06 |
| 5 |  | 14：34E－03 | 4 | 04： $2 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | 4 $3,4 \mathrm{C}+03$ | $9.0437 E+09$ | 1．700EE－07 |  | 0．O000E +00 | 3 | 2763E＋06 | －．0000E＋00 | 4. | 1340 2 ＋ 0 ， 3 | －5100E－06 |
| 6 |  | 735フE－03 | －1 | 1407E＋01 |  | 4192E＋03 | 7．O2aOer09 | 2．5SULE－ 07 |  | 0．DOOCE：＋00 | 3 | C305Eton | 1．9absE＋07 | 4 | 11933＋03 | 1 4030E－（1） |
| 7 | 3 | 269c9E－03 | －4． | 402at＋01 |  | 9070E＋03 | B． $7355 E r 07$ | 3．1250e－07 |  | －0000E＋00 |  | $7527 E+06$ | 2． $2433 E+07$ | 4. | 0667te 133 | 2． 0430 OE －09 |
| $\theta$ |  | 1271E－03 | 2 | 6977E＋01 |  | 412 CtE +03 | B．BE3AE +07 | 3． 693 EE － 07 |  | 0．0000e +00 |  | 7667E＋06 | －． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4. | 07435．＋03 | 2．9E！10E 08 |
| 7 |  | 3591E－03 | 1 | 5243E＋02 |  | 4515E＋03 | $93965 E+09$ | 4． $21414 E-07$ |  | Q．OOOOE＋ 00 | 3. | 7046E＋OC | －00000 +00 | 4 | 14a2E＋03 | 3 日670E－05 |
| 10 |  | 67SAE－03 | 3. | $1005 E+02$ |  | 3161E＋03 | 1．037 AE＋ 10 | 4．日295E－07 |  | 0．0000E＋00 |  | 143AE＋06 | －．0000E＋00 | 4 | 2731t＋03 | 4 9910E－05 |
| 11 |  | 3763E－03 | 4. | 7145E＋02 |  | 5\％をE＋03 | 1． $1601 E+10$ | 5．3977E－07 |  | －DOOOE +00 |  | 4．361E゙＋06 | －0000E＋00 | 4. | 421．5t＋03 | 6 a330E－05 |
| 12 |  | GG3EE－03 | b． | 4517E＋02 |  | 6474E＋03 | 1． $3013 E+10$ | 3．7659E－07 |  | O．00001E＋00 |  | 7585E＋06 | O． $00008+00$ | 4 | 9794E＋03 | 7． 1330 OE －05 |
| 13 |  | 335jE－03 |  | 30日6E＋02 |  | $73 \mathrm{COE}+03$ | 1．47SEE＋10 | 6．534IE O7 |  | 0．0000E＋00 |  | 1437E＋06 | 0．0000E＋00 | 4 | 7 6 OREE＋O3 | 9．13108－03 |
| 14 |  | 7937E－03 | 1. | $03916+03$ |  | c176E＋03 | 1． $6789 E+10$ | 7．1023E－07 |  | 0．0000EE +00 | 5 | SG30ERO6 | －OOOOE +00 | 4 | 932aE +03 | 1 07a7E－04 |
| 15 |  | 23695－07 | 1 | 2013E＋03 |  | 7ETMEE＋03 | $17365 E+10$ | 7．6705E－07 |  | O． $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | 0769E＋06 | O．O000E H00 | 5 | 1750E－03 | 2 23915－04 |
| 16 |  | 66e゙SE゙－03 | 1 | 3394E＋03 |  | 04\％5E＋03 | 2．2650E +10 | 8． 3 TB6E－0\％ |  | －．ODOOE＋OO |  | $7308 E+06$ | －．O000E＋00 | 3 | 44EiJt＋03 | 3．4513E－04 |
| 17 |  | 0774E－03 | 1 | 2014E＋03 |  | $1476 E+0{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2． $5963 E+10$ | 8．B00EE－07 |  | 0．0000E 400 |  | P64 9E＋06 | 3．B500E＋OB | 5 | 66611＋103 | 1 6583E－04 |
| 19 |  | 9177E－03 | 5 | O2962E＋ 02 |  | OBG1E＋0J | 1 2080゙E＋10 | 9．3750E－07 |  | 0．0000E＋00 |  | BUROE ROA | 4． $738 \mathrm{EE}+09$ |  | 8000E＋03 | 1 9791E－04 |
| 19 |  | 0004E－03 | 1. | 3652E＋01 |  | 90：1E＋03 | 1． $6799 E+09$ | 9．9435E－07 |  | －0000E +00 |  | 4134E＋06 | 2．3632E＋09 |  | $80005+03$ | c．1137E－04 |
| 20 | 7 | 50005－03 | 0. | O000E＋00 |  | 8418ETOJ | 0．0000E＋00 | 1．OSIIE OG |  | 0．0000estoo | 6． | 3842E＋06 | 2．2501E407 | 3. | 8000E＋02 | 2．36R1E－04 |
| WOIKM |  | $T$ Lbounis |  | 0.00000 | ． 00 |  | WOFK AT HED | UND | ． 00 | 00000E +00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INIE | RNA | AL ENERGY |  | 0． 39787 | ＋0s |  | KINETIC EN | poy | ． 2 | 3644E＋04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADDE |  | ENERGY |  | － 00000 | ＋00 |  | TOTAL MOME | TUM |  | 4109E＋01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rel |  | NEROY ERROR |  | － 00000 | 00 |  | REL．MOMENT | ERROIR | ． 00 | 0000E＋00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SAMPLE PHOHLEM 1 - PHX9404 IN HKASS SHELI


Shiple problem 1 －PBX9404 in bhass shell

|  |  |  | ME＝－ | 6．00179E－0 |  | F | 69077E－08 | LDT | 22 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | POSITIUN | Veticicity | density | STRESS | PRESEITRE＊ | PHI | ENERGY |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | OOOOE＋OO | －0000E +00 | －oovoer roo | O．OOOOE＋+00 | 0．10005：＋00 | 0．0000E＋00 | O．OODOE＋00 | O．ODOOE＋ 00 | SND SPl | MASS |
| 2 | 7 | 6150E－04 | 9 ：260E＋01 | 5． $207315+02$ | 1． $1622 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 2． $8409 \mathrm{CL}-0 \mathrm{~B}$ | 0．OOOOE +00 | 1 1． 3 OSE＋OG | O．OOOOE＋00 <br> 0．DOOOE +00 | $0 \text { 0000t +100 }$ | $0 \text { OOOOE }+00$ |
| 3 | 1 | 4841E－03 | 9 1102E－01 | 5 6947E +02 | 1 1192E＋09 | 8．52， 2F－OE $^{\text {a }}$ | O．0000E＋ 00 | 1．1853E＋06 |  | 2 235GE＋03 | 2．3000E－07 |
| 4 | 2 | 1734E－03 | －1 4601E＋02 | 6． $2448 \mathrm{EE}+02$ | 1． $2641 E+09$ | 1．4EOEE－07 | O．0000E +00 | 1．E209E＋OG | 1． $33355+08$ |  | $\text { 1. } 6100 E-06$ |
| 5 | 2 | 8356E－03 | － $32 \times 4 E+02$ | 6．7904E＋02 | 1． $4512 \mathrm{~F}+09$ | 1． 9 E日GE－07 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1．2日90E＋OS | 1． $242 \mathrm{SOE}+08$ | E．319ヵE +0.7 <br> 2． $3 \mathrm{~B} 34 \mathrm{E}+0.3$ | 4． $3700 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{O6}$ <br> E．5100E－06 |
| 6 | 3 | 47J3E－03 | －3 O0esctor | 7． $3450 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1． $6970 E+09$ | 2．556日E－07 | 0．OOOOE＋00 | 1．3935ERO6 | 1．2207E＋OB | 2． $4781 E+03$ | E．5100E－06 <br> 1． $4030 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 7 | a | O872E－03 | －2． $9044 E+02$ | 7． $7345 E+02$ | 2． $01.41 E+09$ | 3．1250E－07 | 0．0000E＋00 | 1． $5310 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4．085aE＋07 | 2． $5975 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. } 4030 \mathrm{E}-05 \\ & 2.0930 \mathrm{E}-05 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 4 | $6952 E-03$ $3025 E-03$ | －2． $4458 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{E}$ | 6．2907E＋O2 | 2． $2.236 E+09$ | 3． $6932 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0．0000E＋00 | 1． $4176 E+06$ | 1． $1133 E+07$ | 2． $6700 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2．9210E－OS |
| 10 | 5 | 9133E－03 | －1． $61004 E+02$ | 9．653sc＋02 | 2．3554E＋09 | 4．3614E－07 | 0．O000E＋00 | 1． $6661 E+06$ | 3．5840E＋06 | 2． $7097 E+0: 3$ | 3． $8670 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 11 | 6 | S20¢E－03 | －1．6845E＋02 | a．Eavaetor | 2． $5471 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 5． $3977 E-07$ | O． 0 | 1． $\mathrm{CB88E+04}$ | 6． $6319 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2．7291E＋03 | 4．9910E－05 |
| 12 | 7 | 1315E－03 | －1．3037E＋02 | 8． $9136 E+02$ | 2． $5907 E+09$ | 5． $9659 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  | 2．7675E +05 | 6．2330E－05 |
| 13 | 7 | 7363E－03 | －1．O2G4E＋02 | 9．1007E＋02 | 2．73ager09 | 6． $5341 \mathrm{E} \cdot 07$ | 0 |  | O．O000E＋00 | 2．7794E＋03 | 7．G130E－OS |
| 14 |  | 3372E－03 | －6．0939E＋01 | 9． $2599 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{OL}^{2}$ | 2． $8414 E+09$ | 7．1023E－－07 | 0 | E＋06 | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2．8252E＋03 | 9．1310E－OS |
| 15 | 8 | 927日E－03 | －E． $8099 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9． $5254 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 032EE＋09 | 7 | － | 1．B507E＋06 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2． $85595+03$ | 1． $0797 E-04$ |
| 16 | 9 | 5127E－03 | 2．7491E＋01 | 9． $725 \mathrm{BE}+02$ | 3．1923E＋09 | B．23B6E－07 |  | 1． $9199 E+06$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2．908日E +03 | 1 25EIE－04 |
| 17 |  | 0096E－02 | 1．2488E＋02 | 9． $6515 \mathrm{C}+02$ | 3．27b9E＋09 | B． $808 \mathrm{EE}-07$ | 0 | 1．9739E＋06 | 0．O000E＋00 | 2．9471E＋03 | 1．4513E－04 |
| 16 |  | 0692E－0a | 3．OE25E＋02 | 9．7263E＋02 | 3．1625E +09 | 9．3750E－07 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2．0062E＋06 | O00E＋00 | 2． $9734 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1． $6583 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 19 |  | 1312E－02 | 5．3902E＋02 | 9．3917E＋02 | 2． $8703 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 9．943PE－07 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | O0E＋00 | 2．9394E＋03 | 1． $8791 E-04$ |
| 20 |  | 1967E－02 | E． $2918 \mathrm{C}+0 \mathrm{E}$ | B． $8671 \mathrm{~F}+02$ | 2．4557E＋09 | 1． $0511 E-06$ | $0.0000 E+00$ | 1． $6703 \mathrm{E}+06$ | ． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2． $8501 E+03$ | 2．1137E－04 |
| 21 | 1 | 2681E－02 | 1．1706E＋03 | E．O643E＋02 | 1． $9290 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1．10g0E－06 | 0．0000E +00 | 1． $4427 E+06$ | $0.0000 E+00$ <br> 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $\text { 2. } 7131 E+03$ $\text { 2. } 3215 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{O}^{\prime 3}$ | 2．3621E－04 2．6243E－04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | INT |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1 | 2839E－02 | 1．1647E＋03 | E．42EEE＋03 | 1． $2441 E+07$ | 1．110EE＋09 | －2．OOOOE＋08 | 日．1665E＋04 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |
| 23 |  | 3001E－02 | 1． $132 \mathrm{EEE}+03$ | ®．3745E＋03 | 2． $9053 E+08$ | 1． $5720 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{~B}$ | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | \％． $0053 \mathrm{SE}+04$ | 1． $1593 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 4． $6269 E+03$ | $\begin{aligned} & 373 E-04 \\ & 22 I E-04 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 |  | 7169E－02 | 1． $0943 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 日．3070E＋03 | －7．7651E＋0日 | －9．OFBAE +08 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | G． $1162 \mathrm{t}+04$ | 4．0204E＋07 | 4． $547 \mathrm{EE}+03$ |  |
| 25 |  | 3342E－02 | 1．O5EEE＋03 | B．2307E＋03 | －1．9084E＋09 | －2．0418E +09 | －2．OOOOE + O日 | 5．5704E＋04 | 5．476日E +07 | 4． $4593 \mathrm{~F}+03$ |  |
| 26 |  | 3519E－02 | 1． $0100 \mathrm{E}+03$ | E．1605E＋03 | －2． $6817 E+09$ | －3． $0131 E+09$ | －2． $00000 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5．317eE＋04 | 6．2526E＋07 | 4． $3793 E+0.3$ | 7．B440E－04 |
| 27 |  | 3701E－02 | 9．5975E＋02 | E．1009E +03 | －3．6779E＋09 | －3． $8113 \mathrm{E}+09$ | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+$ OB | 5． $2199 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 9． $9182 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 4． $3110 E+03$ | 8．zoose－04 |
| 28 29 |  | 3887E－02 | 9． $001 \mathrm{EE}+02$ | g．O7E0E＋03 | －4．0761E＋09 | －4．2075E＋09 | －2． 00000 ＋0B | 5． $06998+04$ | 1．5622E＋08 | 4． $2763 E+03$ | B．5649E－04 |
| 30 |  | 4076E－02 | 8． $3240 E+02$ | 日．OENOE＋03 | －4．0228E＋09 | －4．1561E＋09 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | 4． $7633 \mathrm{ErO4}$ | 2．1114E＋08 | 4．28ㄹE＋03 | 8． $9372 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 31 |  | 4460E－02 | 7． $6697 E+02$ | 日．1016E +03 | －3．7984E＋09 | －3．9317E＋09 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{DB}$ | 4． $4191 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 2．1435E＋08 | 4．3031E＋03 | 9． $3174 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 32 |  | 4654E－02 | －．S027E＋02 | B． $1665 E+03$ | －3．4040E＋09 $-3.0739 E+09$ | －3．5373E＋09 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 4． $0303 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 1．9354E＋0日 | 4．338aE＋03 | 9．705bE－04 |
| 33 |  | 4851E－02 | 6． $00974 \mathrm{t}+02$ | 日．186GE＋03 | －2．8552E＋09 | －2．98EGE＋0 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+$ |  | 1． $\mathrm{E1} 80 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | 4．3681E＋03 | 1．O102E－03 |
| 34 |  | 5049E－02 | 5．5895E +02 | 日 $2042 E+03$ | －2． $6645 E+09$ | －2．7978E＋09 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0$ |  | 1．5039E＋08 | 4．3875E＋03 | 1． $0508 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 35 |  | 5249E－02 | $5.2324 E+02$ | E．CRPGE＋03 | －2．4597E＋09 | －2．5930E＋09 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2． $9292 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $6 E+07$ | 4． $4042 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1．091日E－03 |
| 36 |  | 5451E－02 | 4．9293E＋02 | a 24inctor | －2．2330E＋09 | －2．3664E＋09 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2． $7123 E+04$ | 7． $1616 E+07$ | 03 | 1． $1338 E-03$ |
| 37 |  | 5655E－02 | 4． $6629 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 6． $2624 E+03$ | －1．9971E＋09 | －2．1304E＋09 | －2． $00000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{OB}$ | 2． $5150 \mathrm{E}+04$ |  | 4．4809E＋03 | 1．1765E－03 <br> 1．2201E－03 |
| 38 |  | 5ESOE－02 | 4． $4307 \mathrm{E}+02$ | B．28PIE＋03 | －1．7632E＋09 | －1． $9966 \mathrm{E}+09$ | －2． $00000{ }^{\text {a }}+09$ | 2． $3371 E+04$ | 4． $6314 \mathrm{C}+07$ | 4．4803E＋03 | 1．2201E－03 <br> 1．2645E－03 |
| 39 |  | 6066E－02 | 4． $2242 E+02$ | 日．3004E＋03 | －1．3434E＋09 | －1． $4767 E+09$ | －m． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | 8．1781E＋04 | 3．Bug3E＋07 | 4．49ajeE＋0．3 | 1． $2645 \mathrm{E}-03$ 1． $307 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 40 |  | 6274E－02 | 4．039EE＋02 | E． $3167 E+03$ | －1．3465E＋09 | －1．4759E＋09 | －2． 0000 E゙＋08 | C． $0371 E+04$ | 3． $1517 E+07$ | 4． $5141 E+03$ | 1．3556E－03 |
| 41 |  | 6483E－02 | 3 日7e9E＋02 | 6． $3312 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1 1715E＋09 | －1．3048E＋09 | －2．0000E +08 | 1． 7125 E ＋04 | 2．589EE＋07 | 4．5agretos | 1．4023E－03 |
| 42 |  | 6674E－02 | 3 7\％ $30 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 日． $3442 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1． $0126 E+09$ | －1．1459Er09 | －2．0000E＋08 | 1．BOOEE＋04 | 2． $1179 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 4．5409E 03 | 1．4498E－03 |
| 43 |  | 6905E－02 | 3．5日70E＋02 | ©．3540E＋03 | －8． $6890 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | －1．0030er 09 | －2． $00000{ }^{\text {2 }}+08$ | 1． $70065+04$ | 1． $7242 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 4 552neto3 | 1．49Erem－09 |
| 49 |  | 7118E－02 | 3 4635E＋02 | Q． $3665 E+03$ | －7．4112E＋08 | －8．7445E P Ot | －2．0000E＋08 | 1 $6094 E+04$ | 1． $37935+07$ | 4．SGRJEto3 |  |
| 45 | 1 | 7333E－02 | 3 3507E＋02 | 日．3750E＋03 | －6．279EE＋0日 | －7，4131E＋08 | －2．0000E＋0日 | 2．У276E＋04 | 1． $04535+07$ | 4． $371 \mathrm{mE}+03$ | 597ME－03 |
| 46 |  | 754EE－O2 | 3． $2477 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{E}$ | 日． 3 B27E＋03 | －5．289JE＋08 | －6．GAEEE＋0日 | －2． $000005+08$ | 1．4856E＋04 | e．3844E＋06 | 4．57B7E＋03 | 6479E－03 |
| 47 |  | 7785E－08 | 3 15J5E＋O2 | E．3407E 03 | －4． $4364 E+0 \mathrm{~B}$ | －5．7697E＋0日 | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{E}$ | 1．393325＋04 | 6． $07705+06$ | 4．58SSE＋03 | 1．6494E－04 |
|  |  | 776EE－02 | 3 0667E＋OE | E． $3767 E+03$ | －3． $7337 E+08$ | －5． $0671 \mathrm{E}+08$ | －m． 00000 ETO日 | 1． $3356 E+04$ | 4．2704E＋06 | 4 5410E＋03 | $17517 E-03$ |
| 19 | 1 | GPOIE－OE | 2 FAGIE＋OE | E． $4013 E+03$ | －3．1896E＋0日 | －4．52e795＋0日 | －2． $00000 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1． 2 P6EE＋04 | 2．日EEAE＋06 | $4.595 \mathrm{ckt}+\mathrm{O}^{3}$ | 804\％E－03 |
| 50 |  | E4atE－03 | e． $9109 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 日．4047E゙＋03 | －2． 79 90E＋0日 | －4．12¢1E＋0日 | －n．O000et0a | 1．F115E404 | 1．6647E．06 | 4．S9ETJF 405 | GSBaE－03 |
| 51 |  | 日gAEE－CO | 二 $3410 \mathrm{~F}+02$ | 日 4075E＋03 | －2． $4749 E+00$ | －3．Guser rob | －2． $0000 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{E}$ | 1．19RME＋ 04 | 3 OSORE＋OS | 4 BLOORE＋0：3 | 4133E，－ |
| 93 | 1 | 61364E－02 | e $7763 \mathrm{E}+02$ | － $4077 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －2． $2715 \mathrm{E}+08$ | －3． $601 \mathrm{AE}+\mathrm{OB}$ | －2．0000E゙＋08 | 1．1501ETO4 | － $00002+00$ | 4 क0．24E 60.3 | VEDTE－（）3 |
| 53 |  | COBGE－OE | ： $71565^{\circ} \mathrm{COR}$ | 日． 411 AETO3 | －2．OE49E＋0日 |  | －2．O000E＋08 | 1．1147E＋04 | 0．00001： 00 | n coubut（0） | E OESOECNTS |
| ：14 |  | 9310E－02 | －G5ESERO2 | B． $1154 E+03$ | －1．E74RE＋0日 | －3．EOTSE ROA | －2 0000EVOE | 1． $01795+04$ | 0．O0OUE +00 | 1 sosedt＋wi |  |

