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ABSTRACT

Purpose
Human papillomavirus (HPV) has been identified as the cause of the increasing oropharyngeal cancer (OPC) incidence in some countries. To investigate whether this represents a global phenomenon, we evaluated incidence trends for OPCs and oral cavity cancers (OCCs) in 23 countries across four continents.

Methods
We used data from the Cancer Incidence in Five Continents database Volumes VI to IX (years 1983 to 2002). Using age-period-cohort modeling, incidence trends for OPCs were compared with those of OCCs and lung cancers to delineate the potential role of HPV vis-à-vis smoking on incidence trends. Analyses were country specific and sex specific.

Results
OPC incidence significantly increased during 1983 to 2002 predominantly in economically developed countries. Among men, OPC incidence significantly increased in the United States, Australia, Canada, Japan, and Slovakia, despite nonsignificant or significantly decreasing incidence of OCCs. In contrast, among women, in all countries with increasing OPC incidence (Denmark, Estonia, France, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Switzerland, and United Kingdom), there was a concomitant increase in incidence of OCCs. Although increasing OPC incidence among men was accompanied by decreasing lung cancer incidence, increasing incidence among women was generally accompanied by increasing lung cancer incidence. The magnitude of increase in OPC incidence among men was significantly higher at younger ages (<60 years) than older ages in the United States, Australia, Canada, Slovakia, Denmark, and United Kingdom.

Conclusion
OPC incidence significantly increased during 1983 to 2002 predominantly in developed countries and at younger ages. These results underscore a potential role for HPV infection on increasing OPC incidence, particularly among men.
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INTRODUCTION
Cancers of the oral cavity and oropharynx are among the most common cancers worldwide, with an estimated 400,000 incident cases and 223,000 deaths during 2008.1 Tobacco and alcohol are strong risk factors for both oral cavity cancers (OCC) and oropharyngeal cancers (OPC).2,3 In contrast, the association of human papillomavirus (HPV) is heterogeneous; HPV is an established cause of OPC (including the tonsil, base of the tongue, and other parts of the oropharynx)4,5 whereas its etiologic role in OCC is unclear.5,6

The incidence of OCC has declined in recent years in most parts of the world, consistent with declines in tobacco use.6,9 In contrast, OPC incidence has increased over the last 20 years in several countries,10-13 including Australia,14 Canada,15 Denmark,16 the Netherlands,17 Norway,18 Sweden,19 the United States,20 and the United Kingdom.21 These divergent incidence patterns for OCC and OPC led to the hypothesis that an exposure other than tobacco, perhaps HPV infection, was responsible for increasing OPC incidence. Consistent with this hypothesis, subsequent molecular studies in Australia,14 Sweden,19 and the United States22 reported dramatic increases in the proportion of HPV-positive OPCs since the 1980s, particularly among men and individuals younger than age 60 years.

These observations highlight the utility of comparisons of incidence trends between OCC and OPC to evaluate the potential impact of HPV, particularly in geographic regions without historical data on...
HPV prevalence in oropharynx tumors. Although characterized as a virus-related epidemic, given the predominantly country-specific nature of recent publications, it is unclear whether increasing OPC incidence overall as well as in subgroups (eg, men) is a global phenomenon or whether it is restricted to certain countries.

Understanding the burden of HPV-associated OPC worldwide could have important implications for prevention, potentially through prophylactic HPV vaccination, and could inform male vaccination policy. Therefore, we evaluated global patterns in incidence trends for OCC and OPC by using cancer registry data from 23 countries across four continents. We compared and contrasted incidence trends for OPC with those of OCC and lung squamous cell carcinomas to understand the impact of HPV vis-à-vis smoking on observed incidence patterns.

### METHODS

#### Data Sources

We used data from the Cancer Incidence in Five Continents (CI5) Volumes VI to IX (1983 to 2002) maintained by the International Agency for Research on Cancer. Each volume of CI5 compiles cancer incidence data from population-based, country-specific, or region-specific cancer registries. Cancers were coded according to the 10th edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10). We included cancer incidence data by individual calendar year and 5-year age groups from 70 registries in 23 countries across four continents (Table 1), as follows: Asia—India, Japan, the Philippines, Singapore, and Thailand; Australia; Europe—Austria, Denmark, Estonia, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Spain, Switzerland, and United Kingdom; and North and South/Central America—Canada and United States (nine Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results registries), Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, and Ecuador. These registries were selected based on having at least 15 years of data published in CI5 including the last volume, a marker of high-quality data given the stringent review process involved. United Nations definitions were used to classify countries as economically developed or developing.

