JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

СТ

Yoga's Impact on Inflammation, Mood, and Fatigue in Breast Cancer Survivors: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser, Jeanette M. Bennett, Rebecca Andridge, Juan Peng, Charles L. Shapiro, William B. Malarkey, Charles F. Emery, Rachel Layman, Ewa E. Mrozek, and Ronald Glaser

See accompanying article on page 1058

All authors: The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH.

Published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on January 27, 2014.

Supported in part by Grants No. R01 CA126857, R01 CA131029, K05 CA172296, UL1RR025755, and CA016058 from the National Institutes of Health.

Terms in blue are defined in the glossary, found at the end of this article and online at www.jco.org.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Clinical trial information: NCT00486525.

Corresponding author: Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser, PhD, Institute for Behavioral Medicine Research, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, 460 Medical Center Dr, Room 130C, Columbus, OH 43210; e-mail: Janice.Kiecolt-Glaser@osumc.edu.

© 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/14/3210w-1040w/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.51.8860

Purpose

To evaluate yoga's impact on inflammation, mood, and fatigue.

Α

BSTRA

Patients and Methods

A randomized controlled 3-month trial was conducted with two post-treatment assessments of 200 breast cancer survivors assigned to either 12 weeks of 90-minute twice per week hatha yoga classes or a wait-list control. The main outcome measures were lipopolysaccharide-stimulated production of proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), and scores on the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form (MFSI-SF), the vitality scale from the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short Form (SF-36), and the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D) scale.

Results

Immediately post-treatment, fatigue was not lower (P > .05) but vitality was higher (P = .01) in the yoga group compared with the control group. At 3 months post-treatment, fatigue was lower in the yoga group (P = .002), vitality was higher (P = .01), and IL-6 (P = .027), TNF- α (P = .027), and IL-1 β (P = .037) were lower for yoga participants compared with the control group. Groups did not differ on depression at either time (P > .2). Planned secondary analyses showed that the frequency of yoga practice had stronger associations with fatigue at both post-treatment visits (P = .019; P < .001), as well as vitality (P = .016; P = .0045), but not depression (P > .05) than simple group assignment; more frequent practice produced larger changes. At 3 months post-treatment, increasing yoga practice also led to a decrease in IL-6 (P = .01) and IL-1 β (P = .03) production but not in TNF- α production (P > .05).

Conclusion

Chronic inflammation may fuel declines in physical function leading to frailty and disability. If yoga dampens or limits both fatigue and inflammation, then regular practice could have substantial health benefits.

J Clin Oncol 32:1040-1049. © 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

Cancer survivors are more than twice as likely as individuals without a cancer history to have poor health and disability.¹ Reduced physical activity during cancer treatment can decrease the capacity for physical performance, and activity may be further limited by the late effects of cancer and its treatment in survivors.^{2,3} With deconditioning, normal activities become more fatiguing, resulting in greater weariness and lessened functional capacity over time.^{2,3} Breast cancer survivors with lower levels of physical activity have a higher risk for premature death.⁴

Inflammation is one of the key candidate mechanisms for age-related decrements in physical function and disability.⁵⁻⁷ Chronic inflammation

signals a heightened risk for disability and mortality, even in the absence of clinical disease.^{5,6,8,9}

Inflammation is lower in active than in sedentary individuals.¹⁰ Indeed, when cardiorespiratory fitness is assessed objectively by maximal exercise testing, better physical fitness is associated with lower inflammation.^{11,12} In this context, it is noteworthy that cancer survivors' average cardiorespiratory fitness is consistently approximately 30% lower than that of their sedentary age mates without a cancer history.^{13,14}

About a third of breast cancer survivors report that fatigue interferes with daily activities.¹⁵ Persistent fatigue in survivors may be related in part to overactivation of the inflammatory network.¹⁶ Regular exercise reduces fatigue¹⁷ as well as inflammation.^{7,18} However, fatigue and pain often limit survivors' physical activity.^{19,20} Yoga provides graded exercise that can be tailored for individuals who have been sedentary, and the postures can be modified to accommodate functional limitations.²⁰ In addition, studies with cancer survivors suggest that yoga practice lowers fatigue and improves mood and sleep quality.²⁰⁻²⁵

Accordingly, this study assessed the impact of yoga on inflammation, mood, and fatigue, our primary outcomes. We hypothesized that yoga would decrease inflammation, depressive symptoms, and fatigue in contrast to those characteristics in the wait-list control group.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Design

This randomized controlled trial (RCT) compared a 12-week hatha yoga intervention with a wait-list control condition. Questionnaires and fasting blood samples were collected in the Clinical Research Center at baseline, immediately post-treatment, and 3 months post-treatment. The institutional review board approved this study, and each participant provided informed consent.

Participants

The 200 stage 0 to IIIa breast cancer survivors ranged in age from 27 to 76 years (Table 1). They had completed cancer treatment within the past 3 years (except for tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitors) and were at least 2 months postsurgery or adjuvant therapy or radiation, whichever occurred last. Women were recruited through oncologists' referrals, community print and web-based announcements, and breast cancer groups and events.

Exclusions included a prior history of breast or any other cancer except basal or squamous cell skin cancer, inflammatory breast cancer, anemia, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, uncontrolled hypertension, evidence of liver or kidney failure, symptomatic ischemic heart disease, conditions involving the immune system such as autoimmune and/or inflammatory diseases, cognitive impairment, alcohol/drug abuse, current yoga practice (within the last 6 months), and/or previous yoga practice for more than 3 months. Women reporting 5 hours or more of vigorous physical activity per week were excluded. Figure 1 shows screening, randomization, and participant flow by group.

Randomization and Masking

After women had completed the baseline assessment, the data manager stratified participants by cancer stage (0 ν I ν II and IIIA) as well as radiation therapy received or not, and then used an online randomization program to obtain the block randomization sequence (six per block) for assignment to yoga or control within strata. The data manager had no participant contact. Participants were told not to mention their group assignment to study personnel during their post-treatment assessments; questionnaires were administered via computer. The technicians who analyzed blood samples were blind to all other data.

Yoga and Wait-List Control Conditions

Women who were randomly assigned to yoga participated in two 90minute sessions per week. The protocol outlined poses for the 24 sessions (Appendix Table A1, online only). A senior yoga teacher conducted the initial group, which was videotaped and used to train the subsequent six Yoga Alliance–certified instructors. The 25 yoga groups included 4 to 20 women per group.

To evaluate and limit protocol drift, sessions were audiotaped, and 50% were randomly assessed for omissions from the predetermined poses for the week. Protocol deviations were discussed with teachers.

To maximize adherence, the yoga teacher called any woman who missed a class. Home practice was strongly encouraged, and women recorded their total home plus class practice time in weekly logs. Participants assigned to the wait-list control were told to continue performing their usual activities, and to refrain from beginning any yoga practice. After their final assessment they were offered the yoga classes.

Measures

The total score on the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form (MFSI-SF) reflected behavioral, cognitive, physical, and affective expressions of fatigue in the last week.^{26,27} The energy/fatigue (vitality) scale from the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36) measured vitality over the last month.^{20,28,29} The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) assessed depressive symptomatology in the last week.³⁰ The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) evaluated sleep quality and disturbances over a 1-month interval.³¹ The increased social interaction provided by the intervention could diminish feelings of fatigue³²; thus, we measured perceived support by using the Interpersonal Support Evaluation List.³³ The Community Healthy Activities Model Program for Seniors (CHAMPS) questionnaire assessed the weekly frequency and duration of various physical activities.^{34,35} The Women's Health Initiative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) provided data on foods and beverages consumed in the past 90 days.³⁶ All these measures have well-established reliability and validity.