SAMFLE PROBLEM I－PBX9404 IN BRASS Sitell

| CyCle |  | 214 |  | TIME ： |  | 001976－0 |  |  | 4E Step | P． $\mathbf{E}$ | 9097E－08 |  | LDT $=$ | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6． |  | PUSITION |  | vel dicity |  | uensity |  | S1RESS | PRESSURE |  | 1211 |  | 1－NERGY | ART VISC |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 1 | 4535E U2 | e | 3960e＋02 |  | 41：4E＋03 |  | 6，306E＋08 | －2． 7639 E OH |  | OOOOE＋ 0 ¢ | 1 | O276ErO4 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | SND（1P） |  | MASS |
| 54 | 1 | 976OE－02 | $\pm$ | $54006+02$ |  | 41 Eeve +03 |  | 3959E＋ 08 | －2．7192E＋0U |  | 0000e roa |  | 9290E＋03 | O．O000E +00 | 4 |  | 2 | $1593 t-03$ |
| 57 | 1 | 99日7E－02 | 2 | 4825E＋O2 |  | 4207E＋ 03 |  | 129EE＋0日 | －2．4632E＋0日 |  | O000E＋0日 |  | 3012E＋03 | 1． $12000 E+00$ | 4 | $6095 E+0 \prime$ G115E＋03 |  | 198.5E-Ot |
| 58 | 2 | 0214E－O2 | 2 | $4 \mathrm{Ca} 1 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  | 43BE＋03 |  | E211E＋07 |  |  | 0000E＋0日 |  | 3565E＋03 | 1． $2802 E+05$ 3． $7365 E+05$ |  | 6115E＋03 $6135 F+03$ | 2 | $2579 E-0 ؛$ |
| 59 | 2 | O44EE－02 | 2 | 3713E＋02 |  | 425EE＋03 |  | 3325E＋07 | －1．9666E＋0日 |  | DOOOE＋0日 |  | 0329E＋03 | 3． 7 E 205E＋05 |  | 615SE＋03 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3181 E-03 \\ & 37016-27 \end{aligned}$ |
| 60 | 2 | 0670E－02 | 2 | 3187E＋02 |  | 4276E＋03 |  | 日300E +07 | －1．7163E＋0日 |  | 0000E＋08 |  | 4169E＋0．3 |  |  |  | 2. | $\begin{aligned} & 3791 E-03 \\ & 4407 E-03 \end{aligned}$ |
| 61 | 2 | O999E－02 | 2 | 2681E＋02 | B | 4350E＋0＇3 | －1． | $2376 E+07$ | $-1.4571 E+08$ |  | 0000E +08 | 2. | 9707E＋03 | 1． $7313 E+05$ | 4 | 617日E＋03 G205E＋03 | 2. | $\begin{aligned} & 4409 \mathrm{E}-03 \\ & 5034 \mathrm{E}-03 \end{aligned}$ |

WORK AT LUDUND
INTERNAL ENEROY ADDED ENERGY
REL．ENERGY ERROR
－O0000E＋00
18790E＋05
0． $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$
O．O0000E +00

## WONK AT RBOUND KINETIC ENERGY REL．MOMENTUM EFIROR <br> 0． $00000 E+00$ <br> ． $00000 E+00$ <br> ． $103949 E+0.5$ <br> $0.10649 E+03$

SAMPLE PROBLEM 1 - PBX9404 IN BRASS SHELL







2. Energy deposition in a thick aluminum plate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a= & 0.01 \mathrm{~m} \\
& (50 \text { zones })
\end{aligned}
$$



Triangular deposition of energy, $\varepsilon_{0}=1.0\left(10^{6}\right)$ Joules $/ \mathrm{kg}$. Deposition time $=1$ ns

Aluminum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{0}=2785 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \quad \text { (Fracture suppressed) } \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{O}}=5355 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{g} \\
& \mathrm{~s}=1.345 \\
& \Gamma_{0}=2.1 \\
& \nu=0.333 \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{O}}=75 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Input file for Sample Problem 2, with plotting instructions:

gample frlillem :' .. energy lefosition in ai uminum flate



AKBITRAKY E:NEHGY LENSITY HHOFILFE DAIA

no of hoints in arbitrary deposition profile 2

|  | ARHITRARY | NORMALITED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POSITION | ENERGY DENSITY | ENHHGY DENSSIIY |
| $-0.0000 E+00$ | $0.100 E+07$ | $0.100 E+07$ |
| $0.2000 E-0 E$ | $0.000 E+00$ | $0.000 E+00$ |

ENEROY DENEITIES FOR PLATE 1

| E | 0. $950 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 3 | O ESOERO6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | -. $350 E+06$ | 9 | 0. 250E +04 | 10 | 0. 150 E - 06 | 11 | 0. GSOE FOG | 6 | 0. 550Et06 | 7 | 0.450E+06 |
| 14 | 0. OOOE + 00 | 15 | 0. OOOE + 00 | 14 | 0. OOOE +00 | 17 | O. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 12 | O. O00E 100 | 13 | 0. OOOE +00 |
| 20 | 0. OOOE +00 | 21 | 0. O00E + 00 | 22 | -. OOOE +00 | 23 | 0. 000e + 00 | 18 | 0. $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 19 | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 26 | 0. O00E +00 | 27 | 0. OOOE + 00 | 28 | 0. $0002+00$ | 29 | O. 0000 ErOO | 24 | 0. 000E +00 | 25 | 0. $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3. | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 33 | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 34 | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 29 35 | $0.000 E r 00$ $0.000 E+00$ | 30 | 0. O00E 0 +00 | 31 | 0. OOOE +00 |
| 38 | 0. OODE +00 | 39 | 0. $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 40 | O. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 41 | -. 000E 0 +00 | 36 | O. OUOE + 00 | 37 | 0. O00E +00 |
| 44 | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 45 | -. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 46 | O. $000 \varepsilon+00$ | 47 | O. 000E 1.00 | 42 | 0. 000E +00 | 43 | 0. O00E +00 |
| 50 | 0. $0000+00$ | 51 | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | -. 000 + 0 | 47 | O. 000e roo | 48 | O. OOOE +00 | 49 | 0. $000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

