

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

XLOC-040580, a Novel IncRNA, Modulates Porcine Zygote Activation Progress

Mengxin Liu Beijing University of Agriculture **Enhong Li** China Agricultural University Haiyuan Mu China Agricultural University Zimo Zhao China Agricultural University Jie Gao China Agricultural University **Dengfeng Gao** China Agricultural University Zhiyu Liu Beijing University of Agriculture **Jianyong Han** China Agricultural University Liang Zhong Shijiazhuang Second Hospital Suying Cao (20137602@bua.edu.cn) Beijing University of Agriculture https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3585-1430

Research

Keywords: Porcine, Long non-coding RNA, Zygote gene activation

Posted Date: September 2nd, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-818714/v1

License: (a) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

Background: Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) play an important role in porcine preimplantation embryonic development, but the regulatory mechanism of IncRNAs during zygote activation (ZGA) remains unclear.

Methods: We analyzed the transcriptome data of porcine fetal fibroblasts (PEF), porcine induced pluripotent stem cells (piPSCs) and embryos at different developmental stages before implantation, and found that IncRNAs specifically expressed at ZGA stage including *XLOC-040580*, and further predicted its target genes *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1*. *XLOC-040580* was knocked down by microinjection and the expression of ZGA related genes was detected by qRT-PCR. Microinjection of siRNA corresponding to target genes at the 1-cells, the blastocyst development rate was counted, and single cell sequencing was performed to analyze the regulatory network of target genes and its related mechanisms.

Results: By analyzing the transcriptome data of porcine preimplantation embryos, we found that *XLOC-040580* was specifically expressed during zygote activation. Knockdown of *XLOC-040580* resulted in decreased blastocyst development rate, total blastocyst cell number and TE cell number. *XLOC-040580* target genes *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1* are also specifically expressed in ZGA stage. The knockdown of *XLOC-040580* target genes also blocked porcine embryonic development and affected the gene expression of ZGA-related pathways such as cell cycle, histone modification and trophoblast formation during porcine embryonic development.

Conclusion: We found and confirmed that IncRNA *XLOC-040580* played a key role in the ZGA process, and its target genes (*TPRA1*, *BCL2L1*) also coordinated early embryonic development.

Background

During early embryonic development, zygote activation (ZGA), cell polarization, asymmetric division, and the dynamic balance of pluripotency and differentiation-related genes are essential for embryonic development, any developmental defects will have a profound impact on individual embryos[1, 2]. In the process of embryonic development, the first key process is ZGA, in which embryos undergo DNA replication and modification, transcription factor and enhancer activation, protein modification and response to external signals. The normal progress of ZGA is crucial to embryo cleavage. Any level of error will lead to the termination of embryonic development[3, 4]. Previous studies have shown that mouse ZGA occurs in late 1-cell and late 2-cell stages[5]. ZGAs in pigs and humans be similar to, both occurring from 4-cell to 8-cell stage[6].

Pigs share many similarities with humans in anatomical structure, physiological metabolism and pathogenesis of diseases, and are considered as ideal for development and disease models. Therefore, in-depth study of early porcine embryos helps to deepen the understanding of human embryonic development and related diseases. IncRNAs have tissue-specific expression and spatio-temporal-specific expression, there are a large number of IncRNAs in early porcine embryos, and they are specifically

expressed at different stages[7]. Several novel porcine lncRNAs have been identified in previous studies. Its unique expression pattern and structure make it play an important role in fetal development[8]. Similarly, abnormal expression of Xist may be associated with midterm abortion in porcine nuclear transfer embryos[9]. Other lncRNAs were also identified in specific tissues, such as lung[10], endometrium[11] and frontal cortex[12].

Studies have shown that lncRNA-*IL17D* in mouse 1-cells embryos are knocked out during mouse ZGA, leading to embryonic death, which is rescued by *IL17D* protein at the 4-cell stage *in vitro*[13]. *LincGET* related to cleavage marker *MERVL* can form RNA-protein complexes with *hnRNP U*, *FUBP1* and *ILF2* to regulate the transcription of ZGA[14]. Interestingly, *lincGET* can also form complexes with *CARM1* to regulate the expression of *Sox2*, *Nanog* and *Sox21* necessary for early embryonic development in mice[15]. IncRNA-*37* was also found to target *TTLL12* and *NDST1* to mediate ZGA in goat embryos[16]. Therefore, previous studies have revealed that functional lncRNA could affect the ZGA process of animals, according to our assumption, we choose to employ scRNA-seq and microinjection technology to study the important role of regulatory lncRNAs during the ZGA period of pigs.