Immunologic Assays

Fasting blood samples were collected between 7:00 and 9:00 AM to control for diurnal variation. Longer-term exercise reduces mononuclear cell production of proinflammatory cytokines^{10,11}; thus, lipopolysaccharide-stimulated production of interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) from isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells was multiplexed and measured by using an electrochemiluminescence method with Meso Scale Discovery kits.³⁷ Each patient's frozen samples were assayed for all cytokines in one run by using the same controls for all time points for each person.

Sample Size

Sample size was based on detection of meaningful differences in primary end points with 80% power and a two-sided 5% significance level. Effect sizes were based on previously published studies,^{15,16} and data we collected from an earlier study of older adults. We estimated expected effect sizes for each of our primary outcomes and based the sample size calculation on the CES-D, which had the smallest estimated effect size and therefore required the largest sample size. The estimated group difference in CES-D was 0.7 (standard deviation, 1.6), requiring 85 patients per group. We expected 15% attrition, so 100 patients per group was required.

Statistical Methods

Baseline demographic and cancer-related characteristics were compared across randomized groups by using t tests and χ^2 tests as appropriate. Mixed effect models were used to test the intervention's effect on primary outcomes. Fixed effects included visit, intervention group, and their interaction and baseline outcome levels. Of primary interest were preplanned contrasts comparing group means at each of the two postrandomization time points. Random effects included a patient-specific random intercept that accounted for within-patient correlation (repeated study visits) and a random effect for yoga class assignment that accounted for the partially nested data arising from small clusters being present in the intervention arm but not in the control arm. This explicitly models the within-group correlation among members of the yoga intervention groups while allowing the control patients to remain independent since they were not placed into groups.³⁸ The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was estimated from the mixed models for each outcome to quantify this within-group correlation. The Kenward-Roger adjustment to the df was used to control type I error rates.³⁹ Lipopolysaccharide-stimulated cytokine responses were natural log (ln) transformed to better approximate normality of residuals. Cohen's d as a measure of effect size was calculated for the MFSI-SF fatigue score, SF-36 vitality scale, and CES-D depressive symptoms. Secondary analyses used frequency of continuous yoga practice in place of intervention group to account for differences in frequency of yoga practice in class and at home. For these secondary analyses, all models controlled for age and sagittal abdominal diameter (SAD)⁴⁰ as potential confounders. A