SAMPLE PROLLEM $a^{\prime}$－ENERGY IEFOSIIIGN IN AI UMINUM PLATE

|  |  |  |  | IE | 1 DiJOJE |  | ME STEP 2 | 43427E－0日 | LDT | 29 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L |  | POSITION |  | Velocity | Itens ITY | STRESG | PRESSURE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8 | 0703E－05 | －6 | 9410E－02 | 0 OOCNOE＋ 00 | 0．0000E100 | O．0000te +00 | O．DOOOE 400 | 0．00．00E＋00 | ART VISC OOOOE +00 | SND SPI | MASS |
| 2 | 1 | 3406E－04 | 3 | 63U1E－D1 | 2．59ちらも゙r03 | －5 O201EROS | －4．35i3be＋07 | －6．49E1E＋07 |  | 0．0000E roo 0．0000E +00 | $00000 E+100$ | 0．OOOOE +00 |
| 3 | 3 | 4713E－04 | 日 | 496こE－01 | 2．G191E 03 | $15107 E+07$ | －3．P5BEE＋07 | － 4 ． $193 E+07$ -7.15 | $7110 E \mathrm{OS}$ OOG3E OS | 0．OOOOE +00 | $62 \% 631+04$ | 5．3700E－01 |
| 4 | 5 | 5861E－04 | 1 | 0383E＋O | 2． $6339 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2．1792E＋07 | －2．5st7E＋07 | －7． $11 \mathrm{BEE}+07$ | 7． 116.65405 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $30 \leq 7 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 5 | 7 | 683EE－04 | 1 | 1960E＋00 | 2．65JUE＋03 | 3． $1044 E+07$ | －1．日E7ex＋0\％ | －7．4003E＋07 |  | 0．O000E＋00 | 6 335EE + O3 | 3．5700E－01 |
| 6 | 9 | 76E6E－04 | 1 | 0933E＋00 | 2． $5734 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3． $6135 E+07$ | －1．3805E＋07 | －7 Y000E＋07 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b. } 2216 E+05 \\ & \text { 3. } 3103 E+05 \end{aligned}$ | 0．OOOOE +00 | 6． $3651 E+03$ | 5．5700e－01 |
| 7 | 1 | 1637E－03 | 日 | 2alee－01 | 2． $9735 E+03$ | 4．1025E＋07 | －B． $9753 \mathrm{E}+06$ | －7．5000E＋07 | $3103 E+05$ | 1．7903E＋05 | 6． $3943 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| E | 1 | 3885E－03 | 7 | 78AAE－01 | 2． $7133 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3． $6994 E+07$ | －1．3006E＋07 |  |  | 4．6992E＋0S | 6． 424 ［E＋（）］ | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 9 | 1 | 5927E－03 | 3 | 5397E－01 | 2． $733 \mathrm{EL}+05$ | 3．${ }^{\text {a }}$－${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7 |  | 3．4．364E＋O5 | 7． $9402 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 6． $4929 E+03$ | 5 5700E－01 |
| 10 | 1 | 7950E－03 | 1 | 7751E－01 | 2．753FE＋03 | 2． B913E＋07 $^{\text {a }}$ | －2．1067E＋07 | －7．5000E＋07 | 2．48E2E＋05 | 7． $5105 E+05$ | 6． $4820 t+139$ | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 11 | 1 | 9957E－03 | 7. | 5474E－02 | 2．77：1E＋03 | 1．953uE＋07 | －3．O4Gater07 | －7．5000E＋07 |  | ＋05 | b． $5113 \mathrm{E}+0: 3$ | 5 5700E－01 |
| 12 | 2 | 1960E－03 | －6． | O210E－01 | 2．7035E＋03 | 1．76EJOE＋07 | －3．2320E＋07 | －7．5000E +07 |  | 5 | 6． $5404 E+03$ | 5．5700E－02 |
| 13 | 2 | 3961E－03 |  | $2417 E+00$ | 2． $7833 E+03$ | 1．9910E＋07 | －3． $0170 E+07$ | －7．5000E＋07 |  | 1．2412E＋06 | 6．55S6E +93 | 5 5700E－01 |
| 14 | 2. | 59a3E－03 |  | 2197E＋00 | 2．7Ga＇7E 03 | 5． $7527 E+06$ | －4． $4247 E+07$ | －7．S000F＋07 | 2． $9696 E+03$ | 1． $1714 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 6． $5359 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 15 | 2 | 7965E－03 | －5． | 4E34E＋00 |  | －2． $0448 \mathrm{E}+07$ | －4． $044 \mathrm{EE}+07$ | －7．S000F 107 | 2．9063E＋03 | 1． $7943 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 6 5539E＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 6 |  | 9969E－03 | －1 | 145EE＋01 | 2． $7801 E+03$ | －7．4471E＋07 | －1．P．447E＋08 |  |  | 6． $0603 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{~S}$ | 6． $5503 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 17 |  | 1975E－03 |  | O563E＋01 | 2． $7733 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1． $\mathrm{B} 312 \mathrm{E}+08$ |  |  |  | 1． $1248 E+07$ | 6． $54 \mathrm{EPE}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 18 |  | 398SE－03 |  | $4365 E+01$ | 2． $7710 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －3．3273E＋0日 | －3． $8273 E+08$ | －7．SOOOE＋07 | 2． $80 \% 2 E+03$ | 1． $7379 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 6． $5275 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 19 |  | 6000E－03 |  | $2333 E+01$ | 2． $763 \mathrm{EE}+03$ | －5．3651E＋09 | －5． $0.551 E+00$ | －7．5000E |  |  | 6．50， $4 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| co |  | 9023E－03 |  | $1866 E+01$ | 2． $7538 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －E． $1416 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ |  | 7. |  | 7 | 6． $1773 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5 5700E－01． |
| 21 |  | 0054E－03 |  | 9319E＋01 | 2． $74 \mathrm{P}^{2} 4 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1．1290E＋09 | －1．1790E＋09 | －7． 500 | 4． $3315 E+03$ | 3． $8640 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 6． $4369 E+03$ | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 22 |  | 2096E－03 | －i． | $2410 E+02$ | 2． $7277 E+03$ | －1．S2G2E＋09 | －1． $57 \mathrm{BEE}+09$ | －7． $5000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 5．3月34E＋03 | 5．6009E＋07 | 6． $3903 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 23 |  | 4146E－03 | －1 | 4445E＋02 | 2． $7169 E+03$ | －1．E139E＋09 | －1． $8639 E+09$ | － |  | 7 | 6． $3301 E+03$ | 5．5700E－08 |
| 24 |  | 620sE－03 | －1 | 5243E＋02 | 2．7060E＋03 | －2．1467E＋09 |  |  |  | 3． $9074 E+07$ | 6． $2854 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 25 |  | 日261E－03 |  | $1362 E+02$ | 2．70GSE＋03 | －2．1325E＋09 |  | OE＋07 | 1． $3015 E+04$ | 1． $412 \mathrm{EE}+07$ | 6．2400E +03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 26 |  | 0301E－03 | －6． | 009日E＋01 | 2． $7312 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1．5360E＋09 | －1．4B60E＋09 | 7． $5000 E+07$ | 1．P230E＋04 <br> 6． $6046 E+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | c．2308E +03 | 3．5700E－02 |
| 27 |  | 2319E－03 | －3． | 0115E＋00 | 2． $7597 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －7． $5604 E+08$ | －7． $0684 \mathrm{C}+08$ | 7． $5000 E+07$ | 6． $6046 E+03$ $\text { 2. } 2443 E+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | b．3441E 403 | 5．3700E－01 |
| 28 |  | 4316E－03 |  | 9567E＋01 | 2．709EE＋03 | 7．7034E＋07 | 1． $2703 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{O}$ |  |  | O．C000E +00 | 6． $4603 E+0.3$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 29 |  | 6294E－03 |  | 57E9E＋O1 | 2． $15155 E+03$ | B． $4406 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 940bE＋0B |  | 9． $1820 \mathrm{E}+02$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{EF} \times 0$ | 6．57E3E＋03 | 5．5700E－0： |
| 30 |  | E261E－03 | 7 | $7314 E+01$ | 2． $0319 E+03$ | 1． $3377 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1． $3877 E+09$ | 7． $5000 E+07$ | 2．4403E 403 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $6816 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 31 |  | 0225E－03 |  | 4981E＋01 | 2． $8357 E+03$ | 1． $462 \mathrm{GE+09}$ | S03AE＋09 | 7． $5000 E+07$ | A．4805E＋03 <br> 5． $3261 E+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $745 \mathrm{EE}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 32 |  | 2189E－03 |  | 0G50E＋02 | 2．BdEce＋ 03 | 1． $4679 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 50SEE＋09 |  |  | － | 6． $7606 E+03$ | 5．3700E－01 |
| 33 |  | 4151E－03 |  | OBG4E＋02 | 2．E397E＋03 | 6673E＋09 | 1． LREEE +09 |  |  | O．O000E＋00 | 6． $7609 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 34 |  | －113E－03 |  | 6028E＋01 | 2． $\mathrm{E} 3515+03$ | PE＋09 | 1．604FEE＋09 | －7．3000E＋07 | 1E＋03 | O00E＋00 | 6． $7765 E+03$ | 5 5700E－01 |
| 35 |  | BOEOE－03 |  | 6516E＋01 | 2． $8316 E+03$ | 1．4275E＋09 | 1．3775E＋09 | －7．8000E＋07 | 3 | 2． $5978 E+07$ | 6． $7734 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 36 |  | 0054E－03 | 5. | 5273E＋01 | 2．BEIJE＋03 | 1．1145E＋09 | 0G45E＋09 | －7． |  |  | 6． $7445 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 37 |  | 2036E－03 | 3 | GEEGE＋01 | 2． $2105 E+03$ | 7．92EIOE＋05 | 7．4780E＋08 | －7．5000E＋07 | 1． $4173 E+03$ | 4． $5039 E+07$ | 6． $7041 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 39 |  | 4024E－03 | 2 | 2326E＋01 | 2． $0012 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 9．1992E＋0日 | 4．A99RE＋O | －7．5000E＋07 | －．OS14E＋C2 | 3．9419E＋07 <br> 2． $7949 E+07$ | 6．6618E＋03 <br> 6． 675 23E＋03 | $\text { 5. } 5700 E-01$ |
| 37 |  | 601日E－03 | 1 | 3943E＋01 | 2． $7947 E+03$ | 3 2PPJE＋0日 | C．7925E +0 E | －7． $50005+67$ | e．OSI4E＋CR | 2．7993E +07 | 6．62503E＋03 | S． $5700 E-08$ |
| 40 |  | 8013E－03 | 7 | 9707E＋00 | 2．7907E＋03 | 2．POSIE＋08 | 1．7051E＋08 | －7，5000E＋07 | 9． 4 ¢133E＋08 | 1．6109E＋07 | 6．5974E＋0＊ <br> 6．5845E +03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 41 |  | 0010E－03 | 6. | 515EE＋00 | 2． $7842 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1．70jne＋0a | 1．П03AE＋08 | －7．5000E＋07 | 4．943PE＋01 | 2. 7291F+06 | 6． $5845 E+03$ | b．5700E－01 <br> 3．3700E－08 |
| 42 |  | ZOOEE－03 |  | O711E＋00 | $27 \mathrm{CLSE}+03$ | 1．5312E＋0日 | 1．03125＋08 | －7．5000E＋07 | 3． $6474 E+01$ | 6，17368＋0S | 6． 377 万5 +07 <br> 6． $57512 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{CH}$ | 3．5700E－02 <br> －．5700E～01 |
| 43 |  | 4005E－03 | 7 | OEFOEE＋00 | 2．7t3E4E＋03 | 1． $4539 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 9．9913E＋07 | －7． $272 \mathrm{EH5}+07$ | 3． $3^{491 E+01}$ | 1 日R37E＋06 | 6．5744E 4 （1）： | －．5700E＇－01 <br> 5 5700E－01 |
| 44 |  | 6003E－03 |  | 3204E＋00 | 2．7979E＋03 | 1．MSOIE＋08 | 8．3316E＋07 | －6． $2534 E+07$ | 2． $43168+01$ | 3．2610E＋06 | 6．5729E＋03 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { 5700E-01 } \\ & 5 \text { S700E-01 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 45 |  | B002E－03 0001E－03 | 3 | $3098 E+00$ $6966 E+00$ | 2． $78 / 1 E+03$ | 9．1713E407 | 6．1121E＋07 | －4．58日 $7 \times+07$ | 1 320eE＋01 | 3．7264E＋06 | 6．5694E＋03 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { S700E-01 } \\ & \text { D } 5700 \mathrm{E}=01 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47 |  | 2000E－03 | 7 | 1934E－01 | 2．7e57E＋03 | 2．B033E 0707 | 1． $8711 E+07$ | －2． $7833 E+07$ $-1.3983 E+07$ | 4．7307E＋00 | 2． $9771 \mathrm{~F}+06$ | 6．S661E＋0． | 3 5700k－01 |
| 48 |  | 4000E－0．3 | 2 | 56ituE－01 | 2． 785 ，3E＋03 | 1． $1689 E+07$ | 7．BOEGE＋O6 |  |  |  | 6．SG36E＋OJ | 3 S700E－01 |
| 49 |  | 1，000E－03 |  | 6E3bE－－0t | 2．7ESIE＋03 | 4．OB14E＋06 | 2．7324Etos | －2 0nijuE＋03 |  | 3．4871E＋05 | 6．S621E＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 50 |  | 6ODOE－O3 | 5 | 02935－03 | 2．7050E＋03 | 1．OOSOE＋06 | 6．7elim＋os | －4．9033E4＋05 | 1 ABMEE－03 | 3．4649E＋0S | 6．V615E403 | S． $57005-01$ |
| 51 |  | OOODE－OE | 0 | 0000E＋ 00 | \％．7050E +03 | 6． $51196+04$ | 4．DEnIErO4 | －R．44A7E＋OA | 7 F\％B甲E－06 | 7．4907E＋0．3 | $6 \text { 5611E } 0 \text { ond }$ | 3．3700E－01 <br> 3 5700E－0： |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { WOHK AT L DOUND } & 0 \text { DOOOOR FOO } \\ \text { INIFWNAL ENERGY } & 0 \text { E73；GFFYO }\end{array}$ ADILED ENERGY O OOOOOL－ROO