Materials And Methods

Porcine oocyte collection, Parthenogenetic (PA) activation and embryos culture

Porcine ovaries were collected at the slaughterhouse and transferred to the laboratory within 3 h in sterile physiological saline at 37 °C with 100 IU/L penicillin and 100 g/mL streptomycin sulfate. The ovaries were rinsed with normal saline (38 °C) for three times. The cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) were extracted from normal follicles with a diameter of 2–8 mm on the surface of the ovaries by a syringe and placed in a 9 cm culture dish to select COCs of more than three layers of intact and dense cumulus cells. The selected oocytes were washed three times in IVM solution and cultured in a 38.5 °C incubator for 42–44 h. Mature oocytes were transferred to non-electrolyte solution containing 0.25 mM mannitol, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 mM MgSO₄, 0.01 % PVA (w/v), 0.5 mM HEPES (pH 7.0-7.2) and washed three times after removal of cumulus cells by 0.1 % hyaluronidase. Then, the somatic hybridization instrument (Shi-madzu SSH-2, Kyoto, Japan) (pH 7.0-7.2) was used to activate with two DC pulses of 1.56 kV / cm. The activated embryos were cultured in PZM-3 medium[17] at 38.5 °C.

In vitro mRNA synthesis

Before microinjection, the expression vectors need to be linearized, then extracted with phenol / chloroform and precipitated with ethanol. The linearized DNA was transcribed in vitro according to the MESSAGE T7 mMACHINE® Kit (Ambion). The synthesized product was recovered with lithium chloride and dissolved in nuclease free water.

siRNA injection

siRNA designed and synthesized by Suzhou Jima Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd. Control siRNA without a specific target and siRNA of IncRNA *XLOC-040580* are following: Use Eppendorf for siRNA microinjection. There is 3 ul RNA (50 nM siRNA, 30ng/ul GFP mRNA) in the injection needle.

Control-siRNA	Forward	UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTT
	Reverse	ACGUGACACGUUCGGAGAATT
<i>XLOC-040580</i> -siRNA-1	Forward	GCAACUUUCCUCCUCCUGUTT
	Reverse	ACAGGAGGAGGAAAGUUGCTT
<i>XLOC-040580</i> -siRNA-2	Forward	GGUAAGUCGAAGAAACCCUTT
	Reverse	AGGGUUUCUUAGACUUACCTT
<i>XLOC-040580</i> -siRNA-3	Forward	GAUCAGAUGCCCUCAGGAUTT
	Reverse	AUCCUGAGGGCAUCUGAUCTT
<i>TPRA1</i> -siRNA-1	Forward	GCUGCUGUACGAAGACAUUTT
	Reverse	AAUGUCUUCGUACAGCAGCTT
TPRA1-siRNA-2	Forward	GCCACCACCUUCCUCUACUTT
	Reverse	AGUAGAGGAAGGUGGUGGCTT
<i>TPRA1</i> -siRNA-3	Forward	GCGCUGGAAGGCCAUCAAUTT
	Reverse	AUUGAUGGCCUUCCAGCGCTT
BCL2L1-siRNA-1	Forward	GCCAUCAAUGGCAACCCAUTT
	Reverse	AUGGGUUGCCAUUGAUGGCTT
BCL2L1-siRNA-2	Forward	GGCGGGCGAUGAGUUUGAATT
	Reverse	UUCAAACUCAUCGCCCGCCTT
BCL2L1-siRNA-3	Forward	GCGUGGAGAGCGUAGACAATT
	Reverse	UUGUCUACGCUCUCCACGCTT

Plasmid electro transfection

siRNAs were transformed into shRNAs, and primers for PCR amplification were designed with porcine 4–8 cell embryo cDNA as the template. The fragment were digested with Bgl II and Hind III and inserted into pSuper (Addgene) plasmid by T4 ligase Plasmids were extracted using EndoFree Plasmid Maxi Kit (QIAGEN, 12391). Then 4 μ g of shRNAs plasmid were transfected to 5×104 ES cells using Lonza Amaxa Nucleofector-2b program A023. After electric transformation, cells were back into a 37 °C incubator for culture. About 24 h, transfected cells were selected with puromycin (0.3 μ g/mL) for 48 h.

Immunocytochemistry

For immunocytochemical analysis, embryos were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde (PFA) in DPBS for 20 min at room temperature. Fixed embryos were washed three times with DPBS, incubated in 0.2 % Triton X-100 buffer for 15 min, and washed three times with DPBS. After blocking in 2 % BSA blocking buffer for 1 h, embryos were incubated at 4°C overnight in 1 % BSA buffer containing primary antibodies. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-*OCT4* (Santa Cruz), and anti-*CDX2* (Cell Signaling Technology). The embryos were washed in DPBS and incubated for 1 h with secondary antibody. For nuclear staining, the cells were incubated for 2 min with Hoechst 33342 (10 ng/ml) (Life Technologies). The images were captured using Nikon microscope.

RNA Purification and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total cellular RNA of 100 embryos was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Reverse transcription was performed using an oligo-dT primer and M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega). Quantitative RT-PCR analyses were performed using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master Kit (Roche) and detected with LightCycler 480II (Roche). The data were analyzed using the comparative CT ($2-\Delta\Delta$ CT) method. The Δ CT was calculated using Actin as an internal control. All experiments were performed with more than three biological replicates. The sequences of the PCR primers are following.

Single-cell RNA-seq and reads mapping.