#### Classification of Anatomic Sites

OPC sites included base of tongue (ICD-10 code C01), lingual tonsil (C2.4), tonsil (C9.0 to C9.9), oropharynx (C10.0 to C10.9), pharynx not otherwise specified (C14.0), and Waldeyer ring (C14.2). OCC sites included oral tongue (ICD-10 codes C2.0 to C2.3, C2.8, and C2.9), gum (C3.0 to C3.9), floor of mouth (C4.0 to C4.9), palate (C5.0 to C5.9), and other and unspecified parts of the mouth. Registries in Colombia, Costa Rica, and Ecuador were combined for analyses because of sparse sample sizes.

### Table 1. Description of Cancer Registries (1983 to 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of Registries</th>
<th>Incidence Rate per 100,000 During 1998 to 2002, Standardized to the World Standard Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1 1.8 16.4 10.6 25-79 25-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4 0.4 5.4 2.5 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5 1.9 6.0 4.3 25-80 25-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8 0.5 5.0 2.0 25-84 25-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.2 1.3 5.5 3.7 25-79 25-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6 1.6 6.8 3.7 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.9 1.9 8.7 2.5 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3 2.3 8.3 3.8 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.7 0.8 10.4 2.0 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.8 2.7 15.6 3.8 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5 0.9 6.3 2.6 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.0 1.6 5.6 3.3 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.2 1.5 6.4 1.9 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.4 0.8 15.2 1.5 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.7 0.4 9.8 2.7 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.9 2.9 9.5 3.8 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.7 1.5 6.6 3.5 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.3 1.7 5.7 3.2 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.3 2.2 6.6 4.2 25-89 25-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South and Central America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1 1.1 13.7 3.3 25-84 25-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia/Costa Rica/Ecuador</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1 0.6 2.6 2.3 25-84 25-89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oropharyngeal cancer sites: base of tongue, lingual tonsil, tonsil, oropharynx, pharynx not otherwise specified, and Waldeyer ring.
†Oral cavity cancer sites: oral tongue, gum, floor of mouth, palate, and other and unspecified parts of the mouth.
‡Registries in Colombia, Costa Rica, and Ecuador were combined for analyses because of sparse sample sizes.
The study included 182,736 OPCs and OCCs that occurred during 1983 to 2002 across 23 countries around the world, including 69,592 OPCs (54,700 among men and 14,892 among women) and 113,144 OCCs (74,771 among men and 38,373 among women). During the most recent calendar period (1998 to 2002), incidence of both OPCs and OCCs varied widely across countries (Table 1). Among men, the highest incidence of OPCs was observed in France, Slovakia, and Switzerland, whereas the highest incidence rates of OCCs were observed in India, France, Slovakia, and Brazil. Among women, the rates were two to 17 times lower than the rates in men, with highest OPC incidence in Switzerland, France, and Denmark and highest OCC incidence in India, the Philippines, and Denmark/France/Switzerland.

Incidence Trends Among Men (1983 to 2002)

Among men, significant increases in OPC incidence during 1983 to 2002 were observed predominantly in economically developed countries (Fig 1A; Japan, Australia, Denmark, the Netherlands, Slovakia, United Kingdom, Canada, United States, and Brazil). No significant increases in OPC incidence were observed (Fig 1B-C) in economically developing countries in South/Central America (Colombia, Costa Rica, and Ecuador) and Asia (India, the Philippines, and Thailand). For OCC (Figs 1A-1C), incidence increased significantly during 1983 to 2002 in Denmark, the Netherlands, United Kingdom, Brazil, and India.

Comparisons of incidence trends between OPC and OCC using age-period-cohort modeling showed the following three prominent patterns (Fig 2A): countries with statistically significant divergent trends, with significant increases in OPC incidence and nonsignificant trends or significant declines in OCC incidence (United States, Australia, Canada, Japan, and Slovakia); countries with significant increases in incidence for both OPC and OCC but statistically significantly stronger increases for OPC (Denmark and United Kingdom); and countries with statistically similar incidence trends for OPC and OCC (Brazil and the Netherlands). With the exception of India, no significant differences in incidence trends between OPC and OCC were observed in countries with nonsignificant trends or significantly decreasing trends in OPC incidence (Data Supplement).

Comparisons of OPC incidence with lung cancer incidence revealed statistically significant divergent patterns (Fig 2A). With the exception of Brazil, where incidence trends were nonsignificant, lung cancer incidence significantly declined in all other countries with significant increases in OPC incidence (United States, Australia, Canada, Japan, Slovakia, Denmark, United Kingdom, and the Netherlands).