Currenticity Condition Note-List of the stand Note-L	Cuantomic line Cound N = 2001 Cound N	Table 1. D	emographic a	ind Medical C	haracteristics (of Breast Canc	cer Survivors	Randomly A	ssigned to Yog	a or vvait-List	Conditions			
Currentice Io S Moint SD No	Clucrectratio b) S Mont SD No<			Overall	(N = 200)			Yoga (n = 100)			Wait-List	(n = 100)	
Allowing Allowing Sign	Mile version 11/6 12/6 12/6 12/6 13/6	Characteristic	No.	%	Mean	SD	No.	%	Mean	SD	No.	%	Mean	SD
BMI application 213 57 213 53 213 213 213 214 213 214 <	B.M. ign ² 2.13 5.7 2.19 5.3 2.10 3.60 Revention 1 2 3.4 2.01 3.4 2.01 3.60 Revention 1 1 3	Age, years			51.6	9.2			51.8	9.8			51.3	8.7
Skylomethylome	Skyline Skyline <t< td=""><td>BMI, kg/m²</td><td></td><td></td><td>27.8</td><td>5.7</td><td></td><td></td><td>27.9</td><td>5.3</td><td></td><td></td><td>27.6</td><td>6.0</td></t<>	BMI, kg/m ²			27.8	5.7			27.9	5.3			27.6	6.0
Indentituituituituituituituituituituituituitu	Macheline/ biolis 16 8	SAD, cm			20.8	3.4			20.7	3.3			21.0	3.6
While the method Anime 17 8	White 11 2 <td>Race/ethnicity</td> <td></td>	Race/ethnicity												
Bink model for m	Biock Eine Internation 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	White	176	88			88	89			88	88		
Adam 5 3 4 3 4 2 <th2< th=""> 2 2 2</th2<>	Autor foreition 1 5 3 7 1 7 1 6 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1	Black	18	6			00	00			10	10		
Current structure 12 6 1 4 1 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 1	Contraction 12 6 - 4 - 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 1 1 5 5 5 1 1 5 5 5 1 1 5 5 5 1 5 5 5 1 5 <t< td=""><td>Asian</td><td>Ð</td><td>ო</td><td></td><td></td><td>ო</td><td>ო</td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Asian	Ð	ო			ო	ო			2	2		
Usage of cancion matchalun 33 17 5 7 17 17 17 17 18 17 17 17 18 17 17 18 17 17 17 18 17 17 18 17 17 18 17 1	Use of criating relation 33 17 5 5 17 16 16 16 56 51 MoNNS string MoNN to hoursweek 153 201 143 919 1 1 3 201 SSN tring SSN tring 153 201 143 919 1 1 3 201 SSN tring 100 70 20 20 1 1 2 1 1 2 201 1 1 2 201 1	Current smoker	12	9			4	4			œ	œ		
Clebwing setting, forationaryowek 63 5,1 63 5,1 63 5,1 63 5,1 63 5,1 63 5,1 5,3 5,1 5,3 5,1 5,3 5,1 5,3<	Clear Marge Saciety, ford functionation. 6.3 5.3 5.7 14.3 5.3	Usage of cardiac medication	33	17			17	17			16	16		
NESSEF injust 153 201 143 196 713 205 SF5 outing SF5 outing 201 103 202 113 203 SF5 outing SF5 outing 201 103 202 113 203 SF5 outing 201 101 102 202 113 203 SF5 outing 201 101 103 103 202 113 203 Set outing 201 201 101 103 10 113 113 113 Set outing 201 201 101 101 101 113 113 113 Set outing 201 201 201 202 201 113	Miscle faigue 153 201 143 196 173 203 Scale winking Scale winking 10 20 14 203 173 203 Scale winking 10 20 20 20 20 24 203 Scale winking 10 20 10 20 22 24 20 Scale winking 10 20 10 10 22 22 24 20 Scale winking 10 20 10 10 22 22 22 22 22 23 2	CHAMPS activity, total hours/week			6.3	5.7			6.8	6.3			5.8	5.1
Statisticity 455 306 465 305 444 203 Marinal status 107 82 102 82 112 84 203 Marinal status 146 70 102 82 112 84 203 Marinal status 140 70 143 70 112 82 112 84 Signe 140 14 14 14 14 112 112 84 Signe school relest 14 26 27 27 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 14 1	SFSB viaity 465 206 486 202 444 203 Mainti Se depression symptomes 107 82 102 82 112 82 Mainti Se depression symptomes 10 70 82 102 82 112 82 Mainti Se depression symptomes 10 70 88 18 70 112 82 Mainti Service def 10 70 88 18 70 7 112 82 Mainti Service de less 10 7 7 7 7 7 Mainti Service de less 11 70 14 14 7 7 7 Mainti Service de less 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 College protone 13 16 7 1	MFSI-SF fatigue			15.8	20.1			14.3	19.6			17.3	20.5
CED depresive synthmes 107 8.2 10.2 8.2 11.2 8.2 Name Manue statis 26 1 26	CED depresive synchronis 101 82 102 82 112 82 Kindle Kindle Kindle Monosci Monosci Monosci 20 1	SF-36 vitality			46.5	20.6			48.6	20.2			44.4	20.9
Marinal status Marinal status B<	Marinal status Solution	CES-D depressive symptoms			10.7	8.2			10.2	8.2			11.2	8.2
Single 13 18 18 8 Married 140 70 6 6 72 2 Sperimed(vicced 14 14 14 14 15 15 15 Kutoowad 14 1 14 14 14 15 15 15 Kutoowad 14 12 15 14 15 15 15 15 Kutoowad 12 12 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 16 15 15 15 15 15 16	Single 13 13 13 14 15 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 <th< td=""><td>Marital status</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Marital status												
Marined 10 70 66 61 72 72 72 Research(r)cocct 5 1 4 1 4 1 5 5 5 Kennerch(r)cocct 5 3 2 5 7 7 7 Kennerch(r)cocct 12 6 5 5 7 7 7 Kennerch(r)cocct 13 26 27 27 27 24 Kennerch(r)cocct 13 6 7 7 7 7 Kennerch(r)cocct 13 6 7 7 7 7 Kennerch(r)cocct 13 6 14 14 14 14 Kennerch(r)cocct 13 16 14 14 14 14 Kennerch(r)cocct 13 16 14 14 14 14 Longer (r)cocct 13 16 14 14 14 14 Longer (r)cocct 13	Marined Networked/orced 14 70 66 6 72 72 72 Verywarter/orced 5 3 3 6 6 5 5 Verywarter/orced 5 3 3 6 5 5 5 Verywarter/orced 12 6 7 7 7 7 Kerner/orced 13 6 27 7 7 7 Compace/orced 13 26 27 27 7 7 Compace/orced 13 6 7 7 7 7 Compace/orced 13 6 7 1 7 7 Compace/orced 13 6 7 1 7 7 Employed 13 6 7 1 1 1 1 Employed 13 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Employed 13 1	Single	26	13			18	18			00	00		
Separated/vocced 2 14 14 14 15 15 15 Education lock 2 3 0 1 0 0 5	Sepanatodionoced 23 14 14 14 15 15 Education ivel High school or less 1 2 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 High school or less 12 2	Married	140	70			68	68			72	72		
Widowed 5 3 0 0 0 0 5 5 High should read 1 2 6 7 7 7 High should read 12 6 7 7 7 7 High should read 23 25 27 27 27 27 27 Some college addute 33 33 29 29 29 29 23 23 Colloge addute 37 6 7 7 24 24 24 Colloge addute 31 6 7 7 24 24 24 Colloge addute 33 6 7 7 24 24 24 Employed fullor part time 33 7 7 7 24 24 24 Colloge addute 34 4 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	Widdwed 5 3 0 0 0 5 5 Hugsshood relead 1	Separated/divorced	29	14			14	14			15	15		
Education level 1 1 High school of less 12 5 5 5 7 7 Figh school of less 12 25 27 27 23 Som college or relate 17 38 33 33 33 College graduate 17 38 39 38 38 College graduate 17 38 33 33 33 33 Employed full or part time 137 68 68 66 66 66 Unemployed full or part time 38 16 17 17 17 14 16 16	Education level 7 7 7 High school of less 12 5	Widowed	Ð	ო			0	0			Ð	Ð		
High school or less 12 6 5 5 5 5 7 7 Sine or lege 23 35 27 27 27 23 33 College graduate 23 35 27 27 27 23 33 College graduate 23 68 7 7 33 33 Postgraduate 35 13 68 7 7 27 22 23 Postgraduate 35 13 68 7 7 7 34 33 Unemployed full or part time 35 18 7 7 14 </td <td>High school or less 12 6 5 5 5 7 7 7 College griduate 6 25 27 27 27 22 22 College griduate 6 3 21 27 27 27 27 College griduate 17 3 29 29 39 36 38 38 College griduate 17 7 7 7 37 37 37 Enployed for partition 17 16 16 16 20 20 20 20 Unemployed 36 18 14 14 14 14 14 Concore 55,000 33 17 17 17 16</td> <td>Education level</td> <td></td>	High school or less 12 6 5 5 5 7 7 7 College griduate 6 25 27 27 27 22 22 College griduate 6 3 21 27 27 27 27 College griduate 17 3 29 29 39 36 38 38 College griduate 17 7 7 7 37 37 37 Enployed for partition 17 16 16 16 20 20 20 20 Unemployed 36 18 14 14 14 14 14 Concore 55,000 33 17 17 17 16	Education level												
Some college 49 25 27 27 27 22 22 College graduete 73 6 31 29 29 29 33 34 34 34 Fmployment status 137 68 71 71 71 71 24 34 34 Employment status 137 68 71 71 71 71 24 34 34 Employment status 137 68 16 66 66 66 Unemployed 23 18 14 14 14 14 Colloc < 50.00	Some college 49 26 27 27 27 22 22 23 Postgraduate 71 3 <	High school or less	12	9			Ð	Ð			7	7		
College graduate C3 31 29 29 34 34 Employment status 17 3 3 3 3 3 Employment status 137 68 68 66 66 66 Imployment status 35 18 71 71 71 14 14 Imployment status 35 18 71 7 20 20 Imployment status 35 18 15 16 66 66 66 Imployment status 35 17 14 14 14 14 Imployment status 10 5 3	College graduate E2 31 29 29 34 34 Postgraduate 77 38 39 39 38 38 Postgraduate 137 68 71	Some college	49	25			27	27			22	22		
Postgraduate 77 38 39 39 38 38 Employer it status 1 1 1 1 1 1 Unemployed full or part time 137 68 71 71 71 66 66 Unemployed full or part time 137 68 71 71 71 14 14 Unemployed 28 18 14 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 5 7 14 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 5 1 1 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 5 1	Pestgraduate 77 38 38 38 Employment status 1 1 1 1 38 38 Employment status 3 6 71 71 71 66 66 Unemployed ful or part time 35 18 14 14 14 14 14 Unemployed ful or part time 35 18 16 16 20 20 20 Unemployed ful or part time 35 17 14 16 <td< td=""><td>College graduate</td><td>62</td><td>31</td><td></td><td></td><td>29</td><td>29</td><td></td><td></td><td>34</td><td>34</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	College graduate	62	31			29	29			34	34		
Employment status 137 68 71 71 71 66 <td>Employment status 17 71</td> <td>Postgraduate</td> <td>77</td> <td>38</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>39</td> <td>39</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>38</td> <td>38</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Employment status 17 71	Postgraduate	77	38			39	39			38	38		
Employed full or part time 137 68 66 66 Unemployed 35 18 1 1 20 20 Unemployed 35 18 1 1 20 20 Unemployed 25 3 1 1 1 1 Income level. S 25.000 33 17 18 18 16 16 55.000 33 17 17 17 17 17 17 1 55.000 33 17 18 18 18 18 18 55.000 55.000 33 17 17 17 17 17 55.000 56 23 23 23 23 23 23 55.000 56 29 30 30 23 23 23 50.000 56 23 33 33 23 23 23 50.000 56 13 13 <td></td> <td>Employment status</td> <td></td>		Employment status												
Unemployed 35 18 15 15 15 20 20 20 Retried 28 14 14 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 25.000 33 17 7 7 7 < 25.000	Unemployed 35 18 15 15 15 20 20 Retried 28 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 2 28 14 14 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 3 17 2 3 17 2 2 C5,000 35 18 17 17 17 17 16 18 18 56,000 35 18 17 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 56,000 56,000 35 18 17 17 17 17 17 18 18 56,000 29 29 23 <	Employed full or part time	137	68			71	71			66	66		
Hetited 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 Income level, \$ 25,000 33 17 3 3 7 7 7 $< 25,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 15 15 15 $< 2,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 16 15 $< 2,000$ 50 25 23	Herited herited141414141414herited home level, \$1051111 $< 25,000$ 331717171777 $25,000 < 50,000 < 50,000 < 50,000$	Unemployed	35	18			15	15			20	20		
Income level, \$ 10 5 3 3 3 1 7 7 $< 25,000 < 50,000$ 33 17 17 17 15 15 15 $25,000 < 50,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 17 1 $50,000 < 75,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 18 18 $50,000 < 75,000$ 59 23 23 23 23 23 23 $50,000 < 100,000$ 59 29 30 30 30 29 29 29 $N or eport 17 8 13 13 13 13 13 Type of treatment 52 26 28 28 28 24 24 Surgery plus radiation 52 26 23 23 23 23 23 Surgery plus radiation 56 28 28 28 28 28 28 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 23 23 23 23 23 23 23$	Income level, \$ 7 7 7 < $< 25,000 < 50,000$ 33 17 18 15 15 $< 25,000 < 50,000$ 33 17 18 16 15 $5,000 < 75,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 17 18 18 $5,000 < 75,000$ 36 23 23 23 23 23 23 $5,000 < 100,000$ 59 29 30 30 30 29 29 29 29 $7,00,000$ 59 29 30 30 30 23 23 23 23 $7,00,000$ 59 29 30 30 29 29 29 29 $No report 17 8 13 13 13 13 13 No report 52 26 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 No report 52 26 28 28 28 24 24 24 Surgery puly radiation 52 23 $	Ketired	28	14			14	14			14	14		
< 25,000 - 50,000 10 5 3 17 7 7 7 $50,000 - 55,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 17 7 7 $50,000 - 75,000$ 35 18 17 17 17 18 18 18 $70,000$ 55 23 23 23 23 23 $> 100,000$ 59 29 9 9 9 9 28 N or report 17 17 8 8 8 8 $No report 17 17 8 9 9 9 23 23 No report 17 17 8 9 9 9 23 23 No report 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 No report 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 No report 16 23 23 23 23 $	< 25,000 < 7	Income level, \$												
		< 25,000	10	Ð			ო	ო			7	7		
50,000-75,000 35 18 17 17 17 18 18 $75,000-70,000$ 46 23 23 23 23 23 $75,000-70,000$ 59 29 29 20 20 22 8 17 17 8 30 23 23 23 $No report 17 8 30 30 20 22 29 29 No report 17 17 8 9 9 9 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 $	50,000-< 75,000	25,000-< 50,000	33	17			18	18			15	15		
75,000-<100,000	75,000-< 100,000	50,000-< 75,000	35	18			17	17			18	18		
= 100,000 $ = 100,000 $ $ 17 $ $ 8$	≥ 100,000 59 29 30 30 29 29 29 No report 17 8 9 9 9 9 29 29 Type of treatment 1 13 13 13 13 13 13 Surgery only surgery only surgery plus radiation 52 26 13 13 13 13 13 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 16 28 28 28 24 24 24 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 16 23 23 23 23 23 23 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 16 28 28 28 28 28 28 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 16 23 23 23 23 23 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 16 28 2	75,000 < 100,000	46	23			23	23			23	23		
No report 17 8 9 9 9 8 8 8 Type of treatment 1 </td <td>No report 17 8 9 9 9 8 8 Type of treatment 1<!--</td--><td>≥ 100,000</td><td>59</td><td>29</td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td><td>30</td><td></td><td></td><td>29</td><td>29</td><td></td><td></td></td>	No report 17 8 9 9 9 8 8 Type of treatment 1 </td <td>≥ 100,000</td> <td>59</td> <td>29</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td>30</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>29</td> <td>29</td> <td></td> <td></td>	≥ 100,000	59	29			30	30			29	29		
Type of treatment 13 14 14 12 13 14 14 14 14	Type of treatment 13	No report	17	00			6	6			00	00		
Surgery only 26 13 14 12 14 12 14 12 13 <th13< th=""> 14 14</th13<>	Surgery only 26 13 13 13 13 13 Surgery plus radiation 52 26 28 28 24 24 Surgery plus chemotherapy 46 23 23 23 23 23 23 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40 Kugery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40	Type of treatment												
Surgery plus radiation 52 26 28 28 24 24 Surgery plus chemotherapy 46 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40 40	Surgery plus radiation 52 26 28 28 24 24 Surgery plus chemotherapy 46 23 23 23 23 23 23 Surgery plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40 Kugery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40	Surgery only	26	13			13	13			13	13		
Surgery plus chemotherapy 46 23 24 40	Surgery plus chemotherapy 46 23 24 40	Surgery plus radiation	52	26			28	28			24	24		
Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40 40 (continued on following page) (continued on follow	Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy 76 38 36 36 40 40 (continued on following page)	Surgery plus chemotherapy	46	23			23	23			23	23		
(continued on following page)	(continued on following page)	Surgery plus radiation plus chemotherapy	76	38			36	36			40	40		
)	continued on f	ollowing pag	1e)						