The two-cell embryos were selected by mouth-suction tube under the stereomicroscope, digested with 0.5 % streptomycin, and washed three times in 1 % PBS, then stored in cold scRNA-seq cell lysate. we used a modified STRT-seq protocol to construct the libraries[18]. Single cell cDNA was obtained by terminal repair, reverse transcription and PCR amplification. The unit bar code obtained by Single-cell RNA-seq matches the end sequence, and reads 1 should be attached when reading the UMI sequence in reads 2. The transcript abundance of each gene was estimated using HTSeq (v0.6.1), and the reads with duplicate UMIs of each gene were excluded[19]. Finally, the expression levels of genes were normalized to the number of TPMs (transcripts per million reads).

Alignment of RNA-seq data and differential expression analysis

Raw reads from scRNA-seq were split by 8 bp cell barcodes located on Read 2 allowing 2 mismatches. Additionally, the 8 bp unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) located on Read 2 were switched to the identifier line of paired Read 1, then Read 1 was processed to remove the template switch oligo (TSO) primer, low quality bases and polyA sequence. The trimmed reads were aligned against the Ensembl Sus scrofa reference genome (Sscrofa11.1) using STAR software with default parameters. the gene expression levels were estimated by counting the UMIs assigned to the genes using using featureCounts, yielding an expression matrix consisting of UMI counts for each cell and gene.Differential expression genes were identified by DESeq2 package, and functional enrichment for Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG were performing with GOstats package[20]. IncRNA targets were identified by co-expression modules in previous study, then we use LncTar[21] to verify the potential of IncRNA-mRNA interaction, which included promoter, CDS and 5'-UTR region of each gene, and protential targets were filtered with the minimum normalized binding free energy(ndG). IncRNA regulatory network was visualized by Cytoscape.

Statistical analysis.

Each experiment was repeated three times, and the results are presented as the mean standard error. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by LSD tests and χ^2 using SPSS software. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

IncRNA XLOC-040580 is specifically expressed during porcine ZGA

We analyzed the transcriptome data of porcine oocytes, 1-, 2-, 4-, 8-cell embryos, morula, blastocyst intracellular cell mass (ICM), trophoblast cells, piPSCs, and PEF cells[7], and organized the results into 24 modules according to the expression levels of lncRNAs in embryos and cells. Nine of these modules are associated with early embryonic development in pigs: modules 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 17, 22, 24 (Fig. 1A). ZGA occurs in the 4–8 cell stage of porcine embryos, in this stage, lncRNAs related to embryos are mainly concentrated in module 17, and then the expression profile is drawn according to the differential expression of lncRNAs in module 17, lncRNA *XLOC-040580* is the most significant gene in the 4–8 cell stage (Fig. 1B). By analyzing RNA-seq data, *XLOC-040580* had the highest expression in 8-cell stage (Fig. 1C). In addition, qRT-PCR was used to verify the expression levels of early embryos, piPSCs and PEF at different stages (Fig. 1D). We found that the expression pattern of *XLOC-040580* was consistent in porcine embryos and PA embryos, and the expression level was the highest in the ZGA period.

XLOC-040580 knockdown influences ZGA-related gene expressions

We first designed siRNA and investigated the knockdown efficiency of *XLOC-040580* on piPSC, the results showed that the two targets of si-*XLOC-040580* significantly decreased their expression (Fig. 2A). Then, porcine 1-cell embryos were injected with IncRNA- siRNAs at a concentration of 25 μ M to study the effect of *XLOC-040580* on embryonic ZGA. The control group was the control-siRNA (si-control group) that had no knockdown effect on any gene. In order to verify the successful injection of siRNAs, we mixed GFP mRNA into siRNA solution, and only GFP-positive embryos were selected for subsequent experiments (Fig. 2B). qRT-PCR was used to detect the efficiency of siRNAs at the 4–8 cell stage, and the results showed that the knockdown efficiency of *XLOC-040580* was about 70 % (Fig. 2C). To test the effect of *XLOC-040580* on embryonic development, we observed and counted the embryonic development. We found that there was no significant difference in 4–8 cell embryo formation rate between the two groups

(n = 82.2 \pm 2.22%, P = 0.0636), while the blastocyst formation rate of the si-*XLOC-040580* group was significantly lower than that of the si-control group (n = 6.8 \pm 1.39%, P = 0.0008) (Table. 1, Fig. 2D).

Knockdown XLOC-040580 reduces the formation rate of blastocyst and the number of TE cells

We continue to explore the influence of *XLOC-040580* in ZGA. Interestingly, we found that the number of trophectodermn (Cdx2 positive) cells in E6.5 blastocysts as well as the total number of blastocysts in the si-*XLOC-040580* group was significantly reduced (P < 0.0001 and P = 0.0245 respectively) (Fig. 3A and 3B). The results showed that *XLOC-040580* might affect normal embryonic development by interfering with TE cells. At the same time, we found that the expression levels of ZGA-related genes such as *elF1a*, *SMG*, *ACADL* and *HSP70.2* were down-regulated after knocking out *XLOC-040580* (Fig. 3C), which further proved that knocking down *XLOC-040580* might block embryonic development by affecting ZGA-related genes, which supported the correlation between *XLOC-040580* and ZGA.