Substantial increases in OPC were observed among younger birth cohorts in most countries with significantly increasing overall incidence, resulting in the increasing incidence being statistically significantly stronger at ages younger than 60 years (Data Supplement; United States, Australia, Canada, Slovakia, Denmark, United Kingdom, and the Netherlands; P < .05 for heterogeneity of net drifts). For OCC, a similarly statistically significant increase at younger ages was observed only in the United Kingdom, whereas incidence decreased significantly at younger ages in the United States, Australia, and Canada.

Incidence Trends Among Women (1983 to 2002)

Among women, significant increases in OPC incidence were observed exclusively in European countries (Denmark, Estonia, France, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Switzerland, and United Kingdom; Fig 1D). Likewise, OCC incidence significantly increased during 1983 to 2002 in Europe (Denmark, Estonia, France, the Netherlands, Slovakia, United Kingdom, Italy, and Spain; Figs 1E and 1F).

In contrast to the divergent patterns observed among men, incidence trends for OPC and OCC were statistically similar in a majority of countries among women. With the exception of Denmark, in all other countries with significant increases in OPC incidence among women (France, the Netherlands, Slovakia, Switzerland, and United Kingdom; Fig 2B), incidence of OCC also increased significantly. In Denmark, the magnitude of the increase for OPC was significantly stronger than the increase for OCC. With the exception of India,
no significant differences in incidence trends between OPC and OCC were observed among women in countries with nonsignificant trends or significantly decreasing trends in OPC incidence (Data Supplement).

Comparisons of OPC incidence with lung cancer incidence among women again indicated the lack of statistically divergent incidence patterns (Fig 2B). In almost all countries with significant increases in OPC incidence, lung cancer incidence increased either significantly (France and the Netherlands) or nonsignificantly (Denmark, Slovakia, and Switzerland). An exception to this general phenomenon was the United Kingdom, where OPC incidence significantly increased despite significant declines in lung cancer incidence.

We observed evidence for birth cohort effects in a few countries with significant increases in OPC incidence among women (Data Supplement). Significantly stronger increases in OPC incidence at ages less than 60 years were observed in France, Slovakia, and the United Kingdom. For OCC, significantly stronger increases at younger ages were observed in France and Slovakia.
Indices of Data Quality Across CI5 Volumes VI to IX

The combined proportion of OCCs and OPCs classified as other, ill-defined sites in the oral cavity and pharynx generally decreased over calendar time. Nonetheless, across CI5 volumes, less than 4% of these cancers were classified as ill-defined (Data Supplement).

**DISCUSSION**

In a comprehensive analysis of worldwide trends in incidence of OPC and OCC, our key observation was that incidence of OPC significantly increased during 1983 to 2002 in several countries around the world. Notably, increasing OPC incidence was most apparent in a number of developed countries, among both men and women and in younger individuals (age < 60 years). Our results underscore the potential for increasing global relevance of HPV as a cause of OPC.

We exploited the etiologic heterogeneity in HPV’s association with OPC versus OCC to evaluate the potential impact of HPV versus smoking on observed incidence trends. We interpreted two statistically significant patterns as indicative of a dominant role for HPV on the observed incidence trends—significantly increasing incidence for...
OPC, accompanied by nonsignificant trends or significant declines in incidence for OCC; and a statistically stronger increase in incidence of OPC compared with OCC. In contrast, increasing incidence of both OPC and OCC to a statistically similar magnitude was interpreted as a potential effect of tobacco use/smoking. We also conducted sensitivity analyses by evaluating trends in lung squamous cell carcinomas, a cancer strongly related to smoking.

Using this paradigm, we identified countries in which HPV infection potentially contributed to increasing OPC incidence; these countries were the United States, Australia, Canada, Denmark, Japan, Slovakia, and United Kingdom among men and Denmark among women. Notably, lung cancer incidence significantly declined during the same period among men in the United States, Australia, Canada, Denmark, Japan, Slovakia, and United Kingdom, and lung cancer incidence was stable among women in Denmark. These observations suggest a role for a factor other than smoking, notably HPV infection, as a potential explanation for increasing OPC incidence.

