
Workflow arrangements and their effect on discharge accuracy

By Pat Weaver-Meyers

*Access Services Department Head
University of Oklahoma Libraries*

and Kenneth W. Pearson

*Circulation Supervisor
University of Oklahoma Libraries*

The distraction factor and discharge record error.

Every circulation librarian has dealt repeatedly with the problem of patrons claiming they have already returned a book that they have been billed for. Arbitrating such a dispute is dependent on the library staff's confidence in the accuracy of the discharge records. Many things can affect the error rate during the processing of returned materials: worker alertness, terminal wand misreads, workload, training, etc. In analyzing a fluctuation in error rate, Bizzell Memorial Library at the University of Oklahoma discovered the important role furniture arrangement can play in workflow.

Background


Bizzell Memorial Library circulation operations are automated on a DataPhase ALIS II system. Discharge is completed by wand in the OCR number of the book and responding to the screen prompts. Annual circulation is approximately 158,000. About three years ago, the circulation department incorporated monthly monitoring of the discharge error rate into their regular statistical procedures.

Originally, this was done as a quality control measure. Based on experiences in billing, we suspected that some problems were occurring in discharge which allowed more than a reasonable number of items to get back to the shelf without being cancelled. At that time, patron's claims about book return and the number of bills issued was the only resource of information about possible prob-


lems. The following is a description of the procedure which evolved over the course of a year and was designed to provide the additional needed information.

A sampling of approximately two hundred items are isolated from the sort area where discharge items are routed. Selection of these items is also at randomized times to ensure that the effects of different staff scheduling are also governed by chance. These items are then checked against the computer records to determine if discharge of each item has actually occurred. Those items that are found to be incompletely processed make up the percent error.

It was initially presumed that 100% accuracy was an admirable goal, but perhaps unrealistic. However, considering the nature of public relations and the effect discharge errors can have on a patron's opinion of the library's competency, a high standard was the goal. Therefore, a less than 1% error rate was designated as acceptable. It is important here to stop and think about the practical significance of a 1% error rate. If you cancel 200,000 items per year, for example, a 1% error rate will allow 2,000 items to be processed incorrectly. That could be a potential 2,000 patrons all with a jaded opinion of the library's ability to process materials accurately. Also, it means an associated time and effort must be spent searching the stacks for items claimed returned. So, in reality, even a 99% accuracy rate, which sounds very strin-



Before the change, the terminal operator was clearly visible to patrons waiting at the circulation desk.



After the move, the operator is screened from patrons queuing up for service.

gent, remains costly in terms of staff time and library reputation.

Brainstorming

Once we began regular monitoring of the error rate, fluctuations warned the billing staff to be aware of a problem month. For example, one month the error rate soared to 8%. It was theorized that a completely unprocessed booktruck was passed through to the sort room by accident. Since the monitoring discovered the problem, the billing staff had more confidence in arbitrating in favor of the patron who claimed to have returned books during this period, even if the items were not found in a search of the stacks.

As the monitoring progressed, it became obvious that our goal of a consistent error rate of less than 1% was not being reached. Repeatedly, staff was retrained to stamp and wand one-book-at-a-time instead of processing groups of books together to avoid mistakes. Also, procedure guidelines were posted on the discharge terminal. The circulation supervisor tested each student worker to ensure their method was appropriate. However, the fluctuations still continued.

After repeated review of the problem, a subcommittee of staff members brainstormed the problem. The committee theorized that the location of the discharge terminal could be contributing to the problem. At that time, the furniture arrangement placed the discharge terminal in view of patrons as they queued up to the desk for service. In that position, any impatient patron could usually get the attention of the terminal operator and force them to interrupt their processing and provide additional assistance. This usually meant that a small stack of books could be left unattended on the desk and even forgotten if the patron crush prevented the worker from returning to the discharge terminal before their shift ended.

Originally it was assumed that the operator's ability to provide back-up assistance was a valu-

able asset—hence the location of the terminal. After repeated examination of the entire process though, that advantage was deemed less important than discharge accuracy.

Due to the subcommittee's recommendation, the discharge terminal was shifted to a new location. In the new location the patron's view of the operator was completely blocked. This prevented patrons from interrupting the operator and allowed the workers to devote their complete attention to the discharge patron.

Conclusion

Table I compares the error rate for a three-month period before and after the terminal was relocated. As can be seen, the change in error rate from 1.8% to 1.0% in November, for example, is not a large difference. When it is multiplied by the number of books discharged in a month, however, the practical significance becomes obvious. Even the small differences detected represent a 357-book difference in those materials projected to go through the discharge process with some mistake. The consequent staff searching and patron complaints are also proportionately reduced. Although the number of items discharged in 1985/86 is down slightly from the previous year, the difference in error rates would still account for 332 more mistakes had the number of items processed been the same.

The arrangement of furniture is just part of an ergonomic approach to productivity. In this situation, it also appears to be an integral component of accuracy as well. Combining a quality control measure such as discharge error sampling with an analytic review of the procedures can result in practically significant improvements. This review proved that even basic arrangement of workstations should be included in analysis, as they may have a measurable impact on overall efficiency.