The knock-down of XLOC-040580 target genes caused the decrease of early embryonic development rate

In order to clarify the regulatory mechanism of *XLOC-040580* on porcine ZGA stage, IncRNA targets were identified by co-expression modules, then we use IncTar[21] to verify the potential of IncRNA-mRNA interaction, which included promoter, CDS and 5'-UTR region of each gene, and protential targets were filtered with the minimum normalized binding free energy (ndG). ZGA occurs in 4–8 cell stage, and the expression changes of candidate genes should be consistent with ZGA, including *TPRA1*, *BCL2L1*, *MED27*, *BABAM1*, *VPS72* and *UBE2A*. It was previously reported that *TPRA1* was closely related to cell growth and embryonic differentiation pathways, and *BCL2L1* was involved in apoptosis. Therefore, we screened *XLOC-040580* target genes: *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1* (Fig. 4A). Similarly, TPRA1 and BCL2L1 siRNAs were designed to verify the knockdown efficiency on pESC, and the optimal siRNA was selected for subsequent experiments (Fig. 4B). We continued to knockdown the target genes at the 1-cell stage of porcine embryos, and counted the development of embryos. The experimental results showed that the 4–8 cell embryonic development rate and blastocyst formation rate of si-*TPRA1* group and si-*BCL2L1* group were significantly lower than si-control group (Table. 2, Fig. 3C). These data showed that the wrong expression of *XLOC-040580* target genes (*TPRA1*, *BCL2L1*) inhibited early embryonic development.

Knockdown of TPRA1 and BCL2L1 disrupts early embryonic development regulatory networks by single cell transcriptome analysis

In order to explore the potential causation of embryonic development failure after knocking down the target genes, we used RNA-seq to analysis differentially expressional profile in porcine embryos before

and after knocking down the target genes (Fig. 5A). The results showed that 100 related genes were down-regulated and 233 related genes were up-regulated after knocking down *TPRA1*. After knocking down *BCL2L1*, 153 genes were down-regulated and 179 genes were up-regulated (log2 [fold-change \geq 1]; adjusted p-value \leq 0.05) (Fig. 5B and 5C). We found that the related gene *AGO2* of *TPRA1* was involved in oocyte development, and the related genes SNRNP and *PRDX5* of *BCL2L1* were involved in oocyte meiosis and maturation by GO enrichment analysis (log2 [fold-change \geq 1]) (Fig. 5D and 5E). In order to understand the key pathways that *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1* can affect the development of porcine embryos, we performed KEGG enrichment analysis, and both target genes were involved in MAPK signaling pathway. In addition, *TPRA1* is also involved in progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation, oocyte meiosis and other signaling pathways related to early embryonic development (adjusted p-value < 0.05) (Fig. 5F and 5G). Finally, we generated the *XLOC-040580* gene network with potential targets based on co-expression data and protein interaction database (Fig. 5H).

Discussion

ZGA is essential for early embryonic development with a series of physiological and biochemical reactions, including transcription factor activation, DNA methylation, protein modification, RNA binding, metabolic changes, and maternal factor degradation[22, 23]. However, there are few reports on IncRNA in pig early embryos. In this study, we used transcriptome data at different stages of early porcine embryos to identify a novel IncRNA specifically expressed at ZGA stage: *XLOC-040580*. We found that the expression of ZGA related genes changed after knocking down *XLOC-040580*, which proved that it was indispensable in this process. After knocking down *XLOC-040580* in pig embryos, it was found that the blastocyst formation rate was significantly reduced, the number of TE cells were significantly reduced, and the total number of blastocysts were also significantly reduced. In addition, we found that two target genes of *XLOC-040580* were also involved in the regulatory network of early embryonic development in pigs. The above results support that *XLOC-040580* plays a key role in the ZGA process, and provide a reference for further study of pig ZGA-related lncRNAs.

In this study, we selected PA embryos similar to fertilization activation mechanism. PA embryos can develop to blastocyst stage, and because the offspring of PA embryos are not affected by sperm, it is more conducive to the study of single controllable variable. At the early stage of embryonic development, the transcription of embryonic genome is completely regulated by maternal mRNA and protein during embryonic development, but maternal mRNA is gradually degraded during ZGA[24, 25]. Previous studies have shown that there is no significant difference in the expression between IVF and PA during early embryonic development[26]. Similarly, eIF1A associated with differential expression can be used as a marker gene for IVF and PA in ZGA, *KDM7A*[26], *PDHA1*[27], *KDM5B*, *KDM5C*[28] and other ZGA-related transcription factors have also been shown that share the same expression pattern in IVF and PA embryos. In this study, the expression trend of *XLOC-040580* was consistent with the qRT-PCR results of RNA-Seq and PA embryos. So PA embryos can be used for subsequent experiments.

In previous reports, 252 testis-related IncRNAs and 159 placenta-related IncRNAs were analyzed by sequencing of porcine placenta and testis tissues[29]. It has also been reported that IncRNAs *TCONS_01729386* and *TCONS_01325501* may play an important role before embryo implantation[11], providing ideas for the study of IncRNAs in early porcine embryos. We further explored early embryos and found a novel IncRNA specifically expressed in 4–8 cell stages of early embryonic development, *XLOC-040580*. When we knocked down *XLOC-040580* by siRNA, the blastocyst formation r ate decreased significantly. More importantly, the expression levels of ZGA-related genes were affected, including *elF1a*[30], *Smg*[31], *ACADL*[32] and *Hsp70*[33]. These genes were considered to be the marker genes in the ZGA period, which proved that *XLOC-040580* played a more extensive role in the ZGA period. More interestingly, knockdown of *XLOC-040580* significantly reduced the number of embryonic TE cells, suggesting that *XLOC-040580* may play a role in late ZGA and affect the normal development of embryonic TE cells. This is consistent with recent studies, transcription factors generally enriched in cisregulatory elements of ICM and TE, and the expression of transcription factors in ZGA is the highest consistent, indicating that ICM and TE programs first started in ZGA[34].