WOKK AT KBOUND
KINETIC FNERGY
10IAL MOMENIUM

0． $00000 \mathrm{~L}+00$
0． $45993 E+0 b$

Sirmple frublifle EnERGY depogition in al uminum plate

|  |  |  | TIME | c．Oe＇e43t |  | ME STEP＝ | 2．62276E－0日 |  | 49 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  | PGEitiun | Veldicty | DENSITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1701E（b） | －1 2763E＋00 | 0．OOOOE +00 | 0．OOOOE +00 | PRESE：URE | PHI | t：NERGY |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |  | Jagae－04 | －1．2606E＋00 | $257565+03$ | $-1 . \mathrm{E} 311 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{os}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 0. } 0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \\ -4.42 \mathrm{C}+0 \end{array}$ | 0．OOOOE＋ 00 | $\because \text { noooe too }$ | O．ONOOR：＋OD | 0 SND SIFD | MASS <br> 0000E +00 |
| 3 |  | 3． $4608 E-04$ | －1．2696E＋00 | 2． $61316 E+03$ | 2．O840E＋06 | －4． $4421 E+0 \%$ $-4.4039 E+07$ | －6．36日5E＋07 | 6． $7110 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \text { OOOUE + (1) }$ $62761 E+03$ | O．OCOOEE＋00 <br> 5．5700E－01 |
| 4 |  | 5760E－04 | －1． $263 \mathrm{SE}+00$ | 2． $63335+03$ | 7 925EjE＋05 | －4． 0039 C ＋07 | －6． $2933 \mathrm{EE}+07$ $-6.0680 E+07$ | 8．006：3E＋05 | 1． $4697 E+04$ | 6 3049E＋0：1 | 5．5700E－01 <br> 5．5700E－01 |
| 6 |  | 7597E－04 | -1 <br> -1 <br> -1 | 2． $63975 \mathrm{P}+03$ | $1.4798 E+06$ | －3．79日フE＋ 07 | －5． $9200 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 7． $1165 E+05$ | 0．OOOOE＋ 00 | 6 6336E＋03 | 5 5700E－01 |
| 7 |  | 162日E－03 | 1 171EE＋00 |  | $1.9466 E+06$ | －3． $6360 E+07$ | －5． $7459 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 5． $3103 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{OS}$ |  | 6． $3621 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 日 |  | 368ze－03 | －1 2633E＋00 | 2． 7165 LE ＋03 | 1．3590E＋06 | －3． $5413 E+07$ | －5． $5150 E+07$ | 4． $3793 \mathrm{E}+05$ | －． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $3909 E+03$ | 3．S700E－01 |
| 9 |  | 5920E－03 | －1 3033E＋00 | 2．73R9E＋03 | 6073E＋06 | －3． $63355+07$ | －5．5996E＋C7 | 3． $4364 E+05$ | 1．2513E＋05 | 6． $4202 E+03$ | 5．S700E－01 |
| 10 |  | 7944E－03 | $-1.3 \pm 15 E+00$ | 2．7527E＋03 | 1． 2075 C ＋06 | 6741E＋07 | －5．752ee＋07 | 2．482zE＋O5 | 7．0895E＋04 | 6．4495E＋03 | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 11 |  | 9952E－03 | －1．3115E＋00 | 2．7750E＋03 | 3．6E2EE＋0G | 3日10E＋07 | －5．62日jE＋07 | 1．5131E＋0S | 3． $2687 \mathrm{E}+04$ | G．4791E＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 12 |  | 1954E－03 | －1．2211E＋00 | 2．793IE＋03 | －406EEE＋0G | －3． $4905 \mathrm{E}+07$ | －5． $7881 E+07$ | 5． $21363 E+04$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $539 \mathrm{BE}+03$ | 01 |
| 13 |  | 3955E－03 | －1．1765E＋00 | 2．7日jiE＋03 | －7．3904E＋05 | －3． $73978+07$ | －S． $7191 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $30007 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 0．0000E +00 | 6． $5548 \mathrm{EE}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 4 |  | 5957E－03 | －1．332日E＋00 | 2． $7631 \mathrm{t}+03$ | 2． 3 A （DE＋06 | －3． $7218 \mathrm{E}+07$ | －5． $4718 E+07$ | 2．7700E＋03 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $3549 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 <br> 5．5700E－01 |
| 16 |  | 7958E－03 9959E－03 | －1 274EE＋00 | 2．763EE＋03 | 2． $9002 \mathrm{E}+06$ | －3．5435E＋07 | －5． $7604 E+07$ $-5.7503 E+07$ | 2． $9074 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2． $4896 E+05$ | 6．5351E＋03 | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 17 |  | 1960E－03 | －1．21G7E＋00 | 2．763EE＋03 | 3． $3149 E+06$ | －3． $5715 \mathrm{E}+07$ | －5．B545E +07 | 2．77 |  | 6．5552E +03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 19 |  | 3962E－03 | －1．3269E＋00 | 2．7ediee +03 | 2． $2336 E+06$ | －3．655BE＋07 | －5．日1E7E＋07 | 2． $7423 \mathrm{E}+03$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．5552E＋03 | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 19 |  | 5963E－03 | $-1.4174 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2． $763 \mathrm{FE}+03$ | 2．1965E＋06 | －3．5087E＋07 | －5． $7126 E+07$ | 2．6291E＋03 |  | 3 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 20 |  | 7964E－03 | －1．3255E＋00 | 2．7031E＋03 | 1．SA19E＋06 | －3． $6375 E+07$ | －5．6E75E．+07 | 2． $6189 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | 6．55SEE＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 21 |  | 9966E－03 | －1． $2 \mathrm{E} 71 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 763ce＋03 | 2．120EE＋06 | －3．7750E＋07 | －5．7225E＋07 | 2． $5861 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | SSSIE＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 22 |  | 1967E－03 | －1．2143E＋00 | 2． $7852 \mathrm{E}+03$ | c．1LOLE＋06 | 3． $6557 E+07$ | －5． $0016 E+07$ | 2． $4982 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $5549 \mathrm{~F}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 23 |  | 3968E－03 | －1．OJ59E＋00 | 2．VBJIE＋03 |  | －3． $6744 E+07$ | －5． $8170 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 2． $4852 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | b． $5551 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 24 |  | 5970E－03 | －7．日779E－01 | 2．7031E＋03 | －6． $2344 E+06$ | －3．8863E＋07 | －5．6854E＋07 | 2． $4371 E+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | b．554ex＋03 | S．5700E－01 |
| 25 |  | 7971E－03 | －5 7905E－01 | 2．78న9E +03 | －1．E293E＋07 | －4．720EE＋07 | －5．3193E＋07 | 2．3891E＋03 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $5544 E+03$ | S．5700E－01 |
| 26 |  | 9973E－03 $1975 E-03$ | 1．3409E－01 | 2． 7 BR ¢EE +03 | －2． $4764 E+07$ | －5． $4548 \mathrm{E}+07$ | －5．2371E＋07 | 3647E＋03 | 0．O000E＋00 | 6． $5537 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 29 |  | 3978E－03 | 2.94 | 2．782IE＋03 | －4．752EE＋07 | －7．0515［5＋07 | －3． $4476 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  | 6．S527E +03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 29 |  | 5980E－03 | 4． $1456 E+00$ | 2．7815E＋03 | －7．4321E＋07 | －8． $8254 E+07$ | －2．0962E＋07 | 2． $2544 E+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．5505E＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 30 |  | 7983E－03 | $51101 E+00$ | 2． $7811 E+03$ | －7．1060E＋07 | －9．936＇3E＋07 | －1．2453E＋07 | 2．2185E＋03 |  | 6．5480E＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 31 |  | 9987E－03 | 6． $0724 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2．7808E＋03 | $-1.10353 E+08$ | －1．08日7E＋08 | －8．0007E＋06 | 2．1980E＋03 |  | 6．5465E +03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 32 |  | 1991E－03 | 7． $2143 E+00$ |  | 2055E＋ | －1．2307E＋0日 | －3． $7792 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2．1494E＋03 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．5451E＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 33 |  | 3995E－03 | 9．OTESE＋00 | 2． $7790 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1． $3713 \mathrm{E}+08$ | －1．3717E＋08 | －5．9697E＋04 | 2． $1439 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 0．O000E＋00 |  | 5．5700E－01 |
| 34 |  | 6000E－03 | 1．212E＋01 | 2． $777 \mathrm{bE}+03$ |  | G0 | 6．355EE＋06 | 2．1111E＋03 | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $5380 E+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 35 |  | 8007E－03 | 1 653日etol | 2． $7756 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | －1．9947E＋08 | 1． $09705+07$ | 2．10日0E＋03 | O．OOOOE +00 |  | 5700E－01 |
| 36 |  | 0016E－03 | 2 1959E＋01 | 2．773EE＋03 | －3． $5334 \mathrm{E}+08$ | －2． 5653 E ＋08 | 1．7239E＋07 | 2． $122005+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．SSaSE＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 37 |  | 2027E－03 | 3．OS53E＋01 | 2． $7678 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －4．日ence＋ob | －3． $2475 E$ | 4．2577E＋07 | 2．1570E＋03 | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $514 \mathrm{EF}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 <br> 5．5700ER－01 |
| 39 |  | 4042E－03 | 4 Ote7E＋01 | 2．7636E＋O3 | －6． $4363 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $-4.3822 E+09$ $-5.9383 E+09$ | 7． $5000 E+07$ | 2．3252E＋03 | o． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．4987E＋03 | 5． $3700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 39 | 7 t | t，0t3E－03 | $52117 E+01$ | 2． $75665+03$ | －8． $404 \mathrm{EE}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | $-7.9049 E+08$ | 7． $7000 E+07$ | 2． $6467 E+03$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． $4764 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5． $5700 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 40 |  | 8089E－03 | 6． $2 \mathrm{FqGE}+01$ | 2． 74 TSE＋03 | －1．0354E＋09 | －7． $90488 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{~B}$ | 7．5000E＋07 <br> 7． $5000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 3．2600Er03 | 0．OOOOE +00 | 6． $4479 \mathrm{E}+$ OS | 5．5700E－01 |
| 41 | B． 0 | O1A1E－03 | 6． $9754 E+01$ | 2． $7413 E+03$ | －1．2¢00E＋09 | －1．2100e +09 | 7．5000E＋0\％ | 3． $9975 E+03$ <br> e． 0107 F | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6． 417 EIE＋03 | 3．5700E－01 |
| 42 | 日 2 | 2157E－03 | C 7465E＋01 | 2．7348E＋03 | －1． $3472 \mathrm{E}+09$ | －1．3E07E＋07 | －6．P20GEE＋07 | 5． 0107 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．3859E＋0．4 | 3． $3700 t-01$ |
| 43 44 |  | 4197E－03 |  | 2． $7303 \mathrm{E}+03$ | －1．4УEEEE 09 | －1．5082E +09 | －7．5000e +07 | 5．7749E＋03 <br> 6．E1478E＋03 | 4． $0351 E+06$ | 6．3584E＋03i | 5．5700E－01 |
| 44 45 |  | 6242E－03 | 4．1767E＋01 | 2．724AERO3 | －1．6146E＋09 | －1．6646F，+09 | －7．SOOOE +07 | 6． $3443 \mathrm{AE}+03$ | 7 | 6．340IE＋03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| 46 | 90 | 0347E－03 | 1． $994 \mathrm{EE+01}$ | 2． $7168 E+03$ | －1．E13EE＋09 | －1．日65EE＋09 | －7．5000E－ 07 | 1 ）2m0E＋04 | 4．2184E＋07 | 6． $3165 \mathrm{TE}+03$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 47 |  | CAOSE－03 | －4 3GEAE＋O1 | 2． $7105 E+03$ | －1．979EE＋09 | －2． $02755+07$ | －7．5000E． 07 | 1．1470E＋0A |  | 3 | 3．S700E－01 |
| 48 |  | 4496E－03 | －8．44E＇9E゙ 01 |  |  | －2．1170E＋09 | －7．5000F＋07 | 1 2日R6E＋04 |  |  | 3．5700E－01 |
| 49 | 9.4 | 4445E－03 | －1 2Heberoz | 2． $7313 E+03$ | －1． 9.549 E | －1． $9019 E+07$ | －7．5000E＋07 | 1．OGESE＋04 | e． $6779 E+07$ |  | 3． $37005-01$ |
| 50 | 9 ¢ | 95e2e－03 | －1 94E9E＋02 | 2． $7465 E+03$ |  | －1． $18150 \mathrm{E}+09$ | －7．S000E：＋07 | 4．7TEE＋03 | ¢． $75595+07$ | $6 \text { 27BTE }+0:$ $\text { 6. } 344 \text { UE }+(05$ | 5．5700E－01 |
| 51 |  | 0053F－03 | －1 5b74E＋02 | － $2717 E+03$ | －9． $9901 E+08$ $-3.5350 E+0 日 ~$ | $-1.01905+09$ <br> $-3.765 \mathrm{HE}+0 \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.5000 E+07 \\ & -34970 E+07 \end{aligned}$ | 3 3 $4.14 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3 2426E 0 07 | 6． $344 \mathrm{CFF}+()^{\text {a }}$ | 3． $3700 \mathrm{E}-01$ <br> S．3700E－0： |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 779BE＋02 | a．3639を＋06 | 6．500UE＋ 03 | 5．5700E－01 |
| Wemk | AT | Lrclund | 0 O00100L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NHFRN | NAL | ENERGY | － 27590 c |  | KINETIC WNE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADIE D |  | NEfigy | －00000t |  | IUTAL MOMEN | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { RIUY } & 0.2 \\ & 0 .\end{array}$ | $24026 E+05$ 24015E－01 |  |  |  |  |

## SAMPLE PROBLEH 2 - ENERGY DEPOSITION WN RLUMTMUN








 POSITION : $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ )







 POEITION $: 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ :
3. Impact of a copper plate onto a copper target.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}(20 \text { zones }) \\
& \mathrm{b}=0.002 \mathrm{~m}(40 \text { zones }) \\
& v_{p}=300 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Copper

```
\(\rho_{0}=8930 \quad\) Fracture stress \(\sim 3.5\) GPa.
\(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}=3940\)
\(s=1.489\)
\(r_{0}=1.99\)
\(v=0.345\)
\(Y_{0}=25 \mathrm{MPa}\)
```
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SAMPLE PRUGLEM if .. cu fi frik on cu target (2lom/s)


| Mate | RT INT AT $X$ | 0 O000treo | R1 INT AT | NE 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{10}{\operatorname{coni}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51A14: } \\ & 0000 \mathrm{t} 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Naml-SHES } \\ \text { E OONOETOI } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THICKNESS } \\ & \text { 1. } 0000 \mathrm{E} .03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEL.1AX } \\ 5.0000 E-05 \end{array}$ | DEL.TAX <br> 5. OOOOE-OS | xitatio <br> 0. 0000e +00 | 0. OUOUE $\begin{array}{r}\text { XEAP } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| $\underset{11}{\text { CARD NO }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RZTIMF } \\ & 0000 E+30 \end{aligned}$ | 1. OOOOE +00 | 0. OOODE + OO | nccamb <br> 0. OOOOE FOO | RSCRIT <br> O. DOU0E + + | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{HzCO} \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{RZCI} \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CARD NO } \\ \text { I2 } \end{gathered}$ | EzERO <br> $00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pzeng } \\ 0.00002+00 \end{array}$ | 8. $9360^{2} 4$ | SZERD <br> 0. OOOOE +00 | U2ERD <br> 2. 1000e+02 | UZERDI <br> 1. $05006+02$ | ZZERO <br> $0.0000 E+00$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CARD } \\ \hline 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FCRIT } \\ 20000 E+\infty 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S1GMAF } \\ -1.0000+100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} F i \\ 0.0000 E+B 0 \end{array}$ | sicmad <br> 0. 00000 +00 |  |  |  |
| CARD ND 14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FCRITI } \\ \therefore 0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S1GMAIF } \\ & -1.0000 \mathrm{E}+06 \end{aligned}$ | CONSTI <br> 0. 0000E +00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SICMAOI } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| HYURO-VAPOR-ELASTIC-PLABTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARD NO. $15$ | $\text { E. } \begin{gathered} \text { CES( } 1) \\ 9300 E+03 \end{gathered}$ | CES( 2) <br> 3. $9400 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES( 3) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{r} 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CES( 4) } \\ 0.0000 E+100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CESS 5) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CE5 } \\ & \text { 3. 6) } \\ & \text { 4500E-al } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CES } 7) \\ & 0.0000 \mathrm{~F}+00 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { CARD }_{16} \text { NO. }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CES( 日) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+\infty 0 \end{gathered}$ | CES( 9) <br> 0. $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{CES}(10) \\ \text { 1. } 4890 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CES(11) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(12) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | CES(13) <br> 0. $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(14) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CARD NO. } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{CES}(15) \\ \text { 1. OOOOE+00 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEE(16) } \\ & \text { 1. } 9900 E+000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CES(17) } \\ & 0.0000 E+00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{cEs}(19) \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(19) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{array}$ | CEs(20) <br> 0. 0000e +00 | $\begin{array}{r} \operatorname{cts}\{21\} \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+\infty \end{array}$ |
| CARD NO. 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CEE (22) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | CEs(23) <br> 0. OORIOE +00 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(24) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{~F}+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CES(25) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(26) } \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CEs(37) } \\ 0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{array}$ | ces(za) <br> 0. 000CE+DO |
| CARD NO. 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CES(29) } \\ \text { 1. } 0000 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES }(30) \\ \text { 2. } 5000 E+07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEB (31) } \\ & 0.0000 E+00 \end{aligned}$ | CES(3n) <br> 0. $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES(33) } \\ 0.0000 e^{+\infty} \end{array}$ | CEES(34) <br> 0. 0000eroo | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CES, } 351 \\ 0.0000 E+00 \end{array}$ |