In the current climate of performance measurement and library quality measures, this analysis points to a definite need to include circulation

TABLE I
ERROR RATES BEFORE AND AFTER TERMINAL RELOCATION

Month	1984/85 % Error Rate		Books Discharged	=	Estimated Errors
Oct	1.6	×	8,408	=	134
Nov	1.8	×	11,008	=	198
Dec	1.9	×	17,726	=	337
					total 669
Month	1985/86 % Error Rate		Books Discharged	=	Estimated Errors
Oct	.6	×	7,823	=	48
Nov	1.0	×	10,688	=	107
Dec	1.0	×	15,650	=	157
					total 312

functions. The circulation desk of any library is a focal point of activity and may be the only contact some patrons have with library staff. As a result, their opinion about the services rendered at the circulation desk may dominate their overall assessment of the library's quality. Therefore, it is essen-

tial that circulation procedures are monitored both for quality and efficiency and that any set of performance measures which claims to assess library performance cannot be considered comprehensive without some measure of these services, which are intensively patron interactive. ■■

ACRL *Publications in Librarianship* needs your manuscripts

ACRL *Publications in Librarianship*, a series devoted to scholarly monographs in academic librarianship, has issued over 40 volumes since 1952. Many of these studies represent distinguished contributions to the history, theory, and practice of academic librarianship.

The editorial board invites authors of book-length studies to submit manuscripts for review. Proposals for manuscripts in progress are welcome and should be accompanied by an outline of the contents. The submission of dissertations is encouraged; however, many may require substantial revision by the author (see the guidelines for converting a dissertation to a book below). Manuscripts will be

acknowledged and read by at least two members of the editorial board.

Manuscripts related to the following topics are particularly welcome: administration of college libraries; computer applications; collection assessment; management of reference services; self-directed studies; and staff development.

Relevance, lasting value, and superior writing describe the manuscripts that we are seeking. Address inquiries and proposals for publication to: Arthur P. Young, Dean of University Libraries, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881-0803; (401) 792-2666. ■■

How to convert a dissertation or thesis to a book

Editor's note: These are guidelines adopted by the ACRL Publications in Librarianship Series Editorial Board.

A manuscript designed to communicate mastery of the research process to an examining committee frequently fails to satisfy the requirements of a publisher. Authors submitting theses and dissertations to a publisher may anticipate requests for extensive modifications of their manuscript if it is accepted for publication. Indeed, some effort at revision prior to submission will enhance the likelihood of acceptance.

A book is addressed to an audience that is very different from the audience to whom a thesis, dissertation, or other research report is addressed. These differences include level of interest, prior knowledge of the subject, and objectives in reading the work. Major revisions are usually necessary, even to the most effective works.

Revisions which are often required include deletions, reorganization, and the writing of additional material. Some examples:

- The style of a dissertation frequently requires the repetition of material from section to section.

In many cases this redundancy can be eliminated. Tables often should be deleted or converted into an explanatory narrative.

- Many of the fine points concerning prior research or methodology on the subject should be placed in appendices or footnotes.

- Abstractions must be carefully related to the concrete world through more extensive interpretation than would be necessary in a dissertation or thesis.

The editorial board of *ACRL Publications in Librarianship* encourages authors wishing to submit theses and dissertations for publication to read the following items prior to submission.

Olive Holmes, "Thesis to Book: What to Get Rid of," *Scholarly Publishing* 5 (July 1974):339-49; 6 (October 1974):40-50.

Olive Holmes, "Thesis to Book: What to Do with What Is Left," *Scholarly Publishing* 6 (January 1975):165-76.


Constance Greaser, "Improving the Effectiveness of Research Writing," *Scholarly Publishing* 11 (October 1979):61-71.

Elsi M. Stainton, "A Bag for Authors," *Scholarly Publishing* 8 (July 1977):335-45. ■■

LIMITED OFFER: \$2,335 WORTH OF BOOKS FOR \$250—ACT NOW!

*Build A Complete Collection Of Indexes Covering
The Most Significant Public Policy Literature
From Around The World!*

PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX



**You Already Know The PAIS BULLETIN—
Now Discover Its Companion Resource,
The PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX**

For years, thousands of leading libraries have made PAIS BULLETIN the "standard" indexing resource covering public policy/business/social science literature published in English. Now, discover another "standard" from PAIS, and a superb companion resource to the PAIS BULLETIN: PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX.

**PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX
Gives You International Coverage
Of Non-English-Language Materials**

PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX provides access to a stringently edited range of public policy literature in French, German, Italian, Portuguese and Spanish. And its English-language headings and abstract-like notes help you locate material quickly and easily. Your annual subscription brings you three quarterly issues plus an annual bound volume, all with authors index, for \$495 inclusive.

**First 200 New 1986 PFLI Subscriptions
Come With One-Time-Only Offer: A
Complete Set Of Back Volumes For Just \$250**

To introduce you to PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX, and help you acquire indexes to public policy literature spanning nearly two decades, PAIS announces a special, limited-time offer. If you're among the first 200 respondents to order *new* subscriptions to 1986 PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX (Vol. 16), at the regular annual price, you qualify to also order a complete set of back volumes (Vols. 1-15) for just \$250! A \$2,335 value at list price, these volumes are yours at a savings of *nearly 90%*—if you act *now!*

Subscribe to PAIS FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX, and order your complete set of value-priced back volumes today! **Call toll-free 800-841-1416** (in NY and outside the continental U.S., call collect 212-869-6186). Or fill in and mail the coupon below.

OFFER LIMITED TO FIRST 200 NEW SUBSCRIPTIONS—FILL IN AND MAIL TODAY!

Clip and mail to:

Public Affairs Information Service,
11 W. 40th Street, New York, NY 10018-2693

YES! I'd like to subscribe to 1986 PAIS
FOREIGN LANGUAGE INDEX (Vol. 16).

YES! I'd also like to order a complete
set of back volumes (Vols. 1-15) at the
special, one-time-only price of \$250.

I'M INTERESTED! Please rush more
details.

Bill me. Check or M.O. enclosed.
Please allow 4 weeks for delivery.

NAME _____

TITLE _____

INSTITUTION/
COMPANY _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY/STATE/ZIP _____

TELEPHONE _____

CRLN