In order to better test the regulatory network of IncRNA, we focused on two XLOC-040580 target genes: TPRA1 and BCL2L1. We found that the expression abundance of TPRA1 and BCL2L1 increased from 4cell stage to 8-cell stage, and peaked at 8-cell stage, which were consistent with ZGA expression pattern. TPRA1 is generally believed to be closely related to cell growth and embryonic differentiation. It has been reported that it mediates intracellular cAMP level, mainly affects cell growth and differentiation by regulating Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway, and has a positive regulatory effect on embryonic formation and tissue homeostasis[35]. BCL2 protein family is a key regulator of apoptosis and participates in a variety of signaling pathways related to cell development[36]. We knocked down these two target genes, resulting in early embryonic development blocked. Interestingly, we used single-cell transcriptome sequencing technology to detect the effect of knockdown target genes on the whole gene of porcine embryos, and found that TPRA1 was related to oocyte gene inhibitor AGO2[37], male reproductive factor TDRP[38] and WDR19[39]. BCL2L1 is related to the 2-cell cleavage and pretreatment mRNA factor SNRNP[40], the PRDXs that affect mitochondrial activity throughout embryonic developmen[41], and the apoptosis and senescence-related gene GADD45B[42]. We further drew the regulatory network diagrams of XLOC-040580, TPRA1 and BCL2L1. TPRA1 is associated with many genes in the G protein-coupled receptor (GPR) family, and GPR107 is the key gene for embryonic lethality[43]. However, it was found that AGO2 and TDRP were not directly related to TPRA1. We speculated that the knockdown of TPRA1 might affect the expression level of XLOC-040580, thereby changing the expression of TDRP and AGO2. More interestingly, we found that BCL2L1 could be associated with mediator complex subunit (MED) family, and MED family was also associated with ZGA marker genes eIF1a and HSP70.2. MED31 can promote embryonic growth and cell proliferation[44], and excessive expression of *MED28* can also disturb cell cycle and lead to genomic instability [45]. We continued to carry out KEGG enrichment analysis, which found that *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1* jointly participate in MAPK signaling pathway. In addition, *TPRA1* is involved in signaling pathways such as oocyte maturation, gonadotropin-releasing hormone signal and oocyte meiosis, and MAPK factor is also

involved in these pathways. It is well known that MAPK signaling pathway plays a key role in spindle connection, microtubule organization and asymmetric division during oocyte maturation. It is well known that MAPK signaling pathway plays a key role in spindle connection, microtubule organization and asymmetric division during oocyte maturation[46], and is closely related to many intracellular events such as cell proliferation, cell differentiation and apoptosis. In addition, *MAPK14* induces BCL2 phosphorylation in apoptotic germ cells[47]. The above results showed that the target genes *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1* of *XLOC-040580* played an important role in the regulatory network of oocyte maturation and preimplantation embryo development.

Conclusions

In summary, in this study, a novel IncRNA *XLOC-040580* and its target genes *TPRA1* and *BCL2L1* were specifically expressed at ZGA stage in pigs by analyzing the transcriptome data of early embryos. It was proved that *XLOC-040580* affected blastocyst formation rate and TE cell generation in porcine embryos, and its target genes were also involved in the regulation network of early embryonic development in pigs. Therefore, this novel IncRNA *XLOC-040580* plays a key role in the ZGA process of early porcine embryos.

Abbreviations

PZM-3: PZM-3medium; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; DPBS: Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline; PVA: Ployvinyl alcohol; PFA: Paraformaldehyde; FPKM: Fragments per kilobase of exon model per million mapped fragments; TPM: Transcripts per million reads; GFP: Green fluorescence protein; GO: Gene ontology; KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; qRT-PCR: Quantitative real-time PCR; RPKM: Reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads; UMI: unique molecular identifiers; SPSS: Statistical Product and Service Solutiona; ICM: Inner cell mass; TE: Trophectoderm; TPM: Transcripts per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads; piPSCs: Porcine induced pluripotent stem cells; ESC: Embryonic stem cell; IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; ZGA: Zygotic genome activation; siRNA: Small interfering RNA; shRNA: Short hairpin RNA; PA: Parthenogenetic.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all members of the Hanlab in China Agricultural University: Thank Shuai Gao for the single cell sequencing.