		Uverall	(N = 200)			Yoga (n = 100)			Wait-Lis	t (n = 100)	
Characteristic	No.	%	Mean	SD	No.	%	Mean	SD	No.	%	Mean	SD
Cancer stage												
0	18	ŋ			0	6			6	0		
	89	45			46	46			43	43		
IIA	52	26			27	27			25	25		
IIB	23	11			10	10			13	13		
IIIA	18	6			œ	00			10	10		
HER2 receptor status												
Positive	35	18			18	18			17	17		
Negative	146	73			74	74			72	72		
Unknown	19	o			00	00			11	11		
Progesterone receptor status												
Positive	142	71			73	73			69	69		
Negative	49	25			23	23			26	26		
Unknown	6	4			4	4			Ð	Ð		
Estrogen receptor status												
Positive	159	80			81	81			78	78		
Negative	32	16			15	15			17	17		
Unknown	0	4			4	4			2	Ð		
Tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitors	143	72			72	72			71	71		
Postmenopausal	153	81			76	76			77	77		
Time since diagnosis, months			17.3	8.1			16.3	7.5			18.4	8.5
Time since treatment, months			10.9	7.9			9.9	7.1			11.8	8.5

Kiecolt-Glaser et al



Fig 1. CONSORT diagram showing screening, random assignment, and participant flow by group.

two-sided significance level of $\alpha = .05$ was used for all tests. Analyses were performed by using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Study Population, Baseline Data

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the study sample. Groups were balanced on demographic and disease-related characteristics at baseline (P > .1 for all tests). Importantly, there were no

significant differences between groups on activity and fatigue (P > .25 for both) or SAD and body mass index (P > 0.5 for both).

Protocol Adherence

Of the 200 randomly assigned patients, 186 women received the allocated 12-week intervention and completed the immediate post-treatment assessment, and 3-month post-treatment data were obtained on 181 patients (91%; Fig 1). There were no demographic differences between women who had post-treatment data and women

Yoga for Breast Cancer Survivors



Fig 2. Changes in (A) Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory–Short Form (MFSI-SF) fatigue scores, (B) vitality scores (36-item short form [SF-36]), (C) depressive symptoms (Center for Epidemiological Studies–Depression [CES-D]), and (D, E, F) lipopolysaccharide-stimulated cytokine production (interleukin-6 [IL-6], tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNF- α], and interleukin-1 β [IL-1 β]) immediately post treatment and 3 months post treatment in the yoga and control groups. Results shown are mean \pm SE from mixed models adjusting for baseline levels. All models conditioned on baseline outcome levels as specified a priori in the trial protocol. ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient. (*) P < .05 for group comparison. In, natural log.

who had baseline data only (dropouts). At baseline, the dropouts had significantly higher fatigue than women with post-treatment data (27.4 ν 14.9; P = .02). There were no differences for any other outcomes at baseline (vitality, depression, cytokines). However, drop-

out appeared to be nondifferential since there were no differences among the dropouts between the yoga and control groups.