SNAFLE PROHAEM ：3－CU FLYFH ON CU TARGET（2IOM／S）

| CYCIE $=13$ | IIME | 1． $03333 \mathrm{E}-0$ |  | ME S1EP＝ | Q．02705E 09 | LDT＝ | 14 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 . \mathrm{Posit10n}$ | Velidcity | Dh：NSITY | gThess |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 $-9.9 .730 E-04$ | ¢ $10100 \mathrm{E}+02$ | －．OOCHEE 100 | $0.0000 \varepsilon+00$ | 0．cloode too | PHI <br> 0．00007 +00 | ENERGY | ART VISC |  |  |
|  | $21000 E+00$ | 日．93VIOE＋03 | 0．OOOOE +00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } 10000 \mathrm{E} \text { +00 } \\ & 0.0000 \mathrm{H} .100 \end{aligned}$ | 0．OOOOE＋ 00 <br> $0.0000 E+00$ | O．OOOOE +00 | O．OOOOE＋ON | $0.000 u E+110$ | 0．OODOE +00 |
|  | $2{ }^{2} 1000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 日．9300Er03 | 0．0000E＋00 | 0．0000e－ 00 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ D． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0．OOOOE + OO | 4．7623E +03 | 4 4．50E－01 |
| 5－7．78，30E－04 | 2． $1000 E+02$ | E． $93005+03$ | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | o． 10000 | 0．0000E +00 | 0．00000E＋00 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4．7623E＋03 | 4．46505－01 |
| 6－．7．2830E－04 | 2 1000Et02 | E． $9300 E+03$ | a． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | O．0000E＋00 | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | O．0000er 00 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 13 | 4．46sper－02 |
| 7－6．78JOE－04 | 2．1000E＋02 | 8． $7300 \mathrm{ta3}$ | 0． 0 O000t＋00 | O． 10000 t ＋00 | 0．0000E＋00 | a．OOOOE＋00 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | －4650E－01 |
| 日－6．2830E－04 | 2． $1000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 8．9300E＋03 | $0.0000 E+00$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | O．OOOOE +00 | O．0000E 100 | 0．0000E＋00 | 4．762uE +03 | 4. 46SUE-01 4. 465OE-01 |
| 9－5．7830E－04 | 2．O7B6E＋02 | 8．930 ${ }^{\text {E }}$＋+03 | 4．4857E＋06 | 3． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | O． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0．OODOE＋00 | D．O000E +00 | 4． $76235+03$ | 4．4650E－01 <br> 4．4630E－01 |
| $10-5.2831 E-04$ 11 | 2． $0892 \mathrm{E}+02$ | B． $9317 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3．749AE +07 | 2．5667E＋07 | －2．1289E＋06 | 6．${ }^{\text {a }}$ 3909E－03 | 5． $87737 E+05$ | －7623Et＋13 | 4．4650E－01 |
| 12－4 2856E－04 | 2． $0537 \mathrm{E}+02 \mathrm{~L}$ | B． $9373 E+03$ | 1．30R4E 0 On | 1． $1358 \mathrm{E}+08$ | －2．S000Et＋07 | 7．1951E＋00 | 4． $1.5456 E+06$ | 4．7640E＋U43 | 4．4650E－02 |
| 13 －3．7920E－04 | 1． $4770 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9． $0431 E+03$ | 6．118eE＋0E <br> 1． $6694 E+09$ | 5． $9515 E+08$ | －2．5000E＋07 | 1．6919E＋02 | 9．日545E＋07 | 4．H0EME＋03 | 4．4650E－01 |
| $14-3$ 302EE－04 | 1． $1586 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 7．1255E＋03 |  | 1．8527E＋09 | －2．5000t＋07 | 2． $5214 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2．2951E＋08 | 4．G0eateros | 4．4650E－01 |
| 15 －2．E151E－04 | 1．0\％01E＋02 | 9．1567E＋03 | 3．7240E＋07 | 3． $2758 \mathrm{t}+09$ | －2．5000E＋07 | 4．1801E＋03 | 1． $7943 \mathrm{E}+0 \mathrm{E}$ | 4．7669E＋03 | 4．4650E－O1 <br> 4．465OE－01 |
| $16-2.3278 E-04$ | 1．OSEAE＋02 | 9．1614E＋03 | 3．B060E +09 | 3． $7078 \mathrm{E}+09$ 3． $7874 \mathrm{t}+09$ | －2．5000E＋07 | 5． $5484 E+03$ | 4．3245E＋07 | 4．997EE＋03 | 4．4650E－01 <br> 4．469OE－01 |
| 17 －1．E405E－04 | 1． $0449 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9．1938E＋03 | 3． $18475 E+09$ | 3．E307E＋09 | －2． $5000 E+07$ | 5． $8017 E+03$ 5． $7671 E+03$ | 5．4201E＋06 | 5． $0041 E+03$ | 4． $4650 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $1 日-1.353 E E-04$ $19-8.6605 E-05$ | $100_{1} 1$ PE＋OR | 9．1630E＋03 | 3． $3274 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 3．B188E＋09 | －2． $2000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 5． $7671 E+03$ 6． $0080 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3．9862E＋0G | 5． $006 \mathrm{sE}+03$ | 4．46SOE－01 |
| $19-8.6605 E-05$ $20-3.7975 E-05$ | 1． $04635+02$ | 9．1647E＋03 | 3． $6666 E+09$ | 3．B479E＋09 | －2．5000E＋07 | 6．OOE0E＋03 <br> 6．2125E＋03 | 0000E＋00 | 5．0057E＋03 | 4．4650E－01 |
| 21 1．O850E－05 | 1．OL， CE ＋02 1． 0 SOE＋O2 | 9． $16285+03$ | 3． $8939 E+09$ | 3．B227E＋09 | －1．900\％E＋06 | 6． $412 \mathrm{EE}+03$ | 2． $9605 E+06$ $0.0000 E+00$ | 5．0077E＋03 | 4．4650E－01 |
|  |  | 9．1637E＋03 | 3．E564E＋09 | 3．339EE＋09 | －2．4944E＋07 | 6． $57765+03$ |  | 0060E＋（13 | 4．4650E－01 |
| 22 5．9575E－05 | 1． $0463 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |  | RIAL INTERFA |  |  |  | 4．4650E－01 |
| 23 1．0930E－04 | 1． $0537 E+02$ | 9．162日E +03 | 3．B504E＋09 | 3． $\operatorname{e398E}+04$ | －2． $4961 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 6． $5776 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1． $7008 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  |
| 24 1．5702E－04 | 1． $0473 E+02$ | 9．1647E＋03 | 3． 3 3． $366 E+09$ | 3． $\mathrm{E}^{\text {3．27E }}$＋04 | －1．9002E＋06 | 6． $11225+09$ | 0． 0000 E ＋00 | 5． $0060 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| 25 2．0575E－04 | 1．O501E＋02 | 9．1650E＋03 | 3． $6274 E+09$ | 3． $8479 E+09$ 3． $8198 E+09$ | －2． $5000 E+07$ | 6． $2125 E+03$ | 2．9605E＋06 | 3．0077E＋03 | 4．4650E－01 4． $4650 E-01$ |
| 26 2．5449E－04 | 1． $0416 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9． $1639 E+03$ | 3． $6475 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 3．${ }^{\text {3．}}$ 日309E＋09 3． | - 1. 2945E+07 | 6．OOBOE＋03 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5． $0059 E+03$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| 27 3．0321E－04 | 1． $0299 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9．1614E＋03 | 3． $8060 E+09$ | 3． $7894 E+09$ | $- \text { 2. } 5000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 5． $9671 E+03$ |  | 5．0046E＋03 | 4．4650E－01 |
| 29 3．5198E－04 29 | 9． $4141 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9． $1567 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3． $7245 E+09$ | 3． $707 \mathrm{BE}+09$ | －2．5000E＋07 | 5．5484E＋03 | 5． $4201 E+06$ | 5． $0041 E+03$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| 30 4．5026E－04 | 2． $2601 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9．125SE＋03 | 3．1995E＋09 | 3．175EE＋09 | －2． $5000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 4．1801E＋03 | 4．324 | 4． $999 \mathrm{EE}+03$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| $31.5 .0005 E-04$ | 4．60beE＋00 | B． $7680 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1． $8694 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1． $8587 E+09$ | －2．5000E＋07 | 1． $5214 E+03$ | 2．2981E＋08 | 4．9843E＋03 | 4． 4650 |
| 32 5．5001E－04 | 1． $0814 E+00$ | B．9373E＋03 |  | 5． $9515 E+08$ | －2．5000E＋07 | 1． $4919 \mathrm{+}+0 \%$ | 8．M545E＋07 | 4． $8842 \mathrm{E}+03$ 4． $8023 \mathrm{ta3}$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| 33 6．OOOOE－04 | 1．3798E－01 | e． 9317 E ＋03 | 1． $3024 E+08$ | 1．135EE＋08 <br> 2． $7667 E+07$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.5000 E+07 \\ & -1.7740 \mathrm{E}+07 \end{aligned}$ | 1． $1951 \mathrm{~F}+00$ | 1．5450etor | 4． $77006+03$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. 4 } 450 E-01 \\ & \text { 4. 4 } 550 E-01 \end{aligned}$ |
| 34 6．5000E－04 | 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | E． $930 \mathrm{EE}+03$ | 4．4857E +06 | 2． $1667 E+07$ | $-1.7710 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $\text { 4. } 3200=-01$ | 4．0446E＋06 | 4． $7640 \pm+03$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| 35 7．OOOOE－04 | $0.0000 E+00$ | 6. 7300E +03 | 0．0000eroo | 0．C1000E r00 | － 1 ． $229 E+06$ <br> 0． $0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6．2909E－03 <br> 0．0000Er00 | 5． $6737 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 4．7625E＋083 | 4．46505－0i |
| WORK AT LBOUND INTERNAL ENERGY | 0． 00000 | 100 | WORK AT RED |  | 00000E＋00 |  |  | 23E＋03 | 4．4650e－01 |
| ADIIED ENEROY | 0．41557 |  | KINETIC ENE |  | 153nge＋06 |  |  |  |  |
| REL ENERGY EARUR | 0.00 |  | TUIAL MOMEN |  | 19733E＋04 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0．62711E |  | nel mament | ERROR－0． | 19397E－13 |  |  |  |  |

SAMPLE PROELEM 3 - CU FLYFR ON CU TARGET (21OM/S)


SAMPLE PROHLEM 3 - CU FLYEFR ON CU TARGET (21OM/S)



SAMPLE PHOBLEM 3 －CU FLYFR ON CU TARGET（EIOM／S）

| CYCLE | $=$ | －121 | TIME－ | 1．OOS6EE－O6 |  | 4E STEP | 8．74711E－09 |  | LDT | 27 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L |  | Position | VELDCITY | DENSITY | STRESS | PRESEURE | PHL |  | t：NERCY |  |  |  |
| 53 | 1 | 7017E－03 | 2．OS39E＋02 | E． $7337 E+03$ | 8． $7600 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 7．0934E＋07 | －2． $5000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 7 | 1636E＋02 | 2． $3810 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{OA}$ | SND GPD <br> 4．7649E＋03 | MABS 1680E-01 |
| 54 | 1 | 7517E－03 | 2． $03343 \mathrm{E}+02$ | ¢ 733EERO3 | a． $7571 E+07$ | 7．0905E＋07 | －2． $5000 E+07$ |  | 7304E＋02 | 1．DEGEE＋07 | －7669E＋03 |  |
| 55 |  | E017E－03 | 1．921EE＋02 | B．92E4E＋03 | 3． $1534 E+06$ | －1． $35135+07$ | －2． $5000 E+07$ |  | 673i土eron | 9． $2104 E+07$ | 1．7669E＋03 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { 16505-01 } \\ & 4 \text { 1650 }-01 \end{aligned}$ |
| 56 | 1 | B51EE－0．3 | 1． $6712 \mathrm{E}+02$ | e．9057E＋03 | －3． $4712 \mathrm{E}+08$ | －3． $6379 E+08$ | －2．5000E＋07 |  | 9294E＋02 | 1．2689E＋09 | 4．7372E＋05 | $\begin{aligned} & 4650 E-01 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 57 | 1. | 902EE－03 | 1． $3433 \mathrm{E}+02$ | E．B600E＋03 | －1．04422E＋09 | －1．0600E＋09 | －2．5000E＋07 |  | 89905＋02 | 1．7maiE＋08 | 4．6Be7E＋03 | $4.4850 E-01$ $4.40 E-01$ |
| 59 | 1 | 9539E－03 | 1．1054E＋O2 | E．BiEAE +03 | －1． $6665 E+09$ | －1． $4831 E+09$ | －2．5000E＋07 |  | 5904E＋03 | 1．1633E＋08 | 4．6443E＋03 | 4．4650E－01 |
| 59 | 2 | 0035E－03 | 1． $0013 \mathrm{E}+02$ | B．B14日E＋03 | －1．7214E＋09 | －1．73日3E＋09 | －2．5000E＋07 |  | 9768E＋03 | 4．4230E＋07 | 4． $6403 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 4．4650E－01 4．4650F－01 |
| 60 | 2. | OS40E－03 | 9． $8734 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8．64日9E＋03 | －1．m359E＋09 | －1．2337E＋09 | 2．8996E＋06 |  | 1791E＋02 | S．8329E＋06 | 4． $67658+03$ | 4．4650E－01 |
| 61 |  | 1041E－03 | 9． $9397 \mathrm{E}+01$ | E．9031E＋03 | －4．2721E＋08 | －4．1425E＋08 | 1．9490E＋07 | 1. | 17951 | 1．4246E＋06 | 4． $67655+03$ 4． $7334 E+03$ | 4．4650E -02 |



SAMPLE PROBLEM 3 - CU FLYER ON CU TARGET (210w/s)
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## APPMNDIX E <br> WONDY $V$ INPUY INSYRTUCTICNS

In the subsequent record descriptions the following conventions apply:

1. The subscripts on a variable name indicate the columes in mich it is to be located, e.g. $11 \mathrm{VAR}_{20}$ indicates VAR is to be located in colums 11 through 20 , according to the specified formet.
2. Colums 1 - 5 are reserved for a record identifying number, CARDNO. The number given should actualiy be located in the appropriate column(s). This allows a mach freer form of imput for many of the optional input records.
3. Columns 6-10 are reserved for additional information about the record, e.g. number of parameters to be read, storage location of data in the record, or plate number to which the data applies, etc.
4. All default values given apply to variables that are left blank. If no default value is listed, zero is assumed.
5. Numbers in parentheses following a variable description refer to page numbers in the wONDY $v$ repoct where the variables are more fully described.
6. In the case when redundant record type identification appears, the data on the last one in the data sequence will be saved.