Author contributions

M.L., H.E. performed main experiments. Z.Z., Z.L. established an in vitro culture platform for porcine embryos. L.Z., D.G. provides transcriptome data.H.M. designed siRNA and shRNA. J.G. was electrotransfected into cells. M.L. wrote the manuscript. S.C., L.Z., Z.H. conceived the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Founding

This study was supported by Beijing and National Natural Science Foundation of China (6192005, 31601941), the program from the State Key Laboratory for Agrobiotechnology (2020SKLAB1-3, 2021SKLAB6-6), Hebei Key Research and Development Project (19277739D), Hebei Medical Science Research Project (20210179), Hebei Traditional Chinese Medicine Research Project (2022186).

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed in this study are included in this published article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experiments involving animal embryos were approved and conducted according to the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of China Agricultural University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

1 Animal Reproduction Laboratory, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing 100096, China; 2 State Key Laboratory for Agrobiotechnology, College of Biological Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China; 3 Hebei provincial key laboratory of basic medicine for diabetes, The Shijiazhuang Second Hospital, Shijiazhuang 050000, Hebei, China.

References

- 1. Arnold SJ, Robertson EJ. Making a commitment: cell lineage allocation and axis patterning in the early mouse embryo. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(2):91-103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2618.
- 2. Takaoka K, Hamada H. Cell fate decisions and axis determination in the early mouse embryo. Development (Cambridge, England). 2012;139(1):3-14. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.060095.
- 3. Gulyas BJ, Wood M, Whittingham DG. Fusion of oocytes and development of oocyte fusion products in the mouse. Dev Biol. 1984;101(1):246-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(84)90137-4
- 4. Yanagimachi R. Male gamete contributions to the embryo. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2005;1061:203-7. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1336.022
- 5. . !!! INVALID CITATION !!! [5, 6].
- 6. Davis DL. Culture and storage of pig embryos. J Reprod Fertil Suppl. 1985;33:115-24.

- Zhong L, Mu H, Wen B, Zhang W, Wei Q, Gao G, et al. Long non-coding RNAs involved in the regulatory network during porcine pre-implantation embryonic development and iPSC induction. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):6649. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24863-5.
- Ren H, Li Y, Tang Z, Yang S, Mu Y, Cui W, et al. Genomic structure, chromosomal localization and expression profile of a porcine long non-coding RNA isolated from long SAGE libraries. Anim Genet. 2009;40(4):499-508. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01868.x
- 9. Yuan L, Wang A, Yao C, Huang Y, Duan F, Lv Q, et al. Aberrant expression of Xist in aborted porcine fetuses derived from somatic cell nuclear transfer embryos. Int J Mol Sci. 2014;15(12):21631-4. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms151221631.
- 10. . !!! INVALID CITATION !!! [11, 12].
- 11. Wang Y, Xue S, Liu X, Liu H, Hu T, Qiu X, et al. Analyses of Long Non-Coding RNA and mRNA profiling using RNA sequencing during the pre-implantation phases in pig endometrium. Sci Rep. 2016;6:20238 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20238
- 12. Zhou ZY, Li AM, Adeola AC, Liu YH, Irwin DM, Xie HB, et al. Genome-wide identification of long intergenic noncoding RNA genes and their potential association with domestication in pigs. Genome Biol Evol. 2014;6(6):1387-92. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu113
- Hamazaki N, Uesaka M, Nakashima K, Agata K, Imamura T. Gene activation-associated long noncoding RNAs function in mouse preimplantation development. Development (Cambridge, England). 2015;142(5 %8 2015-03-01). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.116996.
- Jiaqiang W, Xin L, Leyun W, Jingyu L, Yanhua Z, Gerelchimeg B, et al. A novel long intergenic noncoding RNA indispensable for the cleavage of mouse two-cell embryos. EMBO reports. 2016;17(10 %8 2016-10-15). https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201642051.
- 15. Wang J, Wang L, Feng G, Wang Y, Li Y, Li X, et al. Asymmetric Expression of LincGET Biases Cell Fate in Two-Cell Mouse Embryos. Cell. 2018;175(7). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.039.
- 16. Deng M, Liu Z, Ren C, Zhang G, Pang J, Zhang Y, et al. Long noncoding RNAs exchange during zygotic genome activation in goat. Biology of reproduction. 2018;99(4):707-17. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioy118.
- 17. Yoshioka K, Suzuki C, Tanaka A, Anas IMK, Iwamura S. Birth of piglets derived from porcine zygotes cultured in a chemically defined medium. Biology of reproduction. 2002;66(1):112-9. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod66.1.112.
- Saiful I, Una K, Annalena M, Pawel Z, Jian-Bing F, Peter L, et al. Characterization of the single-cell transcriptional landscape by highly multiplex RNA-seq. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 2011;21(7):1160-7. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.110882.110.
- 19. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(2):166-9. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
- 20. Falcon S, Gentleman R. Using GOstats to test gene lists for GO term association. Bioinformatics. 2007;23(2):257-8 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl567.