In the yoga group, patients attended a mean of 18.1 (75.4%) of 24 classes with a median of 19 (79.1%) of 24 classes and reported an

Table 2. Change in Primary Outc	comes for Each 10-Minute Increa	ase in Frequency of Yog	ga Practice, Adjusting	for Baseline Outcome Levels, Ag	je, and SAD
Outcome	No. of Patients	Estimate	SE	95% CI	Р
MFSI-SF fatigue	186				
Immediately post treatment		-1.7	0.70	-3.1 to -0.28	.019
3 months post treatment		-2.8	0.71	-4.2 to -1.4	< .001
SF-36 vitality	186				
Immediately post treatment		2.1	0.85	0.40 to 3.75	.016
3 months post treatment		2.5	0.85	0.77 to 4.14	.0045
CES-D	186				
Immediately post treatment		-0.66	0.34	-1.3 to 0.0039	.051
3 months post treatment		-0.56	0.34	-1.2 to 0.10	.098
Stimulated TNF- α^*	176				
Immediately post treatment		-0.021	0.020	-0.060 to 0.018	.28
3 months post treatment		-0.038	0.020	-0.079 to 0.0021	.063
Stimulated IL-6*	176				
Immediately post treatment		-0.022	0.021	-0.063 to 0.019	.30
3 months post treatment		-0.056	0.022	-0.098 to -0.013	.01
Stimulated IL-1 β^*	176				
Immediately post treatment		-0.034	0.035	-0.10 to 0.035	.33
3 months post treatment		-0.078	0.036	-0.15 to -0.0074	.030

NOTE. Models used frequency of continuous yoga practice in place of group assignment to predict primary outcomes.

Abbreviations: CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies–Depression; IL-1β, interleukin-1 beta; IL-6, interleukin-6; MFSI-SF, Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory–Short Form; SAD, sagittal abdominal diameter; SF-36, Short Form-36; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor alpha.

*Stimulated cytokines are natural log transformed.

average of 24.69 minutes per day of total home plus class practice across 12 weeks. None of the women in the control group reported yoga practice during their wait-list period.

The yoga intervention was administered with high fidelity. In randomly audited sessions, an average of 97% (standard deviation, 5%) of poses were taught as scheduled.

Intervention Effects on Fatigue, Vitality, and Depressive Symptoms

Results for total fatigue, vitality, and depressive symptoms are summarized in Figure 2; unadjusted group means for all outcome variables at each of the three measurement times are available in the Appendix Table A2. After adjusting for baseline levels, mean fatigue was not significantly lower in the yoga group compared with the control group at the immediate post-treatment visit (6.1 v 10.3; P = .058; Cohen's d = -0.22) but was significantly lower at the 3-month post-treatment visit (5.4 v 12.4; P = .002; Cohen's d = -0.36). The average vitality score was higher in the yoga group at the immediate post-treatment visit (58.7 v 52.3; P = .01; Cohen's d = 0.31) and at the 3-month post-treatment visit (58.1 v 51.6; P = .01; Cohen's d = 0.32). Depressive symptoms were not significantly different between groups (immediately post treatment: 8.1 v 9.2; P = .28; Cohen's d = -0.13; 3-month post treatment: 8.5 v 9.7; P = .21; Cohen's d = -0.16).

Table 2 displays the results of preplanned secondary analyses by using yoga practice frequency (minutes per day) during the intervention in place of group assignment, controlling for age and SAD. Overall, frequency of yoga practice showed stronger associations with total fatigue, vitality, and depressive symptoms than simple group assignment, with more frequent yoga practice producing larger changes on all these dimensions (Fig 3). The association with both fatigue and vitality was stronger 3 months post-treatment. A 10-minute-per-day increase in yoga practice was associated with a 1.7-point decrease in MFSI-SF fatigue immediately post-treatment (P = .019) and a 2.8point decrease at 3 months post-treatment (P < .001). Similarly, a 10 minute-per-day increase in yoga practice was associated with a 2.1point increase in vitality immediately post-treatment (P = .016) and a 2.5-point increase at 3 months post-treatment (P = .0045).

Intervention Effects on Inflammation

Figure 2 shows estimated mean Kenward-Roger adjustment stimulated cytokines post-treatment, after adjusting for baseline levels. For all three cytokines, there were no significant group differences immediately post-treatment, but by 3 months post-treatment, the yoga group had significantly reduced cytokine levels compared with the control group. At 3 months post-treatment, the estimated mean ln TNF- α was 0.13 units lower for the yoga group compared with controls (13% lower TNF- α geometric mean; P = .027); the estimated mean ln IL-6 was 0.16 units lower for the yoga group compared with controls (15% lower IL-6 geometric mean; P = .027); and the yoga group also had lower mean ln IL-1 β , with a group difference of 0.23 units (20% lower IL-1 β geometric mean; P = .037).

In secondary analyses, the pattern was similar, with significant effects of the frequency of yoga practice on cytokine levels 3 months posttreatment but not immediately post-treatment (controlling for age and SAD). At 3 months post-treatment, a 10-minute-per-day increase in yoga practice was associated with a 5% decrease in the IL-6 geometric mean



Fig 3. Changes in (A) Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory–Short Form (MFSI-SF) fatigue scores, (B) vitality scores (36-item short form [SF-36]), (C) depressive symptoms (Center for Epidemiological Studies–Depression [CES-D]), and (D, E, F) lipopolysaccharide-stimulated cytokine production (interleukin-6 [IL-6], tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNF- α], and interleukin-1 β [IL-1 β]) immediately post treatment and 3 months post treatment as a function of yoga practice frequency. Results shown are mean \pm SE from mixed models adjusting for baseline levels, age, and sagittal abdominal diameter at yoga practice frequency levels of 0 minutes per day (control, no practice), 18 minutes per day (25th percentile; low practice), and 29 minutes per day (75th percentile; high practice). (*) P < .05 for the slope estimate in yoga practice frequency. In, natural log.

Table 3. G	iroup Difference in	Health Beh	naviors and Support	, Adjusted	for Baseline Outcor	ne Levels		
	Contro		Yoga		Group Diffe	rence		
Outcome	Least Squares Means	SE	Least Squares Means	SE	Least Squares Means	SE	95% CI	Ρ
BMI, kg/m ²	27.8	0.10	27.8	0.11	0.017	0.15	-0.28 to 0.32	.91
Weight, kg	75.2	0.27	75.2	0.29	0.0068	0.40	-0.79 to 0.81	.99
Social support, ISEL total score	93.8	0.98	95.2	1.1	1.5	1.5	-1.0 to 4.5	.34
Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index	7.0	0.23	6.3	0.22	-0.71	0.32	-1.3 to -0.082	.03
Total physical activity, hours per week	9.1	0.64	11.2	0.78	2.1	1.0	0.10 to 4.1	.04
FFQ dietary data*								
Calories, kcal/kg	25.5	0.71	24.6	0.70	-0.87	1.0	-2.8 to 1.1	.39
Fiber, g/1,000 kcal	12.4	0.33	11.9	0.33	-0.55	0.47	-1.5 to 0.38	.24
Total fat, g/1,000 kcal	36.2	0.79	35.9	0.78	-0.31	1.1	-2.5 to 1.9	.78
Protein, g/1,000 kcal	40.4	0.80	41.1	0.78	0.69	1.1	-1.5 to 2.9	.54
Saturated fat, g/1,000 kcal	12.2	0.32	12.1	0.32	-0.15	0.46	-1.1 to 0.76	.75
Monounsaturated fat, g/1,000 kcal	13.1	0.36	13.1	0.35	0.0002	0.50	1.0 to -1.0	.99
Polyunsaturated fats, g/1,000 kcal	7.6	0.19	7.5	0.18	-0.13	0.26	0.66 to 0.39	.61
Omega-3 fatty acids, g/1,000 kcal	0.87	0.029	0.87	0.029	-0.0003	0.04	-0.082 to 0.081	.99
Linoleic acid, g/1,000 kcal	0.062	0.0025	0.062	0.0024	0.0003	0.0035	-0.0067 to 0.0073	.93

NOTE. Group difference calculated as covariate-adjusted least squares means (SE). Least squares means were adjusted for baseline values, averaged across the two post-treatment visits for outcomes other than Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) data.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; ISEL, International Support Evaluation List.