The input routines allow stacking of multiple problems in a single rum. This option is particularly useful when a series of problems is desired to check the effects of varying some input parameter, say the yield strength. When multiple problems are desired, this fact must be specified by setting NJOB for the first problem (on Record 2) equal to the nuraber of problems in the run. The following illustrates the input structure for single or maltiple problems on a given run. If MORSTOR is not to be used, the MORSTOR portions of the file must not be present; this includes the MORSIOR data and its subsequent End-of-Record, <EOR>. Note that the data for the second and following problems need include only data which is different from the first problem; the unchanged data is approprjately carried over by the code. However, if one variable on a record is zhanged, all the others should contain appropriate values. Note also that the form specified for the End-ofRecord <EOR> and End-of-File <EOF> have been chosen for CDC computexs.
Lst $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{c}\text { Appropriate control stream } \\ \text { (update and execute wONDY) }\end{array} \\ 7 / 8 / 9 \quad \text { <EOR> } \\ \text { Data for initial wONDY problem } \\ 7 / 8 / 9 \text { <EOR> } \\ \text { MORSTOR data for initial problem } \\ 7 / 8 / 9 \quad \text { <EOR> }\end{array}\right.$
2nd $\left\{\begin{aligned} & \text { Changes to WONDY data for 2nd problem } \\ & 7 / 8 / 9 \text { <EOR> } \\ & \text { Problem } \text { Changes to MORSTOR data for 2nd problem } \\ & 7 / 8 / 9 \text { <EOR> }\end{aligned}\right.$
Last (NJOBth) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Changes to WONDY data for last (NJOBth) problem } \\ 7 / 8 / 9 \quad \text { <EOR> } \\ \text { Changes to MORSTOR data for NJOBth problem } \\ 6 / 7 / 8 / 9 \text { <EOF> }\end{array}\right.$

If it is desired to execute WONDY using only as mach storage as the specific problem at hand requires, then the following instructions are appropriate (p. 117) (CAUIION: If REZONE is active, the user must be certain that sufficient size is reserved.)

```
    Appropriate control stream
    (update and execute PREPROCESSOR and wONDY)
7/8/9 <EOR>
7/8/9 <EOR>
    1 NOP5 6-NVAR10 11 NZON15 FORMAT(3I5)
    (NOP = number of plots)
    (NVAR = number of variables - normally 10)
    (NZON = total number of zones in problem)
7/8/9 <EOR>
        *READ TAPE50
7/8/9 <EOR>
        Data for WONDY problem
    7/8/9 <EOR>
```

Record 1 provides identification information used In standard edit and output routines. Data may include user"s name, run date, run number or any other identification.

```
            NAME DESCRIPTION
    1CARDNO
11TITLEg0 - any string of BCD data.
```

RECORD 2 FORMAT (16I5)
DEFAULT3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NAME DESCRIPTION } \\
& { }_{1} \text { CARDNO }_{5} \text { - } 2 \\
& 11^{\text {LPHA }_{15}} \text { - geometry coefficient. } \\
& 1 \text { - rectangular. } \\
& 2 \text { - cylindrical. } \\
& 3 \text { - spherical. } \\
& 25^{N O P_{20}} \text { - number of material layers (plates). } \\
& 1 \text { - fixed. } \\
& 2 \text { - fixed minimum (maximum) position. } \\
& \text { (must specify LBCN (RBCN) in Record 3) } \\
& 3 \text { - free boundary. } \\
& 4 \text { - special boundary condition from } \\
& \text { subroutine BOUNDRY. }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
MANE EESCRIPTION
    31RHBI35 - right boundary type, same as LHBT above.
    36\mp@subsup{MACF}{40 - zone number of right-hand limit of}{}=\mathrm{ - }
        initial activity. (p. 89)
    41NJOB}45 - number of stacked problems in this job
    46NITH0 - Unused
    51NOL55 - initial conditions (cycle 0) printed if
        NOL }\not=0
    56NUL60 - last cycle before computer time termination will be printed if NUL \(\neq 0\). NUL is the number of CPU seconds remaining to cause termination.
61 MORE \(_{65}\) - set to 1 if MORSTOR is to be called, NVAR must be at least 11 if MORE \(\neq 0\). ( \(p\). 87)
66JTAPE 70 - for restart only, set equal to number of plates to be read from restart tape. (p. 91)
\(71^{N S T A R T 75 ~-~ f o r ~ r e s t a r t ~ o n l y, ~ s e t ~ e q u a l ~ t o ~ r e s t a r t ~}\) cycle number to be read from restart tape. (p. 91)
```

| NAME | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{1} \mathrm{CARDHO}_{5}$ | 3 |
| $11^{\mathrm{TMAX}_{20}}$ | maximum problem time, problem has normal exit when $T \geqslant$ TMAX. |
| $21^{\text {DELT (4) }} 30$ | ```maximum initial time step,* when zero initial time step is determined by GENERAT. (p. 79)``` |
| $31^{\text {SIGSEP }} 40$ | separation stress for previously fractured zone, a negative value exceeding in amplitude the expected numerical noise in stress. (p. 76) |
| $41^{\text {SIG ACT }} 50$ | zones become active as stress exceeds SIGACT. (p. 89) |
| $51^{\mathrm{XZERO}_{60}}$ | initial position of left boundary. (p. 81) |
| $61^{\mathrm{LBCN}_{70}}$ | left boundary parameter. (p. 85) <br> LBCN $=$ XMIN for LHBT $=2$, or can be used <br> for communication with subroutine BOUNDRY. |
| $71^{\text {RBCN }} 80$ | right boundary parameter. (p. 85) <br> RBCN $=$ XMAX for RHBT $=2$, or can be used to communicate with subroutine BOUNDRY. |

[^13]

RECORD 5 is optional and specifies the Erequency of producing the standard edit. If absent, no standard edit is produced. (p. 93)

```
        NAME DESCRIPTION
1 CARDNO5 - 5
    11S120 - Standard printed edit will start at time
    214130 Sl and is produced at every \Deltal time interval
    31S240 until time S2, then at every \Delta2 time inter-
    41\Delta250 val until time s3, etc. If S3 = 0 edit will
    51 S360 stop at S2, if S4 = 0 edit will stop at s3.
    614370
    71 S4}8
```

RECORD 6 FORMAT (2I5, 7E10)

RECORD 6 is optional and controls calling of subroutine OUTPL to write file for subsequent plotting of selected variables (see RECORD 23). When RECORD 6 is absent, OUTPL will not be called. (p. 95)

```
    NAME DESCRIPTION
1-2ARDNO}5 - 6
    11S120 - see RECORD 5 for description of parameters.
        \bullet
    71S480
```

RECORD 7 is optional and controls calling of subroutine OUTN. If RECORD 7 is absent, OUTN will not be called. (p. 95)

```
    NAME DESCRIPTION
1CARDNO
    11S120 - see RECORD 5 for description of parameters.
        \bullet
        \bullet
        *
    71S480
```

RECORD 8 FORMAT (2I5, 7E10)

RECORD 8 is optional and is used to control the writing of a restart tape. If no restart tape is to be produced RECORD 8 is to be omitted. (p. 94)

```
    NAME DESCRIPTION
1 CARDNO5 - 8
    11S120 - see CARD 5 for description of parameters.
        \bullet
        -
        -
    715480
```

RECORD 9 is optional and is used to specify additional data as may be required for subroutines OUYPL, OUTN, BOUNDRY etc. (p. 86, 93, 95)

```
        NAME DESCRIPTION
        1 CARDNO}5 - 9
            6I10 - position in the array ADDATA where data on
                this card is to be stored, I < 94.
    11 ADDATA(I)}20 - specific use of the variables will depend
        upon the subroutines using them, maximum
        - dimension of ADDATA is 100.
71ADDATA(I+6)80
```

RECORD 10 specifies equation of state and initial zoning for each plate. Must be present for each plate or material layer in the problem configuration.

```
        NAME DESCRIPTION
        1CARDNO5 - }1
        6PLATE}10 - plate number for data in this record.
        11STATEE20 - equation of state indicator for this plate,
        1.0 \leqslant STATE < 6.0, corresponding to desired
        EOS routine. If STATE = -IPLT, then EOS
        parameters, initial conditions, and fracture
        data for IPLI will be assigned to PLAPE.
    21 NOMESH30 - number of zones in this plate (p. 80). If
        NOMESH = -IPLT, then zoning configuration and
        rezoning parameters for IPLT will be assigned
        to PLATE.
    31\mp@subsup{THKNS 40 - plate thickness. (p. 80)}{40}{(1)}
    41 DELTAX}50 - size of leftmost zone. (p. 80)
51 DETHAX160 - size of rightmost zone. (p. 80)
61 XRATIO70 - not used.
    71 %GAP880 - distance between right boundary of this
        plate and left boundary of the next, when
        XGAP = 0.0 plates are in contact. (p. 81)
```

RECOR' 11 specifies rezone parameters. If RECORD 11 is absent for any plate, rezone will not occur in that plate.


RECORD 12 is optional, and specifies the initial conditions for a given plate. When absent default values are assumed. (p. 77-79)

| NAME | DESCRIPTION | DEFAULT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{1}$ Cardno $_{5}$ | 12 |  |
| $6{ }^{\mathrm{PLATE}_{10}}$ | plate number for data in this record. |  |
| $112^{\text {EZERO }_{20}}$ | initial energy in this plate. | 0.0 |
| ${ }_{21} \mathrm{PZERO}_{30}$ | initial pressure in tnis plate. | 0.0 |
| $31{ }^{\text {RZERO }} 40$ | initial density in this plate. | $\rho_{0}$ |
| $41^{\text {SKERO }_{50}}$ | initial stress in this plate. | 0.0 |
| $51{ }^{\text {UZERO }_{60}}$ | initial velocity of this plate. | 0.0 |
| 610ZEROI70 | initial velocity of right boundary of this plate. | 0.0 |
| ${ }_{71} \mathrm{ZZERO}_{80}$ | initial value of $\phi$ in this plate. | 0.0 |

RECORD 13 is optional and specifies plate fracture criteria. Default values are assumed only when entire record is absent, in which case, no fractures will occur in the plate. (p. 72-76)

```
            NAME DESCRIPTION
    1CARDNO5 - }1
    6 PLATE 10 - plate number for data in this record.
    11 FCRIT}20 - fracture mechanism type.
    2.0
    1. - stretching at minimum stress. (p. 72)
    2. - maximum tensile stress failure. (p. 73)
    3. - cumulative damage criterion
        (NVAR > 12). (p. 73)
21 SIGMAF30 - (FCRIT = 1) - minimum stretching
        stress, < 0.
        (FCRIT = 2) - fracture stress, < 0. -1.0E100
        (FCRIT = 3) - fracture integral,
        Kmax, > 0.
31FCONST40 - (FCRIT = 1) - melt energy.
        (FCRIT = 2) - not used.
        {FCRIT = 3) - exponent, }\lambda\mathrm{ , in fracture
        integral, > 0.
41 SIGMAO}50 - (FCRIT = 1) - not used.
    (FCRIT = 2) - not used.
    (FCRIT = 3) - tensile threshold limit for
    fracture integral, \sigmaO, < 0.
```

RECORD 14 is optional and specifies fracture cxiteria for the right hand interface of a given plate. Defant values are assumed only if RECORD 14 is absent, in which case, the specified interface will not fracture. Parameter definitions are the same as those for RECORD 13. (p. 72-76)

| NAME | DESCRIPTION | DEFRILIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{1} \mathrm{CARDNO}_{5}$ | 14 |  |
| $6^{\text {PLATE }} 10$ | plate number, data which follows applies to right hand interface of PLATE. |  |
| $11^{\text {FCRITI }} 20$ | FCRIT at right hand interface on PLATE. | 2.0 |
| $21^{\text {SIGMAIF }} 30$ | SIGMAI at right hand interface of PLATE. | -1. 0E100 |
| $31 \mathrm{FCONSTI}_{40}$ | FCONST at right hand interface of PrATE. |  |
| 41 SIGMAOI50 | SIGMAO at right hand interface of PIATE. |  |

RECORD 15 FORNAT (2I5, 7E10)

RECORDS 15-19 specify, by plate, the equation of state data which is read into the array CES(I,PIATE). In cases where the specified state routine (STAT2, SPAT3, etc.) does not require certain of the 35 constants, the corresponding records may be omitted. All unspecified CES parameters are defaulted to 0. Definitions of the 35 constants appropriate for each of the available state routines are found at the end of this appendix:



Same as RECORD 15 only for CES(8,PIATE) through $\operatorname{CES}(14$, PLATE $) \cdot \quad{ }_{1}$ CARDNO $_{5}=16$.

RECORD 17 FORMAT (2I5, 7E10)

Same as RECORD 15 only for CES(15,PLATE) through $\operatorname{CES}(21, \mathrm{PLATE}) \cdot \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{CARDNO}_{5}=17$.

RECORD 18 FORMAT (215, 7E10)

Same as RECORD 15 only for CES(22,PLATE) through $\operatorname{CES}(28$, PLATE $) . \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{CARDNO}_{5}=18$.

RECORD 19 FORMAT (215, 7E10)

Same as RECORD 15 only for CES(29, PLATE) through $\operatorname{CES}(35$, PLATE $) \cdot \quad{ }_{1}$ CARDNO $_{5}=19$.

RECORD 21 allows the user to replace any or all of three standard edit variables in edit coluwns 5-7 with other variables. This option is particularly useful when NVAR > 10, and the user desires edits containing these new variables. Default is the standard edit. (p. 94)


RECORD 22 has the same function as RECORD 21, except for edit coluans 8-10.


RECORD 23 FORMAT (2I5, 7E10)

RECORD 23 allows the user to replace any or all of the five variables written onto the plotting outpat file, TAPE23. (Note: RECORD 6 must be present to obtain data for plotting). (p. 95)


This version of MORSTOR allows for the input to WONDY of an arbitrary energy density deposition profile. An <EOR> must appear hetween the above WONDY data and the following MORSTOR data. (p. 87-89)

RECORD 31
FORMAT (2I5, 2E10)