- 21. Aoki F, Worrad DM, Schultz RM. Regulation of transcriptional activity during the first and second cell cycles in the preimplantation mouse embryo. Dev Biol. 1997;181(2):296-307. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1996.8466
- 22. Bianchi E, Sette C. Post-transcriptional control of gene expression in mouse early embryo development: a view from the tip of the iceberg. Genes (Basel). 2011;2(2):345-59. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes2020345.
- Hamatani T, Carter MG, Sharov AA, Ko MS. Dynamics of global gene expression changes during mouse preimplantation development. Dev Cell. 2004;6(1):117-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1534-5807(03)00373-3
- 24. Schulz KN, Harrison MM. Mechanisms regulating zygotic genome activation. Nat Rev Genet. 2019;20(4):221-34. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0087-x
- 25. Eckersley-Maslin MA, Alda-Catalinas C, Reik W. Dynamics of the epigenetic landscape during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19(7):436-50. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0008-z
- 26. Isom SC, Li RF, Whitworth KM, Prather RS. Timing of first embryonic cleavage is a positive indicator of the in vitro developmental potential of porcine embryos derived from in vitro fertilization, somatic cell nuclear transfer and parthenogenesis. Mol Reprod Dev. 2012;79(3):197-207. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22013
- 27. Wenjun Z, Ying-Jie N, Zheng-Wen N, Ju-Yeon K, Yong-nan X, Chang-Guo Y, et al. Nuclear accumulation of pyruvate dehydrogenase alpha 1 promotes histone acetylation and is essential for zygotic genome activation in porcine embryos. BBA - Molecular Cell Research. 2020;1867(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118648.
- 28. Glanzner WG, Gutierrez K, Rissi VB, de Macedo MP, Lopez R, Currin L, et al. Histone Lysine Demethylases KDM5B and KDM5C Modulate Genome Activation and Stability in Porcine Embryos. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:151. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00151
- 29. Du ZQ, Eisley CJ, Onteru SK, Madsen O, Groenen MA, Ross JW, et al. Identification of species-specific novel transcripts in pig reproductive tissues using RNA-seq. Anim Genet. 2014;45(2):198-204. https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12124
- 30. Magnani L, Johnson CM, Cabot RA. Expression of eukaryotic elongation initiation factor 1A differentially marks zygotic genome activation in biparental and parthenogenetic porcine embryos and correlates with in vitro developmental potential. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2008;20(7):818-25 https://doi.org/10.1071/rd08072
- Green JB, Edwards TA, Trincao J, Escalante CR, Wharton RP, Aggarwal AK. Crystallization and characterization of Smaug: a novel RNA-binding motif. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2002;297(5):1085-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-291x(02)02327-6.
- 32. Yuan Y, Ida JM, Paczkowski M, Krisher RL. Identification of developmental competence-related genes in mature porcine oocytes. Mol Reprod Dev. 2011;78(8):565-75 https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.21351.

- 33. Matwee C, Kamaruddin M, Betts DH, Basrur PK, King WA. The effects of antibodies to heat shock protein 70 in fertilization and embryo development. Mol Hum Reprod. 2001;7(9):829-37. https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/7.9.829
- 34. Wang Y, Yuan P, Yan Z, Yang M, Huo Y, Nie Y, et al. Single-cell multiomics sequencing reveals the functional regulatory landscape of early embryos. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1247 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21409-8.
- 35. Singh J, Wen X, Scales SJ. The Orphan G Protein-coupled Receptor Gpr175 (Tpra40) Enhances Hedgehog Signaling by Modulating cAMP Levels. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(49):29663-75. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.665810
- 36. Cory S, Huang DC, Adams JM. The Bcl-2 family: roles in cell survival and oncogenesis. Oncogene. 2003;22(53):8590-607. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1207102
- Freimer JW, Krishnakumar R, Cook MS, Blelloch R. Expression of Alternative Ago2 Isoform Associated with Loss of microRNA-Driven Translational Repression in Mouse Oocytes. Curr Biol. 2018;28(2):296-302.e3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.067
- 38. Wang X, Jiang H, Zhou W, Zhang Z, Yang Z, Lu Y, et al. Molecular cloning of a novel nuclear factor, TDRP1, in spermatogenic cells of testis and its relationship with spermatogenesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010;394(1):29-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.02.061
- 39. Lin B, White JT, Utleg AG, Wang S, Ferguson C, True LD, et al. Isolation and characterization of human and mouse WDR19,a novel WD-repeat protein exhibiting androgen-regulated expression in prostate epithelium. Genomics. 2003;82(3):331-42 %8 2003-09-01 %9 Journal Article; Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't; Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.; Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S. %@ 0888-7543 (Print); 0888-7543 (Linking). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0888-7543(03)00151-4 %M 12906858.
- 40. Dean WL, Seufert AC, Schultz GA, Prather RS, Simerly C, Schatten G, et al. The small nuclear RNAs for pre-mRNA splicing are coordinately regulated during oocyte maturation and early embryogenesis in the mouse. Development. 1989;106(2):325-34.
- 41...!!! INVALID CITATION !!! [46, 47].
- Liu X, Happel C, Ziegelbauer JM. Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus MicroRNAs Target GADD45B To Protect Infected Cells from Cell Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis. J Virol. 2017;91(3):e02045-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02045-16
- 43. . !!! INVALID CITATION !!! [49].
- 44. Risley MD, Clowes C, Yu M, Mitchell K, Hentges KE. The Mediator complex protein Med31 is required for embryonic growth and cell proliferation during mammalian development. Dev Biol. 2010;342(2):146-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.03.019.
- 45. . !!! INVALID CITATION !!! [51].
- 46. Ling-Zhu Y, Bo X, Wen-Xue G, Chun-Min W, Zhi-Sheng Z, Li-Jun H, et al. MEK1/2 Regulates Microtubule Organization, Spindle Pole Tethering and Asymmetric Division During Mouse Oocyte Meiotic Maturation. Cell Cycle. 2007;6(3):330-8. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.6.3.3805.