*FFQ data were measured only once post treatment.

(P = .01) and an 8% decrease in the IL-1 β geometric mean (P = .03; Table 2 and Fig 3).

Health Behaviors and Support

Analyses of dietary data, body mass index, and weight did not show differential group changes (Table 3), nor did social support (P = .34). However, yoga group participants reported significantly improved sleep (ICC, 0; P = .03) compared with the control group.

The yoga group reported 1.65 more total physical activity hours per week immediately post-treatment than at baseline but returned to baseline levels 3 months post-treatment. Control participants reported 1.36 fewer hours per week immediately post-treatment, a decrease that was maintained 3 months post-treatment (ICC, 0.13; P = .04 for group effect). The yoga group's increased activity reflected more yoga practice, not additional activities; across the 12-week intervention, yoga participants reported a decrease in non–yoga activity (P < .001) from 23.78 to 12.65 minutes per day, consistent with other reports of a downward trend in physical activity among survivors.⁴¹

Adverse Events

Four recurrent breast cancers (two per group) were not considered intervention related. Two events appeared potentially attributable to the yoga intervention: two women reported the recurrence of chronic back and/or shoulder problems.

DISCUSSION

Yoga practice substantially reduced fatigue and inflammation. Immediately post-treatment, vitality was higher in the yoga group compared with the control group. At 3 months post-treatment, the yoga group's fatigue was lower, vitality was higher, and IL-6, TNF- α , and IL-1 β were lower for yoga participants compared with controls. More frequent practice produced greater benefits in fatigue, vitality, and inflammation.

This is the first physical activity trial with breast cancer survivors to show significant inflammatory changes. Indeed, although observational studies reliably show that people who report more frequent and more intense physical activity have lower inflammation than their sedentary counterparts,^{7,10} RCT data demonstrating that exercise training reduces inflammation are sparse and inconsistent.^{42,43} The discrepancies are a function of underpowered trials, differences in how much the interventions altered body fat, disparities in intensity and duration, participants' variable levels of baseline inflammation, and absent or inappropriate control groups.⁴³ In fact, two recent reviews concluded that exercise RCTs produce little or no change in inflammatory markers in healthy people who do not lose weight.^{42,43}

Despite the fact that our participants' weight did not change and our trial did not include aerobic or resistance exercise, cytokine production decreased significantly in yoga participants compared with the wait-list group. Blood mononuclear cells provide a direct source for data on whole body inflammation, and their function may provide a proxy for inflammatory responses of macrophages in adipose tissue.¹⁰ Leukocyte cytokine production increases with age,⁷ and persistent subclinical inflammation appears to increase risk for chronic disease and disability among older adults.^{5-7,44-47} In related work, reductions in leukocyte nuclear factor kappa B activity followed participation in a yogic meditation intervention⁴⁸; those data suggest one possible mechanism for the inflammatory changes we observed that persisted through 3 months post-treatment.

Among the many cancer-related fatigue treatments, exercise has been the most consistently beneficial. Our effect sizes for fatigue and depressive symptoms are comparable to those reported in meta-analyses for aerobic and/or resistance exercise,^{49,50} suggesting that yoga confers similar benefits on these dimensions. These meta-analyses show that better adherence is associated with greater improvement, paralleling our yoga practice frequency results.

Up to 60% of cancer survivors report sleep problems during survivorship, a rate that is two or three times as high as that in similar adults without a cancer history.^{51,52} Disturbed sleep elevates inflammation as well as fatigue, ^{52,53} and thus the improved sleep reported by yoga group participants likely contributed to the positive changes on these dimensions, as well as their continuance through the 3-month post-treatment visit.

Strengths of this study include excellent adherence with minimal attrition; only 9% failed to complete the full trial. Randomization produced groups that were well-balanced on all key dimensions. Adverse effects were infrequent.

We did not compare the yoga group to an active control group, and it is possible that increased attention or group support produced nonspecific treatment benefits,²⁰ a limitation. However, yoga participants did not report the changes in social support that would be expected if support were a key mechanism. In addition, women who practiced yoga more frequently showed greater improvements in inflammation, mood, and fatigue than those who practiced less frequently, and these dose-response effects support yoga's efficacy rather than nonspecific treatment benefits.

Fatigue and depressive symptoms were not used as part of the inclusion criteria, and thus women who were less fatigued and less depressed had less room to show positive change,²⁰ another limitation. Accordingly, our data may underestimate yoga's potential benefit.

Persistent subclinical inflammation appears to enhance risk for chronic disease and disability among older adults.^{5-7,44-47} Cancer sur-

REFERENCES

1. Hewitt M, Rowland JH, Yancik R: Cancer survivors in the United States: Age, health, and disability. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 58:82-91, 2003

2. Mock V: Evidence-based treatment for cancer-related fatigue. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 32:112-118, 2004

3. Jones LW, Eves ND, Haykowsky M, et al: Exercise intolerance in cancer and the role of exercise therapy to reverse dysfunction. Lancet Oncol 10:598-605, 2009

4. Holmes MD, Chen WY, Feskanich D, et al: Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. JAMA 293:2479-2486, 2005

5. Payette H, Roubenoff R, Jacques PF, et al: Insulin-like growth factor-1 and interleukin 6 predict sarcopenia in very old community-living men and women: The Framingham Heart Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 51:1237-1243, 2003

6. Taekema DG, Westendorp RG, Frölich M, et al: High innate production capacity of tumor necrosis factor-alpha and decline of handgrip strength in old age. Mech Ageing Dev 128:517-521, 2007

7. Nicklas BJ, Brinkley TE: Exercise training as a treatment for chronic inflammation in the elderly. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 37:165-170, 2009

8. Penninx BW, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, et al: Inflammatory markers and incident mobility limitation in the elderly. J Am Geriatr Soc 52:1105-1113, 2004

9. Ferrucci L, Penninx BW, Volpato S, et al: Change in muscle strength explains accelerated vivors have a greater risk for secondary cancers as well as several chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and osteoporosis compared with individuals without a cancer history.^{54,55} Chronic inflammation has been linked to a spectrum of health problems including cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and osteoporosis.^{46,56} In fact, more globally, chronic inflammation has been suggested as one key biologic mechanism that may fuel fatigue as well as declines in physical function leading to frailty and disability.^{5-9,16} If yoga dampens or limits fatigue and inflammation, then regular practice could have substantial health benefits.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser, Charles F. Emery Financial support: Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser Administrative support: Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser Provision of study materials or patients: Charles L. Shapiro, William B. Malarkey, Charles F. Emery, Rachel Layman, Ewa E. Mrozek Collection and assembly of data: Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser, Jeanette M. Bennett, Charles L. Shapiro, William B. Malarkey, Rachel Layman, Ewa E. Mrozek, Ronald Glaser Data analysis and interpretation: Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser, Rebecca Andridge, Juan Peng Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

decline of physical function in older women with high interleukin-6 serum levels. J Am Geriatr Soc 50:1947-1954, 2002

10. Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Stensel DJ, et al: The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: Mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease. Nat Rev Immunol 11:607-615, 2011

11. Kasapis C, Thompson PD: The effects of physical activity on serum C-reactive protein and inflammatory markers: A systematic review. J Am Coll Cardiol 45:1563-1569, 2005

12. Kullo IJ, Khaleghi M, Hensrud DD: Markers of inflammation are inversely associated with VO2 max in asymptomatic men. J Appl Physiol 102:1374-1379, 2007

13. Jones LW, Liang Y, Pituskin EN, et al: Effect of exercise training on peak oxygen consumption in patients with cancer: A meta-analysis. Oncologist 16:112-120, 2011

14. Jones LW, Courneya KS, Mackey JR, et al: Cardiopulmonary function and age-related decline across the breast cancer survivorship continuum. J Clin Oncol 30:2530-2537, 2012

15. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Desmond KA, et al: Fatigue in long-term breast carcinoma survivors: A longitudinal investigation. Cancer 106:751-758, 2006

16. Collado-Hidalgo A, Bower JE, Ganz PA, et al: Inflammatory biomarkers for persistent fatigue in breast cancer survivors. Clin Cancer Res 12:2759-2766, 2006

17. Kangas M, Bovbjerg DH, Montgomery GH: Cancer-related fatigue: A systematic and metaanalytic review of non-pharmacological therapies for cancer patients. Psychol Bull 134:700-741, 2008 18. Glaser R, Kiecolt-Glaser JK: Stress-induced immune dysfunction: Implications for health. Nat Rev Immunol 5:243-251, 2005

19. Brown JK, Byers T, Doyle C, et al: Nutrition and physical activity during and after cancer treatment: An American Cancer Society guide for informed choices. CA Cancer J Clin 53:268-291, 2003

20. Bower JE, Garet D, Sternlieb B, et al: Yoga for persistent fatigue in breast cancer survivors: A randomized controlled trial. Cancer 118:3766-3775, 2012

21. Cohen L, Warneke C, Fouladi RT, et al: Psychological adjustment and sleep quality in a randomized trial of the effects of a Tibetan yoga intervention in patients with lymphoma. Cancer 100:2253-2260, 2004

22. Streeter CC, Gerbarg PL, Saper RB, et al: Effects of yoga on the autonomic nervous system, gamma-aminobutyric-acid, and allostasis in epilepsy, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder. Med Hypotheses 78:571-579, 2012

23. Harder H, Parlour L, Jenkins V: Randomised controlled trials of yoga interventions for women with breast cancer: A systematic literature review. Support Care Cancer 20:3055-3064, 2012

24. Buffart LM, van Uffelen JG, Riphagen II, et al: Physical and psychosocial benefits of yoga in cancer patients and survivors, a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMC Cancer 12:559, 2012

25. Cramer H, Lange S, Klose P, et al: Yoga for breast cancer patients and survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Cancer 12:412, 2012

26. Stein KD, Jacobsen PB, Blanchard CM, et al: Further validation of the multidimensional fatigue symptom inventory-short form. J Pain Symptom Manage 27:14-23, 2004

27. Stein KD, Martin SC, Hann DM, et al: A multidimensional measure of fatigue for use with cancer patients. Cancer Pract 6:143-152, 1998

28. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD: The MOS 36item short-form health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 30:473-483, 1992

29. Puetz TW: Physical activity and feelings of energy and fatigue: A review of epidemiological evidence. Sports Med 36:767-780, 2006

30. Radloff LS: The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Meas 1:385-401, 1977

31. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, et al: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 28:193-213, 1989

32. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Desmond KA, et al: Fatigue in breast cancer survivors: Occurrence, correlates, and impact on quality of life. J Clin Oncol 18:743-753, 2000

33. Cohen S, Hoberman HM: Positive events and social supports as buffers of life change stress. J Appl Soc Psychol 13:99-125, 1983

34. Stewart AL, Mills KM, King AC, et al: CHAMPS physical activity questionnaire for older adults: Outcomes for interventions. Med Sci Sports Exerc 33:1126-1141, 2001

35. Harada ND, Chiu V, King AC, et al: An evaluation of three self-report physical activity instruments for older adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc 33: 962-970, 2001

36. Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Tinker LF, et al: Measurement characteristics of the Women's Health Initiative Food Frequency Questionnaire. Ann Epidemiol 9:178-187, 1999 **37.** Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Belury MA, Andridge R, et al: Omega-3 supplementation lowers inflammation and anxiety in medical students: A randomized controlled trial. Brain Behav Immun 25:1725-1734, 2011

38. Bauer DJ, Sterba SK, Hallfors DD: Evaluating group-based interventions when control participants are ungrouped. Multivariate Behav Res 43:210-236, 2008

39. Kenward MG, Roger JH: Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. Biometrics 53:983-997, 1997

40. Clasey JL, Bouchard C, Teates CD, et al: The use of anthropometric and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measures to estimate total abdominal and abdominal visceral fat in men and women. Obes Res 7:256-264, 1999

41. Emery CF, Yang HC, Frierson GM, et al: Determinants of physical activity among women treated for breast cancer in a 5-year longitudinal follow-up investigation. Psychooncology 18:377-386, 2009

42. Calder PC, Ahluwalia N, Brouns F, et al: Dietary factors and low-grade inflammation in relation to overweight and obesity. Br J Nutr 106:S5-S78, 2011

43. Beavers KM, Brinkley TE, Nicklas BJ: Effect of exercise training on chronic inflammation. Clin Chim Acta 411:785-793, 2010

44. Tan ZS, Beiser AS, Vasan RS, et al: Inflammatory markers and the risk of Alzheimer disease: The Framingham Study. Neurology 68:1902-1908, 2007

45. Roubenoff R, Parise H, Payette HA, et al: Cytokines, insulin-like growth factor 1, sarcopenia, and mortality in very old community-dwelling men and women: The Framingham Heart Study. Am J Med 115:429-435, 2003

46. Trompet S, de Craen AJ, Mooijaart S, et al: High innate production capacity of proinflammatory cytokines increases risk for death from cancer: Results of the PROSPER Study. Clin Cancer Res 15:7744-7748, 2009

GLOSSARY TERMS

cytokines: Cell communication molecules that are secreted in response to external stimuli.

interleukin-6 (IL-6): Produced predominantly by activated immune cells such as microglia. IL-6 is involved in the amplification of inflammatory reactions.

TNF- α (tumor necrosis factor alpha): A cytokine with pleiotropic activities. TNF- α (originally called cachexin) is secreted by several types of cells (eg, macrophages, monocytes, neutrophils, T cells) and in response to a variety of stimuli (eg, interferons, interleukin-2, plateletactivating factor). It acts as a cytolytic and cytostatic agent on several cell types. In addition, by promoting thrombotic processes, TNF- α is significantly involved in pathologic processes, including venous thomboses and arteriosclerosis. It is also a potent activator of angiogenesis in vivo.

47. van den Biggelaar AH, Gussekloo J, de Craen

AJ, et al: Inflammation and interleukin-1 signaling

network contribute to depressive symptoms but not

cognitive decline in old age. Exp Gerontol 42:693-

48. Black DS, Cole SW, Irwin MR, et al: Yogic

meditation reverses NF-kB and IRF-related tran-

scriptome dynamics in leukocytes of family demen-

tia caregivers in a randomized controlled trial.