```
NAME DESCRIPTION
\({ }_{1} \mathrm{CARDNO}_{5}\) - 31
\({ }_{11}\) TDEP \(_{20}\) - deposition time (P. 88)
```

$21^{\text {ENOR }} 30$ - energy per unit surface area deposited, set $E N O R=0$. to avoid normalization of deposition profile to this value (p. 87-88)

RECORD 38 FORMAT (2I5, 6E10/(8E10))

RECORD 38 is a multiple record set which specifies the unnormalized arbitrary energy density profile. At least two points should be used for each material laver, even if their energy density values are zero. No points should appear in gaps which may exist between plates. (p. 87)

```
        NAME DESCRIPTION
        1 CARENO5 - 38
        6}\mp@subsup{}{}{NXY
        energy density profile, { 100
```



```
        profile
```

```
            NAME DESCRIPTION
21YE(1)30 - unnormalized energY per unit mass at
                                first point in profile
    -
    -
```

Use as many records as required, in the specified format: note that the data is in the ordex XE(1), YE(1), XE(2), YE(2), ... XE(NXY), Ye(NXY)

This equation of state routine is used for rate independent initially solid materials. (p. 33-48)

1. $\rho_{0}$ - reference density of uncompressed material (p. 34)
2. $c_{0}$ - bulk sound speed of uncompressed material (p. 34)
3. $\mathscr{E}_{s}$ - sublimation energy density (used only in vapor EOS: if blank or zero, $s$ is defaulted to $1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) (p. 37)
4. Fm - melt energy density (used only in tensile stress limitation with vapor EOS; if blank or zero, m is defaulted to $1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) (p. 40)
5. $\sigma_{\text {min }}^{0}$ - maximum tensile stress allowable with vapor EOS (a negative quantity; if blank or zero, $\sigma_{\text {min }}^{0}$ is defaulted to $\mathbf{- 1 . 0 \times 1 0 ^ { 3 0 } \text { ) (p. 40) }}$
6. v - Poisson's ratio (used only when NOY > 0) (p. 44-45)
7. $H=\gamma-1$ - where $\gamma=$ ratio of specific heats for expanded vapor (set H = 0. to bypass vapor EOS) (p. 37)
8. NOK* - number of $K$ constants, including $K_{o}$ (p. 34-35)
9. $K_{0} \quad-\quad$ ambient bulk modulus (computed internally from $\rho_{0,} c_{0}$ ) (p. 35)
10. ${ }^{k_{1}}$ - if $N O K=0$. the $U_{s} / u_{p}$ slope $s$ appears here; otherwise, $k_{1}-k_{5}$ are non-dimensional parameters in polynomial
11. $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ for k . (p. $\overline{35 \text { ) }}$
12. $k_{3}$
13. $\mathrm{k}_{4}$
14. $\mathrm{k}_{5}$
[^14]| 15. | NOH* | - number of $\Gamma$ constants, including $\Gamma_{0}(p .33,35-36)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | $r_{0}^{*}$ | - ambient Gruneisen parameter (if NOH = 1., $r=r_{0}$ ) (p. 35) |
| 17. | $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ | - if $h_{1}=-1 .$, other $h^{\prime \prime} s_{\text {zero }}, r_{\rho}=r_{0}{ }_{0}(p .36)$ |
| 18. | $h_{2}$ |  |
| 19. | $h_{3}$ |  |
| 20. | $\mathrm{h}_{4}$ |  |
| 21. | $\mathrm{h}_{5}$ |  |


| 22. | NOG* |  | number of $G$ constants, including $G_{0}$ (if $N O G=0$., $G$ is compated from $K$ and $v$ internally) ( $p$. 41-45) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23. | $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ | - | ambient shear modulus (computed internally from $c_{0}, y$ ) (p. |
| 24. | 91 | - |  |
| 25. | 92 | - |  |
| 26. | $9_{3}$ | - | non-dimensional parameters in polynomial for 6. (p. 45) |
| 27. | 94 | - |  |
| 28. | 95 | - |  |

29. NOY* - yield strengh indicator (p. 40-48) $\quad$| NOY | $=0 .-$ material is treated hydrodynamically (p. 40) |
| ---: | :--- |
| NOY | $=1 .-Y$ is constant at $Y_{0}$ ( $p .45$ ) |
| NOY | $=2 .-Y$ is infinite (p. 45) |
| NOY | $=3 .-Y$ varies with energy and stcain in. 45) |
| NOY | $=4 .-$ linear isotropic work hardening (p. 47) |
| NOY | $=5 .-$ power law isotropic work hardening (p. 47) |

| 30. | $Y_{0}$ | - initial yield strength |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31. | $Y_{1}$ | - coefficient in variable yield models |
| 32. | $Y_{2}$ | - coefficient in variable yield models |
| 33. | $y_{3}$ | - coefficient in variable yield models |
| 34. |  |  |
| 35. |  |  |

This equation of state routine is used for detonating high explosives. The three models available are ideal gas, JWL, and BKW. (p. 48-53)



This equation of state routine is used for perfect gasses*. (p. 53-54)

| 1. | $\rho_{0}$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $c_{0}$ | - initial density |
| 3. | $\gamma$ | - ratiol of specific heats |
| 4. | 35. | - not used |

*When using this equation of state, initial pressure, density, and energy must be prescribed with RECORD 12.

This equation of state routine is used for rate dependent arr strain hardening initially solid materials. Superscript letters refer to notes immediately following. (p. 54-65)

1. $\rho_{0}$ - reference density of uncompressed material (p. 34)
2. $c_{0}$ - bulk sound speed of uncompressed material (p. 34)
3. Es - sublimation energy density (used only by vapor Eos; if blank or zero, $\mathscr{E}_{S}$ is defaulted to $1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) (p. 37)
4. Em - melt energy density (used in $k(E){ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{a})}$; if blank or zero, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is defaulted to $1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) (p. 59)
5. $\sigma_{\text {min }}^{0}$ - maximum tensile stress allowable with vapor EOS (a negative quantity; if blank or zero, $\sigma_{\text {gin }}^{O}$ is defaulted to $-1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) (p. 40)
6. $v$ - Poisson's ratio (p. 65)
7. $H=Y-1$ - where $Y=$ ratio of specific heats for expanded vapor (set $H=0$ to bypass vapor EOS) ip. 37)

8. NOY(h) - yiela strength indicator (P. 60)
NOY $=0$. - hydrodynamic (rate independent) ( $p$. 60)
NOY = 1. - constant yield stress, $Y=Y_{0}$ ( $\mathbf{p}$. 60)
NOY $=2$. - infinite yield stress (use STATl for this option (p. 45)
NOY = 3. - variable yield stress ${ }^{(c)}$ (p.60)
NOY $=$ 4. $\quad$ - linear isotropic work hardening (d) (p. 60)
NOY = 5. - power law isotropic work hardening (e) (p. 60)
NOY < 0. - anisotropic work hardening with |NOY|
components (maximum of 7) (p. 60)

|  |  | NOY > 0 . |  | NOY < 0. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. | $Y_{0}$ | - initial yield stress | $Y_{1}-1$ |  |
| 16. | Y1 | - used for nox $\geqslant 3$. | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}-$ |  |
| 17. | Y2 | - used for NoY $\geqslant 4$. | $\mathrm{Y}_{3}-$ | individual components of |
| 18. | Y3 | - computed internally | $Y_{4}-$ | anisotropic <br> yield stress(f) |
| 19. | Y4 | - computed internally | $\mathrm{Y}_{5}$ - | (p. 57-58) |
| 20. |  | - not used | $Y_{6}-$ |  |
| 21. |  | - not used | $\mathrm{Y}_{7}-$ |  |


| 22. | $a_{1}-$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23. | $a_{2}-$ |
| 24. | $a_{2}-$ |
| 25. | $a_{4}-$ |
| 25. | $a_{5}-$ |
| 27. | $a_{6}-$ |
| 23. | $a_{7}-$ |$\quad$| weights of $y_{1}$ |
| :--- |
| $(p .57-58)$ |$\quad$ for NOY $<0$.

29. f - maximum allowable fractional error in rate dependence (if blank or zero, $\delta$ is defaulted to .01) ( $p$. 61)
30.     - not used

constants for use in relaxation function(q) (p. 63)
31.     - not used

Notes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (a) } k()=1 & -\left(k_{0}+2\right) \frac{\mathscr{E}^{0}}{\mathbb{E}_{m}}+\left(k_{0}+1\right)\left(\frac{\mathscr{E}_{0}}{\mathscr{E}_{m}}\right)^{2} \geqslant 0  \tag{p.59}\\
& -2 \leqslant k_{0} \leqslant-1
\end{align*}
$$

(b) If a given zone-cycle passes through the vapor EOS, then

$$
\sigma \geqslant \sigma_{\min }=\sigma_{\min }^{0} k(\mathbb{E}) \leqslant 0 \quad(p \cdot 65)
$$

(c) $Y=Y_{O}\left(1+Y_{17}\right) k(E) \geqslant 0$ (p. 60)
(d) $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{E} E, \mathbf{Y} \leqslant \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{O}}$

$$
Y=Y_{0}+E^{*}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{0}\right), Y>Y_{0}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the strain in uniaxial stress, $E$ is Young's modulus, $E^{*}$ is the tangent modulus, and $Y_{0}=E \varepsilon_{O^{*}} E^{*}$ is input as $Y_{2}$ and $E$ is input as $Y_{1}$. If $Y_{1}$ is left blank it is calculated as

$$
y_{1}=E=3(1-2 v) K_{0}
$$

(e) $Y=E \in, Y \leqslant Y_{O}$

$$
Y=Y_{0}+\beta(\Sigma-Y / E)^{\boldsymbol{X}}, Y>Y_{0}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the strain in uniaxial stress, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are material constants input as $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ respectively ( $E$ is not explicitly required as input to this model)
(f) If the vapor EOS is employed for a given material layer, then the individual yield components are reduced by

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{i}} k(\mathbb{E}) \geqslant 0
$$

(g) The currently programmed relaxation function is

$$
g=\frac{\sigma^{d}-\sigma_{e}^{d}}{G \cdot A l}
$$

where $\sigma^{d}$ and $\sigma_{e}^{d}$ are the total and equilibrium stress deviators respectively, $G$ is the shear modulus, and $A l$ is the material relaxation time. other functions can easily be inserted, and the input constants A2 through A4 are provided for this purpose. (p. 63)
(h) NOY $=0$ : NVAR must be at least 10

1. \& NOY \& 3.: NVAR must be at least 13

NOY $=4 ., 5 .:$ NVAR must be at least 14 $-1 . \geqslant$ NOY $\geqslant-7 .: \quad$ NVAR $\geqslant 13+|N O Y|$

This equation of state routine is used for initially distended or porous materials. (p. 65-71)

THE TNITIAL DENSITY OF THE FOAM MATERIAL MUST APPERR ON RECORD 12 AS RZERO (PLATE).

| 1. | $\rho_{\text {so }}$ | - density of uncompressed reference solid material |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | $c_{0}$ | - bulk sound speed of uncompressed reference solid material (p. 68) |
| 3. | ${ }_{5}$ | - sublimation energy density (used only with zapor tos; if blank or zero, $\mathscr{e}_{5}$ is defaulted to $1.0 \times 10^{30}$; ip. 37) |
| 4. | $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | - melt energy density (used in tensile stress limitation with vapor EOS; if blank or zero, $\mathbb{E}_{\text {m }}$ is defaulted to $1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) (p. 40) |
| 5. | $\checkmark_{\text {min }}^{\text {O}}$ | - maximum allowable tensile stress with vapor EOS (a negative quantity; if blank or zero, $\sigma_{\text {min }}$ is defaulted to $-1.0 \times 10^{30}$ ) ( p .40 ) |
| 6. |  | - not used |
| 7. | H | - vapor $Y$ - 1 (set $H=0$. to bypass the vapor EOS) (p. 37) |

8. NOK - number of $K$ constants, including $K_{0}$ ( $p$. 34-35)
9. $K_{0}$ - ambient bulk modulus for reference solid material (calculated internally from $\rho_{\text {so }}, c_{0}$ ) ( $p .35$ )
10. $k_{1}$ - if $N O K=0$. , the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{p}}$ slope s appears here ( p . 35)
11. $k_{2}$
12. $\mathrm{k}_{3}$
13. $k_{4}$
14. $k_{5}$


## APPENDIX F <br> PLOTTING INPUT INSTRUCTIONS FOR WONDPLT - A GENERAL PURPOSE PLOT ROUTINE

It is frequently of interest to have a visual display of the simulation calculated by WONDY, and the plotting routine wONDPLr provides this capability. Two kinds of plots are available - history of a variable at a fixed Lagrangian point, and spatial dependence of a variable at a fixed time. The latter may be used to generate movies of the material response, which are often indispensible in obtaining a good overall view of a given problem. The former is a useful tool when cross-plotting variables. History plots may be used in conjunction with digitized experimental data to nerge on the same plot both the numerical solution and the experimental results.

## TAPE UNITS WONDPLT

10 plot output
23 input from woNDY
50 Data for merging with History plots

In order to obtain plot output from wondy, RECORD 6 must be included in the WONDY input. Input to the WONDY code (RECORD 23) may be used to define output for other than the listed variables, and the WONDPLT code may be updated to plot in color, and to plot multiple sets of information on a single frame (i.e. suppress film advance).

Current plotting limitations are set at ten (10) TIMEPLOTS (histories), and the number of points on any given plot may not exceed 1200 without causing plotting truncation.


[^15]| RECORD 3à | FORMAT (10X, 7A10) |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1-6) | "XLABEL" |
| (11-80) | Desired Hollerith label for $x$-axis (Center of scale at col. 40) |
|  | ; |
| RECORD 4a | FORMAT (15X, 3F5.0, 2E10.0) |
| ( $1-5$ ) | "YDATA" |
| (16-20) | Variable number (see RECORD 2a) |
| (21-25) | 0 linear scale |
|  | 1 logarithmic scale* |