47. Yue J, Jesse C, Christina W, Indrani S-H, Yanhe L, Ronald SS, et al. Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signaling in Male Germ Cell Apoptosis in the Rat. Biology of Reproduction. 2009;80(4):771-80. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.108.072843.

Tables

Table 1. Development of porcine embryos after injection with XLOC-040580 siRNA in the 1-cell stageembryos

Type of siRNA	NO. of 2-cell	NO. of 4-8cell	NO. of Blastocyst
si-control	72	65 (89.8±2.75%) ^a	14 (16.6±1.25%) ^a
si- <i>XLOC-040580</i>	72	60 (82.2±2.22%) ^a	2 (6.8±1.39%) ^b

Values in the same column with different letters (a, b) differ significantly (P< 0.05)

Table 2. Development of porcine embryos after injection of *TPRA1* siRNA and *BCL2L1* siRNA into 1-cellembryos

Type of siRNA	NO. of 2-cell	NO. of 4-8cell	NO. of Blastocyst
si-control	40	33 (81.7%±1.44) ^a	7 (18.3%±3.82) ^a
si- <i>TPRA1</i>	40	23 (56.7%±5.77) ^b	3 (8%±0.87) ^b
si-BCL2L1	40	23 (56.7%±3.82) ^b	3 (6.7%±2.89) ^b

Values in the same column with different letters (a, b) differ significantly (P< 0.05)

Figures



Identification of the specifically expressed InRNAs in porcine ZGA (A) Function module diagram of IncRNAs. Different colors represent functional blocks in different periods, the closer to the periphery from the center, the higher IncRNAs expression. (B) Heat map of IncRNAs differential expression in module 17. Green arrow as candidate IncRNA. (C) Expression of XLOC-040580 in embryos or cell types at different stages. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of XLOC-040580 differential expression in embryos or cell types at different stages.

А В XLOC-040580 si-XLOC-040580 si-control 1.5-**Relative RNA expression** Brightfield .0 0.5 0.0 NCSIRNA 10C040580-511 XLOC040580-512 XLOC040580-513 GFP D С 1.5 Relative RNA expression si-control si-XLOC-040580 1.0 0.5 Sircontrol 040580 0.0

Figure 2

Knockdown of XLOC0 - 40580 resulted in reduced blastocyst development level (A) qRT-PCR analysis of the knockdown efficiency of XLOC-040580 siRNAs. *P < 0.5. (B) 1-cell stage embryos were injected with lncRNA-siRNAs and GFP mRNA (as a positive control of microinjection). Scale bar = 200 μ m. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of the knockdown XLOC-040580, the efficiency of siRNAs was tested at the stage of 4-8 cells. *P < 0.5. (D) Representative photos of si-control and si-XLOC-040580 embryos from blastocyst. Scale bar = 200 μ m.



Knockdown of XLOC-040580 affects ZGA (A) Immunofluorescence histochemical staining of CDX2 and DAPI in E6.5 blastocysts. TE, trophectoderm; ICM, inner cell mass. Scale bar, 20 μ m. (B) Knockdown of XLOC-040580, blastocyst, TE and ICM formation rate at 6.5d blastocyst cell count. *P < 0.5, ****P < 0.001. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of the knockdown XLOC-040580, expression levels of genes associated with ZGA. *P < 0.5, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.001.



Predicting the target genes of XLOC-040580 during ZGA and verifying their effects on embryonic development. (A) The differential expression of target genes of XLOC-040580 in porcine early embryos was shown by thermal map. ****P < 0.001. Green arrows are candidate target genes. (B) B. qRT-PCR analysis of the knockdown efficiency of TPRA1 siRNAs and BCL2L1 siRNAs. (C) C. Representative photographs of si-control, si-TPRA1 and si-BCL2L1 blastocysts. Scale bar = 200 µm.



Knockdown of TPRA1 and BCL2L1 disrupts early embryonic development regulatory networks (A) Clustering of 49 single cells that passed quality control metrics using Seurat and displayed using uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plot. (B) Volcano map showed the differential expression of related genes in porcine embryos after TPRA1 knockdown. (C) Volcano map showed the differential expression of related genes in porcine embryos after BCL2L1 knockdown. Genes represented by gray dots did not meet the criteria for differential expression. (log2 [fold-change \geq 1]; adjusted p-value \leq 0.05) (D) Heat maps showing differential gene expression in TPRA1 knockdown embryos. (E) Heat maps showing differential gene expression in BCL2L1 knockdown embryos. (log2 [fold-change \geq 1]) (F) KEGG pathway is enriched in porcine embryos knocking down TPRA1. (G) KEGG pathway is enriched in porcine embryos knocking down BCL2L1. (adjusted p-value <0.05) (H) Communication network formed by XLOC-040580 and its target genes.