49. Puetz TW, Herring MP: Differential effects of

50. Brown JC, Huedo-Medina TB, Pescatello LS,

et al: The efficacy of exercise in reducing depressive

symptoms among cancer survivors: A meta-

of insomnia comorbid with cancer: An 18-month

longitudinal study. J Clin Oncol 29:3580-3586, 2011

mation and behavioral symptoms after breast can-

cer treatment: Do fatigue, depression, and sleep

disturbance share a common underlying mecha-

53. Irwin MR, Carrillo C, Olmstead R: Sleep loss

54. Ganz PA: Late effects of cancer and its treat-

55. Demark-Wahnefried W, Morey MC, Clipp EC,

et al: Leading the way in exercise and diet (Project

LEAD): Intervening to improve function among older

breast and prostate cancer survivors. Control Clin

crine organ: Focus on muscle-derived interleukin-6.

56. Pedersen BK, Febbraio MA: Muscle as an endo-

activates cellular markers of inflammation: Sex dif-

ferences. Brain Behav Immun 24:54-57, 2010

ment, Semin Oncol Nurs 17:241-248, 2001

Trials 24:206-223, 2003

Physiol Rev 88:1379-1406, 2008

nism? J Clin Oncol 29:3517-3522, 2011

51. Savard J, Ivers H, Villa J, et al: Natural course

52. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Irwin MR, et al: Inflam-

analysis. PLoS One 7:e30955, 2012

exercise on cancer-related fatigue during and follow-

ing treatment: A meta-analysis. Am J Prev Med

Psychoneuroendocrinology 38:348-355, 2013

701, 2007

43:e1-e24, 2012

Acknowledgment We are grateful to Marcia Miller of Yoga on High who designed the breast cancer survivor yoga protocol.

Appendix

Table #	1. Yoga Poses ar	nd Timing A	Across the	Interventio	n			
				V	Veek			
Pose	Benefits	1/2	3/4	5/6	7/8	9/10	11/12	Total Classes
On the floor								
Pavana Muktasana (releasing of the winds pose)		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	24
Pavana Muktasana with arms reaching overhead	U		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	20
Supta Padangusthasana (reclined big toe pose)		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	24
Active Setu Bandha Sarvangasana (bridge pose)	U, D, F,			Х		Х		8
Jathara Parivartanasana (abdomen turning pose)	U, I		Х		Х		Х	12
Balasana (child's pose)	F		Х	Х	Х	Х		16
Vajrasana (puppy pose)	U	Х		Х		Х		12
Marjarasana (cat-cow pose)	1	Х		Х				8
Bhujangasana (cobra pose)	D	Х		Х			Х	12
Adho Mukha Svanasana (downward facing dog pose)	1		Х	Х	Х	Х		16
Standing								
Mukha Svanasana (dog pose) standing	U, D, I	Х	Х		Х			12
Chaturanga Dandasana (four-limb staff pose) at wall	U					Х	Х	8
Arm(s) up wall, side to wall, or facing wall	U	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	20
Chest stretch	U		Х	Х	Х	Х		16
Prasarita Padottanasana (wide-leg forward extension)	U, D, I			Х		Х		8
Tadasana (mountain pose)	D, U	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	24
Seated (in chair or on blankets on floor)								
Seated twist with legs in Sukhasana (simple pose)	1	Х		Х		Х		12
Janushirasana (head-to-knee pose)	D, I		Х		Х		Х	12
Paschimottanasana (full forward bend)	L.		Х		Х		Х	12
Restorative								
Savanasana (corpse pose)	D, F, I	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	24
Supta Baddha Konasana (reclined bound angle pose)	D, F, I		Х		Х		Х	12
Viparita karani (restful inversion)	D, F, I	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	24
Supported Setu Bandha Sarvangasana (bridge pose)	U, D, F, I	Х		Х		Х		12
Breathing practices								
Deerga Swasam (3-part breath)	D, I		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	20
Ujjayi (extreme conquering) breathing	D, F, I			Х		Х		8
Nodi Sodhana (alternate nostril) breathing	D, I				Х		Х	8
Prana Sukha (breath of joy)	D, I		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	20

		Table A2. ∪	nadjusted Grou	p Means at Each Time	Point for Each	Primary Outcome				
				0	Group Effects	on Primary Outcomes				
		Control		Yoga		Group Differen	се			
Outcome	No. of Patients	Unadjusted Least Squares Mean	SE	Unadjusted Least Squares Mean	SE	Unadjusted Least Squares Mean	SE	95% CI	Ť	CC
MFSI-SF fatigue	200									0
Baseline		17.3	2.0	14.3	2.0	-3.0	2.8	-8.6 to 2.5	.28	
Immediate post treatment		12.7	2.0	6.3	2.0	-6.3	2.9	-12.0 to -0.68	.028	
3 months post treatment		14.7	2.0	5.8	2.0	0.0-	2.9	-14.7 to -3.3	.002	
Energy scale (SF-36; vitality)	200									0.1
Baseline		44.4	2.0	48.1	2.4	3.7	3.2	-2.6 to 10.1	.24	
Immediate post treatment		50.7	2.1	58.9	2.4	8.3	3.2	1.8 to 14.7	.01	
3 months post treatment		49.9	2.1	58.3	2.5	8.3	3.2	1.8 to 14.8	.01	
CES-D	200									0.01
Baseline		11.2	0.083	10.3	0.87	-0.94	1.2	-3.3 to 1.4	.44	
Immediate post treatment		9.8	0.86	8.1	0.88	-1.7	1.2	-4.1 to 0.75	.17	
3 months post treatment		10.4	0.87	8.5	0.88	-1.8	1.2	-4.3 to 0.64	.15	
TNF-at	199									0.06
Baseline		8.44	0.043	8.45	0.048	0.012	0.065	-0.12 to 0.14	.85	
Immediate post treatment		8.38	0.046	8.30	0.049	-0.077	0.067	-0.21 to 0.056	.25	
3 months post treatment		8.43	0.048	8.30	0.050	-0.13	0.069	-0.26 to 0.0097	.068	
IL-6†	200									0
Baseline		9.84	0.047	9.83	0.047	-0.0042	0.067	-0.14 to 0.13	.95	
Immediate post treatment		9.76	0.050	9.67	0.049	-0.088	0.070	-0.23 to 0.049	.21	
3 months post treatment		9.83	0.052	9.69	0.050	-0.14	0.072	-0.28 to 0.0033	.056	
IL-1 <i>β</i> †	200									0.09
Baseline		8.25	0.071	8.39	0.083	0.14	0.11	-0.072 to 0.36	.19	
Immediate post treatment		8.37	0.076	8.33	0.085	-0.048	0.11	-0.27 to 0.18	.67	
3 months post treatment		8.49	0.079	8.34	0.086	-0.16	0.12	-0.39 to 0.077	.19	
Abbreviations: CES-D, Center for Inventory-Short Form; SF-36, Short *P value for group by visit interact	· Epidemiologic t Form-36; TNF- cion: MFSI-SF fa	cal Studies-Depression; - α , tumor necrosis factor atigue, $P = .014$; SF-36 v	ICC, intraclass alpha. vitality scale, <i>P</i>	correlation coefficient. = .10; CES-D depressiv	; IL-1β, interle e symptoms,	sukin-1 beta; IL-6, interl $P = .66$; TNF- α , $P = .08$	eukin-6; MF3 7; IL-6, P =	SI-SF, Multidimensional 18; IL-1β, P = .03.	l Fatigue Symp	ptom
†Stimulated cytokines are natural	log-transforme	d.								

Copyright of Journal of Clinical Oncology is the property of American Society of Clinical Oncology and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.