| (26-30) | 0 automatic scaling* |
|  | 1 scaling supplied by user |
| $(31-40)$ | YMIN if (26-30) non-zexo |
| ( 41-50) | YMAX if (26-30) non-zero |

RECORD 5a FORMAT (10X, 7A10)
(1-6) "YLABEL"
(11-80) Desired Hollerith label for $y$-axis
(center of scale at col. 40 for hardcopy, col. 30 for mov:

RECORD set la-5a may be repeated as necessary for other variable combinations.

If a movie is desired, RECORD la should be preceeded by a RECORD with "MOVIE" in col. (1-5).

[^16]
## HISTORY PLOTS INPUT

(Spatial Order Required)

| RECORD 1b | FORMAT (10X, 3F5.0) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(1-8)$ | TIMEPLOT" |
| $(11-15)$ | interface number (1 is left boundary) |
| $(16-20)$ | zone number from interface; either + or - |
| $(21-25)$ | frequency of dzta: 1 every point |
|  | 2 alternate points |
|  |  |


| RECORD 2b | FORMAT (15X, 3F5.0, 2E10.0, 2F5.0) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( $1-5$ ) | "XDATA" |
| (16-20) | variable number (see RECORD 2a) (blank for time) |
| (21-25) | 0 linear scale <br> 1 logarithmic scale |
| ( 26-30) | 0 automatic scaling <br> 1 scaling supplied by user |
| (31-40) | XMIN ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $\left.26-30\right)$ non-zero |
| (41-50) | XMAX if ( $\mathbf{2 6 - 3 0 )}$ non-zero |
| ( 51-55) | 0 points not connected <br> 1 points connected |
| ( $56-60$ ) | character cofe (see Table E-1) (if blank, 42 is used) |

RECORD 3b FORMAT (10X, 7A10)
(1-6)
(11-80) Desired Hollerith label for $x$-axis

RECORD 46 FORMAT (15X, 3F5.0, 2E10.0, 3F5.0)

| (1-5) | "YDATA" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (16-20) | Variable number (see RECORD 2a) |  |  |
| (21-25) | 0 | linear scale <br> logarithmic scale |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |
| (26-30) | 0 | automatic scaling scaling supplied by user |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |
| (31-40) | YMIN | if (26-30) non-zero |  |
| ( $41-50$ ) | YMAX | if (26-30) non-zero |  |
| (51-55) | 01 | no merge plot <br> merge plot <br> merge tape format (TAPE50): <br> ( $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{I}), Y(I), I=1$, NPOINTS |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NPOIMIS, |
| $(56-60)$ | 0 | points not connected on merge data points connected on merge data |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (61-65) | character code for merge data (see Table E-1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

RECORD 5b FORMAT (10X, 7A10.0)
(1-6) "YLABEL"
(11-80) Desired Hollerith label for y-axis

RECORD set $\mathbf{1 b}-5 b$ may be repeated up to a limit of ten (10) TIMEPLOT's, in spatial order. The TIMEPLOT card need not be repeated to obtain histories of other variables at the same point.

Both XPLOT's and TIMEPLOT's may appear in the same plotting data file.
The final record in the data file must be a record with "END" in col. (1-3)

Standard Scientific Characters

| Character | Decimal |  | Decimal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Code | Character | Code |
| 0 | 0 | W | 54 |
| 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{x}$ | 55 |
| 2 | 2 | Y | 56 |
| 3 | 3 | $z$ | 57 |
| 4 | 4 | $=$ | 11 |
| 5 | 5 | + | 16 |
| 6 | 6 | - | 32 |
| 7 | 7 | - | 44 |
| 8 | 8 | / | 49 |
| 9 | 9 | - | 27 |
| A | 17 | - | 59 |
| B | 18 | [ | 60 |
| c | 19 | 1 | 28 |
| D | 20 | \$ | 43 |
| E | 21 | (Blank) | 48 |
| F | 22 | a | 10 |
| G | 23 | $\cdots$ | 12 |
| H | 24 | - | 13 |
| I | 25 | $\delta$ | 14 |
| J | 33 | $\propto$ | 15 |
| K | 34 | ? | 31 |
| L | 35 | 3 | 29 |
| M | 36 | $\pm$ | 30 |
| N | 37 | $\pi$ | 26 |
| 0 | 38 | - | 42 |
| p | 39 | $\gamma$ | 45 |
| 2 | 40 | - | 46 |
| R | 41 | a | 47 |
| 5 | 50 | 0 | 58 |
| T | 51 | 5 | 61 |
| U | 52 | $\Sigma$ | 62 |
| v | 53. | 口 | 63 |

Ktech Corp. (5)
911e Pennsylvania, NE
Albuquerque, NM 87112
Attn: L. Lee (4)
E. Young
G. E. Reentry Systems Division 3198 Chestnut St.
Philadelphia, PA 19101
Attn: D. Edelman
Univ. Daytor Research Institute 300 College Park Ave. Dayton, OH 45469

Attn: Dennis Paisley M.S. KLAl4

Ballistics Research Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, MD 21005

Attn: J. Zukas
Prof. Cathy Aimone
Dept. of Mining and Geol. Eng. New Mexico Tech.
Socorro, NM 87801
Dr. Rod Boade
GB105
Phillips Petroleum Co. Bartlesville, OK 74004

Naval Surface Weapons Center (2)
White Oak Laboratories Silver Spring, MD 20910

Attn: Pat Collins, R-44 John O. Erkman, R-12

Dr. Peter J. Digby
Dept. of Rock Mechanics
University of LULEA
s-95187 LULEA,
SWEDEN
Lt. Ed Driscoll
AFWL/NTYV Bldg. 914
Kirtland AFB
Albuquerque, NM 87185

Dr. Bo Einarsson
Conventional Warhead Physics
Swedish National Defence Research Inst.
Tumba, Sweden
Martin Fogel
Pacifica Technology
P. O. Box 148

Delmar, CA 92014
Marlyn E. Graham
Effects Technology, Inc.
5383 Hollister Ave.
P. O. Box 30400

Santa Barbara, CA 93105
Harle E. Hanson
Earth Sciences Div.
Lawrence Livermore National Labs.
P. O. Box 808

Livermore, CA 94550
Prof. Darrell L. Hicks
Dept. of Mathematics
University of Colorado at Denver
1100 14th Street
Denver, CO 80202
Dr. Herman Krier
University of Illinois
Champaign, IL 61820
Dr. Gideon Rosenberg
Ministry of Defence
P. O. Box 2250

Haifa, Israel
Dr. Robert M. Schmiat
Boeing Aerospace Co.
Research and Eng. Division
Shock Physics \& Appl. Math
P. O. Box 3999

M/S 42-37
Seattle, WA 98124
Dr. E. K. Walsh
University of Florida
Dept. of Eng. Sciences
231 Aerospace Eng. Bldg.
Gainsville, FL 32611
B. D. Trott

Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue
Columbus, OH 43201

Dr. R. R. Pedrido
Bell Laboratories
Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733

Henry Makowitz
Applied Mathematics
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Associated Universities, Inc.
Upton, NY 11973

Dr. Morris Reich
Building 129
Structural Analysis Group Dept. of Nuclear Engineering
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY 11973

Rodney J. Clifton, Chairman
Executive Committee
Division of Engineering
Brown University
Providence, RI 02912

Thomas J. Ahrens
Prof. Geophysics
California Inst. Tech. (252-1)
Pasadena, CA 91125

Wallace E. Johnson
Computer Code Consultants
1680 Camino Redonda
Los Alamos, NM 87544
W. M. Isbell

Effects Technology, Inc.
5383 Hollister Ave.
Santa Barbara, CA 93111
T. C. T. Ting

Dept. of Materials Engineering
Univ. of Illinois at Chicago Circle
Box 4348
Chicago, IL 60680

Professor James Tasi
Dept. Mechanical Eng.
State Univ. of New York
Stony Brook, L. I. . NY 11794

Kaman Sciences Corporation (3)
1500 Garden of the Gods Road
P. O. Box 7463

Colorado Springs, CO 80933
Attn: John L. May
Dr. Peter B. Snow
D. C. Willians

Lockheed Missiles \& Space Co.
D/81-11 Building 154
Aerothermodynamics \& Vulnerability
Sunnyvale, CA 94088
Attn: Dr. Stephen Chang

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
P. O. Box 808

Iivermore, CA 94550
Attn: J. O. Hallquist, L-122
W. H. McMaster, L-122
S. Sack, L-35
M. L. Wilkins, L-355

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (7)
P. O. Box 1663

Los Alamos, NM 87545
Attn: C-Division Program
Library, MS-362
F. N. App, MS-676, G-7
D. D. Eilers, MS-420, X-5
J. N. Johnson, MS-214, T-14
G. I. Kerley, MS-212, T-4
J. Wackerle, MS-952, WX-7
P. P. Whalen, MS-625, X-DO

Lynn Seaman
Room A295
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. W. F. Hubica
Science Applications Inc.
1546 Cole Blvd., Suite 210
Golden, CO 80401

Dave Ewick
Mound Facility
Miamisburg, OH 45342

Terra Tek, Inc. (2)
420 Wakara Way
Salt Lake City, uT 84108
Attn: A. S. Abou-Sayed Arfón Jones

Gordon R. Johnson
Mail Station MN 11-2440
Honeywe 11 Inc.
Defence Systems Division
600 Second St. NE
Hopkins, MN 55343
Yasuyuki Horie
Dept. of $C \because i ?$ Engineering
North Caroli:ia State Univ.
Raleigh, NC 27650
Mr. Shlomo Ginsburg
Structural Division
TECHNION - Israel Inst. of Tech. Technion City: Haifa 32000 ISRAEL

Mr. Bruce L. Morris
Senior Research Engineer
Southwest Research Institute P. O. Drawer 28510

San Antonio: TX 78284
D. P. Dandekar

Army Materials \& Mechanics
Research Center
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown, MA 02171
300 R. G. Clem
330 A. A. Lieber
334 J. L. Dossey
334 R. J. Lawrence (50)
2152 G. E. Clark
2364 M. L. Hodgdon
2510 D. H. Anderson
2513 J. E. Kennedy
2513 P. L. Stanton
2514 D. E. Mitchell
3141 L. J. Erickson (5)
3151 w. L. Garner (3)
3154-4 C. Dalin (25)
(For DOE/TIC)
5131 P. J. Chen
5131 R. A. Graham
5131 R. E. Setchell

5131
5500
5510
5511
5511
5511
5512
5513
5520
5521
5521
5522
5522
5522
5523
5524
5524
5524
5530
5531
5531
5531
5531
5531
5531
5531
5531
5531
5532
5532
5532
5532 W. A. Olsson
5532 R. H. Price
5532 L. W. Teufel
5532 พ. Wawersik
5532 D. H. Żeuch
5533 T. G. Bergstresser
5533 W. T. Brown
5533 w. R. Davey
5533 M. E. Kipp (200)
5533 H. S. Lauson
5533 S. T. Montgomery
5533 F. R. Norwood
5533 S. L. Passman
5533 J. W. Swegle
5534 J. R. Asay
5534 L. M. Barker
5534 D. A. Benson
5534 T. J. Burns
5534 L. C. Chhabildas
5534 D. S. Drumheller
5534 D. E. Grady
5534 T. G. Trucano

5534 J. L. Wise
5541 W. C. Luth
5541 J. B. Rundle
$56 \& 1$ R. J. Thompson
5641 P. B. Bailey
5642 L. F. Shampine
5814 E. D. Reedy
5835 C. H. Karnes
7111 R. C. Bass
7112 A. J. Chabai
/ 1116 R. P. Reed
7132 P. W. Cooper
7533 R. A. Benham
8116 A. Jones
8120 L. D. Bertholf
8121 L. E. Voelker (5)
8214 M. A. Found
8332 J. Lathrop
9221 B. W. Duggin
9231 F. Bigas
9247 L. Baker
9414 D. E. Bennett
9441 M. Berman
9441 R. K. Cole
9442 W. A. von Riesemann
9442 R. L. Woodfin
9443 P. Yarrington
9444 S. L. Thompson
9444 J. M. McGlaun
9444 L. D. Buxton
9444 R. K. Byers
9532 L. D. Tyler
9551 M. M. Madsen
9753 D. A. Northrop
9747 E. P. Chen
9752 H. J. Sutherland


[^0]:    *Superscripts refer to references listed at the end of the report.

[^1]:    **Some auxiliary programs and routines for moNDr include dimensional constants. The appropriate units mast be used when these routines are emploged. Note that since the acceleration due to gravity is not employed in the code, the units of mass and density must conform to a self consistent gravitational system.

    ```
    f 1 kilobar = 109 dynes/cm2; 1 megabar = 103 kilobar = 1012 dynes/cu2
    t+1N/f_2 = 1 Pa; i bar = 105Pa; 100 MPa = 1 kilobar
    ```

[^2]:    * Set NVAR $\geqslant 13$ to use either of these options.

[^3]:    *This routine has been coded for rectangular ( $\alpha=1$ ) and spherical ( $\alpha=3$ ) geometries only; cylindrical ( $\alpha=2$ ) geometry is not allowed.

[^4]:    *The following aiternate forms for (3.117), without energy dependence, are available in a routine described in Reference 23:

    Quadratic: $\quad \alpha=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} p+\alpha_{2} p^{2}$
    Cubic: $\alpha=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} p+\alpha_{2} p^{2}+\alpha_{3} p^{3}$
    Exponential: $\alpha=1+\left(\alpha_{0}-1\right) \exp \left[a\left(p-p_{e}\right)\right]$

[^5]:    †For problems invoiving high internal energies, this latter approach can lead to gross errors.

[^6]:    * The input quantity GVAR must be set equal to or greater than 12 to use this option.

[^7]:    *As with the rest of the code, this subroutine can be used with any consistent set of units. To conform with conventional usage, it is often desirable to employ units which do not meet this criterion. The constant ECON, defined on record number MOR 22, is provided for this purpose. Adjusting ECON appropriately will allow ENOR to appear in any units desired.

[^8]:    

[^9]:    *On occasion, the user may want to calculate the specific momentum, or impulse (momentum/unit area), $\mathscr{F}$, in one or more zones. The calculation is as follows:

[^10]:    *The DXMIN limitation does not affect the initial zoning where zones much figer than DXMIN may be desired in certain applications, e.g. energy deposition.

[^11]:    *NEXT is a link list for blocks in the STORE array linking the storage block for a given zone to that of the next zone in sequence.

[^12]:    * Certain energy deposition routines for subroutine MORSTOR have been coded with dimensional constants. These must be removed if these routines are to be used in non-dimensional calculations.

[^13]:    *A useful guide, for problems in which the first zone may be subjected to large strains by a boundary stress, is

    $$
    \Delta t=\Delta x_{1} \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho_{o} / 2 \sigma_{b d r y}}
    $$

    where $\varepsilon=\eta_{2}$ is the desired strain.

[^14]:    For linear elastic material set $N O K=N O H=1 .$, NOG $=r_{0}=0 .$, NOY $=2$.

[^15]:    * Automatic logarithmic pealing could be used if any value of the variable is less than zero.
    **Automatic logarithmic scaling goes to nearest appropriate whole decade.

[^16]:    *See footnote on previous paqe.