Increases in OPC incidence during 1983 to 2002 were observed almost exclusively in economically developed countries. For example, eight of nine countries among men and all countries among women with significant increases in OPC incidence were economically developed. This specific increase in economically developed countries likely reflects geographic differences in sexual behaviors relevant for oral HPV exposure (eg, oral sex and multiple sex partners). Using cancer registry data and HPV prevalence in tumors, recent studies in Australia,^14^ Sweden,^19^ and the United States^22^ have suggested that changes in sexual behaviors among recent birth cohorts have led to increased oral HPV exposure and, as a consequence, increasing incidence of OPC. It is likely that these changes in sexual behaviors have occurred predominantly in more developed countries than in less developed countries.^14,28^ Furthermore, consistent with the hypothesis of changes in sexual behaviors among recent birth cohorts, in a majority of countries with increasing OPC incidence among men (United States, Australia, Canada, Slovakia, Denmark, and United Kingdom), the increase was most apparent in those younger than age 60 years.

Although published data are sparse, reports of HPV prevalence in oropharynx tumors also support a dominant role for HPV in economically developed versus developing countries. For example, contemporary estimates indicate that approximately 60% to 70% of OPCs are caused by HPV infection in the United States,^4,22,30^ compared with less than 10% in less economically developed regions.^29,31^ Similarly, in a comprehensive review of the global burden of infection-associated cancers, de Martel et al^6^ recently estimated that HPV infection accounted for 38% to 56% of OPCs in Australia, Japan, North American, and Northern and Western Europe compared with 13% to 17% of OPCs in other parts of the world.
Our results suggest significant sex differences in the potential impact of HPV on incidence trends for OPC. OPC incidence significantly increased in several countries among men, despite nonsignificant trends or significant declines in incidence of OCCs and lung cancers. In contrast, in all countries with significant increases in OPC incidence among women, there was a concomitant increase in incidence of both OCCs and lung cancers. These results suggest that smoking could partially explain the increasing OPC incidence.
observed among women. However, we note the possibility that a potential effect of HPV among women could have been masked by smoking-related increases in OPC incidence.

Our observation of a potentially stronger role for HPV on increasing OPC incidence among men is supported by higher prevalence of HPV in oropharyngeal tumors among men compared with women in some geographic regions. For example, in a recent study of tumors collected by population-based cancer registries in the United States, HPV prevalence was significantly higher among men compared with women. This male predominance is also supported by higher oral HPV prevalence among men than women in the US general population.

We observed a significant increase in OCC incidence despite significant declines in lung cancer incidence in Brazil, Denmark, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom among men and in the United Kingdom among women. We could not attribute this increase in OCC incidence to HPV infection (given current etiologic understanding), smoking (given lung cancer incidence trends noted herein), or improved data quality over time (given historically low proportions of ill-defined sites). An alternative explanation for increasing OCC
as OPC) incidence could be an increase among recent birth cohorts in alcohol use, which interacts with smoking multiplicatively to increase risk of both OCC and OPC. Likewise, the prevalence of chewing tobacco, another strong risk factor for both OCC and OPC, could also have increased among recent birth cohorts. Although data are sparse, it is possible that migration of populations from regions with an elevated incidence of head and neck cancer could also have contributed to increasing OCC and OPC incidence in some countries.

We acknowledge several limitations of our study. Importantly, we did not have information on HPV status of tumor tissues or on other important risk factors, such as chewing tobacco, smoking, and alcohol use. We statistically compared and contrasted incidence trends across OPCs, OCCs, and lung cancers to delineate the potential influence of HPV infection versus smoking on observed incidence trends. However, these comparisons are ecologic in nature and should be interpreted with caution. Finally, despite the inclusion of 23 countries in our study, our analyses were restricted by the availability of high-quality cancer registry data and thus included a minor proportion of the worldwide burden of OCC and OPC.

Our observations of increasing OPC incidence in several countries around the world have important research and public health implications. The reasons underlying a male predominance of HPV’s potential role on observed incidence trends are currently unclear and need confirmation and further investigation. This male predominance also has important implications for male HPV vaccination policy in several countries. If proven efficacious, prophylactic HPV vaccines could be an effective primary prevention strategy for HPV-associated OPCs among men, particularly in countries with low vaccine coverage among women. However, tobacco and alcohol use remain the major risk factors for both OPC and OCC worldwide, and the burden of OCC remains two- to four-fold higher than that of OPC in most parts of the world, underscoring the need for prevention strategies targeted toward tobacco and alcohol use.
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GLOSSARY TERMS

Akaike information criterion: Measure of the goodness of fit of a statistical model that discourages overfitting and is used as a tool for model selection. For a given data set, competing models are ranked according to their Akaike information criterion value, and the one with the lowest value is considered the best. However, there is no established value above which a given model is rejected.